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This dissertation considers how refugees encounter, interpret, and use American media before, during, and after their relocation to the United States. An examination of insights provided by seventy-four oral history interviews with refugees from Bhutan, Burma (Myanmar), Iraq and Somalia, as well as twelve interviews with resettlement administrators in the four states that accepted the most refugees in 2012—Texas, California, New York and Pennsylvania—reveals that American-made films, television programs, websites, government-produced orientation texts, and news journalism are meaningful components of refugee relocation to the U.S. Supported by methodologies of ethnography and rhetorical analysis, this oral history project considers the American media that refugees encounter long before their relocation, and how they may understand these media as indicators, exaggerations, or misleading evidence of what the U.S. is like. The narrators discuss the types of media and information they were given during the weeks leading up to their relocation, and how this new knowledge may or may not have informed their move to the U.S. This project also explores the kinds of media that are made available to refugees in the weeks immediately following their arrival in the U.S., either during federally-mandated post-arrival orientations or in individual pursuits. This work advances the notion that resettlement is a long-term, ongoing process as it considers how refugees use U.S. media long after their resettlement. This project attends to underprivileged immigration and problematizes sanguine American immigration mythologies while simultaneously providing understanding that can be incorporated into resettlement agencies’ future planning and education initiatives. The object is thus both theoretical and pragmatic; in addition to contributing to the existing research a deeper understanding of the ways media serve as tools or obstacles for enculturation throughout refugee relocation, this dissertation also provides pertinent, useful insights for the directors of future refugee orientation education.
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1.0 INTRODUCTION

“What we knew about the United States [was] only from the movies…. It’s just what we saw in the movie, and in the movie sometimes you see how [Americans] are aggressive people.”

“We don’t even know, like, there is a America…; we just know only Somalia… They gave me a form that [was] about America, you know, that’s the first time that I hear about America. [The resettlement administrators said,] ‘We’re going to take you to America.’”

“Because we watch movies, when we saw the movie, [it showed] like snow time, wintertime, so we want to come to the United States, because we appreciate the wintertime.”

“We have a Google Groups that is shared between all the Bhutanese in the Unites States, so if we find an article about a Bhutanese that is written in any
newspaper, we’ll just copy that link and, you know, send it, share it, so that everybody reads that one.”

In these four statements, refugees from Iraq, Somalia, Burma, and Bhutan, respectively, point to the ways that media informs and shapes their perceptions of life in the United before and after they were uprooted from their homes. The U.S. accepts each year around sixty thousand immigrants with refugee status. These “forced migrants” fled or were driven from their homes because of some social, political, or natural threat to their safety. While some refugees know something about the U.S. when they arrive, many move directly from refugee camps lacking television, the Internet, and other mass media. When refugees arrive in the U.S., they not only

________

1 Fadhail Ibraheem, interview by Sarah Bishop, Erie, February 7, 2013, to be deposited in the Schlesinger Library at Harvard University; Abdikadir Abdiyow Barake, interview by Sarah Bishop, San Diego, CA, November 20, 2013; Ya Wee, interview by Sarah Bishop, Buffalo, August 6, 2013; Balaram Gurung, interview by Sarah Bishop, Pittsburgh, March 22, 2013.  
2 Burma is also known as Myanmar. For the sake of consistency, and alignment with my narrators’ preference, I refer to this nation throughout this work as Burma.  
3 The International Organization for Migration (IOM) defines forced migration as migration “in which an element of coercion exists, including threats to life and livelihood, whether arising from natural or man-made causes” (See http://www.iom.int/cms/en/sites/iom/home/about-migration/key-migration-terms-1.html#Forced-migration). While refugees are sometimes referred to as “involuntary migrants” in popular and scholarly discourse, some have charged that this term does not allow for a recognition of refugees agency or desire to leave their homes when those homes are under threat from unwanted political, social, or natural forces (see, for example Alden Speare, “The Relevance of Models of Internal Migration for the Study of International Migration, in International Migration: Proceedings of a Seminar on Demographic Research in Relation to International Migration, G. Tapinos, ed., (Buenos Aires: CICRED, 1974); Samir Amin, Modern Migrations in Western Africa (London: Oxford University Press, 1974); William Peterson, “A General Typology of Migration,” American Sociological Review 23, no. 3 (1958): 256-66. This dissertation will provide multiple examples of the varying degrees of choice present in refugee migration, and it supports the IOM’s suggestion that “Population mobility is probably best viewed as being arranged along a continuum ranging from totally voluntary migration, in which the choice and will of the migrants is the overwhelmingly decisive element encouraging people to move, to totally forced migration, where the migrants are faced with death if they remain in their present place of residence.” Graeme Hugo, Migration, Development and Environment (Geneva: International Organization for Migration, 2008), 16.
confront a new nation about which they may have limited prior knowledge, but also a media saturated environment in which successful orientation and resettlement depends on their ability to engage with such media. Because refugees throughout their relocation need to interact with American-made films, television, and news as well as with U.S.-government-produced print matter to help them navigate their new environment, studying such encounters offers previously underdeveloped perspective of media’s role in their lived experiences and, more broadly, of American media’s variable capacity to provide crucial information to these vulnerable individuals.

This dissertation looks into the ways refugees use and interpret multiple forms of U.S. media as meaningful components of their long-term relocation. With the aid of firsthand perspectives provided by seventy-four oral history interviews I conducted with refugees in the United States, as well as twelve interviews with refugee resettlement administrators, I work to answer the following questions: How are relocating refugees’ knowledge about their new host country influenced by their encounters with media from the U.S. during the relocation process? How does mediated communication help or hinder refugees’ sense of belonging in the U.S.? What role, if any, do media play in refugees’ attempts to adopt or resist perceived American norms, standards, and ideals? Finally, how do media produced by local, state, and federal governments shape refugees’ understanding and experiences during relocation? By analyzing refugees’ encounters with U.S. media before, during, and after their relocation to multiple parts of the United States, this dissertation directly addresses each of these questions.

One cannot gain a thorough view of media’s role in refugee resettlement by considering only the media that refugees encounter before they are displaced; likewise, a view that takes into account only the ways that media and refugees interact post-resettlement would prove too narrow
to offer insight about the ways that refugees move from one nation to another with the impact of pre-resettlement media encounters lingering in their deep memory. For this purpose, this dissertation is organized according to the ways media’s impact unfolds sequentially through three general stages of migration: (1) before long-term resettlement, when refugees’ encounters with U.S. media are determined and limited by the availability of this media within their countries of origin or secondary countries of asylum, (2) during resettlement, that is, immediately before and after relocating to the United States, when much of the U.S. media refugees hear, see and read is mandated, produced, and disseminated by the U.S. government or governmental organizations during mandated pre-departure and post-arrival orientations, and (3) after resettlement, when, once having settled into the media-saturated U.S. and gaining more independence from the U.S. government’s direct involvement in their daily lives, refugees’ media engagements diversify according to tastes, desires, and/or academic and professional requirements. As this dissertation demonstrates, the ways refugees use U.S. media in each of these three stages is different from the other two. A chronological format will thus allow for the clearest unfolding of these distinctions while simultaneously mimicking the sequential narrative arc of the interviews themselves. In short, in this dissertation I argue that the influence of media on the relocation process is far more wide reaching than is currently on the radar of both media scholars and resettlement professionals, and that studying refugees’ interpretation and

---

negotiation of media can advance understanding of media’s power and limitations in transnational contexts.

1.1 ARGUMENT FOR SIGNIFICANCE

The field of forced migration research is interdisciplinary and growing; however, more research is needed that does not reproduce the disparities of power between forced migrants and researchers or perpetuate the exclusionary agendas of states. The oral history methodology of this dissertation works to counter asymmetries in power and control of the topic by providing an experiential, narrative-driven account of refugees, media, and relocation. In the heavily mass-mediated U.S., self-reports of refugee media use provide insight into a crucial locus of interaction between refugees and their host country. Studying that locus promises to suggest how media-dependent “cultural citizenship” is imbricated with more conventional government-sponsored “pathways to citizenship” that have been part of the standard immigration narrative. As a narrative that has become a key fixture of American ideology, the overly positive story of “a nation of immigrants” adapting to abundance (as Andrew Heinze argues in his book of the same title) is challenged by the study of forced migrants’ media use, which shows a much more

5 For more on this need, see James Souter, “Refugee Studies: The Challenge of Translating Hope into Reality,” Open Democracy, 2013, http://www.opendemocracy.net/5050/james-souter/refugee-studies-challenge-of-translating-hope-into-reality. As is discussed in detail in the section entitled “Reception of Refugees in the U.S.,” surprisingly little research has been done regarding refugee-media interactions in the U.S. compared with other countries.
nuanced and often conflicted picture of forced migrants’ experience.⁷ Thus, this dissertation sheds new light on the refugee experience in the U.S., American media as an avenue of immigrant acculturation, and American state power exercised through media upon forced migrants.

While communication scholars, sociologists, and anthropologists have studied the interaction of media and migration, refugees are often underrepresented in such research because of the diversity of their narratives, their general status as a vulnerable and transient population, and the obstacles refugees and scholars face in comprehending each other’s languages. As a result, most of the existing scholarly narratives about media and migration foreground voluntary, rather than forced, immigrant perspectives.⁸

The goal of this dissertation is to advance understanding of refugee interaction with American media while maintaining sight of the heterogeneity of refugees and their narratives. Because refugees in the United States are not a generic, homogenous cultural group, their media experiences are not generalizable but, rather, vary due to factors such as the divergent geographic contexts of their countries of origin and areas of settlement in the U.S., differential exposure to

media when growing up, disparate levels of literacy and English-language comprehension, and
dissimilar positions on the socioeconomic ladder. To emphasize such heterogeneity regarding
refugee media consumption, this dissertation: (1) employs oral-history-based investigation that
eschews standardized questionnaires of a group in favor of open-ended questions that encourage
reports by individuals of personal and unique experiences; (2) involves people of different social
statuses from four distinct refugee producing nations that represent the largest recent refugee
streams into the U.S.;\(^9\) and (3) adopts a multi-sited approach involving key cities in the four
states that have received the greatest numbers of refugees: California, New York, Texas, and
Pennsylvania. This research design permits analysis of the many ways that refugee encounters
with media may vary by ethnicity, socioeconomic status, area of origin, and area of resettlement.

This dissertation is based upon the belief that underprivileged, involuntary migration
should receive the same scholarly attention as more privileged forms of migration. The lack of
attention in American immigration scholarship to forced migration has normalized the
experiences of more privileged migrants, so that voluntary migrants become the yardstick of
invidious distinction against which other, less privileged migrants are measured. By attending to
underprivileged immigration, this dissertation offers to revise the prevailing mythologies
concerning immigration that tend to be overly positive, while simultaneously providing
understandings that may be useful to those seeking to aid the process of involuntary migration.

\(^9\) I am aware that by referring to these four groups according to their nations of origin instead of
their ethnicities that I risk perpetuating the nationalistic nature of refugee research. However,
because refugee resettlement is determined and facilitated by nation-states, because the United
Nations Refugee Agency’s 1951 very definition of “refugee” utilizes this categorization, and
because the refugee narrators were not always explicit about their ethnicities during interviews, I
will refer to refugees throughout this dissertation according to their country of origin. In cases
where the narrators mentioned their ethnicity as a salient aspect of their narrative, I have noted it
in the text.
The objective here is thus both theoretical and pragmatic, and the resulting work should lend scholarly insight into the ways in which communicative encounters with U.S.-produced media inform refugee relocation and, at the same time, provide useful, pertinent analysis for any individuals or groups involved in, or affected by, refugees relocating to the United States. The resettlement administrators I interviewed confirmed both that they are aware of the role of mass media in setting refugee expectations and that they use government media extensively in trying to orient refugees. By circulating results of this dissertation to the refugee agencies affiliated with the participants in this study, my intention is that they may gain practical insights that can be implemented in the curricular development of orientation programs in the four states involved in the research.

The liaisons at the involved resettlement agencies, as well as the refugee narrators I interviewed emphasized their opinion of the potential of this work to take up a socially pragmatic mandate. Denise Beehag, Executive Director at the International Institute of Buffalo, New York, agreed to facilitate this project on the terms that I be willing to share my research so that she might incorporate the narratives and analysis into orientation curriculum development. Dr. Ron Rea, a board member at the YMCA in Houston, Texas, recently wrote in an email regarding my research, “Your findings will be of value to us in planning our services to refugees.”

At the end of one of the first oral history interviews I conducted in early 2013, I asked the participating refugee narrator, Tek Rimal, “Is there anything you would like to tell me that I haven’t asked you about?” I included this question at the end of each interview, and the responses were often fruitful—participants often wanted to clarify something they mentioned earlier, or remembered

---

10 Dr. Ron Rea, email correspondence to author, March 15, 2013.
11 Tek Rimal, interview by Sarah Bishop, Pittsburgh, March 18, 2013.
something they meant to say before. But Tek’s reply was unique. Instead of continuing with his narrative after this prompt, he paused, and then asked simply, “How will your research help refugees?” I set down my notebook. While I had presupposessed even in the earliest stages of developing this research that my work would be helpful to those involved in the relocation of refugees, I realized in this moment the gravity of what Tek was asking. He invited me to interview him in his home that evening after working back-to-back shifts at his two full time jobs because he trusted that telling his own story might have a tangible, positive effect for others who bear the burden of involuntary displacement.

Tek’s question became an imperative for me. I offer these narratives and my analysis in the faith that this work will blur the line that too often separates the academic from the pragmatically useful and ethically sound. Repeating Tek’s question as a mantra at each stage of this work, I pursued this research with academic rigor for the sake of positive social change. I believe that the most valuable contribution of this dissertation will be a richer understanding of the wealth of knowledge to be gained by anyone willing to listen to firsthand—rather than secondhand or presupposed—accounts of media’s role in forced migration.

1.2 SITUATION IN THE SCHOLARSHIP

While several studies have examined the ways in which first- or second-generation immigrants from multiple origins are depicted in or interpret transnational media after their relocation,12 the

studies that consider how transnational media affect migrants before or during relocation typically focus on a single population, rather than several different ethnic groups that have settled in multiple destinations. The latter allows for a comparative approach. Furthermore, researchers who have produced scholarly accounts of involuntary migrant relocation often request that participants furnish insights about their own, firsthand, personal experiences of transitioning into a new culture, but have not asked whether the presence of certain media acted as meaningful components of that transition. Thus, the existing scholarship leaves several stones unturned.

1.3 REVIEW OF RELEVANT LITERATURE

Because of limited prior work on refugee’ engagements with media, I will provide in this section a review of scholarship from six areas of research relevant to different, specific aspects of the


dissertation. First, I address scholarship dealing with the reception of refugees in the United States, in order to give a general overview of the cultural climate into which refugees relocate. Second, I consider the interaction of mediated communication with the formation of individuals’ knowledge or perception of reality, as the existing scholarship in this area is especially pertinent to understanding why the media that refugees encounter may have such a significant effect on their everyday lives. I draw from scholarship that analyzes power and knowledge in resettlement media and official orientations specifically, as well as scholarship that considers the functions of power and knowledge more generally. Third, I review existing research in the area of portrayals of refugees in American public discourse, for the narrators were often painfully aware of this in the media they engaged. Fourth, I provide an interdisciplinary overview of scholarship relevant to acculturation and integration. Because refugees must navigate the new cultural setting of their host country, I move on to discuss the existing scholarship in the area of intercultural communication. Finally, because refugees receive media from a distinct standpoint, I review the literature relating to audience study.

1.3.1 Reception of refugees in the U.S.

One may assume that for some refugees—especially those who arrive from developed countries, speak English fluently, and have the means to choose where they want to live and work—relocating to the U.S. is a fairly straightforward process. But, as a good deal of past interdisciplinary scholarship has revealed, the integration process involves challenges that go far
beyond the task of physically moving to a new address.\textsuperscript{15} The United States does not present a united front in terms of assistance, stability, understanding, or community willingness to accept refugees. For this reason, refugees may find that the “America” they learned about from pre-relocation media does not exist, or only exists somewhere other than where they have been resettled. Helpful to understanding the climate into which refugees find themselves is David W. Haines’s \textit{Safe Haven?: A History of Refugees in America}. A former researcher at the U.S. Office of Refugee Resettlement, Haines points out the impossibility of answering the seemingly reasonable question, “How are refugees in the U.S. doing?”\textsuperscript{16} This question, he asserts, can only be met with contingent answers, because “the degree of progress hinges on the starting point.”\textsuperscript{17} In other words, without a regard for the vast complexity and diversity of refugee ethnicity, religion, socio-economic status, gender, age, experience of trauma, English-language proficiency, proximity to organizations offering aid, amount of time spent in the U.S., marital status, willingness to integrate, and multiple other factors, it is impossible to conclusively state how refugees are doing. Moreover, Haines argues, those interested in refugee resettlement must remember that just as refugees are arriving from varied and complex circumstances, they also arrive in “different Americas.”\textsuperscript{18} While some areas of the United States may be generally hospitable towards refugees, in other areas, refugees may experience significant prejudice or discrimination.


\textsuperscript{16} Haines, \textit{Safe Haven}, 8.

\textsuperscript{17} Ibid., 8.

\textsuperscript{18} Ibid., 37.
The Hebrew Immigrant Aid Society (HIAS) suggests that negative attitudes towards refugees in the U.S. are on the rise, and that this negativity results in part from a growing diversity in refugee narratives, and the subsequent unfamiliarity many Americans have with the crises that lead refugees to resettle. In a 2013 report, HIAS suggested that until the mid-1990s, “there was a common understanding about who the refugees were and why they needed resettlement.”\(^\text{19}\) Now, without sustained attention to global political, religious, and natural refugee-producing crises, this understanding is less attainable. In order to provide concrete examples of American attitudes toward refugees, I rely on both scholarly and popular discourse that testifies to this issue.

International scholarly support in the area of attitudes towards refugees is readily available. In the social sciences, Lindsey Cameron, Adam Rutland, Rupert Brown, Rebecca Douch, Robert Schweitzer, Shelley Perkoulidis, Sandra Krome, Christopher Ludlow, Melanie Ryan, Rhiannon N. Turner, Rupert Brown, Victoria M. Esses, Scott Veenvliet, Gordon Hodson, Ljiljana Mihic, Gudmund Hernes, and Knud Knudsen have examined the attitudes of host cultures toward newly arrived refugees, and provide revealing findings.\(^\text{20}\) For example,

---


Schweitzer, Perkoulidis, Krome, Ludlow, and Ryan surveyed 261 college students in Australia and found that both realistic threats (which pose danger to an ingroup’s physical well-being, political power, or economic power, such as the ability to find employment) and symbolic threats (which endanger an ingroup’s values, beliefs, customs, norms, and attitudes) affected participants’ prejudice toward refugees, and that female participants reported more favorable attitudes toward refugees than males. Turner and Brown evaluated the success of the UK-based “Friendship Project,” in which elementary school children received four weeks of interactive lessons about refugees, and found that while the program had a significant positive effect on short-term attitudes, it had no significant impact on long-term attitudes about refugees. This work proved germane for my research when I consider the impact of host-community prejudice or acceptance during refugee relocation to the U.S. Several of the resettlement administrators I interviewed suggested that the more a host community knows about refugees, the more likely it is that the community will accept refugees, and the less likely it is that members of the community will display prejudice. The aforementioned scholarship, and my own research presented in this dissertation, complicate this notion, and demonstrate the need for sustained attention to Americans’ attitudes towards refugees.

1.3.2 Communication, power, and knowledge

Two facets of the following work—refugees’ interpretation of American media before their relocation, and portrayals of refugees in American news—become especially poignant when

22 Turner and Brown, “Improving Children's Attitudes,” 1295-1328.
considered alongside the notion that communication may create knowledge that informs individuals’ perception of reality. Little current scholarship examines resettlement literature from theoretical perspectives regarding power and knowledge. One notable exception is Christie Shrestha’s report to the UNHCR regarding the ways in which bureaucratic processes enforced by resettlement agencies work as complex systems of power in refugees’ lives, knowledge acquisition, and meaning-making.\textsuperscript{23} Shrestha argues that while many refugee resettlement organizations aim for or claim value-neutrality and apoliticism in their work, the agencies’ level of involvement, as well as their techniques for monitoring and regulating refugees’ everyday lives makes such neutrality impossible.\textsuperscript{24} Moreover, Shrestha argues, “Despite the benevolence and well-intended motives behind resettlement efforts, humanitarian acts are often shaped by a victim-savior mentality that reify [sic] asymmetrical social hierarchy between refugees and humanitarian workers.”\textsuperscript{25} Indeed, refugee resettlement is never ideologically neutral, but rather rests on predetermined knowledge—and ignorance—regarding multiple aspects of refugees’ everyday lives. In his essay, “Capitalism and the Origins of the Humanitarian Sensibility,” Thomas Haskell reveals that while knowledge of an individual or group that needs assistance may influence one’s likelihood to engage in some humanitarian act, the probability of humanitarian action is also determined by perceptions about oneself.\textsuperscript{26} This dissertation applies Haskell’s assertions as it considers both how local resettlement agencies and the U.S. government represent themselves and their responsibility to refugees in media created for and disseminated to incoming refugees.

\textsuperscript{23} Shrestha, “Power and Politics.”
\textsuperscript{24} Ibid., 1.
\textsuperscript{25} Ibid., 1.
1.3.3 Portrayals of refugees in American public discourse

Although detailed scholarly analyses of refugee portrayals in American public discourse are scant, researchers including Olga Bailey, Ivan Leudar et al., Melinda B. Robins, Sarah J. Steimel, Scott Hanson-Easey and Martha Augoustinos each take up this issue to varying degrees. In the last chapter of this dissertation, I consider that some refugee-related discourses appear explicitly in media, such as metaphors and stereotyping. Other elements, however, remain implicitly embedded. These embedded cues should not be overlooked, I argue, because they possess the ability to perpetuate imbalances in power, shape national discourse, and alter the knowledge and opinions of media consumers. Without a doubt, every piece of news journalism covering refugees in the U.S. contains countless numbers of these cues, which may exist in the form of adjectives, visual representations, labels, descriptions, or even just an article’s placement or context. In order to uncover exactly how such cues function, Cho et al. argue that researchers “look beyond simple, direct effects of news content to consider how content elements interact with one another to influence the thinking of the audience.” These authors maintain that


utilizing mediated cues produces subtle changes in the ways audiences receive messages and interpret their contents. Cues may include specific language or labels that trigger correlations in readers’ minds, so that “subtle changes in the descriptors used to characterize objects can shape a range of social judgments made by the audience.” Of course, cues do not operate in the same ways for all readers; certain audiences may perform negotiated or oppositional readings of texts about refugees based on the readers’ desires, understanding, or interest. Likewise, the authors of portrayals of refugees—myself included—may intentionally or unintentionally use certain kinds of language that affect readers’ interpretations of the texts. Unfortunately, I have found that even the research attempting to analyze cues in refugee-related media offers conclusions laden with implicit cue-induced correlations. For example, in Karina Horsti’s article regarding global mobility and the media, she states, “‘economic refugees’…has a negative connotation…it refers to laziness.” No other explanation justifies the use of such an assumption, leaving the reader to conclude that Horsti has drawn the correlation between economic refugees and laziness based on her own judgments. While the intentions of such studies advantageously attempt to decode portrayals of refugees, they remain limited by their inability to recognize the subjectivity of their authors’ own interpretations often presented as fact.

Prior studies have revealed the tendency of American media to present refugees as both vessels waiting to be filled and infantile wild creatures from unknowable lands, ultimately revealing American journalistic media’s view of refugees as low-status, offensive individuals. Melinda Robins analyzed daily local newspaper portrayals of the cities in which the “Lost

30 Ibid., 138.
32 Robins, “‘Lost Boys’ and the Promised Land,” 29-49.
Boys”—a group of 500 Sudanese refugees who arrived in the United States in early 2001—had been settled. In these newspapers, Robins discovered the consistent “use of the word ‘boys’ to describe young black men,” the suggestion that Americans must “tame and civilize” the refugees as though they were wild animals in need of domestication, and noted that the only references to the refugees’ homeland were ones that described it as a “dark nullity that barely existed before westerners turned their gaze upon it.” In a similar study, Ivan Leudar et al. noted the tendency of media to describe refugees in terms usually employed to describe animals. In an especially useful article from a rhetorical perspective entitled, “Attitudes Towards New Americans in the Local Press: A Critical Discourse Analysis,” Tatyana Thweatt revealed that deleterious descriptions of immigrants and refugees are often accompanied by positive, ideological statements about America, and I explore this phenomenon in detail in my dissertation. Thweatt refers to proud, nationalistic statements that exist throughout refugee discourse as the “general strategy of positive Self-presentation and negative Other-presentation.” Joachim Trebbe and Philomen Schoenhagen argue, “perception of the other [always] includes the perception of one’s own group and the feeling of being a part of this group.” Finally, Olga Bailey argues, “the traditional storytelling of journalism in representing the subaltern ‘Other’ [is] based on the West’s versions of reality imposed on the rest of the world.” These arguments, bolstered by Edward Said’s Orientalism, provide critical support for the section of my dissertation regarding portrayals of refugees in American public discourse. Said argues that characterizations of an

33 Ibid., 31, 34, 37.
36 Trebbe and Schoenhagen, “Ethnic Minorities in the Mass Media.”
37 Bailey, “Journalism and the ‘Politics of Naming’ the Other.”
other follow a process of positive self-identification in which the familiar is set up as a standard
with which to measure, and protect oneself from, an other, and that “Knowledge of subject races
or Orientals is what makes their management easy and profitable; knowledge gives power, more
power requires more knowledge, and so on in an increasingly profitable dialectic of information
and control.” The narrators I have testified to the reality that knowing how their own
communities are portrayed in American news affects their sense of self, as well as their behavior.
The scholarship mentioned here allows me to consider these observations both theoretically and
pragmatically.

1.3.4 Migration, identity, and integration: becoming “American”

In her book, The Spirit Catches You and You Fall Down, Anne Fadiman recounts an event hosted
for immigrant workers at a Ford automotive plant in Michigan during the early 1920s. These
workers were provided mandatory “Americanization” classes, and Fadiman reveals,

The first sentence they memorized was ‘I am a good American.’ During their
graduation ceremony they gathered next to a gigantic wooden pot, which their
teachers stirred with ten-foot ladles. The students walked through a door into the
pot, wearing traditional costumes from their countries of origin and singing songs
in their native languages. A few minutes later, the door in the pot opened, and the

38 Said, Orientalism, 36.
students walked out again, wearing suits and ties, waving American flags, and singing ‘The Star-Spangled Banner.’

While this iconic, celebratory melting pot exists in multiple iterations to describe the ways in which migrants adapt to life in the United States, the reality of the integration process is far more complex (though, perhaps, similarly unsettling).

In order to sort out the practical and ideological processes by which refugees integrate into the U.S., my dissertation seeks out an answer to a poignant question posed by Aiwha Ong: “What are the actual venues and lessons involved in becoming American, especially for the poor and disadvantaged?” Ong stresses the necessity of seeking out not one overarching apparatus that governs refugee integration, but rather a “multiplicity of networks through which various authorities, nonprofit agencies, programs, and experts translate democratic goals.” Christie Shrestha suggests that the non-governmental agencies that facilitate refugee resettlement play a significant role in determining how refugees come to understand American values and practices, and that, in her own research of recently arrived Bhutanese refugees living in a small American town, “Bureaucratic practices and micro-management of refugees informed and shaped refugees’ understanding of what it means to be an American.” By assessing the means through which refugees are provided mediated information about the United States, and the ways in which this

41 Ong, *Buddha is Hiding*, 79.
42 Ibid., 80.
information comes to function as “truth,” in the Foucauldian sense, my dissertation describes in detail the means through which this power takes shape in refugee’s everyday lives.44

For theoretical support of my discussion of refugees’ cultural integration, I lean on and complicate the integrative theory of communication and cross-cultural adaptation proposed by Young Yun Kim.45 She asserts that during the process of assimilation to a new culture, people experience both deculturation, or the unlearning of one’s previous culture, and acculturation, or the process of adopting the behaviors and attitudes of a new host culture. Kim’s theory was useful for my research because it seeks to explain the ways in which mediated communication that immigrants encounter about their new culture during the transition process “provides the fundamental means by which individuals develop insights into their new environment.”46 In this view, communication acts as a catalyst that mediates immigrants’ acculturation; as newcomers encounter more interpersonal and mass communication from the host culture—assuming they have adequate language competence—they will become more familiar with the norms and values of the new place, and begin to negotiate their new hybrid cultural identity accordingly. Kim tends to oversimplify acculturation, ignoring the manner in which power and knowledge may complicate the process that she describes as largely unidirectional, or how refugees may resist it. Moreover, Kim’s theory of communication and cross-cultural adaptation fails to account for site-specific, local differences in refugees’ likelihood to acculturate or resist acculturation, so that she falls prey to the kinds of generalities that Clifford Geertz charges are “large banalities lacking

46 Ibid., 66.
either circumstantiality or surprise, precision or revelation.  

47 For this reason, I counter Kim’s theory with multiple examples throughout the dissertation where refugees mention their use of media to sustain contact with the culture of their former homes.

Of course, refugees do not only encounter government- and resettlement agency-produced media. Before, during, and after their relocation to the U.S., refugees are likely to encounter American films, television, music, fashion, books, magazines, blogs, and other types of media produced by the U.S. culture industry. Like in the case of the institutional and governmental media discussed above, refugees consume these popular forms of media in unique, sometimes unpredictable ways. I discuss this consumption in more detail in section six.

1.3.5 Intercultural communication

This dissertation summons the works of intercultural communication scholars such as Ron Scollon, Suzanne Wong Scollon, Judith Martin, Thomas Nakayama, and William Gudykunst who employ social science, interpretive, and critical approaches to research, as it seeks to understand the ways in which media interact with refugee relocation.  

48 These scholars in particular are useful in the areas of this dissertation that relate to (1) identity, (2) power, and (3) language/meaning. The three approaches to intercultural communication—social science, interpretive, and critical—maintain divergent perspectives regarding the origin of identity. Still,

each of these three recognizes that identity is socially constructed, rather than biologically predetermined.

In the social science view, evinced most thoroughly in William Gudykunst’s *Theorizing about Intercultural Communication*, identity is portrayed as a relatively static product of the groups one belongs to. This view is evident in Henri Tajfel and John Turner’s “social identity theory,” which maintains that the most useful means through which to gather information regarding an individual’s identity is by analyzing the groups in which one holds membership.49 These groups may be ideological or physical in nature, include race, sexual orientation, religion, education, hobbies, and other categorizations, and are comprised of members who may enter into communication digitally or physically. For my dissertation, this conception of identity is inadequate, because it does not account for the ways in which a refugee’s membership in a social or cultural group may be precarious, contingent, marginal, or contested. Thus, I rely on the interpretive view of identity. In the interpretive view, discussed most thoroughly in Judith Martin and Thomas Nakayama’s *Intercultural Communication in Contexts*, identity is a consistently negotiated evolution of the communication that one encounters over the course of his or her life. This perspective privileges communication over group membership, and by using this view, I am able to explore and discuss the discursive, rhetorical nature of identity by highlighting the narrators’ perspectives about the ways in which they see themselves and their relation to media. The critical view of identity also lends some insight to this dissertation. In the critical view, identity is conceived as an individual’s negotiation of the social and historical factors that limit one’s choices and draw some possibilities to the fore whilst concealing others.

Beyond these three divisions, Gudykunst, as well as Martin and Nakayama, propose in their texts a view that identity is double—rather than single—sided. These two sides include *avowal*, or, how an individual sees him or herself, and *ascription*, or, how an individual perceives that others see him or her. This binary becomes especially germane in the chapters below that discuss refugees’ understanding about Americans’ views about refugees, and in the sections in which resettlement administrators discuss community reactions to refugee influx. A thorough understanding of the constructed nature of identity and its relationship to communication is imperative for my dissertation, because this notion describes the ways in which individuals create meanings and negotiate their identities in processes that are based not only on their own experiences but also in light of the representations of themselves and other cultural groups that they encounter.

The next set of tools from the field of intercultural communication that have been relevant to this dissertation involve power. Unfortunately, power is too often left out of intercultural communication research. When considerations of power are included, it is often for the sake of reinforcing some quantitatively determined relationship factors. For example, William Gudykunst highlights the notion of “power distance,” one of Hofstede’s “four dimensions of cultural variability,” that seeks to explain how members of certain cultures may be more likely to accept imbalances in power than members of other cultures.50 Similarly, the Scollons include in their text a description of a utilitarian paradigm, in which women are more likely to recognize and be continually aware of asymmetries in power.51 The problem with these conceptions of power is not that they are quantitatively determined, but rather that they fail to

50 Gudykunst, *Theorizing about Intercultural Communication*, p. 8
recognize the ways in which power operates within any communication situation, and because they do not recognize that power may become simultaneously more pervasive and less visible in instances in which differences in culture are apparent, recognized, or accepted as social realities. In my dissertation, I employ the conception of power described by Martin and Nakayama. These authors work to reveal the ways in which power is a product of particular versions of history.\(^5\) In the United States, mythologies regarding nationalism directly affect the number and types of refugees that are admitted, and the ways these refugees are treated after their arrival. Martin and Nakayama also advance the view that power is dynamic, context-dependent, and may both reflect and reinforce existing social or political hierarchies. The parts of my dissertation in which refugees discussed what they have learned about American culture, values, government, and norms through U.S. media consider in detail how power operates within these media.

Finally, the concepts regarding language and meaning, found in Martin and Nakayama’s “dialogic approach,” the Scollons’ “discourse approach,” and, to a lesser degree, within Gerry Philipsen et al.’s “speech codes theory,” were useful in considering the messages implicit in U.S. media consumed by refugees.\(^5\) Martin and Nakayama’s dialogic approach emphasizes conversation, rather than similarity, in any instance in which two or more cultures interact. This notion has served my dissertation well, because it highlights the value of differences and comparisons between refugee narratives. Likewise, the Scollons’ discourse approach promotes the view that every communicative interaction necessarily includes a push and pull of similarities and differences, and that, because of this reality, all interactions are intercultural. This discourse

\(^{52}\) Martin and Nakayama, \textit{Intercultural Communication}, 77-110.
approach proves useful when I consider the unique ways that refugees understand, interpret, and react to American popular media. Philipsen et al.’s speech codes theory advances the notion of a “code,” or, a historically constructed and socially situated set of meanings, premises, and rules relating to communication conduct. This theory advances the notion that all forms of culture are in some ways wrapped up in or perpetuated by language, and has been useful in my research for underscoring the ways in which one’s connotative associations with some messages or cultural groups may impact any communication event. Still, Philipsen et al.’s conception remains limited because it reinforces a static interpretation of culture and ignores power.

1.3.6 Audience study

For the parts of this dissertation that involve considerations or analyses of audiences, including the analysis of the orientation texts and the refugees’ responses to local U.S. newspaper articles about refugees as well as other types of popular U.S. media, I make use of scholarship such as Elizabeth Bird’s *Audience in Everyday Life*, Jacob J. Podber’s “Early Radio in Rural Appalachia: An Oral History,” Pertti Alasuutari’s *Rethinking the Media Audience*, Stuart Hall’s notion of encoding and decoding, Ramaswami Harindranath’s “Ethnicity and Cultural Difference: Some Thematic and Political Issues on Global Audience Research,” Don Kulick and Margaret Willson’s “Rambo’s Wife Saves the Day: Subjugating the Gaze and Subverting the Narrative in a Papua New Guinean Swamp,” and Pietari Kaapa and Guan Wenbo’s scholarship on translocal reception of transnational films. Each of these scholars recognizes that media does not hold any meaning in and of itself, but, instead, may be interpreted uniquely by each individual who encounters it. Indeed, while some of the refugees I interviewed have reported encountering some of the same media—for example, many participants reported seeing the film *Rambo* before their
arrival in the U.S. and several mentioned a particular newspaper article that I analyze in Chapter Five—it is clear that refugees’ interpretations of these and other media are consistently tailored to the viewers’ own lives and contingent upon their previous knowledge, experiences and desires. Still, as I discuss in detail throughout this dissertation, some similarities exist between the different narrators’ interpretations of American media. The scholarship mentioned above helps me in considering how, even in the midst of these similarities, the refugee participants’ interpretations of U.S. media are highly personal, distinctive, and dependent upon refugees’ other media-related and non-media-related experiences.

For the parts of this dissertation that require refugee narrators to rely on their deep memory to recall the American media they saw long before their relocation to the U.S., I use Jacob Podber’s oral history on the introduction of radio to rural Appalachia for reference. In this 2001 essay, Podber employs several strategies useful to my own approach. For example, when asking elderly narrators to remember their first encounters with radio as children in the 1920s, he invites the participants to describe where they were during this first experience. This technique helps the narrators to “place their memories within a location, thus helping to center the respondent[s],” he explains. Additionally, he listens for clues regarding social context in his narrators’ histories. For example, if a narrator remembers listening to radio for the first time in his or her home, as opposed to at a neighbor or relative’s house, this setting may provide evidence of the narrator’s wealth around the time of the history of interest. The strategies in Podber’s essay are especially useful for formulating questions and follow-up questions that work to contextualize my narrators’ history as audiences of American media.

Pietari Kaapa and Guan Wenbo’s discussion of “Translocal Reception of Transnational Cinema” is useful for Chapter Two of this dissertation, where I consider the media environments in refugees’ nation of origin. These authors cite Andrew Higson’s three possible “forms of encounter” as they analyze the ways that individuals interpret transnational film. The first form of encounter involves anxious concern regarding cultural imperialism, or the idea that viewing films from other nations may lead to a dissolution or pollution of one’s culture. Higson’s second form is a positive reception of transnational media that may include a perception of the possibility of freedom or liberation. Finally, his third form describes how a foreign piece of media may be interpreted through a local, indigenous frame—an assertion similar to Stuart Hall’s notion of the localized codes of understanding that exist within each individual as a result of his or her personal history and experience.

As the diverse oral history narrators who participated in my research attest, the interpretation of any media is highly personal, so that one should not assume any text holds an inherent or immanent meaning in itself. Don Kulick and Margaret Willson critique any view that considers a media text itself to be a site of meaning, and argue for a recognition of Debra Spitulnik’s view that texts are “dynamic sites of struggle over representation, and complex spaces in which subjectivities are constructed and identities contested.” Evidence of this reality

---

can be found in many existing studies. For example, Janice Radway found that by “immersing themselves” in romance novels, “women vicariously fulfill their needs for nurturance.” Radhika Parameswaran argues that women in India may read romance novels as a political practice, even though, presumably, authors did not have readers’ political activism in mind when writing these novels. Though she writes about a different medium, Parameswaran’s findings support Kulick and Willson’s notion of the ways in which “an audience, through an alternative reading of cinematic signifiers… can… subvert the cinematic gaze [and] destabilize the notion of a bounded filmic narrative.” Though each of these scholars chooses and writes about a single medium, and focuses on populations that are very different from the ones I study, I apply their insights to studies of audiences of multiple forms of media in order to describe the ways in which refugees interpret or connote meaning from mediated representations of reality. In these sections, I consider questions such as: Is it likely that viewing American media may influence a refugee’s decision to subvert or contest oppression in his or her home country? What other kinds of secondary purposes (i.e., improving English skills, teaching cultural norms, etc.) do American media fulfill for refugees? In answering these questions, I call upon John Fiske’s concept of “active audiences” by promoting the view that readers and viewers interpret media according to their own, unique understanding and needs.

Finally, I make use of Ramaswami Harindranath’s essay, “Ethnicity and Cultural Difference: Some Thematic and Political Issues on Global Audience Research,” as I pursue the

---

60 Kulick and Willson, “Rambo's wife,” 2.
multi-ethnic approach this dissertation takes. Harindranath argues that the way audience research conceives of ethnicity often results in overly simplified, homogenized representations of cultural groups. In light of this charge, I have challenged myself to maintain a view of the differences that exist both within and between the four refugee groups involved in this project so that I can, as Harindranath suggests, consider the intersectionality of the participants’ perspectives. This mandate allows me to highlight multiple junctures of meaning and to consider, as David Morley does in his study of audiences, how the participants in this study “inhabit their membership of any particular collectivity.” For the manifold considerations of audience in this dissertation, the aforementioned scholars’ work proves invaluable.

1.4 TERMS AND HISTORICAL CONTEXT

Throughout this dissertation, I will use some terms that may be unfamiliar to readers who are not directly involved with refugee resettlement; other potentially unfamiliar terms not specific to refugee resettlement but still generally and unavoidably ambiguous are included here. In addition, I will refer to some international historical events throughout this work about which the reader might require some context and understanding. Here, I offer some definitions and historical context for this work in an attempt to facilitate reading along the way.

1.4.1 “United States media”

Before this project took on its current focus on media, I was concerned more generically with how refugees developed and negotiated their expectations about life in the United States before they arrived, and my questions to the first few initial narrators reflected this general curiosity. Within the first several interviews I conducted, it became clear that there exists a widely held belief that refugees’ expectations are a direct result of negotiated interactions with “media.” In light of this repeated suggestion from the narrators, I began to wonder, what are these media that are having such a ubiquitous impact, and what is the extent of their influence? Indeed, I was less interested in delimiting a clear definition of how I conceive of media, and more interested in the ways media is defined and experienced by the narrators. To investigate this query and acquire a more exact understanding of the range of media influencing resettlement, I began to ask questions during subsequent interviews both generic and specific. For example, I would ask both “What did you know about the U.S. before your arrival and where did this information come from?” and “Do you watch any American television?” In this way, I began to carve out an understanding of the ways the narrators both defined media and understood its role in their resettlement. The media that the narrators described in relation to their relocation to the U.S. exhibited one or both of two salient characteristics. First, the narrators believed the media that informed their relocation to the U.S. was produced in the United States (although not necessarily by U.S. citizens or residents). Second, the media depicted some aspect of life in the United States, such as customs, rules, fashion, family relationships, or style of homes.

Throughout this work, I included narrators’ insights regarding multiple forms of popular and government-sponsored media that were either produced inside of or depict the United States. I refer to this media generally as “U.S. media” when no more specific term applies. Media
appears in this work in multiple forms—digital, print, and video, and each of these forms offers its own advantages and poses its own challenges for refugees who have varying degrees of technological knowhow and language skills. Rather than assert any firm boundaries regarding what does and does not constitute media, I followed the lead of the narrators, who define this term in unique ways that sometimes vary from one person to another, depending on access, technological skill, and interest. For example, a few young Iraqi refugees noted the importance of conversations with friends in the U.S. on Viber—a free text and talk application for mobile devices—while some older women from Burma who do not own mobile devices and have never used social media did not mention (nor likely ever considered) this type of media as they searched their memories, and instead emphasized the importance of films or textbooks to their relocation.

This work testifies to the “third wave” of audience studies described by Pertti Alasuutari in that it attempts not to extract refugee encounters with media from other lived experiences so that they can be analyzed in isolation, but rather to consider the ways in which these mediated texts are embedded inside and inform other complex facets of relocation.65 Lawrence Grossberg refers to such an approach as “radical contextualization,” and suggests that scholars wishing to take up this approach must first consider human experiences relationally, so that they can analyze the threads that hold together the web of media, power, culture, and knowledge that informs the lives of individuals in society.66 Little is to be gained from arguing that some media depictions are more attuned than others to a singular, universal “reality.” Rather, I assert that media—as the products of a few individuals’ desires and observations—weave in and through

multiple, situated realities. It is this facet of media that necessitates an understanding that any mediated representations—whether news or novels or documentaries—are fictional. That is, media are fictional “in the sense that they are ‘something made,’ ‘something fashioned.’”

Clifford Geertz reminds us that this is “the original meaning of fictio—not that they are false, [or] unfactual,” but rather, fictional simply because they are representations of a constructed reality. Media appears in this work in multiple forms—digital, print, and video, and each of these forms offers its own advantages and poses its own challenges for refugees who have varying degrees of technological and language skills.

1.4.2 “Refugees”

Immigrant is a general term defined by the United States Immigrant and Nationality Act as any alien in the United States. Immigrants may be either voluntary or forced. Forced migrants include internally displaced persons, asylees, and refugees. The definition of a refugee, established by the United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees’ 1951 Convention on the Status of Refugees, is an individual who, “owing to well-founded fear of being persecuted for reasons of race, religion, nationality, membership of a particular social group or political opinion, is outside the country of his nationality and is unable, or, owing to such fear, is unwilling to avail himself of the protection of that country; or who, not having a nationality and being outside the country of his former habitual residence as a result of such events, is unable, or,

68 Ibid., 15.
owing to such fear, is unwilling to return to it.”70 In 1948, the United States Congress enacted the first legislation relating to the admission of refugees in the U.S., as a result of the more than 250,000 Europeans who were displaced during and after World War II. This Displaced Persons Act resulted in the resettlement of approximately 415,000 refugees in the U.S. from 1948 to 1952, before being replaced with the Refugee Relief Act in 1953.71 During the 1960s, more than 650,000 Cubans fled their country and joined the displaced Europeans in the U.S., with the aid of several private religious and ethnic organizations that facilitated their resettlement. It was not until five years after the U.S. military withdrawal from Vietnam in 1975 that the Federal government standardized refugee services with the Refugee Act of 1980, which adopted the definition of “refugee” established by the UNHCR’s 1951 Convention on the Status of Refugees. Since 1975, the United States Office of Refugee Resettlement72 estimates that the U.S. has resettled more than three million refugees. Instead of a steady influx, the admission of refugees into the U.S. has varied greatly due to global events including wars and natural disasters, as well as national events such as changes in funding, attitudes, legislation, and September 11th.73 While refugee narratives receive quite a bit of attention in U.S. news, little scholarly attention has been given to recent arrivals. Aiwha Ong argues that the scant attention paid tends to portray refugees as “homogenized entities,” and fails to consider “the complex ways in which different categories

71 Haines, Safe Haven, 4.
72 The Office of Refugee Resettlement (ORR), is an office of the United States Administration for Children and Families, which is part of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.
73 The number of refugees admitted in the year following September 11th was only 27,100, compared to a high of 207,116 refugees admitted in 1980. See http://www.acf.hhs.gov/programs/orr/about/history
of refugees are variously imagined and received.”74 For the sake of avoiding this tendency and in order to create a context specific to the refugee populations included in this dissertation, the next sections will offer synopses of the crises leading to the influx of refugees from Bhutan, Burma, Iraq and Somalia to the United States, as well as some information regarding these refugees’ current, post-arrival socioeconomic status.

1.4.3 Bhutanese refugee crisis

The Bhutanese refugee crisis began when the government of Bhutan stripped individuals of Nepali origin living in Southern Bhutan of their nationality, beginning with a series of repressive ethnicity-related laws, and culminating between 1989 and 1992, during which time the majority of Nepali-Bhutanese fled from their homes.75 During and after these events, individuals belonging to this group, who made up approximately one sixth of the entire population of Bhutan, were either forcibly removed from the country or fled for fear of persecution. Religion was a salient factor of displacement for some of the refugees from Bhutan I interviewed, and especially for those who converted to Christianity from the dominant Bhutanese religions of Buddhism or Hindu before or after their resettlement.76 The majority of these individuals resettled into several refugee camps in southeastern Nepal, where many still live. In 2006, former Head of the U.S. Department of State’s Bureau of Population, Refugees, and Migration, Ellen Sauerbrey, announced the U.S. government’s willingness to resettle 60,000 of the

74 Ong, Buddha is Hiding, 79.
76 See the U.S. Department of State’s report on religion in Bhutan at http://www.state.gov/j/drl/rls/irf/2007/90227.htm
approximately 106,000 refugees who were currently living in the Nepal camps.\textsuperscript{77} In 2012 alone, 15,070 Bhutanese refugees arrived in the U.S., making Bhutan the leading country of nationality for incoming refugees to the U.S.\textsuperscript{78}

The Bhutanese have had mixed levels of success and contentment in the U.S. The International Rescue Committee reports stories of Bhutanese refugees who have gone “from surviving to thriving in the U.S.\textsuperscript{79} Still, in 2012, the United States Office of Refugee Resettlement requested that the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention launch an investigation into sixteen suicides between 2009 and 2012 by Bhutanese refugees living in the U.S.\textsuperscript{80} Pennsylvania currently houses the largest U.S. population of Bhutanese refugees, followed closely by Texas, New York, and Georgia.\textsuperscript{81}

\subsection*{1.4.4 Burmese refugee crisis}

The Burmese refugee crisis began when thousands of Burmese individuals were forced to flee to Thailand after a pro-democracy uprising in 1988, continued during the next decade due to a

repressive military dictatorship that incited a series of military offensives in 1995 and 1997, and subsists currently due to ongoing—primarily ethnic-based—unrest. In 2012, inter-communal violence in the area of Rakhine State displaced some 75,000 Burmese. Much of the unrest in Burma results from tensions among different ethnic groups, and most of the Burmese refugees who have settled in the U.S. belong to the Karen, Katenni, Rohingya, or Chin ethnic minority groups. Before their arrival, most of these refugees lived in camps along the border in Thailand, and others survived as undocumented asylum seekers in Malaysia. In 2012, 14,160 Burmese refugees were settled in the U.S., with Texas and New York as the two leading states.82 Because Burmese refugee women and children have been especially and consistently vulnerable during their displacement,83 much of the recent scholarship devoted to this group has focused on solutions for human trafficking and gender-based violence.84 Though geographically dispersed, many of the Burmese refugees living in the U.S. stay connected and abreast of relevant news through community run organizations such as the Burma Refugee Family Network and Karen News.85

85 http://www.brfn.org/about-us.html; http://karennews.org/
1.4.5 Iraqi refugee crisis

The Iraqi refugee crisis is the result of multiple events over the last forty years, including the Iran-Iraq War in the 1980s, the Iraqi invasion of Kuwait, the Gulf War, and the U.S.-led invasion in 2003. As of April 30, 2013, 84,902 Iraqi refugees have been resettled in the U.S. Perhaps because of the U.S.’s direct involvement with some of the circumstances leading to Iraqi displacement, Iraqi refugees have received a good deal of attention in American scholarship. Iraqi refugees distinguish themselves from the other populations involved in this project in that many of them come from highly-educated, professional backgrounds, speak fluent English upon their arrival in the U.S., and are disappointed to find that despite their skill sets and former

86 Currently, many Iraqis (including one of the narrators who participated in this research) who fled to Syria after the U.S. invasion are returning to Iraq due to the unrest caused by Syria’s civil war, complicating the Iraqi refugee crisis further.
88 The IRC estimates that one in six Iraqis fled or were forced from their homes as a result of events surrounding the U.S. invasion of Iraq in 2003. See http://www.rescue.org/node/5678.
standards of living, they are often expected to seek entry-level jobs during their first days in the U.S. In an IRC report entitled “Iraqi Refugees in the United States: In Dire Straits” from 2009, researchers found that “while there are positive examples of employment and successful adaptation, most Iraqi refugees who were interviewed [for this research project] painted a picture of despair and frustration.”90 Additionally, the report concluded that compared to other refugee groups, Iraqis have poorer mental health and have experienced higher degrees of emotional trauma. The majority of Iraqis I interviewed are Muslim; the few that are Christian mentioned the religious persecution of Christians in the Muslim country of Iraq. The leading states for Iraqi resettlement are California and Michigan.91

1.4.6 Somali refugee crisis

As a result of the sixty-year drought in the horn of Africa and a civil war lasting more than two decades, over one million Somalis have recently fled their homes. With no means for transportation, many of these individuals journeyed on foot in search of food after the drought skyrocketed food prices far beyond the reach of an average citizen’s means.92 Large governmental opposition groups control much of Somalia, creating an unstable and dangerous environment for the displaced. Many Somalis settled temporarily in nearby countries, but because of unrest in Kenya, Ethiopia, and other countries in close proximity, these arrangements

are often not sustainable. Though the election of a new president and prime minister is providing Somalia some current stability and has improved humanitarian access, Somali refugees were still the third leading ethnicity for refugee arrivals in the U.S. in fiscal year 2012. The majority of Somalis I interviewed are Muslim, in keeping with the current dominant religion in Somalia. While trauma and starvation-related illnesses are common in Somali refugees in the U.S., they derive benefit from the dramatic decrease in immediate danger and a steady supply of food in their new homes. The majority of newly resettled Somali refugees in the U.S. have been placed in Minnesota, Texas, and New York.

