The establishment of agricultural economies based upon domestic animals began independently in many parts of the world and led to both increases in human population size and the migration of people carrying domestic plants and animals. The precise circumstances of the earliest phases of these events remain mysterious given their antiquity and the fact that subsequent waves of migrants have often replaced the first. Through the use of more than 1,500 modern (including 151 previously uncharacterized specimens) and 18 ancient (representing six East Asian archeological sites) pig (*Sus scrofa*) DNA sequences sampled across East Asia, we provide evidence for the long-term genetic continuity between modern and ancient Chinese domestic pigs. Although the Chinese case for independent pig domestication is supported by both genetic and archaeological evidence, we discuss five additional (and possibly) independent domesticaions of indigenous wild boar populations: one in India, three in peninsular Southeast Asia, and one off the coast of Taiwan. Collectively, we refer to these instances as “cryptic domestication,” given the current lack of corroborating archaeological evidence. In addition, we demonstrate the existence of numerous populations of genetically distinct and widespread wild boar populations that have not contributed maternal genetic material to modern domestic stocks. The overall findings provide the most complete picture yet of pig evolution and domestication in East Asia, and generate testable hypotheses regarding the development and spread of early farmers in the Far East.
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The transition from hunting wild animals to stock-raising occurred in many places independently across the globe. In many cases, the combination of herding and agriculture spurred an increase in population size brought about by the advent of sedentary living, which in turn spurred demographic migration outward from centers of domestication and agricultural origin (1). An understanding of the locations, timing, and processes of domestication are therefore essential to understanding not only the roots of modern civilization, but also the migratory trajectories that have shaped the modern geography of human languages and cultures (2).

The study of domestication has been transformed by molecular data that have provided new insights, not least of which has been the general conclusion that animal domestication occurred more often and in more places than had been suggested by traditional archaeological evidence (3, 4). Recent publications have sought to further explore the domestication of pigs using both archaeological (5–8) and genetic approaches (9–14). These studies confirmed long-suspected separate domesticaions of differentiated subspecies of European and Asian wild boar, and revealed that wild boar from regions such as Italy (10) and India (10, 14) were also either domesticated or at least incorporated into domestic stock originally derived from regionally differentiated wild populations. A clear correlation between phylogenetic signals and geographic provenance also allows pigs to be important proxies of human dispersal. As such, they have already revealed the movement of domesticated *Sus scrofa* pigs into Neolithic Europe (9), as well as an unexpected (possibly Austronesian) trajectory connecting Southeast Asia to Oceania (11).

Recent studies in East Asia have highlighted the antiquity of the origins of agriculture and the domestication of plants and animals. Among current views are that early agricultural activities practiced by seasonally mobile cultivators focused on millets in northern China were well established along the Yellow River and Inner Mongolia by ~8,000 (cal) B.P. (5, 8, 15, 16), and domestication may have even begun 2,000 years earlier (17). In southern China, available evidence can be interpreted to suggest that it was sedentary hunter-gatherers (18) who first began cultivating rice along the Yangtze about 9,000–8,000 B.P., a process that culminated in the dependence upon domesticated rice agriculture by ~6,900 B.P. (19). Although dogs were likely the earliest domesticated animal in these regions, available zooarchaeological evidence has been interpreted to indicate that domestic pigs were prevalent in both northern and southern China by at least 8,000 B.P. (6, 7, 20). In both regions, however, pigs make up a small percentage of the earliest mammal bone assemblages that are instead dominated by remains of hunted deer (7, 21).

The extent to which pig domestication in each region was independent or connected by diffusion from a single origin remains to be established, although recent research based on complete mitochondrial genomes of East Asian pigs suggests that Chinese wild boar may have been domesticated independently in the Mekong and in the middle to downstream Yangtze regions (13), although the geographic boundaries of these regions were not defined. Current archaeological evidence suggests that once established, intensive, sedentary agriculture (including rice, millet, and pigs) expanded across various regions from Northeast Asia and Central China (see Table 1 for regional definitions) about 6,500–5,000 B.P., and southward from the Yangtze about 5,000–4,000 B.P. during the Late Neolithic (22, 23). The current understanding is that domesticated pigs and rice are also present in Thailand no earlier than ~4,000 B.P. (24), although the evidence for pigs is based upon traditional metrical analyses.
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re-evaluation of the data using more sophisticated morphological techniques (e.g., (25, 26)) is desirable because it may reveal an earlier appearance of domestic pigs in that region.

