The Diachronic Emergence of Retroflexion in Somali Bantu Kizigua

Holman Tse Univeristy of Pittsburgh Department of Linguistics <u>hbt3@pitt.edu</u>



Georgetown Univeristy Roundtable (GURT) / Annual Conference on African Linguistics (ACAL 44)

March 10, 2013



Presentation Overview

- **1.** Introduction
- 2. Data Sources
- 3. Retroflexion Defined
- 4. Retroflexion Illustrated
- **5.** Contact-Induced Change?
- 6. Internal Phonetic Motivation?
- 7. Conclusion: Both?

1. Somali Bantu Kizigua

- An under-described and under-researched dialect of Tanzanian Zigua (Bantu G31)
- Also known by its Somali name "Mushungulu" (or "Mushunguli")
- "Somali Bantu" (Besteman 2012) collective term for various minority groups who fled Somalia at the outbreak of the Civil War in the 1990's



89% lexical similarity with Tanzanian Kizigua based on Swadesh 100 List

1. Typologically Rare Retroflexion

Three types of retroflex stops

1. Voiced Implosive: c

- Found only in a handful of other languages (ex: Sindhi, Ngad'a, Moru-Madi, Dasenach, Oromo)
- Diachronic emergence discussed (Haudricourt 1950, Greenberg 1970, Ohala 1983)
- 2. Voiceless Pre-nasalized plosive: nt
 - No known studies on diachronic development
- 3. Voiced Pre-nasalized plosive: nd
 - No known studies on diachronic development

1. Research Questions

• The Big Question

• How did post-nasal retroflexion diachronically emerge in Somali Kizigua?

Two hypotheses to explore:

- **1.** Could contact have played a role?
- 2. Could internal phonetic motivation be involved?

2. Available Historical Data

- Missionary produced publications of late 19th century Tanzanian Kizigua
 3,517 word bilingual English dictionary (Kisbey 1906)
 - *The Zigula Exercises* (Kisbey 1897)
- Best approximation available of Kizigua at the time of migration to Somalia

2. Data on the present-day language

- 4 months of work with a consultant (21 year old female) as part of a Field Methods course
- Lexicon of approximately 700 words impressionistically transcribed
- Corpus of audio samples for about half of these words
 - 3 tokens of each word plus one in carrier phrase

2. Supplemental Data

3 additional speakers

o all male

- o between the ages of 22-30
- Similar migration histories
 - × Somalia → Kenya → US (in 2004)
- Recruited to confirm wider presence of various features
- Recordings made of selected words

Dave Odden's Mushunguli Website

- o <u>http://www.ling.ohio-state.edu/~odden/mushunguli/</u>
- Publically available audio files

3. Retroflexion Definition

Textbook Definition

Place of articulation between post-alveolar and palatal
Involves use of the tongue-tip (apical)

| | Alveolar | Post-alveolar | Retroflex | Palatal |
|--------------|----------|---------------|-----------|---------|
| Plosives | t d | | td | сј |
| Nasals | n | | η | n |
| Trills | r | | | |
| Taps / Flaps | ſ | | r | |
| Fricatives | s z | ∫3 | ş z | çj |

3. A Broader Definition

• Hamann & Fuchs (2010)

- tongue tip (apical) or tongue underside (subapical or sublaminal) against the alveolar, postalveolar, or palatal region
- co-occurs with retraction of tongue back
- Less discrete definition (cf. Ladefoged & Bhaskararao 1983)
 - Thus, less about place of articulation, more about degree of tongue curling
 - × a continuum of possibilities exist in actual articulation

4. Coronal Stop Phonetic Inventory

| | | Alveolar | Retracted Alveolar | More Retracted (Subapical Palatal) |
|-----------|---------------|----------|-----------------------|---------------------------------------|
| | Plain Plosive | t | | |
| | Pre-nasalized | | | |
| Voiceless | Plosive | | | nt ^r |
| | Plain | | | |
| | (Implosive) | | d * | d * |
| | Pre-nasalized | | | |
| Voiced | Plosive | | | nɗ |

Allophonic variation:

- d (before [i, e])

Other variation

- [nt] often accomanied by aspiration or a trill-like sound
 - Described as a voiceless flap by Odden
 - Inter and Intra speaker variation found in current data

| 4. Coronal Stop Phonological Inventory | | | | |
|--|----------|-------------------------|--------------------------|--|
| | | Alveolar [+anterior] | Retroflex [-anterior] | |
| | [-nasal] | t | | |
| [-voice] | [+nasal] | | nt | |
| | [-nasal] | đ | | |
| [+voice] | [+nasal] | | nd | |