While the experiences of the refugee narrators in this project varied widely according to the contributing factors of their displacement, their knowledge of U.S. norms and culture before their arrival, and their interest and use of media, some significant commonalities hold this group
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First, all of the refugee narrators originate from nations where U.S. media was limited widely either by a lack of media infrastructure and resources or government restrictions on such media. Moreover, after being forcibly displaced from their homes, all of the narrators were made to endure a U.S.-government-facilitated relocation process, which, as this dissertation discusses in detail, typically includes attendance and participation in two cultural orientations, engagement with a plethora of government-produced media, and sustained interaction with government actors in contexts both public and private before and after resettlement.

1.5 METHODOLOGY

To investigate the scope of the interaction of refugee migration and media, I have conducted seventy-four oral history interviews with refugees from the top four nations of origin for recent refugee arrivals in the US—Burma, Bhutan, Iraq and Somalia—in order to compare and contrast the myriad ways in which refugees encounter, interpret, and understand mediated representations of life in the United States. In addition to the seventy-four interviews with refugees, I also interviewed twelve refugee resettlement administrators. An oral history methodology entails conducting and recording qualitative, long-form interviews using open-ended questions, and has proven germane for my project in that it fosters a firsthand, in-depth view into refugees’ sense of

97 In keeping with oral history conventions, I will refer to the individuals I interviewed as “narrators.” This nomenclature is meant to testify to the informal and narrative structure of the interviews themselves, and to emphasize the magnitude of the refugees’ and resettlement administrators’ contributions to this project.

98 At the University of Pittsburgh, oral histories are excluded from IRB oversight as outlined in a 2004 agreement between the IRB and Pitt’s Communication Department. In light of this exclusion, my own research methods follow the Principles and Best Practices of the Oral History Association (2009), http://www.oralhistory.org/about/principles-and-practices/
meaning-making, in this case, their mediated perceptions of relocation. My interviews took place in the four states that accepted the most refugees during 2012: Texas, California, New York, and Pennsylvania. In addition, I completed close readings and analysis of several relevant texts that were brought to my attention by the participating narrators. Finally, in this dissertation, I call upon my observation of the several refugee classes, orientations, and other refugee-related meetings I attended in order to analyze the ways these meetings’ visual, oral, and written components inform refugee resettlement. In the following pages, I discuss each of these three methods in detail.

1.5.1 Oral history

In this dissertation, I foreground the voices of refugee narrators as I consider the ways that refugees encounter and use U.S. media before and after their relocation to the United States, and how this media sometimes acts as a bureaucratic guide as refugees relocate to the U.S. The oral history method I used throughout this project allowed firsthand, refugee voices to guide the research in a way that standardized questionnaires could not. Refugees’ resettlement experiences are permeated on all fronts with mediated representations of America, nationalism, patriotism, and, sometimes, xenophobia. But the ways in which refugees interpret or use these media vary widely. For this reason, it is imperative to my project that the narrators are able to testify, in their own words, to their own unique experiences. In this way, my project advances an understanding of the multitudinous possible iterations of refugees’ interpretations of U.S. media.

The Oral History Association (OHA) explains that oral history “refers both to a method of recording and preserving oral testimony and to the product of that process.”\textsuperscript{100} Though dialogic exchange, oral histories traverse ground unavailable via other methodologies by creating inimitable environments for individual reflections on highly personal narratives, and by providing a means for the recording and storage of voices that may otherwise go unheard. Sherna Gluck suggests that oral history allows researchers to gather underrepresented histories by “challenging the traditional concepts of history, of what is ‘historically important,’ and… affirming that our everyday lives are history.”\textsuperscript{101} This methodology is overseen and internally governed by the OHA, established in 1966, which “seeks to bring together all persons interested in oral history as a way of collecting and interpreting human memories to foster knowledge and human dignity.”\textsuperscript{102} The genesis of contemporary oral history has been attributed to the post-World War II “renaissance of memory as a source for ‘people’s history,’” and has undergone several transformations and incarnations since that time, but the role of individual narrations of history has remained consistently central and valuable to the philosophy of this work.\textsuperscript{103} Scholars from multiple disciplines employ the oral history methodology, and it exists in communication and media studies as one of many methods of research.

An oral history methodology entails conducting and recording qualitative, in-depth interviews using mostly open-ended questions. The foundational assumptions of this technique

include the belief that some personalized, historical knowledge cannot be gained quantitatively, but only through a process of open-ended reflection and the recovery of memory in which the researcher does not anticipate the responses of the participants prior to the interview. While memory is fallible, much of it does provide accurate representations. The resulting findings are both unanticipated and not reproducible because they are allowed to emerge organically throughout the interview, instead of through predetermined research questions and hypotheses. Because oral history is a methodology of experience, one that should not anticipate its findings before the interviews take place, researchers must guard against the tendency to give priority to only what they suspect they will find, or to ask leading questions in hopes of confirming what they already assume is true. The philosophy of oral history privileges the narrators’ accounts over the researchers’ presuppositions, so the responsible interviewer must provide time and opportunity for whichever responses a narrator chooses to exhibit. Such a position remains limited, however, in the reality that the researcher has predetermined which event to study, which narrators to seek out, and which perspectives to leave out of the final project. In this way, “the control of the historical discourse remains firmly in the hands of the historian,” and to assume otherwise would provide oral history an impossible advantage over every other kind of historical research.\textsuperscript{104} Indeed, just as narrators will inevitably include their interpretations alongside the account of history, so will researchers inevitably interpret their findings according to personal understanding and intent. In this way, throughout an oral history, the narrator and the interviewer work as partners, collaborating to create a record of a particular history. Alessandro Portelli suggests, “oral sources are always the result of a relationship, a common project in which

both the informant and the researcher are involved, together.” Instead of ignoring this inescapable reality, many oral historians recommend addressing it head on, taking on a “reflective, critical approach to memory and history” so that “by reflecting on our practice we can move toward a more sensitive research methodology.” A self-reflexive approach can work to keep the narrator’s perspective more central to oral history research.

An important distinction exists regarding the unit of study within the oral history research included in this dissertation. For my project, the unit of study is not some historical event itself, but rather the memory of a particular time in the narrators’ history. I refer to past events as “histories” instead of “history,” as this minor semantic change allows for the possibility of multiple or conflicting experiences around singular past events. Here, the significance lies not in the “reality” that some event occurred, but rather that the event was experienced by an individual or group of individuals who responded with highly variable personal reactions based on any number of factors. This reality speaks to a viable intersection of oral history with communication studies.

Contemporary communication theory leans heavily on postmodern perspectives of the dynamic nature of the self, found in the works of scholars like Roland Barthes and David Harvey. Barthes worked to advance the view that every experience is subject to personal dynamic interpretation called connotation, or “the imposition of a second meaning.” In this view, no individual can experience an event objectively, because his/her experience depends

105 Ibid., 103.
highly on contextual and personal factors. Taking this view further, David Harvey asserts that individuals create meanings that may shift or change “depending on the situation.”

Though a self-proclaimed Marxist, Harvey rejects Marxist ideas of a coherent self in favor of the notion of the “fragmented” selves that make up each individual and allow for the existence of simultaneous, event divergent meanings. Carrying these views into an oral history project allows a researcher such as myself to consider any narrator’s account not as an official or stagnant explanation of “truth,” but a reality, nonetheless, that is situated within a particular temporal and spatial context that remains somewhat subject to change.

The multi-cited, multi-lingual oral history interviews I completed for this work presented some unanticipated challenges that compelled me to maintain a constant view of my own positionality while interviewing. For example, while some of the refugee narrators were well educated and quite comfortable with academic research, others who had no history of education were unsure of what academic research was or how it might be used, so that I had to spend a good deal of the relatively little time we had together explaining my intentions and affiliations, and working through the deeds of gift forms, which granted me permission to use the narrators’ words in this dissertation, line-by-line. None of the refugee narrators spoke English as a first language, and, while many chose to speak with me through a translator in their native language, others were eager to use their newly acquired language skills and participate in the interview in English with no translator present. While I conceded whenever this request was made, I was challenged in a few instances by the mid-interview realization that the narrator and I were having

110 Ibid., 53.
111 See the deed of gift in Appendix A.
difficulty understanding each other clearly. In these instances, due to the extra time it took to ensure bidirectional understanding, the interviews covered comparatively less ground than others.

The presence of a translator did not eradicate difficulty, but instead changed its nature. Throughout this project, I faced questions such as: How can I be sure the translator is repeating clearly what the narrators and I have said? How should I proceed when translators who are also refugees begin—perhaps due to the informal nature of the interview conversations—to share their own experiences in addition to translating the narrators’? How should one transcribe language that is not grammatically correct, and how should this strategy change when the errors are an interpreter’s, rather than a narrator’s? I faced each of these questions several times and rather than developing a standard protocol by which to handle them, instead dealt on a case-by-case basis that took into account my own desires, the narrator’s preferences, and the translators’ willingness and skill.

Especially sensitive in this project was the prevailing reality that many refugees are asked consistently and repeatedly by their case managers or other resettlement personnel to talk with strangers who are often affiliated with the government in some capacity. Indeed, refugees may be required by their case managers to meet with representatives from Health and Human services, immigration lawyers, state-funded councilors, and other resettlement agency or government personnel at multiple points after their relocation. Whether out of respect for their case managers or an understanding that compliance with case manager requests often leads to the availability of aid or resources, I gained the sense during this project that refugees are often willing to agree to meetings even when they are not entirely sure of a meeting’s purpose or outcome. Because I worked with refugee case managers to solicit narrators for this project, this
realization led me to believe that it was important at the beginning of the interviews to take the
time to establish with the narrators that I had no ongoing affiliation with their resettlement
agencies, that speaking with me would have no impact on their ability to receive aid or their
standing with their resettlement agency, and that their decision to participate could be reversed if
they wished. Once I made these qualifications, I was overwhelmed by how many refugee
narrators were still eager to tell their stories and often wanted to continue talking even beyond
the time we had scheduled for our meeting.

Beyond the aforementioned challenges, there were others that made this project a
frustrating but ultimately rewarding venture. For example, some of the narrators did not know
their addresses or how to write words other than their names, so that completing the deeds of gift
became a multi-person and time consuming affair. Others did not know the day or year they
were born, or the names of the places they had lived before they were displaced, so that
information that is often standard or easy to obtain in many interviewing projects became
cumbersonre or impossible in this one. Additionally, interviewing narrators who had sustained
bodily torture—most of whom were from Somalia—posed a challenge that was quite the
opposite of what I had expected. I knew that it was likely that I would encounter some narrators
who had experienced torture; it is all too common in refugee experiences. While I did ask all of
the refugee narrators to explain to me their understanding of the reasons they were displaced, I
was clear in my interviews that they did not need to recount memories that may be too painful to
revisit; I assumed most would not want to discuss the intricate details of their hardships with a
near stranger. Instead, I found that the refugees who had sustained bodily torture were often
intent on sharing these parts of their narratives at length during our interviews. In these
moments, I felt pulled between my academic concerns and my human ones; the graphic verbal
depictions of rape, murder, and mutilation sometimes rendered me speechless, so that I was unable to or uninterested in maintaining my side of the conversation. Since completing the interviews, I have revisited and wrestled with these survivor narratives repeatedly. Like the narrators, I have sometimes found it difficult to concentrate on the other parts of this research in light of the massive and ongoing reality of violence. In deference to this particular group of narrators and their fervent commitment to making an American researcher aware of the prevalence of violence in refugees’ past, I have attempted to provide as much context as is possible regarding the narrators’ pre- and mid-displacement experiences. Indeed, even when these experiences appeared at first to have no relation at all to refugees’ use and interpretation of media, I often learned well into some interviews that a history of torture has a direct and ongoing effect on the ways refugees function as audiences of media, and discuss this revelation in detail at multiple points in this dissertation.

To seek out the narrators for my dissertation, I relied on refugee arrival data from the U.S. Department of State, the Bureau of Population, Refugees, and Migration, and the Worldwide Refugee Admissions Processing System. In fiscal year 2012, 58,179 refugees were admitted to the United States (31,380 male; 26,799 female). Seventy-nine percent of these admissions were from Bhutan (26 percent), Burma (24 percent), Iraq (21 percent), or Somalia (8.4 percent). Table one reveals the dispersion of newly arriving refugees from these four groups in 2012 across the four states involved in this project.

I interviewed twenty-four Bhutanese refugees, nineteen Iraqi refugees, nineteen Somali refugees, and eleven Burmese refugees. In keeping with the Oral History Association’s (2012) guidelines for best practices, I prepared an interview guide of some questions that I asked each narrator. These questions included inquiries such as, “Where were you born?” and “How old are you?” The guide evolved during the interviewing stage of this project to include the adaptation or addition of some questions, and the removal of others, so that each interview comprised a unique

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Bhutan</th>
<th>Burma/Myanmar</th>
<th>Iraq</th>
<th>Somalia</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Texas</td>
<td>1,216</td>
<td>2,142</td>
<td>960</td>
<td>456</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>409</td>
<td>2,912</td>
<td>238</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New York</td>
<td>1,204</td>
<td>1,074</td>
<td>324</td>
<td>411</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
<td>2,166</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total admitted to US in FY 2012</td>
<td>15,070</td>
<td>14,160</td>
<td>12,163</td>
<td>4,900</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


While these questions are standard in oral history research and may be assumed to provoke simple answers, in fact, because many refugees were displaced from their homes when they were quite young and because many have no record of their birth, sometimes the factual answers to these questions were unattainable. Interestingly, approximately ten of the seventy-four narrators told me they were born on January 1 of some year, which is likely an indication that resettlement personnel use this date as a default during resettlement processing when refugees are unaware of their birth date.
event with its own findings. During each interview, I allowed ample time for any new directions into which the narrator might lead me. Many times, these new directions arose when narrators’ responses evoked follow-up questions that led the narrator to discuss additional, unanticipated topics in detail.

I contacted the majority of the narrators in this project through liaisons at the refugee resettlement agencies in each of the four involved states. Additional narrators were recruited by way of the “snowball effect,” wherein narrators recommended I talk with their friends or family members, and helped to facilitate meetings with them. In order to gain a fuller grasp on the ways in which changes in the local culture may have impacted my findings, I interviewed narrators living in two distinct areas within each state: New York City and Buffalo in New York, Los Angeles and San Diego in California, Houston and Austin in Texas, and Pittsburgh and Erie in Pennsylvania. Table two provides the names and locations of all participating refugee resettlement organizations, the dates of my interviews, and the number of narrators affiliated with each organization.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Refugee Related Organization</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Dates of Interviews</th>
<th>Number of Narrators</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jewish Family and Children’s Services</td>
<td>Pittsburgh, PA</td>
<td>February 5, 2013, February 20, 2013, March 4, 2013</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International Institute of Erie</td>
<td>Erie, PA</td>
<td>February 7, 2013</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Area Multi-Service Center</td>
<td>Pittsburgh, PA</td>
<td>March 5, 2013, March 22, 2013</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAMBA NYC</td>
<td>New York, NY</td>
<td>June 4, 2013</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International Institute of Los Angeles</td>
<td>Los Angeles, CA</td>
<td>July 9, 2013, July 11, 2013, July 12, 2013</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journey’s End Refugee Services</td>
<td>Buffalo, NY</td>
<td>August 6, 2013, August 7, 2013</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International Institute of Buffalo</td>
<td>Buffalo, NY</td>
<td>August 7, 2013</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YMCA Houston</td>
<td>Houston, TX</td>
<td>November 13, 2013, November 14, 2013</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multicultural Refugee Coalition</td>
<td>Austin, TX</td>
<td>November 15, 2013</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catholic Charities</td>
<td>San Diego, CA</td>
<td>November 18, 2013</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somali Bantu Association of America</td>
<td>San Diego, CA</td>
<td>November 20, 2013, November 21, 2013</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Women’s East African Support Team</td>
<td>San Diego, CA</td>
<td>November 21, 2013</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Total: 86 narrators (74 refugees, 12 administrators)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Some members of the refugee populations living in Texas, California, New York and Pennsylvania had formal lessons in English before their arrival in the U.S., and many of the refugee narrators had access to extensive free English classes after their arrival. All twelve of the resettlement administrators I interviewed spoke English. Therefore, I was able to conduct
about half of my interviews in English. I made it clear in my initial contact with the narrators that they were welcome to choose the language in which the interviews would take place, and in cases where narrators preferred to provide their responses in a language other than English, I employed resettlement agency-affiliated interpreters. I conducted the interviews either at the agencies with which the narrators were affiliated, or in the narrators’ homes, and the interviews lasted approximately fifty minutes on average. I audio recorded each interview using an application called “Voice Memos” on my iPhone or iPad, excluding two interviews where the narrators declined to give permission for such recording. Because some refugees in the United States need to protect their identity for the safety of family members still living in one’s home country or country of asylum, five of the narrators preferred that I use a pseudonym to identify them in this work. In these cases, the interviews are cited using the pseudonym as well as the statement “name changed at the narrator’s request.” After my interviews and observations of refugee-related meetings and events were complete, I had approximately sixty-three hours of recorded material. I indexed the recordings by dividing their content into themes of media interaction before and after relocation, and employed professional transcriptionists to transcribe twenty-two selected interviews that I selected on the basis of relevancy to the project’s themes. I transcribed selected parts of the other interviews myself.

While I have addressed some errors and omissions in the transcripts by providing brackets for clarity of reading, I have not invisibly corrected refugees’ or translators’ grammar. For example, a narrator’s quote in Chapter Three appears as “my dream and [what] I faced so different.” The original transcriptions of the interviews are verbatim, and include vocalized pauses, false starts, and other nonverbal utterances. Because none of the refugee narrators spoke
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English as a first language, and because several of the refugee narrators preferred to speak with me in English, many times they would use vocalized pauses such as “um,” “ahh,” or “you know” as they searched for a word or confirmed my understanding. However, in this dissertation, I have removed many of the nonverbal utterances for two reasons: (1) because the utterances were sometimes the interpreters’ rather than the narrators’; and (2) for the sake of brevity and clarity.

I chose the four geographical sites for this project not only because they provided access to the greatest number of refugees, but also because (1) they represent the four regions of the U.S.: west coast, east coast, north, and south, (2) a USCRI-sponsored refugee resettlement agency exists in each, (3) the directors of refugee resettlement at the four respective USCRI-sponsored agencies volunteered to facilitate the project by reaching out to narrators and providing interpreters, and, (4) each of the four house large Burmese, Bhutanese, Iraqi and Somali refugee populations. Table three reveals the numbers of all incoming refugees to the top ten states for resettlement in fiscal years 2010, 2011, and 2012.

\[\text{\textsuperscript{117}}\text{ According to the Department of Homeland Security, Texas, California, New York and Pennsylvania were the four states that accepted the largest number of refugees in FY 2012. See Table 2.}\]

\[\text{\textsuperscript{118}}\text{ Kheir Mugwaneza at Northern Area Ministries in Pittsburgh, Marc Fallon at CAMBA in New York City, Lisa Guitguit at YMCA Houston, and Lilian Alba at the International Institute of Los Angeles.}\]
Table 3. Incoming refugees by top ten states in fiscal years 2010-2012\textsuperscript{119}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>Incoming Refugees FY 2010</th>
<th>Incoming Refugees FY 2011</th>
<th>Incoming Refugees FY 2012</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Texas</td>
<td>7918</td>
<td>5627</td>
<td>5905</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California</td>
<td>8577</td>
<td>4987</td>
<td>5167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New York</td>
<td>4559</td>
<td>3529</td>
<td>3525</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
<td>2632</td>
<td>2972</td>
<td>2809</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florida</td>
<td>4216</td>
<td>2906</td>
<td>2244</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgia</td>
<td>3224</td>
<td>2636</td>
<td>2516</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michigan</td>
<td>3188</td>
<td>2588</td>
<td>3594</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arizona</td>
<td>3400</td>
<td>2168</td>
<td>2234</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington</td>
<td>3004</td>
<td>2137</td>
<td>2165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Carolina</td>
<td>2342</td>
<td>2120</td>
<td>2099</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The oral history interviews I completed allowed me to foreground refugee voices in this dissertation rather than the voices of those who speak for or about refugees from positions of privilege.

\textsuperscript{119} Martin, Daniel C. and James E. Yankay, “Annual Report: refugees and Asylees 2012,” Department of Homeland Security, accessed on April 13, 2013, \url{http://www.dhs.gov/sites/default/files/publications/ois_rfa_fr_2012.pdf}; Note: this Department of Homeland Security Report cites “U.S. Department of State, Bureau of Population, Refugees, and Migration (PRM), Worldwide Refugee Admissions Processing System (WRAPS)” for its incoming refugee statistics. However, here are minor unexplained discrepancies exist between the numbers of refugee arrivals by state between the Department of Homeland Security report and the annual statistics released by the Department of State. In each category, the discrepancy is less than ten individuals. For example, the Department of State reported 5173 incoming refugees to California in FY 2012, while the Department of Homeland Security reported only 5167. The Department of State’s refugee arrival data for fiscal years 2010, 2011, and 2012 can be found at \url{http://www.acf.hhs.gov/programs/ort/resource/refugee-arrival-data}
1.5.2 Close reading and rhetorical criticism

Throughout this dissertation, I use the insights I gained from the oral history interviews I conducted to take up the methodology of close reading and criticism of several pieces of print, video, and digital media. My goal, as it relates to the examination of these media, is not to suggest that the texts hold meaning in and of themselves, but rather to explore the ways in which they promote certain versions of knowledge while concealing others. In order to avoid a viewing of these media as isolated, finite pieces of information, I examine the texts situationally and contextually, considering the varieties of ways in which they may appear within, and interact with, refugees’ lives.

Rhetorical criticism is an interdisciplinary approach to the study of language and power that recognizes the ability of communication to facilitate the advancement of knowledges and realities, and recognizes that discourse acts not only as a carrier of ideology, but also as a social action in and of itself. Taking up a mandate of close attention to both language and context, rhetorical criticism involves examination of implicit and explicit strategies in language, and considers critically how these strategies may provide insights into relationships of power and truth production. By utilizing rhetorical criticism to examine the ways in which truth is created, negotiated, and/or maintained in any text or talk, one can gain a better understanding of the ways in which the production of these “truths” affect the lived realities of individuals.

In the parts of this dissertation that utilize close readings of media and rhetorical criticism, I take up Sonja K. Foss’s notion of ideological criticism, which recognizes that “evaluative beliefs” are encoded into rhetorical (and, in this case, mass mediated) messages that

---

“serve as the foundation for knowledge attitudes, [and] motives.”

By homing in on the implicit ideologies that appear in mass media, I am able to tease out the discourses of power and knowledge that run like a current through the kinds of media that refugees encounter before, during and after their arrival in the United States.

While the critical analysis of talk and text is a prevalent methodology in communication studies generally, its use in examinations of artifacts relating to migration media specifically has been limited. In light of this, my decision to focus on individual artifacts (instead of whole genres or groups of related artifacts) in each of the chapters that employ critical analysis is based on Michael Billig’s view that “at an early stage in an area’s theoretical development, a single case study can be especially useful. …Whilst no claims for sample representativeness can be made from a single case study, it is hoped that in-depth analysis can reveal features and complexities, which have a wider generality.” This approach has allowed me to examine a handful of key texts with a good deal of detail rather than including superficial reviews of an indiscriminate amount of texts.

121 Ibid., 239.


Because refugees encounter a wide range of media throughout their relocation, and because refugees’ encounters with media vary depending on area of origin, number of years spent in a secondary country of asylum before arriving in the U.S, age, language skill, interest, and other factors, I followed the lead of the narrators in deciding which media to analyze. When a particular piece of media was mentioned during an interview, I asked the narrators to describe their memory of this media; after the interview, I would read, watch, or listen to the media myself in order to complete an analysis of its style, format, and content. This process was complicated by the fact that in several cases, narrators could not recall the names of the films, books, television shows, or websites to which they referred. Though these instances prevented me from being able to analyze these unnamed pieces of media myself, I still include the narrators’ description of them in several parts of this dissertation. Refugees’ descriptions of unnamed media reinforce the significance of the lingering yet partial impact of media on audiences.

1.5.3 Observation/participation

At several of the locations involved in this project, I had the opportunity to observe and/or participate in meetings and events at refugee-related organizations. These events served several purposes in this project. First, they allowed me to become familiar with the daily goings on and structure of refugee resettlement agencies and other refugee-related organizations. Second, they provided me with an opportunity to meet and network with refugees and resettlement administrators. Third, they gave me a firsthand view of the ways that media are acquired, displayed, and disseminated in refugee-related organizations. In some cases, such as when I attended an English as a Second Language class for newly-arrived refugees affiliated with
Journey’s End Refugee Services in Buffalo, New York, my role was merely observational; I sat to the side of the class taking notes and photographs. In other cases, such as when I attended a board meeting of resettlement staff at the YMCA Houston, Texas, I was asked to share my goals, findings, and/or recommendations, and thus acted as both observer and participant.\textsuperscript{125} The meetings and events I attended varied in purpose, attendance, length and format. In addition to the English as a Second Language class and board meeting I mentioned above, I attended a formal refugee orientation in Pittsburgh, a monthly community meeting of the San Diego Refugee Council, and a yearly digital webinar about the current state of pre-departure orientations delivered by the Cultural Orientation Resource Center. In addition to these formal events, I was also given the chance to tour and photograph the twelve refugee-related organizations that co-operated with me in my research, and to enjoy several afternoon teas and meals with refugees and resettlement staff in multiple locations. While I do not write at length about every one of these experiences in the following pages, I cannot overemphasize how integral they were to this dissertation. The opportunity to attend these formal and informal meetings and events in the four states involved in this project provided context, background knowledge, and perspective for each of the chapters in this work.

I do not presume that my attendance at the aforementioned events and venues has provided me with a complete view of refugee resettlement. Rather, I am keen to agree with James Clifford, who emphasizes that any participant/observer must address the issue of cultural representation directly, recognizing that any interpretation of a place or event is contestable and

contingent on the researcher’s beliefs, values, and preferences.\textsuperscript{126} I am aware that resettlement personnel invited me to some events and not others, and that the set of refugee-related organizations that I contacted are not representative of all of the others. My goal in observing or participating in these events was not to predict or provide generalizations about the behavior of any certain group or culture, but rather to gain context for my project with attention to detail and meaning.\textsuperscript{127}

1.6 RATIONALE FOR CHAPTER SEQUENCE

This dissertation is divided into six chapters: this introduction (Chapter One), four chronological chapters, and a conclusion. Chapter Two investigates the ways refugees interpret the U.S. media that they encounter long before their arrival in the United States, and how they may understand these media as representations or distortions of the reality of life in the U.S. Moreover, this second chapter considers how these media may affect refugees’ decisions to apply for resettlement to the United States, and any apprehension or anticipation related to those decisions. In Chapter Three, the narrators discuss the types of digital, print, and video media they were given during the weeks leading up to their relocation, in United Nations’ mandated pre-departure cultural orientations and/or in personal preparations for resettlement. I analyze the ways this new knowledge informs refugees’ move to the U.S. by providing detailed analysis of the most

\textsuperscript{127} For a detailed description of the ways in which interpretive research varies from social science or critical research, see Martin and Nakayama, \textit{Intercultural Communication}.
widely used pre-departure orientation text, titled *Welcome to the United States: A Guidebook for Refugees*, and by chronicling and analyzing the media that refugees may encounter outside of these orientations in preparation for their relocation. In the Fourth Chapter, I inquire into the realm of learning and experience by concentrating on refugees’ first days in the United States. Specifically, because refugees carry impressions and memories of pre-arrival media with them into the U.S., Chapter Four provides instances in which the narrators compare what they learned in pre-arrival media encounters about the U.S. to the reality of their experiences upon resettlement. This chapter also discusses refugees’ acquisition of media technology after their arrival in the U.S., and the varying degrees of importance this acquisition had for the narrators. Additionally, Chapter Four considers how local resettlement organizations in the U.S. use print and digital media in an attempt to guide refugees through their first days after relocation, and how this post-arrival orientation media represent the U.S. government, act as a means of standardization, and foster refugee deprivatization, or, the imposition of governmental control into the realms of health, hygiene, and family. The fifth and final chapter turns to consider the ways refugees use media in processes of ongoing resettlement, after they have completed their post-arrival orientations and after their contact with their resettlement agencies begins to wane. Here, the narrators interpret instances in which members of their community are portrayed in American media, and how these portrayals affect their sense of belonging in the U.S. or their knowledge about Americans’ perceptions of refugees. I undertake a close reading of several such media to reveal how messages regarding belonging and/or nationalism are embedded within the texts’ style, language, and format. The conclusion offers a discussion of the implications of this research and suggestions for future study. The chronological format in which this work
appears attempts to maintain a narrative arc that mimics the sequential manner of the accounts provided by the narrators as well as the chronological progression of refugee resettlement itself.

This project reflects a slice in time. In the near future, as a result of the ongoing civil war in Syria, Syrian refugees are likely to have surpassed one or more of the four groups included here in numbers of incoming refugees. Moreover, advances in the international availability and affordability of media technologies may render obsolete some of the kinds of media I discuss in the coming pages. As a result of the unpredictability of war, famine, natural disasters, governmental regulations, and economics, refugee resettlement will always be subject to unforeseen fluctuations. Thus, the reader should not consider the project contained in these pages a longstanding, determinate statement on the welfare of refugees in the United States, but rather as a partial, temporal view into the ways seventy-four refugees described their interactions with media throughout their resettlement. While these seventy-four narratives represent just a fraction of the current refugee population in the United States, their significance should not be minimized. These voices reveal the hope, fear, curiosity and frustration that refugees’ encounters with media provoke, and open a view into the fascinating intersection of media and resettlement.

When I set out on this project in January 2013, I simply wanted to understand more about how incoming refugees’ knowledge about the culture, norms, and values of their eventual U.S. destination were formed and negotiated through engagements with media during their relocation process. But as I met with and talked to refugees in New York, California, Texas and Pennsylvania, I slowly came to see the complexity of my question. This dissertation is the result of asking questions with surprising answers. It is the manifestation of a pursuit driven by naivety, determination, and some very patient refugees. Along the way, my understanding of the
potential and limitations of media has been, in turn, questioned, dismantled, rebuilt, and negotiated. I don’t suppose that the view into refugees use of media that this dissertation provides will make the plight of involuntary migrants any less precarious, but I do suppose that by listening to the voices included here, readers may gain a view into the powers that act on resettlement from all sides as well as the determined creative agency refugees must summon to withstand the dire process of involuntary resettlement.
2.0 REFUGEES AS AUDIENCES OF U.S. MEDIA IN PRE-ARRIVAL CONTEXTS

Sancha Rai remembers seeing *The Pursuit of Happyness* (2006), an American film about a man who loses his job, home and wife after making a poor business decision, while living as a refugee in the city of Dharan in southeastern Nepal shortly before he was resettled to the U.S.\(^\text{128}\)

“From that movie I learned the reality of the ordinary people in the U.S. If you don’t pay rent you’ll be evicted from house and divorce is common. Also I learned that when people are lonely, there won’t be another person in the neighborhood to help you.”\(^\text{129}\) Though Sancha saw this film before he became aware that he would be resettled to the United States, *The Pursuit of Happyness* served as an introductory, informal education about life on the other side of the world.

Sancha was born in 1974 in the Samtse district of southern Bhutan. At 16, he was forced to leave his home and resettle in 1990 in the Khudunabari refugee camp in Nepal when the Bhutanese government began evicting persons of Nepali origin from Bhutan during a widespread implementation of the Bhutanese Citizenship Act of 1985. At the camp, Sancha was assigned to a hut that included a small space for cooking and eating and a place to sleep. Because education beyond grade ten was not available in the camp, Sancha received permission in 1997 from the government of Nepal and the United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR) to


\(^{129}\) Sancha Rai, interview by Sarah Bishop, Pittsburgh, PA, February 5, 2013.
leave Khudunabari and complete grades eleven and twelve at a Nepali school a couple of hours away. Sancha told me, “I was in the leave-or-take situation—either stay in the refugee camp or do something to pursue education further.” To manage his living expenses during this time, Sancha found work as an assistant teacher in a private school. After finishing grade twelve, and while continuing to work full time, he completed bachelor degrees in geography and English literature as well as a master’s degree in sociology from Tribhuvan University in Nepal. Sancha’s formal education at Tribhuvan allowed him to become fluent in English and to read the works of several American scholars.

When he was approved for resettlement in the U.S., Sancha took part in a five-day pre-departure cultural orientation led by the International Organization for Migration (IOM). But to prepare himself, Sancha also relied on the informal education he had received from films he

130 The Nepali government restricts the residence of Bhutanese refugees to seven refugee camps, including Khudunabari. The rules of these camps dictate that residents must obtain passes to leave the refugee camps for durations of longer than twenty-four hours. Individuals who wish to go to school outside the camps, like Sancha, may apply for and receive renewable six-month leave passes for educational purposes. If a refugee remains outside the camp for longer than the duration of time that his or her pass allows, the camp authorities may suspend ration cards for food and living supplies upon the refugee’s return. Moreover, the 1958 Foreigners Act permits the Nepali government to penalize refugees living outside of the camps without permission with fines of 2,000 rupees (approximately $20.00 USD) and up to two years in prison. See “Freedom of Movement and Resistance,” World Refugee Survey 2009: Nepal (Arlington, VA: United States Committee For Refugees and Immigrants, 2009), available at http://www.refugees.org/resources/refugee-warehousing/archived-world-refugee-surveys/2009-wrs-country-updates/nepal.html.
131 IOM describes the key objectives of their cultural orientations for refugees in Nepal as being threefold: to provide factual information about the countries where the refugees may be settled, to teach practical skills necessary for succeeding in these new locations, and to explore refugees’ attitudes having to do with family roles, values, and proactivity about the future. For more about the curriculum, duration, and perceived benefits of IOM orientations in Nepal, see “United States Cultural Orientation in Nepal: Preparing Refugees for a New Life in the USA,” International Organization for Migration, accessed on 19 October 2013 at http://www.iom.int/cms/united-states-cultural-orientation.
saw in Nepal, like *The Pursuit of Happyness*. Sancha watched *The Pursuit of Happyness* in his apartment in Dharan after borrowing the DVD from a friend who, he remembers, “thought this could be one good resource to learn the American society.” Sancha believes that this film, as well as other films about America that he saw in Nepal—*Journey from the Fall*, *Black Hawk Down*, and James Bond films—helped him to form a picture of what U.S. life was like.

Watching these films, Sancha participated in a form of enculturation, or, the process by which individuals intentionally or unintentionally begin to understand and become familiar with the values, norms, behaviors and identities of a culture other than the one into which they were born.

Scholars in the fields of education, psychology and sociology have long been familiar with the many manifestations of enculturation that occur during cultural interactions one

\[\text{------------------------}\]


133 *Journey from the Fall*, directed by Ham Tran (Santa Monica, CA: ImaginAsian Pictures, 2007); *Black Hawk Down*, directed by Ridley Scott (Culver City, CA: Columbia TriStar Entertainment, 2001).

encounters after physically arriving within a new cultural context, but Sancha’s experience reveals something different: his enculturation into American values, norms and behaviors began long before he arrived in the U.S., as the result of seeing American media—especially films—that portrayed some parts of U.S. life. The range of information Sancha managed to learn from these films testifies to the complexity of the processes of enculturation that may occur during interactions with digital, video, or print media from an unfamiliar place: from *James Bond*, Sancha “learned that CIA is all over the world and not only within the U.S., you know, and they can travel all around the world and they do investigation.” Sancha believes that the films he saw also taught him the “basic social etiquette” of Americans, and helped him become familiar with some of the hardships they face if they do not have enough money. Still, the films that had such an impact on Sancha were often misleading, and certainly not comprehensive in describing U.S. life; indeed, they only provided a partial picture. For example, these media failed to inform him that in Pennsylvania, leaves fall from the trees during winter. As his plane landed in Scranton in early 2009, Sancha looked out the window and thought, “The trees are dying out! This place is soon going to turn into desert.”

Today, four years after his arrival in the U.S., Sancha works as a Case Manager in the Refugee Resettlement Office of Jewish Family and Children’s Services (JFCS) in Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, where he spends each day addressing the multitudinous needs of newly arrived

refugees—many of whom fled the same oppression his own family experienced. From his well-lit, paper-crowded office in Pittsburgh, Sancha takes calls from two different phones that seem to ring so often it is hard to imagine how one could find time to do anything other than answer them. Most days, Sancha manages to take these calls while simultaneously translating important documents for Nepali clients, arranging airport pickups for new refugee arrivals, planning the curriculum for the “Happy Family” marriage and communication seminar he teaches at JFCS, and, sometimes, sitting down with curious, inconveniencing researchers.

I met Sancha in his office for the first time on 29 January 2013, and was somewhat surprised when he quickly volunteered to set aside what I assume would have been a very busy afternoon the following week to talk with me so that I could ask him more questions about his experiences living in Bhutan, Nepal, and Pennsylvania, and about the role media played in his relocation. A couple of weeks after our second meeting, Sancha invited me to his home to meet his family and interview his sister, Kumari, and his sister-in-law, Buddhi. The following month, I met Sancha at one of the weekly JFCS orientations for new Bhutanese refugee arrivals led by an English-speaking AmeriCorps volunteer in downtown Pittsburgh, where he acted in turn as a teacher, translator, facilitator, and driver. During each of my encounters with Sancha, I felt as though I learned more about the refugee resettlement process than I would have during a week of research in a university library. Four years after his own relocation, Sancha has become a walking encyclopedia of refugee challenges and resources. Still, like most refugees arriving in the U.S. from Nepal, Sancha learned the minutiae of this necessary knowledge not through formal training, but, instead, through the arduous day-to-day process of learning through experience and informal education.
The films that Sancha watched before relocating to the U.S. remained with him even after his arrival. A few days after his family settled in Scranton, Pennsylvania, Sancha’s fifteen-month-old son started choking during the night. Sancha explained that because he had seen American films and attended the compulsory cultural orientation for five days in Nepal, “I knew there is 911 service, ER service, but [I didn’t have a] telephone or any phone services available and it was middle of the night. Who could help me to call 911? I know it is not okay to disturb any people, but at that time, I thought if there is a question of life and death, it is okay to knock [at some]body's apartment.” Films also helped Sancha understand his financial situation during his first couple of weeks in the U.S. “I was hopeful that my resettlement agency would pay rent at least for two, three months or at least, until I get a job,” he explained to me. “But when I had been to resettlement agency’s office on May 3rd or 4th, they asked me whether I paid rent or not. And I didn’t believe my ears because that is something unexpected. It was like nightmare,” he recalled. “Really, at that time, I was frustrated. Because, same thing came in my mind, you know like in Pursuit of Happyness—people get evicted from home if you don't pay rent.” This was the second time Sancha mentioned the film he saw in Dharan, The Pursuit of Happyness. The first time he mentioned this film, it seemed clear to me that Sancha had utilized it to construct some expectations about what his life in the U.S. might be like. But when he alluded to The Pursuit of Happyness again, to describe the financial trouble he experienced in Scranton, Sancha seemed to be revealing something more: His memories of this film continued to serve him as a way to process or interpret his experiences in the U.S., and to anticipate what might happen to him should he fail to manage what was required of him. I wanted clarification about the function of this film in his life, and asked him whether he found, after arriving in the U.S., that the information he learned from The Pursuit of Happyness seemed correct. He replied,
“Ahh, very much true, yeah, very much true.” Sancha believes this film showed him that the U.S. is an individualistic culture, one where “if you have money you have everything; if not, [it’s] very tough to get food and shelter.” *The Pursuit of Happyness* correctly reveals, according to Sancha, that “life in America is not that easy.”

Sancha’s story provides compelling motivation to take a closer look at the ways in which refugees may interpret particular types of media that they encounter before their relocation, and how these media might influence refugees’ expectations about the acceptance, assistance, or challenges they may face upon their arrival in the U.S. To understand how a refugee might use popular American media as a means for enculturation, or, as a kind of informal education about life in the U.S., one must ask, what exactly is it possible to learn through media, and what are the implications of this kind of learning? To answer these questions thoroughly demands a consideration that any single person’s migration process involves much more time than it takes to fly from one country to another. Indeed, the processes of migration begin long before an individual boards a plane for his or her new home, and do not end when he or she arrives.

For this reason, this chapter elucidates the ways in which refugees encounters with American media during a “pre-relocation” phase reveal both the ability and limitations of learning through digital, video, sound, and print media. Because of the diversity of refugees’ experiences prior to their resettlement in the United States, it is necessary for me to explain that I identify this pre-arrival phase of media encounters as those that occur before refugees physically relocate to the U.S. For further clarity, I have divided my discussion of pre-arrival media encounters into two parts. First, in this chapter, I will draw from refugees’ memories of the American media that they watch, hear, or read before they are approved by the United Nations High Commissioner of Refugees for resettlement in the U.S. In the next chapter, refugees from
Bhutan, Burma, Iraq, and Somalia will discuss the second stage of pre-arrival media encounters, or, those that occur after refugees apply for resettlement to the U.S., either during formal cultural orientations that typically take place sometime during the last few months preceding a refugee’s resettlement, or in refugees’ personal preparations before their departure date.136

While this division between the two “stages” of pre-arrival media is somewhat arbitrary, it testifies to the reality that the effects of pre-arrival media are long-lasting; after their resettlement, refugees may evoke or employ an interpretation of a piece of media that they encountered even many years ago. Indeed, by considering both media that refugees may have encountered before they were displaced, as well as the media refugees saw or heard or listened to during any interim stay in a secondary location prior to their resettlement in the U.S., we can gain a fuller view of the ways in which different types of international media may be utilized to greater or lesser extents during certain periods of a refugee’s life, and also how refugees may recall certain films, songs, texts, or images at multiple points throughout the migration process in order to make sense of their experiences.

Sahro Nor, a Somali refugee, described to me one manifestation of the nuanced manner in which refugees may intentionally or unintentionally store away the memory of American media seen before their arrival in the U.S. to be used for the interpretation of their experiences after their relocation here. Sahro grew up in Mogadishu, the coastal capital of Somalia, in a family of sixteen girls. She married a wealthy man and lived in a beautiful home in Mogadishu where several servants helped her with daily household tasks. When the effects of the Somalian Civil War reached too close to home, Sahro and her family fled to a refugee camp in Kenya, 136

---

136 In rare cases, such as when a refugee is granted a resettlement date that is earlier than originally expected, or when a refugee’s life is in danger and (s)he must relocate immediately, refugees may not attend a formal cultural orientation.
where they lived for one year and three months before relocating to San Diego in 1994. Sahro fondly remembers her life in Mogadishu, where she and her husband entertained guests frequently, and often had friends and family over for evenings spent watching films. She recalled,

Before eighties, we go to the cinema. And then after eighties, we get the cassette, and DVD, and that stuff, so we watch—we go to the rental place, and we rented the movie, and we coming home, and we watch it... Family or friend, or always we have a couple, group. We never watch movie one single person. Usually mother and father and children, which is the people who working in the house, maids, family, friends...we together watching the TV.137

Sahro had a difficult time remembering the names of the American movies she saw before she was displaced, but she remembers vividly some of the images she saw and how they made her feel. Describing the American westerns she saw in Mogadishu, Sahro recalled,

It’s windy, and the wind whistling, and they have hat, and they have horse... Some of them cowboy. Some of them they stole, the kill or something, they manage to get the ladies, like. I think when I see and hear the Halloween time, the scary movie, they always you see someone in a vampire, or they bite the people here, and then blood and that stuff. So watching that kind of movie,

137 Sahro Nor, interview by Sarah Bishop, Buffalo, NY, November 21, 2013, to be deposited in the Schlesinger Library at Harvard University.
scary….And when we watched the movies, we see always the killer movie, the something you don’t like it, the somebody—somebody killing, the guy, like, get the woman, like nicely, and while when he doing everything, then he killing her, cutting her breasts, or something like that.

I assumed that the gruesome nature of these films influenced Sahro’s beliefs about the U.S. in some way, and asked her whether this was the case. Her answer surprised me.

Really that time, because I’m not thinking I’m going to go America, so I didn’t feel any feeling of that. But when I came, even when I in the processing of this and you go to America, even that I didn’t remember the movie I watch. But when I came America, first night when I see the guy who dig in the trash, I say, “Oh, my goodness! The people you watch in movies—that kind of people—long hair.” So that I’m thinking a lot. But when I’m processing, when I’m moving that time, no picture at all in America. Just, it’s a big country, nice country; that’s it…. In the morning I see the white guy, blue eyes, digging in the trash, get in some cans, and that’s that. I say, “Oh, my goodness! The people who belong to here, they’re doing that stuff?” And you come from Africa, you never seen someone who dig in the trash. Oh, my goodness—maybe the people, the reason they did bring you here, it’s not to get a life. Maybe they cut your organ, and sell it!

Sahro’s narrative is revealing of the potential of media’s longstanding and nuanced effects; when she saw American films in Somalia, they did not influence her ideas about the United States,
because she did not yet know she would need to move there. Even during her pre-departure processing and during her physical relocation to the U.S., as she clearly states above, Sahro did not remember the American films she had seen in Somalia. But the “white guy, blue eyes, digging in the trash” she encountered on her first morning in the U.S. served as a trigger, drawing the memory of the frightening scenes from American films she had seen years before back into her mind and causing her to fear for her life.

By taking the time to consider narratives such as the one Sahro describes here, where media encounters that occur even several years before arrival in the U.S. clearly and significantly affect refugees’ post-arrival beliefs and expectations, we can better understand the extent of the relationship between media and enculturation, and gain a fuller grasp of the long term effects of migrants’ encounters with pre-arrival international media. Still, the contexts in which refugees live before their arrival in the U.S. vary greatly, and so it is not possible to talk about this “pre-arrival phase” as monolithic. Many of the refugees I interviewed—especially from Bhutan, Burma, and Somalia—lived for several years or even decades in a refugee camp in an interim country after their original displacement and before arriving in the U.S. In these camps, the refugees had varying access to media. Some camps, like Khudunabari in Nepal, exist in relatively safe areas, and allow refugees to come and go with permission. Indeed, several of the Bhutanese refugees I interviewed, like Sancha, reported receiving approval to leave their refugee camps and as a result gained fairly extensive access to American media in Nepali cities. For other groups of refugees, such as those from Burma,138 who have been living in nine camps along the Western border of Thailand since the 1980s, access to media outside the camps is not

138 Burma is also known as Myanmar. For the sake of consistency, and alignment with my narrators’ preference, I will refer to this nation throughout this work as Burma.
an option; Thai camps are closed and the Thai government considers Burmese refugees living outside of them as illegal aliens subject to arrest and deportation. Thus, for most refugees who have fled Burma, the ability to encounter media about the U.S. before their cultural orientation is solely dependent on the resources available inside the refugee camps.

As a refugee encounters mediated representations of the United States, (s)he may begin to form an opinion about what (s)he has seen, and create an imagined picture of the United States as a whole from even a few books, films, or photographs of the U.S. As the narrators in this chapter reveal, media provide refugees fodder for opinion making that include multiple aspects of American culture, including, but not limited to, romantic relationships, parenting styles, work, education, gender roles, religion, food, styles of housing, leisure, transportation, healthcare, conflict management, and U.S. history. Generally speaking, the more mediated depictions of U.S. life the narrators I interviewed had encountered before their arrival in the U.S., the more complete view they believed they had of U.S. life, and in some cases, as the following chapters will reveal, the more their expectations clashed with the reality they found upon their arrivals to their U.S. destinations.