Genetic studies of pigs and wild boar from mainland East Asia have thus far been limited to analyses of modern samples, the conclusions of which have relied upon an assumption that past distributions can be extrapolated from present-day distributions. This assumption is risky given that throughout the Holocene, the geographic distribution of wild and domestic animals has varied dramatically as the result of numerous factors. Climatic fluctuations have resulted in significant range alterations among several large wild mammal species throughout Europe (27), and human hunting has created a modern patchwork of a formerly continuous brown bear population (28). Domestic populations have also experienced radical changes in their distributions. Frequencies of modern European cattle Y chromosome haplotypes, for example, bear little relation to Y haplotype frequencies derived from populations just a few hundred years old (29).

Multiple waves of human-mediated dispersal of other domesticates have created palimpsests of distinct mtDNA haplotypes, often rendering all but the most recent layer invisible. Chicken mtDNA haplotypes from Pacific islands have revealed a likely pattern of turnover resulting from successive waves of introduction (30). For pigs, an analysis of ancient material revealed an initial introduction of Near Eastern pigs into Europe, and their subsequent replacement by pigs domesticated from separate European wild boar populations—a pattern that would have remained invisible in an analysis that only made use of modern pigs (9). These examples show the power of natural processes and the human legacy of intervention to fundamentally alter the geographic distributions of wild and domestic animals, and they underscore the importance of including ancient specimens to obtain a more robust understanding of early patterns of domestication and human migration.

Results

Phylogenetic Analyses of East Asian Pigs. Despite the numerous additional samples and haplotypes included in this study, the general shape of the consensus Bayesian tree (drawn using an alignment of 662 bp of mtDNA control region sequence) is similar to that derived from previous studies (10, 11). The indigenous wild boar samples from ISEA and western Eurasia form well-supported clades that, relative to the group of East Asian samples focused upon here, are located in basal and derived positions respectively. The middle portion, defined by a large polytomy of clades and individual branches shown in Fig. 1, depicts samples from East Asia and forms the focus of this study.

Of the short unaffiliated branches, 45 haplotypes (representing 167 samples) are found only in wild specimens, 92 haplotypes (339 samples) are found only in domestic specimens, and 21 haplotypes are found in both 87 wild and 582 domestic pigs. One exceedingly long branch leads to a haplotype sequenced in a population of domestic pigs originally discovered on the small island of Lanyu near the southeastern coast of Taiwan (31). Seventeen clades consist of at least two haplotypes made up of either wild samples or a mix of wild and domestic samples. The 13 clades consisting only of wild samples are labeled W1–W13, and the four mixed clades are labeled MC1–MC4 (Fig. 1 and Fig. S1).

Contrasting the phylogenetic position of these samples with their geographic provenance reveals several interesting patterns, even though some GenBank samples do not possess specific geographic information (Tables S1–S5). First, the domestic specimens within the general cluster are from throughout East Asia, and many of them are the most common Asian pig haplotypes found in globally distributed modern breeds (11). In contrast, the wild and mixed haplotype specimens in the general cluster are found mostly in Central China, or in countries and provinces (e.g., Bhutan and Yunnan Province) that border Central China.

The geographic patterning of the specimens found in the 13 clades with only wild boar, together with those in the four mixed specimen clades, show a different pattern. Of the wild clades, four (W5–W8) are restricted to islands, including Japan, Okinawa, Taiwan, and the southern Chinese island of Hainan (although two samples in this specific clade are from the mainland). Three clades are found only in South Korea (W1–W3). One clade (W4) is restricted to Northeast Asia (not including South Korea), one is restricted to Central China (W13; although two samples are also found in northern Vietnam), and five clades (W9–W12) are found only in samples from the region known as the Indo-Burma Biodiversity Hotspot (32) (IBBH). Of those, several clades are found only in smaller-scale regions.

