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OVERVIEW

The National Survey of Counseling Center Directors has been conducted since 1981 and includes data provided by the administrative heads of college and university counseling centers in the United States and Canada. It began as a project of the Urban Task Force of the Association of University and College Counseling Center Directors, and is now a joint endeavor of AUCCCD and the International Association of Counseling Services.

The survey attempts to stay abreast of current trends in counseling centers, and to provide counseling center directors with ready access to the opinions and solutions of colleagues to problems and challenges in the field. The areas addressed cover a range of concerns including budget trends, current concerns, innovative programming, and a number of other administrative, ethical and clinical issues.

This year the total data will be shown separately followed by the data broken down by institution size. Some feedback will be appreciated as to whether this format works better than having the total data and the size breakdown on the same page. Some additional breakdowns for other groupings (e.g., AAU, AASCU) will be available later on request.

Responses to certain items are coded, allowing opportunity for directors to contact colleges for further information on programs or initiatives that they have undertaken. A directory of all participants is provided to assist with these networking opportunities.

The 1995 survey includes data provided by directors from 321 counseling centers, representing institutions from 47 states and 6 provinces.
The following highlights are based on total data only. Please note that additional comments are provided with the data summary.

9.0% of Centers charge students for personal counseling and generate anywhere from $1,500 to $55,000 a year. (Item 1)

7.2% of Centers reported collecting third party payments for individual session fees (up 2.4% since 1994.) (Item 2)

36.4% of Centers provide a national testing service (up 4.4% from 1992). 32% of the Centers use the income to support testing services and other Center programs. (Items 4 & 5)

28% of Centers are at least partially supported by a mandatory fee (up 3% from 1991). (Item 6)

32.4% of Centers took a budget cut last year (down 7% from 1994 and 11.8% from 1993). (Item 8)

Institutions considering downsizing (26.2%) or reorganizing (43.3%) Student Affairs, or downsizing (12.5%) or reorganizing (19.6%), or privatizing (15.3%) Counseling Centers. 6.2% of Directors feel that there is a real possibility of outsourcing on their campus (up from 2.9% from 1994). (Items 10 & 11)

48.6% of Directors feel that case notes should be maintained only in a central office file (up 10% from 1991). 92% of Directors see it as mandatory that they are able to access files in a counselor's absence (up 5% from 1991). (Items 13 & 14)

24% of Centers reported that there has been an increase in clients asking to view case records in recent years. 53.6% of Centers typically provide such access. (Items 15 & 16)

29.6% of Centers have a policy on what should/should not be included in case notes to protect against a court-ordered opening of records. 69 Centers will share policies. (Items 17 & 18)

85.7% of Centers provide written materials to clients explaining limits to confidentiality. (Item 19)

About 70% of Centers do not inform students about possible future pressure on them to release their records to potential government employers or to State Bar Associations. Most Directors (60%) feel that to so inform, might influence students’ openness and/or participation in counseling. (Items 20 & 21)

Almost 74% of Directors favor a professional standard stating that psychologists and counselors are not permitted to release student records to anyone other than another treating professional. (Item 22)

28% of Centers have had records or counselors subpoenaed in the past year (up 14% since 1991). See item 24 for subpoena examples. (Items 24 & 26)

21 (6.5%) Directors had to discipline or terminate a counselor or intern in the past year due to unethical practice (a 3.9% increase from 1994). See Appendix A for examples of other ethical/legal issues faced. (Items 30 & 31)

11.2% of Centers would provide services to a student from another college and 20.6% of Centers would provide services to non-students (e.g. children of faculty, occasional walk-ins). Two-thirds of these assume this risk without assurance of institutional support. (Items 33-35)

36% of Centers have malpractice insurance paid for by the institution, 35.5% have general institutional insurance offered to all employees and in 22% of Centers, the counselors pay their own insurance. (Item 36)
37.7% of Centers gained a new staff position and 19.3% lost a staff position without replacement. This is similar to the percentages of 1994 and represents a shift from 1992 and 1993 when more positions were lost then gained. (Items 37 & 38)

Average salary information for different professionals including breakdowns for length of employment, are available in Items 39-41. The 2:1 female to male ratio for hires has continued now for the fourth straight year.

40% of Directors hold academic rank at their institution. 16.5% of Directors are eligible for tenure and 26.8% are eligible for sabbaticals. (Item 42)

In 15.6% of the schools, other Center therapists hold faculty appointments, and 18.4% of the Centers have staff that are eligible for sabbaticals. (Items 43 & 44)

11% of Centers give counselors one-half day per week or more for consultation (down 3.4% from 1991). 25.2% of Centers allow counselors to use their offices for after-hours private practice (up 1.2% from 1993). (Items 45 & 46)

55.5% of schools provide psychiatric services on campus (down 3.5% from 1994). (Item 47)

Almost 49% of Centers require that a client who receives medication from an on-campus psychiatrist be followed by the Counseling Center for psychotherapy. (Item 49)

44.2% of Centers contract with staff on how to spend their time. (Item 52)

54.2% of Centers count client cancellations/no-shows as part of a counselor's hour count. (Item 56).

54.4% of Centers limit the number of counseling sessions allowed a client (no change from 1994). Of those who limit sessions, 55.5% of Centers will see clients over the limit for a crisis situation, 46.4% will see the client for a second series of sessions in the following year, and 44.2% of Centers will see clients each year for a series of time-limited sessions. (Items 57 & 58)

To more effectively manage their caseloads: 65% of Centers see students in therapy less than once a week, 32% reduce the number of students seen more than once a week, 30% no longer have holding appointments for students, and 27% assign more students to groups directly from the intake. See item for additional actions. (Item 61)

77.3% of Centers collect written evaluations from clients (up 8% from 1992). In 19% of the Centers, the evaluation forms are distributed by the counselors which may raise some questions about the validity of the results. (Items 62-64)

82% of Centers report an increase in clients with severe psychological problems. However, since 1992, fewer Directors are reporting an increase in waiting list problems (down 21.6%) and sexual assault cases (down 31.8%). See item 65 for listing of other concerns.

81% of Centers had to hospitalize a student for psychological reasons in the past year (steady for last 3 years). Information is provided on when directors would notify parents. (Items 66-68)

41% of schools had a student suicide in the past year (up 6% from 1994 and up 13% from 1991). 31 Centers (10%) had a client suicide (up 4% from 1994), with three Centers reporting legal actions against them. (Items 69-72)

55% of Centers had to notify a third party about a potentially suicidal student during the past year and 21% of Centers gave Tarasoff type warnings. (Items 73 & 74)

58% of Directors noticed an increase in violent incidents involving students over the past five years. (Item 75)
61% of Centers have written polices on dealing with potentially suicidal students (up 15% from 1994), 44% have policies for dealing with potentially violent students and policies for outlining types of problems accommodated by the Counseling Center and 37% have policies for having a psychotic student hospitalized and for having an emotionally disturbed student removed from the residence halls or school. Only 20% have written statements about the risks of counseling. 67% of Centers were willing to share their policies with other Centers. (Item 76)

88% of Directors will refer clients following intake when there is a lack of staff expertise in the client's problem area, 81% will refer for longer term treatment, and 23% will refer clients if they have insurance which covers their treatment. (Item 78)

84% of Centers have seen students in the past year due to sexual exploitation or harassment by another student, 65% from a faculty member or supervisor, and 16.5% from another therapist. (Item 79)

44.5% of Centers have special programs for gay, lesbian, and bisexual students (up 8% from 1990); 41% for racial minorities, 32% for international students, 9% for single mothers, and 8% for the financially disadvantaged. (Item 80)

91% of Centers have not reviewed APA ethical guidelines for working with multicultural students, and 93.5% of Directors feel that their staff is not well-versed about these guidelines. (Items 81 & 82)

30% of Directors feel that the number of students seeking help for eating disorders is increasing (up 13% from 1994), 11% think there is a decrease (down 8% from 1994), and 58% report no change (down 4% from 1994). (Item 83)

43% of Centers have seen one or more HIV positive clients within the past year. 17% of Directors felt that they had HIV positive clients who posed a potential risk to a third party. Of these, just over 2% found it necessary to warn a third party. See item for comments. (Items 84 & 85)

50% of Directors would encourage disclosures but take no further action if an HIV positive client states that he/she has not informed his/her partner of the health situation (down 6% from 1994). Another 30% would inform the client that if he/she did not inform partner, the Director would be ethically bound to do so. 1% would take no action and the rest indicated “other” which included such actions as: obtaining legal counsel, evaluating safe sex practices, and involving a public health agency. (Item 86)

73% of Directors have noticed an increase in the number of students who report having been sexually abused as children, and 80% believe that these students present more serious psychological problems than other clients. 40.5% of Centers have had in-service training on abuse issues for staff within the past year. 50% of Centers offer groups for students who have been sexually abused (up 11% since 1992). (Items 87-90)

20% of Centers have reported to child welfare agencies on a client who had been abused in the past (up 3% from 1990), 10% reported on clients who were being abused concurrent with counseling, 9% reported on a client who had previously abused a child, and 9% reported on a client who was abusing a child concurrent with counseling (down 7.5% from 1990). (Item 91)

31 Centers (10%) had counseling staff who were legally involved in cases to support clients who were child abuse victims. 6 Centers (2%) had staff testify on behalf of clients who had perpetrated abuse. (Item 92)

About 33% of Centers routinely inquire about prior sexual abuse during assessment of clients. Another 5% ask only female clients about prior sexual abuse. (Item 93)

17 Centers have policies or procedures about how to handle reports of recovered memories of childhood sexual abuse. 60% of Centers have briefly addressed the recovered and false memory controversy, 27% have had frequent discussions and/or training, and 12.5% have never discussed the topic. (Items 94 & 95)

41% of Centers accept mandated referrals for assessment and counseling, and another 41% accept referrals for assessment only. 17% of Centers accept no mandated referrals. (Item 96) See vol 9, no. 4 of the 1995 Journal of College Student Psychotherapy for a good debate on this issue.
41% of Centers will see a student who was mandated due to a drug and alcohol problem for one visit, 34% will see the student for a series of mandatory sessions, and 18% accept no such referrals. Of those Centers that see mandatory drug and alcohol cases, 60% report moderate success, 3% report great success and 34% report a lack of success. (Item 97)

22% of schools have received a FIPSE grant through the Counseling Center (up 8% from 1990), 12.5% through the Health Center (up 5% from 1990) and 26% through another office. 12% of schools have had other external grants to support alcohol-related programming. (Items 99 & 100)

Methods which schools have used to reduce alcohol use include the following: 87.5% have implemented on-campus prevention programs, 77% have implemented policy changes, 62% make off-campus referrals to treatment programs, and 37% have on-campus treatment programs. (Item 101)

79% of schools have used peer education to address alcohol-related problems on campus, 57% have used social marketing, 48% have increased regulation of the Greek system, and 46% have adopted a low tolerance policy for alcohol related crimes. (Item 102)

23% of schools offer alcohol free floors in residence halls, 23% of schools have totally alcohol-free residence halls, 17% have select alcohol-free residence halls, and 4% have contracted alcohol-free rooms. (Item 103)

40.5% of Directors feel that the level of alcohol use has not changed in the past five years, 23% feel that there is an increase in all levels of drinking, and 22% feel that there has been an increase in binge drinking. Only 4% feel that there has been a decrease in all levels of drinking, and 4% feel that there has been a decrease in binge drinking only. (Item 104)

54% of Centers have increased emphasis on short-term counseling to prepare for managed care. Other popular actions include the following: 22% require more detailed documentation of treatment progress, 21% have increased emphasis on quality assurance and utilization review methods, 19% use DSM coding on most/all clients, and 16% require written treatment plans. (Item 105)

See Appendix D for a listing of innovative programs or projects. (Item 106)
NOTE ON INTERPRETING THIS SUMMARY: There is missing data for nearly every question in this year's survey; most Directors skip a question or two. The result is that percentages may not add up to 100 for some questions. Please assume that the differences indicate missing data, or "no response" to a question. Numbers correspond to questions on survey, those that have been omitted are highlighted in comments. Thank you!

1995 DIRECTORS' SURVEY SUMMARY DATA
Raw data reported outside brackets (frequency data inside)

DEMOGRAPHIC INFORMATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Directors' Gender</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>187</td>
<td>134</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(58.3%) (41.7%)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Directors' Racial/Ethnic Identification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>African American</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian American</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic American</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native American</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White/Caucasian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No response 0 (0.0%)

TOTAL (N=321)

COMMENTS

1. Centers that charge fees for the following services:

a) Personal counseling to students 29 (9.0%)
b) Personal counseling to faculty/staff 12 (3.7%)
c) Personal counseling to alumni 6 (1.9%)
d) Personal counseling to community 8 (2.5%)
e) Career counseling to students 15 (4.7%)
f) Career counseling to faculty/staff 17 (5.3%)
g) Career counseling to alumni 30 (9.3%)
h) Career counseling to community 27 (8.4%)
i) Career testing to students 61 (19.0%)
j) Career testing to faculty/staff 36 (11.2%)
k) Career testing to alumni 50 (15.6%)
l) Career testing to community 40 (12.5%)
m) Personality testing to students 50 (15.6%)
n) Personality testing to faculty/staff 20 (6.2%)
o) Personality testing to alumni 12 (3.7%)
p) Personality testing to community 15 (4.7%)

2. Centers which collect third party payments for personal counseling:

23 (7.2%)

This is up 2.4% since 1994, but is still well below the 15% of Centers collecting such fees in 1988.

3. Centers that provide the following services:

a) National tests (e.g. GMAT, GRE, LSAT, CLEP) 117 (36.4%)
b) Scoring for faculty exams 14 (4.4%)
c) Evaluation of teaching 8 (2.5%)
d) Consultation for students on testing 88 (27.4%)

4. When applicable, the income generated by testing programs:

a) Supports testing services 39 (28.2%)
b) Supports testing program and other Center programs 58 (42.0%)
c) Goes back into general funds 28 (20.2%)
d) Other 13 (9.4%)

The range of income generated by these services is from $30-150,000. Mean = $8,696.

5. Mandated fees have climbed gradually from 24.5% in 1991 to 28% in 1995. While this is an effective way of funding programs it is becoming more difficult to establish because fees have been introduced for so many other services. It should be noted however, that in large schools, mandated fees support 43% of Centers (up 7% since 1993).

6. Centers that received support through a mandated fee:

90 (28.0%)

7. For Centers supported by any mandatory fee:

a) less than 25% of budget covered 12 (13.3%)
b) 25-49% of budget covered 14 (15.6%)
c) 50-74% of budget covered 13 (14.4%)
d) 75-100% of budget covered 49 (54.4%)

8. Centers that took a budget cut in 1994-1995:

104 (32.4%)

9. How these budget cuts affected Centers

a) reduced staff 26 (25.0%)
b) little or no salary increases 42 (40.4%)
c) education in salaries 5 (4.8%)
d) reduced "other costs" budget 81 (77.9%)
e) other 11 (10.6%)

Other responses included: limits on hiring temporary staff, demoralization, frozen operating costs, cuts on payment of malpractice insurance and the charging of session fees.
10. Institutions considering the following: (Directors checked all responses that applied)
   a) Downsizing Student Affairs 84 (26.2%)
   b) Reorganizing Student Affairs 139 (43.3%)
   c) Downsizing the Counseling Center 40 (12.5%)
   d) Reorganizing the Counseling Center 63 (19.6%)
   e) Outsourcing/Privatizing the Counseling Center 49 (15.3%)

11. Directors that feel there is a real possibility that outsourcing/privatization may happen on their campus:
   20 (6.2%)

13. Directors that support the following Counseling Center policies on case notes:
   a) Case notes should be kept only at the discretion of the counselor 9 (2.8%)
   b) Case notes should be kept on each client, but should remain under the care of the client's counselor 11 (3.4%)
   c) Case notes should be maintained only in a central office file 156 (48.6%)
   d) Case notes should be maintained in either a central file or they in counselor's offices, depending on what works best for the Center. 115 (35.8%)

15. Centers where there has been an increase in clients asking to view case records in recent years: 77 (24.0%)

16. Centers that typically provide clients with access to counselors' reports or case notes on request: 172 (53.6%)

17. Centers that have developed a policy on what should or shouldn't be included in case notes to protect against a court-ordered opening of records: 95 (29.6%)

19. Centers that provide written materials to clients explaining limits to confidentiality: 275 (85.7%)

20. Centers that inform students that in the future, they may be pressured to sign release of information forms if seeking employment in government agencies or admission to the Bar: 95 (29.6%)

22. Directors in favor of a professional standard stating that psychologists and counselors are not permitted to release student records to anyone other than another treating professional (barring a court order), even with the signed release of the client/patient: 237 (73.8%)

23. Directors who feel that it would be a good idea to establish a small group to work on developing a statement in support of limited release of information: 268 (85.5%)

24. Centers that have had records or counselors subpoenaed in the past year: 90 (28.0%)

25. Centers where it was necessary to comply with the subpoena: (percentages based on responses to item 24) 67 (74.4%)

26. Subpoenaed records were used: (percentages based on responses to item 24)
   a) in support of a claim by Center client 62 (68.9%)
   b) against a client 32 (35.6%)

Important questions raised by some of these cases:
1. How do counselors protect themselves from being used by clients who seek counseling following an accident in order to establish psychological damage?
2. Can records of a client who attempted suicide be subpoenaed as part of these cases?
of a hunting expedition to determine whether there is adequate reason to sue the counselor for malpractice?