Some refugees have memories of seeing media about the U.S. before they fled or were driven from their homes. But thousands of other refugees, like thirty-year-old Kler Htoo, whose family fled Burma and whom I interviewed in Buffalo, New York, were born as refugees and lived in refugee camps from the time of their birth until their resettlement in the U.S.\(^{139}\) For these individuals, any access to media about the outside world is particularly impacting, as it may be literally the only information about life beyond the refugee camp that the residents have ever encountered. In Dadaab, a massive refugee camp currently housing over 400,000 Somalis in

\(^{139}\) Kler Htoo, interview by Sarah Bishop, Buffalo, NY, August 6, 2013.
eastern Kenya, international non-profit groups like Internews have recognized the significance of media to refugees and have taken great pains to make media about the outside world available to refugee residents. Internews has established a radio broadcasting system in Dadaab to transmit both camp-specific and world news, including current U.S. events, and to provide information regarding resettlement. At a recent teleconference with members of a United Nations panel on promoting humanitarian innovation, Mohamed Bashir Sheik, one of Internews’ refugee journalists living in Dadaab, explained why radio proves an effective means of communication:

I am a blogger, and have been blogging for a number of years, but the problem that I had was that people in the camps could not read my stories. I would write stories for them…but the people in my own community could not read them—either because they were illiterate or because they could not access them. With the radio you can reach everyone in the camps; everyone will listen. With a computer, some will see it but most will not. It is as simple as that.140

Indeed, any consideration of the role of media in refugees’ lives must consider not only what kinds of media are available in particular contexts, but also whether potential audiences have the knowledge and resources they need to access available media.

Some refugee groups, such as Iraqis, may not spend any time at all in refugee camps before their cultural orientation. Most of the Iraqis I interviewed for this project had spent time living independently in an apartment or with friends or family in interim countries such as Jordan or Syria.\footnote{Unfortunately, because of the recent escalating civil war in Syria, many Iraqis who fled there have been forced to return to Iraq or resettle in a third temporary location while they wait to receive their resettlement assignments from IOM. See Caroline Hawley, “Iraqi Refugees Flee Syrian Conflict to Return Home,” \textit{BBC News: Middle East}, http://www.bbc.co.uk/news/world-middle-east-20131033.} While some refugees have comparatively less access to media during their interim stay in a secondary country and before their resettlement in the U.S., for Iraqis, this interim location may provide more access to international media than was previously available. For example, Zahraa Eskander, an Iraqi refugee I interviewed in Los Angeles, remembered seeing only American films and no American television in her hometown in Iraq.\footnote{Zahraa Eskander, interview by Sarah Bishop, Los Angeles, CA, July 11, 2013, to be deposited in the Schlesinger Library at Harvard University.} But during the three years that Linda and her family spent living in Jordan after fleeing Baghdad because of threats to her husband’s life, Zahraa had ample access to both American television and film.

Though their experiences varied greatly in the years leading up to their resettlement in the U.S., the refugees I interviewed for this project consistently testified to the idea that audiences may utilize media for all kinds of purposes other than those the media’s author may have intended.\footnote{This active role of the audience has been well noted in previous intercultural media studies; see John Fiske, \textit{Understanding Popular Culture} (Boston: Unwin Hyman, 1998); Don Kulick and Margaret Willson, M, “Rambo's Wife Saves the Day: Subjugating the Gaze and Subverting the Narrative in a Papua New Guinean Swamp,” \textit{Visual Anthropology Review} 10, no. 2 (1994), 1-13; Rahika Parameswaran, “Reading Fictions of Romance: Gender, Sexuality, and Nationalism in Postcolonial India,” \textit{Journal of Communication} 52, no. 4 (2002), 832-851.} Indeed, beyond watching for simple entertainment, the refugees in this study recognized media as a way to learn about aspects of life in the United States ranging from proper dating behavior, how to speak to authorities, the number of people who typically live in a home,
the American criminal justice system, and the rules of American sports. By gaining understanding about the ways media works in refugees’ lives as an informal education about life in the U.S., we can better understand both media’s power to depict certain versions of reality and the potentially limited sources of information that are available to involuntary migrants as they seek to make sense of a future home. Studying the memories that refugees from Bhutan, Burma, Iraq, and Somalia have about their encounters with pre-arrival, American-produced popular media such as films, books, radio, and television may allow us to become more acutely aware of how these experiences interface with more formal modes of education about the U.S.—such as learning about American history in elementary school—and to consider some of the implications of extra-curricular enculturation through media.

2.1 GAINING ACCESS TO AMERICAN MEDIA

Refugees are a unique group of immigrants and their pre-arrival circumstances hold much sway over the means through which they engage in cultural learning. As a result of the involuntary nature of their migration, refugees may have less control than voluntary migrants over the amount and type of information they receive about their location of future resettlement. A few of the Bhutanese and Somali refugees I interviewed even told me that they had seen no American media and knew absolutely nothing about the United States before they began their UNHCR-mandated cultural orientations.\textsuperscript{144} But these cases were unusual; the vast majority of refugees I

\textsuperscript{144} Bishnu Khanal, interview by Sarah Bishop, Buffalo, NY, August 6, 2013, to be deposited in the Schlesinger Library at Harvard University; Bishnu Maya Chapagain, interview by Sarah Bishop, Buffalo, NY, August 6, 2013, to be deposited in the Schlesinger Library at Harvard
spoke with testified to having encountered some kind of American-produced popular media
before their arrival, and even those who claimed to know nothing about America, such as a
female Bhutanese refugee named Nirmala Khanal, sometimes had relatives who remembered
seeing, reading, or hearing varying amounts of American media.145 For instance, Nirmala’s son,
Govinda, who lived in the same refugee camp as his mother from the time he was five to when
he was old enough to relocate outside the camp for college, and who worked as my interpreter
during my time interviewing refugees in Buffalo, reported seeing American media ranging from
the 1974 film *The Great Gatsby* to the *Ellen DeGeneres Show*, reading the play *Cat on a Hot Tin
Roof* and a biography of Abraham Lincoln, and listening to radio programs about the United
States before his arrival in the U.S.146 When I asked Govinda how it happened that he
encountered so much media before his relocation when his mother encountered none at all, he
explained,

---

145 Some of the media that the narrators remembered did not rely on formal redistribution
channels to circulate. For more information, see Barbara Klinger, “Contraband Cinema: Piracy,
Days in Iraq* (Pittsburgh, PA: Word Association Publishers, 2006); Gregory F. Trevorton, *Film
146 Govinda Khanal, interview by Sarah Bishop, Buffalo, NY, August 6, 2013; *The Great
Gatsby*, directed by Jack Clayton (Hollywood, CA: Paramount Pictures, 1974); *The Ellen
DeGeneres Show* (Burbank, CA: Telepictures, 2003 [ongoing]); Tennessee Williams, *Cat on a
I saw American movies while I was staying away from my mother. That time I was renting room to attend my college because there were no colleges near refugee camp. Another [reason] that my mother didn’t see American movie [is] because of education too. I saw American movies while I was in college. In the [movies], I found a different society than mine. The movies were more advanced in technology and in performance. It looks like people were more open and advanced in scientific invention, and rich too. I saw American movies with my friends. I decided to watch as I was eager to learn about America and American people.\footnote{Govinda Khanal, email correspondence with Sarah Bishop, September 30, 2013.}

Although his mother did not mention it, Govinda remembers that a few people did have televisions and VHS players in the refugee camp, and would sometimes get access to American movies, including the 1997 blockbuster, Titanic, that they would watch with a group.\footnote{Titanic, directed by James Cameron (Hollywood, CA: Paramount Pictures, 1997).} Govinda also recalled hearing the music of Michael Jackson and Justin Bieber in the Nepali refugee camp. The differences between Govinda and Nirmala’s memories about American media reveal the diversity that exists even within groups who arrive in the U.S. from the same ethnic groups, refugee camps, or families. Moreover, these narratives reveal that in some cases, media about the U.S. was nonetheless present even in contexts of which some of the narrators were not aware.

Some of the refugees I spoke with remembered living in areas where governmental restrictions or sanctions limited the availability of media. Even in these cases, many of the narrators managed to gain access to some American media before their arrival in the U.S. For
example, Shiraz Minasaqen, an Iraqi refugee living in Los Angeles who had worked as a jewelry maker near Baghdad before he was forced to flee to Damascus, Syria, after receiving death threats from an unknown extortionist, encountered stern governmental restrictions on media. Nonetheless, Shiraz watched many American films and listened to a good deal of American music from the time he was young. “My favorite actors are Sylvester Stallone and Arnold Schwarzenegger,” Shiraz told me. “The best is The Terminator and Rocky.” He explained, “We don’t have American televisions in [Iraq]. But we listen to American songs, English songs. I like Lionel Richie, Enrique Iglesias, and Bon Jovi.” But when I asked Shiraz if he ever watched American news in Iraq, he answered, “No. There is no[ne].” Shiraz laughed, and continued,

I can’t. Everything about American we can’t…how will you say it? We can’t listen it or wear it, even if it’s a t-shirt or everything with American flag or American, you know, words or anything. We can’t wear it in there. That means you are for the Americans, you don’t love your country, you know? It’s not that they - They’re thinking in their mind, that it’s our enemies, you know.

Here, Shiraz testifies to a larger phenomenon. As has been especially documented in Middle Eastern countries such as Iraq and Iran, governmental authorities may fear that viewing media from other nations may lead to the dissolution or pollution of one’s culture. Indeed, in unstable

149 Shiraz Minasaqen, interview by Sarah Bishop, Los Angeles, CA, July 11, 2013.
150 The Terminator, Directed by James Cameron (Santa Monica, CA: MGM Home Entertainment, 1984); Rocky, Directed by John G. Avildsen (Santa Monica, CA: MGM Studios, 1976).
political environments, anxious concerns regarding the relationship of media to cultural imperialism are well documented. One notable manifestation of this concern existed in Iraq in the years before 2003, when Saddam Hussain’s Ba’ath party strictly controlled and limited Internet in Iraq and banned satellite dishes so that citizens could not gain access to unapproved television channels or websites. Jala Yaqo, an Iraqi refugee who fled Iraq for Syria in 2008 where he lived for four and a half years before he was resettled to San Diego, remembers the days of those restrictions well. When I asked Jala if he had access to any American media before he was displaced from Iraq, he answered,

Just the movies that we see in the home, or we have to go to the cinema. There was no Internet connection in my country at that time, because Saddam prevented people from getting Internet. In the media in my country I was watching that they say [the U.S. is an] imperialist country and they are against [Iraqi] people, in the newspaper they were saying that America is not a good country—[that] it intervened in another country’s situation.

By limiting access to American media, international governments may, as Jala described, retain more control over what kinds of messages residents hear regarding the United States, and advance nationalist ideologies through the negative representation of unwanted outside influences.


152 Jala Yaqo, interview by Sarah Bishop, San Diego, CA, November 18, 2013.
Today, there are comparatively more international television and radio stations in Iraq and more opportunities to use access international available to Iraqis than were available to Jala and Shiraz. However, the Iraq Communications and Media Commission still regulates what may or may not be broadcasted through the granting of mandatory media licenses. While this practice works to control which kinds of television and radio that Iraqis can access, a thriving black market of pirated films and music make procuring American blockbuster films and popular music fairly easy for those with monetary means who live near Iraq’s urban areas.\footnote{See Phil Kiver, \textit{182 Days in Iraq} (Pittsburgh, PA: Word Association Publishers, 2006); Gregory F. Trevorton, \textit{Film Piracy, Organized Crime, and Terrorism}, (Santa Monica, CA: Rand Corporation, 2008); Klinger, “Contraband Cinema,” 106-124.}

For example, on a single stretch of Al-Rubae Street in eastern Baghdad, near Jala’s former home, shops selling pirated copies of American DVDs and CDs line the sidewalks.\footnote{Zaid Sabah, “Pirated DVDs Among Hottest Items on Shelves,” USA \textit{Today} (January 20, 2006): A.12; Carolyn C. Guertin, \textit{Digital Prohibition: Piracy and Authorship in New Media Art} (London: Continuum International Publication Group, 2012).} While many of the Iraqis I spoke with did not recall seeing American television or hearing American songs on the radio before moving to the U.S., like Shiraz and Jala, they had seen many American films and were familiar with American popular music.

Sometimes, restricted access to media occurs as a result of a shadowy and possibly related combination of governmental restrictions a lack of resources. Tek Rimal, a male Bhutanese refugee, told me, “When I was growing up there were no electronic media in our country besides radio. To keep television we were suppose to have license. Also there was no electricity supply in our locality. I think the government did that to avoid people learn[ing] about political activities around the world.”\footnote{Tek Rimal, interview with Sarah Bishop, Pittsburgh, PA, March 18, 2013.} In this case, it is impossible to determine whether the Bhutanese government’s desire to keep residents from knowing about international political
activities directly resulted in Tek’s lack of encounters with American media in Bhutan. Still, Tek’s mention of the possible relationship between the two points toward the oft-discussed question of the international cultural effect of—and response to—unwanted American media.156

In order to understand the reasoning behind governmental fear of international media’s effects, it may be useful to consider a pertinent account that advances an argument about the potential harms media may impose on a culture. Kinley Rinchen, of the Royal University of Bhutan, contributed in 2006 to a compilation of essays on Media and Public Culture in Bhutan in which he charged that because of the rise in popularity of and access to Western media in Bhutan, Bhutanese “society gets entrapped and inclined towards a culture which is non-Bhutanese, thereby eroding our own culture and tradition.” The following are among the list of “negative effects” that Rinchen identifies as being related to this trend:

[1] The Bhutanese language, not being supported by the media as anticipated, was found losing its priority within our society. Since whatever they [the audience] do, whether it is to search for information, to communicate, or to chat with friends, relatives or loved ones far away, they need to do it in English. Therefore,

without the knowledge of English, they feel handicapped.\footnote{Because Burma was an English colony from 1886 to 1948, use of the English language in Burma is a particularly politically charged issue.}

[2] Bhutanese traditionally consider someone beautiful based on the nature of the complexion and the shape of the face that is similar to the full moon. However, today emerging from the areas that are prone to media influences, it is usual that the Bhutanese girls and some boys come with all sorts of cosmetic touch-ups on their faces and hair as well. … The coloring of their face and hair has become very common, which no longer makes them look like Bhutanese.

[3] Since the film stars are more popular than national leaders in the society at large, the audience, specially the youth tend to believe in the behavior of those stars, which most of the time is vile and undesirable.\footnote{Kinley Rinchen, “Media and Public Culture: Media Whitewashing,” in International Seminar on Bhutanese Studies, \textit{Media and Public Culture: Proceedings of the Second International Seminar on Bhutan Studies} (Thimphu, Bhutan: Center for Bhutanese Studies, 2007), 221-28.}

Richen concludes by calling on the government of Bhutan to “enhance the media censor[ship] that will prohibit the view of certain harmful programmes.”\footnote{Rinchen, “Media and Public Culture,” 235.} This call provides evidence of the belief in the power of international media to affect the beliefs, values and behaviors of a group to such an extent that governmental censorship may seem the only viable deterrent.

Where there are no governmental restrictions, a lack of reliable electricity in some cities or refugee camps, low levels of literacy and English language proficiency, or few professional, family or neighborly connections to people with access to media technologies can limit some refugees’ ability to see mediated representations of life in the U.S. before their resettlement.
Even in these unfavorable conditions, many refugees find creative ways to gain access to various forms of media. For instance, in the Dadaab refugee camp in Kenya, Mohammed Ahmed Bashir, a Somali refugee, purchased a diesel generator in 2004 with money from remittances sent by his relatives and from selling soda to camp residents. Since then, Bashir has been running a successful electricity supply company in Hagadera, which provides the necessary power for several Internet cafes in the camp.

Megeney Ramazani, a Somali refugee, also lived in Dadaab before she was relocated to the Kakuma refugee camp in Kenya before being resettled in San Diego. Like many of the Somali refugees I interviewed, Megeney does not know what year she was born, or how old she was when she was forced to leave Somalia, but she told me that she was already married with children when she arrived at Kakuma. There was no electricity in Kakuma at that time, and Megeney did not know how to read or write, and so there was little opportunity for her to consume any media. Still, once a month, Megeney remembers, a truck from the International Organization for Migration (IOM) would drive into Kakuma and show a video about living healthily in the United States after relocation. Megeney explained that though the adults living in the camp did not have time to go watch the film, many kids would run towards the truck when they saw it coming and enjoyed watching. Even though she did not view the footage herself, Megeney seemed to know a lot about the IOM film: “It will show you, like—it’s not about the culture. It’s about how you get healthy and all that….When we come to America, some people like be bad, like HIV, be bad like high blood pressure, be bad like cancer…they were

showing...how you can survive all that.” After hearing a lengthy description of the video’s content, I asked Megeney to confirm again that she had not seen the film. She answered,

Only the kids saw that one. But you just—you know, the kids when they saw, they all talk about it, you know? When a little kid see something, they will talk about it, and say, “Oh, we saw this one. We saw this one. It was like this.” It’s English. You don’t understand. And the kids also don’t understand, but you know, the way the movie act, you can tell.

Megeney’s experience reveals that even refugees who do not have resources such as literacy, wealth, or electricity may be likely to encounter American media—if not firsthand, then by way of another audience member’s descriptions before their resettlement to the U.S.162

Habiba Jama, who interpreted my conversation with Megeney and who is also a refugee from Somalia, remembers seeing what sounded like the same IOM film in Kenya.163 Habiba was nine years old in 2001 when she arrived in Kakuma for a three-year stay before being resettled in

163 Because neither Megeney nor Habiba was able to read or speak English at the time they encountered the IOM film, it is impossible for me to verify which of the IOM films was made available to them. For an idea of the range of films that IOM brings to refugee camps for viewing in pre-orientation settings, see http://www.iom.int/cms/media.
the U.S., and every month, when the IOM truck would arrive with the video, she would go out to watch. I asked Habiba how many times she thought she saw the film. She answered, “Well, I was there almost like three years, and I didn’t even miss one time.” Habiba told me that the same film was screened every single month, and I asked her, “After you had seen the movie a few times, why did you keep coming back to watch it, if it was the same?” Habiba explained, “It was the same, but you know, as a childhood, it looks fun. You’re just walking around, jumping, and all that. You know, when you are a little kid, you can do anything.” She remembered that the film would start at 7 P.M. and last for about three hours. People would crowd in to sit on the ground around the outdoor screen—Habiba did not want to guess how many people would typically attend, but she knows it was at least more than five hundred. Sometimes, the kids would fall asleep watching the film, and stay the whole night sleeping by the traveling theatre even after the film was over.

Though it has been about ten years since Habiba saw the IOM film, she was recently able to describe to me in detail some of its content:

It was about America, how they use a computer, and how they use electricity… It just teach me, like, electricity. One night I saw a guy was fixing, like a handyman, you know, was fixing electricity. And then, it was raining, so the electricity got water, and then this explode, and he get hurt, you know. And then they dial 911, so ambulance will arrive. That’s how that was teaching.164

164 Though I have not been able to identify the film Habiba described, a library of 74 films produced by the International Organization for Migration is available at www.iom.int/cms/en/sites/iom/home/news-and-views/video-vault.html
Like Megeney, Habiba did not know English when she saw this film, and had to interpret the content according to the action that she saw onscreen. In order to understand the significance of this film in Habiba’s life, one should consider that because she was too young to attend a pre-departure orientation,\(^{165}\) this film provided the first mediated representation of the U.S. that Habiba encountered in her life.

Govinda, fluent in English, translated for me as Laxmi Adhi Kari, a 54-year-old refugee from Bhutan, described another creative solution for gaining access to media amidst a challenging lack of resources.\(^{166}\) Laxmi lived in a refugee camp in Nepal from 1991 to 2009, and although he did not have a television in his own hut, his children found out about a few people who had access to a TV, and who would accept a payment of two Nepali rupees\(^{167}\) for those who wanted to watch an American, or sometimes Indian, film of the television owner’s choosing. “It’s not the choice of the people,” Laxmi remembers. “The people [who own] the TV will [decide] what they want to see.” Typically, Laxmi remembers, “fifteen or twenty, sometimes ten” people would gather in the television owner’s home to watch, and while he never attended any of the viewings himself, he would sometimes give his children money to participate.\(^{168}\)

Govinda recalled the same kind of television set up in the refugee camp where he grew up, and described how charging money for a film screening was necessary because watching

\(^{165}\) According to the Cultural Orientation Resource center, refugees do not become eligible to attend an “overseas cultural orientation” until they are fifteen years old. I will discuss the implications of this age requirement more in the next chapter. For further information, see http://www.culturalorientation.net/providing-orientation/.

\(^{166}\) Laxmi Adhi Kari, interview with Sarah Bishop, Buffalo, NY, August 6, 2013.

\(^{167}\) About $0.02 US Dollars

films was only possible if a resident in the refugee camp could gain access to a large cell battery.⁶⁶⁹ Because there was no electricity in the residential huts inside the camp, “They need to take [the battery] to outside the camp to charge [and] to charge this battery they need money, so they charge the people for that. … People watched American movies.  *Titanic*, that I remember, and other were Indian movies, Nepali movies, and serials too.” In refugee camps where many residents feel as though they are waiting for a resettlement assignment that sometimes seems like it will never arrive, one can imagine, the chance to watch a film from the outside world may be a welcome reprieve.

Like Govinda, Balaram Gurung also fled Bhutan, and spent fifteen years living in a Nepali refugee camp. After resettling in Pennsylvania, and until recently, Balaram worked as a case manager at the Northern Area Multi-Service Center (NAMS), a resettlement agency in Pittsburgh. During a conversation I had with Balaram in March 2013 at NAMS, he explained, “I think [the] first English movie that most Bhutanese saw was…*Rambo.*”⁶⁷⁰ Balaram saw the film himself in grade three at a friend’s house before his family was displaced, and remembers: “In Bhutan we had posters of Rambo in everyone’s house and small postcards. We thought—what is the actor’s name? Sylvester. We used to think that his [real] name was Rambo. Only rich people used to have TV…and about, I think, 40 to 50 people [would come together to watch *Rambo*] in a house.” *Rambo* is one of the U.S. films that seemed particularly memorable for several of the Bhutanese narrators I interviewed; among the others mentioned frequently were *Black Hawk Down, The Pursuit of Happyness, The Great Gatsby, Terminator,* and *Jurassic*  

---


⁶⁷⁰ Balaram Gurung, interview by Sarah Bishop, Pittsburgh, PA, March 22, 2013; *First Blood,* directed by Ted Kotcheff (Santa Monica, CA: LionsGate Home Entertainment, 1982).
But for other Bhutanese narrators, like Tek Rimal, American films were secondary in significance to extensive interactions with American news and social media that taught him about life in the U.S. while he was living in Nepal. Tek fled from Bhutan to India in 1991 when he was in grade five and was involuntarily driven from India to the Beldangi II Extension refugee camp in Nepal in a dump truck after the Indian government refused to accept some Bhutanese refugees. After completing grade ten in Beldangi II Extension, Tek had the opportunity to move out of the camp to pursue his Bachelor of Science degree from Tribhuvan University in Nepal, where he had access to both television and the Internet. He remembers, “when we see [films in] English, and compare with India or Nepali movies, the stage they have—the quality of the picture—we would be like, astonished, you know, like, ‘How could they even shoot in such a way?’ So everybody would have a dream of if we could go to U.S.” Tek watched CNN and BBC while living outside the camp whenever he got a chance, would keep regular tabs on the U.S. gross income, and remembered ongoing coverage of, “the 9/11 incident [and] the affair of Bill Clinton, and…about the war with Iraq.” Tek explained his curiosity about the U.S:

U.S. is like a country of concern for everyone. I mean because of superiority, because people think that it is one of the richest countries in around the world. They have all like everything, like advanced things, like the technologies, everything. So I used to do some Internet research about the U.S. Not just for the process of resettlement but just to know about how the countries are getting rich

171 *Jurassic Park*, directed by Steven Spielberg (Universal City: CA, Universal Pictures, 1993).
172 Tek Rimal, interview with Sarah Bishop, Pittsburgh, PA, March 18, 2013.
around the world. In that sense I used to do some kind of Internet research and I know something about U.S. but not a lot. Going through the Internet search I found that, like, people in the U.S. they are very strict about the time. They follow the time very strictly. And they are always concerned about their work. They are not concerned about whatever things like their leisure time or enjoyment or anything. So that was my impression.

Here, Tek reveals that while Internet research about unfamiliar areas may result in a better understanding about a nation’s wealth or technological advancements, it may also lend clues about the lifestyle choices and concerns of individuals.

Social media also played an important role in helping Tek learn about his future home in America. As residents of his former camp began to resettle in the U.S., Tek heard from them,

We have some communication sometimes—like the phone communication, or having an Internet chat through the Facebook, Yahoo, Gmail, like that. And then we hear that … the situation in the third countries it is far more better than in the refugee camp. So people told us it is far more better here [in the U.S.] because we have lot of opportunities. And then my family along with my parents and my siblings, we plan to take part into the resettlement.

In Tek’s case, what he learned through social media conversations with friends, U.S. news, and general Internet searching directly influenced his and his family’s decision to apply for relocation to the U.S. Still, Tek’s access to these resources contrasts with the experiences of
others from his country who did not have the opportunity to leave the refugee camps and subsequently had more limited access to American media before their relocation. Indeed, the dissimilarity in the amount and type of U.S. media consumed even by refugees from similar ethnic and geographic backgrounds speaks to a larger diversity in refugee narratives. Though portrayals of refugees in American media sometimes suggest that refugees are a homogeneous group, in fact, as Shiraz, Jala, Sancha, Megeney, Habiba, Govinda, Balaram, Tek, and others made clear, even within populations originating from the same nation, refugee camp, or family, refugees’ experiences vary widely due to literacy, unequal access to technological resources, and governmental restrictions. Still, these are not the only factors that determine what media a refugee may encounter.

Several of the narrators I interviewed revealed how their own beliefs and preferences led them to avoid some types of American media even when it was readily available. For example, in Austin, Texas, I met a Burmese refugee named Paw Htoo Raw. She grew up in Dawei, in the Taninthayi region of Burma, where her neighbor had a television and charged her five Kyat to watch American or Burmese films. Paw Htoo fled from her home in Burma in 1997 when she was twenty years old because a military regime attacked her village, and lived unsheltered in the jungle for ten months before finding her way to a refugee camp in Thailand. She explained to me that in the camp, “I see some [American movies], but I didn’t—I didn’t think that this is good to watch.” I asked her why she felt this way. “Just sometimes, I don’t know… the movie that I

174 About $0.01 USD by today’s conversion standards.
175 Paw Htoo Raw, interview by Sarah Bishop, Austin, TX, November 15, 2013, to be deposited in the Schlesinger Library at Harvard University.
watched is fighting—like—war, fighting and shooting...sometimes I feel pity and sometimes I cry.” One time, Paw Htoo remembered, “Angelina Jolie, she went to the camp, and she donate[d] a TV to school... the school showed [a] movie every Friday evening, but I didn’t go.” In this instance, Paw Htoo was not restrained from encountering video media because of governmental restrictions or a lack of resources—indeed, American films were available both in her neighbor’s home in Burma and in the refugee camp in Thailand—but rather by her own belief that watching American films was a detrimental practice.

Likewise, Hussein Al Dohi, an Iraqi refugee I met in San Diego, explained that when he was a teenager growing up in Baghdad, he enjoyed listening to American musicians including Cyndi Lauper, Madonna, Michael Jackson, and Elton John. He also told me, “In the 80s I loved The Untouchables, like, Kevin Costner, I don’t know, the college movies, high school movies…I still like action movies.” Several of the Iraqis I interviewed before Hussein also mentioned a penchant for American action films, and during my conversation with Hussein I was beginning to wonder why this theme was so consistent. I asked him, “Are action movies from the United States more available than other kinds of genres in Iraq?” Hussein answered,

No, no, I just prefer the action movie. Well, you know, in the Middle East, there’s always guns and fighting, so of course they’re going to like fighting movie not love movie, you know. People are stressed and they hate the government so usually they release their stress [by] watching action movies. They wish that they can fight the government...it’s an escape from reality. That’s why you like to

176 Hussein Al Dohi, interview by Sarah Bishop, San Diego, CA, November 18, 2013.
watch action movies. We don’t like war movies—I don’t—it’s not popular there [in Iraq] because we live in a war and so it’s not very fun to watch people wearing khaki and fighting because it’s daily life. Here, it’s interesting, but there, it’s awful, it’s boring to me. …We live in a war zone so what’s the point of watching a war movie?

With these words, Hussein reveals that beyond watching for entertainment, some individuals may use U.S. media to feed a social fantasy of resistance and escape. Indeed, for Hussein, watching U.S. films in Iraq was only a desirable action insofar as the narratives represented in the films remained distinct from his own experience, revealing that the Iraqi penchant for violence in media may not always extend to war narratives.

Paw Htoo and Hussein’s narratives point to the reality of refugees’ proactive agency when choosing which media to consume. Refugees are not passive audiences and may not decide to read, watch, or listen to some media simply because it is available. Rather, their choices about what to consume and avoid are likely—as Paw Htoo and Hussein described—inform ed by preexisting believes and ideas about the effects of certain media. Certainly, any study of media audiences must keep in mind that external circumstances play only a partial role in determining what audiences encounter. Beyond these external factors, a whole host of desires, fears and values lead certain audiences toward certain media, and away from others.
Even in cases of limited or spotty access, refugees use what little American media they encountered before their relocation not only for entertainment, but also to form a picture about and make sense of life in the U.S. Like Tek, some decided whether or not to apply for resettlement in the U.S. based upon what they learned from U.S. media. To recognize the full extent of the potential American media may hold to influence such decisions, one must consider that while some refugees are familiar with U.S. norms and customs when they arrive, many refugees had never met an American before their relocation, and may be unfamiliar with the range of social mores and cultural cues that often govern interactions in the U.S. Just as the amount and type of refugees’ interactions with American media vary widely, so too does the degree to which refugees believe that the American media they encounter before their arrival represent real life in the U.S.

Fadhail Ibraheem, an Iraqi refugee who fled to Syria before arriving in the U.S. with her three children after her husband was kidnapped during a brutally violent nighttime raid in her home in Baghdad, explained to me clearly one potential effect of pre-arrival media; viewing American films instilled in Fadhail a belief that Americans were dangerous and unpredictable.\[177\] She had initially decided to apply for relocation in Australia, not only because she wished to be reunited with her brother, who had been resettled there after he, too, fled Iraq, but also because she feared what she would find in the U.S. Fadhail learned that her application for resettlement in Australia had been rejected when she received a call from the UN—after waiting four months

\[177\] Fadhail Ibraheem, interview by Sarah Bishop, Erie, PA, February 7, 2013, to be deposited in the Schlesinger Library at Harvard University.
in Syria—informing her that she was going to be interviewed in preparation for resettlement in the U.S. Fadhail was scared for herself and her children before departure:

What we kn[e]w about the United States [was] only from the movies. I don’t have any…friendship with anyone…who lived in America to get an idea about what’s going to be there. It’s just what we saw in the movie, and in the movie sometimes you see how [Americans] are aggressive people, sometimes in the middle of street, in the middle of the night, all these high-sky bombings, people they can easily get lost there…just things like that.

Indeed, the American films she had seen while living in Iraq began to serve Fadhail as a foreboding warning about the life that awaited her and her family in the United States. She could not remember the names of any American action films she had seen except What Lies Beneath—a thriller about an American professor who has an affair with a student he later murders by drowning her in a lake.178 Because I had never seen this film when I first met with Fadhail, she provided a synopsis:

The professor kills his girlfriend, and dip her in lake, down, and his wife after that she find out and, it’s kind of scary. But, I mean, as a kind of life in America, you know, there is always a kind of threat from somewhere, from somehow. There is always a scare from something, that’s like really, honestly what I [thought].

As Fadhail continued to describe the plot of *What Lies Beneath*, it became clear why this and other action films she had seen affected her expectations of the U.S. so profoundly. Without knowing anyone in the United States on whom to rely for information or help, Fadhail was simply using the resources she had available to her in order to prepare for life in a new part of the world where, as a result of her husband’s kidnapping, she would hold sole responsibility for keeping her family safe.

Fadhail’s familiarity with American thrillers and action films is not unique. Rather, as I mentioned previously, American action and horror films were particularly popular with the Iraqis I interviewed. At the International Institute of Los Angeles, in Glendale, California, I met Edwin Bazikiam, who arrived in the U.S. as a refugee, and who now works as a case manager serving primarily Iraqi and Iranian clients. During our conversation, Edwin talked, like Fadhail, about how American films exaggerate violence, and how this exaggeration affects his refugee clients. I asked Edwin what he wished his clients knew before they arrived in the U.S. He answered, “U.S.A. is not like they show in Hollywood movies.” After he paused, I asked Edwin to clarify. He answered,

I can say two different things. The one subject can be the violence, the other can be the luxury life. The shooting, the massacres, the serial killers, I don’t know, that kind of stuff…well, I don’t know…sometimes they hear things in the news or

179 Edwin was born and raised in Iran, and therefore his own experiences encountering American media before his relocation are not included in this project. However, approximately half of Edwin’s clients are Iraqi, making him an excellent resource for understanding the relationship of Iraqi refugees to American media throughout their relocation.

180 Edwin Bazikiam, interview by Sarah Bishop, Los Angeles, CA, July 9, 2013.
the recent things that happen, so they—it’s like a flashback—they think, “Hey, is [the U.S.] like the movies we saw, is it real? Is it not?”

In contrast to exaggerations of violence in the U.S., Edwin believes, American films also may set up refugees’ unrealistic expectations regarding the lifestyle most Americans enjoy. “They think people here relax and work a few hours in a week and then enjoy the time,” he told me.181 “They don’t know that you work full time and you have to plan for a few days of vacation, and everybody works hard, you know? It can be, sometimes, hard for them to adjust.” I wondered if Edwin’s experience was in some way specific to the Iraqi refugee population or to refugees’ resettling in Los Angeles, and so, in Buffalo, New York, I asked Meghann Perry, the Director of Programs and Adult Education at Journey’s End Refugee Services, whether she had encountered anything similar with her own clients.182 She answered,

Definitely….I call [it] “The Reality Check.” The settling into the real world is—the houses they see on sitcoms and movies or in videos—I don’t know if they watch, like, music videos any more, but the homes that they see, the lives that they see are not, you know, the lives on the west side of Buffalo. So I think that’s … that’s a huge part of the disconnect. Huge. I’ve definitely heard people say,

181 Here, Edwin’s assertions contradict Tek’s earlier remarks regarding the ways that his Internet searches about U.S. news while he was living in India led him to believe that Americans “are always concerned about their work. They are not concerned about whatever things like their leisure time or enjoyment or anything.” This discrepancy is likely due to the differences in genre and mediums to which Tek and Edwin are referring; while Edwin mainly discussed scripted blockbuster Hollywood films during our interview, Tek revealed his own penchant for American news found on websites run by CNN and BBC.

182 Meghann Perry, interview by Sarah Bishop, Buffalo, NY, August 7, 2013, to be deposited in the Schlesinger Library at Harvard University.
“Wow, it’s not like it is on TV.” And I know a lot of the young people are seeing a lot on Facebook and the Internet.

Indeed, many refugees have no reason to believe that the opulence or violence they encounter in pre-arrival media is anything other than representative of real life in the U.S.; without firsthand testimony or personal experience to provide a contrasting perspective, there exists little motive to refute these seemingly cohesive, consistent portrayals.

Meghann’s clients reiterated the experience of the “reality check” she described. For example, Esther—a 25-year-old refugee from Burma whose resettlement was facilitated by Meghann’s agency and who requested that her surname not be used—reported that she was quite influenced by the vast luxury she saw in American films.¹⁸³ Like thousands of other Burmese citizens, Esther and her family are part of the Chin ethnic minority group who were forced to flee their home because of a crisis that began with a pro-democracy uprising Burma in 1988, escalated during the next decade due to a repressive military dictatorship that incited a series of military offensives in 1995 and 1997, and subsists currently due to ongoing—primarily ethnic-based—unrest.¹⁸⁴ Esther’s family had a television in their home before they were displaced from Burma, and while they could not watch TV broadcasts, they did watch movies on VHS. Esther remembers seeing James Bond films, Terminator, and Independence Day.¹⁸⁵ She told me, “Truly we, honestly we feel like, oh, America is like a heaven, with this heaven when we see the

¹⁸³ Esther [Last name not included at the request of the narrator], interview by Sarah Bishop, Buffalo, NY, August 6, 2013, to be deposited in the Schlesinger Library at Harvard University.
movie, a Hollywood movie, they let us feel like heaven you know. Like the American living
class… we very admire them. Because we see the very high buildings, tall buildings. So you
know, we admire the American life.” I asked Esther what her first impressions were when she
arrived in the U.S. She answered, “To be honest, it’s different I think. Because, uh, when we
watch the movie like New York City, we thought that when we go to New York that we can, we
have to live like very high movies, but when we arrive here [in Buffalo] it’s, you know, it’s a
town [or] something like that…we feel depressed.” I asked Esther, “Were you disappointed?”
She answered, “No, not disappointed. I just feel it odd, different a bit.” She explained that even
though she knew she would be arriving in a different area than New York City, “Movie[s] are
very good advertisement, so we thought that everywhere is like same, same thing, like New York
City.” Even though they encountered vastly different circumstances before their relocation and
even though they now live on opposite sides of the country, both Edwin’s clients and Esther
were surprised to find that the U.S. lifestyle was not nearly as luxurious as it seemed in the media
they had encountered before their resettlement.

This sense of surprise that the U.S. did not meet up to mediated expectations
characterizes many of the narratives of the refugees I interviewed. For example, I asked
Shiraz—the Iraqi jewelry maker living in Los Angeles whose love of action films and American
pop music is discussed above—whether there was anything he wished he knew before he arrived
in the U.S. “[I] saw the movies that America, when you will go to there it’s beautiful and
everything is easy, you know, but when you come here, you’ll get shocked,” Shiraz answered.
“Everything is difficult and you have to work hard to get everything, you know, and we don’t
know these new rules here…this is different for us. Everything is beautiful for you, but when you
get in the real life, you see the difference and everything is difficult, you know?” Shiraz’s
experience is revealing of some wider trends in the refugee experience, and he is not exaggerating when he suggests that refugees “have to work hard to get everything.” Refugees often arrive in the U.S. with very few material possessions.\textsuperscript{186} In order to acquire basic necessities, they must learn quickly how to exchange a new form of currency. This process is further complicated by the reality that many refugees arrive without the ability to speak English and are unsure of how to navigate the large number of stores, healthcare facilities, and schools that occupy even small American towns. Likewise, regardless of their education and previous work experience, refugees often have an extremely difficult time securing even entry-level employment upon their arrival in the U.S.\textsuperscript{187} Thus, refugees’ lifestyle during the years immediately following their resettlement may hold little resemblance to the opulent wealth and leisure often portrayed in American media.

Although Shiraz, Esther, and Edwin’s clients’ interpretations of pre-arrival popular American media were similar, it is clear that refugees’ interpretations of the measure to which popular American media represent reality are complicated. For example, Buddhi Rai, Sancha’s cousin, remembered seeing \textit{The Great Gatsby} in grade twelve in Nepal, and recalled, “There [were] so many things to learn from there. I learned many things from there: how to act with [a]

\textsuperscript{186} Refugees may fill what little luggage space they have with sentimental items and gifts from neighbors or family members. Not only are they deprived of personal property, but more importantly, of “common property resources” they may have relied upon not only for economic but also for social sustenance. See Part 7 in Michael M. Cernea and Chris McDowell, eds. \textit{Risks and Reconstruction: Experiences of Resettlers and Refugees} (Washington, DC: International Bank for Reconstruction and Development, 2000), 291-362.
\textsuperscript{187} For refugees arriving in the wake of the 2008 economic downturn, this process has proven especially challenging. In addition to the difficulties an American job seeker may face in a time when jobs are scant, refugees must often navigate the job search with less-than-fluent English, and may encounter prejudice or xenophobia from employers opposed to immigration. See office of Refugee Settlement, \textit{Report to Congress 2009} (Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, 2009).
friend, [and] what to do with [a] friend. This statement seems to suggest that because the film revealed some reality about friendships in the U.S., Buddhi was able to apply directly what she saw in the *The Great Gatsby* to her real life after her resettlement. But when I asked Buddhi whether what she encountered firsthand in the U.S. seemed similar to what she had seen in the film, she told me, it is “difficult to compare movies to particular life, it’s tough.” I found variations of Buddhi’s response among my other narrators: though they consistently recognized the difference between U.S. films and real life in the U.S., many narrators found in American media hints about American culture, relationships, government, and social interaction that were still useful as a kind of informal education about U.S. life, while others seemed to watch for simple entertainment.

In November I met a Somali refugee who asked me to call her Zanuba. She told me about the American media she saw before the war in Somalia: “In my country, in Somalia, I used to watch some movies. I don’t remember the actors’ names, but I used to know, like, Madonna, Michael Jackson, and there was other movie actors, I don’t remember their names, but I know their faces.” Zanuba did not know English while she lived in Somalia, but explained, “You know, the action—that’s what you understand.” I asked Zanuba whether she believed she learned anything about the U.S. from watching these films or listening to American music, but at this question she furrowed her brow. “Just what I learned is—that time I was young, and it was cool, these people and the Hollywood—to see them, it was like amazing.” Though Zanuba consumed American media to be entertained by what was “cool” rather than to gain some explicit information or education about the U.S., when she arrived in her new home in City

---

188 Buddhi Rai, interview by Sarah Bishop, Pittsburgh, PA, February 20, 2013, to be deposited in the Schlesinger Library at Harvard University.
Heights, a suburb of San Diego, she revealed, “It was different [than] what I thought—I thought [it would be] more beautiful than that…I said, ‘Is this America?’ So it was different… City Heights, there was all the, you know, apartments, houses, and you see even some cockroaches—I never thought America has cockroaches!”189 I asked Zanuba whether she had seen cockroaches in Somalia, and when she confirmed, I asked why she did not think she would see cockroaches in San Diego. She answered, “Because in America we thought—they have rich, in our mind, what we see, they are powerful country, rich—so we thought everyone is rich almost and clean everywhere, not those things.” I pressed her further, and asked, “Why did you believe that everyone here was rich—where did that expectation come from?” She answered simply, “When you see the film.”

Here, Zanuba’s responses reveal that her pre-arrival consumption of American media created an expectation of wealth and beauty that she found to be incongruent with reality upon her arrival in the U.S. Still, Zanuba did not point to this relationship between media and expectation when I asked her what she learned from the American media she saw while living in Somalia, and only acknowledged the connection between her expectations and pre-arrival media after I pressed her repeatedly to account for her surprise regarding the cockroaches and general lack of beauty in City Heights. In this way, Zanuba’s reflections testify to the reality that refugee audiences may be enculturated through pre-arrival media even when they are not explicitly aware of it.

The nuanced descriptions of the effects of pre-arrival media discussed in this section reveal that the relationship between media and enculturation is multifaceted. Instead of

189 “Zanuba,” interview by Sarah Bishop, San Diego, CA, November 21, 2013, to be deposited in the Schlesinger Library at Harvard University.
accepting or rejecting the whole of some mediated text as simple fact or fiction, refugee audiences may perform complex and selective processes of interpretation and use the mediated portrayal of certain norms, relationships, rituals or values to familiarize themselves with the intricacies of particular facets of a new culture, even when they are not aware that they are doing so. In the next section, I discuss this possibility in more detail.

2.3 COMPLICATING ENCULTURATION THROUGH MEDIA

The more I spoke with refugee narrators, the more I found that I needed to complicate my original question of whether they believed that the U.S. media they saw before their resettlement represented the reality of life in the U.S. I began wondering, what facets of culture it is possible to learn by watching, reading, or hearing media, and started asking the narrators more direct questions such as, “What did you know about romantic relationships in the U.S. before you moved here?” and, “Why did you want to watch American films before your relocation?” and, “Did you ever talk with anyone about the American media you saw?” Two areas of insight became clear from this new line of questioning: First, a significant relationship exists between refugees’ consumption of pre-arrival American media and the interpersonal interactions they have about this media. Indeed, as I will demonstrate, the refugees I interviewed use comparisons of real life and American media—especially film—in conversation to prepare other refugees for arrival in the U.S. or to interpret other refugees’ experiences after their arrival, revealing the ways that media may work as a kind of frame through which to predict or interpret experience. Second, it became clear from the answers to these kinds of questions that the narrators I spoke with were not only using American media to form their general expectations of the U.S., but also
to make themselves familiar with all kinds of cultural norms and social cues that are typical in the U.S.—from the ways that people interact with each other in the workplace, to the ways that Americans respond to unexpected encounters with strangers. These kinds of accounts show how mediated depictions of unknown places may facilitate enculturation and act as “strips of reality,” providing viewers with useful, albeit partial clues. As is evinced by Esther, Shiraz, Zanuba, and other narrators who, as we have seen, found discrepancies between mediated and first-hand encounters with the U.S., any piece of media works as both “bridge and chasm,” revealing some possibilities or interpretations of reality while concealing others. Indeed, refugees who encounter media are not simply watching in order to make determinations regarding whether what they see offers a real or imagined picture of the US. Rather, the refugees in this study reported more nuanced viewing practices, in which—even when they realized the mediated narratives portrayed only a partial picture or singular interpretation of U.S. life—they could still use the media to acquaint or familiarize themselves with some cultural aspects of life in the U.S.

In some cases, narrators revealed how pre-departure, media related expectations were accompanied and contradicted by interpersonal interactions about U.S. media. For example, before his resettlement to the U.S. Mohammed Mahmod, an Iraqi now living in Pittsburgh, Mohammed arrived in the United States with a Special Immigrant Visa (SIV)—a special kind of refugee status granted only, according to the U.S. State Department, to “persons who worked with the U.S. Armed Forces or under Chief of Mission authority as a translator or interpreter in Iraq or Afghanistan,” and who faced some danger to their own or their family’s livelihood because of this work. Iraqi special immigrants are eligible for the same entitlement programs, resettlement assistance, and other benefits as refugees admitted under the U.S. Refugee Admissions Program, and often apply to the U.S. as refugees before being granted SIV status. See http://travel.state.gov/visa/immigrants/info/info_3738.html.

192 Mohammed arrived in the United States with a Special Immigrant Visa (SIV)—a special kind of refugee status granted only, according to the U.S. State Department, to “persons who worked with the U.S. Armed Forces or under Chief of Mission authority as a translator or interpreter in Iraq or Afghanistan,” and who faced some danger to their own or their family’s livelihood because of this work. Iraqi special immigrants are eligible for the same entitlement programs, resettlement assistance, and other benefits as refugees admitted under the U.S. Refugee Admissions Program, and often apply to the U.S. as refugees before being granted SIV status. See http://travel.state.gov/visa/immigrants/info/info_3738.html.
spoke at length with his parents because they had visited the U.S. previously. Mohammed remembers that to help him prepare for his resettlement, his father told him “not to believe everything in the movies.” Likewise, Abreer, a female Iraqi refugee who fled to Egypt in 2006 because of threats to her Christian family, and who arrived in Houston, Texas in 2012, explained that in Iraq:

> We saw, I mean, old movies and new movies, they [were] concerning—especially in New York—like thieves, like people, I mean, kidnap someone, and robbery and something like this, so this is the first impression that we have about States, depending on, what we see from the films, because it’s the only way that we can see States, through films.  

Later in our interview, however, Abreer revealed that she had Iraqi family members who were already living in the U.S. when she arrived. When she confirmed that she spoke with this family to prepare for her own resettlement, I asked what they told her. Her family, she remembered, simply explained: “No, it’s not like the movies.” In these cases, “the movies” act as a kind of shared locus from which to present a contrasting view about life in the U.S., revealing another manifestation of media’s role in the relocation process. Indeed, refugees’ consumption of media does not occur in a vacuum, and in order to understand the significance of pre-departure American media in the lives of refugee audiences, we must take up the process of what
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Lawrence Grossberg calls “radical contextualization,”\(^{194}\) considering every mediated encounter as occurring within a complicated milieu of personal experiences that may both affect—and be affected by—the processes of encountering and interpreting pre-arrival media. In this way, we can recognize that pre-arrival media consumption and the events and interaction surrounding this consumption, such as interpersonal conversation about pre-arrival media, are not altogether separate phenomena, but rather interlocking parts that make up an intricate, layered experience.

Of course, the facets of this reality vary greatly from person to person. For example, some of the refugees in this project, like Govinda, did not have the luxury of interpersonal interaction with individuals who had seen the United States firsthand and with whom he could vet his interpretations of pre-arrival media. When I asked Govinda how he learned about this U.S. before his arrival, he answered, “I learn in the book, but I learn—I didn’t even talk with the people.” In fact, as he revealed earlier in this chapter, Govinda had relatively wide access to American books, films, and television shows when he was attending college in Nepal. But without friends or family members who had firsthand experience living in the U.S. with whom to talk about these experiences, Govinda was left confused. He explained,

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{I didn’t understand because comparing to here, like in my culture we never stay} \\
\text{with girlfriend together, never. And, if I have a wife, in my culture, we don’t} \\
\text{need to keep a girlfriend, so we don’t have permission to love another girl. In} \\
\text{Great Gatsby I saw that I, I was so confused about all things, you know? So, it’s} \\
\text{kind of awkward at the beginning…. I didn’t talk anybody from my community in} \\
\end{align*}
\]

the United States. So just when I come to United States it’s only a dream, like, it’s like a dream. So I have I don’t know anything about that, it’s totally new things.

While opportunities to discuss pre-arrival American media experience with individuals who have firsthand experience living in the U.S. do not guarantee a refugee less culture shock or an easier learning curve, it is clear that the refugees in this study found these conversations—when they were available—to be useful and comforting.