Three of the four clades that possess haplotypes found in both wild and domestic samples have been previously identified, and additional samples presented here have bolstered support for and increased the distribution of them all. The first clade (MC1), found in South Asia, includes both native wild boar and domestic pigs from India and Nepal (10, 14). The second clade (MC2), referred to elsewhere as the Pacific Clade (11), now includes six samples found in northern Vietnam, Yunnan Province, and Laos. Despite additional sampling of domestic pigs from Central China and the IBBH, the only domestic or feral pigs that belong to this clade are found in Oceania. The third clade (MC3), referred to previously as MTSEA given their mountainous and Southeast Asian distribution (14), consists of both wild and domestic samples found almost exclusively in the IBBH. The fourth clade (MC4) is also restricted to the IBBH and consists of a single wild boar from Vietnam and 13 domestic samples from two southern Chinese provinces. In addition to the phylogenetic tree, a network was drawn using only the modern samples (Fig. 2d and Fig. S2). Because missing sequence data cannot be incorporated into a network analysis, the alignment for the network consisted of fewer base pairs (378) to incorporate the maximum number of novel and GenBank samples. Despite this reduction, the pattern revealed in the tree and the network is consistent. The clades that consist solely of wild specimens are depicted as clusters of haplotypes on the right-hand side of the network, connected to the complex web of interconnected haplotypes on the left-hand side by inferred nodes. The sequences that make up the haplotypes on the left are the unaffiliated branches and differ from one another by a few frequently occurring mutations.
To incorporate the results of the 18 ancient samples from which we successfully generated reproducible DNA, a second network was constructed using a reduced alignment of 185 bp (Fig. 2B and Fig. S2). Two results from this second network based upon the smaller alignment are evident. First, although the truncation of the sequence length decreases the total number of haplotypes present in the network, the differentiation between the right- and left-hand sides of the network remains intact (Fig. 2B). Second, although three of the nine ancient haplotypes are novel, representing either previously inferred or new haplotypes positioned 1 bp from an existing haplotype, the six remaining haplotypes are identical to the most common haplotypes found within all modern East Asian pigs. In fact, the ancient samples derived from archaeological sites spanning 5,000 years possess five of the seven most common haplotypes shared by modern wild and domestic East Asian pigs. Despite this shared identity between many of the ancient and modern samples, the variability present within samples taken from the same site (Table S3) and the independent reproduction of the results (see Materials and Methods) suggests the sequences were generated from endogenous DNA.

**Discussion**

**Natural Dispersal of Wild Boar.** The modern distribution of wild boar in East Asia reflects the natural dispersal of Sus scrofa out of ISEA onto the Asian continent (10). The spread of wild boar into...
the modern islands of Taiwan, Lanyu, Japan, and the Ryukyu chain was likely facilitated by the formation of land bridges between the East Asian mainland and these islands during periods of low sea level during the Pleistocene (33). The reformation of the East China Sea disrupted gene flow, and these populations became genetically divergent from their mainland ancestors.

Gene flow restriction can also result from a complex topography, which may explain the high degree of endemism in the IBBH (34), a region that possesses a diverse range of plants (35), animals (32), and languages (36). More specifically, Yunnan Province has previously been shown to be the only place where all seven mtDNA clades that consist of both wild and domestic chickens currently exist (37), a result that suggests not only that the significant number of geographically distinct wild boar lineages in the IBBH is not unusual, but also that this region may harbor additional, undiscovered populations of genetically distinct wild suids.

The overall pattern of wild boar distribution suggests that it is unusual for a single region to play host to more than one mitochondrially defined population (Fig. S3). The presence of two distinct wild boar populations in India is therefore unusual, as is the presence in Central China of both W13 wild boar and those from the general cluster. Although the distributions and sizes of global wild boar populations have clearly been affected by humans, the unexpectedly strong phylogeographic signal demonstrated for wild boar (10) suggests that wild boar populations have proved more resilient than other wild progenitor species at maintaining their natural ranges. As a result, it is reasonable to suppose that the process of pig domestication in different regions began with the local population of wild boar.

