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# THE EFFECTS OF PHYSICAL ACTIVITY ON ACADEMIC ACHIEVEMENT IN KINDERGARTEN AGED CHILDREN 

Amy Kathleen Shannonhouse, PhD<br>University of Pittsburgh, 2012

Increasing time in physical activity could help combat childhood obesity. In addition to physical health, daily physical activity's benefits on the brain and cognitive functioning have been extensively researched and provide support for incorporating more physical activity into physical education and the school day. This research investigated the effects of physical activity on academic achievement in kindergarten children across the 2008/09 school year. The main hypothesis examined in the study was whether children who participated in the Interactive Physical Activity Center (IPAC) would perform better academically than the control group on the Dibels Oral Reading Fluency, Retell Fluency, and Group Mathematics Assessment and Diagnostic Evaluation (G-Made) achievement tests. To ensure that children in the experimental group were physically active, participation, changes in heart rate, activity scores and perceived exertion were examined across the year. Third, school attendance was examined to determine if the experimental group had fewer school absences than the control group. A longitudinal nonequivalent control group design was used to investigate the relationship between physical activity and academic achievement. To determine if the children were active in the IPAC, a oneway ANOVA examined changes in fitness variables. For the main question of the study concerning physical activity and academic achievement a two-way (Group X Time) ANOVA was used to compare academic progress of the experimental and control group. To assess school attendance of the two groups, a one-tailed independent samples t-test was used. Results
demonstrated that kindergarten children who received the IPAC program increased their physical activity and reached the academic performance level of the control group by the end of the school year. The experimental group experienced a greater rate of improvement over time in three out of four of the Dibels subtests compared to the control group, and improved the same as the controls in the Growth Scale Value of the G-Made. These results expand previous research on the relationship between physical activity and academic performance in kindergarten children. Results of this study are important for administrators and teachers because quality physical activity experiences have the potential to impact cognitive, physical and academic outcomes in our schools.
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### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

Despite continued dramatic increases in children's health issues, physical education programs are being cut more than ever to make room for more core academic time. This trend continues even though the current evidence shows physical education to be positively related to increased academic performance; when time is allocated for quality physical education, there is no detriment to academic achievement (Smith \& Lounsbery, 2009). Therefore, it is critical that physical education (PE) programs in schools continue to be analyzed to further show the value of physical activity through physical education programs. Increasing time in physical activity could help address a serious health concern for children, which is the increasing incidence of overweight and obesity. According to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, the number of overweight children has more than tripled since 1980, with $16 \%$ (over nine million) of children and teens aged 6- to 19- years overweight (Satcher, 2005).

Healthy People 2010 (US Department of Health and Human Services Public Health Service, 2000) lists physical activity as a leading health indicator and goals have been established to improve physical activity among adults, adolescents, and children. In addition, Healthy People 2010 indicates that being overweight or obese is a major contributor to several preventable causes of death. Adverse health consequences from obesity include the risk of hyperlipidemia, hypertension, abnormal glucose metabolism, type II diabetes, coronary heart disease, asthma, orthopedic problems, and an $80 \%$ probability of adult obesity. Other
consequences for the obese child include psychosocial issues (social stigma and discrimination), and low self-esteem.

The rise in obesity has been attributed to sedentary behaviors, decrease in daily physical activities, decrease in daily physical education classes and poor nutrition. Research has investigated the levels of physical activity, the amount of physical education and the level of fitness in relation to obesity. It is important to differentiate physical activity, physical education, and physical fitness as there is often confusion among these terms. First, physical activity is defined as any bodily movement produced by skeletal muscles that require energy expenditure. Physical education involves a developmentally appropriate curriculum conducted by a qualified physical education professional, that develops physically educated individuals who have the knowledge and skills needed for lifetime physical activity. Finally, physical fitness refers to a set of attributes people have or achieve, and are related to their ability to perform physical activity. There is health-related physical fitness, (cardiovascular fitness, body composition, flexibility, muscular endurance, and muscular strength) and skill-related physical fitness (agility, balance, coordination, power, speed, and reaction time). The effects of physical activity which falls under the category of health-related physical fitness is examined in this paper.

For children, a major contributing issue to obesity is that they are leading more sedentary lifestyles (Sibley \& Etnier, 2003). For example, children tend to spend more time in sedentary activities such as computer use, electronic games, and watching TV. With the increase in sedentary activities, there is a steady decrease in daily physical activity. For instance, the Shape of the Nation Report (2001) demonstrated that there were more children watching daily TV (about 40\% for three hours daily) than there were children participating in daily physical activity (about 30\% daily). More recently, according to the Shape of the Nation Report (2010), vigorous
physical activity for at least 20 minutes that increased heart rate and created perspiration, was observed in only one-third of children aged 6- to 17 -years of age. In addition, there has been a steady decline in the number of students that participate in daily physical education classes. The School Health Policies and Programs Study (2000) demonstrated only 8\% of elementary schools and $6.4 \%$ of middle/junior high schools, and $5.8 \%$ of senior high schools provide daily PE during the school year. Additionally, the percentage of schools that require physical education has declined with only $50 \%$ in grades 1 through 5 , to $25 \%$ in grade 8 , to only $5 \%$ in grade 12 (cdc.gov/shpps). The main reason cited by administrators for this decline has been budget restrictions and the need to spend more time on academics to increase standardized test performance.

In contrast there are many educators and researchers who believe that PE and physical activity positively impact the brain, learning and academic success. For example, three longitudinal studies (as cited in Shephard, 1997) in France, Australia, and Canada showed increased time in PE was associated with physical benefits and either improvements or no change in academic performance.

First, the study conducted in France involved an increase of required physical activities every afternoon, while the academic instruction was decreased by $26 \%$. The school day was lengthened and included two daily siestas and vitamin supplements. The results demonstrated no difference in academic performance between the experimental group and the controls. However, students in the experimental group were more attentive and displayed fewer discipline problems and had fewer absences than the controls.

Second, The School Health, Academic Performance and Exercise (SHAPE) (as cited in Shephard, 1997), study involved 519 fifth grade children from seven selected schools in

Australia. There was random assignment to one of three programs for 14 -weeks: fitness, skill or control. Results demonstrated a larger gain of arithmetic scores for the fitness group despite the reduction in academic time. Additionally, there were no significant intergroup differences for the fitness and skill groups in gains of mathematic or reading skills, despite the reduction in academic time. Finally, the Trois Rivieres study (as cited in Shephard, 1997), of urban and rural schools in Canada included 546 primary school students. This study also demonstrated greater academic performance by the experimental group, with the girls outperforming the boys in academic scores. The details of this study are covered later in the paper.

Due to the decrease in physical activity and physical education in schools and the increase in children who are overweight or obese, the relationship between physical activity and academic performance is important to understand. Does increasing physical activity in schools through physical education negatively or positively impact academic performance?

The purpose of this research is to investigate the relationship between physical activity and academic performance. The following sections review the benefits of physical activity, the impact of physical activity and physical education on cognitive and academic performance, and the elementary school interventions that have been conducted on the relation of physical activity, fitness, and physical education on academic performance. Physical activity benefits are discussed next.

### 1.1 BENEFITS OF PHYSICAL ACTIVITY

Regular physical activity is a factor in healthy living. According to the Surgeon General's report on physical activity and health, the benefits of regular physical activity for children and
adolescence include: building and maintaining healthy bones, muscles and joints, reducing feelings of depression and anxiety, and promotion of psychological well-being. In addition, physical activity helps control weight, reduce fat, build lean muscle, and assists academic performance (cdc.gov/nccdphp/sgr/index.htm).

Researchers and educators agree that movement is essential to learning as the brain is activated during physical activity. Therefore, by incorporating physical activity, physical education can have beneficial affects on both academic learning and physical activity patterns of students. According to several researchers, (Etnier, Salazar, Landers, Petruzzello, Han \& Nowell, 1997; Hillman, Castelli, \& Buck, 2005; Hollmann \& Struder, 1996; Ploughman, 2008; Shephard, 1997; Trudeau \& Shephard, 2010; Sibley \& Etnier, 2003) when the brain is activated during physical activity, existing brain cells are rejuvenated and new ones are stimulated. Specifically, there is an increase in cerebral blood flow, enhancement of arousal level, changing hormone secretions, and enhanced nutrient intake. The next section 1.1.1 looks at effects of physical activity on the brain, and section 1.1.2 examines physical activity effects on cognitive functioning.

### 1.1.1 Effects of Physical Activity on the Brain

Physical activity effects on the brain have been extensively researched (Etnier, et al., 1997; Ploughman, 2008; Trudeau \& Shephard, 2010; Sibley \& Etnier, 2003). Physical activity benefits for the brain have included: increase in cerebral blood flow (moderate to high intensities of exercise have shown large increases), changes in neurotransmitters (acute bouts of exercise cause changes), increases in norepinephrine and serotonin (after an acute bout of exercise and chronic exercise influences more long-term increases in neurotransmitters), and permanent structural
changes in the brain. The increases in cerebral blood flow benefit cognitive functioning due to the increased nutrient and oxygen supply to the brain. Additionally, the increases in norepinephrine found in humans are significant due to the fact that studies on rats have shown high levels of norepinephrine associated with improved memory. For example, Isaacs, Anderson, Alcantara, Black, and Greenough, (1992) conducted an experiment where rats were assigned to one of four conditions. The first group was a motor skill learning group that trained for 30 days on a obstacle course that was periodically increased in difficulty. The second group was also trained for 30 days to walk rapidly and then jog one hour each day. The third group was housed individually with a running wheel, and the activity was voluntary. The fourth group was an inactive group with identical cages as the other groups. Their results found that both the motor skill learning group and the repetitive physical activity group had permanent changes in the brain which demonstrated that physical activity and motor skill learning stimulated angiogenesis (a physiological process in the body that involves the growth of new blood vessels).

More recently a review conducted by Trudeau and Shephard (2010) highlighted the relationship of physical activity to brain health and academic performance of schoolchildren. The experimental studies on physical activity and cognition on rats and humans focused on the hippocampus which is related to memory. The focus of these investigations was on long-term hippocampal potentiation (LTP). This is a necessary process in the consolidation of memory and is characterized by an increase in synaptic efficacy. The LTP appears to be facilitated by physical activity through the following mechanisms: improved synaptic transmission, increased concentrations of neurotrophins, protection against the adverse effects of free radicals, and increased neurogenesis (development of neurons). Similar to the Isaac et al. (1992) study, Trudeau and Shephard (2010) reviewed several experimental studies showing improved synaptic
transmission after running, and faster learning of maze pathways for rats. Additionally, several adult animal studies have demonstrated increases in brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) and other growth factors in response to physical activity (Ploughman,2008; Trudeau \& Shephard, 2010). For young human adults the BDNF increases have been observed with acute bouts of physical activity. BDNF promotes neural growth and protects neurons from oxidative damage. The increase of antioxidants in the brain of trained animals has been observed, and this increase further protects the hippocampal cells from damage (Shephard \& Trudeau, 2010; Ploughman, 2008; Etnier, 1997). Also, studies in elderly humans have demonstrated that endurance physical activity is protective against cognitive decline, and the previously mentioned increase in antioxidants from the physical activity could protect the brain against functional loss (Ploughman, 2008; Shephard \& Trudeau, 2010).

The next three studies discuss functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) techniques to assess the effects of physical activity on brain functions. fMRI is a neuroimaging technique used to study brain activity to determine which structures are active during specific mental functions. The first study (Colcombe, Kramer, Erickson, Scalf, McAuley, and Cohen (2004 a,b) examined adults using the magnetic imagining techniques (fMRI) to assess brain functions prior and following a 6-month aerobic walking program for 29 sedentary older men. The men in the program were able to perform complex decision tasks more rapidly than those who did not participate. The (fMRI) detected that physical activity had modified brain function in the prefrontal cortical area of the brain that regulates and controls behavior.

Most recently, two recent studies are the first to explore changes in the preadolescent brain by use of (fMRI) techniques. Chaddock, Erickson, Prakash, VanPatter, et al. (2010) explored the association between childhood aerobic fitness and basal ganglia structure and
function. The researchers used the MRI technique to detect changes in the basal ganglia that is involved in attentional control. Their results demonstrated that higher-fit children (aged nine and 10) showed superior performance on an attention and inhibition task, and greater volumes in the basal ganglia area of the brain were observed, compared to similar aged lower fit children. The conclusion of the research was that aerobic fitness in children is connected to the increased volumes in the brain which is related to enhanced cognitive control. The second study conducted by Chaddock, Erickson, Prakash, Kim, et al. (2010), was also performed on nine- and 10- yearold children, and extended the previous research on animals and elderly adults that have demonstrated aerobic physical activity's connection to increased memory. The structure of the brain studied through the MRI was the hippocampus. Researchers compared the hippocampus volume of high versus low- fit children, and whether the differences were related to performance on an item and relational memory task. The results were consistent with previous research on animals and elderly adults in that higher-fit children demonstrated greater bilateral hippocampus volumes and superior relational memory task performance compared to the lower fit children. These findings are the first to demonstrate the positive effects of aerobic fitness on the structure and function of preadolescent brains.

Overall, the above mentioned mechanisms of increased cerebral blood flow, changes in neurotransmitters and permanent structural changes in the brain have shown a potential for enhancing learning and memory in adult rats, and in adults there is evidence that regular physical activity increases the protection for the brain against functional loss. In young animals this evidence for the benefits of physical activity on the brain have not yet been demonstrated, but it is possible that the evidence to date on adult animals may have a greater influence on the more plastic brains of young children (Trudeau \& Shephard, 2010).

In the very young children (infancy (one month to one year) through early childhood (one year to six years), research demonstrates that daily movement is crucial in brain development as sensory pathways in the brain are developing (Blakemore, 2003;Leppo, Davis, \& Crim, 2000; Sibley \& Etnier, 2003). Developing control of muscles and movement is important for the infant and child's ability to interact with her environment (Leppo et.al., 2000; Sibley \& Etnier, 2003). Movement contributes to the organization of neural circuits that develop through the process of synaptogenesis, which permits children to learn to develop control over their sensory abilities and motor functions. Cognitive functioning is also facilitated by the process of myelinization stimulated by movement. In addition, the cerebellum is affected by children's movement especially in the first few years of life as cells are forming functional circuits in the cerebellum which in turn affect spatial perception, memory, selective attention, language, handling of information, and decision making (Blakemore, 2003; Leppo et,al. 2000; Sibley \& Etnier, 2003).

The importance of physical activity for the very young and the older adults is well supported. John Ratey, (2008) integrates hundreds of scientific studies and research papers to demonstrate physical activity improves brain function at every age level. Ratey emphasizes that physical activity enables the cells in the brain to be optimal, which maintains and improves brain functioning, and therefore gives us the ability to learn and focus.