In addition to contradicting or disproving expectations learned through pre-arrival media, sometimes, interpersonal interaction about such media serves to affirm one’s belief that American media depicts reality. For example, Shiraz maintains that the pre-arrival American media refugees encounter is similar enough to reality to prepare them for what they will find in the U.S. Shiraz’s view became clear when he demonstrated his frustration with some Iraqi refugees who had chosen to return to Syria after living only a short time in the U.S:

They come [to the U.S. as] a refugee and came back. I told them, “Why? Okay. You get there and everything is okay. Why?” [They answered.] “Oh, we can’t, we can’t live in there because the, you know, the culture is different and everything is different. We can’t live in there.” [So I would say to them.] “Okay, you knew about America and you saw the movies and you, you knew the type of life in there. Why you, why you came to America?” I don’t know. I don’t understand. They come in and after two months or three months, they came back to Syria. They didn’t like it. But [I said to them] “You saw the movies! The, you saw
everything, you know how is life in there, if it’s, if it’s different from your culture.”195

Whether interpersonal interactions confirm or disconfirm the similarity between American media and reality, in every case, it is clear that the cognitive links between media and the potential reality in the U.S. are formed long before an individual physically arrives within a new cultural context. Linda Estefan, an Iraqi refugee I interviewed in Los Angeles, discussed this phenomenon with me at length.

Linda described the first 45 years of her life in Baghdad as “normal.”196 As a child, she would go on weekly trips with her father to the cinema. “Most [were] American film,” she recalled. “There is sometimes, they bring Indian film, and Turkish film. But everyone go to the American film.” After graduating from university, Linda married, had two boys, and began working in a government lab as a biologist, a job she enjoyed. In 2007, everything changed. She and her husband had to remove their boys from school, pack their home quickly, and flee the country. “There is a war in Baghdad. And there are strangers and no safe[ty] and we can’t, I can’t stay,” Linda explained. “I ran away because I, they gave me, they gave us threat. They threatened us. ‘If you don’t leave the country, we will take your son or we will kill anybody of your family,’ like that. When I came to Syria as a refugee, I decided that I can’t go back to my home country. I decided to move on to U.S.A.” January 28, 2011, Linda and her family boarded a plane bound for Los Angeles.

196 Linda Estefan, interview by Sarah Bishop, Los Angeles, CA, July 12, 2013, to be deposited in the Schlesinger Library at Harvard University.
The Estefans had family who had already moved to Los Angeles and who acted as their anchor during the relocation process. Linda told me that she already knew a lot about the United States before her arrival. I asked whether her opinions about the U.S. had come from her education. “No, from the movies,” she replied. “The movies very [much] affect us. Because, through the movie, there are many things about the American[s], how they live, how they, how their families live and what is the relationship between them or what is going on the country between the people and the government.” I asked Linda about her family’s typical media intake, and learned from her response that the American media Linda encountered during her time living in Baghdad was somewhat unique—while many of the other Iraqi refugees I interviewed mentioned seeing mostly recent action films, Linda remembered, “The black and white films…the famous one I see, it’s all in my mind. The one—Gone with the Wind. Because, she is Elizabeth Taylor, I think. Elizabeth Taylor. Yeah. Her beauty and her dress is very beautiful and different. Everything beautiful, from the house, [to] the garden.” Linda also remembers seeing cartoons in Baghdad: Tom and Jerry, Roger Rabbit, Mickey Mouse, and Superman. I asked Linda to tell me more about what she saw in American media that was notably different than the culture in Baghdad. She answered,

The first thing, the first thing that is different between them and us, there is a freedom too much in USA. I mean, everything. In our home country, no, it’s limited. If you’ll see… if for example, like in a speech. You wanted to talk about

197 A refugee anchor is a family member living in the United States who agrees to sponsor an incoming refugee or refugee family.
198 *Gone with the Wind*, directed by Victor Fleming (Burbank, CA: Warner Home Video, 1939).
199 In fact, the lead actress in *Gone with the Wind* is not Elizabeth Taylor, but rather another British-born actress, Vivien Leigh. Moreover, *Gone with the Wind* was shot in color.
something [in Iraq], politic or religion or something. They discriminated you, you should be aware. But in this country, no. You have a freedom in the speech. You have a freedom and, yes, there is a law. There is an instruction you have to agree to, but there is… there is a freedom more than my home country… And the… my country, the religion is very strict, very. But in this country, no.

Though the cartoons and the films Linda mentioned do not provide explicit lessons about the American government or freedom of speech, Linda picked up on and familiarized herself with the ways in which these cultural aspects appeared implicitly in the media she watched. Linda’s narrative reveals that enculturation does not occur only when an individual becomes physically present in a new culture, instead, cultural learning may take place remotely, when an individual becomes an audience member for a mediated cultural narrative that is in some way different from the one in which she lives.

Moses Boghossian also reported being enculturated through American media before his arrival in Los Angeles. Like Linda, Moses grew up in Baghdad, and remembers his time there fondly: “It was very good. I have a good life there. But after 2006 when I came to here to United States [for a visit as a tourist] I [went] back to Iraq, I received a letter they want to kill me. That I was, me and my wife, we’ve been here for a tourist then we receive a letter that [they think] we are working with America, they want to kill us so I ran away from Baghdad.”\(^{200}\) Before his displacement, Moses did not see much American television. He remembers that there were no American television shows available on his television in Iraq before 2003, and after 2003, the

\(^{200}\) Moses Boghossian, interview by Sarah Bishop, Los Angeles, CA, July 12, 2013.
only available American show was *Dallas*. But Moses saw many American films while he was living in Iraq. Action films—especially cowboy movies—were his favorite. Moses believes that he learned a lot from the America films he watched:

I think we have good impressions about the America from the films. Especially the language, the education and, lots of things, you know, about the sports, about everything. When I came here, especially the first time when I talk [to] the customs [officer for the] interview in the airport, they thought that I’ve been here a lot—many times. So when I told them this is my first time, they were surprised. So when I talk with them, when I [knew] lots of things about the American life, American cities, they were, they told me, “Where [have] you learn[ed] from?” I told them from our TV!—the television and the film.

For Moses, American media was more than entertainment; it was a means for gaining useful information about education, language, and sports in the U.S.

Moses’ and Linda’s narratives reinforce the notion that media is multivalent; instead of containing meaning in and of itself, any mediated text is decoded and interpreted uniquely by each member of the audience who encounters it. Indeed, while some of the refugees I interviewed reported encountering some of the same media—such as *Rambo* or *The Great

_____________________________

it is clear that refugees’ interpretations of these and other media are consistently tailored to the viewers’ own lives and contingent upon their previous knowledge, experiences, and desires. In this way, these narrators function as members of an “active audience,” interpreting media through their own, unique lenses of understanding.203

In any cultural context, the interpretation of media is highly personal, so that one cannot assume any media text holds an inherent or immanent meaning in itself independent of its audiences. For refugees in particular, as is shown by the narratives in this chapter, transnational media may serve as a kind of informal education—useful not only for the sake of forming an overarching picture about an unfamiliar place, but also for the purposes of enculturating oneself into the social norms and customs of a future home.

Abdikadir Abdiyow Barake is a sixty-seven-year-old man from Somalia who was forced to flee his country after an unknown man burst through the door of his home, demanded that Abdikadir rape his ten-year-old daughter, and cut off one of Abdikadir’s testicles when he refused to follow the man’s orders. Abdikadir’s family fled from their home on foot until a stranger in a pickup truck offered to drive them to the Kenyan border where they could seek asylum. Sadly, when they arrived at the border, Abdikadir saw what he described as “an ocean of bodies” on the ground, and people fighting and shooting. A helicopter that he believed belonged to the United Nations appeared and dropped a ladder to help the weaponless Somalis who were being attacked. Abdikadir tried to help his family onto the ladder, but sadly, his wife and two of his children were shot and killed in the process. Abdikadir’s only surviving son from Somalia, Said Abiyow, now the Director of the Somali Bantu Association of America (SMAA), introduced me to his father during my visit to San Diego in November 2013. While we sat in the SMAA offices, Abdikadir told me his story and showed me the scar from where he was shot in the back as he climbed the ladder to the U.N. helicopter. Abdikadir does not know how long he lived in the Dadaab refugee camp in Kenya after the helicopter rescued him, but he knows that before his arrival in San Diego,
We don’t even know, like, there is a America, there is a Africa, there is a Dadaab, we just know only Somalia… They gave me a form that about America, you know, that’s the first time that I hear about America. They gave me a form that to fill it out bring it and the government said “Anything that you need, from us, let us know. But…you cannot stay here in this situation; We’re going to take you to America. Is it okay with you?” And I said, “Everywhere you guys taking me, I’m ok with it. As long as it’s not in here.”

After this conversation, the government officials helped Abdikadir fill out the application to the U.S. because he did not know how to read or write. His application was approved, and he arrived in San Diego in 2002.

Fadhail Irbrahim remembers that after she and her children fled her home in Baghdad to seek asylum in Damascus in 2007, “When we get [to] Syria, I call my brother. I said, ‘I'm here.’ He said, ‘Ok. Go to United Nations. They can help you to bring me, to bring you, your family, to Australia.’ That was my aim,” Fadhail explained. “To go Australia, not to America. After four months, [the representatives from the UN] call me. They said…‘There's a group of interviewers, or officers. They're going to come from America and you're going to be the first one to have interview.’” As Fadhail revealed in the last chapter, she was fearful of the U.S. due in part to the frightening American films she had seen. Fadhail told the officers, “‘I don't want to go to America; I want to go to my brother.’ But they said, ‘You have to go through processing.’ and
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all of those things. And I did apply through the embassy, Australian embassy, and they reject my application.”

Four months later, Fadhail arrived in Erie, Pennsylvania.

The first line of Chapter One in *Welcome to the United States: A Guidebook for Refugees*, typically disseminated by the International Organization for Migration to refugees attending pre-departure cultural orientations before their resettlement to the U.S., reads, “Like many other refugees, you have made the decision to resettle and start a new life in the United States of America.”

As is evident from the narratives above, refugees may have varying degrees of interest in or understanding about this “decision” to resettle in the U.S. Like Abdikadir, some refugees are not aware that America exists until they apply for resettlement; others, like Fadhail, do not want to resettle in the U.S. and only make the decision to do so after they are rejected from other countries’ resettlement programs.

In this chapter, I consider the media that refugees encounter after being approved for resettlement in the United States and before their departure date—either in U.S.- State-Department-sanctioned orientations abroad, or in refugees’ individual pre-relocation preparations that occur outside of government orientations. By providing a multi-sited view of overseas cultural orientations, I endeavor to situate orientation media within a broader framework of enculturation. To this end, I analyze the messages that orientation media includes and excludes in order to elucidate the techniques through which the U.S. government represents itself in cultural orientations as well as the efforts made by these orientations texts’ efforts to normalize the refugee experience by describing repeatedly what “most people” in the U.S. want, have, or
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do. Throughout, I will work to reveal how orientation media often communicate what the implicit and explicit consequences are for refugees who fail to abide by the orientation’s counsel, and the several ways the illusion of choice may function within refugees’ relocation processes.

Because not all preparatory media encounters occur during official cultural orientations, and because several factors may inhibit refugees from attending pre-departure orientations or from digesting the media that is provided in such contexts, I will also consider the ways refugees use media that they encounter outside of formal orientations as they make independent preparations for relocation to the United States. These independent preparations include activities such as Internet research about the United States or an increased consumption of American films or television immediately before one’s resettlement. I anticipate that an appraisal of both official orientation and non-orientation media will allow a useful view into the diversity of refugees’ interpretations of and access to mediated representations of the United States from multiple sources before their arrival.

The process of applying for resettlement to the United States as a refugee is complicated in large part by the activities that lead refugees to this action. Although multiple countries accept refugees, sometimes government quotas and funding limit the number of available applications to any given destination.\textsuperscript{207} Refugees may decide to apply for resettlement to the U.S. because they have family members living there, or because they have seen films or other media that make

the U.S. appear as an attractive destination. Other times, as in Fadhail’s case, the decision to apply to the U.S. may only occur after an application to another country is rejected.

In other instances still, refugees may apply for U.S. resettlement as a result of some outside pressure. For example, Sitay, a Somali refugee living in San Diego, remembers, “When we came to Nairobi, the government asked us, ‘You know, you guys have this kind of situation, so which place you guys want to go?’ And we don’t even know there is America in the world. We didn’t even know there is a country named America.” Because Sitay knew that Kakuma (a refugee camp in Kenya) was the name of a place where some Somalis lived, she told the government representatives, “We want Kakuma.” Hearing this answer, the representatives cursed and scoffed at her. Sitay remembers,

They said, like, “When the government asks what place you want, why don’t you say America or Europe? How come you say Kakuma?” And we don’t know which one is America and which one is Europe, we just know only Africa. That’s why the government—when they ask us, “What country?” We said, “Kakuma.” And you know, the government said “No, why don’t you choose another country?”

208 See, for example, Linda Estefan, interview by Sarah Bishop, Los Angeles, July 12, 2013, to be deposited in the Schlesinger Library at Harvard University, Tek Rimal, interview with Sarah Bishop, Pittsburgh, March 18, 2013.
209 Sitay Mbere, interview by Sarah Bishop, San Diego, November 20, 2013, to be deposited in the Schlesinger Library at Harvard University.
Sitay obliged, and applied for resettlement in the U.S. Because she was married to a Kenyan man who was not eligible for refugee status, she arrived, alone, in 2003 to San Diego.

The paths that lead refugees to apply for U.S. resettlement are neither simple nor straightforward. But whatever the circumstances that resulted in their resettlement application, the ways that the refugees in this project viewed and interpreted American media took on new significance once they had been approved for U.S. resettlement and began to prepare for departure to the other side of the world.

3.1 PRE-DEPARTURE OVERSEAS ORIENTATIONS

For many, the majority of pre-departure encounters with media that portray the U.S. occurred during the orientations that are mandated and funded by the U.S. Department of State's Bureau of Population, Refugees, and Migration (PRM), and conducted by the Cultural Orientation Research Center before a refugee’s resettlement. The timing of pre-departure cultural orientations varies by local context; refugees may attend at any point after they have been approved for resettlement in the U.S. and before their departure date. This means some refugees receive their orientation several weeks—or even months—before they relocate, while others like Sancha attend only a few days before their departure. The timing of a cultural orientation may have a direct effect on refugees’ memory of it or their ability to interpret the presented information. Sancha explained that in his own case,
We attended that orientation only before coming to U.S. like, 15 days before. I say if it was done more earlier, it would be more beneficial for people. So that people, you know, they can think and they can judge—they can analyze themself and they'll have enough time to do everything before coming U.S. Like, if they are taught only 10 days early or 15 days early, already they are in a type of, you know—what to say—rush preparing for moving to U.S.210

Sancha’s comment serves as a reminder that one should not only consider what kinds of media were made available to refugees during their orientations, but also the contexts in which the orientation media were provided. The extraction of refugee encounters with pre-departure media from other lived experiences, so that media can be analyzed in isolation, can provide only a fractional perspective. For a broader view, one must consider the ways in which media are embedded inside and inform other complex facets of pre-departure contexts—both in and outside of official orientation.211 As Sancha revealed in the first chapter, some of his expectations about the U.S. were a direct result of the multiple American films he watched while living in Nepal. The information he was given during his pre-departure orientation provided him with a new layer of understanding for comparison with what he learned from these films, so that his expectations acted as dynamic, negotiated sources of knowledge about the possible characteristics of his future life in the U.S. Indeed, orientation media, media outside of orientation, and the events surrounding this consumption—such as interpersonal conversation—are not altogether separate

211 See Pertti Alasuutari’s discussion of the “third wave” of audience studies in Rethinking the Media Audience: The New Agenda (London: Sage, 1999).
phenomena, but rather interlocking parts that make up an intricate, layered process of preparation for resettlement in the U.S.

When cultural orientations take place in refugee camps, they are typically held in an indoor meeting room associated with the camp’s administrative audiences. Below, the following photographs show orientations occurring in refugee camps in Kenya, Thailand, Nepal, and Iraq, respectively.  

Figure 1. Cultural Orientation in Kenya

Figure 2. Cultural Orientation in Thailand
Figure 3. Cultural Orientation in Nepal

Figure 4. Cultural Orientation in Iraq
As is clear from each of these images, media abound in pre-departure orientations. In the first image, Somali refugees view a map of the United States and photographs of the inside of an airplane.\textsuperscript{213} In the second image, Burmese refugees view photographs and drawings of different places, people, and animals found around the world, including the Eiffel Tower, Martin Luther King, Jr., George Washington, and a bald eagle. In the upper right hand corner of the frame, a globe and a bin of papers are visible. In the third image, refugees from Bhutan sit in front of a wide array of print media hanging on the wall behind them. This media includes, among other images, maps, and diagrams, a photograph of an individual looking inside an electrical clothes dryer, a diagram explaining the necessity of sitting instead of squatting on a western toilet, pictures of highway and traffic signs, and images of multi-generational light-skinned individuals embracing and holding hands. Additionally, this image reveals multiple orientation attendees holding books that may have been used only during class time, or could have been given to attendees to keep. Though I cannot be sure which text the attendees are holding, it appears that the page they are turned to features a large map of the United States. In the last image, Iraqi refugees stand around an array of print media arranged on the floor during what appears to be an activity about what to expect during the first twelve months after resettlement. Behind the attendees, multiple bulletin boards and a white board hold additional print media, and a map in the upper right hand corner of the frame depicts the western coast of the United States.

For the majority of the narrators I interviewed, the orientation leaders had access to electricity to demonstrate—through video, audio recordings, or even functioning examples of

\textsuperscript{213} None of the Somali narrators I interviewed had been on an airplane before the day of their travel to the U.S.
kitchen and bathroom electrical appliances—what to expect during the first days in the U.S. When electricity is not available, orientation leaders may depend more on hard copy images such as photographs and printed texts to illustrate the lessons. Orientations provided for individuals who are living independently, rather than in refugee camps, exhibit more variation in physical location, content, and media. For example, an Iraqi refugee living in Jordan will likely already be familiar with American-style toilets and electric kitchen appliances. Therefore, lessons that take a good deal of time in some contexts may be unnecessary in others.

In order to determine the content of the overseas cultural orientation, the Cultural Orientation Resource Center (COR) liaises with the State Department Bureau of Population, Refugees, and Migration (PRM), overseas Resettlement Support Center Cultural Orientation programs, and national and local resettlement agencies. According to COR, “The purpose of overseas CO [cultural orientation] is to help refugees develop realistic expectations about life in the U.S.”

Inherent within this single sentence, several assumptions exist; this statement insinuates that some refugees’ expectations have stronger correlations to reality than others, and that an orientation possesses the ability to instill in its attendees expectations that are more realistic than the ones they would have held otherwise. Moreover, at its essence, this statement implies that expectations matter within the relocation process. Anyone who has ever traveled to an unfamiliar location under any circumstances may testify to the effect of expectations on the experience of the traveler, but to understand the full significance of orientation media, we must ask, why would arriving refugees’ expectations matter to the U.S. Department of State? In other words, what motivates the United States government to fund an expensive, time-consuming, multi-sited project aimed simply at changing refugees’ minds by “develop[ing] realistic

214 http://www.culturalorientation.net/providing-orientation/overseas
expectations?” The answer to this question is a complex one, but worth lingering over, as it opens up a window through which to view the relationship of media to the imagination.

Dilip Parameshwar Goankar, the Director of the Center for Global Culture and Communication at Northwestern University posits,

The entry of imagination into the logic of everyday life is given a global inflection by the twin forces of modernity: mass migration and mass mediation. Whether moving voluntarily in search of better lives or moving involuntarily as refugees and persecuted peoples, the migrants have lost the worlds into which they were born and are therefore forced to construct new imagined worlds that rarely coincide with geopolitical space or the ideologies of nation-states.215

Here, Goankar suggests that without some manipulation, migrants' imaginations are unlikely to “coincide” with the ideologies of the new locales into which they are willingly or unwillingly placed. This perspective causes the relationship of the “twin forces” of mass migration and mass mediation to take on new significance as one considers the purpose and effects of orientation media, because it highlights not only the perceived benefits of orientation to refugees, but also the benefits of orientation to the state.

From first glance, using orientation media may appear as a straightforward means of education designed for the simple task of teaching a refugee some processes that (s)he may be unfamiliar with. But Michel Foucault provides one explanation of a more complex reason that a

government may invest generously in the wellbeing of some of its (future) residents. Foucault describes how, taking on the good will of ethos, a government may begin to demonstrate a kind of Aristotelian sense of caring about their “audience”—the “increase of its wealth, longevity, health, and so on.”

If subjects—such as the refugees in attendance at U.S. State Department funded orientations—believe that the government is looking out for their safety, their defenses can rest in the assuredness that as long as they conform to the system and do what is asked, the state has their best interests in mind. I do not mean to suggest here that the state’s intentions for refugees are necessarily malevolent or devious, but rather, simply, that they have a stake in orientation media that goes beyond altruistic care for the wellbeing of refugees. By assessing the means through which orientation content is produced by the U.S. government and for the U.S. government, we can better determine just how this power functions in the lives of arriving refugees.

### 3.2 WHAT CAN ONE LEARN FROM ORIENTATION MEDIA?

The following still, taken from a widely-used refugee resettlement orientation video, titled “Welcome to the United States,” typically shown in pre-departure orientations, shows Lang Za Thang, a Burmese refugee, about to shake hands with his case worker upon arriving at a U.S. airport.

---

This single still reveals several potentially useful components: Lang is carrying his International Organization for Migration (IOM) bag, which helps American case workers identify refugees when they exit the gate area and often proves especially useful for refugees who do not speak English or who are unfamiliar with navigating airports. Because Lang is arriving during the winter, he is shown here wearing a jacket; the next scene depicts his caseworker providing Lang with a heavy coat, a winter hat, and gloves. Lang is smiling in this still, and during the next few shots of the film, he remarks, “I felt really happy, there were so many people at the airport [to greet me].” Watching even just this single scene during pre-departure orientation, a refugee could learn about the appearance of the interior of an American airport, the importance of carrying one’s assigned IOM bag during travel, the likelihood that one’s arrival destination will

be colder than one is used to, the proper way to greet a case manager, and the possibility of experiencing happiness upon arrival in the U.S. But scenes like this one may serve conversely to confound refugees whose arrival scenarios differ from those portrayed on screen. In comparing the expectations they formed during encounters with pre-departure orientation media and their experiences after resettlement, several narrators described experiencing some combination of expected and unexpected circumstances, confirming orientation media’s ability to offer helpful, yet inherently limited, instruction. While I will discuss this phenomenon in more detail in the next chapter, here, I’ll provide one example that speaks directly to the themes evident in the scene from the “Welcome to the United States” orientation film described above.

Tek remembers learning in a refugee orientation in Nepal that “The weather in US it is really fluctuating. No one can predict how it is going to the next hour,” and so he wasn’t surprised when a big snowstorm in New York delayed his arrival. Tek also learned during this orientation that refugees are supposed to wait at the airport for their case managers, but these instructions did not clarify that Tek must first exit the gate area before finding his case manager, so that upon his arrival, Tek remembers, “I waited there at the waiting area for about twenty or twenty-five minutes but no one was there. All the passengers they left the board. There was no one. My family, three of us we were waiting over there. And after a while I thought, I think no one is going to come here to pick us up.”218 Because many refugees—including Tek—had not been inside an airport before the day of their resettlement, orientation media that depict scenes such as Lang Za Thang easily finding and identifying his caseworkers may not adequately prepare refugees for the chaos and expanse of typical American airports. Despite his surprise at

218 Tek Rimal, interview with Sarah Bishop, Pittsburgh, March 18, 2013.
finding no one waiting for him at the gate, eventually, Tek used what little English he knew at the time to ask an airport employee for help, and managed to find his case manager.

Jasmine, from Burma, remembered learning from a film screened during her orientation that took place near the end of a sixteen-year-long stay in Thailand,

If you go to America you’re going to see snow, you’re going to live [in] a house, and then I was thinking that, if I go to United States I’m going to have my own house, and going to see a lot of snow, but when I came here, like, everything’s like, different. And then, the first day I cannot wait to go back, because my dream and [what] I faced so different.219

Jasmine has been living in San Diego since 2010. She has yet to see the snow she was prepared for in orientation. One explanation for Jasmine’s experience may be that because the media utilized in overseas orientation is designed for dissemination to refugees who are moving to multiple parts of the U.S., it inevitably conflates—visually and verbally—different geographies, cultures and norms in order to provide a generalized view of a generic “America.”

219 Jasmine Seymo, interview by Sarah Bishop, San Diego, November 18, 2013, to be deposited in the Schlesinger Library at Harvard University.
3.3 IMPORTANCE OF VISUALITY IN ORIENTATION MEDIA

Because overseas orientation takes place before refugees arrive in the U.S., and because most refugees have never visited the U.S. before they are relocated here, overseas cultural orientation educators use varying combinations of digital, video, and print media to help refugees envision their first few days in their new homes. It is difficult to overstate the role that visual images play for this purpose. For refugees who are illiterate or who do not speak the language in which the media is produced, pictures, drawings, and the action in orientation videos may be the only parts of orientation media that can be understood without an interpreter. Moreover, for refugees who—because of a lack of technology, interest, or governmental freedom—have not encountered any visual representation of the United States in films, television, or books prior to their orientation attendance, visual orientation media may prove especially important, and may communicate to the viewer much more than was intended by the media’s author(s). Consider the following image of the cover of a resettlement orientation book given to Burmese refugees living in Thailand.
Hsit Hsa, the Burmese refugee to whom this book belongs, was provided with this text while living in a refugee camp in Thailand, and emailed me this photo a few days after I interviewed her near her home in San Diego, California, where she has lived since 2011. She told me,

We had three days American culture orientation. Because we just hear, and when they taught us, just verbally, so we don’t have a chance to practice, and to see things for real. So, I think I need more. For example, they told us, when you get into the plane, what do you have to do? What things you have to notice. Everyone cannot remember, like, everything. And then the other thing is that some people, they don’t—they cannot read! So, even though we had book, we
don’t—we cannot read. They just can listen when the translator say, but they don’t have—they forget easily.\textsuperscript{220}

Because Hsit Hsa was concerned about forgetting the information she learned during orientation, she brought the book with her to the United States, where she keeps it in her apartment. Based on the configuration of the cover, in which eight small photographs appear overlain atop a large image of the U.S., it seems that these photos are meant to show a variety of Burmese individuals performing different activities in the U.S. Because the image of this cover is blurry and the pictures are small, I’ve expanded four of the images below for clarity.

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{images/figure7.png}
\caption{Close-ups of images from Figure 6}
\end{figure}

For individuals familiar with cultural activities—such as parents dropping off young children at the door of a school bus—these photos may seem typical or even mundane. But for an individual who has never encountered a school bus, and, indeed, cannot read the English words “SCHOOL BUS” that appear in the image on the left, the photograph may be less clear. Does

\textsuperscript{220} Hsit Hsa, interview by Sarah Bishop, San Diego, November 18, 2013, to be deposited in the Schlesinger Library at Harvard University.
the vehicle belong to the boy and the woman, or to a resettlement agency? Is the woman going to get onto the vehicle and leave the boy behind? Is the vehicle going to take the boy and the woman to work or to see their family? Is the woman pulling the boy away from his home and his family? Without knowledge that some schools in the United States offer a bussing system to transport students to and from a building that may be beyond walking distance from their homes, this picture may either lose some of its intended meaning, or take on new meaning that was not intended.

3.4 THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN ORIENTATION CONTENT AND CONTEXT

For refugees originating from rural areas who are minimally educated, have never traveled by plane, and have been living for ten or even twenty years unemployed in refugee camps, the majority of pre-departure cultural orientations will likely be consumed with simple practical instructions regarding the travel experience, such as directions for navigating an airport and using a seatbelt. In these instances, when electricity for film projection or computer-based media is not available to orientation leaders, they may rely on photographs, drawings, and descriptions to explain electricity, the proper use of household appliances such as microwaves and stoves, and the use and maintenance of indoor toilets. In some cases, the International Organization for Migration or another NGO may be able to provide physical examples of a few such household items for demonstration during orientation meetings. In these instances, photographs, drawings, and films may become less necessary or important.

Balaram, a Bhutanese refugee who arrived in Pittsburgh in 2010, helped me understand one reason why learning during orientation basic information about living in American homes
was helpful: “Even though I studied in India—it is developed, you know—still the toilet system
is different [in the U.S.],” Balaram explained.221 “This kind of toilet is raised, this system is not
popular there and there you don’t use toilet paper at all, people use water.” Fortunately, in
Nepal, where Balaram attended orientation, the International Organization for Migration
facilitates cultural orientations at two sites222 where refugees have an opportunity to see and
interact with basic household appliances, which currently include a refrigerator, a microwave,
and a western toilet. Below, a photograph of one of the toilets at the site where Balaram attended
orientation shows a diagram hanging on the door instructing refugees to sit down, rather than
squat on top of, the toilet. No words appear on the diagram; only about 65% of refugees living
in Nepal are literate.223

![An instructional diagram on the door of a bathroom at a refugee camp in Nepal](image)

**Figure 8.** An instructional diagram on the door of a bathroom at a refugee camp in Nepal

---

221 Balaram Gurung, interview by Sarah Bishop, Pittsburgh, March 22, 2013
222 One is at a location near the three Beldangi camps and another near Sanischare camp.
223 *Bhutanese Refugee Health Profile* (Atlanta, GA: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2013),
http://www.cdc.gov/immigrantrefugeehealth/profiles/bhutanese/background/index.html#four
When the following photograph was taken in the Dadaab refugee camp in Kenya in 2009, western toilets were not available for demonstration purposes to orientation leaders teaching Somali refugees.

![Image of people watching a film at the Dadaab camp](image)

**Figure 9.** Orientation attendees watch a film at the Dadaab camp in Kenya

In the absence of the physical object, the orientation leader, Amina, shows a film that depicts a Western toilet, pausing when the toilet is in center frame to explain to the attendees the necessity of sitting instead of squatting when using the bathroom.

Orientation leaders may consider lessons like the previous one unnecessary for more educated, technologically advanced, or well-travelled refugees, and choose instead to spend the duration of the orientation venturing into more nuanced topics, such as instructions for connecting with other members of one’s religion post-arrival, gender roles in the U.S., and the causes and/or stages of culture shock. The Resettlement Support Center, an agency serving

refugees from Iraq, reported in a 2012 publication the kinds of topics that are unique to Iraqi refugee orientations. The report states,

Most Iraqi refugees in the region are accustomed to modern life amenities such as satellite T.V., Internet (both at home and in commercial establishments such as coffee shops), cell phones, and computers, including high-end laptops. Refugees tend to expect that they will be provided with such items upon arrival into the USA…. Refugees are informed that items such as computers, cell phones, Internet service, and satellite T.V. are not provided by resettlement agencies.

While in this case, it appears that the orientation content was tailored because of attendees’ prior access to technology, at other times, the reasons for variations in the content of orientation are more difficult to pinpoint.

The range of topics that the narrators in this project reported learning from pre-departure orientation media—and, notably, that they can still remember in detail today—surprised me. From orientation books, different narrators remembered learning about topics ranging from the history of the United States, 225 that Washington D.C. is the center of government,226 what it means to establish an emergency contact, how to call an ambulance, varying methods for transporting children to school,227 and welfare assistance from the U.S. government.228 From orientation videos, the narrators remembered learning about the necessity of visiting American

225 Abdi Askar, interview by Sarah Bishop, San Diego, November 18, 2013.
226 Abreer Bayara, interview by Sarah Bishop, Houston, November 13, 2013, to be deposited in the Schlesinger Library at Harvard University.
doctors, the travel process from one’s country of asylum to the United States, how to use an oven and freezer, English vocabulary, how to vacuum, about the mix of cultures in the U.S., how to use eye contact and shake hands when meeting an American, how to lock a door, how to shop at a grocery store and interact with a cashier, how to get an ID and Social Security card, and what an American bed looks like.

Because of the pervasiveness of selective media within overseas cultural orientations and because of the vast diversity of content the narrators extracted from this media, as seen in the examples above, it becomes necessary to ask a simple question: How does orientation media function in the lives of refugees? By approaching this question with patience for diversity within different manifestations of the answer, one may gain a greater view of the power of orientation media within the lived realities of incoming refugees to the United States.

230 Abreer Bayara, interview by Sarah Bishop, Houston, November 13, 2013, to be deposited in the Schlesinger Library at Harvard University.
232 Chan Myae, interview by Sarah Bishop, Buffalo, August 7, 2013, to be deposited in the Schlesinger Library at Harvard University.
233 Dahabo Abdulali, interview by Sarah Bishop, San Diego, November 21, 2013, to be deposited in the Schlesinger Library at Harvard University.
234 Darjee Januke, interview by Sarah Bishop, Houston, November 13, 2013, to be deposited in the Schlesinger Library at Harvard University.
235 Fatuma Aden, interview by Sarah Bishop, San Diego, November 20, 2013, to be deposited in the Schlesinger Library at Harvard University.
236 Shiraz Minasaqen, interview by Sarah Bishop, Los Angeles, July 11, 2013.
3.5 THE POWER OF ORIENTATION MEDIA

Regardless of the variations within the specificity of their content, it is clear that pre-departure cultural orientations present a constructed version of reality to their attendees, and that this construction is aided and reinforced by print and digital media. If mediated communication does indeed hold the power to create subjective truths or realities as cultural certainties, one might ask in a consideration of orientation media: Whose truth do media communicate? Which realities are evident, and which are concealed? Whose purpose do these realities serve? Rather than decrying or supporting the particular constructions of reality that resettlement orientations facilitate, I wish instead to argue for a consideration that these texts may be simultaneously useful, productive, and problematic.

While some pre-departure orientation media provide pragmatic directions for resettlement travel and survival during the first weeks in the U.S., there exists a notable and substantial trend of social, religious, familial, and lingual directions for refugees. As I hope to elucidate in the following sections, these cultural instructions are particularly revealing. Toby Miller, who considers how culture and citizenship is constructed, represented, and negotiated in American television, argues, “Citizenship has always been cultural.”238 Moreover, Miller asserts,

In the United States, immigrants are crucial to the nation’s foundational ethos of consent, for they represent alienation from origins and endorsement of destinations. This makes achieving and sustaining national culture all the more fraught. The memory of what has been lost, even if it is by choice [in refugees’

In Miller’s view, because immigrants to the United States must necessarily allow the imposition of the state into their lives as a means by which to procure their new citizenship, this transitional period provides the U.S. government a crucial opportunity to publicize and perpetuate a particular cultural narrative.

As the narrators in this chapter demonstrate, some orientation media perpetuate this narrative by working simultaneously to construct the understanding that refugees’ previous knowledge is inadequate, and to assemble a new reality in which the refugees will only succeed if they heed the media’s instructions for their future. By providing both pragmatic travel and housing instruction as well as insights and instructions regarding American culture as a whole, orientation media support Nick Couldry’s view that “Media transform the smallest details of individual actions and the largest spaces in which we are involved.”

So that I do not risk overstating the role this media plays, I wish to remind the reader that any media about the U.S. a refugee encounters before his or her relocation may function as one of many pieces of communication that potentially affects refugees’ complex processes of forming and negotiating their expectations about life in the U.S.

---

239 Miller, Cultural Citizenship, 60.
As one explores the possibility that any piece of communication asserts limited, constructed representations of situated reality, one must also ask to whom this reality is addressed. Most of the orientation media that the narrators in this study remember were addressed to refugees generally, rather than to any particular ethnicity or group. In this way, orientation texts, images, and videos construct an imagined but ambiguous readership through the messages they include and exclude. Because of this characteristic, we might view the audiences of orientation media according to Wolfgang Iser’s notion of an implied reader—a term that, Iser posits, “incorporates both the prestructuring of the potential meaning by the text, and the reader’s actualization of this potential through the reading process” and “refers to the active nature of this process.”

The implicit presuppositions that appear about the implied reader in orientation media are significant but also present a contradiction: the media “project an image of reaching an actually existing public at the same time that it creates multiple publics as it circulates.”

In order to uncover how the latter half of this statement functions, we must become familiar with a process Louis Althusser calls *interpellation*: at the moment an individual recognizes him or herself as the intended audience for some kind of message, (s)he becomes subject to the power of the institution that produced the message. While in Althusser’s example the subject is *interpellated* when (s)he chooses to turn around after a police officer calls

---

out across a public street (“hey, you!”), in the case of orientation media, an orientation attendee need only recognize him/herself as a member of the media authors’ intended audience to become a part of an imagined public of refugees who are “other” than Americans and in need of some instruction. In light of Althusser, one can examine the techniques through which orientation media both presuppose and invent a public. In order to unpack the ways a refugee public is convinced of their need for the information delivered during a pre-departure orientation, as well as how this constructed need is fulfilled, we must home in on some existing orientation media. Indeed, without sustained attention to concrete examples, this discussion runs the risk of slipping into the realm of conjecture.

3.6 A CLOSER LOOK AT ONE ORIENTATION TEXT

To assay the means through which orientation media affect and inform refugees’ lives, one could take multiple approaches that vary from a wide scale comparative analysis of orientation books, films, and images, to an in depth analysis of a single scene from an orientation film or one page from a sole text. Both macro-and micro-media analysis is fruitful in its own right, and each of these approaches offers some insights that the other does not. Though I intend to continue the trend of brief examples of orientation media that I have already begun in this chapter, in this section, I will linger over one particular piece of orientation media for the sake of more thorough, sustained analysis.

The 266 page COR-produced text, *Welcome to the United States: A Guidebook for Refugees*—chosen because of its widespread use in overseas orientation, and for its repeated mention by the narrators in this project, is funded by the U.S. Department of State and typically
distributed by personnel affiliated with the International Organization for Migration in pre-departure refugee orientation contexts.\textsuperscript{245} The 2013 edition of the guidebook is available in seven languages and accessible free of charge in PDF format on the COR-CAL website.\textsuperscript{246} Also available on this website is a film of the same name that sometimes accompanies the text in orientation contexts where video viewing technology is available. While the \textit{Guidebook for Refugees} is meant to be used first and primarily in pre-departure orientation contexts, the third chapter of the guidebook instructs readers to pack the text into their carry-on luggage—along with “quiet toys for children” and “snacks”—when they relocate to the United States so that it can be used throughout and after the travel process.\textsuperscript{247} Unfortunately, because COR does not publically disclose the number of visitors to the \textit{Guidebook for New Refugees} website or statistics regarding the distribution patterns of the hard copies, it remains impossible to quantify or qualify the audience for this text. Indeed, aside from the narrators whom I interviewed, I do not know how many refugees have encountered the text, why the text is made available in certain situations, or in what contexts it is read in full or only in part. The COR website states, that while \textit{Welcome to the United States: A Guidebook for Refugees} is “applicable to all refugee populations, the 2012 version focuses on showing refugees from currently arriving populations, such as Bhutanese, refugees from Burma, refugees from Iraq, and Somalis.”\textsuperscript{248}

\footnotesize

\textsuperscript{246} \url{http://www.culturalorientation.net/resources-for-refugees/welcome-set}; a 2007 version of the guidebook is available in twelve languages, and the COR website states, “As the guidebook and the DVD are translated into various languages, those translations will be posted here…Until that time, the 2007 edition remains available, and you can read this edition of the guidebook in a number of translations.”
\textsuperscript{247} \textit{Guidebook for Refugees}, 34.
\textsuperscript{248} \url{http://www.culturalorientation.net/providing-orientation/toolkit/welcome/english-welcome-to-the-united-states-dvd}
Notably, only one of the seventy-four refugees I interviewed could remember definitively the names of any of the texts they were given during pre-departure orientation; Said Abiyow recalled a pre-departure orientation text called *Refugee Resettlement Progress*, which I have not been able to confirm or locate. Even Hsit Hsa, who brought her orientation book with her to the United States where she keeps it in her apartment, had to consult the book and email me after our interview to confirm its title. Though they could not remember the name of the text, many of the narrators remembered that their pre-departure orientations were delivered by the International Organization for Migration, which disseminates and promotes the use of *Welcome to the United States: A Guidebook for Refugees*. The U.S. State Department states that once they are approved for resettlement to the United States, “every refugee family receives *Welcome to the United States [A Guidebook for Refugees]*” though it was difficult to confirm this ubiquitous dissemination from my own interviews.\(^{249}\) It is clear from my interviews that the guidebook is not the only text available in overseas orientation contexts; local orientation leaders may create their own curriculum or use a combination of resources to construct a curriculum for overseas orientation. Because the *Guidebook for Refugees* is only a single orientation text, the following analysis must be understood as one example among many possible examples. In order to avoid viewing this single piece of media as an isolated, finite entity, I will consider this text situationally and contextually, considering the varieties of ways in which it may appear within, affect, and interact with refugees’ lives. Of course, the *Guidebook* cannot guarantee its effect on the reader, and the text’s meaningfulness for any given reader is likely to vary by context; a reader who encounters the text in a pre-departure orientation only is likely to use and interpret it

differently than a reader who reads the text after relocating to the United States and can choose to apply or not apply its instructions immediately.

My method for analyzing the *Guidebook for Refugees* included a close reading of the text with a consideration that all included language and images are both symbolic and value-laden. Throughout, this text promotes certain possibilities for refugees’ lives in the U.S. while simultaneously concealing others. After examining the text in detail, I identified five major themes that appear throughout: (1) the production of reader ignorance, (2) the promotion of refugee obedience, (3) the condoning of “American” normativity, (4) the invitation to multiculturalism, and (5) the foretelling of the emotions of refugees arriving to the U.S. In the following sections, I will discuss each of these themes in detail, providing visual and textual examples from the text or comments from refugee narrators when they are relevant.

### 3.6.1 Knowledge and ignorance: establishing need

In an attempt to convince attendees of the orientation’s importance, orientation media often work rhetorically to create a sense of ignorance in its audience. The first chapter of the *Guidebook for Refugees* asks readers, “What have you heard about life in America? Draw or write about the things you have heard below. When you are finished with this book, come back to this page. Circle what I still true and cross off what is no longer true.” In a weeklong independent observation of the Dadaab camp for Somali refugees in Kenya, Robinson Cook, the Employment Director at Lutheran Immigration and Refugee Services in Minnesota, took the following

---
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photograph of one refugee group’s answer to the previous question during a pre-departure orientation meeting.

Figure 10. Refugees respond to a question during pre-departure orientation

Though it is impossible to know how the ideas listed in Cook’s photograph were formed, it is significant to note that refugees—even those from undeveloped nations such as Somalia—may have a whole host of opinions about various aspects of professional, social, and personal life in the U.S. before they encounter a text such as the *Guidebook for Refugees*.

---

251 Robinson Cook, *Dadaab Cultural Orientation Mankato MN - What Do Refugees Know About The U.S. Before Coming?* (Independent Observation, 2009),  
The notion that the Guidebook allows for interactivity is important; refugees are invited to complete several quizzes, do drawings, and create lists throughout the text. Although attendees could hypothetically respond to these invitations in a variety of ways—assuming their ability to read and write—the Guidebook controls refugees’ participation through appendices that reveal the correct answers to all quizzes, banks of ideas for possible drawings, and instructions, such as the ones above, for refugees to “cross off” anything they add to the text that does not fit the Guidebook’s objectives. Through this repeated process of controlled interactivity, the text simultaneously promotes refugees’ ignorance, and assures the reader of the text’s ability to deliver the right kinds if knowledge. Indeed, Shannon Sullivan states, “Rather than oppose knowledge, ignorance often is formed by it, and vice versa.”\textsuperscript{252} In her essay, “White Ignorance and Colonial Oppression, or, Why I Know So Little about Puerto Rico,” Sullivan describes the systems of education for Puerto Ricans that were instituted soon after Puerto Rico became a U.S. colony in 1898, and her narrative is directly relevant to an analysis of the Guidebook for Refugees.

First, Sullivan asserts that as a result of U.S. colonization, Puerto Ricans were “ambiguously designated as belonging to, but not part of, the United States.”\textsuperscript{253} In the Guidebook for Refugees, this same ambiguous distinction exists in language that includes statements such as, “You will be more successful in the United States if you watch what is going on around you and are open to new ways of doing things, and if you are willing to ask questions


about behavior that puzzles you."  

Here, the author(s) of the guidebook—who only ever identifies himself/herself/themselves according to an affiliation with COR—represents the native voice of some presence that stands at the metaphorical door of the United States and has the power to speak knowledgeably about the path to “success” in the U.S. Throughout the guidebook, this unspecified, authoritative voice will refer to refugee readers as “you.” But no delineation appears that qualifies this “you” as belonging to a certain race, sex, ethnicity, or age. Instead, it refers to all refugees, perceived in a lump sum, in need of instruction.

Sullivan’s work reveals how citizens of the United States’ ignorance of Puerto Ricans “led them to view Puerto Ricans as ignorant and to believe that their (alleged) ignorance interfered with their ability to become true Americans.”  

As a result, educational “opportunities” were made available to Puerto Ricans that taught them to behave in ways that Sullivan argues were decidedly middle-class, Protestant, Euro-American, old fashioned, and promoted a privileged view of hard work, truthfulness, and cleanliness. This educational process hinged on a pivotal acceptance: the Puerto Ricans had to recognize and accept that they were uncivilized, childlike, ignorant, and weak (read: feminine) people with no cultural or political history of any value who were fortunate enough to receive help correcting this problem from a benevolent democracy that had only their best interests at heart. Puerto Ricans were implicitly told that by becoming ignorant of

---

who and what they were before 1898, they could remake themselves into true, manly Americans.\textsuperscript{256}

While Sullivan describes a process that began more than a century ago, the same themes appear throughout the 2013 edition of the \textit{Guidebook for Refugees}. Indeed, the text works efficiently and explicitly to establish a perception of ignorance and need in its readership, and to assure the reader that the guide will “help you prepare for your first few months in the United States.”\textsuperscript{257} The possibility that a refugee may already possess the resources (s)he needs for life in the U.S. is not addressed.

The implications of this interplay of ignorance and knowledge in the text is tied up with the belief—evident in some of the interviews I conducted—that orientation presents a kind of exhaustive standard of truth. For instance, Buddhi Rai, from Bhutan, told me that before her pre-departure orientation in Nepal, she “heard only knew a few things about the United State.” She explained, “I knew that comparing of all the countries, this is a developed country, but I didn’t imagine this is like.” During her pre-departure orientation, Buddhi told me, “Our teacher told us everything about America.”\textsuperscript{258} Similarly, although Zahraa, an Iraqi, attended a pre-departure orientation that was only three days long, she believed, “They told us everything about the life in the U.S. They told us all the details… So when I came here, almost I know everything about it. They explained everything.”\textsuperscript{259} Said, from Somalia, explained that in his orientation, IOM

\begin{footnotes}
\textsuperscript{256} Sullivan, “White Ignorance,” 162.
\textsuperscript{257} \textit{Guidebook for Refugees}, 3.
\textsuperscript{258} Buddhi Rai, interview by Sarah Bishop, Pittsburgh, February 20, 2013, to be deposited in the Schlesinger Library at Harvard University.
\textsuperscript{259} Zahraa Eskander, interview by Sarah Bishop, Los Angeles, July 11, 2013, to be deposited in the Schlesinger Library at Harvard University.
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disseminated a book called *Refugee Resettlement Progress*. “That book, we just read it about how the United States does, so, that’s the time that we figured it out—everything about the United States.”260 When orientation attendees come to believe for whatever reason that their orientations present a kind of instrumental truth, rather than a construction version of reality that may exist amongst several alternative constructions, the orientations and their corresponding media take on a heightened degree of power.

3.6.2 “Who is responsible for getting rid of the cockroaches?”: obedience through self-reliance

In the narratives that are advanced within the *Guidebook for Refugees*, refugees are consistently envisaged as both lacking some imperative knowledge, and responsible for gaining that knowledge as quickly and efficiently as possible. In fact, for a group of people allegedly in need of so much education to function as normative residents, the refugees *interpellated* by the guidebook are given an amazing amount of responsibility to be wholly self-reliant and obedient to American rules. Chapter Four states, “Resettlement Agencies will help you with basic expenses and living costs for the first thirty days in the United States…. But remember: Americans value self-reliance, so you will be expected to work and take responsibility for your own life as soon as possible.”261 Imposing such a duty on refugees after reassuring them repeatedly of their ignorance may seem contradictory, but in fact this tactic manages a kind of

261 *Guidebook for Refugees*, 47.
productive control: if, having been given all the tools a refugee should need to survive, one fails, this text ensures that no one is to blame but the refugee him or herself.

For the narrators in this project, orientation’s emphasis on self-sufficiency and obedience to laws and was particularly memorable. Indeed, refugees from multiple locations and circumstances consistently reported a focus during overseas cultural orientation on the importance of obeying the law and rules of the U.S. Ya Wee, who was only two years old when his family was displaced from their home in Burma, remembers that the pre-arrival cultural orientation he attended in Thailand before being relocated to New York taught him the tools he would need in the U.S. to “follow the rules and laws, regulations.”

Laxmi—who attended orientation during an eighteen year stay in Nepal after fleeing Bhutan—remembered, “People [that were teaching] that orientation talk about we need to follow the rules and regulations of United State and…we need to adapt the ways of life of American… we need to follow the rules and regulations and we need to adapt according to the amendments of United State.” Likewise, when I asked Abreer who attended a brief orientation in her birth country of Iraq before resettlement to Houston, Texas, in April 2013, what her orientation was like, she recalled, “You have to obey everything…They told that States is a country of law. So if you obey, you can survive, without law, you cannot be there.”

Abreer’s memory echoes the Guidebook’s instructions; it states, “In the United States, you can be punished if you break the law, even if you did not know about the law you broke. The penalty for some offenses may be deportation.

[262 Ya Wee, interview by Sarah Bishop, Buffalo, August 6, 2013.
263 Laxmi does not remember what year he left Bhutan.
264 Abreer Bayara, interview by Sarah Bishop, Houston, November 13, 2013, to be deposited in the Schlesinger Library at Harvard University.]
By promoting obedience to American rules and laws before refugees arrive in the U.S., the Guidebook presents life in the United States as a privilege that may be revoked should refugees fail to prove themselves as law-abiding citizens.