**Pig Domestication in China.** Both genetic and archaeological evidence has demonstrated conclusively that pigs were domesticated in East Asia. The other possible instances of domestication discussed below thus far lack corroborating archaeological evidence, and we therefore refer to them as “cryptic domestication.” Despite the robust evidence in Central China, a lack of resolution has prevented any definitive conclusions to be drawn regarding the number of geographic origins and instances this process may have taken place (7, 21). The data presented in this study demonstrate that the most common modern domestic haplotypes found in Central China are also the most common Asian haplotypes found across East Asia, in Australian feral pigs, and in modern European and American breeds—the latter as a consequence of the 18th-century drive to improve European breeds by hybridizing them with imported Asian pigs (38, 39).

The lack of fine geographical resolution of the mtDNA d-loop data across Central China (probably resulting from both a lack of genetic differentiation between wild boar populations, and a history of human-mediated movement) precludes any conclusions regarding multiple centers of domestication within Central China. The future use of techniques such as multiple nuclear markers and shape analyses may provide the requisite resolution to address this question.

The position of ancient DNA sequences among the most common haplotypes on the network, however, suggests that modern Chinese pigs are the direct descendants of the original populations of domestic pigs sampled along the Yellow River. This evidence does not rule out a separate domestication of pigs in other parts of Central China, but it does demonstrate a long-term continuity between the wild boar and domestic pigs. In addition, neither modern nor ancient pig samples in this study share any genetic affinity with a clade of wild boar (W13) that is also present across Central China (Fig. S3). The combination of a shared geographical distribution but maintenance of a strict genetic differentiation suggests not only that domestic haplotypes have not leaked into wild populations, but also that neither ancient nor modern keepers of domesticated pigs made a lasting effort to incorporate the females of separate wild populations into their domestic stock.

**Cryptic Pig Domestication in South Asia.** A clade of wild and domestic pigs (MC1) made up of samples from across India and Nepal represents another regionally distinct input of wild boar into domesticated pigs (Fig. S3). At present, little is known about the history of pig domestication in India. Sus bones are a widespread but minor component of archaeological assemblages throughout India and Pakistan (40). An initial increase and subsequent rapid decrease of pig remains in successive periods at Mehrgarh in Pakistan raises the possibility that efforts were made to keep pigs during the late fourth millennium B.C., but were later abandoned (41). Because detailed morphometric evidence for separating wild and domesticated pigs in this region is not yet available (42, 43), the archaeological evidence is inconclusive. What the genetic evidence implies, however, is that modern Indian domestic pigs are derived from local wild boar. If pigs were introduced from East Asian or Near Eastern sources, the invading pigs must have mixed heavily with the indigenous wild populations.

**Cryptic Pig Domestication in Southeast Asia and the East China Sea.** There is currently no indication of domestic pigs in peninsular Southeast Asia (the IBBH) until the end fifth millennium BP when they appear alongside the first evidence of sedentary agriculture (24, 44). The genetic evidence, however, demonstrates that some breeds of domestic pig share haplotypes with three clades of differentiated wild boar that are currently found only in the IBBH, suggesting that wild boar from this region have been involved in domestication (Fig. S3).

Of the three clades in this region, the Pacific Clade (MC2) was discussed in a recent publication that presented evidence for a route taken by domestic pigs from mainland Asia through ISEA and into the Near then Remote Oceania (11). The sole sequences that rooted the pathway in mainland Asia were reported from two pigs from northern Vietnam (45). The number of wild boar from the IBBH
that fall into this clade has been extended by the discovery of one wild boar sample from Laos and three samples from Yunnan Province, China, all four of which possess Pacific Clade haplotypes. These samples expand the geographic range of wild boar possessing Pacific Clade signatures and add support to the hypothesis that the Pacific Clade is indigenous to peninsular Southeast Asia. This evidence supports the postulated Neolithic expansions of Austronesian language speakers along the major Southeast Asian rivers from Yunnan (46). The fact that no modern domestic pigs possessing Pacific Clade haplotypes have yet been found in mainland Asia is most likely a consequence of a replacement of native pigs by pigs introduced from Central China, a situation analogous to that seen in the Near East during later prehistory (9).