3. Does a University have the legal right to view a student's counseling records when that student is suing the University and the suit does not involve the Counseling Center?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total (N=321)</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>29. Centers that have had suits against them in the past year:</td>
<td>4 (1.2%)</td>
<td>Suits included: a sexual harassment claim, suing psychiatrist for malpractice for adverse drug reaction, reverse discrimination hiring suit, and an involuntary commitment for an evaluation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30. Directors who have had to discipline or terminate a counselor or intern in the past year due to unethical practices:</td>
<td>21 (6.5%)</td>
<td>Problems included: breaches of confidentiality (5), dual relationships (3), inappropriate behavior (sexual comments, confrontations, defamation of others), missed appointments (6), abuse of prescription medication (1), and alcohol (1), failure to keep adequate records, and use of mail/phone for private profit. This represents a 3.9% increase from 1994.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32. Centers that have a reciprocity agreement with another Counseling Center (For example, an agreement to provide counseling to students from another institution, with the understanding that the other Center would provide services for your students, should the need arise):</td>
<td>23 (7.2%)</td>
<td>Some Centers offer services under certain conditions including: crisis intervention, if application fee was paid to university, if student is doing a clinical rotation, a transfer student, or partner of enrolled student.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33. Centers that would, in general, provide services to a student from another college or university:</td>
<td>36 (11.2%)</td>
<td>Nine Centers offer services to partners and/or children of students. Two Centers offer services to potential students.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34. Centers that provide services to non-students not affiliated with the University (eg. children of faculty, occasional walk-ins):</td>
<td>66 (20.6%)</td>
<td>Two-thirds of the Centers providing these services seem to be doing so at their own risk. It is likely however, that if a suit is filed, institutions will be drawn into the suit.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35. When these services are provided, schools which would assume legal responsibility in the event of a suit by an external client: (% based on response to #34)</td>
<td>22 (33.3%)</td>
<td>Many Directors reported that their staff buy additional insurance independently or through their professional organizations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36. Centers where malpractice insurance is:</td>
<td></td>
<td>In 1994 and 1995, Centers have gained more positions than they have lost. This reverses a trend in the opposite direction that was noted in 1992 and 1993.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a) Paid for by institution</td>
<td>116 (36.1%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Paid for by counselors</td>
<td>71 (22.1%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Not used; counselors are covered by general institutional insurance for all employees</td>
<td>114 (35.5%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) Other</td>
<td>17 (5.3%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37. Centers which have gained new staff positions in the past year:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a) Professional</td>
<td>57 (17.8%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Clerical</td>
<td>19 (5.9%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Graduate student assistant or 1/2 time intern</td>
<td>27 (8.4%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) Intern (full time)</td>
<td>18 (5.6%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38. Centers that have lost a staff position in the past year (not replaced)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a) Professional</td>
<td>34 (10.6%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Clerical</td>
<td>11 (3.4%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Graduate student assistant or 1/2 time intern</td>
<td>15 (4.7%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) Intern (full time)</td>
<td>2 (0.6%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39. Average salaries for professional staff hired in the past year:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a) Director</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Caucasian Male (N=3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Training Director</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>42,250 (n=2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Assistant or Associate Director</td>
<td>49,500 (n=2)</td>
<td>54,000 (n=3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) Counselor with Ph.D. and experience</td>
<td>35,714 (n=7)</td>
<td>32,015 (n=7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e) Counselor with new doctorate</td>
<td>35,826 (n=5)</td>
<td>33,414 (n=7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f) Counselor with A.B.D.</td>
<td>33,500 (n=1)</td>
<td>31,400 (n=5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g) Counselor with MA and experience</td>
<td>35,250 (n=2)</td>
<td>26,500 (n=1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h) Counselor with new MA</td>
<td>29,000 (n=1)</td>
<td>34,500 (n=2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i) Counselor with MSW and experience</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>j) Counselor with new MSW</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>24,000 (n=1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k) Counselor with BA</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>115,250 (n=2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>l) Psychiatrist/MD (annual salary)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>116 (n=2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m) Psychiatrist/MD (hourly rate)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n) Other</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
40. Average salary paid to the following professional staff (averaged if more than one per Center):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Average salary</th>
<th>Range</th>
<th>Mean years in position</th>
<th>Range of years in position</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a) Director (n=290)</td>
<td>57,728</td>
<td>27-120K</td>
<td>9.72</td>
<td>1-31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Training Director (n=88)</td>
<td>47,983</td>
<td>32-73K</td>
<td>7.80</td>
<td>1-28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Clinical Director (n=33)</td>
<td>48,638</td>
<td>33-88K</td>
<td>4.48</td>
<td>1-15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) Associate Director (n=69)</td>
<td>47,154</td>
<td>27-71K</td>
<td>7.94</td>
<td>1-25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e) Assistant Director (n=55)</td>
<td>42,246</td>
<td>25-70K</td>
<td>7.80</td>
<td>1-27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f) Psychiatrist/MD (annual salary)</td>
<td>92,073</td>
<td>73-136K</td>
<td>7.96</td>
<td>1-22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g) Psychiatrist (hourly consultation) (n=67)</td>
<td>90.87</td>
<td>42-200</td>
<td>3.91</td>
<td>1-12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

41. Average salary paid to professional staff according to number of years in the position (One representative salary reported per category when available):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Position</th>
<th>4-6 years in position</th>
<th>9-11 years in position</th>
<th>15+ years in position</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a) Counselor with Ph.D.</td>
<td>38,664; Range26-88K(n=135)</td>
<td>44,655; Range27-80K(n=78)</td>
<td>53,044; Range38-98K(n=80)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Counselor with MA</td>
<td>31,183; Range14-57K(n=101)</td>
<td>37,526; Range20-58K(n=55)</td>
<td>45,400; Range27-68K(n=42)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Counselor with MSW</td>
<td>33,832; Range20-45K(n=38)</td>
<td>37,079; Range20-58K(n=29)</td>
<td>46,387; Range30-75K(n=13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) Counselor with A.B.D.</td>
<td>35,039; Range27-48K(n=14)</td>
<td>36,063; Range20-41K(n=4)</td>
<td>46,500; Range35-52K(n=5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

42. Percentage of Directors that hold academic rank at their institution:

- a) Directors that hold academic rank at their institution: 128 (39.9%)
- b) Directors that are eligible for tenure: 53 (16.5%)
- c) Directors that are eligible for sabbaticals: 86 (26.8%)

43. Schools where other Center therapists hold faculty appointments: 50 (15.6%)

44. Centers where faculty or non-faculty staff are eligible for sabbaticals: 59 (18.4%)

45. Centers where counselors are given time off for consultation:

- a) Half a day per week: 31 (9.7%)
- b) Full day per week: 4 (1.2%)
- c) Other: 54 (16.8%)

46. Centers where counselors are allowed to use their offices for after-hours private practice: 81 (25.2%)

47. Schools which provide psychiatric services on campus (either in Counseling Center or another service unit): 178 (55.5%)

48. Number of FTE psychiatrists that are available for students:

\[ \bar{x} = 6.67 \text{ Range } 0.1 \text{ to } 4 \]

49. Centers that require that a client receiving medication from an on-campus psychotherapist be followed by the Counseling Center for psychotherapy: (Percentage based on responses to # 47)

\[ 83 \text{ (48.8\%)} \]

50. Number of FTE mental health professionals which provide services to students on campus (includes all paid staff and interns at Centers and other service units on campus except for services provided by students in departmental clinics):

\[ \bar{x} = 6.96 \text{ Range } 0.5 \text{ to } 37 \]

51. Number of FTE career counselors professionals which provide services to students on campus (includes all paid staff and interns at Centers and other service units on campus)

\[ \bar{x} = 4.20 \text{ Range } 0.0 \text{ to } 29 \]

52. Centers that contract with staff on how they spend their time:

\[ 142 \text{ (44.2\%)} \]

53. Average number of individual client sessions in a week for FTE staff (intakes, assessments, counseling/therapy sessions):

\[ \bar{x} = 19.82 \text{ Range } 10 \text{ to } 35 \]

54. Average number of group hours a week for FTE staff (therapy, support and theme groups):

\[ \bar{x} = 2.18 \text{ Range } 0 \text{ to } 27 \]

55. Average number of workshop/outreach/consultation hours a week for FTE staff:

\[ \bar{x} = 3.18 \text{ Range } 0 \text{ to } 15 \]

56. Centers that count client cancellations/no-shows as part of a counselor's hour count:

\[ 174 \text{ (54.2\%)} \]

**TOTAL**

(N=521)

**COMMENTS**

One Director is an "Academic Related" Student Services Professional which includes a seat on the senate.

Some Centers offer adjunct status only.

This represents a 3.4% decrease from 1991. Eight Directors allot time as needed. Four Directors reported that consultation time is negotiated as needed. Seven Centers average 1-3 hours per week and two Centers report allotting one day monthly. Comp-time and personal time are also used.

This reflects a 1.2% increase since 1993. This is reportedly against a Wisconsin state law.

This is down 3.5% from 1994. One Center offers these services to staff only.

Small schools have the best counselor to client ratio (1 to 714) and large schools the worst (1 to 2292).

This is up 3.2% from last year.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>TOTAL (N=321)</th>
<th>COMMENTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>57. Centers which limit the number of counseling sessions allowed a client:</td>
<td>174 (54.2%)</td>
<td>One Center reported limiting part-time students only.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58. If a limit is set, maximum number of sessions allowed:</td>
<td>( \bar{x}=11.22 ) Range 3 to 25</td>
<td>One Center varies limit according to percentage of caseload, another charges after six sessions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59. If a session limit is set, and the maximum number of sessions has been reached, Centers that allow the following:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a) Client can be seen again for crisis situations</td>
<td>178 (55.5%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Client can be seen for a second series of sessions in the following year</td>
<td>149 (46.4%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Client can be seen each year for a series of time-limited sessions</td>
<td>142 (44.2%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60. Average number of sessions per client in the past year:</td>
<td>( \bar{x}=5.18 ) Range 1.5 to 16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61. Centers that have taken the following actions to more effectively manage caseloads:</td>
<td></td>
<td>Additional actions that Centers have taken are reported in Appendix B.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a) Seeing more students in therapy less than once a week</td>
<td>210 (65.4%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Reducing number of students seen more than once a week</td>
<td>102 (31.8%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) No longer have holding appointments for students (Instead of having a regular time each week, students make next appointment as counselor's schedule allows)</td>
<td>96 (29.9%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) Using waiting list &quot;support&quot; group (students attend group until an individual appointment is available)</td>
<td>25 (7.8%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e) Assigning more students to groups directly from intake/assessment</td>
<td>86 (26.8%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f) Using telephone assessment/intake system</td>
<td>14 (4.4%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g) Using computerized assessment/intake system</td>
<td>6 (1.9%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h) Other</td>
<td>47 (14.6%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62. Centers that collect written evaluations from clients:</td>
<td>248 (77.3%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63. In Centers that collect evaluations, it is completed</td>
<td></td>
<td>Fourteen Centers evaluate every 2-3 years and 14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a) Ongoing</td>
<td>52 (21.0%)</td>
<td>Centers report evaluations at termination. Other responses included: after each intake, once or twice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Once a term</td>
<td>62 (25.0%)</td>
<td>a semester, biannually, or after a certain number of sessions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Once a year</td>
<td>73 (29.4%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) Other</td>
<td>61 (24.6%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64. In Centers that collect evaluations, the following methods of distribution and reviewing the forms are used:</td>
<td></td>
<td>Most Centers (35%) mail the evaluations to clients and have them returned to the Director; 28% have support</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a) Staff give the form to clients (it is returned to the Director)</td>
<td>76 (23.7%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Mail to clients (it is returned to the Director)</td>
<td>264 (82.2%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Review of forms mailed to clients</td>
<td>214 (66.7%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) Review of forms mailed to clients, both an initial and final appointment</td>
<td>96 (29.9%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e) Review of forms mailed to clients, both an initial and final appointment, no further appointments</td>
<td>129 (40.2%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f) Staff give the form to clients, once a term (it is returned to the Director)</td>
<td>97 (30.2%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g) The need to find better referral sources for students who need psychological supports</td>
<td>212 (66.0%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h) Referrals by outside agencies to your Center of clients needing long-term therapy</td>
<td>63 (19.6%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i) Responding to the needs of learning disabled students</td>
<td>160 (49.8%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>j) A growing demand for services with no increase in resources or fewer resources</td>
<td>185 (57.6%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k) Other</td>
<td>77 (24.0%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65. Present concerns of Centers:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a) Waiting list problems</td>
<td>76 (23.7%)</td>
<td>Waiting list problems have decreased 14.4% since 1994</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) An increase in the number of students with severe psychological problems</td>
<td>264 (82.2%)</td>
<td>17.4% since 1993, and 21.6% since 1992, perhaps reflecting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Difficulty in filling groups</td>
<td>214 (66.7%)</td>
<td>the increase in brief therapy approaches and other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) An increase in sexual assault cases</td>
<td>96 (29.9%)</td>
<td>adjustments. The number of Directors reporting increases in</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e) An increase in crisis counseling</td>
<td>129 (40.2%)</td>
<td>sexual assaults has also decreased from 61.7% in 1992 to</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f) Pressure on the Center to do more about drug and alcohol abuse on campus</td>
<td>97 (30.2%)</td>
<td>29.9% in 1995. Campus initiatives addressing this problem</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g) The need to find better referral sources for students who need long-term therapy</td>
<td>212 (66.0%)</td>
<td>may be having a positive effect. See Appendix C, for</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h) Referrals by outside agencies to your Center of clients needing long-term therapy</td>
<td>63 (19.6%)</td>
<td>comments on other concerns.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i) Responding to the needs of learning disabled students</td>
<td>160 (49.8%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>j) A growing demand for services with no increase in resources or fewer resources</td>
<td>185 (57.6%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k) Other</td>
<td>77 (24.0%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66. Centers that had to hospitalize a student for psychological reasons within the past year:</td>
<td>259 (80.7%)</td>
<td>This percentage has held steady for the past three years.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67. Directors who would notify parents against a student's wishes if the student is hospitalized for psychological reasons:</td>
<td></td>
<td>( \bar{x}=5.72, ) Range 1 to 50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a) Yes, but only if student is under age</td>
<td>88 (27.4%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Yes, but only if student is still being supported by parents, or requires parents' insurance coverage</td>
<td>52 (16.2%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Yes, in all cases</td>
<td>50 (15.6%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) No</td>
<td>92 (28.7%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
68. Directors who would notify parents when a student is not capable of expressing his/her wishes about informing the parents:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>TOTAL (N=321)</th>
<th>COMMENTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a) Yes, but only if student is under age</td>
<td>39 (12.1%)</td>
<td>TX=1.74; Range 1 to 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Yes, but only if student is still being supported by parents, or requires parents' insurance coverage</td>
<td>49 (15.3%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Yes, in all cases</td>
<td>131 (40.8%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) No</td>
<td>53 (16.5%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

69. Campuses that had an enrolled student suicide in the 94-95 school year:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>TOTAL (N=321)</th>
<th>COMMENTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>131 (40.8%)</td>
<td>X=1.74; Range 1 to 6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

70. Centers that had a client suicide in the 94-95 school year:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>TOTAL (N=321)</th>
<th>COMMENTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>31 (9.7%)</td>
<td>X=1.16; Range 1 to 3. Three Centers had two clients suicide and one Center had three.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

71. How these cases were settled (% based on response to #71):

<p>| | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>a) Out of court</td>
<td>2 (66.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>b) In favor of Center</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>c) Against Center</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>d) Still in progress</td>
<td>1 (33.3%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

72. Centers that have had to notify a third party about a potentially suicidal student during the past year:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>TOTAL (N=321)</th>
<th>COMMENTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>177 (55.1%)</td>
<td>Number of notifications - X=3.68; Range 1 to 20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

73. Centers that have had to give warning during the past year to a third party about a student who posed danger to another person:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>TOTAL (N=321)</th>
<th>COMMENTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>67 (20.9%)</td>
<td>Number of warnings - X=1.92; Range 1 to 12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