### 1.1.2 Physical Activity Effects on Cognitive Functioning

A significant positive relationship has been observed in the research on the relationship between cognition and physical activity. For example, Sibley and Etnier (2003) conducted a metaanalysis of 44 studies that showed a positive correlation with a significant overall effect size of
0.32 between physical activity and seven categories of cognitive performance (perceptual skills, intelligence quotient, achievement, verbal tests, mathematics tests, developmental level and academic readiness) among school-aged children. Additionally, the review demonstrated that all design types and different types of physical activity produced cognitive function benefits.

Examining children with and without clinical disorders, a review by Tomporowski, (2003) demonstrated positive acute exercise effects on children's behavior and cognitive performance. Cognitive performance was measured in several ways depending on the study. The variety of measures used was: letter-cancellation speed, mathematics computation, WoodcockJohnson Test, WISC-R: Digit Span coding, memory, abbreviated symptom questionnaire, selfstimulation, attention, stereotyped behaviors, classroom behavior, class disruptions, and aggression and hyperactivitiy.

More recently Tomporowski, et al. (2008) reviewed research studies that examined physical activity effects on children's intelligence, cognition, and academic achievement. The studies were evaluated in light of the executive function hypothesis. Executive function involves scheduling, response inhibition, planning, and working memory. In the studies physical activity effects on executive function in adults have experienced the most significant results out of the four types of cognition's mental processing: executive function, controlled processing, visuospational processing, and speeded processing. When the executive and non-executive cognitive processes in older adults were assessed following an aerobic training and non-aerobic toning program, there were post-training differences. The aerobic training group performed tests that required executive function more efficiently and rapidly than the non-physical activity group.

Adult research on the executive function hypothesis can be extended to predict the physical activity related improvements in children's cognitive function. The cross-sectional studies (Tomporowski, et al., 2008) reviewed by the researchers indicated that the children who were physically fit performed cognitive tasks more rapidly and displayed greater mobilization of brain resources than less fit children.

For the experimental studies reviewed by Tomporowski, et al. (2008), academic achievement was the common outcome measure with the most evidence for chronic physical activity having positive effects on academic achievement. Due to variation in methods with few randomized studies, the overall conclusion from these studies was that the children's academic progress was not hindered due to the time spent in physical education.

Overall, the evidence so far indicates gains in children's mental functioning from the physical activity interventions on tasks that involve executive functions. There are many unanswered questions, for instance, if the cognitive benefits decline when the physical activity is terminated, and if there is a relationship to the type, duration, or intensity of the programs. (Tomporowski, Davis, Miller, \& Naglieri, 2008).

With the many physiological benefits of physical activity to the positive effects on brain development and cognition, it is evident that physical activity enhances learning. The next section addresses elementary school physical activity interventions.

### 1.2 ELEMENTARY SCHOOL INTERVENTIONS

In his book, Robert Fulghum, (2003) refers to everything we need to know about how to live, what to do, and how to be. Fulghum neglects to mention the importance of daily physical activity that can also be learned in kindergarten. The benefit of daily physical activity for children's health is evidence to include strenuous activity through play at recess, activity breaks and physical education classes on a daily basis. Not only does physical activity promote fitness, but recent research demonstrates its contribution to learning. Because so many physical education programs have been reduced or eliminated to make room for more academic time, researchers have studied the link between physical activity and academic achievement. The following 16 elementary school intervention programs examine the relationship among physical activity, physical education and academic achievement; and physical activity, physical fitness and academic achievement. (see Table 1 and 2 below).

Table 1. Summary of findings of Elementary School Interventions with physical activity, physical education, and academic achievement.
P.A.(Physical Activity), Interv.(Intervention), Acad.(Academic), E(Experimental), L(Longitudinal), Q.E.(Quasi-experimental),

| Author | Sample | Design | P.A. Intervention | Acad. Tests | Results |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mahar,et al. (2006) | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}=243 \\ & \mathrm{~K}-4^{\text {th }} \\ & 12 \text { Weeks } \end{aligned}$ | E | Energizers Pedometers | On-task Behavior | Improvement |
|  <br> Polak(1999) | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}=177 \\ & 2^{\text {nd }}-4^{\text {th }} \\ & 1 \text { day } \end{aligned}$ | E | 15 min . stretching/walking | WoodcockJohnson Test of Concentration | Improvement |
| Oja \& Jurimae (2002) | $\mathrm{N}=294$ <br> Kindergarten 2 months | E | P.A. questionnaire Eurofit test \& 3 min. endurance shuttle run test | Controlled <br> Drawing <br> Observation Test | Improvement |
| Lindner et al. (2002) | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}=1,447 \\ & 2^{\text {nd }}, 4^{\mathrm{th}}, 6 \mathrm{th} \\ & 1 \text { school year } \end{aligned}$ | E | P.A. questionnaire | School <br> Banding/grade averages/6-pt Likert-Scales rate academic potential | School banding significant/perceived acad. Performance higher/grades no association |
| Author | Sample | Design | P.A. Intervention | Acad. Tests | Results |
| Donnelly et al. (2009) | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}=1,490 \\ & \text { Baseline- } \\ & 2^{\text {nd }} \& 3^{\text {rd }} \\ & \text { End- }^{\text {dh}} \& 5^{\text {th }} \\ & 3 \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | L | PAAC(90 min per week of active academic lessons)BMI \& accelerometers/ SOFIT | Wechsler Individual Achievement Test - 30 min . | Improvement |
| Shephard, 1996 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}=546 \\ & 1^{\text {st- }-66^{\mathrm{h}}} \\ & 7 \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | L | Trois Rivieres <br> Experiment/Extra hour per day of P.A. / <br> Psychomotor Tests/ <br> Finger recognition test | Goodenough \& WISC tests/Academic Report Cards | Improvement grades 2- <br> 6 |
| Carlson et al. (2008) | $\mathrm{N}=5,316$ <br> Kindergarten 6 years | L | Minutes \& days per week of PE | Math \& Reading Tests scored on IRT Scale | No effect/Did not negatively affect academics |
| Sallis et al. (1999) | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}=330 \text { Cohort } \\ & 1 \\ & \mathrm{~N}=424 \text { Cohort } \\ & 2 \\ & 4^{\text {th }}, 5^{\text {th }}, 6^{\text {th }} \\ & 2 \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | QE | Spark Program-Health Fitness \& Skill Fitness activities/Fitnessgram/SOFIT | Metropolitan <br> Achievement <br>  <br> MAT7) <br> Self Management <br> Program | Improvement |
| Coe et al. (2006) | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}=214 \\ & 6^{\text {th }} \text { grade } \\ & 1 \text { year } \end{aligned}$ | QE | 3-day P.A. recall BMI/SOFIT | Academic Grades Terra Nova standardized Test Scores | No Effect Academic performance associated with vigorous activity/decrease acad. time not lower grades |

PAAC(Physical Activity Across the Curriculum), BMI(Body Mass Index), SOFIT(System for Observation of Fitness Instruction Time), WISC(Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children), IRT(Item Response Theory)

Table 2. Summary of findings of Elementary School Interventions with physical activity, physical fitness, and academic achievement.

CS(cross-sectional), AAU(Amateur Athletic Union), MCAS(Massachusetts Comprehensive Assessment System), BMI(Body Mass Index), ISAT(Illinois Standards Achievement Test)

| Author | Sample | Design | P.A. Intervention | Acad. Tests | Results |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grissom, JB (2005) | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}=884,715 \\ & 5^{\mathrm{th}}, 7^{\text {th }}, 9^{\text {th }} \\ & 2 \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | L | Fitnessgram | Stanford Achievement Test | Improvement |
| California Dept. of Ed. (April 2005) | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}=5^{\text {th }}-371,198 \\ & \mathrm{~N}=7^{\mathrm{h}}-366,278 \\ & \mathrm{~N}==^{\mathrm{gh}}-298,910^{*} \\ & \mathrm{~N}==^{\text {th }}-63,028^{*} \\ & 1 \text { year } \end{aligned}$ | QE | Fitnessgram | California Standards Tests | Improvement |
| Author | Sample | Design | P.A. Intervention | Acad. Tests | Results |
| Chromits et al. (2009) | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}=1,841 \\ & 4^{\mathrm{th}}, 6^{\mathrm{th}}, 7^{\mathrm{th}}, 8^{\mathrm{th}} \\ & 1 \text { year } \end{aligned}$ | CS | Fitnessgram AAU fitness tests BMI | MCAS | Improvement |
| Castelli et al. (2007) | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}=259 \\ & 3^{\text {rd }}, 5^{\text {th }} \\ & 1 \text { year } \end{aligned}$ | CS | Fitnessgram BMI | ISAT | Improvement |
| Buck et al. (2008) | $\mathrm{N}=74$ <br> Ages 7-12 <br> 1 week | CS | Fitnessgram BMI | IQ , Stroop Color word tests | Improvement |
| Hillman et al. (2005) | $\mathrm{N}=24$ children (9.6 mean age) $\mathrm{N}=27$ adults (19.3 mean age) 1 week | CS | Fitnessgram | Stimulus <br> Discrimination Task IQ | Improvement |
| Dwyer et al. (2001) | $\mathrm{N}=7,961$ <br> Ages 7-15 <br> 3 months | CS | Physical fitness tests/ exercise \& sport questionnaire | Principal rate scholastic ability on 5point scale | Improvement |

### 1.2.1 Physical activity, physical education, and academic achievement

Physical activity and time spent in physical education related to academic performance have been assessed in several studies. The following studies include experimental, quasi-experimental, and longitudinal designs. Overall, researchers found that when students receive daily quality physical education, their rate of learning per unit of time increases. Also, there is a positive
relationship with physical education and increased academic performance, and when time is allocated for quality physical education a negative impact on academic achievement does not exist. Finally, when physical education time is reduced, improvement in academic achievement is not guaranteed (Smith \& Lounsbery, 2009).

The studies in this section are reviewed based on design: experimental, quasiexperimental, and longitudinal. Two of the experimental studies Mahar et al. (2006) and Caterino and Polak, (1999) examined the effects of physical activity on cognition. Mahar et al. (2006) assessed kindergarten (K) to fourth graders in a classroom-based physical activity program. The intervention included a daily classroom-based activity break and on-task behavior assessment. The classroom-based activity break, named Energizers, involved one 10 minute integrated (academic concepts) classroom-based physical activity conducted each day for 12 weeks. Teachers led the Energizer activities that involved an integrated grade-appropriate academic content with movement. The intervention group that received the daily Energizer activity included two classes from each grade level (K-four) except grade three had only one intervention class (nine classes). These intervention classes were randomly selected. The control group included six classes that did not receive the Energizers program.

All students wore pedometers to assess their daily in-school physical activity levels. Pedometers were given to all students at the beginning of each school day, and collected at the end of the school day. For the intervention classes ( $\mathrm{N}-135$ children) the number of steps accumulated before children performed an energizer activity, the number of steps they had after completion of the Energizers activity, and the number of steps they had at the end of the school day were recorded. For the six control classes ( $\mathrm{N}=108$ children), only the number of steps taken
at the end of the school day was recorded. The children or teachers recorded the steps for the intervention and control classes, and all knew the purpose of the pedometers.

The second part of the intervention was an assessment of on-task behavior. Two randomly selected third and fourth grade classes were assessed for one week. On-task behavior was defined as verbal or motor behavior that followed class rules and was appropriate to the learning situation. Off-task behavior was coded as either motor off-task, noise off-task, or passive/other off-task. A multiple-baseline across-classrooms design was used to assess whether participation in the Energizer activities affected the intervention group's on-task behavior during academic instruction. The trained observers randomly selected which students to observe each week. The students and teachers were not aware of who was being observed at a given time.

The results demonstrated an increase in both daily in-school physical activity and on-task behavior during academic instruction for the intervention group. Specifically, the intervention classes averaged approximately 782 more daily in-school steps than the control classes. There was a statistical significance ( $\mathrm{P}<0.05$ ) between the intervention and control classes in school steps, and the size of the difference was moderate ( $\mathrm{ES}=0.49$ ). On-task behavior improved by eight percent between the pre-Energizers and post-Energizers ( $\mathrm{P}<0.017$ ), and the size of the difference was moderate ( $\mathrm{ES}=0.60$ ). Additionally, the least on-task students also improved in on-task behavior by 20\% ( $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ ) with ES=2.20 (Mahar, 2006). A limitation of the study included teacher bias for those that supported increasing physical activity during the school day, in rating classroom behavior. Another limitation was that observers knew if students had received the Energizers activities. The next experimental study focused on the affect of physical activity on a test of concentration.

Caterino and Polak (1999) examined these effects of physical activity on second (54), third (71) and fourth (52) grade children utilizing the Woodcock-Johnson test of concentration. The children were randomly assigned to either the intervention group (physical activity) or control group (classroom activity).

The physical activity group participated for one day in 15 minutes of stretching and aerobic walking in the gymnasium. Immediately following the physical activity they reported to the library for the test of concentration. The Woodcock-Johnson Test of Concentration (3 minute timed test), consisted of 19 picture symbols where five of the 19 must be matched to an identical criterion symbol. In the 30 rows of picture symbols, students matched the criterion picture symbol by crossing out five identical pictures in that row. The classroom activity group did not participate in the physical activities in the gymnasium. First, the students participated in teacher prepared lessons that followed the normal school curriculum. After classroom instruction, they went to the library for the test of concentration only.

The results demonstrated that overall the physical activity groups had significantly higher concentration scores than the classroom activity groups. Also, the fourth grade children, after participating in the physical activity, had significantly better performance than children in the other grades. There were no differences observed for the second and third graders. The researchers concluded that physical activity prior to a test of concentration did not interfere with performance on the test. Overall, the intervention students had significantly higher scores than the control students. Limitations of the study included a small sample size, one day intervention, and no consideration of sex or socio-economic status. Additionally, the school was a private school where many of the students achieved high academic scores. Therefore there was not a variety of academic scores. (Caterino \& Polak, 1999).

The next two experimental design studies were both conducted in 2002, and they used physical activity questionnaires. First, Oja and Jurimae (2002) assessed different groups of kindergarten children in Tartu, Estonia. The study was conducted over two months, and the objective was to study the relationships between physical activity, motor ability, and school readiness in 6-year old children. There were 294 kindergartners studied (161 boys; 157 girls) from eight different kindergartens in Tartu. All of the children were of Estonian origin, and body mass index was calculated for each child. The parents supplied the information on daily physical activities through a questionnaire for indoor and outdoor activities in minutes, and the children participated in two obligatory PE lessons per week conducted by a PE teacher. The classroom and PE teacher recorded the child's in-school activities both inside and outside. Both parents and teachers recorded level of intensity as either low to moderate (heavy breathing not expected) or moderate to vigorous (heavy breathing). Motor tests were used from the Eurofit test battery, and a controlled drawing observation was used as a predictor of school readiness and mental development. The controlled drawing test included three parts: 1) preparation of the sheet of paper for drawing, 2) drawing mathematical figures (lines, triangles, circles, and squares), and 3 ) drawing everyday objects.

