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Hot corrosion is a highly accelerated surface degradation process that has been found in gas turbine engines. To increase the hot-corrosion resistance of engine components, a coating is often used to isolate the gas phase and deposited salt from the base alloy. In practice, MCrAlY (M=Co, Ni or Co+Ni)-based coatings are often used. The hot-corrosion resistance of MCrAlY-based coatings relies on the formation of a continuous Al₂O₃ scale in the corrosive environment. Thus, an understanding of the compositional and microstructural factors affecting the high-temperature corrosion behavior of MCrAlY-based coating compositions is needed.

The main aim of this study was to assess the influences of overall composition, phase volume fraction and phase composition of MCrAlY-based alloys on oxidation behavior and hot corrosion resistance. By heat-treating at different temperatures, a given alloy could have different phase volume fractions and phase compositions. Comparing the performance of different structural variations of the same alloys under oxidation and hot corrosion conditions, the influence of phase volume fraction and phase composition could be investigated. The results showed that aluminum content in the alloys is the key factor determining oxidation behavior. Higher aluminum content resulted in a thicker oxide scale. In addition, the steady-state oxidation rate was determined by the total aluminum content in the alloy. For hot corrosion, higher Cr content could promote the rapid establishment of a continuous Al₂O₃ layer. Higher Al content is
beneficial to the formation of thicker aluminum oxide layer, while phase volume fraction and phase composition were not found to have obvious influence on hot-corrosion resistance.
TABLE OF CONTENTS

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS ............................................................................................................. XIII

1.0  INTRODUCTION .................................................................................................................. 1

2.0  SUPERALLOYS FOR HIGH-TEMPERATURE APPLICATIONS ........................................ 3

   2.1  SUPERALLOYS IN GAS TURBINE ENGINES .......................................................... 3

   2.2  COMPOSITION, STRUCTURE, AND PROPERTY OF SUPERALLOYS. ....................... 6

   2.3  COATINGS FOR SUPERALLOYS ............................................................................. 8

3.0  HOT CORROSION AND OXIDATION ............................................................................ 12

   3.1  OXIDATION ............................................................................................................... 12

   3.1.1  Metal oxidation .................................................................................................... 12

   3.1.2  Alloy oxidation ................................................................................................... 17

   3.2  HOT CORROSION ...................................................................................................... 26

   3.2.1  Basics of hot corrosion ....................................................................................... 26

   3.2.2  High temperature (Type I) hot corrosion ......................................................... 32

   3.2.3  Low temperature (Type II) hot corrosion ......................................................... 39

3.3  AIMS OF CURRENT STUDY ......................................................................................... 46

4.0  EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES ............................................................................... 47

   4.1  SAMPLE PREPARATION .......................................................................................... 47

   4.2  OXIDATION TESTING ............................................................................................... 48
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>HOT CORROSION TESTING</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>RESULTS AND DISCUSSION</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>SUPERALLOYS</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.1.1</td>
<td>Alloy microstructures</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.1.2</td>
<td>High Temperature Oxidation</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.1.3</td>
<td>High-temperature (900°C) hot corrosion</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>CONCLUSIONS</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>SUMMARY</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>FUTURE WORK</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BIBLIOGRAPHY</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# LIST OF TABLES

Table 1. Solubility of O\textsubscript{2} in molten Na\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4} ................................................................. 35

Table 2. Solubility of SO\textsubscript{2} in molten Na\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4} .................................................................................. 35

Table 3. Free energies of formation for sulfides and oxides at 1123K (Kcal/mol S or O)........... 37

Table 4. Nominal composition of the Ni-Co-Al-Cr-Y alloys studied, at. %( yttrium is ignored) 48

Table 5. Measured phase compositions of Ni-Co-Al-Cr-Y samples, at. %( yttrium is ignored).. 52

Table 6. Averaged measured phase volume fractions of Ni-Co-Al-Cr alloys......................... 53

Table 7. Comparison of the calculated and measured phase compositions of Ni-Co-Al-Cr-Y samples, at. %. (calculated composition/ measured composition)................................. 56

Table 8. Comparison of the calculated and measured phase volume fractions of Ni-Co-Al-Cr-Y samples. (calculated phase volume fraction/measured phase volume fraction) .......... 58

Table 9. Aluminum oxide layer and β-depletion layer thickness, oxidation rate constant and aluminum content of Type I and Type II samples ................................................................. 70

Table 10. Calculated and measured thicknesses of β-depletion zone of samples No.2 and No.2’ 75
LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1. Section of gas turbine engine ................................................................. 4

Figure 2. Ni-Al phase diagram ........................................................................ 7

Figure 3. Co-Al phase diagram ....................................................................... 8

Figure 4. Relative oxidation and corrosion resistance of high temperature coating systems ...... 11

Figure 5. Ni-O phase diagram ....................................................................... 13