74. Directors that have noted a difference in violent incidents involving students:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>TOTAL (N=321)</th>
<th>COMMENTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a) Noticed increase over last five years</td>
<td>185 (57.6%)</td>
<td>15% more schools have written policies on dealing with potentially suicidal students than in 1994. Over 67% of Centers have written policies that they are willing to share.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Remained same over last five years</td>
<td>126 (39.3%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Noticed decrease over last five years</td>
<td>4 (1.2%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

75. Centers that have written statements or policies on the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>TOTAL (N=321)</th>
<th>COMMENTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a) Having an emotionally disturbed student removed from the residence halls or school</td>
<td>119 (37.1%)</td>
<td>15% more schools have written policies on dealing with potentially suicidal students than in 1994. Over 67% of Centers have written policies that they are willing to share.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Having a psychotic student hospitalized</td>
<td>118 (36.8%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Dealing with a potentially suicidal student</td>
<td>196 (61.1%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) Dealing with a potentially violent student</td>
<td>142 (44.2%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e) Risks of counseling</td>
<td>63 (19.6%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f) Kinds of client problems appropriate to be seen at the Counseling Center</td>
<td>143 (44.5%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

76. Clients are most likely to be referred following intake when:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>TOTAL (N=321)</th>
<th>COMMENTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a) longer term treatment required</td>
<td>261 (81.3%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) insurance covers outside treatment</td>
<td>79 (24.6%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) there is lack of staff expertise in client's problem area</td>
<td>283 (88.2%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

77. Directors who know of students who have come to their Center in the past year because of sexual exploitation or harassment by:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>TOTAL (N=321)</th>
<th>COMMENTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a) another therapist</td>
<td>53 (16.5%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) faculty member of supervisor</td>
<td>209 (65.1%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) another student</td>
<td>269 (83.8%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

78. Centers that have special programs for:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>TOTAL (N=321)</th>
<th>COMMENTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a) gay, lesbian, and bisexual students</td>
<td>143 (44.5%)</td>
<td>There has been an 8% increase in programs for gay, lesbian, and bisexual students since 1990.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) racial minorities</td>
<td>131 (40.8%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) international students</td>
<td>102 (31.8%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) financially disadvantaged</td>
<td>25 (7.8%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e) single mothers</td>
<td>29 (9.0%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

79. Centers that have thoroughly reviewed APA ethical guidelines for working with multicultural students:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>TOTAL (N=321)</th>
<th>COMMENTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30 (9.3%)</td>
<td>These two questions suggest that Centers could benefit from some attention to these guidelines.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

80. Directors that feel their staff is very well-versed about these guidelines:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>TOTAL (N=321)</th>
<th>COMMENTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>21 (6.5%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

81. Directors that feel the number of students seeking help for eating disorders is:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>TOTAL (N=321)</th>
<th>COMMENTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a) increasing</td>
<td>96 (29.9%)</td>
<td>There has been a 13% increase since 1994 in Directors who feel that the number of students seeking help for eating disorders is increasing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) decreasing</td>
<td>36 (11.2%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) remaining about the same as previous years</td>
<td>186 (57.9%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
85. Directors who felt that any of these HIV positive clients posed a risk to any third party:
   - When clients posed a risk, directors who found it necessary to warn a third party:
     - 24 (17.3%)

86. How Directors would generally handle it if an HIV positive client states that he/she has not informed his/her partner of the health situation:
   - Would take no action
     - 2 (0.6%)
   - Would encourage disclosure but otherwise take no action
     - 153 (47.7%)
   - Would inform client that if he/she did not inform partner, that you would be ethically bound to do so
     - 97 (30.2%)
   - Other
     - 41 (12.8%)

87. Directors who have noticed an increase in the number of students who report having been sexually abused as children:
   - 234 (72.9%)

88. Directors who feel students reporting earlier sexual abuse typically have more serious psychological problems than other personal counseling clients:
   - 254 (79.1%)

89. Centers where staff have had in-service training in the past year on how to work with students who have been sexually abused as children:
   - 130 (40.5%)

90. Centers that have run groups for students who have been sexually abused as children:
   - 161 (50.2%)

91. Centers where counselors have made a child abuse report for the following:
   - a) a client who had been abused in the past
     - 63 (19.6%)
   - b) a client who was being abused concurrent with counseling
     - 33 (10.3%)
   - c) a client who had previously abused a child
     - 28 (8.7%)
   - d) a client who was abusing a child concurrent with counseling
     - 28 (8.7%)

92. Clients where counseling staff have been legally involved in cases:
   - a) to support a child abuse victim
     - 31 (9.7%)
   - b) to support a child abuse offender
     - 6 (1.9%)
   - c) called to testify due to family suit alleging false memory
     - 0 (0.0%)

93. Centers that routinely ask about childhood sexual abuse in assessment of clients:
   - a) for female clients
     - 17 (5.3%)
   - b) for all clients
     - 107 (33.3%)
   - c) not routinely
     - 191 (59.5%)

94. Centers that have policies/procedures on how reports of recovered memories of childhood sexual abuse should be handled:
   - 17 (5.3%)

95. Centers where the debate between recovered memory (suggesting that recovered memories of abuse are real memories) and false memory (suggesting that recovered memories are therapist-induced fictions) has become an issue:
   - a) It was never been discussed at our Center
     - 40 (12.5%)
   - b) The issue has been briefly mentioned
     - 193 (60.1%)
   - c) Our staff has had special training/frequent discussions around this issue:
     - 86 (26.8%)

96. Centers that accept mandated referrals from a campus administrator or Judicial Board:
   - a) for assessment and counseling
     - 131 (40.8%)
   - b) for assessment only (no mandatory counseling)
     - 132 (41.1%)
   - c) we accept no mandated referrals
     - 54 (16.8%)

97. If a campus judicial board or administrator makes a mandatory referral to the Center of a student with a drug or alcohol problem, it is generally handled in the following manner:
   - a) No such referrals are accepted
     - 59 (18.4%)
   - b) Will see the student for no more than one mandatory visit
     - 133 (41.4%)
   - c) Will see the student for a series of mandatory sessions
     - 110 (34.3%)

98. Level of success for Centers who see mandatory drug & alcohol cases:
   - a) very successful
     - 11 (5.4%)
   - b) moderately successful
     - 122 (60.0%)
   - c) not very successful
     - 70 (34.4%)

TOTAL (N=321) COMMENTS

Three Directors did not have enough information to inform and another four Directors were legally advised not to inform.

Thirteen Directors would obtain legal and/or other professional consultation. Seven Directors would evaluate safe sex practices, and five would involve public health agencies.

This reflects an 11% increase since 1992.

Other reports included: client's parent abusing the client's child, reports on siblings being abused, client's husbands abuse of child, abuse of family friend, and a client who was obsessing about child abuse.

See the April 1995 Counseling Psychologist for a good review of this topic.

An excellent review of varying positions on mandatory referrals can be found in the Journal of College Student Psychotherapy vol. 9, no. 4, 1995.
99. Schools that have received a FIPSE grant:
   a) through the Counseling Center 71 (22.1%)
   b) through the Health Center 40 (12.5%)
   c) through some other office 84 (26.2%)

100. Schools that have had external grants apart from FIPSE to support alcohol-related programming:
   38 (11.8%)

101. Schools that have attempted to reduced alcohol on campus using these methods:
   (Directors checked all that applied)
   a) on a policy level 248 (77.3%)
   b) off-campus prevention programs have been implemented 281 (87.5%)
   c) on-campus treatment focused programs have been implemented 118 (36.8%)
   d) off-campus referrals to treatment/prevention programs are offered 198 (61.7%)
   e) alcohol use is not considered a problem on our campus 14 (4.4%)

102. Schools that have implemented any of the following policies and programs to address alcohol-related problems on campus:
   (Directors checked all that applied)
   a) peer education 254 (79.1%)
   b) social marketing for prevention of alcohol abuse 182 (56.7%)
   c) low tolerance policy for alcohol related crimes 148 (46.1%)
   d) increased regulation of the Greek system 154 (48.0%)

103. Schools that have instituted the following alcohol reduction residence options: (Directors checked all that applied)
   a) all residence halls totally alcohol-free 75 (23.4%)
   b) select residence halls alcohol-free 56 (17.4%)
   c) alcohol-free floors in residence halls 75 (23.4%)
   d) contracted alcohol-free rooms 12 (3.7%)

104. Directors' opinions about current alcohol use on their campus vs. five years ago:
   a) increase in all levels of drinking 75 (23.4%)
   b) increase in binge drinking, but not overall drinking 71 (22.1%)
   c) level of alcohol use has not changed 130 (40.5%)
   d) decrease in binge drinking, but not overall drinking 12 (3.7%)
   e) decrease in all levels of drinking 14 (4.4%)

105. Centers that are taking the following actions to prepare for managed care: (Directors checked all that applied)
   a) Using DSM coding on all/most clients 62 (19.3%)
   b) No longer counting client cancellations or no-shows as part of counselor contact hours 20 (6.2%)
   c) Increasing emphasis/training on quality assurance and utilization review methods 50 (15.6%)
   d) Requiring more detailed documentation of treatment progress 71 (22.1%)
   e) Increased emphasis/training on consultation/outreach to campus community 148 (46.1%)
   f) Increased emphasis/training on short-term counseling 173 (53.9%)
   g) Lobbying government officials and/or insurance companies on inclusion of Counseling Centers as preferred providers 13 (4.0%)
   h) Other 26 (8.1%)

106. Innovative programs or projects at Counseling Centers:
   See Appendix D.
### SUMMARY DATA BY SCHOOL SIZE

Raw data reported outside brackets (frequency data inside)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SCHOOL SIZE</th>
<th>Under 2,500 (n=60)</th>
<th>2,500 - 7,500 (n=91)</th>
<th>7,500 - 15,000 (n=80)</th>
<th>Over 15,000 (n=90)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

1. Centers that charge fees for the following services:

   a) Personal counseling to students
   b) Personal counseling to faculty/staff
   c) Personal counseling to alumni
   d) Personal counseling to community
   e) Career counseling to students
   f) Career counseling to faculty/staff
   g) Career counseling to alumni
   h) Career counseling to community
   i) Career testing to students
   j) Career testing to faculty/staff
   k) Career testing to alumni
   l) Career testing to community
   m) Personality testing to students
   n) Personality testing to faculty/staff
   o) Personality testing to alumni
   p) Personality testing to community
   q) Personality testing to family/staff
   r) Personality testing to community

2. Centers which collect third party payments for personal counseling:

   a) Personal counseling to students
   b) Personal counseling to faculty/staff
   c) Personal counseling to alumni
   d) Personal counseling to community
   e) Career counseling to students
   f) Career counseling to faculty/staff
   g) Career counseling to alumni
   h) Career counseling to community
   i) Career testing to students
   j) Career testing to faculty/staff
   k) Career testing to alumni
   l) Career testing to community
   m) Personality testing to students
   n) Personality testing to faculty/staff
   o) Personality testing to alumni
   p) Personality testing to community
   q) Personality testing to family/staff
   r) Personality testing to community

3. Centers that provide the following services:

   a. National tests (e.g. GMAT, GRE, LSAT, CLEP)
   b. Scoring for faculty exams
   c. Evaluation of teaching
   d. Consultation for students on testing

4. When applicable, the income generated by testing programs:

   a) Supports testing services only
   b) Supports testing program and other Center programs
   c) Goes back into general funds
   d) Other

5. Centers that receive support through a mandated fee:

   a) Less than 25% of budget covered
   b) 25-49% of budget covered
   c) 50-74% of budget covered
   d) 75-100% of budget covered

6. Centers that took a budget cut in 1994-1995:

   a) Less than 25% of budget covered
   b) 25-49% of budget covered
   c) 50-74% of budget covered
   d) 75-100% of budget covered

7. For Centers supported by a mandatory fee:

   a) national testing
   b) state testing
   c) community testing
   d) private testing
   e) university testing

8. How these budget cuts affected the centers:

   a) reduced staff
   b) little or no salary increases
   c) reduced in salaries
   d) reduced "other costs" budget
   e) other

9. Institutions considering the following:

   a) Reorganizing Student Affairs
   b) Downsizing the Counseling Center
   c) Reorganizing the Counseling Center
   d) Outsourcing/Privatizing the Counseling Center

10. Directors that feel there is a real possibility that outsourcing/privatization may happen on their campus:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Under 2,500 (n=60)</th>
<th>2,500 - 7,500 (n=91)</th>
<th>7,500 - 15,000 (n=80)</th>
<th>Over 15,000 (n=90)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>13. Directors that support the following Counseling Center standards on case notes:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. Case notes should be kept only at the discretion of the counselor</td>
<td>2 (3.3%)</td>
<td>3 (3.3%)</td>
<td>1 (1.3%)</td>
<td>3 (3.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Case notes should be kept on each client, but should remain under the care of the client's counselor</td>
<td>17 (28.3%)</td>
<td>8 (8.8%)</td>
<td>9 (11.3%)</td>
<td>2 (2.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Case notes should be maintained only in a central office file</td>
<td>20 (33.3%)</td>
<td>34 (37.4%)</td>
<td>45 (56.3%)</td>
<td>57 (63.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. Case notes should be maintained in either a central file or in counselor's offices, depending on what works best for the Center</td>
<td>21 (35.0%)</td>
<td>43 (47.3%)</td>
<td>24 (30.0%)</td>
<td>27 (30.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15. Centers where there has been an increase in clients asking to view case records in recent years:</td>
<td>5 (8.3%)</td>
<td>17 (18.7%)</td>
<td>23 (28.8%)</td>
<td>32 (35.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16. Centers that typically provide clients with access to counselors' reports or case notes on request:</td>
<td>25 (41.7%)</td>
<td>46 (50.5%)</td>
<td>40 (50.0%)</td>
<td>61 (67.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17. Centers that have developed a policy on what should or shouldn't be included in case notes to protect against a court-ordered opening of records:</td>
<td>15 (25.0%)</td>
<td>25 (27.5%)</td>
<td>22 (27.5%)</td>
<td>33 (36.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19. Centers that provide written materials to clients explaining limits to confidentiality:</td>
<td>44 (73.3%)</td>
<td>76 (83.5%)</td>
<td>72 (90.0%)</td>
<td>83 (92.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20. Centers that inform students that in the future, they may be pressured to sign release of information forms if seeking employment in government agencies or admittance to the Bar:</td>
<td>11 (18.3%)</td>
<td>28 (30.8%)</td>
<td>29 (36.3%)</td>
<td>27 (30.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21. Directors that believe that if this information were provided, students who are considering government work or Law School might not seek counseling, or be less open in counseling:</td>
<td>33 (55.0%)</td>
<td>57 (62.6%)</td>
<td>48 (60.0%)</td>
<td>55 (61.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22. Directors in favor of a professional standard stating that psychologists and counselors are not permitted to release student records to anyone other than another treating professional (barring a court order), even with the signed release of the client/patient:</td>
<td>47 (78.3%)</td>
<td>67 (73.6%)</td>
<td>60 (75.0%)</td>
<td>63 (70.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23. Directors who feel that it would be a good idea to establish a small group to work on developing a statement in support of limited release of information:</td>
<td>50 (83.3%)</td>
<td>77 (84.6%)</td>
<td>70 (87.5%)</td>
<td>71 (78.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24. Centers that have had records or counselors subpoenaed in the past year:</td>
<td>10 (16.7%)</td>
<td>20 (22.0%)</td>
<td>20 (25.0%)</td>
<td>40 (44.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25. Centers where it was necessary to comply with the subpoena: (percentages based on responses to item 24)</td>
<td>6 (60.0%)</td>
<td>16 (80.0%)</td>
<td>17 (85.0%)</td>
<td>28 (70.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26. Subpoenaed records were used: (percentages based on responses to item 24)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a) in support of a claim by Center client</td>
<td>4 (40.0%)</td>
<td>12 (60.0%)</td>
<td>14 (70.0%)</td>
<td>32 (80.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) against a client</td>
<td>3 (30.0%)</td>
<td>9 (45.0%)</td>
<td>7 (35.0%)</td>
<td>13 (32.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27. Counselors who had to appear in court: (percentages based on responses to item 24)</td>
<td>3 (30.0%)</td>
<td>5 (25.0%)</td>
<td>2 (10.0%)</td>
<td>9 (22.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29. Centers that have had suits against them in the past year:</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>1 (1.1%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>3 (3.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30. Directors who have had to discipline or terminate a counselor or intern in the past year due to unethical practices:</td>
<td>1 (1.7%)</td>
<td>2 (2.2%)</td>
<td>6 (7.5%)</td>
<td>12 (13.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31. Centers which have experienced other legal/ethical dilemmas in the past year: Comments: See Appendix A</td>
<td>13 (21.7%)</td>
<td>23 (25.3%)</td>
<td>27 (33.8%)</td>
<td>34 (37.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32. Centers that have a reciprocity agreement with another Counseling Center (For example, an agreement to provide counseling to students from another institution, with the understanding that the other Center would provide services for your students, should the need arise):</td>
<td>4 (6.7%)</td>
<td>3 (3.3%)</td>
<td>6 (7.5%)</td>
<td>10 (11.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33. Centers that would, in general, provide services to a student from another college or university:</td>
<td>5 (8.3%)</td>
<td>13 (14.3%)</td>
<td>8 (10.0%)</td>
<td>10 (11.1%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 34. Centers that provide services to non-students not affiliated with the University (e.g. children of faculty, occasional walk-ins):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Under 2,500 (n=60)</th>
<th>2,500 - 7,500 (n=91)</th>
<th>7,500 - 15,000 (n=80)</th>
<th>Over 15,000 (n=90)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12 (20.0%)</td>
<td>16 (17.6%)</td>
<td>19 (23.8%)</td>
<td>19 (21.1%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 35. Schools where, if these services are provided, the institution would assume legal responsibility in the event of a suit by one of these clients: (% based on response to #34)

|                | 1 (8.3%)            | 4 (25.0%)            | 9 (47.4%)             | 8 (42.1%)         |