The results demonstrated significant differences between boys and girls on all evaluated physical activities except for outdoor activities on weekdays and weekends. Girls had a higher total time and percentage of indoor activities which were moderate to vigorous. This is not typical, but the researchers believe the six year old girls had an advantage due to the fact that most of the girls were participating in aerobic activity or dancing lessons. A multiple regression analysis was used with the independent variables being physical activity scores and motor test scores, and the dependent variable was the Control Drawing Observation scores. The results
showed that various physical activities accounted for 19-25\% in the variance of Control Drawing Observation scores, and motor fitness items accounted for 17-21\% in the variance. Also, children with the highest level of physical activity had better scores on the subtest of fine motor control. Overall, the researchers concluded that indoor physical activities during the week were more related to school readiness than outdoor activities for both boys and girls. Additionally, motor tests that are demanding of children's total concentration (Controlled Drawing Observation) appear to be closely related to school readiness (Oja \& Jurimae, 2002). This study showed how physical education is important in helping to improve children's critical thinking skills, and it demonstrated that physical activities are important in attention, concentration and school readiness. Limitations of the study were small sample size, Duration of intervention only two months, and accuracy of parent's reporting on physical activity questionnaire. The next study uses objective measures of scholastic achievement, and the effect of banding (academic tracking).

The relationship between academic performance and physical activity participation using the effect of banding and objective measures of scholastic achievement was assessed in both boys and girls. The students were grouped into high (more than one-half standard deviation above the mean hours per week), medium, and low (below one-half standard deviation below the mean) participation time groups in order to answer the questions: (a) Is there a relationship between academic performance and activity participation, and is school band a factor in this relationship? (b) Are there differences in physical activity participation between students attending differently banded schools? (c) Do students who participate extensively in physical activities have better academic results (actual or perceived) than students who participate less? (Lindner, 2002, pg.157)

The sample included 1,447 children in grades two, four, and six. The boys (736) and girls (711) were evenly distributed over the three grade levels sampled. From the cooperating schools two or more classes were randomly selected from each of the grade levels of two, four, and six. Grades from the past year were provided by the schools that completed the physical activity questionnaire. The physical activity questionnaire included: questions on the student's participation in sport and physical activity during the 1998-1999 school year (type, frequency, and duration of up to 5 activities, question to rate their own academic potential and performance in the past year using a 6-point Likert-type scales, and biographical information).

The analysis was performed separately for boys and girls due to girl's value for academic performance being greater than their value for sport participation, and the reverse is the case for the boys. Results showed that students from the higher-banded schools had greater participation time than lower-band students. Also, the perceived academic performance and perceived potential was higher for students with more participation time in physical activity, especially for the males. There was no relationship found for the middle and high band students, and a slight negative relationship for low-band students. In addition, when considering actual academic grades and banding, there was no association found. The researchers concluded that there was a slight positive link between academic performance and activity participation due to the higherband students who had significantly better school results. These students also demonstrated more participation in physical activities in terms of time commitment when compared to the lowerband students. This was significantly more so for the boys (Lindner,2002). This study is important because of the positive link between academic performance and the observed physical activity participation. However, a limitation of the study is that different school bands may be encouraged to participate differently. In addition, high-band schools usually have better sports
programs and facilities than the low-band schools. Therefore there may be more encouragement for participation in sports and physical activity for the high-band schools. The next three studies are longitudinal studies that look at time spent in physical activity compared to academic achievement.

The first longitudinal study to be reviewed was conducted in 2009, and assessed changes in a curriculum that promoted physical activity, and looked at the affects on body mass index (BMI) and academic achievement scores (Donnelly et al., 2009). Physical Activity Across the Curriculum (PAAC) was a three-year cluster randomized controlled trial. Twenty-six elementary schools in Northeast Kansas were cluster randomized to PAAC as intervention or control group. PAAC promoted 90 minutes per week of moderate to vigorous physically active academic lessons, delivered by the classroom teacher intermittently throughout the school day. The primary outcome was BMI (height and weight collected at the beginning and end of all three years), and the secondary outcomes were daily physical activity (accelerometers worn four consecutive days (two weekdays and two weekend days) and academic achievement (Wechsler Individual Achievement Test administered for 30-minutes). The intensity of the physical activity was monitored through the System for Observation of Fitness Instruction Time procedure (SOFIT). The participants were in grades two and three, and they were followed to grades four and five. All of the students in the intervention schools received PAAC, while the control schools received only regular classroom instruction (Donnelly et al., 2009).

Twenty-four schools completed the study and overall change in BMI was significant only from baseline to end of the three year study. The PAAC school children exhibited $27 \%$ greater levels of moderate to vigorous intensity compared to control school children. For academic achievement, significant improvements were observed in the PAAC schools for composite,
reading, mathematics, and spelling scores. It was also demonstrated that teachers who modeled physical activity in the PAAC lessons had greater SOFIT scores, which meant their students were more active than the students with teachers at lower levels of modeling physical activity. One limitation of the study was self-report by teachers of continuation of PAAC after the intervention. An objective report should be used in the future. Also, 20\% of the school days were missed due to assemblies, field trips, etc., and this limited exposure to PAAC. In conclusion, the study found that the PAAC program promotes daily physical activity and academic achievement in elementary school children (Donnelly et al., 2009). This study is important because it demonstrates that classroom teachers can effectively increase students' daily physical activity at a low cost while teaching academic lessons.

The next two studies, Shephard (1996) and Carlson et al. (2008) assessed student's academic data and compared it with time spent in physical activity through physical education. Shephard reviewed the Trois Rivieres Experiment that he conducted with Dr. Hugues Lavallee in the Province of Quebec between 1970 and 1977. The design was quasi-experimental and longitudinal and involved 546 primary school students. The experimental students (grades onesix) received an extra hour per day of additional physical activity taught by a physical activity specialist. The control students were from the same schools and received the same academic environment but spent 13-14\% more time on academic instruction. Additionaly, there were 2,282 academic report cards (884 urban school report cards, 1398 rural school report cards) evaluated of primary school students in the St. Maurice region of Quebec. The academic tests were the Goodenough and Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children (WISC). The Goodenough required students' to draw a man, and they are judged on 73 items pertaining to accuracy, detail, perspective and proportion of the man drawn. The WISC is an intelligence test comprised of ten
core subtests and five supplemental ones. The psychomotor tests included perception of body size and perception of vertical. The Nadine Galifret-Granjon finger recognition test was also administered. Cognitive and psychomotor measurements were taken annually.

Results demonstrated that in the first year the control students on average had better grades than the experimental students. However, in grades two through six the experimental students out-performed the control children, which was significant in grades two, three, five and six in the cognitive tests. Additionally, the girls outperformed the boys in all grades. Several limitations and explanation of the results were discussed. First, the experimental students performed better academically in grades assigned by homeroom teachers, thus indicating a possible "halo" effect. It was reported that $80 \%$ of the teachers favored the program, and $20 \%$ of teachers had a neutral attitude. However the authors explained that in any given year the homeroom teachers assigned grades for either experimental or control group, and they were unaware that the experiment had more of a positive impact on the academic performance of girls than the boys. Secondly, it was difficult to determine if homeroom teacher's quality of instruction was better in the years of the experimental program. Lastly, the physical activity program may have aided the students in more attention to instruction at the end of the day, and the increase in motor skills and body build may have improved self-esteem. This could have led to more of a desire to learn and better classroom behavior. However, since all students were exposed to the same program the authors argue strongly against this explanation. The teachers did have a daily one-hour break during these years, and possibly they returned to class better prepared and rejuvenated. The teachers may have assigned higher grades to students that they viewed to be in the better program. The researchers concluded that the rate of academic learning
per unit of class time is enhanced in the students who participated in daily physical activity even though they spent less time in academics (Shephard, 1996).

Carlson et al. (2008) examined the data from the Early Childhood Longitudinal Study (kindergarten class of Fall 1998 through Spring 2004). The sample consisted of 5,316 kindergarten through fifth grade students, and examined the association between time spent in physical education and academic achievement. The time spent in physical education was collected by the classroom teachers, and the academic achievement (math and reading) assessments were collected, and Item Response Theory (IRT) scale scores were calculated for each child. The IRT scale scores represent estimates of the number of items students would have answered correctly at each point in time for all assessment questions on reading and mathematics. The measurement of academic achievement was a standardized test administered at five time points and included a reading and math test. Time spent in physical education was recorded by the classroom teachers who reported the number of times per week, and the minutes per day students participated in physical education. The groups were labeled low (0-35 minutes per week), medium (36-69 minutes per week), and high (70-300 minutes per week).

Results on time spent in physical education showed students had physical education one to two times per week. The most common reported duration was 16-30 minutes for kindergarten and first grade, and 31-60 minutes for third and fifth grade. The large database was a strength of the study, however statistical weights did not adjust for the largest source of missing data, which was time in physical education. The absence of this data may have biased the sample because students with complete data were more likely to be White and less likely to be Black, and their family income was more likely to be more than $\$ 75,000$ and less likely to be less than $\$ 25,000$. Another limitation was there was not any indirect measurement of concentration,
memory, or classroom behavior. Additional limitations were lack of valid measurements on time in physical education, quality of physical education, and there were no yearly time points as data was not collected in second and fourth grades. The overall results demonstrated a small significant academic benefit in mathematics and reading for girls in the higher amount of PE group (70-300 min. per week) versus the lowest exposure ( $0-35$ minutes). There was no association observed for the boys (Carlson, et al., 2008).

These previous two studies are important because they demonstrate that health and physical activity related benefits can occur for primary school children without negatively impacting academic achievement. Therefore, the researchers have demonstrated that PE should be promoted by all for the benefits, and schools should strive to meet the national health objectives for daily PE.

The next two studies are quasi-experimental and examine the influence of physical activity and physical education on academic achievement. First, Sallis, McKenzie, Alcaraz, Kolody, Faucette, \& Hovell (1999) conducted a randomized study of physical education over two years with a program called Sports, Play, and Active Recreation for Kids (Project Spark). The sample came from a single school district in Southern California, and enrollment for the program included seven, kindergarten to grade five, schools with 955 students total. The students were randomly assigned to one of three conditions: specialist condition (certified PE specialist), trained teacher condition (classroom teacher trained by research staff), or control condition (classroom teacher conducted usual PE program). There were two consecutive cohorts of students that entered the study as fourth graders. The final sample size was $\mathrm{n}=330$ in Cohort 1 and $\mathrm{n}=424$ in Cohort 2. Achievement test data was available at post-test for 754 students in the fifth and sixth grades.

The Spark Program included physical activity in and out of school three days a week, with lessons that lasted 30 minutes and contained health-fitness activity ( 15 min .) and skill fitness activity (15 min.). Also included was a self-management program that emphasized teaching student's behavior change skills taught in weekly 30- minute classroom sessions. Selfreport and fitness measures were collected at the beginning and the end of each school year. Specifically, self-reported physical activity for out-of-school was assessed with a one day recall, and out-of-school physical activity was monitored one weekday per semester and one weekend per school year with an accelerometer. For fitness and anthropometric measures, the FitnessGram with adaptations was used. The System for Observing Fitness Instruction Time (SOFIT) was used to observe physical education classes each year for two weeks. Academic scores were measured using the Metropolitan Achievement Tests (MAT6 and MAT7, Psychological Testing Corporation, 1990). These two tests are norm-referenced tests that provide scores for reading, mathematics, language, and a composite score known as the Basic Battery. The control schools participated in the usual PE program and did not begin the Spark Program until completion of the research. The objective was to evaluate the two- year health-related physical education program by looking at the effects on academic achievement with standardized tests.

Results showed students in the trained teacher condition in both cohorts performed better than students in the control condition on three scales. Reading, language, and the summary score were all favorably affected by the trained teacher condition. Mathematics was the only score that did not show an effect. Limitations of the study included a high-achieving school district which limits the variety of students and the splitting of the sample into Cohort 1 and 2 decreased the statistical power, but the separate analyses did show a replication of positive effects. Overall, the Spark program was found to have enhanced academic achievement and promote self-
management among students outside school hours (Sallis et.al., 1999). This program is important because the results support the need for a certified physical education specialist for all grade levels. The physical education specialists were more successful than the trained classroom teachers in three areas. They spent more time with the students in physical education classes, provided more physical activity for students, and they enhanced fitness in female students. For the teacher-training program, the elementary classroom teachers taught more physical education and provided more physical activity for the students than the control teachers. Therefore, effective teacher training and support can help to increase physical activity for children in the classroom. Finally, the results showed no negative effects on academic achievement. The final quasi-experimental study to be discussed assessed physical activity levels of intensity on academic achievement.

Coe, Pivarnik, Womack, Reeves, \& Malina (2006) determined the effect of PE class enrollment and overall physical activity on academic achievement of sixth grade students over the course of one year. A sample of 214 students from one public school in Michigan were randomly assigned to one of four teams and then placed into one of two groups. The students were randomly assigned to first or second semester physical education class. The semester that the students did not have physical education they were enrolled in one of the exploratory classes (art or music). One teacher from each of the core academic classes was assigned to a team of students. These teachers were in charge of the exploratory classes, and all classes met every day for 55 minutes to ensure all students received the same amount of increased physical activity, or time in the exploratory classes. There were two physical education teachers that taught the physical education class and one classroom teacher for each of the exploratory classes. Each student was assessed at the beginning of the school year, middle, and end of school year. The
following information was collected at these three times: the SOFIT, BMI, and three-day physical activity recall. Academic achievement was based on the individual scores in the core classes by converting the letter grades to numeric data. Also, a standardized test score (Terra Nova norm-referenced nationally standardized achievement test) was used.

The results of the study showed a significant difference between groups in BMI. The midpoint and posttest data is presented for BMI. The academic achievement scores and Terra Nova standardized test scores were not affected by physical education class enrollment. However, the students that succeeded in performing vigorous physical activity (according to the Healthy People 2010 guidelines) in both groups achieved higher academic scores compared with the students in the exploratory groups, both the first and second semesters (Coe et al., 2006) It was determined by the SOFIT assessment that an average of 19 minutes of the 55-minute physical education class period was spent in moderate to vigorous activity. Therefore, it was concluded that this low level of activity may not be adequate for influencing academic achievement. The main limitation of the study was that Socio-economic status data was not collected. The authors concluded that academic performance was associated with vigorous but not moderate physical activity and decreased classroom time did not result in a lower academic performance (Coe et al., 2006). This study is important because it demonstrates the importance of increased levels of physical activity and the potential for vigorous physical activity to affect academic achievement. Additionally, the results concur with the above three studies of Carlson et al. (2008), Shephard, (1996), and Sallis et al. (1999) indicating that increased time in physical activity through physical education did not decrease academic achievement.