There have been several demographic expansions of agricultural populations into the IBBH that could have brought domestic pigs from Central China with them, including Austronesian speakers through ISEA and parts of the mainland coastal regions (47), post-Neolithic expansions of Sino-Tibetan speakers (47, 48), and Austro-Tai or Miao-Yao groups from Southern China (49). Importantly, the replacement did not extend beyond the IBBH, thus leaving intact populations of domestic Pacific Clade pigs on New Guinea and the Pacific Islands. This pattern also suggests that people carrying Pacific Clade domestic pigs left the IBBH before Central Chinese domestic pigs arrived.

Like the Pacific Clade, the MC3 (MTSEA) clade also possesses wild boar with unique signatures that are restricted to the IBBH (although a single anomalous wild boar from Taiwan also clusters in this group). Unlike the Pacific Clade, MC3 contains 66 domestic pigs scattered across the IBBH, and two pigs from Bhutan (Fig. S3). This pattern suggests another instance of the incorporation of IBBH wild boar into regionally restricted domestic pigs, although neither the time frame nor the mode of incorporation can be deduced. In addition to the two clades described herein, the discovery of four additional clades made up solely of wild boar within the IBBH (W9–W12) speaks to the complexity of suid evolution and domestication in this region.

All 14 samples (13 domestic and one wild) found in the MC4 clade are also found only in the IBBH (Fig. S3). Curiously, like the Pacific Clade, the only wild boar is found in Vietnam, whereas the domestic samples are all from either the adjacent Chinese provinces of Yunnan and Guangxi. This clade adds further weight to the suggestion that wild boar from this region were either domesticated or somehow incorporated into introduced domestic pigs.

Further east, a genetic survey of pigs originally found on the island of Lanyu off the east coast of Taiwan discovered a highly unusual haplotype (31, 50) that, despite its genetic distance, still clusters alongside other East Asian signatures. There are currently no wild boar on Lanyu, suggesting either that the wild ancestor of these pigs was endemic to Lanyu and has since been exterminated, or that Lanyu domestic pigs were derived from an as-yet-undiscovered population of wild boar whose genetic differentiation may be explained by rising and falling sea levels discussed previously. Further genetic and morphological analyses of these pigs may reveal the first instance of domestication of an island endemic.

Conclusions

The evidence presented here suggests the following evolutionary history of pigs in East Asia. Having originally evolved in ISEA, wild Sus scrofa migrated (without human assistance) across the Kra Isthmus on the Malay Peninsula into Mainland Asia. From here, they spread across the landscape and, after traveling over land bridges, onto the islands of Japan, the Ryukyu chain, Taiwan, and Lanyu where they evolved unique mitochondrial signatures. After millennia of hunting and gathering, a major biocultural transition occurred early in the Holocene during which human populations in East Asia domesticated a variety of plants and animals, including pigs. This process took place at least once in the Yellow River drainage basin where millet may have been first domesticated as early as 10,000 B.P. (17), and may have also taken place independently in the downstream Yangtze River region where rice may have been domesticated (8, 19). Two things are clear from the ancient DNA evidence presented here. First, unlike Europe, modern Chinese domestic pigs are the direct descendants of the first domestic pigs in this region. Second, despite the occurrence of a genetically distinct population of wild boar throughout modern China, this population has neither been incorporated into domestic stocks nor exterminated as a result of hunting or introgression with feral pigs. This genetic evidence also supports separate domestication pathways (however independent) of one population in India and three wild boar populations indigenous to Peninsular Southeast Asia. Given the relative geographic proximity of the Southeast Asian clades (Fig. S3), it is possible that the domesticated haplotypes were all present in a single population of wild boar, as is the case for modern Yaks (51). Regardless, only the Pacific Clade domestic pigs were transported out of Southeast Asia (to ISEA and the Pacific) before they were replaced in their homeland by domestic pigs derived from nonindigenous populations of wild boar. The domestic pigs derived from the second and third populations of wild boar are currently restricted to Peninsular Southeast Asia, and may have played a part in the local extermination of Pacific Clade domestic pigs. It is important to point out that these populations represent exceptions, and that many genetically distinct (and still extant) populations of wild boar exist throughout East Asia, the majority of which have never been part of a domestication process. More generally, these cryptic domestication processes in India and Southeast Asia are currently based only on genetic data, although new morphological techniques are available and should be used to test different domestication scenarios (26).