• Also note syllabic nasal contrast:

o [nti] 'before' vs [6ant¹i] 'door'

- The [n] in /nt/ is voiceless in utterance-initial position
- Some speakers appear to be losing the initial nasal in utteranceinitial position and hence nt > t

4. Sound Samples

| Sound | Word | Gloss |
|----------------------|---------------------|---------------|
| t | matunda | 'fruit (pl)' |
| nt ^r | want ^r u | 'people (pl)' |
| d (before [i, e]) | madege | 'birds (pl)' |
| d (before [u, o, a]) | maɗuɗu | 'bugs (pl)' |
| nd | ihundu | 'red' |

5. Contact-Induced Change?

Broad Areal Generalizations

- Retroflexion rare in Bantu languages
- More common in Cushitic languages
- Proficiency in Cushitic languages including Maay Maay and Somali widespread
- Hence, contact induced change?

5. Words with retroflexion

• But loan phonemes usually enter a language through loan words.

| Current Data | Late 19th Century Tanzanian Kizigua | Gloss |
|----------------|--|-----------------|
| 6 an ti | banti | 'door' |
| ntembo | ntembo | 'elephant |
| m'ntu | mntu | 'person' |
| ntondo | ntondo | 'star' |
| tunda | tunda | 'fruit' |
| cindedi | kindedi | 'true, correct' |
| vunde | vundi | 'cloud' |
| ihundu | inkundu | 'red' |

5. And from which language(s)?

Somali

- /d/ (voiced ret. plosive) reported
- o but no voiceless or pre-nasalized retroflex reported
- Maay Maay (Paster 2007)
 - o /d∕ (alv. imp.) reported
 - x corresponds to Standard Somali /d/ (retroflex plosive)

• Oromo

- o /d∕ (ret. imp.) reported in some sources
- o but contact with speakers appears limited

5. Other Bantu Languages?

- Northern Swahili Dialects (Nurse 1985)
 - o suggested by Odden (p.c.)
 - o region in which spoken extends up to Somalia
 - o Bajuni spoken in region prior to Kizigua
 - o dental/alveolar contrast
 - pre-nasalized stops included in inventory
 - o alveolar may be retracted even if not described as such
 - but phonetic documentation and acoustic data confirming retraction/retroflexion lacking

5. The voiceless uvular stop /q/

Another sound rare in Bantu but more common in Cushitic
Some loan vocabulary present

| Kizigua | Late 19th Century Tanzanian Kizigua | Somali | Gloss |
|---------|--|---------|------------|
| qumbitu | nkumbitu | | 'eyebrow' |
| kununqa | kununka | | 'to smell' |
| kwinqa | kwinka | | 'to give' |
| qombe | nkombe | | 'claw' |
| qaðo | | qado | 'lunch' |
| qasara | UNKNOWN | UNKNOWN | 'accident' |

•Regular correspondence: */nk/ ~ /q/ (word-initial), */nk/ ~ /nq/ (word-medial) •Dorsal retraction (velar \rightarrow uvular) analogical to coronal retraction (alveolar \rightarrow retroflex)?

5. Conclusions for contact hypothesis

- All words in data with post-nasal retroflexion traceable to Late 19th Century Tanzanian Kizigua
 So via loanwords not likely.
- 2. Uncertain what the relevant contact language(s) would be
- 3. But contact may have indirectly triggered structural changes
 - Ex: other loans may have triggered a series of changes

6. Internal phonetic motivation?

For retroflex implosives

- Implosives described for 21st century Tanzanian Kizigua (Mochiwa 2008)
- o d > (d) > d: an attested sound change (Haudricourt 1950, Greenberg 1970)
- Aerodynamic motivation proposed (Ohala 1983)
 - Retroflex sounds characterized by enlargened oral cavity compared to non-retroflex sounds
 - Hence easier to maintain voicing

6. Hamann & Fuchs (2010)

- Extended aerodynamic account to include voiced plosives and hence:
 - d > d
- EPG (electropalatography) and EMA (electromagnetic articulography) data showing more retracted tongue position for German /d/ than /t/
- Sound change based on two continua
 - Voicing: from plosive to implosive
 - Retraction: from alveolar to retroflex

6. What about Pre-nasalization?

- Would voiced pre-nasalized stops also have a greater tendency to retract than voiceless plain or voiceless pre-nasalized stops?
- If so, could this be a source for phonetically motivated change?