In summary, the above reviewed studies demonstrate that whether physical activity is increased through the classroom or physical education classes, the overall result is an increase in
academic achievement. Additionally, when time is allocated for quality physical education there are no detrimental effects on academic achievement. Finally, recent evidence points to the quantity (time) and quality (intensity) of physical activity. Four published reviews (Kirkendall, 1986; Sibley \& Etnier, 2003; Taras, 2005; Tomporowski, 2003) examine the relationship between quantity and quality of physical activity and academic performance. In the above Coe et al. (2006) study more vigorous physical activity was more strongly associated with academic achievement than lower intensity physical activity. Tomporowski, (2003) referred to several studies that found improvements in cognitive performance after acute bouts of vigorous physical activity, and improvements in academic performance with acute bouts of physical activity at different lengths of time. Sibley and Etnier, (2003) also found positive effects on the quality and quantity of physical activity on cognition through a meta-analytic review of experimental and quasi-experimental studies. Overall these authors found all design types and physical activity types to have positive effects on cognition (Smith \& Lounsbery, 2009).

Physical fitness levels have also been related to academic achievement. The next section of the paper will address research in elementary schools that focus on physical activity, physical fitness and academic achievement.

### 1.2.2 Physical activity, physical fitness, and academic achievement

There has been less research on the relationship of physical fitness and academic achievement, and most of these studies have used cross-sectional and correlational designs. A weakness in these designs is that causality cannot be inferred from the data that physical fitness increased or improved academic achievement. Also, there has been little research in this area due to the fact that it is difficult to obtain a large sample of students, and valid and reliable measures for both
physical fitness and academic achievement on the same subjects. However, the following studies included in this review have found correlations between physical activity, physical fitness and improved academic performance along with other cognitive performance measures. The following studies include longitudinal, cross-sectional, and correlational.

The California Department of Education (2002), as reported by Grissom (2005), confirmed a strong relationship between physical fitness and academic performance. The study used the Fitnessgram (six-faceted measure of fitness), and student mathematics and reading scores from the Stanford Achievement test ( $9^{\text {th }}$ edition), a standardized norm-referenced test. The Fitnessgram measures aerobic capacity, body composition, abdominal strength and endurance, trunk strength and flexibility, upper body strength and endurance, and overall flexibility. Performance for the fitnessgram is classified as: 1 ) in the healthy fitness zone (HFZ) or 2 ) needs improvement. Students must meet all of the fitness standards to be considered fit, and the possible test scores range from: zero (none of the test scores were met) or 6 (all of the test scores were met or exceeded). The academic scores were matched with fitness scores of 884,715 students in grades five, seven, and nine of the California public school system in 2002. In the spring of 2001 the California Department of Education (CDE) began reporting the Physical Fitness Test (PFT) results each year for students in grades five, seven, and nine. This longitudinal study used data from the Spring 2001 and 2002 tests, and sample sizes for 2001 and 2002 were 634,112 and 884, 715 students. The sample size increased in 2002 because of increased PFT participation, and therefore because of the increase in sample sizes this study reports the 2002 results.

The results demonstrated that as the overall PFT score improved, the mean SAT/9 reading and mathematics normal curve equivalent (NCE) scores also improved consistently. In
addition, the analysis revealed a statistically significant positive linear relationship between fitness and achievement. A statistically significant interaction between fitness and achievement was greater for females than males. Also, the relationship between fitness and achievement was stronger for higher socioeconomic status (SES) students than low SES students. Researchers acknowledge that the results should be examined with caution as this data did not infer that physical fitness causes academic achievement to improve. Also, another limitation is that higher SES is generally associated with better health and higher academic achievement. In addition, the researchers viewed this as a preliminary study, the influence that physical and mental processes have on one another is ongoing (Grissom, 2005). A follow-up study conducted in 2005 found similar results from over one million children's scores gathered in 2004 on the California Standards Test and physical fitness tests that measured aerobic capacity, body composition, strength, and flexibility. The fitness scores of children in grades fifth, seventh, and ninth were very strongly correlated with academic achievement, and the girls had a stronger relationship than the boys. The limitations were the same as for the previous study discussed (California Department of Education, April, 2005).

A more recent cross-sectional study with a large number of subjects ( $\mathrm{N}=1,841$ ) examining physical fitness related to academic achievement was conducted in a racially and economically diverse urban public school district in Massachusetts (Chomitz et al., 2009). School record data of standardized test scores, fitness, and BMI information for students enrolled in grades fourth, sixth, seventh, and eighth during the 2004-2005 academic years were included. Academic achievement was measured with the Massachusetts Comprehensive Assessment System (MCAS) which includes Math and English components. Fitness was measured by the number of physical fitness tests (endurance cardiovascular test, abdominal strength test,
flexibility test, upper body strength test, and an agility test) passed out of five from the Amateur Athletic Union (AAU) and Fitnessgram. Body Mass Index was measured between March and April of 2005. Gender and SES was provided by the school administration records. The overall results showed a significant positive relationship between fitness and Math and English academic scores, with a stronger correlation with Math achievement. The limitations of the study include the cross-sectional design means the results do not indicate causality, and the fitness data was collected for curricular reasons, so the reliability of the data is unknown. Also, although known confounders were accounted for in relation to physical fitness and academic achievement, it is possible that unmeasured confounding factors explain the results. These results are similar to the previous studies mentioned above and encourage support for increased opportunities for physical activity throughout the school day (Chomitz et al., 2009).

A correlational cross-sectional study with smaller numbers of children found positive relationships between fitness and academic achievement. Castelli, Hillman, Buck, and Erwin, (2007) replicated the California 2002 findings. The researchers analyzed the relationship between components of physical fitness (aerobic capacity, muscle fitness, and body composition), with academic achievement (mathematics and reading) on the Illinois Standards Achievement Test (ISAT), during a single school year. Four schools were selected out of 11 in a single school district with an effort to balance socioeconomic and academic performance in the sample. Of the four schools selected two schools had $76.3 \%$ of the students meet or exceed the standard in mathematics and $86.4 \%$ in reading. The researchers considered these two schools to be academically effective, whereas the other two schools had only $46.2 \%$ of the students meet or exceed the standard in mathematics and $40.4 \%$ in reading. The two academically effective schools had $24.3 \%$ of students that received free/reduced lunches/breakfast. The other two
schools students that received free/reduced meals were $66 \%$. Overall, $50 \%$ of the study sample received free/reduced lunches. Addtionally, despite socio-cultural variables, each student received the same amount of physical activity within the school day.

For the study's subjects and physical fitness test included were 259 third and fifth graders (mean age 9.5), that completed five components of the Fitnessgram physical fitness test and two content areas of the ISAT. The ethnic distribution was 78\% Caucasian, 12\% African American, $5 \%$ Asian, $3 \%$ Hispanic, and 2\% other. The results demonstrated that several of the fitness tests, particularly aerobic capacity, were positively related with academic achievement in reading and mathematics throughout the four schools. In addition, BMI was positively related to achievement in reading and math, while muscle strength and flexibility fitness were unrelated to achievement test performance. For all the schools, whether considered academically effective or not, a low BMI and higher aerobic fitness level positively related to mathematics and reading achievement. Overall, even with the variables such as age, sex, school characteristics and poverty index, the children with higher levels of physical fitness were more likely to have higher standardized test scores in reading and mathematics. This notion of physically fit students performing better on standardized academic tests is in support of the study conducted by the California Department of Education in 2001 and 2004. The limitations of the study discussed included first, that student motivation may have accounted for some of the variance between physical fitness and academic performance. Also, the field tests used for physical fitness measures have restrictions on the evaluation of fitness in children, and the sample was not random which prohibits generalization to other populations (Castelli et al., 2007).

The next two cross-sectional studies to be reviewed were small samples of pre-adolescent children who were assessed on the Fitnessgram and different cognitive measures. First, Buck,

Hillman, and Castelli, (2008) examined the correlation between physical fitness (Fitnessgram) and cognition (IQ and Stroop Color word tests) for children between seven- to 12-years of age. There were 74 children (mean age 9.3, sd 1.4, 41 males, 33 females) recruited from the Champaign County, Illinois community. The socioeconomic status of the children was determined by Trichotomous Index (based on the highest level of education obtained by mother and father, participation in free or reduced-price lunch program, and the number of parents who worked full-time). A composite Intelligence Quotient (IQ) score measuring vocabulary and fluid thinking was obtained through the Kaufman Brief Intelligence Test (K-BIT). The entire Fitnessgram was used to assess physical fitness and included the Progressive Aerobic Cardiovascular Endurance Run (PACER), push-ups, curl-ups, sit and reach, and BMI. The Stroop Color and Word Test had three conditions (word, color, in-congruent color-word pairs), and participants read aloud as many items as possible in 45 seconds.

The results found those who have increased levels of aerobic fitness performed better on the Stroop task performance. During each of the three conditions on the Stroop color-word task, the children that performed more laps on the PACER test read more stimuli correctly than those that ran fewer laps. It was also found that older children and the younger children with higher IQ performed better on the Stroop task. Limitations of the study include the cross-sectional design which indicates the differences between the high- and low-fit children may be due to other factors. Additionally, selection bias, non-randomized interventions, use of field tests rather than objective measures, and the possibility that reading ability impacted the stroop task performance were discussed as possible limitations. The researchers concluded that increased levels of fitness may be beneficial to cognition during brain development, and therefore fitness may provide cognitive benefits during preadolescence (Buck et al., 2008).

Second, Hillman, Castelli, and Buck, (2005) attempted to determine whether a high level of fitness was associated with better cognitive performance. Both children ( $\mathrm{n}=24$; mean age=9.6 yr ) and adults ( $\mathrm{n}=27$; mean age=19.3) were assessed. The participants were put into one of four groups based on Fitnessgram scores: high-fit children, low-fit children, high-fit adults, and lowfit adults. The Fitnessgram was used to assess fitness, and the cognitive task was a neuroelectric (electrodes placed above and below the right orbit and at the outer canthus of each eye to record bipolar eye movements) and behavioral responses to a stimulus discrimination task. The electrode sites were ( $\mathrm{Fz}, \mathrm{Cz}, \mathrm{Pz}, \mathrm{Oz}$ ) and the waveforms for each group were measured to target and nontarget stimuli. P3 amplitude indicates larger population of neurons being recruited for a task, and P3 latency indicates the time point of the maximum amplitude. If the P3 amplitude is increased, and the P3 latency decreases during a visual discrimination task, this indicates a superior neuroelectric profile. The measures of fitness in the Fitnessgram included aerobic capacity (PACER), muscle fitness (push-ups and curl-ups), flexibility fitness (sit and reach test), and body composition through BMI score. The discrimination task involved a visual odd-ball task that required the participants to press a button with their right thumb as quickly as possible to an infrequently presented target stimulus. The target stimulus was a black and white line drawing of a cat, and the nontarget stimulus was a black and white line drawing of a dog. The children were required to press the button with their right thumb when they saw the target stimulus, the drawing of the cat. No response was required for the nontarget stimulus, the drawing of a dog. The stimulus was presented in random order for 200 milliseconds (ms) in three minute blocks of 150 trials with a two- minute rest period in between blocks. Intelligence quotient (IQ) through the K-BIT, and SES were also collected.

Results showed that high-fit children had greater P3 amplitude compared with low-fit children and high- and low-fit adults. This increase in amplitude for higher fit children suggests a greater portion of attention and working memory resources related to the stimulus processing. Also, the high-fit adults and children had faster P3 latency compared with low-fit participants at the Oz site. This faster latency means they had faster neurocognitive processing and therefore, faster reaction times. Even though the adults had faster reaction times than the children, the high-fit children had faster reaction time than low-fit children. Limitations of the study were the cross-sectional design, small sample size, and a field test of aerobic fitness was used instead of an objective measure of fitness (Hillman, et al., 2005).

The last cross-sectional study to be reviewed assessed fitness measures and physical activity using a fitness test and questionnaire with academic achievement (five-point scale) in students aged seven-15 years. Dwyer, Sallis, Blizzard, Lazarus, and Dean, (2001) examined the sample of 7,961 school children from the Australian Schools Health and Fitness Survey conducted in 1985. There were 500 students placed in each age/sex stratum drawn from 109 schools (i.e. 10 girls and 10 boys per school). The principal scholastically rated students with a five-point scale (excellent, above average, average, below average). The physical fitness tests included indoor measurements of height and body mass, standing long jump, sit-ups, push-ups, sit and reach, dynamomety, skin folds and lung function. Outdoor measurements of fitness included a 50-meter sprint, 1.6 kilometer run, and Monark cycle ergometer with three ascending power outputs each of three-minute duration. An exercise and sport questionnaire which included an activity grid with physical activities in the past week and demographic factors was also administered.

Results demonstrated that academic ratings were significantly correlated with fitness levels. Specifically, children of higher scholastic ability were more active, and sit-ups and standing long jump were most consistent in association with scholastic ratings (rated by principal). A significant association was also observed between academic achievement and physical activity (combination of lunchtime physical activity and minutes of physical activity the preceding week). Limitations of the study included cross-sectional design, measurement bias, confounding, motivation of subjects, only one objective fitness test, genetic factors or fetal nutrition, and non-randomized trial with short duration. Researchers concluded that since several measures of fitness were related to scholastic ability and not just one, this enhances the fitness and physical activity relationship with academic performance (Dwyer, et. al, 2001).

In summary, despite the fact that by the nature of cross-sectional and correlational designs we cannot conclude that physical fitness causes improvements in academic achievement, there are consistencies in these studies that support a strong correlation between fitness and academic achievement.

In reviewing the above 16 studies it is clear that quality physical activity through physical education/physical fitness is vital to cognitive and academic outcomes in our schools. It is apparent that higher academic performance is predicted by physical activity, but physical education needs sufficient levels of activity to make a difference. Overall, the research demonstrates that increased time in daily physical activity and in quantity and quality of physical education has been associated with physical benefits. Additionally, when daily physical activity is increased, improvements or no change in academic performance has occurred. It is important for this relationship between physical activity, physical fitness, and physical education on academic achievement to be further explored.