### 36. Centers where malpractice insurance is:

- a) Paid for by institution: 34 (56.7%)
- b) Paid for by counselors: 10 (16.7%)
- c) Not used; counselors are covered by general institutional insurance for all employees: 10 (16.7%)
- d) Other: 4 (6.7%)

### 37. Centers which have gained new staff positions in the past year:

- a) Professional: 7 (11.7%)
- b) Clerical: 3 (5.0%)
- c) Graduate student assistant or 1/2 time intern: 7 (11.7%)
- d) Intern (full time): 1 (1.7%)

### 38. Centers that have lost a staff position in the past year (not replaced):

- a) Professional: 5 (8.3%)
- b) Clerical: 0 (0.0%)
- c) Graduate student assistant or 1/2 time intern: 0 (0.0%)
- d) Intern (full time): 1 (1.7%)

### 39. Average salaries for professional staff are listed in the total section.

- a) Directors that hold academic rank at their institution: 17 (28.3%)
- b) Directors that are eligible for tenure: 2 (3.3%)
- c) Directors that are eligible for sabbaticals: 12 (20.0%)

### 43. Schools where other Center therapists hold faculty appointments:

- 8 (13.3%)

### 44. Centers where faculty or non-faculty staff are eligible for sabbaticals:

- 14 (23.3%)

### 45. Centers where counselors are given time off for consultation:

- a) Half a day per week: 2 (3.3%)
- b) Full day per week: 0 (0.0%)
- c) Other: 12 (20.0%)

### 46. Centers where counselors are allowed to use their offices for after-hours private practice:

- 21 (35.0%)

### 47. Schools which provide psychiatric services on campus (either in Counseling Center or another service unit):

- 16 (26.7%)

### 48. Number of FTE psychiatrists that are available for students:

- \( \bar{x} = 0.23 \) Range .03 to .75
- \( \bar{x} = 0.31 \) Range .02 to 2.0
- \( \bar{x} = 0.48 \) Range .03 to 4
- \( \bar{x} = 1.02 \) Range .01 to 4

### 49. Centers that required that a client receiving medication from an on-campus psychiatrist be followed by the Counseling Center for psychotherapy:

- 13 (21.7%)

### 50. Number of FTE mental health professionals who provide services to students on campus (includes all paid staff and interns at Centers and other service units on campus except for services provided by students in departmental clinics):

- \( \bar{x} = 2.57 \) Range .50 to .90
- \( \bar{x} = 4.3 \) Range .75 to 20
- \( \bar{x} = 6.91 \) Range 2 to 14.3
- \( \bar{x} = 12.67 \) Range 3 to 37

### 51. Number of FTE career counselors professionals which provide services to students on campus (includes all paid staff and interns at Centers and other service units on campus):

- \( \bar{x} = 2.03 \) Range .0 to 8.0
- \( \bar{x} = 3.17 \) Range .0 to 12
- \( \bar{x} = 4.06 \) Range 0 to 13
- \( \bar{x} = 6.92 \) Range 0 to 28.5

### 52. Centers that contract with staff on how they spend their time:

- 11 (18.3%)

### 53. Average number of individual client sessions in a week for FTE staff (intakes, assessments, counseling/therapy sessions):

- \( \bar{x} = 21.62 \) Range 12 to 35
- \( \bar{x} = 20.6 \) Range 10 to 30
- \( \bar{x} = 19.38 \) Range 10 to 30
- \( \bar{x} = 18.29 \) Range 11 - 32
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Under 2,500 (n=60)</th>
<th>2,500-7,500 (n=91)</th>
<th>7,500-15,000 (n=80)</th>
<th>Over 15,000 (n=90)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>54. Average number of group hours a week for FTE staff (therapy, support and theme groups)</td>
<td>x̄=1.85 Range 0 to 6</td>
<td>x̄=2.38 Range 0 to 27</td>
<td>x̄=1.86 Range 0 to 6</td>
<td>x̄=2.48 Range 0 to 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55. Average number of workshop/outreach/consultation hours a week for FTE staff:</td>
<td>x̄=4.01 Range 0 to 12</td>
<td>x̄=3.26 Range 0 to 15</td>
<td>x̄=2.84 Range .5 to 15</td>
<td>x̄=2.89 Range .25 to 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56. Centers that count client cancellations/no-shows as part of a counselor's hour count:</td>
<td>24 (40.0%)</td>
<td>43 (47.3%)</td>
<td>49 (61.3%)</td>
<td>58 (64.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57. Centers which limit the number of counseling sessions allowed a client:</td>
<td>x̄=10.00 Range 6 to 15</td>
<td>x̄=10.49 Range 3 to 24</td>
<td>x̄=11.23 Range 5 to 25</td>
<td>x̄=12.02 Range 6 to 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58. If a limit is set, maximum number of sessions allowed:</td>
<td>19 (31.7%)</td>
<td>47 (51.6%)</td>
<td>47 (58.8%)</td>
<td>65 (72.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59. If a session limit is set, and the maximum number of sessions has been reached, Centers that allow the following:</td>
<td>x̄=5.53 Range 2 to 10.8</td>
<td>x̄=5.02 Range 1.5 to 15</td>
<td>x̄=5.13 Range 2 to 16</td>
<td>x̄=5.14 Range 2.5 to 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. Client can be seen again for crisis situations</td>
<td>30 (50.0%)</td>
<td>60 (65.9%)</td>
<td>52 (65.0%)</td>
<td>68 (75.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Client can be seen for a second series of sessions in the following year</td>
<td>23 (38.3%)</td>
<td>28 (30.8%)</td>
<td>20 (25.0%)</td>
<td>31 (34.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Client can be seen each year for a series of time-limited sessions</td>
<td>24 (40.0%)</td>
<td>28 (30.8%)</td>
<td>21 (26.3%)</td>
<td>23 (25.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60. Average number of sessions per client in the past year:</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>5 (5.5%)</td>
<td>7 (8.8%)</td>
<td>13 (14.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. Ongoing</td>
<td>4 (6.7%)</td>
<td>1 (1.1%)</td>
<td>1 (1.1%)</td>
<td>3 (3.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Once a term</td>
<td>1 (1.7%)</td>
<td>15 (16.5%)</td>
<td>9 (11.3%)</td>
<td>19 (21.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Once a year</td>
<td>1 (1.7%)</td>
<td>28 (35.9%)</td>
<td>11 (14.0%)</td>
<td>19 (21.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. Other</td>
<td>4 (6.7%)</td>
<td>17 (21.8%)</td>
<td>19 (31.1%)</td>
<td>17 (21.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>See Appendix B for comments</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61. Centers that have taken the following actions to more effectively manage caseloads:</td>
<td>30 (50.0%)</td>
<td>78 (85.7%)</td>
<td>61 (76.3%)</td>
<td>79 (87.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. Seeing more students in therapy less than once a week</td>
<td>23 (38.3%)</td>
<td>28 (30.8%)</td>
<td>20 (25.0%)</td>
<td>31 (34.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Reducing number of students seen more than once a week</td>
<td>24 (40.0%)</td>
<td>28 (30.8%)</td>
<td>21 (26.3%)</td>
<td>23 (25.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. No longer have holding appointments for students (Instead of having a regular time each week, students make next appointment as counselor’s schedule allows)</td>
<td>24 (40.0%)</td>
<td>28 (30.8%)</td>
<td>21 (26.3%)</td>
<td>23 (25.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. Using waiting list “support” group (students attend group until an individual appointment is available)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>5 (5.5%)</td>
<td>7 (8.8%)</td>
<td>13 (14.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e. Assigning more students to groups directly from intake/assessment</td>
<td>2 (3.3%)</td>
<td>20 (22.0%)</td>
<td>22 (27.5%)</td>
<td>42 (46.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f. Using telephone assessment/intake system</td>
<td>4 (6.7%)</td>
<td>1 (1.1%)</td>
<td>1 (1.1%)</td>
<td>3 (3.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g. Using computerized assessment/intake system</td>
<td>1 (1.7%)</td>
<td>15 (16.5%)</td>
<td>9 (11.3%)</td>
<td>19 (21.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h. Other</td>
<td>4 (6.7%)</td>
<td>17 (21.8%)</td>
<td>19 (31.1%)</td>
<td>17 (21.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62. Centers that collect written evaluations from clients:</td>
<td>30 (50.0%)</td>
<td>78 (85.7%)</td>
<td>61 (76.3%)</td>
<td>79 (87.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63. In Centers that collect evaluations, it is completed</td>
<td>4 (13.3%)</td>
<td>14 (17.9%)</td>
<td>11 (18.0%)</td>
<td>23 (29.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. Ongoing</td>
<td>7 (23.3%)</td>
<td>19 (24.4%)</td>
<td>20 (32.8%)</td>
<td>16 (20.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Once a term</td>
<td>11 (36.7%)</td>
<td>28 (35.9%)</td>
<td>11 (18.0%)</td>
<td>23 (29.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Once a year</td>
<td>8 (26.7%)</td>
<td>17 (21.8%)</td>
<td>19 (31.1%)</td>
<td>17 (21.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. Other</td>
<td>8 (26.7%)</td>
<td>17 (21.8%)</td>
<td>19 (31.1%)</td>
<td>17 (21.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64. In Centers that collect evaluations, the following methods of distribution and reviewing the forms are used:</td>
<td>24 (80.0%)</td>
<td>49 (62.8%)</td>
<td>30 (49.2%)</td>
<td>34 (43.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. are returned to Director or the Director's representative</td>
<td>1 (3.3%)</td>
<td>2 (2.6%)</td>
<td>1 (1.6%)</td>
<td>2 (2.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. are returned directly to evaluated counselors, who then pass them on to Director</td>
<td>7 (23.3%)</td>
<td>17 (21.8%)</td>
<td>25 (41.0%)</td>
<td>20 (25.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Once a year</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>1 (1.6%)</td>
<td>3 (3.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. Other</td>
<td>1 (3.3%)</td>
<td>15 (19.2%)</td>
<td>8 (13.1%)</td>
<td>19 (24.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65. Present concerns of Centers:</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>1 (1.3%)</td>
<td>1 (1.6%)</td>
<td>2 (2.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a) Waiting list problems</td>
<td>2 (6.7%)</td>
<td>10 (12.8%)</td>
<td>6 (9.8%)</td>
<td>12 (15.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) An increase in the number of students with severe psychological problems</td>
<td>3 (5.0%)</td>
<td>16 (17.6%)</td>
<td>25 (31.3%)</td>
<td>32 (35.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Under 2,500 (n=60)</td>
<td>2,500 - 7,500 (n=91)</td>
<td>7,500 - 15,000 (n=80)</td>
<td>Over 15,000 (n=90)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Difficulty in filling groups</td>
<td>38 (63.3%)</td>
<td>62 (68.1%)</td>
<td>58 (72.5%)</td>
<td>56 (62.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) An increase in sexual assault cases</td>
<td>22 (36.7%)</td>
<td>27 (29.7%)</td>
<td>24 (30.0%)</td>
<td>23 (25.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e) An increase in crisis counseling</td>
<td>20 (33.3%)</td>
<td>40 (44.0%)</td>
<td>30 (37.5%)</td>
<td>39 (43.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f) Pressure on the Center to do more about drug and alcohol abuse on campus</td>
<td>31 (51.7%)</td>
<td>30 (33.0%)</td>
<td>20 (25.0%)</td>
<td>16 (17.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g) The need to find better referral sources for students who need long-term therapy</td>
<td>31 (51.7%)</td>
<td>56 (61.5%)</td>
<td>56 (70.0%)</td>
<td>69 (76.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h) Referrals by outside agencies to your Center of clients needing long-term therapy</td>
<td>1 (1.7%)</td>
<td>19 (20.9%)</td>
<td>22 (27.5%)</td>
<td>21 (23.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i) An increase in crisis counseling</td>
<td>34 (56.7%)</td>
<td>47 (51.6%)</td>
<td>35 (43.8%)</td>
<td>44 (48.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>j) A growing demand for services with no increase in resources or fewer resources</td>
<td>31 (51.7%)</td>
<td>60 (65.9%)</td>
<td>40 (50.0%)</td>
<td>54 (60.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k) Other</td>
<td>12 (20.0%)</td>
<td>15 (16.5%)</td>
<td>22 (27.5%)</td>
<td>28 (31.1%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

See Appendix C. for comments.

66. Directors who would notify parents against a student's wishes if the student is hospitalized for psychological reasons: 47 (78.3%) 71 (78.0%) 64 (80.0%) 77 (85.6%)

67. Directors who would notify parents when a student is not capable of expressing his/her wishes about informing the parents:
   a) Yes, but only if student is under age 13 13 (21.7%) 25 (27.5%) 23 (28.8%) 27 (30.0%)
   b) Yes, but only if student is still being supported by parents, or requires parents' insurance coverage 16 (26.7%) 19 (20.9%) 12 (15.0%) 5 (5.6%)
   c) Yes, in all cases 12 (20.0%) 17 (18.7%) 11 (13.8%) 10 (11.1%)
   d) No 14 (23.3%) 21 (23.1%) 20 (25.0%) 37 (41.1%)

68. Directors who would notify parents when a student is not capable of expressing his/her wishes about informing the parents:
   a) Yes, but only if student is under age 3 3 (5.0%) 12 (13.2%) 10 (12.5%) 14 (15.6%)
   b) Yes, but only if student is still being supported by parents, or requires parents' insurance coverage 12 (20.0%) 18 (19.8%) 12 (15.0%) 7 (7.8%)
   c) Yes, in all cases 32 (53.3%) 41 (45.1%) 33 (41.3%) 25 (27.8%)
   d) No 6 (10.0%) 9 (9.9%) 11 (13.8%) 27 (30.0%)

69. Campuses that had an enrolled student suicide in the 94-95 school year: 4 (6.7%) 24 (26.4%) 39 (48.8%) 64 (71.1%)

70. Centers that had a client suicide in the 94-95 school year: 2 (3.3%) 5 (5.5%) 9 (11.3%) 15 (16.7%)

71. Centers that have had legal action taken against them following a client or former client suicide:
   a) Out of court 2 (66.7%) 0 (0.0%) 0 (0.0%) 3 (3.3%)
   b) Still in progress 1 (33.3%) 0 (0.0%) 0 (0.0%) 3 (3.3%)

72. How these cases were settled (% based on response to #71): [n=3]
   a) Out of court N/A N/A N/A 2 (66.7%)
   b) In favor of Center N/A N/A N/A 0 (0.0%)
   c) Against Center N/A N/A N/A 0 (0.0%)
   d) Still in progress N/A N/A N/A 1 (33.3%)

73. Centers that have had to notify a third party about a potentially suicidal student during the past year: 24 (40.0%) 55 (60.4%) 47 (58.8%) 51 (56.7%)

74. Centers that have had to give warning during the past year to a third party about a student who posed danger to another person: 10 (16.7%) 14 (15.4%) 16 (20.0%) 27 (30.0%)

75. Directors that have noted a difference in violent incidents involving students:
   a. Noticed increase over last five years 28 (46.7%) 53 (58.2%) 43 (53.8%) 61 (67.8%)
   b. Remained same over last five years 29 (48.3%) 36 (39.6%) 35 (43.8%) 26 (28.9%)
   c. Noticed decrease over last five years 1 (1.7%) 1 (1.1%) 1 (1.3%) 1 (1.1%)

76. Directors that have noted a difference in violent incidents involving students:
   a. Noticed increase over last five years 23 (38.3%) 43 (47.3%) 27 (33.8%) 26 (28.9%)
   b. Remained same over last five years 22 (36.7%) 32 (35.2%) 29 (36.3%) 35 (38.9%)
   c. Noticed decrease over last five years 32 (53.3%) 64 (70.3%) 48 (60.0%) 52 (57.8%)
   d. Dealing with a potentially violent student 22 (36.7%) 43 (47.3%) 37 (46.3%) 40 (44.4%)
   e. Risks of counseling 11 (18.3%) 19 (20.9%) 16 (20.0%) 17 (18.9%)
   f. KINDS of client problems appropriate to be seen at the Counseling Center 22 (36.7%) 46 (50.5%) 40 (50.0%) 35 (38.9%)