The eradication of Pacific Clade domestic pigs from Southeast Asia, like the eradication of Near Eastern domestic pigs from Europe, underscores the importance of ancient DNA in temporal narratives of domestication. Although domestic plants and animals form the basis of most sedentary societies, they also instigate demographic change, cultural expansion, and the formation of large-scale trade networks, all of which can significantly alter the distribution of biological organisms associated with them, often leading to a complete turnover of populations. The close mitochondrial affiliations of intraspecies populations also renders it difficult to identify phyleogeographic patterning at a regional scale, thus limiting our ability to spot independent domestication events. Still, the evidence presented here strongly suggests an intriguingly complex pattern of local domestication and regional turnover, and underscores the need for further integrated archaeological and genetic research.

Materials and Methods

Ancient and Modern Samples. A total of 48 ancient pig samples from six archaeological sites in the lower and middle Yellow River drainage basin of northern China (Fig. 1 inset) were subjected to strict protocols for extraction and replication (see SI Text). The bones ranged in age from 9,000 to 3,100 B.P., based upon a stratigraphic association with 14C dates of the contexts from which the bones were extracted. Domestication is a process (3), and here we use the terms wild and domestic loosely. Given the continuous nature of domestication (among other factors), a definitive status determination has not been possible, although several lines of preliminary evidence suggest that all of the ancient samples were derived from pigs that were undergoing, or had undergone significant morphological change as a result of their close relationships with humans (SI Text). Of the 48 bones, 18 yielded a 185-bp fragment of mitochondrial control region DNA amplified in two fragments. Details regarding the archaeological sites, the contexts from which the bones were recovered, and the full results of the DNA analysis can be found in Table S3. In addition, a 698-bp fragment was amplified from 151 domestic and wild pig samples across Central China, including 66 Chinese breeds (Table S1). Sequences from these samples were combined with 1,390 GenBank entries to generate a dataset comprising a total of 1,541 individual pigs representing at least 22 Chinese provinces and 15 additional countries in East Asia and the Pacific (Table S2).
For this study, we generated 151 mtDNA sequences from domestic and wild boar across East Asia and combined the results with more than 1,500 published sequences from GenBank. Details of these sequences are provided in Table S1, and GenBank sequences are provided in Table S2.

Table S3 lists all of the ancient specimens analyzed for this study and includes full provenance, context, and date information alongside the results of the genetic analysis. Dates have been determined in different manners for each of the sites. At Jiahu, radiocarbon dates of several samples were obtained and calibrated. At Wangchenggang, Taosi, and Wadian, dates were determined based on radiocarbon dating and associated stratigraphy and artifacts. Although 14C radiocarbon dates were obtained at either Gaohong or Guchengzhai, relative dates were calculated based upon stratigraphy and correlated cultural association determined by other sites possessing similar cultural layers.

Table S4 lists all of the names of the haplotypes and the samples included in each within the three alignments used to build the tree (Fig. 1), the network consisting of the modern samples (Fig. 2A), and the network that incorporates the ancient results (Fig. 2B). The code column corresponds to the sample identification code used in Tables S1 and S2. Table S5 lists all of the samples that correspond to the identified clades on the phylogenetic tree (Fig. 1), including the 13 clades that consist solely of wild boar, the four clades that possess both wild boar and domestic pigs, and the nine clades that are made up only of domestic pigs. In addition, this table also lists both the samples that possess specific provenance information and those that do not, all of whom are not affiliated with any of the described clades.