### 1.3 RESEARCH QUESTIONS

This research study expands the body of literature by investigating the relationship between physical activity and academic performance in a group of kindergarten children. This study is unique due to the specific focus on kindergarten children and the relationship of physical activity to academic performance in reading and mathematics as measured by the Dibels Oral Reading Fluency and Retell Fluency, and Group Mathematics Assessment and Diagnostic Evaluation (GMade). The data is also collected over the school year. The research questions are:

1. Did the children attend the physical activity session and were they physically active?
a. In order to investigate the relationship between physical activity and academic performance, it must be demonstrated that the children attended the program and were actively engaged. It was expected that the children attended the program and that they were active as demonstrated by high attendance and a significant difference between the weekly averages of pre- and post- physical activity heart rate scores.
b. A companion variable that analyzes active engagement is perceived exertion. This variable was recorded each Thursday at the end of the activity during the academic year. It was expected over time, that the children reduced their ratings of perceived exertion due to improved performance at a fixed exercise intensity.
c. Across time, it was expected that the children in the physical activity group would improve their physical activity scores on the machines. A group difference was expected between initial scores and ending scores for Dance, Dance Revolution, Xavix Power Boxing, Cateye Recumbent Game Bike Pro, and Striveis Three-kicks scores. The scores were expected to be higher at the end of the year.
2. Did the children who participate in the interactive physical activity center (IPAC) perform better academically?
a. The children who participate in the IPAC were expected to perform better than the control group on the: Dibels Oral Reading Fluency and Retell Fluency, Group Mathematics Assessment and Diagnostic Evaluation (G-Made).
3. Do the children who participate in the IPAC have fewer school absences compared to the control group?
a. It was expected that the participants of the IPAC would have fewer school absences than the control group due to their interest in the activities.

### 2.0 METHODS

### 2.1 DESIGN

This longitudinal study used a non-equivalent control group design to investigate the relationship between physical activity and academic achievement in kindergarten children. There were two components to this research: participation in IPAC and academic performance. Prior to examining the difference in academic performance, the participation level of the children engaged in IPAC was examined. The design was within group (beginning and ending of school year). The dependent variables explored included IPAC attendance, IPAC machine scores, heart rate, and perceived exertion. To examine the effects of physical activity on academic performance the independent variable was participation in the IPAC. The academic scores included assessment of reading (Dibels Oral Reading Fluency and Retell Fluency) and mathematics (Group Mathematics Assessment and Diagnostic Evaluation (G-Made). Differences in school attendance were also examined.

### 2.2 SAMPLE SELECTION

The data was provided by a rural public elementary school in Western Pennsylvania which included a total of 96 kindergarten students. The physical education teachers asked the author to
analyze the data. An exempt existing data Institutional Review Board (IRB) was approved for use of the data (see Appendix A for IRB approval). Information on the method of data collection follows.

Prior to the required kindergarten orientation, the Assistant Superintendent sent a letter to all parents of kindergarten children introducing IPAC (see Appendix B). All kindergarten children and their parents attended the kindergarten orientation August 25, 2008; they were told about the project and provided the opportunity to participate. As part of the orientation, the physical education specialists offered the parents and kindergarten children a tour of IPAC, and provided parents a handout on the details of the project (see Appendix B). Initially the parents were able to enroll their child between the orientation date and September $2^{\text {nd }}$, the starting date of the project. There were five children enrolled through this recruitment. Since the program was before school, many parents did not enroll their child due to lack of transportation. The school district is rural where a majority of the students travel at least 30 minutes into school.

Due to insufficient enrollment from the orientation, parents of the students on the early buses were contacted by the physical education specialist to determine if their interest in enrolling their child. This request resulted in an additional attendance of 19 children from the morning buses, and five children who were dropped off by their parents. There were 6 early buses that arrived at 8:20am and the last 19 that arrived at 8:50 am. All 25 buses first pick up and drop off high school students at 7:50 am, then travel at varying times and distances to pick up the elementary school students. The buses cover a 105 square mile area to pick up students. The physical education specialists were only able to recruit 9 additional students from 6 early buses, so they contacted parents from the 19 8:50 am buses and were able to recruit an additional 15 students. The parents of the 5 students that were dropped off also traveled from varying
distances and arrived at either the 8:20am or 8:50 am time. From the 25 buses and parent dropoffs, two groups of IPAC participation were formed. Group 1 consisted of 9 children that arrived at 8:20 and participated in the IPAC from 8:25am-8:50am, and Group 2 consisted of 15 children that arrived at 8:50am and participated from 8:50-9:15am. After the children finished in the IPAC they were escorted to their classrooms. The control group rode the same buses as the experimental group. Thus a total of 24 students participated in the interactive physical activity center for the duration of the 2008/09 school year.

All children in the school participated in IPAC one day per week. The children who were part of the physical activity group also participated daily in IPAC (Monday through Thursday), thus the IPAC group participated in the center four days per week, plus a session during their physical education class. Those not enrolled in the IPAC group participated only once per week from December 2008 to March 2009. All the children attended IPAC through out the year, no one dropped out of the study. All children had physical education with a specialist one day per week.

### 2.2.1 BMI, and Attendance data collection

The school nurse collected height and weight data from the children within the first month of school. The nurse then entered the data into the Prosoft computer program. Age at the time of the screening was built into the calculations to determine risk. BMI data was only collected at the beginning of the 2008/09 school year.

The Assistant Superintendent provided school attendance and BMI data to the author. Active reporting where all absences are counted and recorded with a system was conducted at the school. Tardiness is not included in the full day absence.

### 2.2.2 Equipment

The equipment used in IPAC consisted of Insta-Pulse fitness heart rate monitors, Dance Dance Revolution (DDR)-Steps Cobalt Flux, Xavix Power Boxing, Cateye Recumbent Gamebike Pro, and Striveis3-Kicks Interactive Game.

The IPAC room 20' x 40 ', was divided into two areas. Each half of the physical activity center included two stations with two Recumbent Game Bikes (four bikes), two Xavix Power Boxing stations (one each), one Striveis Three-Kick station, and two DDR stations with two pads per station. The other half of the room was identical to the first.


Figure 1. Interactive Physical Activity Center

The Insta-Pulse heart rate monitor operates automatically by grasping it with both hands for four seconds. It is battery operated, with digital display and is water resistant and shockproof. There were six Insta-Pulse heart rate monitors mounted throughout the IPAC.


Figure 2. Insta-Pulse Heart Rate Monitor
The DDR-Steps involves a dance platform, and play station for use with rhythm and dance videos. The cobalt flux dance platform includes nine foot panels (center, left, right, up, down, and corners) that contain pressure sensors for detecting steps. The platform is connected to the play station two system which contains the start and select buttons for the dance controller. The goal of the activity is to perform a set foot pattern to the rhythm of a song. The individual watches the screen and follows the arrows that scroll upwards from the bottom and pass over a stationary set of arrows near the top of the screen. The object is for the player to step on the corresponding arrows on the dance platform when the scrolling arrows overlap the stationary ones. The players are then judged on how well they time their steps to the patterns presented. The level set was music speed 1 for the song "Baby Give Me Your Love". The maximum score possible for this level was 35 perfects. All students started out on level one and some moved up to a faster song when they obtained all perfects on level one. A higher level was not recorded when they moved up. There are various levels of difficulty identified by colors and separated into three-to-five categories depending on timeline.


Figure 3. DDR Cobalt Flux
Xavix Power Boxing is an interactive television game that involves boxing a virtual person. The boxing gloves contain a reflective sheet on the outside that acts as the interface with a camera sensor that is in the system cartridge. The cartridge snaps into a small black box that contains a camera sensor that detects motion of the power boxing gloves. The box has a reset and start button and sits on the floor under the television. The players can punch, guard, and dodge the virtual opponents on the television screen in bouts similar to a boxing match. The players try to hit the moving virtual boxer on the screen. The program choices are training, exhibition or championship mode. The object of the game is similar to real boxing, which is to land as many punches on the virtual opponent as possible in three minutes. The score that was recorded was calories burned in one round of three minutes. Calories were calculated based on the amount of time the player participated in the round. If the student knocked out the virtual boxer before the round was over then a lower score for calories burned was observed than if the student boxed for the full three minutes. The knockouts were not recorded.


Figure 4. Xavix Power Boxing
The Cateye Recumbent Game Bike Pro includes a built in fitness computer that provides six hill profiles and heart-rate training programs. Players can race against each other, and the workout time can be selected. The individual steers a race car that is on the television screen with a steering wheel that is in place of handlebars. The speed of the race car is controlled by how fast the individual peddles the bike. The seat and steering wheel adjust for leg length and height and can accommodate children and adults. The criteria for adjusting the seat and steering wheel was to slide the seat to a comfortable position that enabled the kindergartener to complete a full revolution while not hitting their knees on the steering wheel. The steering wheel could be adjusted up or down dependent upon the child’s height. The Recumbent Game Bike Pro was connected to the play station two systems. The recumbent bike was set on the test course for four minutes with a distance score. The kindergarten children did not race against anyone as they were focused on going to the next open piece of equipment in order to finish all four stations.


Figure 5. Recumbent Bike
The three-kicks heavy duty machine is designed with three columns that have resilient foam pads that can be punched, kicked, tapped, or slapped with shoes or bare feet, an open palm, or fist. When the game begins a light illuminates and an audible tone is presented (the pitch of sound indicates a high, middle, or low target); when the pad is struck the light turns off and other lights on other pads illuminate randomly. The faster the movement the more points obtained. Each round is set for a certain time period. When the game begins the lighted pad remains illuminated for five seconds or until it is kicked or hit. Another pad randomly illuminates until it is also hit or kicked. One can compete against themselves, others or a team. The game moves as quickly as the players, therefore a variety of ages and skill levels can participate. The points are scored based on reaction time, and the scoring system involves time elapsed between initial lighting and striking the pads. The scoring is as follows: one second delay equals five points, two seconds equal four points, three seconds equal three points, four seconds equal two points, and five seconds equal one point. After five seconds no points are scored and another sensor randomly lights up. The goal is to score the most points in a designated time.


Figure 6: Three-Kicks Machine

### 2.2.3 Academic Measures

The academic measures are the Dibels Oral Reading Fluency (ORF), Retell Fluency (RTF), and the Group Mathematics Assessment and Diagnostic Evaluation (G-Made). The Dibels Oral Reading Fluency is a test of accuracy and fluency in passages that are read aloud for one minute. ORF is designed to identify children that may need additional support, and to monitor progress. The retell and fluency part is used to provide a comprehension check for the assessment of the ORF. The scoring for the ORF is the number of correct words per minute from the passage. If words are omitted, substituted, or if there is hesitation for more than three seconds, an error is recorded. If there is self-correction of the words within three seconds the score is counted as accurate. For the analysis Dibels’' subtests used are: Letter Naming Fluency (LNF), Phoneme Segmentation Fluency (PSF), Nonsense Word Fluency (NWF), and Initial Sound Fluency (ISF). The subtests are administered at different times in the school year. PSF and NWF are administered at the middle and end; ISF is administered at the beginning and middle; and LNF is administered at the beginning and end.

The G-Made is a diagnostic mathematics test used to measure student skills in the areas of math identified by the National Council of Teachers in Mathematics. The skills measured in the G-Made include: concepts and communication, operations and computation, and process and application. It is a norm-referenced test that helps educators identify areas where students may need further instruction. The scores that are recorded include: stanines, percentiles, grade equivalents, age equivalents, standard scores, normal curve equivalents and growth scale values. For the analysis the growth scale values were recorded because it measures math progress throughout the school year.

### 2.2.4 Buddy Training

The data collection was done by high school students (buddies) that were assigned to the kindergarten children. The ratio of high school students to kindergarten children was one high school buddy to one or two kindergarten children. Nine high school buddies worked with two children each while six worked with one child. The high school buddies were given a syllabus with responsibilities and went through training that was conducted by the two physical education specialists (see Appendix C). During training the high school volunteers were instructed in the use of all the interactive equipment in the physical activity center. This included use of the Xavix Power Boxing, DDR-Steps, Striveis 3 Kick, and Cateye Recumbent Game Bike. Additionally, the high school volunteers were trained to use the Insta- Pulse heart rate monitors, stop watches, and how to take the carotid pulse. Without the kindergarten children, they spent four mornings using the equipment, and practicing heart rate measurement via manipulation and pulse meters, learning the perceived exertion scale, and overall familiarizing themselves with the set up and equipment functions. They were also instructed on data collection which included the
procedures for recording IPAC attendance, machine scores, heart rate, and perceived exertion. Additionally, procedures for storage of clipboards, recording sheets, stop watches and pencils were covered.

Other responsibilities listed in the syllabus included: escorting kindergarten children to and from their classrooms. When a fitness buddy was absent from school, another high school buddy recorded the data. On days the child was absent, the fitness buddy would assist other peers in collecting data. On Wednesdays, all fitness buddies would transfer data from a daily sheet to a weekly sheet. On all days fitness buddies would report to physical education specialists with any problems and return data sheets to the drawer in fitness center. One physical education specialist was always present in the IPAC to supervise the high school buddies and kindergarten children.

### 2.2.5 Procedures

Data collection occurred during the 2008/09 school year. There were two groups of children. The children in Group One started September 16, 2008 while the children in Group Two started October 20, 2008. The reason for the delay in Group Two children was due to availability of high school buddies. Both groups 1 and 2 included children who arrived via bus and parental transportation. The children in the first group arrived at the school at 8:20am and met their high school buddy who assisted them in the IPAC from 8:25-8:50am (Group 1=9 children). A second group of children participated from 8:50-9:15am (Group 2=15 children).

The high school buddies met their kindergarten partner either as they left the buses or entered the lobby, and escorted them to the IPAC. They would take the child's resting carotid pulse and Insta-Pulse. First, they would take the carotid pulse by placing their first two fingers on
the child's carotid artery, counting the beats for ten seconds and record the number. Next, they would have the child grip the Insta-Pulse for four seconds, and record the Insta-Pulse readings on the data sheet. They would then start at any station that was not occupied, but the child had to participate at all four stations in the time period. The high school buddies recorded information on data collection sheets daily that included: name, gender, age, grade, before and after physical activity carotid pulse and Insta-Pulse heart rates, DDR-Steps score, Xavix power boxing calories burned, recumbent game bike distance score, striveis three - kick strikes score, perceived exertion score (Thursdays), and recorded a Large A through all the boxes if a student was absent. Daily data collection sheets were transferred to weekly data collection sheets (see Appendix D) on Thursdays (see Table 3). For perceived exertion the high school buddies asked the kindergartener how hard do you think you worked, and they pointed to the perceived exertion charts for the kindergarten child to give them a number after they completed all their stations. (see perceived exertion charts in Appendix E)

Table 3. Daily Data Collection Sheet


When the children were at the DDR-Steps station the high school buddy would set the dance video game to "Baby Give Me Your Love" at music speed one. The time the station lasted was five minutes. The score of successful step moves was recorded. At the Xavix Power Boxing station the high school buddy set the game for one three-minute round of boxing. The number of boxing calories burned was recorded. For the recumbent bike, the high school buddy
set the game on the test course for four minutes. A distance score was then recorded on the child's data sheet. The Striveis Three-Kick was set at two minutes and at the end of the time the number of points was recorded on the data sheet by the high school buddy. Thus, total participation time was 14 minutes. At the end of the fourth station the high school buddy recorded the child's after exercise carotid pulse and insta pulse reading. After completing the circuit, the data sheets were placed in the designated drawer and the kindergarten children were then escorted back to class by the high school buddies.