77. Centers willing to share their written policies with other Centers: 31 (51.7%) 61 (67.0%) 57 (71.3%) 67 (74.4%)
78. Clients are most likely to be referred following intake when:
(Directors checked all responses that applied)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Under 2,500 (n=60)</th>
<th>2,500 - 7,500 (n=91)</th>
<th>7,500 - 15,000 (n=80)</th>
<th>Over 15,000 (n=90)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. longer term treatment required</td>
<td>41 (68.3%)</td>
<td>72 (79.1%)</td>
<td>67 (83.8%)</td>
<td>81 (90.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. insurance covers outside treatment</td>
<td>8 (13.3%)</td>
<td>17 (18.7%)</td>
<td>20 (25.0%)</td>
<td>34 (37.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. there is lack of staff expertise in client's problem area</td>
<td>52 (86.7%)</td>
<td>80 (87.9%)</td>
<td>72 (90.0%)</td>
<td>79 (87.8%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

79. Directors who know of students who have come to their Center in the past year because of sexual exploitation or harassment by:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>a. another therapist</th>
<th>b. faculty member of supervisor</th>
<th>c. another student</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(n=60)</td>
<td>(n=91)</td>
<td>(n=80)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. longer term treatment required</td>
<td>8 (13.3%)</td>
<td>9 (9.9%)</td>
<td>12 (15.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. insurance covers outside treatment</td>
<td>31 (51.7%)</td>
<td>53 (58.2%)</td>
<td>56 (70.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. there is lack of staff expertise in client's problem area</td>
<td>47 (78.3%)</td>
<td>78 (85.7%)</td>
<td>67 (83.8%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

80. Centers that have special programs for:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>a. gay, lesbian, and bisexual students</th>
<th>b. racial minorities</th>
<th>c. international students</th>
<th>d. financially disadvantaged</th>
<th>e. single mothers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(n=60)</td>
<td>(n=91)</td>
<td>(n=80)</td>
<td>(n=90)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. gay, lesbian, and bisexual students</td>
<td>20 (33.3%)</td>
<td>30 (33.0%)</td>
<td>35 (43.8%)</td>
<td>58 (64.4%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. racial minorities</td>
<td>14 (23.3%)</td>
<td>25 (27.5%)</td>
<td>33 (41.3%)</td>
<td>59 (65.6%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. international students</td>
<td>12 (20.0%)</td>
<td>30 (33.0%)</td>
<td>18 (22.5%)</td>
<td>34 (37.8%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. financially disadvantaged</td>
<td>6 (10.0%)</td>
<td>8 (6.6%)</td>
<td>3 (3.8%)</td>
<td>7 (8.8%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e. single mothers</td>
<td>3 (5.0%)</td>
<td>8 (8.8%)</td>
<td>7 (8.8%)</td>
<td>11 (12.2%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

81. Centers that have thoroughly reviewed APA ethical guidelines for working with multicultural students

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>(n=60)</th>
<th>(n=91)</th>
<th>(n=80)</th>
<th>(n=90)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. gay, lesbian, and bisexual students</td>
<td>4 (6.7%)</td>
<td>6 (6.6%)</td>
<td>6 (7.5%)</td>
<td>14 (15.6%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

82. Directors that feel their staff is very well-versed about these guidelines:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>(n=60)</th>
<th>(n=91)</th>
<th>(n=80)</th>
<th>(n=90)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. gay, lesbian, and bisexual students</td>
<td>3 (5.0%)</td>
<td>4 (4.4%)</td>
<td>5 (6.3%)</td>
<td>9 (10.0%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

83. Directors that feel the number of students seeking help for eating disorders is:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>a. increasing</th>
<th>b. decreasing</th>
<th>c. remaining about the same as previous years</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(n=60)</td>
<td>(n=91)</td>
<td>(n=80)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. increasing</td>
<td>22 (36.7%)</td>
<td>25 (27.5%)</td>
<td>24 (30.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. decreasing</td>
<td>5 (8.3%)</td>
<td>7 (7.7%)</td>
<td>12 (15.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. remaining about the same as previous years</td>
<td>33 (55.0%)</td>
<td>58 (63.7%)</td>
<td>43 (53.8%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

84. Centers that have seen one or more HIV positive clients within the past year:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>(n=60)</th>
<th>(n=91)</th>
<th>(n=80)</th>
<th>(n=90)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. gay, lesbian, and bisexual students</td>
<td>16 (26.7%)</td>
<td>35 (38.5%)</td>
<td>36 (45.0%)</td>
<td>52 (57.8%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

85. Directors who felt that any of these HIV positive clients posed a risk to any third party:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>(n=60)</th>
<th>(n=91)</th>
<th>(n=80)</th>
<th>(n=90)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. gay, lesbian, and bisexual students</td>
<td>2 (12.5%)</td>
<td>6 (17.1%)</td>
<td>5 (13.9%)</td>
<td>11 (21.2%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

86. How Directors would generally handle it if an HIV positive client states that he/she has not informed his/her partner of the health situation:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>a. Would take no action</th>
<th>b. Would encourage disclosure but otherwise take no action</th>
<th>c. Would inform client that if he/she did not inform partner, that you would be ethically bound to do so</th>
<th>d. Other</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(n=60)</td>
<td>(n=91)</td>
<td>(n=80)</td>
<td>(n=90)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. Would take no action</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>1 (1.3%)</td>
<td>1 (1.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Would encourage disclosure but otherwise take no action</td>
<td>29 (48.3%)</td>
<td>36 (39.6%)</td>
<td>45 (56.3%)</td>
<td>43 (47.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Would inform client that if he/she did not inform partner, that you would be ethically bound to do so</td>
<td>15 (25.0%)</td>
<td>31 (34.1%)</td>
<td>24 (30.0%)</td>
<td>27 (30.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. Other</td>
<td>8 (13.3%)</td>
<td>12 (13.2%)</td>
<td>6 (7.5%)</td>
<td>15 (16.7%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

87. Directors who have noticed an increase in the number of students who report having been sexually abused as children:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>(n=60)</th>
<th>(n=91)</th>
<th>(n=80)</th>
<th>(n=90)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. gay, lesbian, and bisexual students</td>
<td>40 (66.7%)</td>
<td>71 (78.0%)</td>
<td>62 (77.5%)</td>
<td>61 (67.8%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

88. Directors who feel students reporting earlier sexual abuse typically have more serious psychological problems than other personal counseling clients:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>(n=60)</th>
<th>(n=91)</th>
<th>(n=80)</th>
<th>(n=90)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. gay, lesbian, and bisexual students</td>
<td>44 (73.3%)</td>
<td>76 (83.5%)</td>
<td>65 (81.3%)</td>
<td>69 (76.7%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

89. Centers where staff have had in-service training in the past year on how to work with students who have been sexually abused as children:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>(n=60)</th>
<th>(n=91)</th>
<th>(n=80)</th>
<th>(n=90)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. gay, lesbian, and bisexual students</td>
<td>18 (30.0%)</td>
<td>36 (39.6%)</td>
<td>33 (41.3%)</td>
<td>43 (47.8%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

90. Centers that have run groups for students who have been sexually abused as children:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>(n=60)</th>
<th>(n=91)</th>
<th>(n=80)</th>
<th>(n=90)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. gay, lesbian, and bisexual students</td>
<td>11 (18.3%)</td>
<td>36 (39.6%)</td>
<td>51 (63.8%)</td>
<td>63 (70.0%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

91. Centers where counselors have made a child abuse report for the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>a. client who had been abused in the past</th>
<th>b. a client who was being abused concurrent with counseling</th>
<th>c. a client who had previously abused a child</th>
<th>d. a client who was abusing a child concurrent with counseling</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(n=60)</td>
<td>(n=91)</td>
<td>(n=80)</td>
<td>(n=90)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. client who had been abused in the past</td>
<td>4 (6.7%)</td>
<td>14 (15.4%)</td>
<td>21 (26.3%)</td>
<td>24 (26.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. a client who was being abused concurrent with counseling</td>
<td>2 (3.3%)</td>
<td>4 (4.4%)</td>
<td>8 (10.0%)</td>
<td>19 (21.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. a client who had previously abused a child</td>
<td>1 (1.7%)</td>
<td>6 (6.6%)</td>
<td>8 (10.0%)</td>
<td>13 (14.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. a client who was abusing a child concurrent with counseling</td>
<td>2 (3.3%)</td>
<td>8 (8.8%)</td>
<td>6 (7.5%)</td>
<td>12 (13.3%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

92. Clients where counseling staff have been legally involved in cases:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>a. to support a child abuse victim</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(n=60)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. to support a child abuse victim</td>
<td>3 (5.0%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
b. to support a child abuse offender
0 (0.0%) 3 (3.3%) 1 (1.3%) 2 (2.2%) 0 (0.0%)
c. called to testify due to family suit alleging false memory
0 (0.0%) 0 (0.0%) 0 (0.0%) 0 (0.0%) 0 (0.0%)

93. Centers that routinely ask about childhood sexual abuse in assessment of clients:
a. for female clients
5 (8.3%) 3 (3.3%) 6 (7.5%) 3 (3.3%) 9 (15.0%)
b. for all clients
17 (28.3%) 28 (40.8%) 27 (33.8%) 35 (38.9%) 28 (30.8%)
c. not routinely
37 (61.7%) 56 (86.9%) 47 (58.8%) 51 (56.7%) 44 (46.1%)

94. Centers that have policies/procedures on how reports of recovered memories of childhood sexual abuse should be handled:
a. for female clients
5 (8.3%) 3 (3.3%) 6 (7.5%) 3 (3.3%) 12 (16.7%)
b. for all clients
17 (28.3%) 28 (40.8%) 27 (33.8%) 35 (38.9%) 47 (60.4%)
c. not routinely
37 (61.7%) 56 (86.9%) 47 (58.8%) 51 (56.7%) 27 (34.9%)

95. Centers where the debate between recovered memory (suggesting that recovered memories of abuse are real memories) and false memory (suggesting that recovered memories are therapist-induced fictions) has become an issue:
a. It was never been discussed at our Center
9 (15.0%) 12 (13.2%) 8 (10.0%) 11 (12.2%) 15 (22.2%)
b. The issue has been briefly mentioned
36 (60.0%) 55 (60.4%) 47 (58.8%) 55 (61.1%) 55 (60.4%)
c. Our staff has had special training/frequent discussions around this issue
14 (23.3%) 23 (25.3%) 25 (31.3%) 24 (26.7%) 20 (27.4%)

96. Centers that accept mandated referrals from a campus administrator or Judicial Board:
a. for assessment and counseling
31 (51.7%) 39 (42.9%) 31 (38.8%) 30 (33.3%) 38 (48.9%)
b. for assessment only (no mandatory counseling)
20 (33.3%) 35 (38.5%) 33 (41.3%) 44 (48.9%) 35 (28.6%)
c. we accept no mandated referrals
9 (15.0%) 16 (17.6%) 15 (18.8%) 14 (15.6%) 11 (14.3%)

97. If a campus judicial board or administrator makes a mandatory referral to the Center of a student with a drug or alcohol problem, it is generally handled in the following manner:
a. No such referrals are accepted
9 (15.0%) 16 (17.6%) 19 (23.8%) 15 (16.7%) 18 (23.8%)
b. Will see the student for no more than one mandatory visit
25 (41.7%) 33 (36.3%) 30 (37.5%) 45 (50.0%) 47 (57.1%)
c. Will see the student for a series of mandatory sessions
25 (41.7%) 38 (41.8%) 25 (31.3%) 22 (24.4%) 23 (29.0%)

98. Level of success for Centers who see mandatory drug & alcohol cases:
a. very successful
4 (6.7%) 4 (4.4%) 1 (1.3%) 2 (2.2%) 3 (3.8%)
b. moderately successful
24 (40.0%) 33 (36.3%) 32 (40.0%) 33 (36.7%) 30 (38.8%)
c. not very successful
14 (23.3%) 20 (22.0%) 16 (20.0%) 20 (22.2%) 15 (18.8%)

99. Schools that have received a FIPSE grant:
a. through the Counseling Center
15 (25.0%) 21 (23.1%) 19 (23.8%) 16 (17.8%) 15 (18.8%)
b. through the Health Center
2 (3.3%) 4 (4.4%) 14 (17.5%) 20 (22.2%) 16 (20.0%)
c. through some other office
12 (20.0%) 27 (29.7%) 19 (23.8%) 26 (28.9%) 24 (29.0%)

100. Schools that have attempted to reduced alcohol on campus using these methods:
(Directors checked all that applied)
a. on a policy level
47 (78.3%) 71 (78.0%) 62 (77.5%) 68 (75.6%) 70 (78.8%)
b. on-campus prevention programs have been implemented
48 (80.0%) 81 (89.0%) 72 (90.0%) 80 (88.9%) 82 (96.0%)
c. on-campus treatment focused programs have been implemented
17 (28.3%) 33 (36.3%) 33 (41.3%) 35 (38.9%) 35 (38.9%)
d. off-campus referrals to treatment/prevention programs are offered
32 (55.0%) 58 (63.7%) 53 (66.3%) 54 (60.0%) 56 (63.7%)
e. alcohol use is not considered a problem on our campus
5 (8.3%) 1 (1.1%) 5 (6.3%) 3 (3.3%) 4 (4.8%)

101. Schools that have implemented any of the following policies and programs to address alcohol-related problems on campus:
(Directors checked all that applied)
a. peer education
40 (66.7%) 74 (81.3%) 67 (83.8%) 73 (81.1%) 76 (88.9%)
b. social marketing for prevention of alcohol abuse
27 (45.0%) 53 (58.2%) 47 (58.8%) 55 (61.1%) 60 (70.4%)
c. low tolerance policy for alcohol related crimes
30 (50.0%) 45 (49.5%) 34 (42.5%) 39 (43.3%) 41 (49.1%)
d. increased regulation of the Greek system
19 (31.7%) 38 (41.8%) 44 (50.0%) 53 (58.9%) 56 (65.1%)

102. Schools that have instituted the following alcohol reduction residence options: (Directors checked all that applied)
a. all residence halls totally alcohol-free
6 (10.0%) 23 (25.3%) 19 (23.8%) 27 (30.0%) 30 (35.6%)
b. select residence halls alcohol-free
16 (26.7%) 13 (14.3%) 14 (17.5%) 13 (14.4%) 16 (18.8%)
c. alcohol-free floors in residence halls
19 (31.7%) 16 (17.6%) 25 (31.3%) 15 (16.7%) 17 (20.0%)
d. contracted alcohol-free rooms
0 (0.0%) 2 (2.2%) 3 (3.8%) 7 (8.0%) 10 (11.1%)
### 104. Directors' opinions about current alcohol use on their campus vs. five years ago:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Under 2,500 (n=60)</th>
<th>2,500 - 7,500 (n=91)</th>
<th>7,500 - 15,000 (n=80)</th>
<th>Over 15,000 (n=90)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. increase in all levels of drinking</td>
<td>12 (20.0%)</td>
<td>23 (25.3%)</td>
<td>25 (31.3%)</td>
<td>15 (16.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. increase in binge drinking, but not overall drinking</td>
<td>14 (23.3%)</td>
<td>19 (20.9%)</td>
<td>17 (21.3%)</td>
<td>21 (23.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. level of alcohol use has not changed</td>
<td>26 (43.3%)</td>
<td>32 (35.2%)</td>
<td>26 (32.5%)</td>
<td>46 (51.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. decrease in binge drinking, but not overall drinking</td>
<td>2 (3.3%)</td>
<td>5 (5.5%)</td>
<td>3 (3.8%)</td>
<td>2 (2.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e. decrease in all levels of drinking</td>
<td>1 (1.7%)</td>
<td>6 (6.6%)</td>
<td>3 (3.8%)</td>
<td>4 (4.4%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 105. Centers that are taking the following actions to prepare for managed care: (Directors checked all that applied)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Under 2,500 (n=60)</th>
<th>2,500 - 7,500 (n=91)</th>
<th>7,500 - 15,000 (n=80)</th>
<th>Over 15,000 (n=90)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. Using DSM coding on all/most clients</td>
<td>2 (3.3%)</td>
<td>14 (15.4%)</td>
<td>19 (23.8%)</td>
<td>27 (30.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. No longer counting client cancellations or no-shows as part of counselor contact hours</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>6 (6.6%)</td>
<td>5 (6.3%)</td>
<td>9 (10.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Requiring written treatment plans</td>
<td>4 (6.7%)</td>
<td>15 (16.5%)</td>
<td>14 (17.5%)</td>
<td>17 (18.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. Requiring more detailed documentation of treatment progress</td>
<td>8 (13.3%)</td>
<td>22 (24.2%)</td>
<td>18 (22.5%)</td>
<td>23 (25.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e. Increased emphasis/training on quality assurance and utilization review methods</td>
<td>5 (8.3%)</td>
<td>11 (12.1%)</td>
<td>18 (22.5%)</td>
<td>33 (36.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f. Increased emphasis on consultation/outreach to campus community</td>
<td>19 (31.7%)</td>
<td>37 (40.7%)</td>
<td>46 (57.5%)</td>
<td>46 (51.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g. Increased emphasis/training on short-term counseling</td>
<td>21 (35.0%)</td>
<td>47 (51.6%)</td>
<td>45 (56.3%)</td>
<td>60 (66.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h. Lobbying government officials and/or insurance companies on inclusion of Counseling Centers as preferred providers</td>
<td>1 (1.7%)</td>
<td>4 (4.4%)</td>
<td>6 (7.5%)</td>
<td>2 (2.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i. Other</td>
<td>4 (6.7%)</td>
<td>5 (5.5%)</td>
<td>6 (7.5%)</td>
<td>11 (12.2%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 106. Innovative programs or projects at Counseling Centers: See Appendix D.
Ethical Dilemmas- Question 31

Confidentiality/Release of Information Issues:

Reports from clients and past interns that a current staff member inappropriately talks about his own issues in groups and sessions.