The relationship of the kind of strict obedience that the above narrators described to self-sufficiency becomes clearer when one considers that each of the four groups involved in this study come from cultures that are collective rather than individualistic. In collective cultures, individuals take a communal approach to survival, so that the goals, needs, and wellbeing of one’s community—which may include extended family, neighbors, coworkers and/or members of one’s religion—take precedence over one’s own goals, needs, or wellbeing. The necessity of transitioning out of this collectivist view and into a more individualistic outlook in order to achieve self-sufficiency is made clear in the Guidebook for Refugees and was echoed in the narrators’ memories. The first chapter of the guidebook begins with a list of vocabulary words that “are used when discussing resettlement in the United States.” Readers are asked to write a definition of, or—for those who cannot write—draw a picture that describes the following words: “Courage, Determination, Goals, Independent, Journey, Resettlement, Self Reliance.” The importance of individualism is thus established through this list at the very outset of the first chapter of the text, and is reinforced through fictitious vignettes about refugee individuals throughout the chapters. One such vignette reads,
Kumar asked for advice from his case manager and the employment specialist at his resettlement agency, and learned how to look for jobs on his own. Krishna sat at home or in the resettlement agency office and waited for staff to find a job for him. Who do you think probably got a job first? Who do you think was more successful over time?267

The narrators remember similar instruction regarding self-sufficiency. Iptisam, who attended a four-day cultural orientation in Jordan with her husband after fleeing Iraq and before arriving in Los Angeles in June 2013, remembered that her orientation taught her “To respect the law here…you have to depend on yourself when you move to U.S… everybody has to work to be independent.”268 Jala also fled Iraq, and attended a three-hour orientation in Jordan before his relocation to San Diego in July 2013 during which he remembers seeing “some parts of movies—short movies.” He explained, “They told us about the relationships between people… American people, how we treat them.”269 I asked Jala to clarify what he learned about American relationships in his pre-departure orientation media. He answered,

You know, the relationships between people here, it’s not so—it’s not together, they are separated—if you have neighbors, you don’t dial them or don’t talk with them too much because there is no connection between people too much, like in our country. In Iraq there is friendship, relationship between the neighbors; they

267 Guidebook for Refugees, 10.
268 Iptisam Issa, interview by Sarah Bishop, Los Angeles, July 9, 2013, to be deposited in the Schlesinger Library at Harvard University.
269 Jala Yaqo, interview by Sarah Bishop, San Diego, November 18, 2013.
talk with each other, they go visit each other but here, just you say “Hi” to them, to greet them.

Nanda, who grew up in Bhutan, summed up his orientation this way: “Basically the orientation stresses the proactiveness of the people, it’s not like—they don’t teach us dependency, they teach more independence, they think, they just try to give the idea that we should be independent in the United States.” This consistent stressing of self-sufficiency would understandably serve the state well; if a refugee becomes self reliant, (s)he will not need to burden the state by rely on public assistance for his/her wellbeing.

The necessity of self-sufficiency is reinforced in the guidebook through the following fictional vignette: “After a few months in his apartment, Kyaw Oo finds cockroaches living in and around his sink. His apartment has also become dirty. Who is responsible for keeping the apartment clean? Who is responsible for getting rid of the cockroaches?” Such vignettes reveal the creative rhetorical strategies orientation media may employ to ensure that refugees have the “right” kind of knowledge upon their arrival in the United States. To be clear, there is no doubt that a good deal of information in orientation media has the potential to be directly helpful for refugees’ securing basic necessities and staying out of unfamiliar legal trouble. Unfortunately, however, even if refugees follow orientation media’s directions, as one of the narrators revealed, they may not be able to avoid this trouble.

Said Abiyow, a Somali refugee and the Director of the Somali Bantu Organization of America in San Diego, told me a story he heard in the news a few years ago about a young

270 Nanda Chuwan, interview with Sarah Bishop, Erie, February 7, 2013.
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refugee who was walking to school in the U.S. When the boy noticed that police were following him, he began to run. The police chased the boy and, Said remembers, “said it’s time to stop and he stopped. Then the police drop him down on the ground, and he kinda lose two teeth.”272 The boy couldn’t speak English well so the police arrested and “picked on him” before finally releasing him. “Finally,” Said explained, “They found out he is not the suspect, he was a student— he was innocent.”

This story sounded familiar to me, and I remembered having read an almost identical narrative in the Guidebook for Refugees:

Police officers are public servants who protect the public and help people. You should do what a police officer tells you to and not be afraid of them. If a police officer approaches you and asks you to stop, do so. Running away will be seen as a sign that you have done something wrong and may lead to problems for you.273

It is impossible to know whether the boy from Said’s story ever read the Guidebook for Refugees, but regardless, he did exactly what the guidebook recommends by stopping when a police officer requested it. But following these directions did not help the boy to avoid the “problems” the text warns about—indeed, the problems he incurred included harm to both his body and his criminal record, despite his not breaking any laws.

The content of these rules and laws mentioned in the Guidebook for Refugees boast an impressive scope that ranges from explanations of how to follow mundane social norms of
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interpersonal interaction to avoiding illegal activity to the necessity of taking up certain attitudes and values to assure one’s success. In this way, the text acts as a manifestation of Toby Miller’s belief that “The U.S. Government, a putatively culture-free zone, [has] profoundly cultural qualifications for citizenship.”274 The guidebook reinforces the necessity of following these cultural norms, rules, and laws by suggesting how important they are to most Americans. In the following section, I discuss examples of these parts of the Guidebook in detail.

3.6.3 Keeping up with the Jonses: condoning normativity

In addition to presenting a series of rules and norms by which incoming refugees must abide, the Guidebook for Refugees provides its reader with multiple descriptions of the U.S. as a whole and its citizens. Young Yun Kim, a scholar of cultural integration, asserts that a host culture’s dissemination of information-rich texts may reveal useful cultural knowledge that helps migrants “change from being cultural outsiders to increasingly active and effective cultural insiders.”275 However, as I will argue in this section, the Guidebook for Refugees presents vague, ideal, and contradictory evidence regarding the nature of the United States.

Throughout, the guidebook makes several references to what “Americans” want, have or value.276 However, is never made clear exactly to whom the title of “Americans” refers. Perhaps it is the American government, or domestically born United States citizens, or perhaps it includes refugees who have come before and been granted U.S. citizenship. In his famous text,

274 Miller, Cultural Citizenship, 52.
276 See Guidebook for Refugees, 18, 19, 20, 21, 182, 207.
Imagined Communities, Benedict Anderson reveals how the nation is “imagined as a community, because, regardless of the actual inequality and exploitation that may prevail in each, the nation is always conceived as a deep, horizontal comradeship.” ²⁷⁷ In the guidebook, this comradeship is manifest in portrayals of the ways that “most people” in the United States live their lives.

The title page of chapter two states, “There are certain basic beliefs and ways of doing things that most Americans share.” ²⁷⁸ Then, the chapter goes on to explain multiple things Americans want, do, or value, including:

“American value self reliance and hard work and respect people who ask questions.”

“Most Americans value self reliance and hard work…They also expect newcomers who do not speak English to learn it as quickly as possible. Americans respect people who ask questions.”

“Americans smile a lot.”

“Americans believe that being on time is very important. Americans try to be on time and expect others to be on time too.”

“Americans place a high value on personal privacy.”

“Americans believe that it is important to follow rules.”

“Americans believe that a person is never too old or too young to learn new things.”


²⁷⁸ Guidebook for Refugees, 14.
“Most Americans view education as something people can enjoy all their lives.”

While these statements about the values, activities, and desires of Americans are perhaps meant to acclimate refugees to cultural practices they may encounter upon their arrival in the United States, in fact these statements do not always provide a statistically accurate representation of American life. For example, page 100 of the guidebook states, “Most Americans see a doctor once a year for a checkup so that they will know about any health problems before they become serious.” In fact, the *Journal of the American Medical Association* estimates that only about twenty one percent of Americans receive preventative health examinations yearly, and the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention suggested in a 2013 press release that Americans only access preventative health services at about half of the recommended rate. Discrepancies like this one provoke the question, what is the purpose of information in the guidebook that is incongruent with statistical reality?

In addition to a lack of statistical veracity, the guidebook also remains unclear regarding the methods through which the author(s) gained access to the emotions and values of “most Americans.” In one of the multiple choice quizzes that conclude each chapter, page 78 asks,
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“How do most Americans feel or respond when they see a police officer?” The possible answers include “a. They feel safe and protected,” “b. They become afraid,” “c. They insult the police officer,” and “d. They run away.” The correct answer—“a”—is available in Appendix A, at the back of the text. But the appendix does not reveal exactly how the author(s) came to be familiar with the emotions of “most Americans” towards police officers.

Indeed, while the guide declares that “Each community in the United States is different,” it implicitly limits this difference through the pronouncement of homogeneity in Americans’ beliefs, attitudes, and actions. Literary critic James Boyd White calls this type of rhetoric “constitutive,” in that it “constitut[es] character, community and culture in language,” constructing a group identity through symbols and narratives. This constitutive rhetoric proposes implicitly to the reader that while a refugee may have unique beliefs and practices, the most advantageous path to success includes clothing oneself in the kind of dominant “American” normativity described throughout the text.

### 3.6.4 Enculturation vs. acculturation

Recent intercultural communication scholarship and American immigration media consistently stress the importance of and possibility for enculturation over acculturation, and the guidebook
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Enculturation differs from and complicates the idea of acculturation, or, the process by which one sets aside facets of a former culture while learning and accepting a new cultural context. Enculturation suggests that cultural learning is not one-directional, and that any individual may choose to accept, resist, question, or deny certain facets of a new culture while simultaneously maintaining, negotiating, or blending his or her former culture into a new context. For example, the guidebook states, “Some values in the United States may be different from the values you think are very important. It will be important for you to find a balance between the two sets of values,” and assures, “The United States is a nation of people from other countries who have brought with them many different cultural traditions and practices.” But, while the guide mentions in several places this possibility for enculturation instead of acculturation, when I asked the refugee narrators in this project to describe their overseas cultural orientations, none of the individuals I interviewed mentioned learning from orientation media the possibility of the maintenance of their home culture while living in the U.S. Rather, the narrators

---


286 Enculturation is not an unproblematic term; it lacks the capacity to consider the ways culture may be negotiated collectively (by members of a collectivist culture), rather than individually. Fernando Ortiz’s notion of “transculturation” may get closer to incorporating a consideration of collectivism during cultural change. Still, because Ortiz describes transculturation as “The product of a meeting between an existing culture or subculture and a migrant culture, *recently arrived*...” the concept is not immediately applicable to pre-arrival contexts. See Fernando Ortiz, *Cuban Counterpoint: Tobacco and Sugar* (Durham, NC: Duke University Press, 1995), emphasis added.

287 *Guidebook for Refugees*, 129, 18.
reported being taught to conform to American culture no matter how different it is from one’s home culture. For example, Jasmine, from Burma, remembers that at her cultural orientation in Thailand before her relocation to San Diego in 2010, she learned “about the American culture, it say that—the guy told me that if you go to the United States, ‘You should not ask them age, like, how old are you? It’s rude.’ But in Thailand, it doesn’t matter.”288 Sahro, from Somalia, remembers, “They give us orientation…they tell us, ‘If you see, like, man and woman who stay in the back in our street, who are naked, and probably, or they hug and kiss…and if you see someone who dancing in the street, has something, don’t [be] thinking that person’s crazy.” Sancha remembered that while in Bhutan and Nepal it is typical for people to interact with other people’s kids, his orientation taught him, “Do not touch American kids, or you could get into trouble with the law. Don’t get too close to adults either; they need personal space.” In these and other cases, it seems that the narrators’ orientation experiences encouraged acculturation rather than enculturation.

The discrepancy between the text and the narrators’ reality is difficult to pinpoint; while it is possible that the maintenance of one’s home culture while living in the U.S. was not presented as an option during pre-departure orientation, it is also feasible that this possibility was presented and that the refugees did not consider it noteworthy or even simply that they chose not to discuss this phenomenon during our interview. While an interviewer may take pains to assure narrators that there are no right or wrong answers to questions, the possibility of selective exclusion is never wholly absent.289

288 Jasmine Seymo, interview by Sarah Bishop, San Diego, November 18, 2013, to be deposited in the Schlesinger Library at Harvard University.
The promise of the possibility of maintaining an intercultural outlook and behaviors seems to directly contradict the guidebook’s promise that “success” is directly related to one’s ability to identify what most Americans want, have or do as well as the text’s directive for readers to “watch what is going on around you and [be] open to new ways of doing things.” From this critical perspective, the promise of the ability for multiculturalism in the *Guidebook for Refugees* appears lacking in candor.

3.6.5 Predicting refugee emotions and changes

In addition to promoting a view of refugees’ ignorance, endorsing obedience, condoning American normativity, and promising the possibility of enculturation, the guidebook clearly and repeatedly foretells the emotions that refugees in the United States will experience as a result of their relocation. Consider the following page, taken from chapter three of the guidebook:
The Rai family arrived at the airport in their new city feeling tired but excited. But there was no one at the airport to meet them. What should the Rai family do?

**If there is no one to meet you at the airport, don’t worry! Stay calm and contact airport police for help.**

Think about how you might feel when you reach your final destination. Go to Appendix C. Faces of Emotion on page 226 and think about what faces would express those feelings. Draw them in the circles below.

☐ Will you be tired? Hungry or thirsty?
☐ Will you feel happy? Anxious?
☐ Will you be excited? Concerned?
☐ How long do you think it will take for your body to get used to your new environment?

**You may be tired after your long journey, but you’ll start to feel better in a day or two!**

---

**Figure 11.** Page 40 of the *Guidebook for Refugees* orientation text.\(^{290}\)

---

\(^{290}\) *Guidebook for Refugees*, 40.
Here, the guide gives readers the opportunity to fill in three circles with faces that express the emotions they anticipate feeling upon their arrival in the U.S. However, readers are not supposed to conceive of these emotions themselves; instead, they must choose the appropriate emotions from a provided bank: “Appendix C: Faces of Emotion,” included below.

**Figure 12.** Page 226 of the *Guidebook for Refugees* orientation text

---
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As we know from scholars of affect and psychology like Rachael Jack, facial expressions of emotion are not culturally universal.\textsuperscript{292} Moreover, by providing a range of possible emotions instead of allowing readers to create the faces from their own experiences, the guide effectively limits the range of emotions a refugee may claim to feel. While refugees may draw faces that depict emotions such as “thankful,” “nervous,” and “proud,” they are not able to claim emotions such as “overwhelmed,” “content,” or “disappointed.” When refugees claim to possess one of the emotions provided in Appendix C, the guidebook assure the readers, “These feelings are normal,” thereby implicitly denying the normalcy of emotions that are not represented by the provided list.\textsuperscript{293}

The significance of this limited range of emotions is further underscored by the guidebook’s foretelling of the duration of particular emotions. As can be seen above, the bottom of page 40 includes text box that exclaims: “You may be tired after your long journey, but you'll start to feel better in a day or two!” Such predictions about the duration of particular feelings and experiences reoccur throughout the text. For example, the text states, “You will probably feel worried and frustrated as you try to adjust to your new country. These feelings are normal, and they usually go away over time.”\textsuperscript{294} Later, the text reassures readers, “Children may feel lonely at first, but as their English gets better, they make friends and feel more comfortable at
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school.” By providing a range of possible emotions and also predicting the amount of time these emotions will persist, the guidebook implicitly manufactures a standard of appropriate and inappropriate emotion and ignores any refugees’ emotions that do not conform to the purported normal.

### 3.7 IMPLICATIONS OF THE GUIDEBOOK AND OTHER ORIENTATION MEDIA

This analysis has considered how the *Guidebook for Refugees* works to establish refugee ignorance, promote obedience, condone American normativity, suggest the possibility of enculturation, and foretell the emotions of refugees in the U.S. But before we can fully realize the potential of this—or any—orientation media’s influence, we must ask: What is at stake? In other words, what are the practical and theoretical implications of orientation media for their audiences? Orientation media often suggest that refugees have much to gain from the bounty of resources described in detail by the media, but the question remains, what do they have to lose if they reject the media’s advice? In order to comprehend the extent to which a refugee’s failure to accept the knowledge produced by some orientation media may lead to implicit consequences, I will remind my reader that the media that prepares refugees for life in the United States is often produced, funded, or authored by the U.S. government. For instance, the Cultural Orientation Resource Center, who published the *Guidebook for Refugees*, is funded by the U.S. Department of State, Bureau of Population, Refugees, and Migration—the same division of the government that develops application criteria, determines refugee admission ceilings, and presents eligible
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cases to a division of the Department of Homeland Security, which then facilitates the administration of immigration benefits and services and bears the right to institute or revoke individuals’ refugee status. With this knowledge, we must complicate any view of orientation media as an altruistic resource for refugees as they transition to life in the United States.

Orientation media’s implicit consequences become more readily visible in light of its frequent pronouncements of refugees’ ability to choose from a variety of opportunities offered to them in the United States that are tempered by explanations of consequences should readers make the wrong choice. For brevity’s sake, I will provide just one example here, from the *Guidebook for Refugees:* Chapter Seven’s title page promises to teach refugees about different forms of transportation, including “Owning and driving a car.” While this chapter states clearly the right of a refugee to buy a car should (s)he be able to afford the payments, it repeatedly hails the benefits of using public transportation instead, as well as the problems that may occur if one chooses to buy a car. Page 86 states, “It is expensive to own and drive a car. Try to use public transportation.” The harms of car ownership are reiterated through fictional vignettes, including one about a refugee man named “Henri” who was in car accident shortly after purchasing a car in the U.S.: “The accident wasn’t Henri’s fault, but he had many problems since he was driving without a license or insurance.” Henri is not the only one with problems; the guidebook warns the reader that anyone who doesn’t follow traffic laws “may also pay a large fine, or even spend time in jail.”  

The text then instructs readers to “Think about the differences between using public transportation and owning a car in the U.S. What are the benefits to using public transportation rather than owning a car?” While these instructions arguably reveal useful
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information regarding the costs and responsibilities associated with car ownership and avoid any explicit denial of a refugee’s right to buy a car, they simultaneously attempt to control refugees’ actions. By strategically framing a refugee’s choices so that they appear in the form of discussion questions and hypothetical vignettes, the author(s) of the guidebook maintain(s) the rhetorical right of refugees to make their own choices, but implicitly and consistently guide the readers toward a more limited set of options.

This strategy is reinforced in the guidebook through the foretelling of consequences that result from making wrong choices. The end of Chapter Seven tells the story of “Yin Nyo:” “Yin Nyo is tired of spending so much time taking the bus to and from work every day. She wants to buy a car. Is that a good idea?” In view of this chapter’s strategic framing of refugees’ choices, the answer is to the question about Yin Nyo’s situation is clear.

Again, my close reading of the Guidebook for Refugees provides only a partial view into pre-departure orientation media. I analyzed this text in particular not only because COR provides it to the four populations involved in this study, but because all but one of my narrators could not remember the names of their pre-departure orientation texts, it is impossible for me to state with certainty whether this text was the one to which many of them referred. Moreover, in cases where this text is made available, several variables are inevitably present: attendees may be directed by orientation leaders to read it in full or in part, copies of the text may be given to refugees to keep or orientation leaders may require that the text is returned; various readers may be more or less inclined to believe the text or have more or less of a desire to follow its instructions than others; refugees may not have the ability to access the text because of a lack of language comprehension, health, or interest. In the next section, I address these possibilities in
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detail by considering the limitations that may prohibit certain audiences from accessing multiple kinds of orientation media.

3.8 LIMITED ACCESS TO ORIENTATIONS AND THEIR MEDIA

When considering the implications of orientation media, one must remember that not all refugees have the same access to mediated representations of the U.S. in pre-departure orientations. Indeed, while the standards, objectives, and best practices of pre-departure cultural orientations are clearly defined on the COR website, all kinds of situational factors may inhibit a refugee’s ability to attend a full-length orientation, or to receive all of the intended purposes of orientation even if they do attend. Specifically, children, refugees resettled without sufficient notice, those unable to read or write in English or their native language, those who do not live in refugee camps or in communities with many other refugees, and those refugees who are anxious about their resettlement may be at a disadvantage in gaining access to and interpreting orientation-related media.

Habiba, from Somalia, explained that because she was only twelve years old when her family was relocated to the U.S., “I didn’t get the orientation, only my grandma did. And my sister, the older one, and the other one…they get orientation. [Ages] fourteen to go down, no orientation; only adults.” COR maintains that while “Some of the overseas programs…periodically conduct special classes for refugee children and youth,” typically, only “refugees over the age of 15 who have been approved for resettlement to the United States are
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eligible to receive CO."³⁰⁰ Fadhail did not receive an orientation because her stay in a secondary country of asylum was shorter than she expected. “After fifteen days from our stay in Damascus in Syria, I left because the lady there from UN, she told me, ‘You done with here. Take your children and be in secure place until I will call you. Like…no orientation. Nothing.’³⁰¹ I asked Fadhail if the U.N. had at least provided her with some paperwork or literature to help her know what to expect in the U.S. She replied, “No, no. Honestly, no. I have nothing.” Likewise, Zau, who was born in Burma in 1974 and fled to Malaysia around 1995, did not have an opportunity to attend a pre-departure orientation because he did not live in a refugee camp like many other Burmese refugees. Instead, Zau rented a room and lived by himself in an urban area of Malaysia where he ran a restaurant for about fifteen years before being relocated to Houston, Texas. Though Zau feared the police in Malaysia and for that reason stayed very close to his business and home, he was able to procure an English dictionary which he read diligently to learn vocabulary and American pronunciation, because, Zau revealed, “I need to be going to U.S. or Japan, when I have the ambition. That’s why I am starting that. Because around the world, English is the number one.”³⁰² Even though there was not an available orientation to attend, Zau was able to ask his customers—“Some is American, [or] stay in Canada, you know”—about life in the U.S. before his relocation.

In some unfortunate cases, refugee orientation becomes unavailable because of a lack of security or safety in one’s country of asylum. Waleed, who fled Iraq to Syria after a threat to his life in 2005, had to return to Baghdad when the Syrian uprising intensified in 2012. Because he

³⁰¹ Fadhail Ibraheem, interview by Sarah Bishop, Erie, February 7, 2013, to be deposited in the Schlesinger Library at Harvard University.
³⁰² Zau Aung Marip , interview by Sarah Bishop, Houston, November 14, 2013.
had previously worked with the U.S. military, Waleed was not safe after he returned to Baghdad, and his resettlement case was expedited. Before he arrived in New York on July 2, 2013, he had the opportunity to attend only one day of orientation.

Even when an individual is of the minimum age and lives in a stable situation near an available orientation site, COR explains, “Because of childcare obligations, logistical considerations, or class size, sometimes only one family member can attend CO [cultural orientation].” Sometimes, even those who attend orientation may not have the means or desire to ingest all that the orientation and its media offers. Dahabo, from Somalia, remembers seeing an orientation video at the refugee camp where she lived in Ethiopia until 2009. The video “showed us how to clean and vacuum in the house,” Dahabo told me. “Plus they show us a lot of people, Chinese, American, Vietnam, how the cultures—they mix it in the United States.” I asked Dahabo how she felt when she was watching the video. She replied, “I am happy to [watch] because I didn’t have any information.” Still, Dahabo revealed, “I have my mother, she in a wheelchair at that time, so I watching her and holding her, I not [give] real attention [to] anything of that movie.” For Dahabo, the limited digestion of orientation media was not due to a lack of resources, but rather, a conflicting concern.

Kalsumo also fled Somalia for Ethiopia, where she lived until early 2013. She remembers that before her arrival in the U.S., “We were given a three-day orientation. I still have the form that they gave me. I don’t know how to read and how to write. I just put in my
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Although she could not read it, Kalsumo told me that she thinks the form said something like, “This is how America is. This is the country, I mean, the state that you going. And this is how they are, the people, and this is how they act, and this is what you going to do.”

The issue of understanding the language of orientation media came up repeatedly in my interviews—especially with narrators from Bhutan and Somalia. Bishnu Champaign, who was born in Bhutan in 1963 and fled to Nepal in 1993 where she lived for twenty years before being resettled to Pittsburgh, remembers that in her pre-departure orientation, “I received a book [in English] but I didn’t understand English, but my kids used to read. I didn’t really know whether it was helpful or not.” Similarly, Hawa, a Somali refugee, remembers that in her orientation,

There was a video, it was a culture of America. It was English, but we just looking, and moving, and talking, but we wasn’t understanding what was it. It was orientation, and everybody was supposed to look. And the only thing that they will show you is the video that you’re supposed to watch no matter if you don’t know English, or if you know English.

I asked Hawa what she remembers seeing in the video. She answered, “It was for long; I cannot remember anything about it.” Hawa did remember that her first few days in the U.S. were “very hard.” “Everything was new to me,” Hawa explained, “I don’t even know how to say bathroom. I came to America; I didn’t even use bathroom for three days, un[til] they show me how to use it.

---
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Because I was afraid of it, you know. And the kitchen—I wasn’t even know what was it, you know. I was scared of it, to touch it, or to look it.” Hawa’s experience suggests that a lack of orientation media that teaches refugees basic skills for living in the U.S. may lead to increased—albeit temporary—difficulty or hardship.

A consideration of the limitations and situational factors that may inhibit refugees’ ability to attend full-length orientations—or to receive all of the intended purposes of orientation even if they do attend—provides a good reminder that orientation media do not always achieve their intended effects simply because they exist. All kinds of variables may cause refugees to interpret or respond to media in unique ways that are dissimilar from each other. Still, as the narrators explain above, even when significant hurdles prohibit full access to orientation media, the bits and pieces of these media that orientation attendees can access have direct and meaningful effects on their relocation expectations and experiences.

3.9 DISAPPOINTMENT WITH ORIENTATION

Even when refugees have access to an orientation and ample time to attend it before their resettlement, they may find the orientation media frustratingly insufficient. Hsit Hsa, a Burmese refugee, was not satisfied with her three-day orientation in Thailand. She explained,

I think we still need to practice more. Because we just hear, and when they taught us, just verbally, so we don’t have a chance to practice, and to see things for real. So, I think I need more. For example, they told us, when you get into the plane,
what do you have to do? What things you have to notice. Everyone cannot remember, like, everything. And then the other thing is that some people, they don’t—they cannot read! So, even though we had book, we don’t—we cannot read. They just can listen when the translator say, but they don’t have—they forget easily.\textsuperscript{307}

Fadhail suggested that beyond just being forgettable, some of the information delivered in pre-departure orientations is inaccurate, so that refugees’ experience upon arrival does not fit with what they have learned to expect. Fadhail clarified,

It’s not wrong, totally, but there are many mistakes through this orientation. They told people, as example, “You’re going to get [an] apartment; everything’s going to be new. You’re going to get this amount of money, this amount of money—they will pay that much for you.” People when they reach here they don’t find that. It’s a small decent house with decent, maybe, you know, furniture and with [a] limited amount of money [from] the government…people when they came here—many, actually of them—when they get in the house they say “What the heck is this?”\textsuperscript{308}

\textsuperscript{307} Hsit Hsa, interview by Sarah Bishop, San Diego, November 18, 2013, to be deposited in the Schlesinger Library at Harvard University.

\textsuperscript{308} Fadhail Ibraheem, interview by Sarah Bishop, Erie, February 7, 2013, to be deposited in the Schlesinger Library at Harvard University.
Fadhail, whose family was fairly wealthy before their displacement, remembers that her own children cried when they saw their new home in Erie, Pennsylvania for the first time, because their house in Baghdad had been to them much more comfortable. Notably, orientation media such as the *Guidebook for Refugees* do attempt to prepare refugees for less-than-perfect housing conditions. For example, the *Guidebook for Refugees* provides a vignette about a refugee named Shada and her family who “arrived in their new home and were disappointed to find that the furniture was used.” But because Fadhail was resettled with only a few days’ notice and thus did not have an opportunity to attend an pre-orientation, she and her children did not have access to such preparatory information.

Meghann Perry, the Director of Programs and Adult Education at Journeys End Refugee Services in Buffalo, New York, explained the frustration she experiences when working in situations where refugees were not provided with sufficient information in their pre-departure orientations: “Books that some refugees are given overseas…they’ve been very dated for a long time.” Meghann had an overseas orientation book in her office and began flipping through it as we talked. She observed,

> Definitely the photographs are dated. I don’t know if there’s anything that’s necessarily wrong in here, so things like explaining where the settlement agency is, that’s accurate. Social service agencies, that’s accurate. I think maybe more accurate than the fact that it’s dated would be that it’s very general. What’s a

---
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hospital? What's a clinic? What's an emergency room? You know? What's a phone? Where will you buy food? This is very general.

Certainly, it would be impossible in a short orientation to prepare refugees exhaustively for every facet of life in the U.S. From a most rudimentary standpoint, it is clear that these orientations act as opportunities for formal education regarding an unfamiliar upcoming experience. If a refugee has never seen a gas stove, it is likely that after attending this orientation, (s)he will be skilled enough to turn a gas stove on and off. But as the narrators in this section reveal, all kinds of tangible and intangible obstacles may inhibit a refugee’s ability to access or digest orientation media, and in cases where no obstacles exist, orientation media may still be deemed lacking.

3.10 RELOCATION PREPARATION OUTSIDE OF ORIENTATION

Of course, media encounters that aid refugees during their final preparations before relocation do not only occur during orientation. Instead, refugee individuals who are in the process of preparing for resettlement to the United States may seek out mediated representations of the U.S. independently, in order to form or negotiate their expectations regarding a range of topics.

Anmar Alhasani grew up in Baghdad and was determined to stay in Iraq even after his business office was struck by a car bomb. Unfortunately, he was forced to change his mind when his two daughters’ lives were put in danger by a nearby shooter when they were walking home from a Baghdad school. For a father, Anmar explained, “the daughter is everything.”

311 Anmar Alhasani, interview with Sarah Bishop, Houston, November 14, 2013.
After he faced the possibility of losing his daughters, Anmar felt he had no choice but to apply for his family’s resettlement, and a short while later, his application for resettlement in the United States was approved. While he was preparing for the move, Anmar attended a “very short” orientation. “They told you a general thing about living here in U.S.,” Anmar explained. But Anmar already knew everything his orientation leaders told him, because, he said,

I read—I search every place in the Internet about this thing. I go to search about everything, about US, about the state, and which one is better for me and for my family. About the study in the US, about many things. And also about what the first things we need to do, because we are come just starting from zero. And I know if you need to do something, you need to learn anything about this thing, and you need to read everything about that. And you need to be ready for everything. It should be come true about ninety percent.

Anmar’s decision to use the Internet to learn about the U.S. before his relocation allowed him to learn about the differences between states, and about U.S. education, even though he felt like he was “starting at zero.” But he was not only concerned with his own knowledge of the U.S.; Anmar explained that because he wondered about the effect that relocation to the U.S. would have on his daughters, he created a “strategy” that he believed helped them prepare: Anmar would pretend like he needed to know something about the United States, and ask one of his daughters to find the answer for him on the Internet.
I put this thing—I start to put this thing in her mind, and I go to give them something to read, and to search about. Sometime I am ask them to search for me something. I know about that, but I ask her to search for me about that—make me a schedule for any market here in USA, like a huge market, when it can help you to go, when we need to go to market something. Go to search, to check it. This way, I don’t need to ask them about it, but I need them to know.

Anmar had his daughters go online and plan detailed schedules for visits to Disneyland and figure out what kinds of items would be available in American stores, so that their Internet searches would begin to acclimate them to life in the U.S. While his strategy was somewhat unique, he believes it helped his daughters form realistic expectations about life in the U.S.

Though he worked as a well-respected engineer in Iraq, Anmar now works full time as a cashier in Houston, Texas, and volunteers eight hours every day at the YMCA International Services to help other refugees. His outlook on life is optimistic. Amnar told me that while his standard of living is not what it used to be, he tells his daughters, “I lose everything, but I don’t lose anything, because I still have you, and you are my future.”

Linda Estefan also used media to help during her final preparations before relocating to Los Angeles. During her youth, as she explained in the previous chapter, Linda saw several American films. After she was approved for resettlement to the United States, she also attended a four-day cultural orientation in Syria, where she was granted asylum after fleeing Iraq. The films and the orientation depicted multiple locations in the U.S. rather than any one area in particular. Thus, to form her expectations about Los Angeles specifically, Linda relied on a photograph that her family members, who moved to Los Angeles several years before Linda,
sent to her of a new house they purchased. Linda explained that after her family sent the photograph, she thought, “I have an opinion. I have an idea about their homes and about their furniture.”

Linda’s narrative provides one example of situations in which individuals who have plenty of pre-arrival access to American media may privilege a single image or text over others.

In other cases, instead of using popular or personal media as clues about what refugees may find in the U.S., refugees may use these media as points of contrast from reality. Mohammed’s parents had visited the U.S. several times before Mohammed was forced to relocate from Iraq to Pittsburgh due to three assassination attempts on his life incurred by his work with the U.S. Army during the 2003 Iraq War. Before his departure, Mohammed remembers, his father, who lived in the U.S. already, told him “not to believe everything in the movies.”

Mohammed’s narrative provides a unique manifestation of the relationship of media to relocation; while most of the narrators I interviewed used media to form some expectation of life in the U.S., Mohammed’s father used media as a reference point from which to contrast fiction from reality.

3.11 CONCLUSION

My purpose in this chapter is to highlight the ways in which unique encounters with a few or many pieces of media about the U.S. shape refugees experiences and expectations in ways that
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allow more insight into the power of representational rhetoric, the imagination, and the government’s strategic role in refugee immigration to the U.S. By analyzing these media and refugees’ interpretation of them closely, one can realize the reasons the United States government invests in pre-departure orientation media geared toward shaping expectations and why refugees may choose to accept, resist, or negotiate this media based on their previous understanding. The narrators I interviewed consistently showed themselves to be active audiences of orientation and other pre-departure media; their interpretations were unique, creative, and sometimes dissimilar from each other. Still, because refugees are compelled by the UNHCR to attend pre-departure orientations, because orientation media often provides instructions coupled with descriptions of consequences to be instituted if instructions not be followed, and because refugees often have comparatively less access to American media than other kinds of immigrants, orientation and other pre-departure media may play significantly persuasive roles in refugees’ lives.

As the narrators testified, pre-departure media encounters—as well as media that they read, see, or hear during their final preparations for relocation outside of orientation—affect refugees’ expectations and lived realities in ways that are tangible and personal. But to comprehend the implications of these encounters, one must compare refugees’ pre-departure interpretations of American media to their post-arrival experiences in the U.S. In the next chapter, I pick up with refugees’ experiences travelling to the U.S. and what happens in the days immediately following, and the narrators reveal how media continue to serve as an ever-present companion throughout the post-relocation process.
Amira grew up in Baghdad, Iraq, and now works as a case manager at a refugee resettlement organization in Glendale, California. When I met her in her office in July 2013, she told me, “Although I will tell you about our situation, you [can] never imagine.” Amira’s husband had been killed in Baghdad, and she feared every day that her daughters, who were all in their twenties at the time, would be kidnapped. In 2006, unable to face the uncertainty any longer, she and her daughters fled to Syria. Once she reached Damascus, Amira attempted to apply for her family’s resettlement, but the International Organization for Migration [IOM] told her that no countries were accepting Iraqi refugees, and so she waited, returning to the IOM office every six months to update her family’s paperwork and check on the status of their application. Finally, at the end of April 2008, IOM notified Amira that her family’s case had been approved and that they had just five days to prepare to move to Glendale, California. “So imagine,” she told me. “I had a furnished apartment, [and had to] sell everything, because I want money, and my daughter, she is attending university, my other daughter, she had her work, her job…” While uprooting their lives so suddenly was overwhelming, Amira did not want to miss

314 Name changed upon request of the narrator; interview with Sarah Bishop, Los Angeles, July 9, 2014, to be deposited in the Schlesinger Library at Harvard University.
the opportunity—her mother and brother were already living in the U.S. as citizens, and she longed to join them.

Because of all of the preparation that she and her daughters had to do in the few hurried days before their departure, Amira told me, “When we move to the U.S., we are too, too tired. Just when the [airplane’s] captain said, ‘We are in the New York area,’ I looked out the window, I saw Statue of Liberty.” She began to cry at the memory. In the pause that followed, I asked Amira whether she had seen the Statue of Liberty in movies or on television before seeing it that day from the airplane window. She replied, “It’s different. The Statue of Liberty just a picture in a movie, but when you saw the real statue—a huge statue standing in the river—it’s so incredible.”

For Amira and countless other refugees, the experience of traveling by plane to resettle in the United States is a daunting, emotional task for which American media could never fully prepare them. Of the seventy-four refugees I spoke with, only several Iraqis had experienced traveling by commercial airline before their displacement. Many of the others, however, soon realized why pre-departure orientation media have instructions that explain, as the Cultural Orientation Resource [COR] guidebook does for example, the perils of flying: “If you get sick on the plane and need to vomit, there are bags in the pocket in the seatback in front of you.”

Teek, a 25-year-old Bhutanese refugee I met in San Diego, told me that during her travel to the U.S. from Nepal with her parents on July 25, 2013, “I cried because I was afraid because the

315 The Statue of Liberty is an especially iconic monument for immigrants, as Ellis Island was a gateway for millions of immigrants from 1892 until 1954. See Marianne Debouzy, In the Shadow of the Statue of Liberty: Immigrants, Workers, and Citizens in the American Republic, 1880-1920 (Urbana: University of Illinois Press, 1992).

plane was slightly bumping, I was scared, I thought probably we will have our end right here!
Because this was the first time we had experienced flying.”317 Fatuma Aden, a Somali refugee, remembers that when she boarded her plane to fly to the U.S., “I was afraid! That was my first time on the airplane. I was like this”—Fatuma covered her eyes with her hands—“and crying all day, begging God to drop me somewhere, throwing up, all that. That was my first time feeling dizzy. I saw like people reading a book, and white skin, and when we saw, we were scared, and there is soda, like Coke, Sprite—we were afraid it might explode! We were afraid.”318 I asked Fatuma if there is something she wishes she had learned in her pre-departure orientation that would have saved her from some of this difficulty and fear. But she replied, “No…We saw the video, but I was wishing that I can get more experience.” With these words, Fatuma alludes to a poignant question that is relevant to this study: What is it possible to learn about an unfamiliar place by simply watching a video or reading a book about it? While Fatuma may not have been aware that during this part of her narrative she was providing an answer to an ongoing and popular debate in American education scholarship regarding the differences between passive and experiential/kinesthetic education,319 her belief that an orientation film could not provide her with
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a necessary amount of “experience” to prepare for her first days in the U.S. holds intriguing implications. If one perceives that she did not receive sufficient experience from watching an instructional film or reading a book, what are such media capable of teaching? Moreover, if refugees arriving in the U.S. feel ill equipped or under-prepared to navigate life after resettlement, to what degree can the authors and funders of pre-departure orientation media continue to justify the current place of privilege media occupies in these orientations?

This question of the contestable merit of “passive” vs. “experiential” learning is perhaps nowhere clearer than in the work of American psychologist and educational pragmatist John Dewey.\textsuperscript{320} Dewey argues that in order for a student to truly learn a skill, process, or idea, he or she must have an embodied, kinesthetic experience with that subject, instead of just a passive reading, listening, or viewing experience, such as the one Fatuma encountered when watching the orientation video.\textsuperscript{321} Proponents of active rather than passive learning often lean on the almost uncontested relationship of experience to knowledge, recognizable as far back as Sophocles’ tragedy \textit{Trachiniae}, in which the character of the “Lady” proffers, “Well, one must learn by doing the thing; for though you think you know it, you will have no certainty, until you try.”\textsuperscript{322} This chapter will inquire into the realm of learning and experience through a concentration on refugees’ first days in the United States. Specifically, because refugees carry impressions and memories of pre-arrival media with them into the U.S., this chapter will provide instances in which the narrators compare what they learned in pre-arrival media encounters about the U.S. to the reality of their experiences upon resettlement so that we may consider what it is possible to learn about an occurrence before it is actually experienced. Moreover, I will discuss
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refugees’ acquisition of media technology after their arrival in the U.S., and the varying degrees of importance this acquisition had for the narrators. Finally, this chapter will consider how local resettlement organizations in the U.S. use print and digital media in an attempt to guide refugees through their first days after relocation, and how this post-arrival orientation media represent the U.S. government, act as a means of standardization, and facilitate refugee *deprivatization*, or, the imposition of governmental control into the realms of health, hygiene, and family.

### 4.1 NAVIGATING “HOME”: MAKING SENSE OF AMERICAN LIVING

**ARRANGEMENTS AND MEDIA ACCESS**

Even for many of the refugees that I interviewed who attended what they considered a thorough pre-departure orientation, and who had experience seeing U.S. homes depicted in pre-departure orientation media, the arrival in one’s U.S. home after a long journey was disorienting. For some, such as Ya Wee from Burma, this disorientation had to do with a lack of information regarding the specific place where he would resettle. “We already know prior one month [before our departure] that we will resettle to the United States, but we just don’t know the state,” Ya Wee told me.\(^{323}\) I asked him when was it that he found out that he would be resettling in Upstate New York. He replied, “On the day we left, that day they let us know that we will move to Buffalo.” Ya Wee had access to a good deal of American films\(^{324}\) in the Burmese refugee camp

\(^{323}\) Ya Wee, interview by Sarah Bishop, Buffalo, August 6, 2013.

\(^{324}\) Though Ya Wee did not know the names of any of these films, he does remember that they mostly involved “fighting and shooting,” and created in him a sense of admiration for American freedom. Since Ya Wee was only two when he arrived in the refugee camp in Thailand, he likely had no memory of the kind of freedom depicted in many American films.
in Malaysia where he lived since he was two years old, but without knowing where he would be placed in the United States, his ability to use this media to orient himself to a particular region or locale was somewhat limited. Even so, upon his arrival in Buffalo, Ya Wee did encounter some circumstances that he had previously seen depicted only in American films. For example, because temperatures in Malaysia tend to hover around eighty degrees Fahrenheit all year round, Ya Wee had never experienced a cold winter. “Because we watch movies, when we saw the movie, [it showed] like snow time, wintertime, so we want to come to the United States, because we appreciate the wintertime,” he told me. Unfortunately, Ya Wee’s appreciation of winter did not last long after he arrived in Buffalo, where temperatures are prone to drop below zero during the coldest months due to a lake-effect wind chill. He told me the winter is “a bit different” than the films made it seem. Though he thought he would welcome the cold weather, Ya Wee revealed, “Actually, in winter I’m scared.” Ya Wee’s narrative provides insight into the ever-present reality that seeing a phenomenon represented in media does not necessarily provide one with enough information to judge accurately how one will feel or respond when faced with a lived experience of the phenomenon.

Megeney, from Somalia, provided further evidence of this disjuncture between mediated images and reality. She told me that during her own relocation from the Dadaab refugee camp in Kenya to San Diego in 2004, she was ill on the plane, and kept her eyes closed the entire time. Then, once she was on the ground in San Diego, things changed. She recalled, “I step in an airport. A lot of people were there. I felt like I was in heaven, you know. Everything was black and white and colors. I thought that I was in heaven. I wasn’t expecting that I should be in America. It was really happy day for me.” Megeney’s caseworker met her at the airport and took her to her new home, which was much different than she expected: “I thought I was
expecting, like I can build a house again. But when they gave me this house, there was a bed made. There was a—you know, it was snow[ing outside]; there was a jacket on the bed. There was a kitchen. There was food in the fridge. There was everything in the house. I was expecting, like—wow! You know, all this stuff?” Afraid that there had been some mistake in the processing of her case, Megeney remembers, “We just sleep; we didn’t even eat nothing—until in the morning, the caseworker arrived. So when he arrived, he just opened the door, and he said—we ask him, ‘Whose is all this stuff?’ [And he said,] ‘It’s for you!’ And then we were so happy!”

I was surprised to hear of Megeney’s shock upon finding her house already built for her and the food provided for her family, because only a few moments earlier in our conversation, she had told me in detail about all of the many things that she had learned from a film she saw during her pre-departure IOM orientation. This film, she remembered, taught her about everything from the problem of high blood pressure in America to the differences between Michigan and New York landscapes. Surely it explained housing conditions. Indeed, she remembered that the film showed an American kitchen and oven. But, Megeney revealed,

When they show me the video in the IOM for the three days orientation [in Kenya], I thought the IOM people was joking, you know. We wasn’t expecting like we can come to America. Like, they just said, “This is America. This is how you do. This is how you do.” But we thought, like, it was a joke for them, they were showing us a video, all that. In my mind, was like a child. I was thinking like a child, you know.
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Megeney did not take the possibility of resettlement to the United States seriously until she saw her name posted beside a scheduled flight: “I was expecting it was a joke, but they [showed] me, like, a board that they can post your name on it. Oh, these days you [will be assigned to] a flight. When I saw my name on the board, I realized at that moment I was [going to go to] America, I was dancing. I was so happy for my life!” In this instance, Megeney’s doubts that she would ever actually have the opportunity to come to the U.S. prevented her from taking the information portrayed in her orientation film seriously. Consequently, her arrival in her U.S. home was both shocking and exciting. Megeney’s experience affirms the notion that media is an imperfect tool for preparing refugees for life in the United States. Even when refugees have direct encounters with educational media about a particular subject, like Megeney, they may still feel underprepared when the experience that the media portrayed occurs. Unfortunately, not all of the refugees I interviewed were as pleasantly surprised as Megeney.

Nirmala, a Bhutanese refugee who was relocated from a refugee camp in Nepal to Buffalo, New York on June 23, 2009, had also learned in orientation about American homes and resettlement agency provisions: “During the orientation, the people [told us] ‘When you arrive in your destination, everything is ready for you, like food, a bed, clothes, everything from the kitchen, the bathrooms—everything is ready.’”326 For Nirmala, however, this did not prove to be the case. “When I come here, I didn’t see that,” she told me. “There is no house for us, we live in different peoples’ house for ten days. When [the orientation leaders] talk about the apartment, they talk about its going to be clean, but it’s not. We clean the house ourselves. Not enough

326 Nirmala Khanal, interview by Sarah Bishop, Buffalo, August 6, 2013, to be deposited in the Schlesinger Library at Harvard University
food, some things are there, but some are not.” This was disappointing for Nirmala, because she specifically remembered learning in orientation that in America, things are clean and tidy.

For Sahro, the difference between expectations and reality concerning her new American home were even more striking. She grew up watching American films in her home in Somalia, and had high hopes about the life she would have after her relocation to San Diego in 1994: “Oh, my goodness! I can’t wait until go to America! Yay!” Sahro told me, “Somebody tell us you just have to press a] button, and the beds come and they set up, and we sleep there, and then you touching another button, all the shoes, they go there! We, you know, we get good picture, and good dreaming things. But when we came here, we never get it!”

She remembers that before her departure,

We’re dreaming. Oh, we [will] have maid! We have everything. We have, maybe somebody come in your house and cook and clean. Like, we didn’t realize the people, they’re working their self in the house. So I’m hoping, oh, you have now two, three children, and you get maybe three maid, or something like that. But when we come here, first night we come in here, we come in apartment with full of cockroach. So I couldn’t sleep that night, and I cry; I cry. If that moment, somebody say, “I will give you ticket,” I will go back to refugee camp, because refugee camp they didn’t have cockroach. The area I come in, it’s ghetto area—not fancy houses nor good street. And I say, “Oh, my goodness. The area you live is not area fancy.” No hope!

327 Sahro Nor, interview by Sarah Bishop, San Diego, November 21, 2013, to be deposited in the Schlesinger Library at Harvard University.
328 Ibid.
Sahro was confused when the reality she experienced in San Diego did not fit the “good picture” she had in her mind from the American films she had seen in her youth.

Ya Wee, Megeney, Nirmala, and Sahro’s narratives highlight the interaction of media and the imagination. Even when an individual is not fully aware of it, popular and government-produced media may serve to etch out imagined expectations about the phenomena the media depict, and, as the narrators testify here, serious emotional discomfort may be induced when these expectations are not met. Still, Lily Alba, the Director of the International Institute of Los Angeles, believes that pre-departure access to American media cannot take all the credit for shaping refugees’ pre-departure imaginings and expectations. Instead, as Lily suggests, refugees may have unrealistic expectations because of phone or email exchanges with family who are already living in the U.S. She explained,

Many times what we see is there is a misconception. Sometimes we see cases where refugees have expectations, or where they hear that their friends and families [who have previously moved to the U.S.] have a really good job, or a big house, so sometimes [it is difficult] coming to this country and realizing that it will take time before they reach their American dream.329

The information refugees receive from family and friends and the expectations they develop by way of media encounters do not operate in separate spheres. Rather, these two sources of

329 Lily Alba, interview by Sarah Bishop, Los Angeles, CA, July 9, 2013, to be deposited in the Schlesinger Library at Harvard University.
information about life in the U.S. may challenge, add to, reinforce, or contradict each other. By considering closely the varied resonances of pre-departure media encounters and how these encounters interact with other types of messages that refugees receive about the United States, we can better understand the means through which refugees make sense of their new surroundings in the days immediately following their arrival in the U.S.

Some of the narrators I interviewed explained that the disorientation they felt in their new homes during their first few days after relocation was directly related to a lack of media access during this time. I asked Fahad—a twenty-two-year-old, media-savvy Iraqi who was resettled from Jordan to Los Angeles in 2012—what he did during his first week in the U.S. He replied, “The first week, it was so hard. So like there is no phone, nothing, no Internet so I can’t get connection at all. But after that we get used [to it] here, like we go to buy phone.”330 Nanda, from Bhutan, told me about his first day in the Erie, Pennsylvania: “That day, I was a little bit nervous, to be honest with you, so I was just thinking, what will happen next, because I don’t have a telephone, or cell phone, or any communication with me, so if I have an emergency, what to do?”331 These narrators’ perspectives suggest that pre-departure orientation media may need to anticipate the likelihood that refugees may not have access to cell phones and computers during their first weeks in the U.S. Indeed, instructions for preparations regarding different types of emergencies appear frequently in pre-departure orientation media, and so I was not surprised when a lack of access to the kinds of technology the orientation media suggest using in these circumstances was cause for concern among several of the narrators.