A larger version of the tree shown in Fig. 1 depicting the haplotype names on each of the tips is shown in Fig. S1. Fig. S2 depicts versions of the networks shown in Fig. 2 but includes the names of the haplotypes alongside the circles. Fig. S3 shows the locations of samples belong to the 13 wild clades, the four mixed clades, and the general cluster.

Ancient Samples. The 48 pig bones from the six archaeological sites were selected for this analysis by J.Y. Although a multitude of different techniques have been generally applied to archeological animal bones to determine their wild or domestic status, no single approach can provide a definitive ascertainment (see supporting discussion in ref. 1 for more information). For the purposes of this study, accurate status determinations are not necessary given both the genetic similarity between the ancient specimens (many of which are likely domestic) and many of the modern domestic and wild samples, and the fact that none of the ancient samples fell into the W13 clade that consists solely of modern wild boar from Central China, a result that may have suggested the bones were derived from wild boar.

This is not to say that status calls have not been attempted on the ancient material. Several lines of evidence collected from pig remains (including morphology, M3 size, and age distribution) suggest all of the samples excavated from Wadian (2) and Wangchenggang (3) are derived from domestic pigs. The results from the remains from Taosi, Guchengzhai, and Gaohong (not yet published) also suggest that pigs from these sites (including those included as part of this study) are also domestic. Last, the conclusion of one publication was that a definitive status determination of the samples from Jiahu was not possible using traditional techniques (4). A second publication claimed that wild and domestic pigs from Jiahu were identifiable (5), and a more recent analysis that employed a geometric morphometric approach concluded that although the pigs from Jiahu phase 1 (9,000–8,600 B.P.) were not unequivocally domestic, a clear domestication signature was obtained from pigs excavated from Jiahu phase 2 (8,600–8,200 B.P.) (6).

Ancient and Modern DNA Extraction. All pre-PCR work was carried out in a physically isolated laboratory dedicated to ancient DNA analysis at the China Agricultural University. After the surfaces of the bones and teeth were removed, 0.2–0.4 g of bone powder was generated by drilling into the material. Following digestion in a lysis buffer [100 mM Tris–Cl, 100 mM NaCl, 100 mM EDTA (pH 8.0), 0.5% SDS], the sample was incubated at room temperature overnight.

The tubes were then centrifuged at 10,000 rpm for 1 min, after which the supernatant was transferred to a tube containing 1 mL of a separate buffer (5 M KAc, 6 M LiCl), and then incubated for 1 h on ice. After centrifuging the samples at 12,000 rpm for 15 min, the supernatant was added to an equal volume of isopropanol and stored at −20 °C for at least 2 h.

Following a subsequent spin at 13,000 rpm for 1 h, the isopropanol was discarded and the precipitate was rinsed with 1 mL of 70% ethanol, dried, and dissolved in an appropriate volume of ddH2O. Several blank extractions were processed alongside the samples in the same manner.

Finally, a total of five samples representing the haplotype variation found in the ancient samples were sent to the Ancient DNA Laboratory at the Research Center for Chinese Frontier Archeology at Jilin University for independent replication using a modified extraction technique (7). The sequences obtained through independent extraction were identical to those generated in Beijing. Modern DNA extraction from ear tissues and blood followed the standard phenol–chloroform methods described previously (8).

PCR Methods. PCRs were set up using 25–μL volumes containing 1 U AmpliTaq Gold (Applied Biosystems), 1x PCR buffer attached with Ampli aq Gold, 3 mM Mg2+, 400 AmpliTaq Gold M dNTPs, 2 mg/mL BSA (Promega), 0.2 μL Escherichia coli UNG (Sigma), 0.5 μM forward and reverse primers, and 3–5 μL of DNA extract. Primers used to generate the modern sequences are as follows (listed 5′ to 3′): Dloop-L-ACTAACTCCGCATCACGAC and Dloop-R-TGGTTGCGCAAGGCCTTAGTATAG.

Primers used to generate the ancient sequences are as follows (listed 5′ to 3′): L99 – ACAATAATGCGCCCCAAA (97 bp with R196), R196-ATGCAATGGGAGACTAGCAGT, L1801-TGCTAGTCGCGATCATATAAA (179 bp with R358), and R358-CCTGCAAGGCGGTGCGTGG.