An insurance company for the University wanted the Counseling Center Director to report rape cases to the University lawyer. The Director refused.

One Director wondered about the limits to confidentiality with drug and alcohol abuse and how to determine an emergency situation. He conveyed a story about a student who reported that a client had been drinking in her room and the Director's difficulty in determining when the RA should be informed.

Regarding family therapy cases-who needs to give consent to release records (which family members are "clients," etc.). Also, one Center expressed concern about parents who call and want the counselor to keep the call secret.

Conflicting "needs to know" among Student Affairs staff, resulting in pressure from Dean of Students to release confidential counseling information. In another situation, an Assistant Vice-President was asking for information on clients. Several Centers expressed concern about parents or university staff (i.e. residence hall staff) who pressure for information before a release has been signed.

How to handle computerization of our records to insure maximum confidentiality.

There continues to be ongoing concern regarding when there is sufficient threat to self or others for confidentiality to be broken? One Center expressed concern about when to break confidentially with eating disordered clients.

Questions about what to do with knowledge about professors who have patterns of exploiting graduate students (sexual and non-sexual exploitation) when students were afraid or unwilling to take action.

Maintaining confidentiality for client of sexual assault vs. responsible institutional response to insure protection of other students. The role of treatment vs. advocacy has also been debated within several Counseling Centers. Can Centers do both?

A woman was attacked by an unknown assailant on campus. She did not want to inform Security. Do I inform Security without using her name, so they can increase surveillance of the area? Dilemma concerning individual versus community needs.

A Student Health Service illegally released confidential information involving counseling about a student.

Client session audio tapes stolen from a practicum student.

Request for sharing confidential information with parents of student who committed suicide.

Staff Issues

One Center reported that only an unlicensed psychologist (in process of gaining license) was available to supervise unpaid interns.

Rivalry and triangulation on the part of one staff against another: how much information should be shared with the rest of the staff?

Trainee suddenly decided to resign and the Director had to deal with home program about the decision and its implications.

Ongoing public accusations against a Director, a counselor, and eventually a number of other University personnel by a former client of the counselor - under what circumstances should the Director give information during inquiries?

Two Centers reported dilemmas involving impaired employees whose work had deteriorated. One was dismissed and the other was counseled into another position.
Standards/Legal

University received a complaint from the Office of Civil Rights concerning not providing accommodations for graduate students with an Axis II 301.22 Schizotypal Disorder.

IACS standards state that "when appropriate" Centers should play an active role in advocating needs of students to campus community. In advocating for support of needs of gay/lesbian/bisexual students, one Center became object of college senate inquiry.

A staff psychologist was asked to attend a hearing in support of a client who filed sexual harassment charges against a faculty member.

Assault on therapist who took legal action against client, who in turn filed harassment charges against therapist.

One Center received reports from different students that a university official is ignoring their complaints of sexual harassment—but the students gave no permission to reveal.

One Director was instructed by the university president to do an illegal job search for a newly created position (i.e., pretend to follow affirmative action guidelines). When the Director refused the position was pulled.

One Director reported that he/she advocated for a student with the understanding that ADA prohibits the use of psychiatric history in admission decisions. Another Director wondered about the implications of ADA for eating disordered students. What are the implications of ADA?

What about the ethics of a mandatory requirement for all new students to have career assessment?

Dual Relationships

What do you do when a friend, roommate, partner of a client requests to enter therapy with that client's counselor?

A Director is seeing one-half of a relationship while the staff counselor sees the other (suicidal client). The Director needs to both supervise the counselor and keep distance because of his own client.

Dual relationship issues included: staff psychologist as professor, clients who are also student assistants and dual roles with former (or future, given advance registration) students requesting therapy.

Situation in which employee, as spouse of clinical director, may have to report to clinical director.

Community member sought information re: the ethics of private practitioner establishing personal relationship with the woman's husband while he was still in treatment.

Clinical Issues

A duty to warn dilemma that might have resulted in the potential victim then killing the potential perpetrator.

Evaluation of admitted student subsequently found to have murdered her mother.

Considered ethics of hospitalizing/not hospitalizing a student who had intermittent suicidal crises.

A minor (17 year old) admitted as part of session that she was abused as a child by father. Other small children remain at home but she did not want to report abuse.

Clinical and ethical issues around "repressed memory" of abuse or vague sense that something happened in the past—which has been described by several clients. One Center expressed concern about providing services to such students within a short term model.

Untrue anonymous accusation (widely disseminated) of psychologist and former client in a sexual relationship.

Assessment and disposition in case involving an international student who spoke poor English and was suicidal. Student developed obsessive infatuation with her psychologist.
Systems Issues

Several Centers wrote of the difficulty in finding psychiatrists for medication review or hospitalization needs unless the student had good insurance. One Center wondered about the implications of having the university psychiatrist prescribe medication for over the summer. Outpatient follow-up is particularly difficult if the student lives out of state.

Use of "mandated evaluations" by the Office of Residence Life to determine a student's ability to continue in campus housing.

Conflict between data collection on sexual aggression-harassment, assault, and confidentiality.

Faculty committee on women requested that our EAP provide a support group for women faculty members dealing with sexual harassment; a number of whom were contemplating suits against the University. Two possible group members were clients and are initiating lawsuits.

An Assistant Dean of Academics would continuously and deliberately misconstrue the counseling facts of her referrals on mutual clients, then claim we gave bad service. We could not defend or explain what we did because of confidentiality.

In moving disabilities services administratively into Counseling Center, it was necessary to coordinate two different ethical/confidentiality codes.

Referrals from administration as part of disciplinary process; involvement of parents prior to 302 petition.

Conflict arose between state psychology board's interpretation of state law and the campus attorney general's office. Center got caught in the middle.

One Director feels at odds philosophically within the medical model and feels a lack of authority and advocacy within the larger system.

We are caught as a public institution between a state law against "making a gift of state funds" which our VP interprets to mean no services during academic breaks, and the opposing needs for continuity of care and the hazard of abandonment.

Record Keeping Issues

Client wanting information in her file pertaining to her disclosure that she has Down's Syndrome to be expunged from her record. Felt (after parents' overreaction, we suspect) that the disclosure was coerced and afraid others (teachers, students) would find out.

The possibility of records being subpoenaed for a lawsuit on a current client did not come about, but it forced us to address questions of how to write defensive notes.

Student asked that her records be destroyed after she was provided with a copy. We refused to do this. Her lawyer has contacted university counsel, but has not brought suit as yet.

Whether/how to respond to a request for records for a disability claim when our records indicated there had been an illegal name change.

Student requested we shred his records; previous client asked Counseling Center to sign for room in Student Union so she could offer rape survivors' support group.
Appendix B

Actions that Centers have taken to Effectively Manage Caseloads: Question 61

Eight Centers reported employing more outside referrals, four Centers reported reducing session time, and two centers hire more graduate assistants or interns. Some Centers reported that they increased therapists' caseloads and/or hours at peak times. Several Centers have adjusted their intake system in the following ways: use of paper and pencil intake/assessment, do intake when students walk in, shorten intake process and assign students more quickly to group or individual counseling.

Other suggestions included:

- Seeing career clients in a group for initial session. Seeing career clients for 30 minute appointments.
- Charging after 6 sessions
- Have emergency hour each day to handle crisis situations
- Reduced number of sessions from 12 to 10
- See two students together
- We automatically terminate if client no-shows once or if cancels 2 of 3 consecutive appointments
- Ongoing training on brief therapy techniques, case conferences providing peer supervision and support; charging $10 per missed/non-canceled appointment
- 1) Acuity system; 2) Productivity system with weekly feedback; 3) Limited annual leave during high demand time; 4) Redefinition of psychiatrist's role; 4) Eliminate comp time; 5) Limited educational leave; 6) Clear priority on face-to-face billable hours over outreach, workshops, etc.
- Do pre-screening testing on P.I./or CD testing
- Forming small (3-5 clients) “problem-solving” groups
- Offering drop-in hours for brief problem-solving
- Using 1-2 session assessment/brief therapy model (for very specific and easily addressed concerns)
- Clients have three working days following a missed appointment to reschedule, or else lose their regular weekly time
- Central scheduling
- Wait-list schedule matching
- Set maximum number of appointments to be scheduled with clients per day for individual therapy
- Restructuring work day schedule to free up another hour (multiplied by 6 counselors, means 6 more service hours per day)
- Stop putting clients on waiting list after spring break-counselor and client must find some other option
Appendix C

Concerns of Centers: Question 65

The most prevalent other concerns expressed by Centers included: budget cuts and outsourcing/privatization (17 comments), psychiatric consultation and hospital admission and insurance issues (17 comments) and lack of adequate space (4 comments). Two Centers had concerns about losing or replacing staff members. Some Centers had concerns about different kinds of treatment populations including: eating disorders, alcohol abuse, sexual assault, ethnic minority, and international students.

Other concerns expressed by Centers include:
- Increase in requests for ADHD services
- Closing down Center completely
- Need to develop evaluation procedures; need to become more engaged in University program evaluation research
- Our concerns are developing multicultural initiatives and raising community awareness around eating disorders
- Pressure to do more research and see more clients
- Dealing with fall-out repercussions of six suicides this year
- Academic department accepting more students into counselor training program than client flow can support
- Students not utilizing services
- Demands from the campus community for assistance with disturbed/distressed students who are not Center clients
- Students wanting and fighting for more control and management of student services-including budget
- Increased pressure to provide more outreach when we are busy providing emergency services and ongoing therapy
- Finding sufficient number of appropriate clients for practicum students who need mild to moderate somewhat long term clients
- Violence against students
- Administrative pressure to justify the existence of the Center
- VP of Student Affairs is mandating we increase our caseloads of student contacts
- Increasing demand for programming (preparation and presentation) cuts into available clinical hours
- More pressure from parents
- Concern for career counseling resources; overmedication by Health Services
- Political pressure to do therapy and LD services the way campus officials want it done, regardless of ethical or therapeutic or standard of care issues
- Health care reform & change issues directly affecting the Student Health Center, i.e., funding threats, student insurance problems, increased competition from HMOs, accreditation expectation, continuous quality improvement*
- Need for anger management program
- Increased demand for outreach and prevention training for students on issues of suicide and date rape; correcting campus misperceptions of A&D use
- We have seen more pregnancy/abortion related issues this year than ever-from 0 in the past 2 years to about 8 this year
Appendix D

Innovative Programs (Schools have been identified by their Director numbers for networking purposes) Question 106

Several Centers have started Peer Education and Peer Counseling for areas such as dissertation support group, tutoring, survey research eating disorders, mentoring for sexual minorities, and outreach to culturally diverse students. Several other Centers reported beginning special sexual assault services for students, including videotapes to illustrate school policies and volunteers to educate and advocate in the community. Four Centers have developed Critical Incident Stress Debriefing Teams and/or better risk evaluation procedures (256, 262, 290, 079). Several Centers have begun interdisciplinary eating disorders assessment and treatment teams with collaboration in case conferences (i.e. incorporating assessments in plan from physician, nutrition-dietician, exercise physiologist and psychologist) (132, 138, 250). Several Centers have offered workshops during evening or lunch times on topics such as: relationships, psychopathology, stress, self-esteem, and depression.

007 S.O.R.T. (Survivors of Rape Trauma) Support Group (partially funded through local mental health center - clients referred to group by campus and off-campus mental health providers)
010 Meditation based relaxation training program
026 A multicultural training program called REACH-Reaffirming Ethnic Awareness and Community Harmony
044 Summer Bridge Program involves interns and staff working with minority and disadvantaged high school students considering a college education.
047 Sisterhood Support Group: support group for African American women students co-sponsored by the Women's Resource Center
056 "Kiss and Make Up" week (distribution of coupons advertising free resources, relationship programs) "Get off my Back" week (communication/assertion programs, massage and stretching programs, assertion training)
065 "Neuropsychological testing program: response to increased needs of students with hearing disability, head injury, ADD, etc.; biofeedback services for performance anxiety, etc.; retention program for students on probation."
081 "Guerilla theater" - a theater group which presents social issues (racism, ageism, homophobia) followed by discussion
105 Alumni network for gay/lesbian/bisexual students-in development-related to job search, workplace issues
114 Mental health newsletter to students and faculty-Faculty diversity sensitivity training
127 Started a peer late night hotline this year, called "Nighttalk."
154 Developed a support group for Directors of one person or small staffed college Counseling Centers - we meet four times a year to discuss issues of interest and concerns.
157 During fall semester, all first-year students participate in a workshop required before pre-registration called "Exploring College Goals" which provides individual Myers-Briggs results and career/life planning information"
172 We offered groups and gave them titles from movie titles, like a transition group called "Reality Bites" and a survivors of abuse group called "Safe Passage."
177 Images of Me, a self-esteem group for African-American female students. Smoking cessation group for employees.
178 Traditional Male and Female Roles and Values: Couples Communication, Men & Violence, Men and Health Risks*
188 Multicultural program; Lesbian-Bisexual groups; Major suicide prevention programming
221 Cross-Cultural Consultation Team - sponsored campus multicultural summit focusing on campus environment
Outreach program series including "Lunch and Learn-The Relationship Series," and "Career Quest." One particular outreach program that received considerable attention was "Using Soaps to Explore Your Unconscious"

1) ALLY Program - network of gay/lesbian/bisexual supportive faculty and staff; 2) Intergroup Relations Program - program to enhance dialogue among diverse groups; 3) "Inner Voices" - Open form issue oriented theater troupe

Risque Business- a music/drama performing troupe which dramatizes health lifestyle issues-travels to junior and senior high schools in the region.

Proposing a program to get highly respected faculty, staff and students to assist us in reducing the alcohol abuse problem on campus.

Eating disorder educator: senior psychology intern position that will research, develop workshop/group materials and give residence hall programs.

CAI-based intake program, organ donation pilot program

Guided Self Change for alcohol use

Mental health topical outreach booth in Union; covering only 1-2 topics per week (stress and depression, relationship break-ups, anxiety disorders, etc.)

Student destigmatization poster campaign about using counseling services
The following pages contain directories to assist you in matching counseling centers with their three digit identification numbers. Beginning on this page is an alphabetical listing by last name of all counseling center directors. On the following pages is a list which is organized alphabetically by institution name. Some institutions whose surveys were not included in the data analysis can be found at the end of that list.