331 Nanda Chuwan, interview with Sarah Bishop, Erie, February 7, 2013.
Abdirahim Mohammed, from Somalia, remembers exactly where he learned to use technology in case of emergencies. He told me that while attending orientation in Kenya, IOM gave him “A book, and there is a disc in the top, and the book will show you like emergency contact, like if something happen, how you dial 9-1-1, how you call the ambulance.” The text Abdirahim received was likely the U.S. Department of State-funded text *Welcome To the United States: A Guidebook for Refugees* that I discussed in detail in the previous chapter; it is the only refugee orientation book I am aware of that is commonly presented with an attached DVD in overseas orientations. Four days after he was resettled from Kenya to Texas, Abdirahim became lost in downtown Dallas. “I remembered what they said in orientation—like if you’re lost somewhere, if you have a phone, dial 9-1-1 and 9-1-1 will pick you up and bring you wherever you belong.” But Abdirahim had a problem: “At that time I wasn’t having any phones.” Unsure of what to do, he finally found his way to a nearby hotel and used what little English he had learned to ask the desk attendant to call 9-1-1 for him.

Though most resettlement organizations do not have the funding to provide newly arriving refugees with cell phones, computers, televisions, or CD/DVD players, many refugees make acquisition of these types of media among their top priorities during the weeks immediately following their arrival. This acquisition may be related to the concern for emergencies that Nanda and Abdirahim described above, but also occur because of other kinds of concerns and desires. Lily told me that at the International Institute of Los Angeles, it seems as though all of her clients have access to television and Internet, though the agency does not provide help for acquiring these resources. Newly arrived refugees may acquire media from

332 Abdirahim Mohammed, interview by Sarah Bishop, San Diego, November 21, 2013.
other, unexpected sources. Kalsumo, a Somali refugee, for example, received a television from a neighbor:

When we came to America, two night later, the kids [were] outside. And then one Somali woman, she came. She said that, “Oh, you live here? Oh, the kids, if they don’t have a TV inside the house, it’s hard for them. I will bring one TV for you.” And she brought a TV. The kids, they wasn’t—they didn’t go to school yet. They was preparing to go to school, and they were jumping around, and all that, you know. And then she came to us, a new family. So she say, “Oh, I have two TV in my house. The kids will be quiet if they have a TV, and watching cartoon inside. I will bring something, and some cartoon movies for them.” And then that thing, she brought it.333

Having access to a television was clearly significant for Kalsumo’s family during those first several weeks after their relocation. After they had been living in the U.S. for about a year, however, the television the neighbor supplied had curiously become less central to their routine. “Since that time she brought it, we still have it, and the kids still watch the cartoon, but they started school right now,” Kalsumo told me. “When they started school, they decided to learn to focus their books and all that. They just watch the TV only Saturday and Sunday.” When her children are not home, Kalsumo does not watch TV at all; she still does not know how to turn it on.

333 Kalsumo Ibrahim, interview by Sarah Bishop, San Diego, November 20, 2013, to be deposited in the Schlesinger Library at Harvard University.
Kalsumo’s narrative led me to consider other reasons why media may be more useful to or present in refugees’ lives during their first weeks in the U.S. than it is later on, and if media acquisition has something to do with phenomena such as enculturation, comfort, and social status. In the next section, I will discuss these considerations in detail.

### 4.2 PERSPECTIVES ON THE IMPORTANCE OF POST-ARRIVAL MEDIA ACQUISITION

That the consumption of any media serves some purpose(s) is certainly not specific to refugees. Any individual may wish to watch, listen to, or read some media in order to learn a new skill, gain a sense of belonging, be entertained, engage in a social activity, or for any other number of reasons. While the refugees in this study are indeed a diverse group that use varying amount of media for a variety of purposes, there are some ways in which their preferences for and ideas about media align. Indeed, in this study, the importance of gaining access to media technology as soon as possible after relocation was linked to a few specific expressed ideas and needs that recurred with some frequency in my conversations with refugees from Burma, Iraq, Bhutan, and Somalia. Specifically, refugees repeatedly mentioned the relationship between post-arrival media acquisition and the desire to learn English, the belief that media use is central to “American” life, the necessity of finding a job or participating in school work, and the longing

---

334 For more on the interrelationship of nationalism, media, and consumerism, see Toby Millers' notion of “cultural citizenship” in Toby Miller, *Cultural Citizenship: Cosmopolitanism, Consumerism, and Television in a Neoliberal Age* (Philadelphia: Temple University Press, 2007).

Multiple narrators and resettlement administrators I spoke with endorsed the ability of American media—and especially television shows for children—as a means to help refugees of all ages learn English.\footnote{Several existing studies also mention the relationship of immigrant media consumption and language learning. See, for example, Don H. Sunoo, Edgar P. Trotter, and Ronald L. Aames, “Media Use and Learning of English by Immigrants,” \textit{Journalism Quarterly} 57, no. 22 (1980): 330-3; Nelly Elias and Dafna Lemish, “Media Uses in Immigrant Families: Torn between 'Inward' and 'Outward' Paths of Integration,” \textit{International Communication Gazette} 70, no. 1 (2008): 21-40.} When Habiba, from Somalia, arrived in Kansas City, Missouri\footnote{Habiba now lives near San Diego.} in 2004 as a thirteen year old, she knew very little English. She started 6th grade at a local middle school just two days after her arrival. “My teacher was really nice,” she recalled. “She was really, like, helpful person. She was coming home and tutoring me, you know. Like, I was like her daughter. Without her, I shouldn’t be like this.”\footnote{Habiba Jama, interview by Sarah Bishop, San Diego, November 20, 2013, to be deposited in the Schlesinger Library at Harvard University.} Habiba remembered one thing this influential teacher felt particularly strongly about: “My teacher told me to watch only Disney Channel! She told me that I can learn from words.” The teacher wanted Habiba to be able to communicate in public situations without a translator: “She said, if the person, like, for example, you go to a market, or you go to a hospital, the doctor will ask you, ‘Hi, how are you doing?’ And then, you just quiet. You don’t know how to respond by the person. But if you watch more
cartoon, or more, like, Disney, you will get more experience.” Amira, from Iraq, remembers similar instruction from her mother: “My mom said ‘Okay, you want to have good English? Go and watch *Georgie*, the cartoon.” Now, Amira told me, she gives her own clients the same advice when they arrive.

Hiba, an Iraqi who moved to the U.S. in 2009 and who speaks fluent English, told me that she likes to listen to the news to improve her English. I told Hiba that I was not sure I understood, because during the time of our interview her English was nearly perfect. “It wasn’t that good in 2009,” she explained.

But the news uses good words. As I always say, the long words that I don’t understand? Like, “informative,” I didn’t know how to say that before until two days ago—“It was very informative”—so I want to capture words that I can use to be professional in talking. I don’t want to use the slang language, or the basic words to explain myself, so I want to learn those words, and with the pronunciation, so I can know how to say it.

---

339 Name changed by request; Amira, interview by Sarah Bishop, Los Angeles, July 9, 2013, to be deposited in the Schlesinger Library at Harvard University; Interestingly, *Georgie!* is a Japanese anime series, written by Mann Izawa and illustrated by Yumiko Igarashi, that originally appeared in *Shōjo Comic* manga magazine. Adapted for television in 1982, the cartoon tells the story of a young girl named Georgie who lives in Australia. When she begins to suspect that she is adopted, Georgie travels to London to find her real parents. Though Georgie was originally produced by Tokyo Movie Shinsha in Japan, it soon was dubbed into English (as well as several other languages). While I cannot find any evidence that *Georgie!* appeared on American television, the entire television series is available online.

340 Hiba Saeed, interview by Sarah Bishop, San Diego, November 18, 2013, to be deposited in the Schlesinger Library at Harvard University.
Hiba’s experience reveals that media may not only be useful to refugees who are at the beginning stages of learning a new language, but also to those who seek a higher level of advancement.\textsuperscript{341}

Pre- and post-arrival orientation texts sometimes encourage this relationship between media consumption and language learning. For example, the \textit{Guidebook for Refugees} I analyzed in the previous chapter tells refugees that to learn English, one should “Watch English movies, television shows, or listen to English programs on the radio,” and the post-relocation COR orientation text, \textit{Making your Way}, suggests, “There are many ways to learn English outside of a classroom, such as by talking with neighbors, listening to the radio, or watching television in English.”\textsuperscript{342} The ability of media to teach a second language is well documented in education studies,\textsuperscript{343} but the ability to learn a language while simultaneously learning to use electronic media in general, less so. The narratives included here provide good testimony that refugees may engage in multiple and interactive forms of cultural learning simultaneously. For example, Jasmine, who was only three years old when her family fled from Burma to live in a refugee


camp in Thailand, told me that the most difficult part of her resettlement experience was learning English. But in learning English she also attained competency with computers.

When nineteen year-old Jasmine arrived in the U.S. in 2010, she began to watch KPBS, a PBS member station owned by San Diego State University. “It’s for kids, but I love to watch with my little sister,” Jasmine explained. “They teach you, like words, and language.” Soon after Jasmine started attending a local school, she began to gain more skill in English, and to learn to use a computer. She told me, “The typing, it’s so difficult. Because in Thailand refugee camp, I never touched a computer, even I don’t even see it.” Jasmine’s schoolwork was complicated by the necessity to learn English and technological literacy simultaneously.

For some of the refugees in this study, the desire to learn English and to gain access to computers immediately after relocation to the U.S. was directly related to the desire to find a job. Resettlement agencies are direct and repetitive about the importance of knowing English when looking for employment, and many agencies host job search workshops as well as English classes that may be mandatory for refugees receiving any assistance. Several of the refugees I spoke with mentioned their frustration with searching for employment on the Internet. Abreer, an Iraqi female living in Houston, told me:

344 Jasmine Seymo, interview by Sarah Bishop, San Diego, November 18, 2013, to be deposited in the Schlesinger Library at Harvard University.
Everything here is systemized and using the Internet and we are not familiar about this thing back in Iraq. If you want to apply for a job [in Iraq], we will go there on that place and apply. And even sometimes we are not apply, someone gonna help us, [they will say] “Hey, this is a relative, this is someone I know, could you put him in this position?” And that’s it. But here—everything! I mean, paying your bills online, applying for job online, checking your bank online, everything is online. Even volunteer!—I wanted to be volunteer with my kids at school they [said] “Go online and apply for being volunteer.”

Tek, a refugee from Bhutan now living in Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, knew that the Internet would be central to navigating U.S. life even before he arrived. Tek believes it is well known internationally that the U.S. “is one of the richest countries in the world” and he expected that people in the U.S. would be adept in using “everything, like advanced things, like the technologies, everything.” Gaining access to media technology shortly after one’s arrival in a country that one perceives as being technologically advanced and dependent upon technologically may provide refugees with a sense of belonging or fitting in.

346 Abreer Bayara, interview by Sarah Bishop, Houston, November 13, 2013, to be deposited in the Schlesinger Library at Harvard University; for more on the impact of media on relationships between refugee parents and children, see Lynn Schofield Clark and Lynn Sywyj, “Mobile Intimacies in the USA Among Refugee and Recent Immigrant Teens and Their Parents,” Feminist Media Studies 12, no. 4 (2012): 485-95.
347 Tek Rimal, interview with Sarah Bishop, Pittsburgh, March 18, 2013.
348 The relationship of media to belonging points to Toby Miller’s notion of “cultural citizenship.” For more on this relationship, see Aihwa Ong et al., “Cultural Citizenship as Subject-Making: Immigrants Negotiate Racial and Cultural Boundaries in the United States,” Current Anthropology 37, no. 55 (1996): 737-62; Nick Stevenson, Cultural Citizenship:
In addition to facilitating the learning of English, enculturation into American culture, and the search for employment, media technology also provides refugees with a means for staying connected with friends and family who are still living in the home country or country of asylum. This desire for connection during a period of major cultural adjustment provides another reason why gaining access to media technology soon after one’s arrival in the U.S. may be a top priority for refugees.\textsuperscript{349} Fahad, from Iraq, who explained above how his first week in the U.S. was difficult because of not having a phone or internet connection, also told me that after a week or so in his new home, a caseworker came to his apartment provided information about getting these connections: “She helped us with a lot of things like she tell us where is the better company for the phones or everything.”\textsuperscript{350} After buying a phone, Fahad got “more used” to the U.S. He is now able to use an application called Viber on his iPhone to call Iraq and Jordan for free and talk to his friends and family who still live there.

Lily, who had explained that the majority of her clients seem to gain quick access to television and the Internet, told me that this access does not seem to vary much by population, and that because the agency cannot provide televisions or computers, the refugees’ means for acquiring them are “purely individual.”\textsuperscript{351} Another refugee resettlement administrator who


\textsuperscript{350} Fahad Al Allaq, interview by Sarah Bishop, Los Angeles, July 11, 2013.

\textsuperscript{351} Lily Alba, interview by Sarah Bishop, Los Angeles, July 12, 2013, to be deposited in the Schlesinger Library at Harvard University.
arrived in the U.S. as a refugee herself and who requested that I do not use her name, told me that the refugees she serves “keep asking us a lot of things. They complain, when the refugees coming to the United States they’re asking about the flat [screen] TV, DVD, coffee machine, we are asking them, where did you get this information?” We don’t have that kind of service!” Resettlement agencies often do not have the available funding to provide refugees with media technologies. But because refugees sometimes view these technologies as imperative to their contentment or success in the U.S., some post-arrival orientation texts address this issue directly.

The 762 page Department-of-State-funded COR post-arrival orientation manual, Making your Way: A Reception and Placement Orientation Curriculum, includes, for example, an image of a television with a large red “X” over it and instructions for orientation leaders to discuss with newly arriving refugees the scenario described beneath the image: “Your neighbor’s family was given a television by a resettlement agency volunteer, but your family was not given a television.” The discussion generated by this caption would presumably help refugees know how to cope should they experience something similar.

Page 715 of the same text asks resettlement administrators to have refugees to respond “Yes” or “No” to several statements in order to ensure that they know what services to expect to receive from their resettlement agency. One example states, “If you do not receive a television or computer when you first arrive in the United States, you should complain to your case worker/manager about getting one from the resettlement agency.” The answer key reveals the “correct” response: “No.” These inclusions seem to suggest a deficiency in refugee orientation texts: while refugees often believe media technologies are imperative to their success in the U.S., orientation texts address this belief only to dismiss it rather than offering direction or help to the refugee readers who may wish to procure these technologies.

While prompt media acquisition was among some of the narrators’ top priorities, not all refugees were interested in or felt good about gaining access to media in the days immediately

\[353\] Making Your Way, 145.
\[354\] Making Your Way, 715.
following their relocation to the U.S. In fact, some of the narrators revealed that the media 
refugees encountered in their homes during the immediate post-relocation period could be 
unwelcomed, or could cause fear and disappointment. For example, the receiving of some types 
of mail—such as fliers depicting a missing child—may have become normalized for an 
individual who has lived in the U.S. all of his or her life. But this type of media caused one 
refugee I interviewed to experience significant fear. Sahro was shocked when she first received 
a piece of mail at her apartment with a photograph of a missing child on it:

When we come here, in mail we open, each, every day, we see, oh, this girl 
missing! This beautiful boy missing, missing, missing! So I get the fear, your 
children, somebody will kidnap. So get more scared than before, because when I 
get the mail, every day they say somebody missing—the lady missing, the man 
missing, children missing. So I say, “Oh, my goodness!” So every single day 
when I open the door, I’m scared.355

Common security signs could also cause apprehension. Amira told me that because of the time 
change and jetlag she experienced when relocating from Damascus to Los Angeles, she did not 
sleep well for her first few nights in the U.S. “I wake up, all my family are sleeping, so I opened

355 Sahro Nor, interview by Sarah Bishop, San Diego, November 21, 2013, to be deposited in the 
Schlesinger Library at Harvard University; for information regarding the history of printed 
missing children notices in the United States from a communication perspective, see Perry 
Howell, “Got Worry? Missing Children Notices on Milk Cartons in the United States,”
Interactions: Studies in Communication & Culture 2, no. 1 (2012): 35-46. For more on the 
relationship of television to the fear of crime, see Daniel Romer, Kathleen Hall Jamieson, and 
Sean Aday, “Television News and the Cultivation of Fear of Crime,” Journal of Communication 
the door at five o’clock when the sun rises and I just say ‘I will go to walk.’ So when I start to walk, I notice that every door was, like writing ‘Beware of Dog! Beware of Dog!’—Oh my God! Then I turn back!”  

Amira had not encountered such signs before and shocked to find threatening messages adorning the doors of otherwise benign-looking American homes.

In other cases, the narrators expressed their lack of interest, or even disappointment in media in the days following their arrival in the U.S. Dahabo, a Somali refugee in San Diego told me through a translator that she does not want to have a television in her house. “When I go to the other neighbors, they have TV, but it’s not any interesting, because the language, I didn’t know. So I see how the [people on TV] move, but not even one word—I didn’t understand.”

Similarly, Megeney told me through a translator of her frustration with navigating American media technologies. “I know how to turn on TV, and how to turn on radio, but I don’t know how to turn on a computer or a cell phone, I can’t even dial a number,” Megeney revealed.

When I asked her what she watches when she turns on the TV, she told me she only sees the cartoons her children view, because she does not know how to change the channel. I asked Megeney if she enjoyed watching cartoons. She replied, “When we come to America—they’re saying, ‘If you watch a lot of cartoons you will learn English.’ So I was expecting that I would learn a lot of English. Since I came to America the only thing that I watch is cartoons and I’m not understanding anything!”

Megeney’s example is an intriguing one, as her disappointment seems

356 “Amira” [Name changed at the request of the narrator], interview by Sarah Bishop, Los Angeles, July 12, 2013, to be deposited in the Schlesinger Library at Harvard University.
357 Dahabo Abdulali, interview by Sarah Bishop, San Diego, November 21, 2013, to be deposited in the Schlesinger Library at Harvard University.
358 Megeney Ramazani, interview by Sarah Bishop, San Diego, November 20, 2013, to be deposited in the Schlesinger Library at Harvard University.
directly related to her expectations about media’s capabilities for teaching. In the next section, we will continue to explore this relationship of expectations to experiences in more detail.

4.3 “THEY ARE HAPPIER THAN US”: EXPECTATIONS MEET REALITY DURING THE FIRST DAYS OF LIVING IN THE U.S.

As I have already discussed in earlier chapters of this work, it is clear from listening to the narrators I interviewed that media encountered prior to relocation frequently and directly informs not only refugees’ expectations, but also their interpretations of experiences after relocation.\(^{359}\)

When I asked Fahad, from Iraq, what he thought about the U.S. before he arrived, his answer was simple: “I think it’s like movies.”\(^{360}\) Likewise, Zanuba, from Somalia, told me, “In America we thought—rich! In our mind, what we see, they are powerful country, rich… so we thought everyone is rich almost, and clean everywhere. When you see the film, you see, you see those high buildings.”\(^{361}\) It is clear that media plays a central and role in forming refugees’


\(^{360}\) Fahad Al Allaq, interview by Sarah Bishop, Los Angeles, July 11, 2013.

\(^{361}\) Name changed by request; “Zanuba,” interview by Sarah Bishop, San Diego, November 21, 2013, to be deposited in the Schlesinger Library at Harvard University.
expectations, but Dylanna Jackson, the Director of Resettlement at the International Institute of Erie in Pennsylvania, suggests that media itself is not always to blame when refugees’ expectations are “dashed” by a lower-than-expected standard of living. Instead, she believed that conversations about media and media technology may also contribute to disappointment:

Each resettlement agency does things a little differently. So let’s say, for example, one agency gives everybody brand new TVs, then family and friends would say “Well, why didn’t you get a TV? We got a TV. You know, your resettlement agency isn’t doing their job.” Which—TVs are not a requirement to give, but these are the kinds of things that people talk about and share back and forth, so.

Still, Dylanna admits, “I think sometimes refugees—especially when they watch American movies—they have this idea that they’re going to walk into wealthier American neighborhoods, and a lot of times they’re resettled into poorer parts of town. So, I think that’s kind of a shock for them—that America’s not all like the movies.” Several of the refugee narrators I spoke to confirmed Dylanna’s impression.

Esther, a twenty-five-year-old refugee from Burma who arrived in Buffalo, New York on November 11, 2012, explained, “When we watch the movie like [about] New York City, we thought that when we go to New York that we can, we have to live like very high movies. But when we arrive here [in Buffalo] it’s, you know, it’s a town [or] something like that…we feel

362 Dylanna Jackson, interview by Sarah Bishop, Erie, February 7, 2013, to be deposited in the Schlesinger Library at Harvard University.
depressed.” 363 Likewise, Bishnu, a refugee from Bhutan who now lives in Austin, Texas, told me, “We heard that you’re going to be taken care [of] for six months but after coming here after two months they said ‘No, we will not give you service.’” 364 I asked Bishnu where she had heard that she would be taken care of for six months, and she told me it was in the orientation class she had attended in Nepal before her relocation. Now, Bishnu told me, “I’m just thinking that these people [were] telling lies for us.” The discrepancy between Bishnu’s expectations and the reality she found in Texas may point back to the aforementioned issue of pre-orientation texts’ failure to provide site-specific information. Because the benefits available to refugees vary by state, the information presented in an orientation text may prove dissimilar in amount or type to the reality one finds after resettlement. While some refugees from each of the four geographic groups I interviewed reported some discrepancy between their expectations and their lived experiences in the U.S., for Iraqis, this discrepancy was particularly pronounced.

Several reports have noted and analyzed the lack of satisfaction among many Iraqi refugees living in the U.S., 365 These reports often attribute this dissatisfaction to the disparity between refugees’ high standard of living in Iraq or in their secondary countries of asylum and

363 Esther [Last name not included at the request of the narrator], interview by Sarah Bishop, Buffalo, August 6, 2013, to be deposited in the Schlesinger Library at Harvard University.
364 Bishnu Gurung, interview by Sarah Bishop, Austin, TX, November 15, 2013, to be deposited in the Schlesinger Library at Harvard University.
the lower standard of living that newly arrived refugees in the U.S. are likely to experience. However, the Iraqi narrators and some resettlement administrators I interviewed revealed that perhaps this issue is more complicated than it seems upon first glance. Extensive access to media in Iraq seems to be a factor.

Rand, a refugee from Iraq who now works as a Cultural Orientation Instructor in Houston, Texas, spoke with me extensively about the reasons why she and her clients struggled during the first days after their arrival in the U.S. Specifically, Rand believes that the American media Iraqis encounter leads them to believe that life in the U.S. is an exclusively beautiful and relaxing place to live:

Well, because in the books, some of the movies—a lot of Iraqis, they used to see talk show like Oprah, Dr. Phil, yeah. So they kind of see the beautiful side of United States of America, but they don’t see that people here are working so hard. They are very hard-worker. They start working at age fourteen. They pay their expenses—like, they study and work at the same time. You know, so this is how hard people, how hard worker people are here in the United States of America. They don’t see this part, you know. And also, it doesn’t—the movies doesn’t speak about the social system here in the United States of America. So they, even like the Office of Cultural Orientation will tell them that in America there is no such a thing as [indefinite social assistance] but I think they refuse to accept that. They still wish that there’s something different. You know, it’s going to be
different. Sometimes you know it's hard and difficult; you know that, but you
don’t want to believe in it.\footnote{Rand [Last name removed by narrator's request], interview by Sarah Bishop, Houston, November 14, 2013, to be deposited in the Schlesinger Library at Harvard University.}

In Rand’s view, the issue is not that Iraqis are unaware of the style of life in the U.S., or even necessarily that they are living lives of luxury in Iraq, but rather that they ascribe to the luxury they see in American media—what Rand called “the thing that they want to hear”—instead of adopting more conservative expectations. The more difficult parts of U.S. life, Rand suggested to me, were obscured in media. “You know, [sigh], I think the movies doesn’t speak about those things. And it’s not something that we interested in, you know. Maybe we are—when you live in Iraq, this is not something that you interested in, so.” Rand’s own experiences of coping with a lower standard of living in the U.S. than she expected and of walking her clients through similar processes of coping have caused her to reinterpret some aspects of American films after her arrival. For example, Rand now has a different perspective than before about why American films portray so much partying and vacationing:

You have to worry about a lot of things. This is new system, the insurance, the health insurance and car insurance. Like, the way that I see things in the movie—I mean, people in the United States look like they enjoy their life. They love to have fun. Going for vacation, and fun, and party was essential part. So they live a luxury life. But I know it’s not the kind of luxury life; it’s very stressful. It’s really stressful. [So that’s] why people wants to go out and have fun, it’s very
important for them. It’s pretty important for them to go for vacation, because it’s so stressful, they need to go for a vacation.

Although Rand now has an explanation for the dichotomy between the luxury portrayed in U.S. movies and the less-than-luxurious experience of living in the U.S., it has not caused an end to her discontentment. Wistfully, Rand still recalls life being more satisfying in Iraq: “If something happen, crisis happen, you will not be alone. Financially, emotionally, you will have the family, friends. You know? This support system is very important in Iraq, and this is why I think people over there are very happy. I still think they are happy. They are happier than us—than me—living in the United States of America.” Rand’s narrative reveals a complexity in refugee responses to mediated representations of the United States that needs to be addressed explicitly: beyond deeming depictions of the U.S. “true” or “false” after comparing them to their own experiences, incoming migrants can, as Rand believes, come to realize that media may only reveal just a partial view of some constructed narrative, and, depending on viewers’ interests and desires, this fractional perspective may have more or less influence on refugees’ satisfaction with life in the U.S.

Other Iraqis and resettlement administrators reaffirmed Rand’s characterization of newly arriving Iraqi refugees. Meghann told me about her own Iraqi clients, “I think that would be fair to say, yes, to say that they're more—that they're disappointed. Um, I would also say, maybe, disillusioned.” Meghann believes the disparity of wealth refugees experience between Iraq and the U.S. has a direct effect: “They’re the group that did not know what they were coming

367 Meghann Perry, interview by Sarah Bishop, Buffalo, August 7, 2013, to be deposited in the Schlesinger Library at Harvard University.
into. They did not know that they were being resettled into poverty in the United States. Many of them had quite a bit of wealth in the Middle East and a very high standard of living. So the reality of an affordable apartment on public assistance in the city is just mind blowing.” However, in contradiction to Rand and Meghann’s narratives, some Iraqis I spoke with believed that they knew exactly “what they were coming into” precisely because of the American media they had encountered before their resettlement.

For example, Shiraz, who fled from Baghdad to Damascus in 2997 and arrived in Los Angeles in 2012, told me, “I know from the beginning, when I came here, I know from there, there is nothing easy in there. Everything is difficult. I know because I saw it from the movies.” I asked Shiraz whether there was one film in particular that he remembers depicting the difficulty of life in the U.S. Like Sancha in chapter two, Shiraz referenced the 2006 Hollywood blockbuster The Pursuit of Happyness and explained how he believes that this film describes realistically the likelihood of homelessness in the U.S. “He had everything and then he lost his job and get homeless,” Shiraz remembers about the film. I asked him whether he thinks The Pursuit of Happyness taught him anything. Shiraz replied, “I have to do everything legally. Because if I do an easy thing that is unlegal, maybe it’s, maybe I will go to be a homeless or everything, you know? Maybe I lost everything.” In fact, Shiraz is so sure that American films are able to prepare refugees for hardship in the United States that he is surprised and frustrated by other Iraqis who want to return to the Middle East after facing disappointment in the U.S. To these individuals, Shiraz says, “Okay, you know about America and you saw the movies and you, you know the type of life in there. Why you, why you came to America? I don’t know. I don’t understand—you saw the movies! You saw everything, you know how is life in there.”

368 Shiraz Minasaqen, interview by Sarah Bishop, Los Angeles, July 11, 2013.
Moses, who is also from Iraq and lives in Los Angeles, believes that sometimes the refugees who talk about wanting to return to Iraq are not being truthful. “Don’t believe them,” Moses told me with a good-natured smile.369

This is my opinion. They all like it here. But when they talk, they are saying [“I want to] go back.” Eh, it’s only talking. So, ah, this is my opinion. The life is here is very good. Even [if] they give me now ten million dollar to go to Baghdad, I will never go. Yep, this is my opinion. They are all lying. So now he came here they have, they have a good life, the easy life and they say “No, it’s not good. It’s not enough. The money they are giving us is not enough.” This is why they are complaining. Eh, this is their opinion, you know.370

Moses believes that part of the reason that Iraqis are disappointed is because they lack access to a full array of media sources, and suggested that this is what sets those who are less satisfied apart from him apart from him. “For me, I have a good life,” he assured me. “Every, every morning I wake up, I’m living, true? I don’t have to do everything, to go to the movies, to go to the restaurant every day. I don’t have to [get] Internets or televisions, so. You have to organize

369 Moses Boghossian, interview by Sarah Bishop, Los Angeles, July 12, 2013.
your life so it would be enough. But if you like to go every day to the restaurant, to the theater?
It will not be enough of course.”

But Saif, another Iraqi refugee, asserted that the disappointment many Iraqis experience
should not be attributed solely to media-related expectations. “Many Iraqis are disappointed
when they come here, but not because of American movies,” Saif told me.371 Rather, he
believes, “They found that life means to work hard, and they are not used to the system of the
United States, to work, so that’s why they are disappointed.” Prudently, Saif noted, when it
comes to assessing the relationship between media, expectations, migration, and disappointment,
“It depends on the person.”

In contrast, Waleed, an Iraqi refugee living in Buffalo, New York, was not disappointed
by the difference between American media and his experiences in the U.S. at all. Waleed was
clear; he did not find that the United States was exactly like the American media he had seen, but
this discrepancy was a welcome one: “Most of the movies I had seen involve a lot of fights and
a lot of gangs and shootings,” Waleed explained. “But those I haven’t seen here in the States.
But I have already seen the buildings and the streets, the cleanliness, how tidy everything and
how organized everything.” Likewise, Jala, an Iraqi living in San Diego, told me, “When you
see—in my country, in the media, they speak about the United States, they speak against the
country. But the life is different here when I come here and see people, the life is different.”372 I
asked Jala, “How is it different?” She replied, “They were talking in the media that people they
don’t have opinion here, but in real life, no, they have opinion.” During her first couple of weeks
in San Diego, Jala was pleased to find that despite the negative portrayal of the U.S. she had seen

371 Saif Alhadithi, interview by Sarah Bishop, San Diego, November 18, 2013.
372 Jala Yaqo, interview by Sarah Bishop, San Diego, November 18, 2013.
in Iraqi media, in fact, “There is no problems.” These Iraqi narrators reveal that although Iraqi refugees may experience a disproportionate amount of disappointment in the U.S., and although there does appear to be a link between media and Iraqi satisfaction, this link cannot be as easily generalized as some of the existing research suggests. Indeed, as we can see from Rand, Shiraz, Moses, Saif, Waleed, and Jala, Iraqi refugees’ experiences with American media vary widely, are highly personal, and are always dependent on interpretation.

The above narrators point to another important variance in refugees’ comparisons of media-related expectations to reality: not all refugees— Iraqi and otherwise—are disappointed with the reality they find immediately after relocating to the U.S. Some refugees found exactly what they had expected; others were pleasantly surprised. Fatuma, from Somalia, explained how during a two day IOM orientation in Nairobi, “They show us a video, how America is, how they act, what they been doing.” Upon her arrival in the U.S., Fatuma found, “Whatever IOM told me and whatever video I saw—there’s no judging or no religion accusing and all that—I’m seeing in here because whatever IOM was telling me, here I’m seeing it.” Likewise, Khadija, also from Somalia, told me, “IOM—whatever they was giving us in training is true. Because this is what I saw in here, everything they said is here right now when we see it with our eyes.”

I asked Zau, from Burma, what his first impressions of Texas were as he looked out the window of his arrival plane as it was landing. He told me, “I study [Texas] in Burma. I know about Texas very well. They have the field, they have the mountains—a lot of things, I know that. So when I fly [from Malaysia to] L.A. to Houston, so I see exactly, you know. [It] look like story,

373 Fatuma Aden, interview by Sarah Bishop, San Diego, November 20, 2013, to be deposited in the Schlesinger Library at Harvard University.
374 Khadija Osmani, interview by Sarah Bishop, San Diego, November 20, 2013, to be deposited in the Schlesinger Library at Harvard University.
yeah, I’m happy, and I opened my heart.” Even later, when Zau was surprised by some unexpected aspects of life in Texas, he remained happy. He explained, “I’m very surprised in Texas, because the way how it look like our country. No snow, so we can work every day. And then we can see that you people look like Mexico, and then the black people, our country don’t have. So, we see them, look like I’m arrived in heaven, you know! They are also all very kind.” For Zau, a pre-arrival study of Texas provided a realistic but partial view.

These narratives provide good indication of the variety of ways that lived experiences may reaffirm, contradict, enhance or provide a means for interpreting mediated portrayals of U.S. life that refugees encounter throughout their relocation. The perspectives included here reaffirm that one must resist the tendency to draw simple or universal conclusions regarding the impact of media related-expectations on refugees. While the impact of media is significant, it is not unilinear. Moreover, the impact of media encountered before arrival and media that refugees’ read, watch or hear after they arrive in the U.S. is not mutually exclusive; rather, we must consider how these two groups of media interact, reaffirm, or contradict each other in order to gain a contextualized view of their influence. Thus, in the next section, I will provide a consideration of the ways in which post-arrival orientation media attempt to curb and manage refugees’ expectations in the first weeks after their arrival.

4.4 POST-ARRIVAL ORIENTATIONS AND ORIENTATION MEDIA

Sometime during a refugee’s first several weeks in the U.S., the local agency that facilitated the refugee’s resettlement will provide a post-arrival orientation, also called a “domestic community orientation,” meant to ensure that new arrivals learn promptly how to navigate health care,
transportation, and education systems, settle into their new homes, and recognize the importance of finding a job as soon as possible. Post-arrival orientations attempt to address what COR calls “refugees’ unrealistic expectations about resettlement” and quell the disorientation and culture shock refugees may experience during this period. The majority of post-arrival orientation media exists in printed form, but in some cases, refugees may be provided with videos or audio recordings that reinforce the resettlement agencies’ goals and advice. Orientations typically take place either in a refugee’s new home, or at the resettlement agency. The resettlement agencies’ contractual agreement with the U.S. Department of State mandates that refugees receive orientation relating to safety and housing within five business days of their arrival and orientation about other topics within thirty days of their arrival. Still, the duration, content, and structure of post-arrival orientations inevitably vary according to additional state and local mandates, and because of limited funding. The Cultural Orientation Resource Center explains:

Perhaps the single biggest challenge that U.S. CO [cultural orientation] programs face is the lack of specific funding for CO. Unlike overseas CO, CO in the United States is not specifically funded, but is part of a package of federally funded services. This lack of designated funds has led to a more informal, less standardized form of CO than what is provided overseas.

Interestingly, while the lack of funding for post-arrival orientations may limit the domestic orientation’s length or content, the refugees in this study drew several similarities between the

375 http://www.culturalorientation.net/providing-orientation/domestic/delivery
two orientations, and helped me to locate instances wherein post-arrival orientation media mimics the subjects typically addressed in pre-departure orientation.

Consider, for example, the two images below. The first, taken from the pre-departure Guidebook for Refugees discussed in detail in chapter three, warns refugees against physically abusing their children and other family members, and states the right of Child Protective Services to remove children from a home if parents are neglectful. Each of these instructions is accompanied by a visual image; the first, of a man with hand raised in preparation for striking a woman, the second, of a woman holding and looking kindly at a young, smiling child. It is unclear in the second visual whether the woman holding the child is a member of Child Protective Services or the child’s parent. If she is meant to represent the parent, the two images lack equivalence, as the first shows what one ought not to do, and the second shows what one ought to do. Regardless of whom this adult is meant to represent, the description next to this image leaves some ambiguity, as it insists that children should not be left “without adult supervision” but does not provide a clear definition of what constitutes supervision or the process by which a lack of supervision may “lead to the removal of the child by a child protection agency.” The second image, below, depicts page 26 of the YMCA Houston-produced text, Reception and Placement: Community Orientation, distributed during post-arrival orientations. This text issues similar warnings regarding child/family abuse and neglect, although in this instance, both of the two corresponding images—the first a photograph and the second a clip-art style drawing—reinforce what one ought not to do.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Physical abuse</th>
<th>Child care</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Physical abuse**

It is illegal to physically abuse (hit or beat) your spouse or child. A child protection agency may remove from home a child who is being beaten.

**Child care**

It is illegal to leave children without adult supervision. Though very few states have set a legal age that a child can be left home alone, children around the age of 12 and under should not be left alone. In some countries, older children take care of younger children, but in the United States, young children must be supervised by an adult. Leaving a child unattended is considered neglect and can lead to the removal of the child by a child protection agency.

---

**Figure 14.** Page 149 of *Guidebook for Refugees* orientation text

---

Parenting practices may be different in the U.S. than in your home country.

It is never appropriate to abuse children or other family members in the U.S.

Safety is very important for children. If you neglect your children or if someone thinks you are not protecting their safety, your kids could be taken away by Child Protective Services.

---

**Figure 15.** Page 26 of YMCA Houston's *Reception and Placement* orientation text

---

376 *Guidebook for Refugees*, 149.
These two pages demonstrate that the importance of visuality discussed in relation to pre-arrival orientation media carries through to post-arrival contexts. The post-arrival orientation media at each of the ten resettlement organizations I visited in New York, Pennsylvania, Texas and California all included photographs, clip art, and drawings frequently. Amy Blose, a Volunteer Coordinator at the YMCA International Services in Houston, and one of the authors of the YMCA produced text, *Reception and Placement: Community Orientation*, discussed with me the importance of images in orientation texts. “We have a very wide range of people who come here. When we were thinking of putting the pictures in there it was much more for the people who have a lower level of literacy that may really need a visual to accompany what is put into words. ‘Cause a lot of our clients—they’re not literate in their own language, much less English.”\(^{378}\) Amy went on to describe one problem the pictures in the *Reception and Placement* text sometimes cause. “We have more affluent refugees and then they’re like, you know ‘Why are you showing this to me? These are pictures!’ You know, it’s just very lower than their level, so. But we just wanted it to work for everybody.” In these instances, the cartoon-like clip art images—such as the ones depicted above—may contribute to the affluent refugees’ belief that these texts are condescending or patronizing.

Amy explained to me that she and the other contributors to the *Reception and Placement* text decided on the content of this text by considering their own experiences with refugee clients.

---

\(^{377}\) *Reception and Placement: Community Orientation*, (Houston, TX: YMCA International Services, no date), 26.

\(^{378}\) Amy Blose, interview by Sarah Bishop, Houston, November 13, 2013, to be deposited in the Schlesinger Library at Harvard University.
For example, Amy’s visit to one newly arrived refugee client’s home motivated her to author page 15 of the text, pictured here:

![Page 15 of YMCA Houston’s Reception and Placement orientation text]

**Figure 16.** Page 15 of YMCA Houston’s *Reception and Placement* orientation text

---

379 *Reception and Placement*, 15.
She explained,

Sometimes we’ll go and visit people and [the smoke detector] will be beeping and beeping and beeping. I went one time and somebody had covered the smoke detector with a cloth, because they didn’t fully understand what that [beeping] meant, and it was just that the battery was dying. So, just from our own experiences we just tried to put things in there that would come in handy for them.

Amy’s experience provides some insight into the ways that the content of locally produced orientation texts is decided. Here, one family’s lack of understanding regarding their smoke detector lead to the incorporation of instructions now provided to all incoming clients, regardless of their knowledge about smoke detectors. Amy created the text in Microsoft Publisher, and used the Clip Art function to find many of the photographs and drawings so that she would not need to worry about getting permission to use other types of images. The USCRI reviewed the text before it was finalized, and offered Amy some suggestions for replacing images that might send a confusing message:

There was a picture of somebody, like, giving mouth to mouth—it was like a cartoon image, like trying to show that you call 9-1-1 if someone’s not breathing, right? And they had suggested, ‘No, it looks like they’re kissing.’—to not use that. And so in some instances there were photos like that that we changed to something that would be a little more appropriate so that everybody would understand.
While it may seem obvious, one must consider that the images that appear in any orientation text may appear normal or typical to an American-born individual, but in fact represent ideas, practices and/or norms that are wholly unfamiliar to refugees. This reality complicates the already difficult task of choosing images based on considerations such as fair use, representation of diversity, and, as Amy’s affluent clients pointed out, for which “level” of instruction certain types of images are appropriate.

Just as chapter three pointed out that no pre-departure orientation text could fully prepare refugees for the experiences they will encounter upon their arrival in the U.S., this chapter shows that post-arrival media are similarly limited. As the resettlement narrators and texts reveal above, the scope of topics delivered during these meetings is neither standardized nor always applicable to all orientation attendees. Though such similarities between pre- and post-arrival orientations are apparent, one particular attribute causes a distinct difference between pre- and post-arrival orientation media: post-arrival orientation media are much more likely to contain location-specific information regarding the communities into which refugees are placed. In the next section, I consider the merits of this location-specific post-arrival orientation media in detail.

4.5 LOCATION SPECIFIC ORIENTATION MEDIA

The lack of standardization in post-arrival orientations means that resettlement personnel may provide all kinds of different media during these meetings, and the media that a refugee encounters in one locale is not necessarily similar in amount or type to the media another refugee
would receive elsewhere. Indeed, post-arrival orientations differ from pre-departure orientations in large part because the information refugees are given in the latter orientation is specific to the community into which they are resettled. Rather than one widespread text, such as the *Guidebook for Refugees* I analyzed in the last chapter that is disseminated in pre-departure orientations, U.S. resettlement agencies provide refugees with multiple and varied information—most often in the form of print (verbal and visual)—that provides information specific to the assisting resettlement agency and the locale wherein the agency is located.

Meghann Perry, Director of Programs and Adult Education at Journey’s End Refugee Services of Buffalo, explained that compared to the overseas orientation, “our orientation is very specific to Journey’s End and Erie County and Buffalo. You know, you’ll get food stamps, Medicaid and cash assistance, all on one card. We show a picture of the benefit card. It’s very specific versus ‘this is your settlement anywhere.’”

While resettlement agencies sometimes provide refugees with texts produced by the Department of State or other national entities, these agencies may also provide some state government produced texts and images—such as the photograph of the benefit card Meghann described—as well as media produced by the resettlement agency itself. For example, at Journey’s End Refugee Services, refugees are given a red folder full of information upon their arrival. The information packet is prepared by Journey’s End caseworkers, and delivered during an individualized orientation wherein a caseworker talks the refugees through some or all of the contents. The packet contains, among other print media, a brochure called “Violence in the Home” from the U.S. Committee for Refugees and

---

380 Meghann Perry, interview by Sarah Bishop, Buffalo, August 7, 2013, to be deposited in the Schlesinger Library at Harvard University. In some states, refugees must gain access to governmental assistance through a series of identification cards and paper coupons. In the state of New York, however, food stamps, access to Medicaid services, and cash aid are all available via a single Electronic Benefits Transfer, or, “EBT” card. See [http://otda.ny.gov/programs/ebt/](http://otda.ny.gov/programs/ebt/)
Immigrants (USCRI; pictured below), a booklet entitled “A People’s Guide to Eating Fish Caught in Western New York” produced by The New York State Department of Health in conjunction with an organization called Buffalo Niagara Riverkeeper (pictured below), a map of several Buffalo supermarkets, and a page that lists the names of all of the Journey’s End caseworkers with pictures to help refugees understand the needs for which it is appropriate to solicit help from the caseworkers.\textsuperscript{381}

\textbf{Figure 17. USCRI's "Violence in the Home" brochure}

\textsuperscript{381} Meghann asked that I not include images of the media produced by Journey’s End, as it is only applicable to local contexts and should not be appropriated for use elsewhere.
By using this combination of federal, state, and locally-produced media, refugees will, purportedly, be able to understand which kinds of services are available to all refugees in the U.S., and which services are specific to their local Buffalo resettlement agency.

Below, an image of one page from the YMCA Houston-produced text, *Reception and Placement: Community Orientation*, provides an example of the kind of location-specific information that may be included in local resettlement agency-produced texts.
This page provides refugee readers local information, including the available hours and fees for a YMCA Houston affiliated immigration case worker who offers services to Houston refugees, and therefore stand in direct contrast to pre-departure orientation media, wherein the contained information must remain generic enough to be applicable to all local U.S. contexts.

In short, while post-arrival orientations sometimes differ from pre-arrival orientations in that they provide information specific to the American location in which refugees have arrived, the media used in these orientations often do not include information that is specific to any particular ethnicity or group. In this way, post-arrival orientation texts, images, and videos
remain similar to pre-departure orientation texts in that they construct an imagined but ambiguous readership through their inclusions and exclusions. Moreover, as will be made clear in the coming sections, in instances where the same media are provided to refugees from multiple groups, these orientation media act implicitly as tools for homogenization. These media encourage refugee normativity regardless of the myriad and conflicting views, beliefs, desires, values, and goals that refugees from around the world hold when they enter the U.S.

While the content of post-arrival media provides some integral insight, a thorough consideration of the merits and limitations of post arrival orientation media demands an audience centered review. In the next section, I include and consider the reactions of a variety of orientation attendees to post-arrival media.

4.6 RESPONSES TO POST-ARRIVAL ORIENTATION MEDIA

The refugees I interviewed had differing opinions regarding the efficacy of the post-arrival orientations they attended and provided some insights regarding the media they encountered during these meetings. Generally speaking, the refugees I interviewed revealed that post-arrival orientations are overloaded with information, and that refugees may need more individual attention than post-arrival orientations typically allow. For example, Amnar Alhasani arrived in Houston, Texas in 2012 after fleeing his home in Baghdad and spending six years in Egypt. Though Anmar has two degrees and worked as a mechanical engineer and business owner in Iraq, when he moved to Houston he was only able to find work as a cashier for six hours a day. In addition to this job, Anmar spends an average of eight hours a day volunteering to help other refugees affiliated with the YMCA International Services in Houston. When Anmar told me
how much he volunteers, I was surprised; most of the other agencies I worked with had only part-time volunteers who contributed a few hours a week. But Anmar believes his greater presence is necessary at the YMCA because his clients require more attention than orientation sessions alone can provide: “In the first day when they come, they give them a lot of information. And this day, you can’t understand all this information in the same day. This is the problem about almost all the refugees: the information they have, they don’t understand it. They need a lot of community orientation. They have here a community orientation, but also this one is not enough.”

Anmar’s concern draws into question just how much mediated information it is possible for refugees to process and remember just after undertaking a life-changing relocation to an unfamiliar country. Moreover, Anmar believes that sometimes, instead of needing more information and paperwork, refugees may simply need someone to talk to: “Some of them, they don’t have anyone. I know many, many family. They don’t have not anyone! They need someone to talk with, because he don’t have anyone to talk with.” To ease this problem, Anmar spends his days helping refugees to interpret the information they received in orientation, and listening to those who simply want to talk.

While Anmar’s point regarding the information overload that may occur in post-arrival orientation is prudent, sometimes refugees have difficulty digesting the print and video media given to them during orientation for other reasons. For example, Abdikadir Mohammed, from Somalia, explained to me what his own domestic orientation experience was like:

When you are in camp you get three days orientation, then when you land in Dallas, the first time, you will sleep at night and in the morning you will get

382 Anmar Alhasani, interview by Sarah Bishop, Houston, November 14, 2013.
another orientation. When they were like giving orientation when I came to America, they show me everything, like, how to shop, how to go to school, or how to speak English, or how to ask a person as a kind word. If you put [that orientation] in your mind, you could learn more, but our people—there was a war, all that. If we see the video, we weren’t putting our brain on that.383

I asked Abdikadir if he meant that people from Somalia could not concentrate during post-arrival orientation because they were thinking about the war in Somalia, and he confirmed this. Still, he suggested, the orientation “helped a lot.” Abdikadir’s narrative introduces an important consideration to the study of post-arrival orientation media: individuals who have experienced trauma, and especially survivors of torture may experience and interpret media in ways that are different from those who have had no such experiences. A discussion of scientific evidence of the cognitive relationship between trauma and media consumption is outside the scope of this study. However, the reality of this phenomenon is pertinent to any consideration of the media made available to refugees, as preoccupation with past violence may, as we shall see in the following example, prohibit this group from the ability to fully engage with or interpret the media they encounter.

Like Abdikadir, Hassan is also from Somalia, and also experienced a good deal of violence before his arrival in the U.S. In 1994, when he was ten years old, Hassan was walking home from a market carrying a plastic bag of some food he had bought for his brothers and sisters. When he heard a gunshot, Hassan started running, and some men threw a grenade at him that hit his legs. People gathered to try to help him, but Hassan told me, “The people they try to

help me, even they just get shot.” Today, Hassan uses crutches to walk on his one remaining leg, and still thinks about the war. I met him at the Somali Bantu Association, and asked him to tell me about his first few days in San Diego. Hassan attended a post-arrival orientation, and told me, “Everything I wanted to know, is like, I get it in that class. The problem is, you have something in your mind, you cannot remember. Still we have the gunshot in our mind. It’s hard to remember that stuff, cause if you start trying to remember that stuff, you gonna feel the gunshot in your mind at nighttime.” Hassan and Abdikadir’s narratives point to this symptom directly and reveal a sad reality about the usefulness of orientation media to refugees: sometimes, no matter how relevant or helpful the information, a haunting past keeps many refugees from being able to concentrate on, remember, or apply what they have learned in those first few weeks in the United States.