6. How to test this?

- Lowering effect of F3 on adjacent vowels most widely agreed upon measure for retroflexion
- So, F3 measurements taken at vowel onset for selected words
- However, lack of sufficient tokens for individual words for statistical analysis
- So exploratory measure at best

6. Example of F3 measurement

- Praat Phonetic Analysis software used
- F3 manually identified, Praat measurements used as guide









- All pre-nasalized stops have lower F3 at onset of following vowel than do non-pre-nasalized stops except when preceding /e/.
- The retroflex implosive preceding /u/ had the lowest F3
- F3 higher for pre-nasalized than non-pre-nasalized voiced stops preceding /u/
- Reverse F3 pattern occurs preceding /e/
- Vowel co-articulation effects possibly at play

6. Analysis of internal phonetic motivation hypothesis

- Voiced Pre-nasalized stops appear to be more retracted than plain voiceless stops and voiced-prenasalized stops except when preceding back vowels
 - F3 measurements generally match impressionistic observations
 - With small data, difference may not be significant
- All voiced stops more retracted than plain voiceless stop. Voiceless pre-nasalized stops may pattern with other voiced stops because of initial voicing.

6. Analysis of internal phonetic motivation hypothesis

 Internal phonetic explanation based on greater retraction tendency for pre-nasalized stops possible
 more data needed

7. Summary of Retroflexion Developmental Paths

- (Haudricourt 1950, Greenberg 1970, Ohala 1983)
 o d > (d) > d
- (Hamann and Fuchs 2010)
 d > d
- In the present study

 nd > nd
 nt > nt > (t)

7. Conclusion

- There may be some phonetic motivation but current data too small to be conclusive
- Other intervening factors need to be considered (ex: interaction with vowels)
- no evidence for contact through the usual route (via loanwords), but N. Swahili dialects perhaps the best possibility
- contact possible through indirect means such as through other loans in triggering changes
 - How exactly could be complicated and may involve retracing several changes that occurred over a 170 year period.

7. Conclusion

- Contact alone too simple an explanation
- Phonetic motivation alone too simple
 - Would have to consider interaction with other factors including vowel co-articulation
 - Could also have been triggered by contact
- Both contact and internal phonetic factors may have played a role
- All types of factors worth further investigation

Acknowledgements

- David Mortensen and the Pitt Field Methods class of Spring 2012 (especially Linda Rowe and Alex Hardware)
- Undergraduate Research Assistants: Mara Katz, Mike Antonacci, Monica Duffell
- Dave Odden for his extremely comprehensive knowledge of Bantu languages
- Somali Bantu Community Organization of Pittsburgh
- Four Anonymous Kizigua speakers
- Asante! (note, no retroflexion, Swahili loan)

References (p. 1/2)

BESTEMAN C. (2012). Translating Race across Time and Space: The Creation of Somali Bantu Ethnicity. *Identities-Global Studies in Culture and Power*, **19**, **285**-**302**.

- GREENBERG, J. H. (1970). Some generalizations concerning glottalic consonants, especially implosives. *International Journal of American Linguistics*, **36(2)**, **123–145.** Hamann & Fuchs (2010)
- HAMANN, S., & FUCHS, S. (2010). Retroflexion of Voiced Stops: Data from Dhao, Thulung, Afar and German. *Language and Speech*, **53(2)**, **181–216**
- HAUDRICOURT, A.-G. (1950). Les consonnes préglottalisées en Indochine. *Bulletin de la Société de Linguistique,* **46(1), 172–182.**
- KISBEY W.H. (1897). Zigula exercises : compiled for the Universities' mission to Central Africa London: Society for Promoting Christian Knowledge.
- KISBEY, WALTER HENRY. (1906). *Zigula-English Dictionary.* Compiled for the Universities' Mission to Central Africa by Rev. Walter H. Kisbey. England.

References (p. 2/2)

- LADEFOGED, P., & BHASKARARAO, P. (1983). Non-quantal aspects of consonant production: A study of retroflex consonants. *Journal of Phonetics*, **11**, **291–302**.
- OHALA, J. J. (1983). The origin of sound patterns in vocal tract constraints. In P. F. MacNeilage (Ed.), *The Production of Speech (pp.189–216). New York: Springer.*
- MOCHIWA Z.S.M. (2008) *Kizigula: msamiati wa Kizigula-Kiswahili-Kiingereza = Zigula-Swahili-English lexicon* Dar-es-Salaam: Languages of Tanzania Project University of Dar-es-Salaam.
- NURSE, D. (1985). Dentality, Areal Features, and Phonological Change in Northeastern Bantu. *Studies in African Linguistics*, **16(3)**, **243-279**.
- PASTER, M. (2006). Aspects of Maay Phonology and Morphology. Studies in African Linguistics, *35(1), 73-120.*

Questions?

Slides and full reference list available upon request:

Holman Tse Univeristy of Pittsburgh Department of Linguistics <u>hbt3@pitt.edu</u>