ALPHABETIZED LISTING OF PARTICIPANTS - Directory number follows name

Aiken, Jim (025)  
Aliasho, Kip C. (114)  
Allbritten, Bill (121)  
Andre, Bellverre (204)  
Anton, William D. (271)  
Arnold, Elizabeth (128)  
Atkins, Pam (188)  
Azar, James A. (152)  
Backels, Steve (142)  
Baker, Deborah (274)  
Baker, Ted (111)  
Balderrama, Sylvia (297)  
Balistrieri, Thomas J. (243)  
Ball, Wilbert (054)  
Barclay, Rosalyn (056)  
Bayne, Robert D. (175)  
Bentley, Charles (318)  
Bieden, Herbert R. (025)  
Birky, Ian (098)  
Bishop, John B. (223)  
Bloom, Linda (061)  
Bolland, Herbert R. (038)  
Booth, Janis C. (118)  
Bowersock, Roger B. (269)  
Boyd, Vivian S. (235)  
Brandon, Irvin W. (206)  
Brian, Tom J. (281)  
Brooks, Jo (260)  
Brown, Bernice E. (107)  
Brown, Steve D. (226)  
Browning, Bobbe (028)  
Brummlins, Lim (302)  
Bucell, Michael (058)  
Buckles, Nancy B. (084)  
Burgan, W. Michael (039)  
Burmister, Carrie (236)  
Canavan, Margaret (279)  
Cannici, James (196)  
Carney, Clarke G. (093)  
Chagnon, Jean (156)  
Chandler, David (235)  
Chappelle, Joan M. (005)  
Chirico, Berno (110)  
Chislett, Lise (326)  
Cimolic, Peter (035)  
Clack, James R. (283)  
Coffman, Janet (171)  
Cook, Donelada A. (103)  
Cooper, Stewart E. (295)  
Corazzini, John G. "Jack" (298)  
Coriosella, Doli (049)  
Covington, James D. (116)  
Cozens, David S. (137)  
Craig, Donald H. (253)  
Curoe, Bernadine (101)  
Danchise, Roger (014)  
Daughthee, Charlotte (161)  
Davidshofer, Charles O. (044)  
Deakin, Spencer (069)  
Deneselya, Helen A. (120)  
DePalma, Diane M. (071)  
DePauw, Mary E. (157)  
DeSalvo, Francis J. (231)  
DeStefano, Thomas J. (131)  
Digs, Connie (029)  
Donahoe, Patrick M. (119)  
Dorn, Patsy A. (009)  
Doran, Lindley E. (148)  
Dore, Patricia (153)  
Douce, Louise A. (135)  
Dowis, Jerome D. (242)  
Doyle, Diane (043)  
Doyle, Ellen (122)  
Doyle, Michael (104)  
Dreese, Jane (315)  
Drum, David J. (278)  
Dugan, Meg (124)  
Dyer, James (102)  
Easton, Robert (301)  
Edgerly, John W. (258)  
Ehrenworth, Jonathan (166)  
Erickson, Lloyd (004)  
Erskine, Charlene (046)  
Evans, Sally (306)  
Everhart, Deborah (254)  
Ferrari, Nancy (303)  
Fields, Anika C. (066)  
Filicetti, Peter (096)  
Foreman, Milton E. (220)  
Frank, Edith (125)  
Freedman, Sally (238)  
Fuchs, Kathleen Fitzgerald (097)  
Fulks, Nikki J. (232)  
Fygetakis, Leah M. (019)  
Gabbard, Clinton E. (145)  
Gahnz, Sharon (292)  
Garni, Ken (183)  
Geller, Marvin H. (144)  
Gellent, Jane (165)  
Gibson, Joan M. (192)  
Gibbons, John W. (216)  
Gildisch, Lou Ann (129)  
Gillien, Susan J. (310)  
Gonzales, Eloy M. (305)  
Gordhamer, Rolf (195)  
Gordon, Michael (088)  
Graham, Donald S. (027)  
Granovsky, E.M. (265)  
Grant, Charles O. (233)  
Grayson, Paul A. (127)  
Greer, Richard (309)  
Grosz, Richard (259)  
Guthman, John C. (079)  
Hadley, Virginia P. (219)  
Hagesset, Jon A. (287)  
Hallahan, Patricia (174)  
Halstead, Rick (320)  
Hammond, Barbara (300)  
Handy, Lee C. (212)  
Hanek, Michael (136)  
Hansche, Janet H. (200)  
Harman, Robert L. (218)  
Harris, Harold Jr. (117)  
Hattauer, Edward A. (024)  
Hatton, John M. (031)  
Heitmann, Dennis (141)  
Hensley, Steve (109)  
Hersh, Jeffrey B. (047)  
Hewing, Venus (017)  
Hocking, Thomas K. (290)  
Holmes, James R. (286)  
Hopkins, Warren P. (267)  
Hotelling, Kathy (132)  
Howard, John S. (317)  
Hoyt, Arlyne E. (023)  
Hurley, George (257)  
Indenbaum, Fred (012)  
Irvine, John S. (126)  
Jacobs, Richard N. (313)  
Jaeger, Theresa (179)  
John, Kenneth B. (068)  
Johnson, Marilyn (155)  
Johnston, Paul J. (249)  
Jones, Ann (020)  
Jones, Jean K. (244)  
Jones, Linda S. (159)  
Jones, William H. (074)  
Joy-Newman, Stephany (308)  
Kafka, Eric (178)  
Kahn, Malcolm (241)  
Kazin, Robert (077)  
Keane, Jeri (252)  
King, Bradford D. (272)  
King, Michael M. (312)  
Kiracofe, Norman M. (311)  
Kirs, Donald K. (095)  
Kisanger, R. David (016)  
Klukken, Gary P. (276)  
Knott, Eugene J. (266)  
Kranz, Peter L. (193)  
Kreider, Fritz (Acting) (030)  
Krieger, Marian E. (008)  
Lamb, Douglas (Interim) (082)  
LaRossa, Virginia (154)  
Larsen, Patricia (224)  
Laufener, Linda (319)  
Letchworth, George E. (321)  
Lilly-Weber, Jeanne (130)  
Lo, Samuel N. (026)  
Locher, Linda L. (087)  
Loores, Deborah L. (316)  
Lucas, Sue W. (227)  
Lyons, Steve (042)  
Mack, Dolores E. (037)  
Mack, Judy (214)  
MacMillan, Robert F. (055)  
Mahon, Eileen (040)  
Maierle, Paul (083)  
Mallisham, Ivy J. (045)  
Maloy, Charles E. (198)  
Manning, Linda (176)  
Martin, Glen R. (125)  
Martinez, Alejandro M. (180)
COUNSELING CENTER DIRECTORY: Alphabetized by school

001 Alfred University
Pollard, Norman J.
Counseling Services
26 North Main Street
Alfred, NY 14802
Phone: 607-871-2300
Fax: 607-871-2791
E-Mail: pollard@bigvax.alfred.edu

002 Allegheny College
Sheridan, Nancy J.
Counseling Center
Box 17
Meadville, PA 16335
Phone: 814-332-4368
Fax: 814-332-2340

003 Alma College
Perkins, Robert J.
Center for Student Development
 Alma, MI 48801-1599
Phone: 517-463-7225
Fax: 517-463-7277
E-Mail: perkins@alma.edu

004 Andrews University
Erickson, Lloyd
Counseling & Testing Center
Berrien Springs, MI 49104
Phone: 616-471-2370

005 Antioch College
Chappelle, Joan M.
Counseling Service
795 Livernmore Street
Yellow Springs, OH 45387
Phone: 513-767-6407
Fax: 513-767-6452

006 Appalachian State University
Sanz, Don
Counseling Center
Boone, NC 28608
Phone: 704-262-3180
Fax: 704-262-3180
E-Mail: sanzdl@appstate.edu

007 Austin Peay State University
Oakland, Ronald G.
Counseling Service
P.O. Box 4397
Clarksville, TN 37044
Phone: 615-648-6162
Fax: 615-648-6304

008 Baldwin Wallace College
Krieger, Marlin E.
Counseling Center
275 Eastland Road
 Berea, OH 44017
Phone: 216-826-2180
Fax: 216-826-3382
E-Mail: mkrieger@row6000.baldwin.edu

009 Ball State University
Donn, Pat A.
Counseling & Psych. Services
Lucina Hall 315
Muncie, IN 47304
Phone: 317-285-1264
Fax: 317-285-2081
E-Mail: pdonn@bsu.edu

010 Barnard College
Wilkie, Agnes
Health Counseling Services
3009 Broadway
New York, NY 10027-6598
Phone: 212-854-2091
Fax: 212-854-2702

011 Baylor University
Fack, Glenn
Health Counseling Center
P.O. Box 97060
Waco, TX 76798-7060
Phone: 817-755-2467
Fax: 817-755-2499

012 Bellevue Area College
Indenbaum, Fred
Counseling Center
2500 Carlyle Road
Bellevue, IL 62221
Phone: 618-235-2700
Fax: 618-235-1578

013 Benedictine College
Marvin, Kerry A.
Counseling Center
1020 North 2nd St
Atchison, KS 66002
Phone: 913-367-5340 ext 2621
Fax: 913-367-6102
E-Mail: kmarvin@raven.benedictine.edu

014 Bentley College
Duchaine, Roger
Counseling Service
175 Forest Street
Waltham, MA 02154-4705
Phone: 617-891-2274
Fax: 617-891-2788
E-Mail: rdanchies@bentley.edu

015 Berklee College of Music
Ritchie, Jill
Counseling Center
1140 Boylston Street
Boston, MA 02215
Phone: 617-266-1400
Fax: 617-247-8278
E-Mail: jritchie@ berklee.edu

016 Binghamton University
Kiesinger, R. David
Counseling Center
P.O. Box 6000
Binghamton, NY 13905-6000
Phone: 607-777-2772
Fax: 607-777-2708
E-Mail: counsel@bingvax

017 Bloomsburg University
Hewing, Venus
Ctr for Counseling & Human Dev
Ben Franklin 17
Bloomsburg, PA 17815
Phone: 717-389-4255
Fax: 717-389-4255

018 Boston College
McGuinness, Thomas P.
Counseling Services
Gasson Hall - 108
Chestnut Hill, MA 02167
Phone: 617-552-3310
Fax: 617-552-2362
E-Mail: mcguinness-
counsel@bc.edu

019 Boston University
Fygetakis, Leah M.
Counseling Center
19 Deerfield Street
Boston, MA 02215
Phone: 617-353-3540
Fax: 617-353-5891
E-Mail: lfygetakis@bc.edu

020 Bradley University
Jones, Ann
Center for Wellness & Counseling
1501 West Bradley Ave
Peoria, IL 61625
Phone: 309-677-2408
Fax: 309-677-2419

021 Brigham Young University
Sorensen, David M.
Counseling & Development Center
169 SWKT
Provo, UT 84604
Phone: 801-378-6091
Fax: 801-378-5921
E-Mail: david_sorensen@byu.edu

022 Bryant College
Phillips, William
Counseling Services
1150 Douglas Pike
Smithfield, RI 02917
Phone: 401-232-6045
Fax: 401-232-6362

023 Bucknell University
Hoyt, Arlyn E.
Psychological Services
Lowry House
Lewisburg, PA 17837
Phone: 717-524-1604
Fax: 717-524-3760
E-Mail: nhoyt@bucknell.edu

024 Buffalo State College
Hattauer, Edward A.
Counseling Center
1500 Elmwood Ave., PO
Buffalo, NY 14222
Phone: 716-878-4436
Fax: 716-878-6727

025 California Polytech State University
Alken, Jim
Counseling Center
San Luis Obispo, CA 93407
Phone: 805-756-2511
Fax: 805-756-6525
E-Mail: duv704@calpoly.edu
079 Hofstra University
Guthman, John C.
Counseling Center
Hempstead, NY 11550
Phone: 516-463-6791
Fax: 516-565-0084

080 Idaho State University
Paulson, Donald L.
Counseling Center
Campus Box 8027
Pocatello, ID 83209-0009
Phone: 208-236-2130
E-Mail: pauldon@isu.edu

081 Illinois Institute of Technology
Shapiro, Terry
Counseling & Health Service
105 Farr Hall, 3300 S Michigan
Chicago, IL 60616
Phone: 312-808-7118
Fax: 312-8087111
E-Mail: ashapiro@vax1.acs.jmu.edu

082 Illinois State University
Lamb, Douglas (Interim)
Counseling Center
Box 2420
Normal, IL 61790-2420
Phone: 309-438-3655
Fax: 309-438-3004

083 Indiana State University
Mainier, Paul
Counseling Center
527 North Fifth Street
Terre Haute, IN 47802
Phone: 812-237-3939
E-Mail: sccmair@stserv.indstate.edu

084 Indiana University
Buckles, Nancy B.
Counseling Services
600 N. Jordan Avenue
Bloomington, IN 47405
Phone: 812-855-5711
Fax: 812-855-4628
E-Mail: buckles@uis.indiana.edu

085 Indiana University of PA
Mills, John A. "Jay"
Counseling & Student Dev. Center
119 Clark Hall
Indiana, PA 15705
Phone: 412-357-2621
E-Mail: jamills@grove.isup.edu

086 Ithaca College
Mendelson, Eric (Interim)
Counseling Center
Hammond Health Center
Ithaca, NY 14850
Phone: 607-274-3136
Fax: 607-274-3474

087 James Madison University
Locher, Linda L.
Counseling & Student Dev. Ctr.
Alhambra Hall 200
Harrisonburg, VA 22807
Phone: 703-568-6552
Fax: 703-568-6359
E-Mail: locherll@vax1.acs.jmu.edu

088 Jersey City State College
Gordon, Michael
Medical & Psych. Services
54 College Street
Jersey City, NJ 07305
Phone: 201-200-3165

089 Johns Hopkins University
Mond, Michael
Counseling & Student Dev. Center
Merrymain Hall, West Wing
Baltimore, MD 21218
Phone: 410-516-8278
Fax: 410-516-4286
E-Mail: mond@jhunix.hcf.jhu.edu

090 Kansas State University
Newton, Fred B.
Counseling Center
232 Lafene
Manhattan, KS 66506-3301
Phone: 913-532-6927
Fax: 913-532-6627
E-Mail: newtonf@kuvvm.ksu.edu

091 Keene State College
Zimmerman, Tumara
Counseling Center
229 Main Street
Keene, NH 03431
Phone: 603-358-2438
Fax: 603-358-2257
E-Mail: tzmmerm@keene.edu

092 Kent State University
Scanlon, Catherine
Counseling Center
325 White Hall
Kent, OH 44242
Phone: 216-672-2208
Fax: 216-672-3063

093 Kenyon College
Carney, Clarke G.
Health & Counseling Center
Gambier, OH 43022
Phone: 614-427-5627
Fax: 614-427-5527
E-Mail: carney@kenyon.edu

094 Keystone College
Rockwell, Paula (Acting)
Counseling Center
PO Box 50
La Plume, PA 18440
Phone: 717-945-5141 ext.2800
Fax: 717-945-7977

095 LaFayette College
Kirts, Donald K.
Counseling Center
Easton, PA 18042
Phone: 610-250-5005

096 LaSalle University
Filicetti, Peter
Counseling Center
1900 W. Olney Ave.
Philadelphia, PA 19141
Phone: 215-551-1355

097 Lawrence University
Fuchs, Kathleen Fitzgerald
Counseling Center
Box 599
Appleton, WI 54912
Phone: 414-832-6574
Fax: 414-832-6884
E-Mail: kathleen.f.fuchs@lawrence.edu

098 Lehigh University
Birk, Ian
Counseling Service
36 University Dr.
Bethlehem, PA 18015-3060
Phone: 610-758-3880
Fax: 610-758-5833
E-Mail: ibirk@lehigh.cc.edu

099 Lewis and Clark College-OR
Schneider, John R.
Counseling Center
1035 SW Claverton
Portland, OR 97219
Phone: 503-768-7160
E-Mail: mschneider@lcsc.edu

100 Lewis-Clark State College-ID
Summerroon, Mark
Counseling Center
8th Avenue & 6th Street
Lewiston, ID 83501
Phone: 208-799-2211
Fax: 208-799-2298
E-Mail: msummer@lcsc.edu

101 Loras College
Curoe, Bernadine
Counseling Center
1450 Alta Vista
Dubuque, IA 52004-0178
Phone: 319-588-7134
Fax: 319-588-7292

102 Lorna Linda University
Dyer, James
Counseling Center
Suites A
11374 Mountain View Avenue
Lorna Linda, CA 92350
Phone: 909-799-6081
Fax: 909-799-6090

103 Loyola College
Cook, Donna A.
Counseling Center
4501 N. Charles Street
Baltimore, MD 21210
Phone: 410-617-5109
Fax: 410-617-2001
E-Mail: daco@loyola.edu

104 Loyola Marymount University
Doyle, Michael
Counseling Center
Loyola Blvd at West 80th St.
Los Angeles, CA 90045
Phone: 310-338-2868
Fax: 310-338-1803
E-Mail: mdoyle@lmmail.lmu.edu
105 Luther College
Lorenz, Pam
Counseling Center
700 College Drive
Decorah, IA 52101
Phone: 319-387-1375
Fax: 319-387-2159
E-Mail: LorenzP@mose.msuky.edu

106 Macalster College
Schank, Janet A.
Counseling Center
1600 Grand Avenue
St. Paul, MN 55105
Phone: 612-696-6275
Fax: 612-696-6687
E-Mail: schank@macalstr.edu

107 Manhattan College
Brown, Bernice E.
Counseling Center
W. 242nd & Manhattan College Pkwy
Riverdale, NY 10471
Phone: 718-920-0394
Fax: 718-920-0483

108 Mankato State University
Craig, Donald H.
Counseling Center
Box 4, CSU 245
Mankato, MN 56002-8400
Phone: 507-389-1455
Fax: 507-389-5859
E-Mail: don_craig@ms1.mankato.umn.edu

109 Marshall University
Hensley, Steve
Student Development Center
400 Hal Greer Blvd.
Huntington, WV 25755
Phone: 304-696-2269
Fax: 304-696-6656
E-Mail: hensley@marshall.edu