### 2.3 DATA ANALYSIS

Prior to examining the main hypotheses regarding the relationship between physical activity and academic performance, preliminary analyses were calculated. To determine if the children were physically active in the IPAC, a one-way ANOVA (time) examined changes in the following variables: IPAC attendance, pre-physical activity heart rate, post-physical activity heart rate, perceived exertion, and the IPAC machine scores).

The main question of the study used a non-equivalent control group design. A two-way (Group X Time) ANOVA was used to compare the academic progress of the two groups. Examination of measures of skewness and kurtosis did not reveal extreme departure from normality. The Group (physical activity, no physical activity) X Time (beginning or middle of school year, end of school year) ANOVA with repeated measures on the last factor was calculated for each of the academic dependent variables: Dibels Oral Reading Fluency and Retell Fluency, and Group Mathematics Assessment and Diagnostic Evaluation (G-Made). It was expected that the activity group would perform significantly ( $\mathrm{p}<.05$ ) better than the control group
on the academic measures of Dibels Oral Reading Fluency and Retell Fluency, and the Group Mathematics Assessment and Diagnostic Evaluation.

A one-tailed independent - samples t-test was used to compare school attendance of the two groups. It was also expected that the activity group would have better school attendance.

The control children were selected based on an individual match on gender, receiving special education and free and reduced lunch. There were four children in special education in both the experimental and control groups, and 9 children with free or reduced lunch in both the

Table 4. BMI data based on group

| Group | N | BMI Percentile Mean | SD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Experimental | 19 | 17.184 | 2.7379 |
| Control | 19 | 17.179 | 2.7636 |

experimental and control groups. For the 19 students in the experimental group who had BMI data available, controls were also matched as closely as possible on BMI. The five experimental students that did not have BMI data were matched with control group students who also did not have BMI data. The average BMI's for the experimental and control groups were similar as shown below.

Table 5. BMI data based on gender

| Gender | N | Group | BMI Percentile <br> Mean | SD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Female | 11 | Exp. | 16.636 | 2.7507 |
|  | 11 | Ctl. | 16.591 | 2.5959 |
| Male | 8 | Exp. | 17.938 | 2.7103 |
|  | 8 | Ctl. | 17.987 | 2.9532 |

A paired samples $t$-test $(t=1.436(d f=23))$ demonstrated there was no difference in age (months) between the experimental group (mean=66.29; $\mathrm{SD}=4.06$ ) and control group (mean=67.83; SD=3.95).

The children participated in the IPAC across the year. In order to analyze the data across the year, participation across the weeks was examined. The following weeks were chosen to maximize the number of children present, and to examine the same number of weeks participated in the program, for group one and group two: Weeks 1, 7, 13, 19, and 25 . The dates for these weeks for Group 1 and Group 2 are in Table 6 below. Group 1 (8:25-8:50am) and Group 2 (8:509:15am) attended the IPAC on the same days but at different times, and Group 2 started after Group 1. The IPAC was open to students in Group 1 for a total of 46 days over the first semester and 57 days over the second semester. It was open to Group 2 students for a total of 27 days over the first semester and 54 days over the second semester. Group 2 started the program one month after Group 1, therefore Group 2 did not have the opportunity to participate as much as Group 1. The dates used for data analysis for the two groups are listed in Table 6, and reflect the same week of participation at the same time in training for both groups.

Table 6. Dates for Group 1 and 2

| Week | Group 1 | Group 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | September 16, 2008 | October 20, 2008 |
| 7 | October 27, 2008 | December 1, 2008 |
| 13 | January 5, 2009 | February 16, 2009 |
| 19 | March 2, 2009 | March 30, 2009 |
| 25 | April 13, 2009 | May 11, 2009 |

For hypothesis one, "Participation in the interactive physical activity center would increase children's heart rates, and there would be improvement across time", paired t-tests were used to examine attendance at the IPAC. Additionally, to determine if the kindergarten children participated in the IPAC, changes over time in differences between pre- and post- physical activity heart rates were investigated with a two-way repeated measures ANOVA. The insta pulse data was selected due to the assumption it would be more accurate. However, the correlation for all weeks shows the insta pulse and carotid pulse data were significantly correlated ranging from .55 to .95 (see Table 7 below).

Table 7. Correlations for insta pulse and carotid pulse

|  |  | N | r | p |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Week 1 | Before | 21 | .76 | $<.001$ |
|  | After | 22 | .95 | $<.001$ |
| Week 7 | Before | 19 | .95 | $<.001$ |
|  | After | 19 | .83 | $<.001$ |
| Week 13 | Before | 23 | .91 | $<.001$ |
| Week 19 | Before | 23 | .78 | $<.001$ |
|  | After | 23 | .76 | $<.001$ |
| Week 25 | Before | 23 | .85 | $<.001$ |
|  | After | 23 | .55 | $<.001$ |

Also, for hypothesis one to determine if the kindergarten children improved across time in IPAC machine scores and their ratings of perceived exertion a one-way repeated measures ANOVA was used to evaluate changes over time within the activity group on the following variables: the average of the daily scores for each interactive video gaming machine: (dance, dance, revolution steps, boxing calories, 3-kicks, and biking time) and perceived exertion.

For the main hypothesis, to determine if the experimental group of kindergarten children who participate in the IPAC center perform better academically, a two-way Group X Time ANOVA was calculated on the Dibels Oral Reading Fluency and Retell fluency subtests Letter Naming Fluency (LNF), Phoneme Segmentation Fluency (PSF), Nonsense Word Fluency (NWF), and Initial Sound Fluency (ISF), and the Group Mathematics Assessment and Diagnostic Evaluation tests’ Growth Scale Values (GSV). Lastly, for hypothesis three to determine if the children who participate in the IPAC center have fewer school absences than the control group, a one-tailed independent-samples t-test was calculated between groups.

A summary of the data analysis for all hypotheses is in Table 8 below. Included is the measure for the IPAC, Dibels and G-Made academic measures, school absences and the tests used.

Table 8. Hypotheses 1, 2, and 3

| Hypothesis | Measure | Test |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1a. Attendance | IPAC Attendance | Paired t-tests |
| 1b. Increased HR |  <br> post-exercise HR | Two-way repeated measures <br> ANOVA |
| 1c. Increased Scores | IPAC Machine Scores | One-way repeated measures <br> ANOVA |
| 1d. Reduced perception | Perceived Exertion | One-way repeated measures <br> ANOVA |
| 2. | Dibels Subtests <br> LNF, PSF, NWF \& ISF | Two-way group X time <br> ANOVA |
| 2. | G-MADE <br> GSV Score | Two-way group X time <br> ANOVA |
| 3. | School Absences | One-tailed independent- <br> samples t-test |

### 3.0 RESULTS

This chapter is organized according to the three research questions. 1. Did the experimental group of kindergarten children attend the sessions and were they physically active during the activity sessions? Also, did they improve in the IPAC machine scores and reduce their perceived exertion? 2. Did the kindergarten children in the experimental group who participated in the physical activity center perform better academically at the end of the school year when compared to those children who did not participate in extra physical activity? 3. Did the children who participated in the physical activity center have fewer school absences compared to the group of children who did not participate?

For each research question, the significance is presented in the text and the statistical tables are in Appendix F. The probability for significance was set at .05 .

### 3.1 RESEARCH QUESTION 1

The first question to consider is whether the children attended the IPAC. Paired t-tests were calculated on attendance for Group one and two. The children enrolled in the IPAC increased center attendance throughout the year, they participated more in the second semester. The increases in IPAC attendance were significant from semester one to semester two for Group one ( $\mathrm{t}=2.60$ ), Group two ( $\mathrm{t}=6.24$ ), and both groups combined ( $\mathrm{t}=5.55$ ). For Group one there was a
$15 \%$ increase in average attendance between the first and second semesters. An even greater increase was observed in Group two between the first and second semester as they increased center attendance by $24 \%$. (see Table 9 below).

Table 9. Average and percent attendance of children at the IPAC

| Experimental Groups | Semester 1 | Semester 2 |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Group 1 | $27 / 46=58 \%$ | $41 / 57=73 \%$ |
| Group 2 | $14 / 27=52 \%$ | $41 / 54=76 \%$ |

After examining the attendance at the IPAC, the next question was whether they were active at the IPAC. To answer this question, the difference between pre-physical activity and post-physical activity heart rate was examined using a two-way (Pre/Post by week) repeated measures ANOVA. The significant main effect of Pre/Post, $(\mathrm{F}(1,17)=63.74), \mathrm{p}<.001$ showed that a post-physical activity heart rate as measured by Insta-Pulse was higher than pre-physical activity heart rate, however, there was no difference across weeks nor an interaction. (see Figure 7 below). (see Appendix F for statistical table).


Figure 7. Before/After Heart Rate by Week
The final series of analyses for research question one examines whether the children in the experimental group improved their task performance with time. This was accomplished by analyzing the performance scores on the interactive video gaming machines and perceived exertion as a measure of exercise intensity using separate one way repeated measures ANOVA over the designated weeks.

For all IPAC machine scores there were significant changes across time; DDR-steps ( $F(4$, $68)=10.98)$, boxcal $(F(4,68)=6.17)$, kicks $(F(4,68)=12.95)$ and biketime $(F(4,68)=6.27)$

In reviewing the data for DDR-steps, a follow-up pairwise comparison for averages for weeks 13,19 , and 25 were all significantly higher than week one. Additionally, the average for week 25 was significantly higher than week seven. The means consistently increased over the weeks (see Figure 8 below). (see Appendix F for statistical table).


Figure 8. DDR-Steps by Week
The data reviewed for boxcal through follow-up pairwise comparisons demonstrated the average for week 25 to be significantly higher than the averages for weeks one and seven. The means steadily increased except for a slight decrease between week one and seven (see Figure 9 below). (see Appendix F for statistical table).


Figure 9. Boxing Calories by Week

In reviewing the data for kicks through pairwise comparisons it was observed that the averages for weeks 13,19 , and 25 were all significantly higher than the average for week one. Additionally, the average for week 25 was significantly higher than week seven and week 13. The means consistently increased over the weeks (see Figure 10 below). (see Appendix F for statistical table).


Figure 10. 3-Kicks by Week

The data reviewed for biketime through follow-up pairwise comparisons demonstrated the average for week 25 to be significantly higher than the averages for weeks 13 and 19. The means steadily increased except for a slight decrease between week 7 and 13 (see Figure 11 below). (see Appendix F for statistical table).


Figure 11. Biketime by week
The analysis for perceived exertion was marginally significantly different across weeks $(F(4,24)=2.56)$ at $\mathrm{p}<.10$. From a review of the means, the perceived exertion dropped between weeks 1 and 7 followed by an increase at week 13, and then decreases at week 19 and 25 (see Figure 12 below). (see Appendix F for statistical table).


Figure 12. Session Perceived Exertion by week

### 3.2 RESEARCH QUESTION 2

The main research question of the study is whether the experimental group of kindergarten children who participated in IPAC performed better academically than the children in the control group. To decide whether univariate or multivariate analysis would be more appropriate, correlations among pre-physical activity scores on the subtests of the Dibels and GMADE were examined. The results of these correlations found that Letter Naming Fluency (LNF) and Initial Sound Fluency (ISF) were moderately correlated ( $\mathrm{r}=.475$ ), but since they were not highly correlated, these tests were analyzed separately. Since all the subtests of the GMADE were highly correlated with each other, only the Growth Scale Value (GSV) score was analyzed because it is an overall score that tracks mathematical progress throughout the school year. Additionally, the LNF and ISF beginning scores were not correlated with the initial GSV math score; therefore they were also analyzed separately (see Table 10 below). The LNF- Dibels score for the comparison between groups at the beginning and end of the year are presented next followed by the PSF Dibels score.

Table 10. Correlations of LNF \& ISF scores with GMGSV scores (beginning)

|  | GMGSV |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | r | P |
| LNF | .14 | .263 |
| ISF | .05 | .681 |

Appendix F includes results of the Group X Time ANOVA on the LNF subtest of the Dibels that was administered at the beginning and end of the school year. The Group X Time interaction was significant $(\mathrm{F}(1,18)=4.47)$, indicating that at the beginning of the school year the
control group performed better than the experimental group, but by the end of the year the experimental group reached the performance level of the control group. The main effect for time $(F(1,18)=253.27)$, was also significant indicating that the students as a whole (experimental and control groups combined) improved over time. The group main effect $(\mathrm{F}(1,18)=1.11)$ was not significant. As seen in Figure 13, the experimental group mean was lower than the control group mean at the beginning of the semester, but equal to the control group mean at the end of the semester.


Figure 13. Beginning and End of School year Means on the LNF by Group
Appendix F includes the results of the Group X Time ANOVA on the PSF subtest of the Dibels. This test was administered at the middle and end of the school year. The group main effect was not significant $(\mathrm{F}(1,20)=.66)$, however, the main effect of time was significant $(F(1,20)=58.75)$, which demonstrated that as a whole the children improved over the year. Although the interaction effect was not significant at the .05 level there was a trend ( $p=.066$ ). Average scores of the experimental and control group were different at the middle of the school year, but were similar by the end of the year. Overall, examination of means reveals that the
experimental group reached the level of the control group by the end of the school year (see Figure 14 below).


Figure14. Middle and End of School Year Means on the PSF by Group
Significant ANOVA results were also found for the NWF subtest of the Dibels, which was administered at the middle and end of the school year. The group main effect was not significant $(\mathrm{F}(1,19)=1.19)$, but the main effect of time $(\mathrm{F}(1,19)=14.68)$ and the interaction were significant $(F(1,19)=7.38)$. The greatest difference in improvement between experimental and control groups were observed for this test: a gain of 14.5 points from the middle to the end of the school year for the experimental group compared to a gain of 5.6 points for the control group. In Figure 15 the means demonstrate at the middle of the school year the control group performed better than the experimental group but by the end of the year the experimental group reached the performance level of the control group (see Appendix F for statistical table).


Figure 15. Middle and End of School Year Means on the NWF by Group
A significant main effect of time $(F(1,20)=114.47)$ was observed for the ISF subtest of the Dibels, which was administered at the beginning and middle of the school year. However, the group main effect $(\mathrm{F}(1,20)=1.84)$, and the interaction $(\mathrm{F}(1,20)=.01)$, were not significant. Gains for the experimental and control group were similar: a gain of 18.7 points for the experimental group and a gain of 18.8 points for the control group (see Appendix F for statistical table).