384 Hassan Sheighei, interview by Sarah Bishop, San Diego, November 21, 2013.
385 United States Department of State, Posttraumatic Stress Disorder, http://www.state.gov/m/med/dsmp/c44953.htm
In any analysis of orientation media, it is necessary to consider not just how the text portrays and conceives of its refugee reader/viewership, but also how the American government and government-funded resettlement agencies represent themselves through specific language and strategic framing. The first page of *Making Your Way*, the aforementioned Department-of-State-funded COR orientation curriculum provides a good example for an analysis of this phenomenon. The text begins with a somewhat confusing message on page one: “The contents of this curriculum were developed under an agreement financed by the Bureau of Population, Refugees, and Migration, United States Department of State, but do not necessarily represent the policy of that agency and should not assume endorsement by the Federal Government.” In the footer of each odd numbered page of *Making Your Way*, this message is repeated. Preceding and permeating the text’s content with this message establishes the federal government’s handle on, and authority over refugee resettlement to the United States while maintaining a kind of ambiguity regarding the “polic[ies]” the government might endorse.

In *Making Your Way*, the trustworthiness of the U.S. government and government-funded resettlement agencies is perpetuated through a revealing of the government’s role as the

---

387 Like pre-arrival orientation texts (discussed in chapter three), post-arrival orientation texts also are not typically geared towards certain groups or ethnicities. As a result, one might view the audiences of orientation media according Wolfgang Iser’s notion of an implied reader—a term that, Iser posits, “incorporates both the prestructuring of the potential meaning by the text, and the reader’s actualization of this potential through the reading process.” See Wolfgang Iser, *The Implied Reader: Patterns of Communication in Prose Fiction from Bunyan to Beckett* (Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press, 1978), xii.

benefactor to multiple societal institutions that have a direct effect on refugees’ wellbeing, and through consistent—though contingent—offers for help. The author(s) encourage(s) orientation leaders to explain to newly arriving refugees that there are “services, assistance, goods, and resources available to people” and that these services “may be provided free of charge or at a very low cost by the government.”389 But later, the text states “After a certain period of time, assistance from the resettlement agency and the U.S. government will end. When this happens, you and your family need to be ready to support yourselves.”390 Inclusions like these present clear but contingent offers to aid newly arrived refugees.

These inclusions are then reinforced with clear and repeated direction regarding refugees’ need to trust their government-funded resettlement agency to find employment so that one can become self-sufficient as soon as possible. In a section of lesson plans found on page 523, *Making Your Way* presents a “Content Objective” for one part of orientation: refugee attendees should recognize that “The philosophies of self-sufficiency and self-advocacy are central to American culture.” The stated “learning indicator” for this section—included in the text to indicate to orientation leaders that refugees understand and accept the content objective—is “Participants can acknowledge the importance of self-sufficiency.”391 Indeed, because refugees typically receive less than $1000 of cash aid from the U.S. government, and because they must repay the government for the funds spent on their air travel to the U.S., post-arrival orientation media such as *Making Your Way* state repeatedly the importance of refugees’ finding immediate employment as a means toward self-sufficiency. The text instructs domestic orientation leaders to remind refugees that “A person’s initial job might not be in their chosen profession” and that

“Turning down any job could be used as a reason to lose benefits.” To emphasize this point, the text proposes an orientation activity plan that uses pages such as the one below to encourage refugee conversation about expectations for job searching and employment.

Figure 20. Page 143 of *Making Your Way* orientation text

---

392 *Making your Way*, 299.
393 *Making Your Way*, 143.
The top half of this page suggests to orientation attendees that while they may know what they want, resettlement personnel are better equipped to know what refugees need. To reinforce this message, the photograph of a refugee doing what he “want[s]” is crossed through with a large red “X.” The bottom half of the page reiterates the resettlement agency’s sovereign expertise by implying that a refugee’s case worker/manager is better equipped than other members of one’s community to determine which employment choices are right for their clients. To provide realistic expectations regarding what types of jobs might be available to refugees, *Making Your Way* includes photographs of individuals working in different professions, like this one:

![Go to work at a hotel.](image)

*Figure 21. Page 277 of *Making Your Way* orientation text*.
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Additionally, the text includes small vignettes about fictional hotel worker refugees for discussion in orientation groups. One such vignette reads:

Arjun and his wife Rupali resettled in their new community. Rupali quickly found a full-time job with benefits as a housekeeper at a hotel… Arjun felt uncomfortable with the situation because Rupali had never worked outside the home before, and, as the family’s main source of income, she was feeling more confident…. What could Arjun and Rupali do to deal in a positive way with the situation?395

Here, the authors attempt to address the changes in family and gender roles that are a common consequence of refugee relocation.396 But Chan, who fled from Burma as a teenager and now works as a caseworker at the Burmese Community Support Center in Buffalo, New York, explained to me one reason why Burmese refugees, specifically, may interpret the meaning of this text in a different way altogether and may not be able to “deal in a positive way” with their case workers’ suggestion to accept work as hotel housekeepers.

395 Making Your Way, 598.
They can only get like, housekeeping, cleaning, restaurant jobs for their first job, but in Burma, those kinds of jobs are very low, just the homeless people or orphan[s], or someone who is in very low stage, they just do those kinds of jobs. So, they don’t want to take those kinds of jobs here, they’re still thinking those jobs are very low jobs—the housekeeping women are sex workers, they just work at the hotel, so they are thinking those are very low.\textsuperscript{397}

Because, like most orientations texts, \textit{Making your Way} is not geared toward any one refugee group, it is not equipped to manage culture-specific concerns such as the correlation between sex workers and hotel housekeepers that Chan described. This text remains perfectly equipped, however, to advertise the expertise and sovereignty of the U.S. government.

Because no characteristics in these texts distinguish certain types of refugee readers from others, all readers are homogenized by the text and cast as needing the same help from the United States government.\textsuperscript{398} Indeed, the \textit{Making Your Way} curriculum sets up the United States government as an “infallible actor”—a trustworthy failsafe of an institution that attempts to help those who do not know how to help themselves.\textsuperscript{399} According to Bruno Latour, this kind of constructed infallibility is often strategic and begets a kind of unquestionable power that inherently works to the advantage of those who perpetuate it. If an orientation text or video can depict the government as an altruistic ally, then perhaps it can work to establish a sense of

\textsuperscript{397} Chan Myae, interview by Sarah Bishop, Buffalo, August 7, 2013, to be deposited in the Schlesinger Library at Harvard University. 
governmental trust in its readership, so that the refugees who are *interpellated* by the text’s call can perpetuate its power. Of course, any document produced or funded by a government is likely to paint that government in a positive light; any other approach would be counterproductive.

From start to finish, *Making Your Way* represents the United States Government and government-funded resettlement agencies as willing and able to help throughout almost every stage of a refugee’s integration process. But a closer reading of this text reveals that help is forthcoming only if a refugee is compliant, obedient, and submissive. Taking a critical view of these generous offers, one might consider that they open the doorway that leads to the U.S. government’s intervention into aspects of a refugee’s life. For example, *Making Your Way* states repeatedly the importance of refugees building good credit history. On page 531, the author(s) state(s), “Good credit is very important for your personal finances in the United States. Good credit shows that you make the payments that you are supposed to make, and you make them on time. Bad credit shows that you do not.” But the guide does not reveal that the driving forces behind credit’s importance are the complex systems of governmental regulation, rewards, and punishments that occur based on an individual’s credit score. Indeed, the government does not only affirm the importance of good credit, but also perpetuates the existence of credit, regulates the banks that provide credit, and offers Federal loans based on individuals’ credit histories. By infiltrating every step of the complex realm of credit, the government can easily wield the kind of power that Foucault calls a “productive network which runs through the whole social body.”

It is power at multiple levels of society, so colossal it is difficult to perceive its limits, but perfectly camouflaged so as to appear both naturally occurring and perfectly normal. Indeed, the

---

normative power that is perpetuated through philanthropic offers to help and appears repeatedly in *Making Your Way* allows The United States government to make significant progress with impressively few resources by convincing U.S. residents—refugee and otherwise—that it wishes to offer its services to the advantage of all who prove themselves worthy.

### 4.8 PRINT MEDIA AS A MEANS TO DEVELOP, STANDARDIZE, AND ENSURE FULFILLMENT OF POST-ARRIVAL ORIENTATION

In post arrival orientations, media often serves a bureaucratic function of developing, standardizing or providing some means of ensuring completion of orientation topics. I asked Rand how her resettlement agency decides what to include in a post-arrival orientation. “Well, for the cultural orientation, we have list of topics, because we think that this is lots of the, like, general topics that the refugees need to know about the United States of America,” she explained. “So there is a list of topics that we give to the client, and we explain and discuss those topics, and each topics will explain about what. And they choose from the list of topics.”

Rand told me that the reason her agency uses this standardized list is because “Different people need different kind[s] of cultural orientation.” Certainly, a document like the one Rand described allows for some difference between the kinds of orientation topics that are addressed with refugees from divergent backgrounds. But, as I shall discuss in this section, such a document may also limit the topics considered eligible for delivery in orientation contexts.

401 Rand [Last name removed by narrator’s request], interview by Sarah Bishop, Houston, November 14, 2013.
Richard Harper argues that documents are “crucial to organizational life” and that through documentation of organizational practices, “members of an organization can have a new means for making sense, for creating order and sharing purpose.” 402 That is, when an idea of what a particular program ought to include becomes manifest in a mediated print document that is reproduced across an organization, these programs become subject to and measured according to the document, so that print media such as the list Rand described hold a good deal of significance in determining a refugee’s experience with his or her resettlement agency during the first few days of living in the U.S.

When documents become standardized through their bureaucratic role in an organization such as a resettlement agency, and, indeed, when they reappear in caseworkers’ procedures repeatedly and become a part of a daily routine, such documents may begin to take on the appearance of naturally occurring entities, rather than ideologically constructed creations of some author’s doing. Indeed, some documents become so familiar within the resettlement process that refugee caseworkers may not be inclined to consider that such documentation implicitly exclude some possible topics. In this way, the power of bureaucratic documentation works not only to collect and aggregate data about a specific process such as post-arrival orientation, but also to limit, control, and normalize the choices that orientation may provide to refugees.

Amy Blose, the refugee volunteer coordinator in Texas who described her role in authoring the YMCA Houston produced text mentioned above, also explained why agency personnel decided this text was needed:

We just kind of internally decided that we needed something a little more structured, cause everybody had their own style of the orientation, so there would just be like a topic, but there wasn’t much control over what each case manager was saying on that topic, so we just kinda got together and pulled out things for each topic, and then I took that and started to create this book.403

Here, Amy reveals that the impetus for her book was a direct result of the agency’s desire to retain more control over and similarity between the orientations delivered to each newly arrived refugee

In addition to playing a role in developing and standardizing orientation topics, printed orientation media may also provide a means for ensuring that caseworkers address certain orientation topics. Consider the following document, produced by the U.S. Committee for Refugees and Immigrants [USCRI] and used by the caseworkers at the International Institute of Los Angeles:

403 Amy Blose, interview by Sarah Bishop, Houston, November 13, 2013, to be deposited in the Schlesinger Library at Harvard University.
Figure 22. USCRI's "Community Orientation Form"

On this document, both refugee client and an instructor (usually a caseworker) are asked to sign to acknowledge and confirm that the refugee has received a community orientation that addressed all of the topics listed in the document’s three columns. Clearly, a good deal of ambiguity remains between these federally mandated topic guidelines and the ways such topics are delivered or discussed. For example, an item in the second column of this list requires orientation leaders or caseworkers to discuss “The Importance of Learning English.” However, further information regarding why learning English is important, how soon one should begin
learning, or by what *means* one should take up this task remain unspecified. Still, by requiring that this document be signed and archived within each newly-arrived refugee’s file, the USCRI—a government agency—effectively controls which information refugees receive regarding their resettlement in the U.S. Notably, as can be seen on the form above, this government agency requires instruction on everything from the necessity of opening windows when one is cooking to oral hygiene.

Through the creation, reproduction, and routinization of print media, post-arrival orientations may become standardized according to a fixed set of topics at the federal or local level. When these standardized topics pervade resettlement administrators’ daily dealings with refugees, they may begin to appear normal and natural, and thereby implicitly prohibit other topics from pervading the boundaries of post-arrival orientations.

4.9 POST-ARRIVAL MEDIA FACILITATES DEPRIVATIZATION

In the last chapter, I argued that pre-departure orientation media embody a preconception that refugees do not possess the knowledge or ability to successfully navigate travel to or life in the United States. In post-arrival orientation media, I have found that this phenomenon is manifest not only in descriptions regarding the use of public services and resources that may be unfamiliar to a newly arrived refugee, but also within multiple references to the ways refugees should treat their bodies or behave in their own homes with their families. For example, in a section entitled “Parenting Practices,” the aforementioned COR curriculum instructs teachers to say to refugee orientation attendees: “Try to keep an open mind during this session. If you do, you may leave the session with some good ideas to help you deal in an acceptable and effective way with the
challenge of raising your children in a culture that is not your own.\footnote{Making Your Way, 605.} While one might argue that choosing how to raise one’s children is a personal decision not specific to relocation, this orientation curriculum reveals the belief that personal, familial guidance belongs under the government’s authors’ purview by appearing with no special explanation alongside relocation-specific instruction.

The narrators I interviewed recalled several instances of this kind of personal, familial instruction during the days following their resettlement as well. For example, Kalsumo, a female Somali refugee who arrived in the U.S. in January 2013, told me, “I remember a lot of things that orientation tells me about, like in America you cannot beat your kids. You can tell [them] something if they don’t understand. You can say, ‘Don’t do this. Don’t do this.’ [But] if the kids don’t understand, you just leave.”\footnote{Kalsumo Ibrahim, interview by Sarah Bishop, San Diego, November 20, 2013, to be deposited in the Schlesinger Library at Harvard University.} Similarly, OO Meh, a Burmese refugee who was resettled to Houston, Texas in May 2012, recalled, “Cultural orientation was like about to keep clean when you’re in your apartment, I mean, in your apartment in the United States you have to like, keep clean for safety.”\footnote{OO Meh, interview by Sarah Bishop, Houston, November 14, 2013, to be deposited in the Schlesinger Library at Harvard University.} By attempting to educate refugees about the way they should act while in their own homes as well as the practices that are best for interacting with their own children, I argue that orientation media like this curriculum presuppose ignorance in refugees’ ability to look after their own homes and family, and that this instruction sometimes supersedes
the resettlement agencies’ mandate to provide refugees with the tools one needs to transition into a new culture.407

This presupposition that the state knows best how refugees should conduct their home lives is repeated in the COR orientation curriculum’s chapters on housing (including “keeping a bathroom clean by U.S. standards”408) and health and hygiene (“There are customs and laws in the United States regarding personal and public hygiene. People who don’t follow these customs and laws may offend other people in the community or at work, and may even get into trouble with the law.”409) and reifies Charles Briggs’ assertion that

The state assume[s] the right and the duty to bring members of racialized and immigrant communities—who were seen as being ignorant of or rejecting hygiene and institutional medicine—[into compliance as] sanitary citizens, individuals who (1) conceive of the body, health, and disease in terms of medical epistemologies; (2) adopt hygienic practices for disciplining their own bodies and interacting with others; (3) and recognize the monopoly of the medical profession in defining modes of disease prevention and treatment.410

408 Making Your Way, 19.
409 Ibid., 437.
By accepting governmental advice on matters relating to health and parent-child interactions, refugees’ families become subject to what Jaber Gubrium and James Holstein call deprivatization, including government-driven home inspections to ensure compliance with normative practices.411

Lily, the Director at the International Institute of Los Angeles (IILA), explained why these home inspections are necessary: “Our funder requires that we do an initial home visit within five days of [refugees’] arrival. So we make sure we visit them, most likely it happens twenty-four or forty-eight hours, because we want to make sure that they immediately get an orientation of what services are available to them.”412 After this visit, Lily explained, the assigned case worker will visit a refugee (or family of refugees) several more times over the course of the next ninety days to deliver more information. Lily revealed one benefit of this in-home style of orientation and reiterated why IILA takes this approach: “In an individual situation, they are more likely to share information that they wouldn’t otherwise share in a big group. Because we’re required to do a home visit, we will go to their home, and do the orientation at their home, and bring all the informational materials, let them know what the next steps, all the things that they need to do.” Without a doubt, the delivery of informational orientation materials by credentialed caseworkers has the potential to deliver useful, pertinent information that may help refugees to feel more acquainted within their new homes and to access the public services available to them. For example, OO Meh told me, “The first week, I was

412 Lily Alba, interview by Sarah Bishop, Los Angeles, July 9, 2013, to be deposited in the Schlesinger Library at Harvard University.
scared, I was worried and I was scared, so I didn’t even go around my apartment, I just stay home.”

I asked OO Meh what she was scared of. She replied, “I didn’t know anyone. I thought there were no Burmese in my apartment [building] when I arrived, and I thought like, maybe they’re Spanish, and I worried if people come and talk to me, if they come to my apartment, I don’t know what they saying, and I was worried, so, just stayed home.” But even in her own home, OO Meh was afraid: “The most difficult thing is when I cook and all the electric things, I’m worried about all the electric things. I’m scared, I turn off or on, I don’t even know.” Much to her relief, OO Meh’s caseworker soon came to her house and talked her through the aforementioned YMCA produced orientation book. This managed to alleviate some of OO Meh’s fear: “In the cultural orientation I learn about the cleaners and all the tools in my apartment and how to use them and in case of emergency I have to call 9-1-1, and for fire I have to say ‘Fire’ and for violence, I have to say ‘Police,’ and for sickness or something I have to say ‘Ambulance.’” After learning the information in the book, OO Meh revealed, “After one week, I was fine, and I go around, I walk around my apartment.” OO Meh provides one example of the helpful potential of government-mandated post-arrival home visits. Still, this infiltration of orientation media into refugees’ homes holds some potential beyond making refugees less fearful of their surroundings, and therefore demands further consideration. If refugees are asked to abide by the kinds of governmental advice on matters relating to health and parent-child interactions found in the print media above, and if resettlement caseworkers are visiting refugees’ homes to both deliver and follow up on this information, refugees’ may not be able to

413 OO Meh, interview by Sarah Bishop, Houston, November 14, 2013, to be deposited in the Schlesinger Library at Harvard University.
maintain the power to say “no” to state-imposed suggestions—even within the privacy of their own homes.

In the realm of refugee deprivatization, no territory is off limits to the state; even in cases when government-funded personnel are not physically entering refugees’ homes, they manage a symbolic imposition into refugees’ private lives through the state’s strategic instruction about home life delivered even as early as pre-departure orientations, before refugees ever enter their new American homes.

4.10 CONCLUSION

This chapter has inquired into the realms of learning and experience through a concentration on refugees’ first days in the United States. Because refugees carry impressions and memories of pre-arrival media with them into the U.S., I examined instances in which the narrators compared what they learned in pre-arrival media encounters about the U.S. to the reality of their experiences upon resettlement. I discussed refugees’ post-arrival acquisition of media technology and the varying degrees of importance this acquisition had for the narrators. Finally, this chapter considered how local resettlement organizations in the U.S. use print and digital media in an attempt to guide refugees through their first days after relocation, and how this post-arrival orientation media represent the U.S. government, act as a means of standardization, and facilitate refugee deprivatization, or, the imposition of governmental control into the realms of health, hygiene, and family.

One must resist the belief that post-arrival orientation media always achieve some kind of author-intended effect. Indeed, enculturation (the learning of a new culture) and deculturation
(the giving up of an old culture) do not occur in a one-way, linear progression; as an immigrant learns a new culture, (s)he does not necessarily lose his/her desire for or interest in maintaining the norms and practices of an older culture. In the case of post-arrival orientation media, we must consider that readers may choose to accept a text’s message not because of a willing attraction to the descriptions of a new culture, but because of a sense that their compliance is mandatory. This view supports migration scholar John Berry’s suggestion for a new metaphor to describe assimilation. Berry contends, “When people choose to assimilate, the notion of the Melting Pot may be appropriate; but when forced to do so, it becomes more like a Pressure Cooker.”414 This pressure cooker metaphor suggests the necessity of a more complicated view of the relationship between enculturation and deculturation, and indeed, between refugees’ needs and the post-arrival media they encounter.

Refugee resettlement is an ongoing process that does not end once refugees finish their post-arrival orientations and begin leading lives independent of their resettlement agencies. Thus, a thorough view of the relationship of media to resettlement demands a long-term view. In the next chapter, I will provide a closer look at the types of media the narrators I interviewed consume after they have finished their post-arrival orientations and after their contact with their resettlement agencies begins to wane. Specifically, by considering instances in which refugees acquire, consume, interpret, and/or utilize media in some way(s) to facilitate or resist acculturation, I will investigate the role of media in ongoing resettlement.

5.0 MEDIA AND REFUGEES’ ONGOING RESETTLEMENT

In a popular shopping area on the west side of Buffalo, New York, a long white sign affixed to the front of a small storefront marks in both English and Karen the entrance of the Burmese Community Support Center (BCSC). The BCSC is not a refugee resettlement agency, and the founders are clear, “it’s not for the newly arrived.” Instead, the center aims to “promote and enhance the quality of life” for the approximately 8,000 refugees from Burma who have been living in Buffalo for three months or more, after they have completed their post-arrival orientations and after the frequency of general contact with their respective resettlement agencies begins to decrease. To advertise their services, the founders of the BCSC operate a Facebook page that includes announcements regarding the hours of operation and available appointment times, a link to a video of one of the co-founders recording an interview for a local radio station, photos of the grand opening, and promotion of upcoming events such as a meeting entitled, “You and [the] American School System.” The page publicly thanks the Buffalo and Erie County Public Libraries for donating some children’s books to the center, and provides a link to a local NPR news story about the BCSC’s programs.

---

415 The language of the Karen ethnic group, one of the largest ethnic groups displaced from Burma as refugees.
416 Chan Myae Thu, interview by Sarah Bishop, Buffalo, August 7, 2013, to be deposited in the Schlesinger Library at Harvard University.
I spoke with Chan Thu, one of the cofounders of the BCSC, at the International Institute of Buffalo on August 1, 2013, about one month before the center opened. Chan is from Burma herself, and is active with the Burmese community in Buffalo both in and outside of the BCSC. To stay in touch with each other, Chan and some other members of the Burmese community in Buffalo meet every Saturday night at a monastery for prayers and a meal. By the nature of its venue, Chan explained, this meeting is “mostly for Buddhists,” and therefore excludes some of the local Burmese population. The BCSC, by contrast, seeks to serve any Burmese refugees—regardless of their religion—and their Facebook page promotes this message of inclusivity with welcoming posts like “Join BCSC this Saturday at 10am as we celebrate the grand opening of our center! We will have a ribbon cutting ceremony, speakers from the community, local politicians, blessings from various religious groups and light refreshments!”418 In this way, the BCSC Facebook page is able to reach beyond more traditional, in-person meetings available to subcultures within larger communities who wish to stay connected, and avoid the religion-specific nature of face-to-face meetings at the monastery. Still, the BCSC Facebook page has its own limitations; while it is intended to supersede boundaries within the community and welcome all Burmese refugees who have been in Buffalo for three months or more, in fact, it serves as a source of information and connection only for those who know English, are literate, and have access to Facebook.

The Burmese Community Support Center’s Facebook page provides one example of the nuances present in the kinds of media created for or available to refugees after they have completed their post-arrival cultural orientations in the U.S. As refugees begin to detach from their resettlement agencies and gain increased independence and familiarity with their new

418 https://www.facebook.com/pages/Burmese-Community-Support-Center/162466873944623
locales, their media habits begin to change. Nevertheless, even after refugees achieve the governmental definition of self-sufficiency, many still do not speak English fluently, and may not be able to access certain types of media due to a skill deficiency, issues related to language comprehension or literacy, disinterest, or a lack of funds or other necessary resources.

While much research about refugee resettlement deals with a time frame that encompasses refugees’ experiences immediately before, during, or immediately after their resettlement, I argue that a detailed view of refugee resettlement necessitates a long-term view that surpasses the weeks and months immediately following resettlement. Christie Sherstha, writing for the United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR), argues, “Resettlement is an on-going process that does not end with refugees’ arrival to the host country.” Instead, Sherstha reveals, the complex processes of resettlement subsist long after refugees settle into their new homes, and include dynamic ongoing acculturation to the social, political, economic, and cultural facets of their new locales. The Burmese Community Support Center’s Facebook page provides just one of a multitude of examples of the ways that media may continue to inform and interact with processes of ongoing resettlement long after refugees have arrived in their new homes and completed their post-arrival orientations.

In view of the reality that resettlement is a long-term process without a clear end, this chapter will explore, from multiple angles, the interaction of media and refugees’ ongoing resettlement. I will consider how refugees may use American media as well as media from or

---


about their home countries once they have completed their post-arrival orientations and settled into their new U.S. homes. First, the chapter will address the ways that refugees are portrayed in American media and how they interpret or respond to these portrayals. Next, I explore the interaction of media, language, and religion and demonstrate the ways that these three phenomena are inextricably linked in refugees’ lives. Finally, the chapter turns to address the role of media in maintaining or negotiating connections between refugees’ families and friends.

Of course, once refugees become familiarized with the culture and norms of U.S. life, many of the ways they encounter and use media are indistinguishable from the ways that American born individuals might. Still, there are some notable cases in which refugees’ media use remains unique. Specifically, by considering instances in which media is acquired, consumed, interpreted, and/or utilized in some way(s) to facilitate or resist acculturation, one can gain insight into how media may work as a tool or obstacle for refugees who are continually negotiating their place within the cultural landscapes of their new locales. Throughout, this chapter remains primarily concerned with media’s role in ongoing resettlement, and the mediated resources available to forced migrants who may or may not wish to conform to the cultural norms of the communities into which they have been placed.

5.1 MEDIA ABOUT REFUGEES IN THE UNITED STATES

A discussion of media’s role in ongoing refugee resettlement would not be complete without a thorough consideration of the ways that refugees are portrayed in U.S. media, and how refugees interpret or respond to these media. This consideration proves necessary for two primary reasons. First, the interviews I completed in New York, California, Pennsylvania and Texas
revealed that many refugees are keenly aware of the ways their communities are portrayed in U.S. media, and revealed that these portrayals often have direct implications for refugees’ livelihoods. Second, because of the geographical dispersion of refugees in America, combined with the relatively small ratio of refugee to American-born nationals (approximately 1 to 5,100), many Americans’ only information about refugees comes from various media—a telling glimpse into how individuals form opinions when lacking direct interaction with a particular group. Indeed, Vibert Cambridge argues that the ways American entertainment programming and news present ethnic diversity in general “has significant consequences, because it may be the only contact that some Americans have with this diversity.” To be sure, mediated portrayals of refugees possess the ability to alter the lived experiences of Americans and refugees alike. The ways that refugees are portrayed in media may affect everything from a refugee’s ability to find a job to his or her acceptance in a social group. Because media about refugees may impact Americans’ opinions about the federal tax funds spent on refugee resettlement, opinions about the likelihood that refugees would make good friends or neighbors, or even opinions about the status of immigration to the U.S. in general, one should not underestimate the significance of mediated portrayals of refugees in U.S. media.

421 Given that they compose a low percentage of the population, refugees’ portrayal in media is disproportionately high; for further explanation, see Haines, Safe Haven, 1; United States Committee for Refugees and Immigrants, “Resettlement by Country,” World Refugee Survey 2009, 29.  
An exhaustive view of the ways refugees are portrayed in all genres of American media would be impossible to provide in the limited space of this chapter. For this reason, I will focus my efforts only on the genre that was—by far—most discussed by both the refugees and resettlement administrators I interviewed: local newspaper articles. The eight cities I visited for this project house some of the largest communities of refugees in the United States, and, as a result, the local newspapers in these cities include articles about them. In each city I visited, I asked the narrators I interviewed what kinds of media—if any—they had encountered about refugees in the U.S. Any time the narrators mentioned local newspapers, I took note of it. After the interviewing took place, I visited the largest local newspapers website containing their digital archives and searched on the term “refugees.” Because the newspapers also included stories about refugees living outside the United States, I narrowed my search to only the “Local” sections of each. My findings were surprising, upsetting, and heartening, and in the following pages, I intend to describe both the ways refugees are portrayed in American news journalism and the ways that refugees respond to these portrayals.

5.2 REFUGEES IN U.S. NEWS

First, I should note, academic analysis of portrayals of immigrants in news journalism is not uncharted territory. But because refugees comprise a unique, specific group of immigrants in

the United States, not all of the existing research is applicable to the current study. Still, within the existing scholarship that examines American news portrayals of immigrants generally, some telling tropes emerge that helped me identify the breadth and boundaries of the power of


media to represent a group of others in ways that have direct consequences for those populations. For instance, several studies have noted the prevalent use of a few, recurrent metaphors to describe voluntary immigrants in American news. Otto Santa Ana’s study of articles from The Los Angeles Times between 1992 and 1994 revealed that immigrants in America were consistently portrayed as burdens, diseases, dangerous waters, and/or weeds.426 Similarly, a ten-year study of The Forum, a community newspaper from Fargo, North Dakota, found three main metaphors in articles describing immigrants in America: “the burden, the flood, and the uprooted of the world.”427 In an analysis of reports on immigration from CNN and Fox News from September to December of 2005, J. David Cisneros reported, “in addition to being conceived as a crime wave or invasion, immigration is framed metaphorically as a dangerous pollutant.”428 These three studies in particular indicate the necessity of analyzing not only overt descriptions and assertions about refugees in U.S. media, but also the ways that acceptance, fear, or prejudice may be couched and embedded within the stylistic elements of a journalistic account. As these authors found, deploying metaphors is a rhetorical strategy adopted by American news journalists to communicate the offensiveness of immigration without having to explicitly decry immigration’s supposed harms.

In my interviews, I found that refugee resettlement administrators in particular are acutely attuned to the ways refugees are portrayed in American news. By nature of their profession, resettlement administrators are likely to both seek out news about refugees, and to

converse with refugees who have encountered and/or have questions about such news. Several of the resettlement administrators I interviewed mentioned a recent phenomenon in online local news articles about refugees: while many of these articles show support for or talk favorably about refugees, they are often accompanied by fearful or hateful comments from readers about refugee resettlement in the U.S. in general. My analysis of digital comments about refugees, when added to the existing studies of online articles about refugees, will provide heightened understanding of the ways audiences interact with online news journalism. In ways similar to the articles analyzed by the aforementioned scholars, I found that the comments advance a series of metaphors that implicitly describe the perceived harms of migration to the U.S. But, unlike the existing studies of articles, my own analysis reveals that these comments also include overt and explicit criticism of refugees in addition to these metaphors.429

Meghann Perry, Director of Education at Journey’s End, a resettlement agency in Buffalo, New York, explained bluntly her own frustrating experience in reading these posts: “If you Google any newspaper article about refugees, and you look at the comments on the bottom, you'll see people's comments about, you know, ‘These people shouldn't come here and taxpayer dollars’—a conservative, republican, ‘Our money shouldn't go to foreigners’ attitude.” To

429 Though not related to forced migration, some recent studies have examined online audience participation in comment forums. See, for example, Marisa Torres da Silva, “Online Forums, Audience Participation and Modes of Political Discussion: Readers’ Comments on the Brazilian Presidential Election as a Case Study, Comunicación Y Sociedad 26, no. 4 (October 2013): 175-93; Cho Sooyoung and Hong Youngshin, “Netizens’ Evaluations of Corporate Social Responsibility: Content Analysis of CSR News Stories and Online Readers' Comments,” (paper presented at the annual meeting of the National Communication Association, Chicago, November 15-18, 2007); Aziz Douai and Hala K. Nofal, “Commenting in the Online Arab Public Sphere: Debating the Swiss Minaret Ban and the 'Ground Zero Mosque' Online,” Journal Of Computer-Mediated Communication 17, no. 3 (April 2012): 266-82; Michael McCluskey and Jay Hmielowski, “Opinion Expression During Social Conflict: Comparing Online Reader Comments and Letters to the Editor,” Journalism 13, no. 3 (April 2012): 303-19.
follow up on Meghann’s assertion, I searched the *Buffalo News* website for any digital stories about local refugees and found that in fact, most had no visible comments. The comments that did follow a few articles about local refugees ranged from wholly positive to incendiary and revanchist. For example, after a February 2014 article titled “Multiverse Show in Allentown Highlights Faces of Buffalo Refugees,” the nine available comments include three that seem to show support for refugees in the area, three that are ambiguous, and three that demonstrate overt aversion to refugees. Perhaps the most positive response to this article reads, “The refugee community is a big part of the West Side Revival still underway. Kudos to the artists for bringing them center stage!” But at the opposite end of the range, another commenter posted, “Keep the immigrants out, am I right?” Likewise, the twelve comments following a December 2013 *Buffalo News* article called “Refugees Turn Hard Work into Better Future through Programs Here” are divided between those that show support of refugees and others that suggest some detriments or disadvantages to refugee resettlement in the United States. One comment reads, “People who’ve lived in war-torn countries sometimes have trouble assimilating into Western culture. A perfect example is the Somali immigrant who punched an infant in the face in Buffalo,” to which another commenter responded, “And what about all the Americans who punch kids in the face? …we can NOT make a generalization that refugees = punching infants in

[430] The largest local newspaper in Buffalo.
the face or other forms of violence. Violence is everywhere and not contained to one group.\textsuperscript{433}

The dichotomous nature of these comments suggests a divide in the Buffalo community’s perspectives of refugees.

The most commented upon recent article about refugees in the \textit{Buffalo News}, titled, “Refugees Get First Taste of Thanksgiving Traditions,” currently displays twenty-five comments.\textsuperscript{434} Five of these comments show overt support of refugees in the area, including one that begins, “Great article. Immigrants bring new life to cities.” Two comments (both from the same commenter) are ambiguous in their support of refugees, and the eighteen remaining comments speak negatively about refugees in Buffalo, though the same commenter, identified as “aldonco,” posted ten of these eighteen comments. None of the comments following this article appears to be written from a refugee perspective. Instead, the commenters frequently use pronouns such as “we” and “us” to represent Americans, and “they” to discuss refugees. Aldonco, for example, writes “The only problem is everyone of those refugees have a benefit cards [sic] on them and we are paying for them... I believe in charity but you have to deserve it. America can’t keeping [sic] giving the store away we are broke. Get you [sic] head out of your backside and think of our people first.” Aldonco’s repeated use of the inclusive pronouns “we” and “our” allows for a rhetorical fashioning an “in-group” of Americans for whom s/he acts as


\textsuperscript{434} Jay Rey, “Refugees Get First Taste of Thanksgiving Traditions,” \textit{Buffalo News}, November 23, 2013, http://www.buffalonews.com/city-region/refugees-get-first-taste-of-thanksgiving-traditions-20131123. Due to the informal, unedited nature of online comment forums, many of the comments following newspaper articles appear in vernacular language and include slang and a lack of punctuation. The examples will appear here exactly as they were posted in the forum; I will not edit their grammar or punctuation.
the spokesperson. Moreover, within these comments, aldonco characterizes all refugees in Buffalo according to some traits both explicit and metaphorical: they are “free loaders” who are “a drain on the whole population” and who believe it is “easier spending other people’s money” than supporting themselves. Aldonco does not reveal how this knowledge of refugees was acquired; rather, the source of this knowledge remains rhetorically invisible and therefore implicitly presumed. Throughout these responses, the use of inclusive pronouns testifies to Gerard Hauser’s notion that “Social actors are able to construct shared social realities…because they share a language of common meaning and a common reference world.”

This “sharing…of common meaning” becomes clear when we view instances of ideological group self-identification alongside instances in which respondents identify an “other,” or, a group who does not belong.

By addressing each other as members of an ideologically constructed in-group to take a collective stance against local refugees, the members of the aforementioned comment forums do not speak to refugees or invite refugee perspectives and opinions, but instead, create a rhetorical divide between who belongs and does not belong in the United States. Majid KhosraviNik has found that this imagined comradeship manifests widely in discourses of immigrants, in which “the in-group is predicated as being the people who are concerned about community relations and the out-group—immigrants—are implicitly referred to as the threat to that.”

Likewise, Joachim Trebbe and Philomen Schoenhagen argue, “perception of the other [always] includes

the perception of one’s own group and the feeling of being a part of this group.”

The available comments to articles in the Buffalo News about refugees reveal that digital media may foster both optimistic and hateful discourse about refugees in the U.S.

Despite the pervasive negative “attitude” Meghann reported encountering in local Buffalo news, she remains optimistic about her community’s acceptance of refugees. Meghann’s face-to-face encounters with Buffalo residents have been largely positive, leading her to believe that the xenophobia found in some of the incendiary newspaper comment forums “doesn’t really reflect the population” in Buffalo as a whole. She explained, “Overall you hear much more positive things about the refugee population. I hear all the time, you know—‘These streets


438 Meghann Perry, interview by Sarah Bishop, Buffalo, August 7, 2013, to be deposited in the Schlesinger Library at Harvard University.
After talking with Meghann, I began to ask other resettlement administrators in other areas whether they, too, had encountered some negative responses to articles about refugees in digital comment forums about refugees in the U.S.

Meghann’s suggestion that posting “ignorant” comments online is easier than talking face-to-face about one’s feelings towards refugees raises several pertinent questions. Are comment forums providing an outlet for opinions that are not discussed in face-to-face interactions? Does anonymity afford commenters more boldness, or does having to identify oneself online have no effect upon which comments appear and which are withheld? Finally, do people who are more knowledgeable about refugees demonstrate more acceptance towards them, as Meghann suggests? To address these questions we must gain a broader perspective of viewpoints on digital comment forums about refugees in the U.S.

Indeed, one of the five comments that demonstrates support of refugees after the aforementioned Rey article reads, “Immigrants bring new life to cities… they revitalize broken-down neighborhoods.”
local news. I soon learned that this widespread phenomenon is quite familiar to resettlement agencies across the U.S. and that—like Meghann—many of the resettlement administrators attribute the comments’ negativity to a lack of knowledge about who refugees are and why they are arriving in U.S. communities.

Kheir Mugwaneza, the Director of Community Assistance and Refugee Resettlement at a resettlement agency in Pittsburgh, told me he believes negative comments following news about refugees are a direct result of the Pittsburgh community’s ignorance about refugees. People living in the area have a tendency to place all incoming migrants in the “same basket—illegal, legal, [international] students,” Kheir explained, and this generalization perpetuates an indiscriminate prejudice. He lamented, “There are just some people who will never get it, no matter how hard you try.” Lily Alba, the Director of the International Institute of Los Angeles (IILA), echoed Kheir’s opinion. Though Lily believes that the Los Angeles community is, in general, welcoming to refugees, “There’s always that opposition from people—ignorance,” Lily explained. Early on in her career with IILA, Lily realized that “people didn’t know why refugees were coming.” Since then, she told me, “I feel like we’ve seen a positive change and more education.” Lily is confident that more education about refugees leads to more community acceptance, and for this purpose, the IILA website includes thorough descriptions of all of the institute’s refugee programs as well as multiple color photographs of refugees, including some that depict refugees arriving in the U.S., sitting in classes, playing with their children at a park, sharing a meal around a table, and performing music.440 Back in Buffalo, I asked Meghann if she too, believes that a positive correlation exists between knowledge and acceptance of refugees. She answered, “I hope so. I don’t know if I know that factually. I mean, it seems

440 http://www.iilosangeles.org/about/gallery/
absolutely logical, and that’s the assumption that we want to go—you know, that we work with. We have all kinds of awareness raising events. That’s how we do things. [But] how would I know if that’s actually true or not? I don’t know.” If knowledge about refugees does indeed lead to more acceptance, the expense of community awareness-raising events may be well worth it, but if not, the end goal of such events becomes more convoluted.

As a whole, the resettlement administrators I interviewed seemed hopeful that when members of the local communities do understand why refugees have resettled nearby, they are more likely to take a positive stance toward refugees in general. But resettlement administrators believe that the type of knowledge required for such a stance is, unfortunately too often lacking, and that even the kinds of descriptive websites and awareness-raising events that Lily and Meghann described are not enough. Working now as a caseworker for the refugee resettlement agency that helped him relocate from Nepal to Pittsburgh, Sancha is frustrated by negative portrayals of refugees in American media. When he spoke to me in February 2013, he remembered, “Around a month ago…we were on news, refugee people were on news, because people, local people at that place, they were not happy with the activities of refugees, because they had no idea, you know, from where refugee are coming, [or] who are the contact person[s] in the refugee community.” Some of the negativity in the comment forums following news about refugees could be prevented, Sancha believes, if Americans were more aware of other outlets where they could voice their concerns. He explained of individuals who complain about the “activities of refugees” that “before going to news media, they could have come to us”—meaning the staff at Jewish Family and Children’s Services—“you know, if they had idea that we are in the community. But they had no idea who is the contact person in that community, so they had no other solution than going to press.”
While Sancha’s reflection may seem generous, his response is similar to the other administrators who agree that generally, the more local individuals know about refugees, the more accepting and tolerant they will become in both words and action. Still, the question of the relationship of knowledge and acceptance is a tricky one, confounded by the ironic reality that in the instances explored here, the production of positive local news stories about refugees is the exact action that creates the public digital space in which intolerant comments about refugees exist and perpetuate. It is such phenomena that led Radha Hegde to observe, “As people cross borders, cultures are reinvented and mix on the street, but the language of assimilation and obligation to the mythos of national community ironically gains momentum.”

Likewise, Edward Said does not ascribe to the positive correlation between knowledge of others and acceptance at all, and instead argues that “Knowledge of subject races or Orientals is what makes their management easy and profitable; knowledge gives power, more power requires more knowledge, and so on in an increasingly profitable dialectic of information and control.” Indeed, the resettlement administrators’ opinions I have included above point to salient questions in local refugee contexts: is a community more likely to respond favorably toward refugees if they have more knowledge of the types of situations that lead to refugee displacement? If so, how can one explain the trend of local U.S. newspaper articles about refugees generating revanchist, incendiary comments about these populations? Moreover, if knowledge about refugees in the U.S. does indeed lead to more local acceptance, how can such a relationship be measured or

tracked? In the next section, I will attempt to address these questions by providing examples of instances where refugees and Americans respond to portrayals of members of their communities in American news journalism.

5.3 REFUGEES AND AMERICANS RESPOND TO NEWS ABOUT REFUGEES IN THE U.S.

The ways American media portrays refugees holds little significance without an understanding of the nature of refugees’ encounters with and responses to these portrayals, or recognition of the ways in which this discourse may affect the lived experiences of both refugees and American-born individuals. Indeed, representation in media is directly related to enculturation and integration of refugees, as it holds the power to influence the beliefs and behavior of individuals from both the majority and minority groups. Unfortunately, even false accusations in media representations about refugees may affect everything from a refugee’s ability to find a job to his or her acceptance in a social group. Much is at stake especially in instances in which mediated discourse stands in for any firsthand contact with a represented group, as is likely to be the case

443 One British study found that refugees negotiated their identities in response to themes of hostility that existed within UK media. Ivan Leudar, Jacqueline Hayes, Jiri Nekvapid, and Johanna Turner Baker, “Hostility Themes in Media, Community and Refugee Narratives,” Discourse & Society 19, no. 2 (2008): 187-221; Van Dijk observed that through representations of ethnic minorities in newspapers and television, a dominant group who controls the production of popular media is able to influence directly how less powerful groups are perceived by the public. See Teun A. Van Dijk, “New(s) Racism: A Discourse Analytic Approach” Ethnic Minorities and the Media, ed. Simon Cottle (Buckingham: Open University Press, 2000), 33-49.
444 For further evidence of this claim, see Trebbe and Schoenhagen, “Ethnic Minorites.”
for refugees who make up such a small percentage of the U.S. population. In addition to false or hyperbolic knowledge production regarding, for example, the number of refugees in a community or the influx’s affect, xenophobic narratives about refugees may cause American readers to be less likely to provide the community support that many local non-profit agencies depend on for the successful integration of refugees into a community. Moreover, as Leudar, Hayes, Nekvapil, and Turner Baker have proven in their work, refugees may negotiate their identities based on public opinion, such as the one comments following articles about refugees. Or they may presuppose that the incendiary comments available in such comment forums represent the overall feelings of the community at large, even when this is not the case.445 Media critic Teun van Dijk asserts, “Media discourse is the main source of people’s knowledge, attitudes, and ideologies.”446 To assess the process by which this sourcing occurs, however, one must consider carefully what media says about particular groups and what the portrayed groups themselves think, feel, and/or do about these portrayals. It is precisely because of the real-world implications of media about refugees that further examination of refugee related discourse is necessary.

That positive articles about refugees in local news that are often followed by revanchist, hateful comments in online forums was of particular concern to the refugee narrators I interviewed and contests the notion that intercultural contact through media and toleration are always positively correlated. In fact, I suggest instead that the exposure of refugee related activities in local news might in some cases perpetuate the hate and prejudice refugees in America encounter. The breadth of this phenomenon necessitates an examination both broad in

445 Ivan Leudar et al., “Hostility Themes.”
446 Ibid., 39.
scope and detailed in its analysis of the style and context of the portrayals themselves. For breadth I will look to the interviews I completed in eight cities across the U.S., as well as some scholarship on the public sphere that will shed light on this related area of inquiry. For detail and specificity, I will draw to the fore a few examples of articles about refugees with comments—brought to my attention by the narrators I interviewed—so that the reader can gain insight into the typical content of such media and so that I can provide an analysis of the ways that the language, format, style and composition of these texts reveal and conceal particular ideologies and perspectives. Not all articles about refugees in the U.S. are the same, and I will not attempt to describe the portrayal of refugees in U.S. news as a whole. Instead, my main concern has to do with the relevance of these texts for the refugees I interviewed. Thus, in this section, I utilize a mixed-methods approach to analyze some of portrayals of refugees in news in more detail. The narrators demonstrate how these articles and comments affect their sense of belonging and/or their understanding of American perceptions of refugees.

Refugee narrators I interviewed in Los Angeles, San Diego, Pittsburgh, Erie, and Houston all reported encountering stories about members of their own populations in local U.S. media, and explained that because these encounters do not occur frequently, these stories stand out as notable. These stories are often shared on community blogs or by way of a listserv specific to a certain immigrant population. Several of the refugees I interviewed in these cities were aware of the trend of strongly worded reader comments posted on news websites under corresponding articles about refugees. Digital media critic Jaime Loke suggests that online comment forums following news articles involving cultural difference cause a blurring of the previously mutually exclusive spheres of public and private space. Loke’s work applies here directly, as she argues that the recent push for political correctness in the United States “may
have taken away public expressions of bigotry, but the advent of this new space [for online responses to news] is allowing the public’s genuine emotions to be amplified publicly without fear of repercussions.”\textsuperscript{447} By considering carefully instances of these public expressions of emotion, one can better understand their nature, purpose, and implications.

One such instance that left a deep impression on a few of the narrators I interviewed in Pittsburgh occurred shortly after Diana Nelson Jones’ 2012 article was published on the Pittsburgh Post-Gazette’s website. Pittsburgh is a post-industrial city with a long history of working class immigration, and of labor conflict. The end of the booming steel industry in the area in the early 1980s spelled the end of the relatively high blue-collar wage locally and led to a rise in unemployment. Jones’ article, entitled, “Carrick Home for Ethnic Nepali Refugees,” focuses on the ways in which Carrick, a neighborhood in Pittsburgh that was the site of former steel mills and is still suffering from the mills’ closures, has “attracted the largest population of ethnic Nepali refugees from Bhutan of any in the city,” and includes some positive quotes from community members who are living alongside the refugees.\textsuperscript{448} For instance, Matthew Onega, a teacher at the Greater Pittsburgh Literacy Council, believes the refugees have proven to be “hard-working, interested and committed” to their jobs. Later in the article, local Councilwoman Natalia Rudiak observes, “Who's to say that one of these Nepali kids might not be a future councilperson?” The author quotes an owner of a local shoe repair business in Carrick, saying, “They [the refugees] seem to be great family people.” While this positive exposure in the largest local newspaper in Pittsburgh may appear to be a broader testament to that community’s overall

acceptance of refugees who have settled in the area, it took only a brief glance at the comment section below the article for me to see why the narrators had found this piece of media so memorable. As of July 1, 2013, the responses following this article numbered 122. Notably, while a few commenters speak in defense of the Nepali refugees living in Carrick, only one of the 122 comments speaks from a first-person Nepali perspective. Phuyel Bhanu, whose comment appears second to last in the string of comments to Jones’ article, stands out. First, while all of the previous 120 comments were posted over the four days immediately following the digital publication of Jones’ article in September 2012, Bhanu’s comment was posted about five months later, on February 12, 2013. Moreover, whereas some of the other comments received as many as thirteen replies from other commenters, Bhanu’s comment received no replies. His comment reads, “good things come from good mind and bad things come from bad mind. depends on your eyes, how you see. you can explain in any ways you want. But the reality matters. Carrick has been a good place for us. people are very helpful and they love us. those who understand us love us.”

This inclusion of only a single refugee voice in the 122 comments following Jones’ article points to a unique rhetorical aspect of this public: though both the article and the comments speak about refugees, they never speak to or invite refugee perspectives. In fact, through the use of exclusive, ideological pronouns that manufacture a rhetorical divide between ”us” and “them,” as well as displays of patriotism, the responses accomplish the opposite.