110 Mary Washington College
Chirico, Bernie
Counseling Center
Fredericksburg, VA 22401
Phone: 703-654-1053
Fax: 703-654-1711
E-Mail: bchirico@mwc.edu

111 McGill University
Baker, Ted
Counseling Center
3637 Peel Street
Montreal, QC CANADA H3A1X1
Phone: 514-398-2601
Fax: 514-398-8149

112 McMaster University
Wilkinson, Bill
Counseling & Career Services
302 Hamilton Hall
Hamilton, ON CANADA L8S4K1
Phone: 905-525-9140 ext. 24711
Fax: 905-529-8972
E-Mail: wilkins@mcmaster.ca

113 Metro State College of Denver
Vollmer, Barbara
Counseling Center
Campus Box 5, P.O. Box 173362
Denver, CO 80217-3362
Phone: 303-556-3132
Fax: 303-556-4760
E-Mail: vollmerb@msud.edu

114 Miami University
Alishio, Kip C.
Counseling Center
B-30 Warfield Hall
Oxford, OH 45056
Phone: 913-529-4634
Fax: 513-529-3445
E-Mail: kyalishio@mcmiu.edu

115 Michigan State University
Williams, Gordon
Counseling Center
207 Student Service Bldg.
East Lansing, MI 48824-1113
Phone: 517-355-8270
Fax: 517-353-5582
E-Mail: gordonw@msu.edu

116 Middle Tennessee State University
Covington, James D.
Counseling & Testing
PO Box 53
Mount Pleasant, MN 37132
Phone: 615-896-2670

117 Millersville University
Harris, Harold J. Jr.
Counseling Service
Millersville, PA 17551
Phone: 717-872-3122

118 Millsaps College
Booth, Janis C.
Counseling Center
PO Box 150435
Jackson, MS 32910
Phone: 601-974-1200
Fax: 601-974-1225
E-Mail: boothj@okr.millsaps.edu

119 Montana State University
Donahoe, Patrick M.
Counseling & Psychological Services
211 Swinge
Bozeman, MT 59717
Phone: 406-994-4531
Fax: 406-994-4531
E-Mail: upcpdf@trex.oscs.montana.edu

120 Mount Union College
Demers, Helen A.
Counseling Center
195 Clark Avenue
Alliance, OH 44601
Phone: 216-823-2485
Fax: 216-823-2072

121 Murray State University
Allbritten, Bill
Counseling & Testing Ctr.
Ordway Hall
Murray, KY 42071
Phone: 502-762-6851
Fax: 502-762-7104
E-Mail: AllbrittenB@msumkg.munsky.edu

122 N. Adams State College
Doyle, Ellen
Counseling Center
North Adams, MA 01247
Phone: 413-662-5331
Fax: 413-662-5170

123 N. Carolina Central University
Martin, Glen R.
Counseling Center
P.O. Box 19588
Durham, NC 27707
Phone: 919-560-6336
Fax: 919-560-5013

124 New England College
Dugan, Meg
Counseling Center
Henniker, NH 03242
Phone: 603-428-2310
Fax: 603-428-7230

125 New Jersey Institute of Tech.
Frank, Edith
Counseling Center
Martin Luther King Blvd.
Newark, NJ 07102
Phone: 201-596-3416
Fax: 201-596-2051
E-Mail: frank@admin.njit.edu

126 New Mexico State University
Irvine, John S.
Counseling Dept.
3575 P.O. Box 30001
Las Cruces, NM 88003-8001
Phone: 505-645-2731
Fax: 505-645-7892
E-Mail: jirvine@nmsu.edu

127 New York University
Grayson, Paul A.
University Counseling Services
3 Washington Square Village-1M
New York, NY 10012
Phone: 212-998-4780
Fax: 212-995-4096

128 North Georgia College
Arnold, Elizabeth
Counseling Center
Dalton, GA 30720
Phone: 404-864-1819
Fax: 706-864-1667

129 Northeast Missouri State University
Parenic, Lou Ann
University Counseling Services
Kirksville, MO 63501
Phone: 660-755-4041
Fax: 660-755-4041
E-Mail: ParenicL@nemostate.edu

130 Northeastern University
Lilly-Weber, Jeanne (Interim)
Counseling Center
302 El Bdgl. 360 Huntington Ave
Boston, MA 02115
Phone: 617-373-2142
Fax: 617-437-2542
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Institution</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Phone</th>
<th>Fax</th>
<th>E-Mail</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>University of Maryland</td>
<td>242 University Blvd., Charm</td>
<td>313-764-8312</td>
<td>313-747-4133</td>
<td><a href="mailto:jlowis@umich.edu">jlowis@umich.edu</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Minnesota-Morris</td>
<td>244 University Blvd., Charm</td>
<td>505-277-4537</td>
<td>505-277-4537</td>
<td><a href="mailto:hadley@uvm.edu">hadley@uvm.edu</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Nevada-Reno</td>
<td>251 University Blvd., Charm</td>
<td>702-784-4648</td>
<td>702-784-4608</td>
<td><a href="mailto:parker@unr.edu">parker@unr.edu</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of New England</td>
<td>252 University Blvd., Charm</td>
<td>603-862-1188</td>
<td>603-862-1188</td>
<td><a href="mailto:d.cross@unh.edu">d.cross@unh.edu</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of New Hampshire</td>
<td>253 University Blvd., Charm</td>
<td>203-932-7178</td>
<td>203-932-7178</td>
<td><a href="mailto:d.cross@unh.edu">d.cross@unh.edu</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of New Mexico</td>
<td>254 University Blvd., Charm</td>
<td>505-277-4537</td>
<td>505-277-4537</td>
<td><a href="mailto:hadley@uvm.edu">hadley@uvm.edu</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of New Orleans</td>
<td>255 University Blvd., Charm</td>
<td>504-286-6683</td>
<td>504-286-6683</td>
<td><a href="mailto:hadley@uvm.edu">hadley@uvm.edu</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of New York</td>
<td>256 University Blvd., Charm</td>
<td>702-784-4648</td>
<td>702-784-4608</td>
<td><a href="mailto:parker@unr.edu">parker@unr.edu</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of North Carolina-Chapel Hill</td>
<td>257 University Blvd., Charm</td>
<td>919-962-3652</td>
<td>919-962-3652</td>
<td><a href="mailto:hadley@uvm.edu">hadley@uvm.edu</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of North Dakota</td>
<td>258 University Blvd., Charm</td>
<td>702-784-4648</td>
<td>702-784-4608</td>
<td><a href="mailto:parker@unr.edu">parker@unr.edu</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of North Dakota</td>
<td>259 University Blvd., Charm</td>
<td>702-784-4648</td>
<td>702-784-4608</td>
<td><a href="mailto:parker@unr.edu">parker@unr.edu</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Institution</td>
<td>State</td>
<td>City</td>
<td>Contact Information</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>286 University of West Florida</td>
<td>Missouri</td>
<td>Kansas City</td>
<td>11000 University Pkwy.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holmes, James R.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Counseling Center</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>287 University of Wisconsin-La Crosse</td>
<td>Wisconsin</td>
<td>La Crosse</td>
<td>Hageseth, Jon A.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Counseling &amp; Testing Center</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>112 Wilder Hall</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>288 University of Wisconsin-Madison</td>
<td>Wisconsin</td>
<td>Madison</td>
<td>McGrath, Bob</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Counseling and Consultation Services</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>905 University Ave. #401</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>289 University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee</td>
<td>Wisconsin</td>
<td>Milwaukee</td>
<td>Tentoni, Stuart C.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Counseling Center</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P.O. Box 41</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>290 University of Wisconsin-Oshkosh</td>
<td>Wisconsin</td>
<td>Oshkosh</td>
<td>Hocking, Thomas K.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Counseling Center</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>201 Dempsey Hall</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>291 University of Wisconsin-Plattsville</td>
<td>Wisconsin</td>
<td>Plattsville</td>
<td>Meyer, Roger J.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University Counseling Services</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1 University Plaza</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>292 University of Wisconsin-Stevens Pt</td>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
<td>State College</td>
<td>3rd Floor Dietz Hall, 910 Fremont St. Stevens Point, PA 54418</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gahzn, Sharon</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Counseling Center</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>293 University of Wyoming</td>
<td>Wyoming</td>
<td>Laramie</td>
<td>Turner, Andrew L.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University Counseling Center</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>340 Knight Hall. P.O. Box 3708</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>294 USAF Academy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>O’Callaghan, Kevin W.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cadet Counseling &amp; Leadership Development Center</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HQ USAF/AF/DFBLC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USAF Academy, CO 80430-5701</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Phone: 719-472-2107</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>295 Valparaiso University</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>E-Mail: <a href="mailto:ocallaghan@dfblafmail.usafa.af.mil">ocallaghan@dfblafmail.usafa.af.mil</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cooper, Stewart E.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Counseling Center</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>296 Vanderbilt University</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Psychological &amp; Counseling Center</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sievking, Nicholas</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1313 21st Avenue South</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vanderbilt, IN 47407</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Phone: 719-464-5002</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>297 Vassar College</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>E-Mail: <a href="mailto:cooper@exodus.valpo.edu">cooper@exodus.valpo.edu</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balderrama, Sylvia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Counseling Service</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>298 Virginia Commonwealth University</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>907 Floyd Ave., Rm. 225</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crozzini, John G. &quot;Jack&quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Richmond, VA 23284-2525</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Counseling Center</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Phone: 804-828-6200</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>299 Wake Forest University</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>E-Mail: <a href="mailto:joon@cabell.vcu.edu">joon@cabell.vcu.edu</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schulert, Marianne</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Counseling Center</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University Counseling Center</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Box 7838 Reynolds Station</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300 Washington State University</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Winston-Salem, NC 27102</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hammond, Barbara</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Phone: 910-759-5273</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student Counseling Center</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>E-Mail: <a href="mailto:schubert@wfu.edu">schubert@wfu.edu</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>301 Washington University-St. Louis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Washington University-St. Louis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Easton, Robert</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Counseling Center</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>302 Wayne State College-NEB</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Hammond, Barbard</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brunmelas, Lin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>AD Annex Rm 300</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Counseling Center</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Pullman, WA 99163</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wayne, NE 68787</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Phone: 402-375-7321</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>303 Wayne State College</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>E-Mail: <a href="mailto:Brunmelas@wgwstate.wac.edu">Brunmelas@wgwstate.wac.edu</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ferrara, Nancy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Counseling Center</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Counseling Center</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>51 W. College Street</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wyenasburg, PA 15370</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Phone: 412-852-3317</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>304 Weber State University</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>E-Mail: <a href="mailto:sferrara@wayneburg.edu">sferrara@wayneburg.edu</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southwick, Richard</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Counseling &amp; Psych. Services Ctr</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Counseling Center</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MC 1402</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ogden, UT 84400</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Phone: 801-626-6040</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>305 West New Mexico State University</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>E-Mail: southwicentral.weber.edu</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gonzales, Eloy M.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Student Development Center</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Counseling Center</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P.O. Box 78</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>306 West Texas A &amp; M University</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Silver City, NM 88061</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evans, Sally</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Phone: 505-538-6269</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Counseling Services</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Fax: 505-538-6316</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WT Box 92</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Counseling Services</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canyon, TX 79016</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Phone: 806-656-2240</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>307 Western Carolina University</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>E-Mail: <a href="mailto:Richie@WCU.EDU">Richie@WCU.EDU</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ritchie, John</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Counseling &amp; Psych. Services Center</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Counseling Center</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Scott Blvd.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cullowhee, NC 2872</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Phone: 704-227-7469</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>308 Western Illinois University</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>E-Mail: <a href="mailto:Richie@WCU.EDU">Richie@WCU.EDU</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joy-Newman, Stephanie</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Counseling Center</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Counseling Center</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Memorial Hall</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macomb, IL 61455</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Phone: 309-298-2453</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>309 Western Kentucky University</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>E-Mail: <a href="mailto:Joye@counsel.wiu.edu">Joye@counsel.wiu.edu</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greer, Richard</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Counseling Services</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Counseling Services</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>409 Potter Hall</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bowling Green, KY 42101</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Phone: 502-745-3159</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>310 Western Maryland College</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>E-Mail: <a href="mailto:Richard.Greer@wku.edu">Richard.Greer@wku.edu</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glove, Susan J.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Counseling Center</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Counseling Center</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2 College Hill</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Westminster, MD 21157</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Phone: 410-857-2243</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>311 Western Maryland College</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>E-Mail: <a href="mailto:starner@uwyo.edu">starner@uwyo.edu</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Institution</td>
<td>Address</td>
<td>Phone</td>
<td>Fax</td>
<td>Email</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Michigan University</td>
<td>2510 Faunce Student Service Bldg, Kalamazoo, MI 49008</td>
<td>616-387-1850</td>
<td>616-387-1884</td>
<td><a href="mailto:norm.kiracofe@wmich.edu">norm.kiracofe@wmich.edu</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Washington University</td>
<td>King, Michael M., Counseling Center MS59091, Bellingham, WA 98225-9091</td>
<td>206-676-3164</td>
<td>360-650-7308</td>
<td><a href="mailto:mmking@heasoa.cc.wwu.edu">mmking@heasoa.cc.wwu.edu</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whitman College</td>
<td>Jacks, Richard N., Counseling Center 345 Boyer, Walla Walla, WA 99362</td>
<td>509-527-5195</td>
<td>509-527-5859</td>
<td><a href="mailto:jacks@whitman.edu">jacks@whitman.edu</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Widener University</td>
<td>Dreiben, Jane, Counseling Center 14th &amp; Melrose Center, Chester, PA 19013</td>
<td>610-499-1183</td>
<td>610-499-4387</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Williamette University</td>
<td>Loens, Deborah L., Counseling &amp; Health Services 900 State Street, Salem, OR 97301</td>
<td>503-370-6471</td>
<td>503-370-6471</td>
<td><a href="mailto:dloens@willamette.edu">dloens@willamette.edu</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Williams College</td>
<td>Howland, John S., Psychological Counseling Service, Williamston, MA 01267</td>
<td>413-507-2553</td>
<td>516-632-6720</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winona State University</td>
<td>Bentley, Charles, Counseling Center 132 Gildemeister, Winona, MN 55987</td>
<td>507-457-5330</td>
<td>507-457-5317</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wittenberg University</td>
<td>Lauffenburger, Linda Wellness Center P.O. Box 720, Springfield, OH 45501</td>
<td>513-327-7811</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Worcester Polytechnic Inst.</td>
<td>Halstead, Rick, Counseling &amp; Student Dev. Center 157 West Street, Worcester, MA 01609-2280</td>
<td>508-831-5540</td>
<td>508-831-5139</td>
<td><a href="mailto:halstead@wpi.wpi.edu">halstead@wpi.wpi.edu</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youngstown State University</td>
<td>Latchworth, George E., Counseling Center Youngstown, OH 44555</td>
<td>216-742-3057</td>
<td>216-742-1998</td>
<td><a href="mailto:astso50@ysob.ysu.edu">astso50@ysob.ysu.edu</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Nevada-Las Vegas</td>
<td>Daviclson, James (Clinical Coordinator), Student Psychological Services 4505 S. Maryland Pkwy, Box 2005 Las Vegas, NV 89154-2005</td>
<td>702-895-5267</td>
<td>702-895-4127</td>
<td><a href="mailto:davidson@unlv.edu">davidson@unlv.edu</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mississippi State University</td>
<td>Fager, Leland E., Counseling Center Drawer NL, Mississippi State, MS 39762</td>
<td>601-325-2091</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swarthmore College</td>
<td>Ramirez, David E., Psychological Services 500 College Avenue Swarthmore, PA 19010-1397</td>
<td>215-328-8059</td>
<td>610-328-7357</td>
<td><a href="mailto:dramire1@swarthmore.edu">dramire1@swarthmore.edu</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Vermont</td>
<td>Schepp, Kay Frances, Counseling &amp; Testing Center 146 S. Williams Street Burlington, VT 05401-3492</td>
<td>802-656-3340</td>
<td>802-656-8022</td>
<td>E-mail: <a href="mailto:KSCHEPP@MOOSE.UVM.EDU">KSCHEPP@MOOSE.UVM.EDU</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American University</td>
<td>DiNuzzo, Theresa M., Center for Psychological and Learning Services 201 Mary Graydon Center Washington, DC 20016</td>
<td>202-885-3350</td>
<td>202-885-1042</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Lewis College</td>
<td>McGinniss, Susan, Counseling Service 260 Noble Hall Durango, CO 81301</td>
<td>719-247-7212</td>
<td>970-249-7282</td>
<td><a href="mailto:MCGINNESS_S@FORTLEWIS.EDU">MCGINNESS_S@FORTLEWIS.EDU</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUNY-Stony Brook</td>
<td>Byrne, Anne, Counseling Center Student Health Center Stony Brook, NY 11794-3100</td>
<td>516-632-6720</td>
<td>516-632-9754</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>