Since there appeared to be a difference between experimental and control groups at the beginning of the year on the LNF, PSF and NWF a paired samples $t$ - test was conducted. NWF was the only measure that had a significant difference ( $\mathrm{t}=-2.195(\mathrm{df}=19)$ ) (see Table 11 below).

Table 11. Means \& Standard Deviations for LNF, PSF, \& NWF beginning scores

|  | Mean | Standard Deviation | t | df | $\begin{aligned} & \text { P (two- } \\ & \text { tailed) } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Exp. LNF | 19.37 | 13.99 | -1.663 | 18 | . 114 |
| Cnt. LNF | 26.68 | 11.53 |  |  |  |
| Exp. PSF | 39.90 | 13.90 | -1.399 | 20 | . 177 |
| Cnt. PSF | 45.24 | 11.77 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Exp. NWF | 25.65 | 14.48 | -2.195 | 19 | . 041 |
| Cnt. NWF | 34.35 | 14.31 |  |  |  |

The G-MADE test was administered at the beginning and end of the school year. Analysis of Growth Scale Value (GSV) standard scores (beginning to end of school year) revealed a significant main effect for time $(\mathrm{F}(1,14)=20.13)$, but not a main effect for group $(\mathrm{F}(1,14)=1.19)$, or an interaction $(\mathrm{F}(1,14)=1.95)$ (see Appendix F for statistical table).

### 3.3 RESEARCH QUESTION 3

The last research question determines whether the children who participate in the IPAC have fewer school absences. It was hypothesized that the experimental children would be motivated to attend school due to participation in IPAC. In reviewing results of the one-tailed independentsamples t-test a trend existed that the children in the control group had more absences than the children in the experimental group for the school year ( see Table 12 below).

Table 12. Means \& Standard Deviations for School Absences

|  | Mean | Standard <br> Deviation | t | df | P(one-tailed) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Experimental | 8.82 | 6.78 | -1.68 | 16 | .056 |
| Control | 12.03 | 7.86 |  |  |  |

### 4.0 DISCUSSION

As children's health issues continue to rise, physical education programs are being reduced or eliminated due to the focus on more academic time in the school day. The current literature review demonstrated that when physical activity is increased through classroom participation or inclusion of physical education classes, the overall result is an increase in academic achievement. Also, when time is allocated for quality physical education there are no detrimental effects on academic achievement. Therefore, it is important that children are provided opportunities to participate in daily physical activities as the research has demonstrated a positive effect on academic performance.

This trend of rising health issues for children can be reversed by educating administrators and classroom teachers on the benefits of increasing daily physical activity. Programs can be implemented in the schools to involve children and staff in creating an atmosphere of a healthy active school. Based on the literature review and this research study, Kindergarten teachers can implement activity breaks in the classroom, brain breaks, active academics (ie. Pedometer math, fitness journals, etc...), activity calendars, walking clubs, physical activity dvds; and school wide programs such as a principal walk, partnering with physical education specialist on curriculum, after school physical activity programs, physical activity field days, walk and bike to school programs, and increasing quality and time at recess. When physical activity is increased during
the school day, there is improvement or no detrimental effect on academic achievement. Therefore, providing information and resources to schools is imperative (naspeinfo.org).

The data from this study expands the body of literature on the relationship between physical activity and academic performance in kindergarten children. In the following sections explanations for the observed results of the three research questions are discussed in addition to limitations, conclusions and future research considerations.

### 4.1 IMPROVEMENT IN IPAC SCORES

For research question 1, it was important to determine if the kindergarten children attended the activity sessions, and whether they were active. The children needed to attend and be physically active throughout the year in order to meet the requirements of the experimental condition. It was found that the children increased their attendance throughout the year in both Group 1 and Group 2, with greater attendance in the second semester. Due to holiday breaks, school assembly days, and snow days there were also more days available for participation in the spring semester as compared to the fall semester.

To determine if the children were physically active during the activity sessions, findings on pre- and post- physical activity heart rate and IPAC scores demonstrated that the children were active. The children significantly increased their heart rate during the IPAC sessions, averaged over all weeks. In addition, over time the kindergarten children in the experimental group improved their performance on the IPAC machines. Because the difficulty level of the
machines stayed the same over time, their perceived exertion scores decreased; they mastered the routines and perceived the routines to be easier with practice. The IPAC machine scores significantly changed across time with steady increases in the means, with only a slight decrease between week one and seven for boxcal, and a slight decrease between week 7 and 13 for biketime.

### 4.2 RELATIONSHIP OF IPAC SCORES AND ACADEMIC ACHIEVEMENT

With respect to research question two that examines whether the children who participate in the IPAC perform better academically than the children in the control group, greater improvements were observed in the experimental group for three of the four academic measures of the Dibels Oral Reading Fluency and Retell Fluency, but there was no difference between groups in the overall Growth Scale Value of the Group Mathematics Assessment and Diagnostic Evaluation. For the Dibels, the experimental group initially performed lower than the children in the control group but by the end of the school year their scores were similar.

Previous research has demonstrated the physical and academic benefits of increasing daily physical activity. This study supports these results by the experimental group reaching the level of the control group by the end of the school year. Three longitudinal studies conducted in France, Australia and Canada found increases in amounts of physical activity through physical education to benefit student's academic performance even when academic time was reduced to allow more time for daily physical activity (Trudeau \& Shephard, 2010). Results of a review by Sibley and Etnier (2003) also support current findings of a positive relationship between physical activity and academic performance. Sibley and Etnier found evidence of improved cognitive and academic performance in both published and unpublished research. The current study is unique due to the specific focus on kindergarten children and the relationship of physical activity to academic performance as measured by math and reading scores.

Carlson et al. (2008) focused on math and reading for children in kindergarten through fifth grade. The measurement of academic achievement was a standardized test administered at five time points, and time spent in physical education was recorded as number of times per week and the minutes per day. The association of time spent in physical education with academic
achievement (math and reading) found positive findings for increased physical activity and academic achievement.

Throughout the school year significantly greater increases in reading fluency were observed in the experimental group compared to the control group, however the experimental group started out lower in their scores, then caught up to the controls at the end of the year. Improvement over time on the Dibels LNF, PSF and NWF were significantly greater for the experimental group allowing the experimental group to reach the level of the control group by the end of the school year. The GMADE scores for both groups improved across time. Previous research has demonstrated similar results. For example, the Spark program evaluated a two-year physical education program by examining effects of the program on academic achievement with standardized tests, and found reading and language scores improved for the experimental group, but no effects were found for math scores (Sallis et.al., 1999). In addition other studies, (Donnelly et al., 2009, Shephard, 1996, Carlson et.al., 2008, Coe et. al., 2006) indicated increased time in physical activity was associated with increased academic scores in reading and math or there was no change in the academic scores.

Results of the Physical Activity Across the Curriculum (PAAC) (Donnelly et al., 2009) study were consistent with results of the current study regarding the effect of physical activity on reading achievement, although the PAAC study included children in grades two through five. In the PAAC study, Donnelly and colleagues found that among the 24 elementary schools, the experimental groups had significantly greater improvements in their daily physical activity and academic achievement in reading; unlike the current study, the PAAC study also found a significant difference between groups in math. Supporting these findings, Sallis et al. (1999), used the Metropolitan Achievement tests for reading, mathematics and language assessment.

The primary finding was that spending more time in daily physical activity through physical education had significant effects on the reading and language academic scores, and did not have a significant effect on mathematics scores. Specific details on the Dibels Reading Subtests are next.

The Dibels scores are dynamic indicators of basic early literacy skills and the difficulty of the tests increase over the school year. The Letter Naming Fluency (LNF) score is recorded at the beginning, middle and end of the year; Phoneme Segmentation Fluency (PSF) and Nonsense Word Fluency (NWF) are recorded at the middle and end of the school year; and Initial Sound Fluency (ISF) is recorded at the beginning and middle of the school year. The one minute fluency measures monitor the development of pre-reading and early reading skills. Overall the fluency measures assess whether children are reading whole words or still sounding out words. The NWF specifically looks to see if the students can apply their skills to any word.

The greatest difference in improvement between the experimental and control group over time was observed in the NWF (middle to end of the year); students in the experimental group improved at a faster rate over time in applying their skills of letter sound correspondence and ability to blend letters into words. However, they started below the control children but reached their level of performance by the end of the year. The children in the experimental group had lower scores at the beginning of the year for the LNF, PSF and NWF. However, there was only a significant difference between the experimental and control NWF beginning scores. Therefore, caution should be taken when interpreting the NWF results. Possible internal validity issues include age difference, attrition, and selection-maturation. The difference could be attributed to an age difference, with the experimental group being younger than the children in the control group, however this was not the case. Attrition was not an issue
as no one dropped out of the study. Selection-maturation of the fan-spread type (shows the treatment overcame such an effect if there were one) is ruled out by the outcome of the NWF results, however other patterns of selection-maturation could be applied. Another possible internal validity threat is that of selection-history which involves other events occurring between the beginning and ending of the study, that could have caused the outcome (Campbell, Cook, \& Shadish, 2002).

For the LNF (beginning to end of the year) the experimental group improved at a faster rate than the control group over time in naming upper- and lower- case letters (in one minute) that were arranged in random order. However, similar to the NWF, they started below the control children, and then caught up to them by the end of the year. Improvement was faster for the children in the experimental group in PSF (middle to end of the year), which was their ability to segment three to four phoneme words into their individual phonemes fluently. But, like the NWF and PSF they started below the controls, and therefore were similar in performance to the control children by the end of the year. Lastly, there were similar gains for ISF (beginning to middle of the year) between the children in the experimental and control groups. This involved the child's ability to recognize and produce the initial sound in an orally presented word, and to select a picture that begins with the sound produced orally by the examiner.

Overall the children in the control group began the year ahead of the children in the experimental group but by the end of the year the children in the experimental group were at the same level as the children in the control group.

### 4.3 SCHOOL ABSENCE

With respect to question three that addresses whether the children who participate in the IPAC have fewer absences than the controls, there was a trend for the experimental kindergarten children to have greater school attendance than the controls. It was anticipated that due to the interest in the new IPAC center, that the experimental kindergarten students would be more motivated to attend school. Additionally, attendance may have been better for the experimental group due to parental support. Because it was a new program that parents agreed to have their children participate in, they may have provided greater support for attendance as they saw potential benefits for their children.

### 4.4 LIMITATIONS

Methodological limitations in the current study must be considered. The limitations discussed are design type, data collection, sample size, academic measures, and measures of physical activity.

First, because the children were not randomly assigned to treatment group, no statements can be made about causality regarding physical activity and academic achievement. Second, the data was preexisting and collected at a school by physical education specialists and high school students, thus ecologically valid but not controlled. Third, like other studies in the literature (Buck et al., 2008; Hillman et al., 2005), the current study made use of a small sample: only 24 of 96 kindergarten students enrolled in the physical activity program. Since the program was scheduled early in the morning, it was difficult or impossible for parents who lived far from the
school to provide transportation for their children. Only children who rode to school on the early buses and five that were driven by their parents had the opportunity and/or motivation to participate. In addition to the negative effect on sample size, the transportation issue also prevented random assignment of students to groups. Another limitation was that the sample was drawn from a single school in a rural area, which affects the generalizability of the findings. Also important to mention is that although standardized scores were used, there were no measures for concentration, attention or classroom behavior, which are factors that influence learning and achievement.

Finally, many studies use indirect measures of physical activity such as self-report or questionnaires, and therefore the direct observation of physical activity are considered a strength of the current study. Differences between before and after activity heart rate were measured by the Insta- Pulse monitors to determine how active the students were during the IPAC session. On the other hand, the use of accelerometers could have provided a more accurate measure of physical activity.

### 4.5 CONCLUSIONS

The present study found that kindergarten children who received the IPAC program increased their physical activity, and reached the academic performance level of the control group by the end of the school year in reading (despite scoring lower than the controls in the beginning), but not math. Thus over time the experimental group caught up to the controls in three out of the four Dibels academic scores compared to the controls, or improved the same as the controls in the Growth Scale Value of the G-MADE, who did not receive the IPAC program. With physical
activity being a leading health indicator according to Healthy People 2010, the results of this study demonstrate the importance of providing a variety of daily physical activities for kindergarten students to have the opportunity to improve their physical health. This study did not address whether there was any negative impact, however IPAC was conducted before school and academic time was not reduced. This is important when considering curricular intervention to increase physical activity. A number of previous cross-sectional studies (Carlson et al., 2008; Caterino \& Polak, 1999; Coe et al., 2006; Donnely et al., 2009; Lindner, 2002; Mahar et al., 2006; Oja \& Jurimae, 2002; Sallis et al., 1999; Shephard, 1996; Sibley \& Etnier, 2003) have also found that physical activity had a positive effect or had no negative effect on academic achievement. Evidence of the positive effect of physical activity was also found in the three longitudinal studies reviewed by Shephard (1997). Additionally, recent literature reviews address the importance of quantity and quality of physical activity, and have found that acute bouts and higher intensities of activities have a stronger association with improved academic performance. Positive effects on academic performance and cognition are observed in a variety of physical activities and design types (Coe et al., 2006; Kirkendall, 1986; Sibley \& Etnier, 2003; Smith \& Lounsberry, 2009; Taras, 2005; Tomporowski, 2003). Therefore, with the available evidence suggesting positive effects or no effect from a variety of physical activity designs, intensities and types, further exploration of these relationships between physical activity and academic achievement are warranted.

It is important for research on this topic to be continued because quality physical activity experiences have the potential to impact cognitive, academic and health outcomes in our schools. Despite the fact that there have been more small scale cross-sectional studies than larger longitudinal ones, there are consistencies that support a strong relationship between physical
activity and academic achievement. Therefore, the following are suggestions for continued research in this area.

### 4.6 FUTURE RESEARCH

The current study supports previous findings that indicate increased daily physical activity of children in kindergarten can have a positive impact on academic achievement, or the effect is not detrimental to the student's academic performance. In light of the previous research and current findings several suggestions follow. First, intervention studies that explore both acute and chronic physical activity are needed, along with larger sample sizes to achieve adequate statistical power. Second, designs are needed that control potential confounding variables to better establish possible causal relationships. Additionally, randomized experiments with longer duration that assess longitudinal effects of physical activity on academic achievement are also suggested. Third, further exploration into types, duration, and intensity of physical activity that specifically examines the effect of the exercise dosage, which may benefit cognition and academic achievement, is recommended. Additionally, new methods of assessing children's physical activity levels that are more accurate are needed.