PCRs were run in a Mastercycler Personal PTC-200 (Bio-Rad) using the following cycling conditions: 37 °C for 10 min, 94 °C for 5 min, followed by 60 cycles of 94 °C for 45 s, 6 °C for 30 s, 72 °C for 30 s, and a final extension of 10 min at 72 °C. Amplifications of blank extractions and PCR blanks were performed in all experiments to monitor contamination.

Ancient PCR products were purified using QIAquick™ PCR (QIAGEN) and cloned using the Invitrogen Topo-TA cloning kit. The sequencing reaction was carried out on an ABI PRISM 3730 automated DNA sequencer (Applied Biosystems) using ABI Prism Big Dye Terminator v3.1 Cycle Sequencing kit. Five microliters of PCR product were then separated by electrophoresis on a 2% agarose gel (Bioest). Modern PCR products were purified using a QIAEX Gel Extraction Kit (QIAGEN) and were directly sequenced. The sequencing reaction was carried out on an ABI 310 automated DNA sequencing instrument.
sequencer (Applied Biosystems) using ABI Prism Big Dye Terminator v3.1 Cycle Sequencing kit. The primers used for amplification were also used for sequencing, and each fragment was sequenced in both directions. The obtained electropherograms were assembled to examine any base pair ambiguities using Chromas 2.22 (http://www.technelysium.com.au/).

Fig. S1. (Continued)
Fig. S1. A consensus phylogenetic tree spread over two pages depicting the relationships between the named clades and general cluster discussed in the text, a simplified version of which is shown in Fig. 1. Note that for space reasons, the scales of the branch lengths are different on each page. Clades made up of only domestic samples, only wild samples, and mixed clades, as well as haplotypes belonging to the general cluster, have been identified. Methods used to generate the tree are discussed in the main text.
Fig. S2. Two median-joining networks (in which node sizes are proportional to haplotype frequencies) depicting first (A) the relationships among 1,541 modern wild and domestic samples in a 378-bp alignment and second (B) the relative position of the ancient haplotypes after the archaeological samples were added to a reduced 185-bp alignment. Wild, domestic, and ancient samples are shown in black, white, and red respectively, and asterisks mark the locations of the ancient samples. The dashed black line dividing the networks correlates with the line shown in Fig. 1 demonstrating the consistent distinction between the general cluster and the wild and mixed haplotypes. The location of the Lanyu sequence (EA287) is shown here, as are small purple lines that indicate mutations along the branches. The numerous mutations separating the Lanyu sequence from all other East Asian sequences demonstrates its unique mitochondrial character. Inferred haplotypes are represented by small orange dots. Additional information about the haplotype names positioned to the left of the circles can be found in Tables S1–S5.
Fig. S3. (Continued)
Fig. S3.  (A) A series of 12 maps depicting the locations of the samples belonging to wild clades W1–W12, respectively. Numbers inside circles and triangles represent the total number of samples at that location. Triangles are used when the sample provenance information is at the level of city or region. Circles are used when the sample can only be assigned to a country or Chinese or Indian provenance. Green shapes represent wild samples. (B) A series of five maps depicting the locations of the samples belonging to wild clade W13 and mixed clades MC1–MC4, respectively. Numbers inside circles and triangles represent the total number of samples at that location. Triangles are used when the sample provenance information is at the level of city or region. Circles are used when the sample can only be assigned to a country or Chinese or Indian provenance. Green shapes represent wild samples, and yellow shapes represent domestic or known feral samples. Only the locations of the wild samples belonging to the Pacific clade (MC2) are shown in this figure. Locations of the Pacific clade domestic and feral samples can be found in ref. 9. (C) Two maps depicting the locations of the samples belonging to the general cluster. Numbers inside circles and triangles represent the total number of samples at that location. Green shapes represent wild samples, and yellow shapes represent domestic or known feral samples. The top map shows only those samples whose specific locations are known, and the bottom map depicts the remaining samples in the general cluster whose locations are only known down to the level of country or Chinese or Indian provenance.
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