449 In October 2013, the Post-Gazette transitioned to a new content management system that was not able to accommodate comments to articles that had been published before the transition. Mila Sanina, assistant managing editor at the Post-Gazette, confirmed to me by email (January 30, 2014) that this was the reason why the reader comments following Jones’ article are no longer accessible.
Sara McKinnon explains that in cases of refugees seeking asylum in the U.S., public discourse often constructs America as a “home” in which refugees are “guests.”\textsuperscript{450} In this view, “guests are made coherent in their relation to global capital along lines of productivity and the threat of reproductivity”—or, the propagation and spreading of some unwanted groups, cultures, or ideas.\textsuperscript{451} That is, a “productive” guest presents his or her efforts for self-sufficiency or entrepreneurship in the midst of hardship as a “gift” to the “home,” which offsets his or her status as a burden. Refugees, however, by nature of their need for asylum, McKinnon explains, arrive without gifts, and moreover, produce the threat of reproductivity. \textit{Post-Gazette} commenters on the Jones article, such as Ramona Klien, speak to this threat explicitly. Klien is clear that the source of her knowledge comes from firsthand experience and is seemingly sure that this firsthand experience provides her with the confidence to speak about the characteristics of “all” of the refugees in Carrick. She writes, “I have had the opportunity to see the culture that moved in and yes they are all on welfare and have lots of children and having more by the minute.” The \textit{Post-Gazette} commenters relate the threat of reproduction with the fear of a home that is overtaken by outsiders and transformed as a result. This fear manifests in additional comments that describe how “these people” “take over” Pittsburgh neighborhoods and appear “everywhere.”\textsuperscript{452} For example, Daniel Trzeciak posted,
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I work very hard for the money that I earn and happily pay those taxes. I'm sorry, but I want that money to go to our own citizens. The ones who deserve it and need it. Not the leaches that milk the system or the agencies that create that possibility for others. Call that racist if you want. I call it Patriotism. …These apartment buildings look like refugee camps, not a new beginning in America.

Here, Trzeciak implies not only knowledge of the appearance of refugee camps, but also a preconceived impression of what a new beginning in America should look like. John Sayenga too, defends a nostalgic memory of an uncorrupted home. He posted, “It was a great place for a long time. …Those days are gone and never to return.” Radha Hegde suggests that this type of “appealing through abstractions to a lost homogeneity” is a common strategy in xenophobic narratives, and these comments speak to Gerard Hauser’s suggestion that “Cultural narratives [are] used for more than inspiration; they [are] a vernacular source of models for the type of society to which [a community] wish[s] to belong.” Through wistful descriptions of an uncorrupted home, Post-Gazette commenters pay homage to an ideological cultural narrative of an America that existed before an influx of migrants.

While a few commenters decry other commenters’ negativity (i.e. commenter Jonathan Chappel wrote, “These comments are ridiculous. Trace your lineage and you will find out that your family were struggling immigrants too”), the majority of the responses assert a negative,

fearful or hateful view of refugees, and several commenters declare an unwillingness to cohabitate the neighborhood of Carrick alongside the current refugee population.

Daniel Trzeciak wrote,

This article makes it sound like a great thing, but it’s not. These people are an eyesore and are making Carrick look even worse than it already is. They are cramped into apartment buildings to a disgusting level. And I’m sorry, but to say that these people are not on welfare is a bunch of BS. I see them in the grocery store using their Access cards all the time. I don’t mind that they look different, dress different and I can tolerate the fact that they don’t speak English, but they do not enhance the community in any way at all. You can drive on Brownsville road and see many of them wondering the streets or standing on the sidewalk all day long, every day. These apartment buildings look like refugee camps, not a new beginning in America.

Another commenter, Candi Richards, posted,

[T]he foreigners who can’t speak English and don’t even try, can live on welfare and get everything handed to them including our American children’s school slots. It is so frustrating. I understand this is the land of foreigners but when my great grandparents came over here from Ireland, they weren’t handed anything. […] It really disgusts me seeing how they live in those apartment buildings because I can see that they all just sit around in the grass all day long, none of them working or
trying to make a living in any way whatsoever... but good hardworking people like my husband can’t get help for anything because basically, we are white americans. if we were foreigner we would get the world handed to us and our children... we are american born and raised... PROUD AMERICANS, so we shouldn’t be outcasted or forced to pay more because of it.

Commenter Jim Ernst wrote, “We must fix what is broken NOW, before it gets worse,” to which Michelle Soski Gregory responded, “Amen Jim!!!!!!!!!!!!!!! Close down the borders!” Notably, even this small sample reveals staunch implicit assumptions regarding a number of cultural issues, including race, number of persons per household, public assistance, loitering, language, unequal access to education, and judgments regarding normative work hours and an implicit conclusion about who belongs in “America.” Benedict Anderson suggests that in order to grasp what goes on in any invoking of “the idea of America,” we must recognize that the

455 A link to the Post-Gazette’s commenting policy (2013) appears under each digital article to which readers are invited to respond. It begins: “We invite you to add your comments, and we encourage a thoughtful, open and lively exchange of ideas and information at post-gazette.com. Some content and comments will generate heated discussions, but we expect—and require—that the tone of the commentary be civil and respectful. Your comments will not be screened or edited before they appear on our website. We will, however, monitor and review your postings. We reserve the right to remove any comment that the community reports as abusive or the staff at post-gazette.com determines is inappropriate or offensive (p. 1).” after examining the Post-Gazette’s “Commenting Policy,” which reveals that the newspaper “reserves the right to remove any comment that the community reports as abusive or the staff at post-gazette.com determines is inappropriate or offensive,” I corresponded by email with the article’s author who confirmed that none of the comments following this article had been deleted by staff at the Post-Gazette. That the Post-Gazette pledges to “monitor and review” all comments could theoretically speak to an important notion of surveillance in this group. However, it is clear from the direct discrepancy between the policy—which forbids “personal attacks, obscenity, vulgarity, profanity or ethnic or racial slurs”—and the comments to Jones’ article—including one which states, “I also pray […] that apartment building burns to the ground and you didn’t get there in time to save the leaches of the system that you and Obama support”—that the promise for surveillance in this case is not facilitating a successful panopticon.
process of constructing a national consciousness is far from neutral in its ideology. Rather, boundary drawing and nationalism are the results of strategic webs of political desire, religious history, and intricate, socially-constructed networks of domination and subordination.\textsuperscript{456} While these networks may be manifest institutionally or politically, they might also appear in individuals’ narratives and imaginations, as the above comments suggest.

The few positive responses to this article defended the refugees from these hateful comments, like that of Robyn Juergen Perhach, who simply posted, “Your ancestors were immigrants too.” Jennifer England added that “It is our duty as human beings to help them. This is your chance to step up and be a good, compassionate person reflecting the ideals that we often purport to hold.” Still, it is clear that the xenophobic comments were particularly impactful for the narrators I interviewed. Kheir was the first to make me aware of the Jones article, and he remembered that shortly after it was published, some of the refugee caseworkers at his resettlement agency came to him and asked whether they should respond. Kheir advised against it, suggesting instead that they focus their efforts on other outlets for positive community education about refugees.

After my conversation with Kheir, I had the opportunity to speak with Tek, who also lives in Pittsburgh, and who had been featured in three local Pittsburgh news articles, including one in the \textit{Post-Gazette} called “Pittsburgh’s New Immigrants Equal Brain Gain.” In it, author Christine O’Toole praises Tek and his family for their hard work and determination.\textsuperscript{457} Tek was very aware that the comments following the articles in which he is featured are not exclusively
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negative. Rather, he observed, “There are mixed comments. Some people, maybe they didn’t know maybe how the refugees get here, and how they are benefited through the federal government…some people they have really good idea [and] some people they are curious to meet the refugees and learn about their culture.” Even so, Tek is troubled by the consistency of negative comments about refugees that appear in online forums. He told me, “People have the freedom to like speak or express [their opinions] but if they want to make it public comment [it would be] better if they, like, try to understand the situation before making a comment. That would be better.” Notably, while Tek is keenly aware of the ways members of his own community are stereotyped, he refuses to respond in kind. “I don’t blame everyone,” he told me, “but some people I think that that they have a kind of like racial hate or something like that. So those kind of people they make those kind of comment I think. But it is not everyone, so I don’t blame everyone.” I encountered what turned out to be a revealing theme among my participants when I asked Tek if he ever responded when he encountered negative comments in these forums. He answered,

I don’t write back. I don’t want to write back immediately, because I want to see what people think of me, and what correction I have to make and if it is possible, I will try to correct myself, and if not, then let it go because I cannot change those people who already have negative impression about foreigners. If I try to, like, write back to them, maybe the situation will get more worse.

Tek’s desire to “see” and potentially correct the ways in which Americans see him and/or other refugees by interpreting the comments found in this media speaks to the ways in which media
encounters like these directly inform refugees’ evolving understanding of their place in U.S. society, as well as the means through which they may attempt to negotiate their place. I asked Tek why he agreed to be interviewed for the three articles that featured him. He replied, “Just to let the people know who refugees are and how they got here and what every decent qualities they have [and] what they can do. Just to publicize all the refugees. I mean it was done by my wish. I was not [obligated] or anything like that.” When I followed up with the question, “What do you think will happen if people know more about refugees?” Tek answered, “Maybe somewhat they may change positively, like they may have positive impact, and they will learn also. So if like different companies knows about refugees then they hire more refugees so that that will be helpful for the refugees.” While Tek expressed wariness about responding to repugnant comments above, here, his views echo those espoused by the resettlement narrators that I discussed in the last section who have faith that knowledge about refugees will lead to more local acceptance.

Balaram, a Bhutanese refugee living in Pittsburgh, had been made aware of the aforementioned Jones article by way of a Bhutanese community listserv on “Google Groups” that often circulates any local articles featuring or regarding the local Bhutanese community. “We have a Google Groups that is shared between all the Bhutanese in the Unites States,” Balaram explained. “So if we find an article about a Bhutanese that is written in any newspaper, we’ll just copy that link and, you know, send it, share it, so that everybody reads that one.” Balaram told me he receives emails from this group with links to news about refugees at least once a week, and that they range in subject from “success stories” to articles reporting tragic accidents involving refugees. The articles that Balaram receives are usually accompanied by comments, which he always reads. He told me, “The comments we have been reading are good
comments, except for the last two articles we have gone through that was posted in some local online news. There had pretty bad comments on refugees—Bhutanese refugees, you know, so that was—the comments are all negative, so.” I asked Balaram if he could remember what some of the comments said. He responded,

Some of the things that we are of course remembering are like, “Refugees are the one that—these people are the one that brought bedbugs and roaches to Pittsburgh”…some of the comments were the other comments were like, they were saying, in the Carrick area, that the area had been degraded, the standard of the place had been degraded, it has become dirtier.

Like Tek, Balaram feared that responding to negative digital comments about his community would have an adverse effect. Referencing the aforementioned Post-Gazette article by Jones, Balaram told me that he knew that of all the comments, only one was posted by an individual from Bhutan, who lived in another state. 458 I asked him why he thought Bhutanese people were not commenting back or defending themselves. “I would not comment, because, I didn’t want to,” Balaram explained. “If I comment, then they are going to, again, put on other comments, so that way its gonna grow…If you don’t defend, then it will stop, but if you comment than it goes on and on, so that is why we don’t comment, or like to comment, that’s why our friends say ‘Don’t comment.’” This refugee community’s collective decision to refrain from commenting because of increased adverse repercussions is somewhat perplexing considering the belief—evident in my interviews with both refugees and administrators as I showed above—that

458 Phuyel Bhanu, whose comment appears on an earlier page in this chapter.
increased community knowledge about refugees leads to more acceptance. One may assume that faith in the positive correlation between knowledge and acceptance would lead refugees to respond frequently to digital negativity about refugees, but the Bhutanese community in Pittsburgh has taken the opposite approach. The absence of refugee voices in comment forums following articles about refugees may be explained in part by some lack of internet access or technological skill in responding online. But as the narrators above reveal, refugees may also refrain from participation because they anticipate additional negative backlash.

In Houston, Texas, Rand, told me about her own involvement with the local newspaper: “I remember in 2009, I was interviewed as a refugee from Iraq, in [The] Houston Chronicle…about how hard life is here in United States of America, because the assistance is for the limited time, and you know, the competition in the job is very high.” The 2009 article, titled “Refugees Struggle Refugees Struggle to Find Work as Houston Economy Slows,” features a close-up photograph of Rand in profile, clasped hands holding a tissue to her face, a tear rolling down her cheek. The author of the article, Susan Carroll, reflects on the effects of the 2008 financial downturn on refugees, and explains how Rand and her husband, both of whom have doctorates in political science, became discouraged when they were denied work after applying for jobs at a local Houston Wal-Mart and Target. Because Rand’s insights about this article are revealing, I will quote from the transcript of our conversation at some length:

Sarah: Do you remember, did [the Houston Chronicle] post that article online, on their website?

Rand: Yeah; I read it.
Sarah: You read it online?
Rand: Mm-hm.
Sarah: And did anyone comment on the article?
Rand: The comment was so harsh.
Sarah: What do you mean?
Rand: Hostile. They said, “Well, if you don’t like it, you can go back.” I mean, one person said, “We are like generous to offer a shelter for you, so if you don’t like it, you don’t have to stay here. You can go back.”
Sarah: Did you respond?
Rand: No.
Sarah: Why not?
Rand: Well, I think I don’t have the English ability to respond in a proper way.
[Pause]
Sarah: Is that the only reason?
Rand: Yeah, I think so.
Sarah: We have had some of the same problem in Pittsburgh. There are positive stories about refugees in the local newspaper, but the comments are bad; they’re negative. Why do you think those comments happen?
Rand: Well, because people [sigh]—now I understand that, now after being in America for five years. People doesn’t know what’s happening in Iraq. So

460 The comments that Rand remembers following this article no longer appear on the article’s webpage, and so I was unable to confirm the comments’ content.
[Americans], they be thinking they’ve been generous, to offer, like, shelter…. They d[on]’t know why we left our country, and they—it’s not, the refugees don’t leave their countries because they want to, [it is] because this is the only option. They’re forced to leave their countries, you know. And violence was used by American governments to solve the problem in Iraq, and we’ve been the victim of that, so we’ve been victimized. You know, we think that there is other peaceful ways to help solve the problem, in order to avoid the massive civilian victims in Iraq, because of the violence. And the people, like civil[ian] people, doesn’t deserve that…. So it’s also not our fault, you know…. The situation was harsh, brutal, and a lot of people get killed, and a lot of innocent people get killed, because of the way they used the force, the military. And also, I think some of them, they believe that people, when they come as refugees, they don’t—they don’t want to be independent. So they are not willing to work. They only want to live on public assistance, like public housing, food stamps, Medicaid. And [Americans] are paying for that from their tax, you know. So, I mean, it’s not fair for them. They are paying the tax. But at the same time, it’s not our fault. It’s the position that United States of America took, to invade Iraq. We lost our resources. We are from rich country who has a lot of oil, and a lot of money, and I would love to go back and work there! We don’t need to come to the United States of America, and make the American people pay for us, you know. But it’s happening.
Rand’s reflections on the way she was portrayed in the 2009 *Houston Chronicle* article and its corresponding comments reveal a broader thoughtfulness regarding U.S-Iraq relations, discontent regarding the place of Iraqi refugees in the U.S. in general, and nostalgia for her former home.

I am unsure of what to make of Rand’s suggestion that she did not have the “English ability to respond in a proper way” to the negative comments that followed the article about her. Earlier in our conversation, Rand had told me that growing up, her father took her on frequent trips to Europe. She also told me, “My families are very educated, so they like to read book and see movies, and interact with other people. And most of them are bilingual, so they speak English and Arabic.” She explained how she wants her son to be fluent in English, because “this is very important in our family. I mean, family, you have to know how to speak English, and understand, and read books.” Though I cannot say with confidence that Rand’s English was fluent when she was featured in this article—four years before I met her—I believe, based on the descriptions she gave of her background, family, and doctoral education in Baghdad, that she would have been able to respond to the *Houston Chronicle* comments in English with little trouble. Regardless of her reason for refraining from a response, Rand’s description of the reasons why Americans post such “harsh” comments adds a new layer of insight regarding the impetus for and implications of portrayals of refugees in U.S. media. Rand speaks directly to the reality that refugees may view negative comments from U.S.-born individuals as a small manifestation of a larger ignorance or lack of knowledge about refugees that may seem impossible to address wholly in a forum created for brief comments on single articles.

When readers of an article about refugees in the U.S. encounters comments that include no ostensible refugee perspectives, they may assume it is because refugees are not aware of the
article, do not have access to the internet, are not affected by negative comments, or do not care what their local communities think about refugees in the U.S. But the above narratives reveal that such is not the case. In fact, as seen in the last chapter, acquisition of and competence in digital media are among many refugees’ top priorities upon their arrival in the U.S., and, as is clear from the narrators above, many refugees care very much about how they are perceived within their communities.

Some of the other Iraqi refugees I interviewed in Los Angeles and San Diego echoed Rand’s frustration with Americans’ ignorance about the nature of the U.S. involvement in Iraq or knowledge or Iraq in general. Saif told me that many Americans he encounters “thought that most of the Iraqis are not educated, but there are many of the of Iraqi people that are educated, and that have higher degrees.”461 Zahraa noted a more general lack of awareness. “There are some people, they’re asking where I’m from,” she told me. “When I told them I’m from Iraq, they don’t know what is Iraq. So I was surprised. [laughs] Some people know about the war. And that’s all they know. And some—and the most, they don't know anything.”462 Moses equated the lack of knowledge in the U.S. about Iraq with a failure of the American educational system, but he was careful to qualify that he cannot speak about Americans’ knowledge as a whole. He explained, “Here the people—I’m talking couple of the people, you know—the people they don’t know the Iraqi; they don’t study here. In Baghdad, in Iraq, we studied the American people. Especially when you got to the school. They teach us about the America, the life in America. Here, they don’t know about the Iraqi. They thought about the Iraqis, they are savages.”463
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American ignorance about Iraq seemed especially insulting to some of the Iraqis I interviewed precisely because of what they believed to be skewed U.S. media representations of the country as a whole that occur because of the U.S. involvement in the war in Iraq. Indeed, the Iraqi refugees I interviewed do encounter more U.S. media representations of their home country than do refugees from Bhutan, Burma, or Somalia. But these portrayals lack consistency with the lives many of the Iraqi narrators lived in Iraq. For example, Fahad believes that Americans think there are no computers in Iraq and that Iraqis don’t have television or movies. “But that’s wrong,” Fahad emphasized. “Like all people there is very good at news like, very professional. There’s very intelligent people there.”464 I asked Fahad to hypothesize about why Americans may have this faulty impression of Iraq. He answered quickly, “Maybe because of the war, like, every movie [shows] the war. You see, like, there is nothing…it seems everything is desert, everything is war I think, yeah, like it’s a war tour, you know.” Amira agreed that emphasis on the war in Iraq in U.S. media produces a distorted view of Iraq for Americans. She assured me that as an Iraqi living in Los Angeles she does not usually experience prejudice from Americans. But she does encounter some uninformed curiosity. She explained,

When they know I am from Iraq, they start to talk—“How is Iraq? How is the country?”—especially they mention Sadaam Hussein. I said, “Okay, not everybody is [like him], it’s so nice country and everybody is, they are courteous.” Because, you know the media, when I watch media about Iraq, just

shows that political side, the war, the destroys things, but no, [Iraq] is so nice…so nice.  

Amira is glad to have the chance to clear up misconceptions about her home country, and patient with Americans who ask her about it. But because U.S. media depictions of Iraq are so consistent in their portrayals of a dangerous, war-torn, derelict country, these conversations are often frustrating. Other Iraqis also described their frustration that resulted from U.S. mediated portrayals of Iraq that they believed to be not only skewed, but sometimes mendacious. Hiba told me, “the other day I was watching [television], and [it] was talking about Iraq—it was the tenth year anniversary. Whatever [it] was saying, it wasn’t—whatever [it] was saying, it wasn't like—how to do I say this, there were some things that I didn’t believe cause it wasn’t real, cause I know what was going on back home.” The war-centric portrayals of Iraq in U.S. media disturbs these narrators, as this media seem to ignore altogether the beautiful, peaceful country that many of them fondly recall from their childhoods. These narrators are well familiar with media’s tendency to reveal only partial perspectives. Before their arrival in the U.S., many of them depended on U.S. films, television, or music to form some expectations about life in the United States. As I discussed in the last chapter, this group has reported disappointment—disproportionate to the other groups I interviewed—with the discrepancy between these media and their lived experience in the U.S. Now, their experiences with U.S. media’s portrayal of Iraq
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confirm for these narrators once more the lack of totality that is a constant presence in any mediated communication.

At the beginning of this section, I suggested that the ways American media portray refugees’ and their homelands holds little significance without an understanding of the nature of refugees encounters with and responses to these portrayals. As the narrators in the preceding pages have shown, these portrayals remain a salient part of refugees’ resettlement long after their arrival in the United States and serve to both inform and frustrate their refugee audiences.

5.4 MEDIA, LANGUAGE AND RELIGION

Of course, refugees do not only consume media that is specifically for or overtly about them or their home countries; they may seek out, consume, and interpret all kinds of different media produced for indiscriminate mass audiences. While sorting out the ways refugees use mass entertainment media for purposes related to ongoing resettlement may prove more difficult or complex, it is important not to overlook this phenomenon.

For many of the refugees I interviewed, media is key for both the improvement of English language skills, and the maintenance of one’s ethnic language. This latter function seemed especially important for refugees with children. While the previous chapter provided narratives of the relationship of media to English language learning, this section will include insights from narrators who reveal instances where certain types of media are sought out and consumed for the purposes of the learning or maintaining one’s ethnic language, and preserving one’s culture, religion, or ties to a former home.
I was intrigued to learn that for some of these refugee narrators, the desire to retain one’s first language is directly related to the preservation and maintenance of one’s religion. The interconnectedness of language and religion is well documented in the fields of linguistics and sociology.467 Sipra Mukerjee, for example, asserts that because religion is communicated through narratives like songs, prayers, holy texts and parables, “it is through the various forms of language that the living vitality of a community’s religious beliefs is passed down from generation to generation.”468 The narrators provide support for Mukerjee’s perspective by pointing to the importance of certain kinds of media in facilitating connection to one’s language and religion.

On a November afternoon in 2013, I interviewed Abreer, an Iraqi refugee living in Houston, Texas. Abreer is a Christian, and she arrived in Houston with her husband and three children in 2012 after a bomb explosion in a Christian church near their home in Baghdad caused them to feel unsafe. Upon her arrival in the U.S., Abreer continued to feel some trepidation about her surroundings. “It was scary cause of the ambiguity,” she avowed.469 When she told
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me that she was afraid to put her children in school in Houston, I asked Abreer if she could identify where her fear about the U.S. came from or why she felt nervous during her first days in the U.S. She explained that because Iraq is a Muslim country, “even though I am a Christian, we have some rules, some cultural thing…that girls and boys they must not contact with each other [or] have affairs.” These rules gave Abreer some measure of comfort in knowing that her children would not be allowed to engage in such activities. In the U.S., though, these rules were not enforced. Abreer had heard that there were some kids getting pregnant. In Iraq, she explained, “This thing, it’s illegal—I mean, not illegal—I’m telling you, we call it haram, it’s like a sin in our community, so I was scared of this...I was afraid my kids see this. Like male and female sharing one apartment without getting married—this is sin in our community.” At this point in our conversation, Abreer’s case manager, Wijdan, who is also from Iraq, and who was interpreting for me and Abreer, jumped in. Wijdan suggested that Abreer’s expectations about public displays of haram in the United States may have been formed from American films Abreer had seen in Iraq that exaggerated this likelihood. Wijdan said, “I told her that, ‘Don’t worry. [It’s] not what you see in films, Houston is—they call it a family state.’” I did not intend to interview Wijdan along with Abreer, but this pairing turned out to be serendipitous, as the two had some insights to offer regarding their understanding of the differences between their two religions. Wijdan is Muslim, and because she is not familiar with Christianity, she asked Abreer whether the haram caused her cultural or religious concern. But Abreer dismissed the question, explaining that haram is “a cultural thing and a religious thing;” the two cannot be separated. I was intrigued by Abreer and Wijdan’s discussion of the interrelatedness of culture and religion, and began asking both of them how the differences in their two religions may have affected their relocation process, or their current use of media.
As the three of us were talking about television, I asked Abreer whether she watched any American shows. She answered that though she has a television, she does not watch American programs. After interpreting Abreer’s answer, Wijdan asked if she could share her own opinion.

Can I tell you something? We, as Arabs, we prefer to put [on] Arabic channels. You know why? Cause we want our kids not forget Arabic language. Because they will learn English [in] school. We want them to keep Arabic language, so she [Abreer] is the same way—all the community, I’m telling you. We have like, channels—we buy package so that we can receive Arabic channels.

I asked Abreer and Wijdan if they could explain why it is so important to them that their children not forget Arabic. Wijdan answered first:

My reason may be different from [Abreer’s]. If you don’t mind, I can just explain it to you. Because I am Muslim—we have Qur’an, Qur’an is in Arabic. Doing the prayer—the salat—is in Arabic. So they have to keep Arabic language to do the prayer, to read the Qur’an. So if they forget the Arabic language, how could they be, I mean, worshipping God—being close to God?

Wijdan’s answer provides much insight into the tensions of multigenerational enculturation. Often, because of factors relating to public education and a children’s increased cognitive
capacity for language learning, immigrant children in the U.S. learn and/or begin to prefer to use English before their parents. Moreover, refugees are repeatedly encouraged by the U.S. government and resettlement agencies to learn English as soon as possible, and resettlement agencies often make free or low-cost ESL classes available to refugees for long after the other aspects of refugees’ involvement with their resettlement agencies has concluded. The United States Committee for Refugees and Immigrants promotes long-term English-language learning for refugees because, they assert, “Learning English is an essential step to becoming self-sufficient.” But Wijdan’s explanation of the inextricable nature of language and religion highlights why it is necessary to consider some refugees’ motivations for resisting speaking English at home. It also shows how refugees ensure that their children maintain fluency in their first language(s) with the help of transnational media.

After Wijdan explained the necessity of language to her religion, Abreer provided her own perspective. While Abreer does not need speak or read Arabic in order to practice
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Christianity, she too watches primarily Arabic television because she does not want her children to forget the language—“So they will not lose their roots, their Arabic roots. They are Arab; they have to be Arab to the end, even in they live in America, they have to keep their mother language.” Abreer spends about one hour a day watching Arabic news and serials on television, and like Wijdan, she purchased a package of more than twenty Arabic channels for her television after her arrival in Houston. This particular package cost $400 the first year, and $200 each subsequent year—a significant amount for refugees who may arrive with little money or who, like Abreer, have not been able to find jobs. It is clear based on my conversation with Wijdan and Abreer, however, that this program package’s value exceeds its monetary worth. It provides an invaluable connection to one’s “roots” by giving Iraqi refugees in Houston a link to their language, culture, or religion.

To provide context for the ways media ties into refugees’ religion and language use in the U.S., I must note that not all refugees want to preserve the religion they held in their former countries when they arrive in the United States. In fact, several studies have addressed a markedly high conversion rate of various refugee groups to Christianity or Judaism upon their arrival in the U.S.473 Mon Maya, a Bhutanese refugee I interviewed in Houston, Texas, helped me to understand the complexity of refugee religious conversion. She told me, “In Nepal I was

in Hindu religion, but in America I became a Christian."474 I asked Mon Maya why she decided to become a Christian after she arrived in the U.S. She answered, “All the people who are American are a Christian and [laughing] I also follow that religion.” I wasn’t sure whether Mon Maya was trying to communicate that she really believed all Americans are Christian, so I responded, “But there are Hindus in America too.” Mon Maya explained quickly, “I liked Christian[ity] in Nepal, but my family was not Christian.” Mon Maya’s narrative reveals some of the complexity involved in religion conversion and migration. In light of the insights I gained from the narrators, I believe religious conversion among refugees is a complicated phenomenon for at least four key reasons. First, religion is often a salient factor in refugees’ displacement. As Abreer described above, a refugee’s religion might make them more at risk for persecution. For example, Bhim “John” Monger,” a Bhutanese Christian refugee I met in Austin, Texas, was arrested by undercover Bhutanese police when he was found with a group of people in the woods participating in a religious celebration of Christmas. John remembers, “Because of practicing our Christianity in Bhutan, they took us to a dark room and beat us, they torture us, and then finally they ask us to leave the country or to deny our faith in Christ—to denounce the name of Jesus. And finally I said ‘I will not.’”475 The 1951 Refugee Convention—which provides the controlling definition that determines who may claim refugee status—provides that religious persecution may be the documented reason that an individual may be forced to flee their country of origin. The second complexity of religion and refugee resettlement has to do with the reality that the majority of local voluntary organizations that provide resettlement services to refugees in the U.S. are faith-based organizations, such as Jewish Family and Children’s Services and
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Catholic Charities.\textsuperscript{476} Third, federally-funded cultural orientation texts explicitly direct refugees to seek out faith-based organizations for needed assistance. For example, in a section entitled “Community Resources,” \textit{Welcome to the United States}, the orientation text discussed in Chapter Two, informs refugees that “Churches, mosques, synagogues, and other religious groups may…offer various services. Some have ESL classes for adults and some give away used clothing and furniture.”\textsuperscript{477} While the text reassures readers that “No one who uses these services has to participate in the group’s religious activities,” there is no widespread system in place to assure that such faith-based assistance providers are not encouraging refugees to participate in religious meetings, ceremonies, prayers, or conversations.\textsuperscript{478} In fact, some reports have documented instances of refugees participating in obligatory religious practices in order to receive faith-based organizations’ assistance.\textsuperscript{479} Finally, while most of the refugees I interviewed—and most of the refugees arriving to the United States in general—are Muslim, Hindu, or Buddhist, the majority of the faith-based voluntary resettlement agencies in the U.S. are Christian or Jewish.\textsuperscript{480} The presence of these four factors necessitates sustained attention that
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is outside of the purview of this project. Still, I include this mention for the sake of contextualization and so that we may recognize the relationship that refugees’ religion and religious conversion may have to media.

When I asked Indra, a Bhutanese refugee living in Austin, Texas, if he practiced any religion, he responded matter-of-factly: “I was Hindu in Nepal but here I am Christian.”\textsuperscript{481} Indra explained that his media intake is mostly comprised of watching Christian movies and listening to worship songs. I wondered if he could tell me the name of any of the movies he enjoys and he mentioned Mukti, a Nepali Christian film.\textsuperscript{482} Likewise, Sahro told me, “When [I] open the internet, usually when I’m want to go see something like my culture, my religion; I go there, and I see. And also I listen my music, and back home, all of our singers over there. So I choose one of them, and then while I wash the dishes, I listen that song. And I watch the dishes, or a clean the floor, something like that.”\textsuperscript{483} The songs, films, and websites that the narrators describe here may fulfill multiple functions at once; in addition to providing entertainment while one is relaxing or cleaning, they provide a familiar link to one’s language or religion.

The relationship between media, language and religion is a complex one, and, I believe, a thorough consideration of any one of these necessitates some attention to the other two. Indeed, as the narrators describe here, media may provide a direct connection to a refugee’s language or religion, or it may, conversely, threaten to sever existing ties to tradition through the introduction
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of new perspectives and ways of life. The narrators whose perspectives appear here provide insight into the reasons why refugees may actively resist the U.S. government’s insistence upon learning English as soon as possible, and the reasons why refugees may spend what may appear to be a superfluous amount of money on media technologies and packages even when living on relatively small incomes. The centrality of media to refugees’ language and religion reveals that while technologies like televisions and computers usually come with a sizeable price tag, refugees may perceive what these media offer in return as invaluable.

5.5 MEDIA, FRIENDS AND FAMILY

Beyond using media to keep connected to one’s language or religion, several of the refugees I interviewed revealed the means by which they take advantage of the increasing availability of media in international contexts in order to stay in touch with friends, family, or other members of their ethnic communities who are living in refugees’ home countries, countries of asylum, or even in other areas within the United States. In these instances, media may provide connections that allow one to simply keep in touch with old friends, to plan visits and reunions, to announce life changes like marriages or the birth of children, or even, as one narrator describes in this section, to cope with the sometimes overwhelming sense of isolation refugees may experience after their frequent contact with their resettlement agencies begins to wane.

Many refugees grew up in collectivist communities or lived in close quarters with extended family members in refugee camps before they were resettled to the U.S. Because countries and cities that accept refugees restrict the numbers due to a limited availability of resources, sometimes extended families are separated because members are resettled into
different cities or countries, or because some members are granted resettlement years before others. Moreover, as Julie Keown-Bomar has shown in her work, because of the ways that traditional family structures are disrupted and reconfigured in instances of involuntary relocation, refugees may develop “kinship networks” that extend beyond familial ties. These networks could be described as close friendships, though they resemble familial relationships in all ways except those that are biological.

While a few refugees mentioned connecting with friends and family from their home countries by phone, many more reported using Internet-based avenues for this purpose. Fahad, a refugee from Iraq who sought asylum in Jordan before arriving in Los Angeles, reaches his friends who still live in Jordan by using Viber—a free call, text, and picture-sharing application—on his iPhone. Saif, from Iraq, stays in contact with his family who are seeking Asylum in Dubai by Facebook, and Indra, from Bhutan, also uses this method. Buddhi, a Bhutanese refugee living in Pittsburgh, told me she misses her family in Nepal, but talks with them “on the computer, [and] sometimes phone.” Other refugees maintain contact with
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members of their ethnic communities by watching and commenting on YouTube films about their cultures. For example, living in San Diego with her children, a Somali refugee named Sahro Nor regularly watches short films about Somalis in America on YouTube that she finds by Googling “Sheeko Gaaban”—Somali for “short story.” Many of these films are produced by a company called Hagio Studio Production, range in duration from around eight to forty-five minutes, and depict Somali families living in the U.S. and the kinds of cultural challenges that sometimes face this population.

Sahro told me that the Sheeko Gaaban films help her cope with the disappointment and depression she has experienced since moving to the U.S. She explained, “I listen their story, because some of stories are similar, like [my own] feeling. So I listen to them.” She remembers one episode of Sheeko Gaaban in particular that addressed the tension between refugee parents and children. Since the film is in Somali, Sahro provided me with a synopsis:

[Some] children and [their] mother, they didn’t understand each other, so sometimes you can see the [mother], she say, “Do you pray, guys?” And the [children] say, “No, it’s 2014. We don’t carry that stuff. It’s the old time. We don’t—I’m listening to music. I’m listening to rap,” or something like that. And she get the broom, and she say, “Why you didn’t pray? Do you know how many times we pray in the morning?” They say, “Mm, two or three,” but really, they

---

Naficy, Home, Exile, Homeland: Film, Media, and the Politics of Place (New York: Routledge, 1999);  
489 Sahro Nor, interview by Sarah Bishop, San Diego, November 21, 2013, to be deposited in the Schlesinger Library at Harvard University.
messing with her head. But they know, but they messing with her head. So I watch that kind of episode.

Sahro helped me understand why this episode of *Sheeko Gaaban* is so relevant for Somali families in the U.S. Shaking her head, she explained, “Like before, always the children, they obey their parents. Mama say, Mama say, Mama say ‘Stay in the house.’ Mama say ‘Cooking.’ Mama say this. But no more Mama say! No more Daddy say! They do whatever they want. All that thing, that bridge is broken.” Sahro knows this problem is a complex one, made more complicated by differences in language comprehension between parents and children as well as perceived differences about what it even means to be a family. She described the nuance of this disconnect at length:

Sometimes you thinking, oh, these children…like—we didn’t we have any connection. The children speak English first, growing, and the mother and daddy, they didn’t speak English. Then, the children, they take the culture in here, adapt. And mama still remember her culture, how she grow, how she took care her parents, how she took care her sister and sibling. But here—nothing. Even when you tell the children, “Hey, if you see one of my family, my sister or my sister children, how you treat?” [But the children say,] “We don’t know, and we don’t care.” And so when they say like that, you really sad. Sometimes I say, “I want to send my family money.” And then [the children] say, “Why you send all that money? I need fancy shoes. I need fancy car. I want a fancy something.” I say, “Hey, this is—you have good life now. You safe. I have family who doesn’t live
safe area, who doesn’t have food, or fancy clothes. So I went to send them money.” So, it’s really conflicted. Culturally, the children and parent is not [in the] same shoes; it’s different.

Watching *Sheeko Gaaban* gives Sahro an opportunity to see iterations of the trials she experiences with her own family reflected on screen in scenarios to which she can easily relate. In her discussion of these films, she reveals several of the community-related challenges refugee parents and children face in the U.S. In addition to disparities in English-language comprehension (discussed in more detail in the last section), Sahro believes Somali children in the U.S. become enculturated more quickly than their parents—and perhaps too quickly—because they have fewer memories of life in Somalia and Kenya and less of an appreciation for their responsibility to their families. Moreover, many refugees experience constant tension regarding the amount of remittances they should send back to their countries of origin. As I discussed in the first chapter, because of increasing exposure to films, television shows, magazines, and other media, refugees in other parts of the world may assume that individuals living in the United States have more wealth than they could possibly need. Though many refugees struggle to make ends meet after they arrive in the U.S., friends and family members back home may begin to expect sizeable remittances because of these media-related assumptions.490 As Sahro reveals through her discussion of *Sheeko Gaaban*, the decision of how

much money to send back to one’s country of origin may be complicated by children who can no longer understand why such a process is necessary after they are enculturated into an individualistic local community where one’s immediate family takes precedence over extended familial ties.

Because Sahro wants her children to understand why these kinds of experiences and decisions are difficult for her, she shows her children the *Sheeko Gaaban* films, which often depict Somali refugee children undermining their parents’ authority. Sahro asks her children, “Did you want to become like that kind of family? That family is broken.” In this way, the films become a point of comparison for Sahro’s family to negotiate their place between their old and new cultures. She explained, “So always, we show—that reason I show them, to compare, you know.” The comparisons that *Sheeko Gaaban* make possible allow Sahro and her family to address negotiate their understanding of post-resettlement family relationships.

Even in cases where media does not lead directly to the kinds of explicit conversations Sahro describes, refugees may rely on films, television, music, books, or other media to negotiate their understanding of family during processes of ongoing resettlement in the U.S. For example, Sancha, from Bhutan, told me that while he does not watch much American television, he occasionally watches American news and a show he believes to be called “*All America Fun* or something.” Sancha explained, “There are some channels regarding family issues. Sometimes [children have] different father[s] or, you know, about divorce, [that] type of thing. To learn the

491 While no show on U.S. television is called “All America Fun,” Sancha may be referring to *America’s Funniest Home Videos*, a program by ABC Productions that showcases short humorous amateur videos created by individuals or families that compete for a grand prize in front of a live audience.
society of U.S., I see those channels.”⁴⁹² Though the writers and producers of the television shows Sancha watches likely did not create their programs with the intention of teaching involuntary migrants about U.S. society, Sancha’s shrewd viewing practices allow him to simultaneously enjoy the television he watches and to use particular shows as a means through which to learn about U.S. family structures.

Comparing Sahro and Sancha’s experiences allows insight into several facets of the relationship of media to family and community connections. Sahro reveals how media that is explicitly about refugee relationships in the U.S. may be used to negotiate one’s understanding and experience of family connections and structures in the U.S. But Sancha’s narrative shows that even media that just happens to include some depictions of family relationships in the U.S. as it addresses other subjects may be just as likely to be applied for this purpose. Taken together, these narratives provide us with some answers to David Morley’s question of “how particular people, in particular contexts, perceive the relevance (or irrelevance) of specific media technologies for their lives, and how they then choose to use those technologies - or ignore them, or indeed ‘bend’ them in some way, to a purpose for which they were not intended.”⁴⁹³ Refugees who participate in this “bending” reveal themselves as savvy, active audiences, and demonstrate the range of concerns and desires that media may be used to address.

5.6 CONCLUSION

This chapter has addressed the ways that refugees are portrayed in U.S. media, primarily the news, and how they interpret or respond to these portrayals. I explored the interaction of media, language and religion and demonstrated the ways that these three phenomena are inextricably linked in refugees’ lives. Finally, the narrators’ revealed the importance of media in maintaining or negotiating connections between their families and friends. Clearly, media remains a relevant resource for refugees to negotiate their sense of place in the United States several months and even years after their physical relocation, during the long processes of ongoing resettlement.

A view of resettlement as ongoing and long-term allows one to gain insight into the ways media may continue to serve refugees as a resource for enculturation or a means for connection one’s language, religion, or community. Moreover, with this view, we can understand how media may pose an unwanted challenge to refugees who wish to resist some facet of acculturation, or to avoid unwanted memories of past trauma.

In the preceding pages, the narrators described how media connects them to both past and present, home and homeland. This ability to transcend time and place through media is one manifestation of the perpetual state of hybridity that refugees experience during life after displacement. Their having to exist between two or more worlds as a result of forced displacement is fraught with challenges. After living in the U.S. for eight years, Rand described it this way: “We try to create balance inside ourselves, because it’s not easy. Are we belong—are we Iraqis now, or Americans? I don’t know. Actually, I don’t know. I’m not Iraqi
I’m not. But I don’t feel like I’m American. As refugees navigate their perpetual in-between-ness, media may serve as either obstacle or guide.

Rand (last name removed at the narrator’s request), interview by Sarah Bishop, Houston, November 13, 2013, to be deposited in the Schlesinger Library at Harvard University.
This dissertation highlights media’s role throughout refugee resettlement in the U.S. The narrators have demonstrated that the influence of media on relocation is far more wide-reaching than is currently reflected in the fields of media and resettlement studies. I have worked to show how examining refugees’ interpretation and negotiation of media can advance an understanding of media’s power and limitations in transnational contexts. I began this dissertation by interviewing a few resettlement administrators, who, when asked about refugees’ expectations upon their arrival in the U.S. pointed quickly to media’s role in creating in refugees’ minds a picture of an America that would later, in one sense or another, disappoint them. It was not until I spoke with refugees from Bhutan, Burma, Iraq and Somalia that I learned that the phenomenon the resettlement administrators described is only one small example among many that establishes the multifaceted and sustained roles media play throughout forced transnational relocation. Though these roles vary, depending on the narrators’ desires, skill, and interest, they all have tangible consequences that should not be overlooked.

I have tried to show that resettlement is an enduring, perpetual process; it does not begin when individuals board a plane, nor does it end when they arrive in a new home. Accordingly, I organized this dissertation chronologically to demonstrate the roles media plays throughout the different phases of resettlement. The lingering memories of media encountered during a refugee’s youth may follow him or her across an ocean and well into adulthood. After
introducing my topic and methodology, I began by investigating the ways refugees interpret U.S. media that they encounter long before their arrival in the United States, and how they may interpret these media as representations or distortions of the reality of life in the U.S. or use them to gain clues about the cultures and norms of U.S. life. This second chapter also explained how U.S. media may affect refugees’ decisions to apply for resettlement to the United States, and cause some apprehension or excitement in potential migrants about their future destinations. In Chapter Three, the narrators discussed the types of digital, print, and video media they were given in United Nations’ mandated pre-departure cultural orientations and/or in personal preparations for resettlement during the weeks leading up to their relocation. I provided a detailed analysis of the most widely used pre-departure orientation text, *Welcome to the United States: A Guidebook for Refugees*, so that the reader could consider, with me, the implications of such a text for its intended audience. In Chapter Four, I concentrated on refugees’ first days in the United States and showed how they compared what they learned about the U.S. in pre-arrival media encounters to the reality of their lived experiences upon resettlement. This chapter revealed the belief, made evident by many of the narrators, that some newly arrived refugees see the acquisition of media technology as integral to their success or wellbeing, even though resettlement agencies rarely are able to provide such technology to refugees. Chapter Four also chronicled how post-arrival orientation media represent the U.S. government, act as a means of standardization, and foster refugee deprivatization. The last chapter is devoted to narrators’ explanations of how they used media in processes of ongoing resettlement, interpreted instances where members of their community have been portrayed in U.S. media, and considered how these portrayals affect their sense of belonging or understandings of Americans’ perceptions about refugees. I analyzed several examples of such print media to reveal how messages
regarding belonging and/or nationalism may appear embedded within the texts’ style, language, and format. Finally, I explored the interaction of media, language, and religion to demonstrate the ways that these three phenomena are inextricably linked in refugees’ lives and explained the role of media in maintaining or negotiating connections between refugees’ families and friends.

I believe this work accomplishes several tasks, and the usefulness of each will depend on the readers’ expectations and desires. First and foremost, this work provides firsthand accounts from the top four incoming refugee groups as they describe, in their own words, the role of media in the progression and trials of resettlement. The narratives work to undercut the perception—evident across U.S. news and entertainment media—of refugees as a faceless wave of helpless outcasts, by demonstrating the ways they constantly employ agency and determination even in the midst of forced migration, and critically engage their new home. This work challenges those who believe that all refugees wish to come to the United States, or that they are all satisfied once they arrive.

This work reveals the differences that exist within the refugee community in terms of its range of interests in and uses of media, contentedness with life after resettlement, willingness to enculturate, and multiple other social and personal variables. Moreover, this dissertation allows for a consideration of the ways popular media—such as films, television shows, or newspaper articles—may inform refugees’ expectations about a future destination and, in turn, how these expectations impact refugees’ integration into U.S. cultures after their arrival. In this way, this dissertation provides a space for the continued future questioning of media’s (in)ability to affect a group of people in a particular way, and for a consideration of the multitude of responses a single piece of media may incite in a group whose members share some external circumstances in common. Indeed, an exploration of refugees’ media encounters may inform current popular
and scholarly knowledge about intercultural integration and the role of media in transnational migration more generally. Finally, this dissertation questions the benefits and detriments of government deprivatization in refugees’ lives by offering narrators’ responses to the multiple criteria for success laid out in government-produced media. It paves the way for continued future study of the multiple kinds of government media that are produced for and disseminated to immigrants both voluntary and forced.

While the narrators’ keen insights have allowed this dissertation to cover a good deal of ground, there was much that I was not able to do, and researchers whose interests lead them to this area of study will find several footholds throughout this work wherein they could begin or continue their own related investigations. Two areas of possible future research in particular have made themselves apparent as I pursued this venture. First, useful both to the expansion of scholarly understanding of resettlement’s relationship to media and to the understanding of those in positions of providing pre-resettlement resources to refugees would be a multi-cited, ethnographic account that examined not only what kinds of media are currently available in the world’s refugee camps, but also a political economy of who provides refugee camp media and why, who consumes refugee camp media, how frequently it is accessed, and for what purposes. Indeed, this addition to the scholarship could provide knowledge that would make clearer how refugee camp-based engagements with media vary from incoming forced migrants’ encounters outside refugee camps.

Secondly, this work did not attempt to consider in any detail the relationship of media refugees gaining U.S. citizenship, but such an investigation promises to be a fruitful for anyone willing to take it on. After five years of residence in the United States, refugees can apply for U.S. citizenship, and many resettlement organizations organize and host programs to aid with
this naturalization process. The media refugees consume and respond to both during this preparation and throughout the naturalization process itself is riddled with proclamations regarding the nature of both U.S. citizens and U.S. government that beg for critical attention. An interested researcher might start with the government-produced media provided to help potential citizens prepare for the necessary exam, and go from there.\footnote{To find these materials, visit http://www.uscis.gov/citizenship/learners/study-test.} Certainly, there is more work to be done than just in these two areas; any scholar compelled to investigate the interaction of media and migration will likely find that the trouble lies not in identifying a research project, but rather in narrowing the seemingly endless potential ways one might approach such a study.

This work is one of memory. It asks the reader to look back with the narrators onto histories of transition. Around the world, eight people flee their homes each minute in an attempt to escape terror, persecution, or war.\footnote{The United Nations Refugee Agency (UNHCR), \textit{Refugee Dilemma Videos}, available at http://www.unrefugees.org/site/c.lfIQKSOwFqG/b.8233077/k.57A4/Refugee_Dilemma_Videos.htm.} Thus, as I look back on the memories of the narrators contained in these pages, I am compelled also to look forward, and to remember Tek’s question: How will my research help refugees? In the way of pragmatic recommendations, I have a few. I am well aware that there are many more people willing to offer recommendations about refugee resettlement than there are those willing to expend the energy to implement such recommendations. Still, out of respect for and gratitude to the resettlement personnel who requested my recommendations at the conclusion of this project, I offer the following: (1) Because of the discrepancy in lifestyle between the U.S. media refugees encounter before their arrival and their firsthand experiences in the U.S., media’s exaggeration of opulence (and possibly violence) should be addressed more directly and with more sustained attention in pre-
departure orientations and orientation media. (2) Whenever possible, local U.S. resettlement agencies should provide a volunteer or staff member to guide refugees through post-arrival resettlement-related media, to gauge understanding and allow for interactive questioning and answering as a refugee reads through the material. Such a practice cannot safeguard completely against misunderstanding or frustration, but instead, may offer an opportunity for refugees to practice their language skills, share their concerns, or, as some of the narrators I interviewed mentioned, simply to share in a safe environment one’s concerns during what may otherwise be a lonely, disorienting time. (3) Though resettlement agencies are not required by the U.S. government to provide newly arriving refugees with televisions, cell phones, computers, or other media technologies, there should be a heightened awareness of the integral role these technologies, as well as English language acquisition, might play in establishing and negotiating refugees’ sense of belonging. If, as is most likely to be the case, resettlement agencies do not have the funding to purchase multiples of these technologies for all of their incoming refugees, perhaps they could provide a space where refugees could access a communal television, computer, or other media during particular hours with the help of a volunteer who could, if needed, teach a basic working knowledge of these technologies to those who may want it. Alternately, resettlement agencies might consider providing refugees with information regarding venues where they might be able to purchase used or discounted media technologies. Newly arriving refugees who see these technologies as a necessity may be unsure of the most cost-effective ways of acquiring them, and may run the risk of being compelled to spend more money than is necessary. I offer these recommendations with full knowledge that refugee resettlement administrators in the U.S. and abroad are already doing an almost impossible amount of work with shockingly limited resources.
For as long as wars are fought and natural disasters continue to increase in frequency, refugees will be forced from their homes into new, unfamiliar worlds where media will act as both help and hindrance. Likewise, media will continue to traverse international borders, and find its way into the imaginations of individuals living in this increasingly migratory world. Though the perpetuation of these phenomena is sure, only careful interrogation can reveal the scope of their impact.
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