Future research as follow-up to the current study may include the following: First, a match on initial academic test scores would assist in equalizing the groups in the beginning. Second, a more detailed analysis of difference scores for the fitness variables through all weeks in order to identify any potential group differences by looking at how much change was occurring through the weeks. For example, perhaps some students were working harder than others, and had a difference in pre/post heart rate that was 30 compared to some that may have a
difference of 10 . Third, an examination of the fitness data that explores gender differences between the IPAC machines. Fourth, compare high versus low dosage groups to see if there is a difference between numbers of days participated in the physical activity center. Fifth, examine experimental group attendance to see if there is a sub group that did not participate as much as the others. Lastly, follow-up with BMI data for the experimental and control group in the first and second grade, to examine any long term effects on BMI from the intervention.

In addition to future research, educators should continue to push for policies that require schools to meet the National Health Objectives of daily physical education with developmentally appropriate quantities and quality of physical activity. Also, more education is needed for school administrators and parents on the importance of implementing daily quality physical education programs that begins at the primary level. Lastly, advocacy for federal and state mandates of daily physical activity through physical education as part of the school's curriculum should be a high priority for our schools.
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## [IRB]

# University of Pittsburgh <br> Institutional Review Board 

## Memorandum

To: Amy Shannonhouse

From: Christopher Ryan , Ph.D., Vice Chair

Date: 8/10/2010

IRB\#: PRO10050103

Subject: $\quad$ The Effects of Physical Activity on Academic Achievement in Kindergarten.

The above-referenced project has been reviewed by the Institutional Review Board. Based on the information provided, this project meets all the necessary criteria for an exemption, and is hereby designated as "exempt" under section

45 CFR 46.101(b)(4) Existing data, documents, or records

Please note the following information:

- If any modifications are made to this project, use the " Send Comments to IRB Staff" process from the project workspace to request a review to ensure it continues to meet the exempt category.

Upon completion of your project, be sure to finalize the project by submitting a "Study
Completed" report from the project workspace.
Please be advised that your research study may be audited periodically by the University of Pittsburgh Research Conduct and Compliance Office.

## APPENDIX B

## [LETTERS TO PARENTS]

## Dear Parent:

During the second semester, you will become aware of some exciting additions to the Mohawk Kindergarten curriculum. As a result, of a grant obtained by the elementary physical education teachers, a fitness center is being equipped with a variety of state of the art interactive fitness equipment.

As part of the grant, we are specifically looking at the impact of exercise on academic success. Research shows a direct correlation between physical activity and math and literacy achievement.

Once the equipment is installed, $1 / 2$ of our kindergarten students will be randomly selected to participate in 15 minute sessions in the fitness center. We are working on a schedule to determine how often these sessions can be held. Based on the results we obtain, we plan to repeat the process with the other $1 / 2$ of of our kindergarten students during the last nine weeks.

Thank you for your continued support.

Sincerely,

Kathleen Kwolek

Assistant Superintendent

## KINDERGARTEN PARENT AGENDA

Introductions: Myself and Larry, we team teach and see kindergarten twice in the 6 day cycle....see your teachers for days.

Important: that your child wears the proper clothes and footwear...it is a safety issue.
Fridays: We see them every other Friday for Action Based Learning. It uses movement to link the basic movement concepts of letters, numbers, colors and shapes.

Interactive Fitness Center: had a vision...the only one at the elementary level in the state.
We need your help...research study....we have chosen kindergarten and plan to show that physical activity will improve their academic performance.

1. It is voluntary.
2. Students must be at school at 8:25am.
3. From 8:25-8:50am they will be partnered with a high school fitness buddy who records data like heart rate and perceived exertion.
4. At 8:50am they will have breakfast and then report to class.
5. We would like to record data on at least $48-50$ students. The first semester (the first 2 nine weeks) 24 students and then switch the last semester (the last two nine weeks).
6. If you sign up you will be notified through school reach. The study would start Tuesday, September $2^{\text {nd }}, 2008$.

## APPENDIX C

[SYLLABUS FOR HIGH SCHOOL BUDDIES]

## COURSE SYLLABUS

INSTUCTORS: Ms. Miller and Mr. Carr
COURSE TIME: 8:20-8:50 (Elementary Time) Monday, Tuesday, Thursday, Friday
COURSE DESCRITION: To record fitness data for a kindergarten research project.

## RESPONIBILITIES:

- You will be required to meet your elementary fitness buddy in their classroom at 8:55.
- Once you pick them up, you will escort them to the fitness center and begin to collect data
- You are responsible for collecting the data for your buddies.
- When you have collected all your data, you will escort them back to class.
- If you know that you will not be at school to record your buddies fitness data, you must make arrangements with someone to record the data for you.
- If your elementary buddy is absent that day, you will assist another peer in collecting data that day.
- If one of you are absent, someone must step up and record data for that person. Mr. Carr and Ms. Miller will not always be available. You must problem solve it.
- On Wednesday's, you are to report to the fitness center to compile your data (we will explain).
- You must report to Ms. Miller or Mr. Carr if you are having any problems (your buddy is not behaving, cooperating or absent a lot).
- Please let us know when you are starting to get low on forms so we can make more copies.

Please Note: Any school delays (two hour delays, snow day etc) there will be no data collection. See the attached sheet for data collection instructions.

## APPENDIX D

[WEEKLY DATA COLLECTION SHEET]

Weekly Data Collection Sheet

| \#1 STUDENT | MONDAY <br> DATE: | TUESDAY <br> DATE: $\qquad$ | WEDNESDAY <br> DATE: $\qquad$ | THURSDAY <br> DATE: $\qquad$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{M} / \mathbf{F}_{-}$ |  |  |  |  |
| AGE/GRADE |  |  |  |  |
| FITNESS BUDDY |  |  |  |  |
| NAME: |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { BEFORE X-HR } \\ & \text { (INSTA-PULSE) } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| BEFORE X-HR <br> (CAROTID PULSE) |  |  |  |  |
| DDR-STEPS(PERFECT) <br> (BABY GIVE ME YOUR LOVE, <br> MUSIC SPEED 1) <br> TIME: 5 MINUTES |  |  |  |  |
| BOXING CALORIES BURNED <br> (ONE ROUND) <br> TIME: 3 MINUTES |  |  |  |  |
| BIKE-TIME/DISTANCE <br> (TEST COURSE) <br> TIME: 4 MINUTES |  |  |  |  |
| 3-KICKS-STRIKES 2 MINUTES |  |  |  |  |
| AFTER X-HR (INSTA-PULSE) |  |  |  |  |
| AFTER X-HR (CAROTID PULSE) |  |  |  |  |
| PERCEIVED EXERTION <br> (THURSDAY ONLY) |  |  |  |  |
| KEY: IF STUDENT IS ABSENT MARK THE LETTER A IN THE BOX. |  |  |  |  |

## APPENDIX E

## [PERCEIVED EXERTION CHARTS]





## APPENDIX F

[ANNOVA TABLES \& MEANS AND STANDARD DEVIATION TABLES]

Table 1: Two-way (Before/After HR by Week) ANNOVA Summary Table

| Source | df | SS | MS | F | P |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pre/Post | 1 | 45875.43 | 45875.43 | 63.74 | .001 |
| Error | 17 | 12236.01 | 719.77 |  |  |
| Week | 4 | 41.03 | 10.26 | .035 | .998 |
| Error | 68 | 19810.83 | 291.34 |  |  |

Table 2: Means \& Standard Deviations for Before/After HR by Week

|  | Mean | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bhrip. 1 | 104.43 | 14.18 |
| Bhrip. 7 | 109.79 | 16.82 |
| Bhrip. 13 | 111.86 | 18.66 |
| Bhrip. 19 | 113.96 | 30.60 |
| Bhrip. 25 | 126.74 | 32.14 |
| Ahrip. 1 | 137.92 | 26.90 |
| Ahrip. 7 | 140.86 | 33.82 |
| Ahrip. 13 | 143.80 | 24.83 |
| Ahrip. 19 | 144.98 | 14.80 |
| Ahrip. 25 | 159.17 | 16.45 |

Table 3: Perceived Exertion ANOVA Summary Table

| Source | df | SS | MS | F | P |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Week | 4 | 52.57 | 13.14 | 2.56 | .064 |
| Error | 24 | 123.03 | 5.13 |  |  |

Table 4: Means \& Standard Deviations for Perceived Exertion

| Week | Mean | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Week 1 | 6.00 | 2.52 |
| Week 7 | 4.39 | 2.63 |
| Week 13 | 6.00 | 2.89 |
| Week 19 | 3.43 | 1.99 |
| Week 25 | 3.14 | 1.17 |

Table 5: DDRSteps ANOVA Summary Table

| Source | df | SS | MS | F | P |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Week | 4 | 1858.86 | 464.44 | 10.98 | .001 |
| Error | 68 | 2875.24 | 42.28 |  |  |

Table 6: Means \& Standard Deviations for DDRSteps

| Week | Mean | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Week 1 | 9.94 | 5.70 |
| Week 7 | 13.56 | 7.91 |
| Week 13 | 16.73 | 8.24 |
| Week 19 | 19.98 | 10.66 |
| Week 25 | 22.73 | 13.01 |

Table 7: BoxCal ANOVA Summary Table

| Source | df | SS | MS | F | P |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Week | 4 | 764.32 | 191.08 | 6.17 | .001 |
| Error | 68 | 2104.89 | 30.95 |  |  |

Table 8: Means \& Standard Deviations for BoxCal

| Week | Mean | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Week 1 | 24.08 | 4.99 |
| Week 7 | 22.70 | 6.56 |
| Week 13 | 27.10 | 6.80 |
| Week 19 | 27.97 | 4.56 |
| Week 25 | 30.17 | 6.78 |

Table 9: Kicks ANOVA Summary Table

| Source | df | SS | MS | F | P |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Week | 4 | 76843.03 | 19210.86 | 12.95 | .001 |
| Error | 68 | 100858.86 | 1483.22 |  |  |

Table 10: Means \& Standard Deviations for Kicks

| Week | Mean | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Week 1 | 156.53 | 44.90 |
| Week 7 | 183.19 | 39.70 |
| Week 13 | 198.01 | 37.89 |
| Week 19 | 217.01 | 44.02 |
| Week 25 | 242.49 | 56.41 |

Table 11: Biketime ANOVA Summary Table

| Source | df | SS | MS | F | P |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Week | 4 | 8.81 | 2.20 | 6.27 | .001 |
| Error | 68 | 23.89 | .351 |  |  |

Table 12. Means \& Standard Deviations for Biketime Miles

| Week | Mean Miles | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Week 1 | 1.37 | .53 |
| Week 7 | 1.59 | .67 |
| Week 13 | 1.42 | .53 |
| Week 19 | 1.70 | .75 |
| Week 25 | 2.24 | 1.01 |

Table 13: LNF (beg to end) Group $X$ Time ANNOVA Summary Table

| Source | df | SS | MS | F | P |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Group | 1 | 349.60 | 349.60 | 1.11 | .306 |
| Error | 18 | 5663.26 | 314.62 |  |  |
| Time | 1 | 20133.80 | 20133.80 | 253.37 | .001 |
| Error | 18 | 1430.95 | 79.50 |  |  |
| GroupXTime | 1 | 174.01 | 174.01 | 4.47 | .049 |
| Error | 18 | 700.74 | 38.93 |  |  |

Table 14: Means \& Standard Deviations for LNF (beg to end)

|  | Beginning | End |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Exp. LNF | $19.37(13.98)$ | $54.95(14.50)$ |
| Control LNF | $26.68(11.53)$ | $56.21(12.97)$ |

Table 15: PSF (middle to end) GroupXTime ANNOVA Summary Table

| Source | Df | SS | MS | F | P |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Group | 1 | 144.05 | 144.05 | .656 | .427 |
| Error | 20 | 4388.95 | 219.45 |  |  |
| Time | 1 | 4906.71 | 4906.71 | 58.75 | .001 |
| Error | 20 | 1670.29 | 83.51 |  |  |
| GroupXTime | 1 | 154.71 | 154.71 | 3.78 | .066 |
| Error | 20 | 819.29 | 40.96 |  |  |

Table 16. Means \& Standard Deviations for PSF (middle to end)

|  | Middle | End |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Exp. PSF | $39.90(13.90)$ | $57.90(9.77)$ |
| Control PSF | $45.24(11.77)$ | $57.81(10.40)$ |

Table 17. NWF (middle to end) GroupXTime ANOVA Summary Table

| Source | df | SS | MS | F | P |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Group | 1 | 361.25 | 361.25 | 1.19 | .310 |
| Error | 19 | 6321.25 | 332.70 |  |  |
| Time | 1 | 2020.05 | 2020.05 | 14.68 | .001 |
| Error | 19 | 26.14 .45 | 137.60 |  |  |
| GroupXTime | 1 | 396.05 | 396.05 | 7.38 | .014 |
| Error | 19 | 1019.45 | 53.66 |  |  |

Table 18. Means \& Standard Deviations for NWF(middle to end)

|  | Middle | End |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Exp. NWF | $25.65(14.48)$ | $40.15(21.42)$ |
| Control NWF | $34.35(14.31)$ | $39.95(14.74)$ |

Table 19. ISF (begin to middle) GroupXTime ANOVA Summary Table

| Source | df | SS | MS | F | P |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Group | 1 | 146.78 | 146.78 | 1.84 | .190 |
| Error | 20 | 1592.07 | 79.60 |  |  |
| Time | 1 | 7373.44 | 7373.44 | 114.57 | .001 |
| Error | 20 | 1288.31 | 64.42 |  |  |
| GroupXTime | 1 | .298 | .298 | .010 | .922 |
| Error | 20 | 612.45 | 30.62 |  |  |

Table 20. Means \& Standard Deviations for ISF

|  | Beginning | Middle |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Exp. ISF | $9.95(6.73)$ | $28.57(12.57)$ |
| Control ISF | $12.48(7.40)$ | $31.33(10.45)$ |

Table 21. GSV (begin to end) Group X Time ANOVA Summary Table

| Source | df | SS | MS | F | P |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Group | 1 | 117.60 | 117.60 | 1.19 | .315 |
| Error | 14 | 1516.40 | 108.31 |  |  |
| Time | 1 | 3713.17 | 3713.17 | 20.13 | .001 |
| Error | 14 | 2581.93 | 184.42 |  |  |
| GroupXTime | 1 | 281.67 | 281.67 | 1.95 | .185 |
| Error | 14 | 2026.33 | 144.74 |  |  |

Table 22. Means \& Standard Deviations for GSV

|  | Beginning | End |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Exp. GSV | $462.53(17.01)$ | $473.93(8.86)$ |
| Control GSV | $461.00(9.80)$ | $481.07(10.22)$ |