Figure 6. Transverse section of pure nickel oxidized in air at 1000°C for 3 hours .......... 14

Figure 7. Weight change per square centimeter of oxidized nickel .................. 15

Figure 8. Al-O phase diagram ....................................................................... 15

Figure 9. Cr-O phase diagram ....................................................................... 16

Figure 10. Micrograph of Ni-2Cr-4Al superalloy after 20h oxidation in 0.1 atm of oxygen at 1000°C .................................................................................................................................................. 18

Figure 11. Ni-Al-Cr system phase diagram at 1000°C .................................................. 18

Figure 12. Micrograph of Ni-20Cr-2Al after 21h oxidation in 0.1 atm of oxygen at 1100°C .... 19

Figure 13. Ni-Al-Cr system phase diagram at 1100°C .................................................. 19

Figure 14. Micrograph of Ni-20Cr-4Al after 20h oxidation in 0.1 atm of oxygen at 1200°C .... 20

Figure 15. Ni-Al-Cr system phase diagram at 1200°C .................................................. 20
Figure 16. Oxygen solubility in the Ni-Cr-Al alloys as a function of Cr concentration at 1200°C  

Figure 17. The change of the slope of the diffusion path at the scale/alloy interface as a function of Cr concentration at 1200°C  

Figure 18. Summary diagram showing Type I and Type II hot corrosion  

Figure 19. Schematic drawing illustrating hot corrosion of metals and alloys  

Figure 20. A thermodynamic stability diagram for the Na–O–S system at constant temperature  

Figure 21. Measured oxide solubilities in fused Na2SO4 at 927°C (1200 k) and 1 atm O2  

Figure 22. The thermodynamic stability diagram of nickel in Ni-S-O system  

Figure 23. High temperature hot corrosion process of pure nickel  

Figure 24. Na2SO4-NiSO4 system phase diagram [60]  

Figure 25. Superimposed stability diagrams of Ni–O–S and Na–O–S systems at 700°C [55]  

Figure 26. Schematic representation of microstructural evolution and transport processes during reaction of nickel with Na2SO4 in O2–0.1SO2 at 700°C [55]  

Figure 27. The critical value of SO3 pressure required to form liquid Na2SO4-NiSO4 solution at different temperatures  

Figure 28. Na2SO4-CoSO4 system phase diagram  

Figure 29. Critical value of SO3 pressure required to form (A) liquid Na2SO4-CoSO4 solution from Co3O4, (B) liquid Na2SO4-CoSO4 solution from CoO, (C) solid CoSO4 from Co3O4 at different temperatures  

Figure 30. Micrographs of Ni-Co-Al-Cr-Y samples  

Figure 31. Ni-Co-Al-Cr alloys phase diagrams at (A) 19, (B) 26, and (C) 30 at. % Co and (1) 900°C, (2) 1100°C, and (3) 1200°C
Figure 32. Calculated phase equilibria of Ni-Co-Al-Cr alloys with respect to temperature ....... 57
Figure 33. Ni-Co-Al-Cr alloys phase diagrams at 1100°C ....................................................... 59
Figure 34. Comparison of (a) Calculated and (b) measured phase compositions for No.1, No.1’, No.2 and No.2 samples ..................................................................................................... 60
Figure 35. Micrographs of 100h oxidation of (a) No.1 and (b) No.1’, (c) No.2 and (d) No.2’, (e) No.3 and (f) No.3’, and (g) No.4 and (h) No.4’ samples at 900°C ............................................. 62
Figure 36. Weight change of samples with respect to time .......................................................... 65
Figure 37. Weight change of samples with respect to time .......................................................... 66
Figure 38. Square of mass gain of sample No.1’ versus oxidation time ...................................... 67
Figure 39. Square of mass gains of alloys with respect to oxidation time................................. 70
Figure 40. Oxidation rate constant of Ni-Co-Cr-Al alloys ............................................................ 71
Figure 41. Arrhenius plot of rate constants for experimental data from this thesis and various other studies ...................................................................................................................... 72
Figure 42. The flat Al diffusion through the alloy to the alloy/scale interface............................ 74
Figure 43. Micrographs of sample No.1 with high temperature hot corrosion at 900°C with Na2SO4 for (a) 1h, (b) 20h and (c) 100h ................................................................. 76
Figure 44. Micrographs of sample No.4 with high temperature hot corrosion at 900°C with Na2SO4 for (a) 1h, (b) 20h and (c) 100h ................................................................. 78
Figure 45. Micrographs of sample No.2 with high temperature hot corrosion at 900°C with Na2SO4 for (a) 1h, (b) 20h and (c) 100h ................................................................. 79
Figure 46. Micrographs of sample No.3 with high temperature hot corrosion at 900°C with Na2SO4 for (a) 1h, (b) 20h and (c) 100h ................................................................. 81
Figure 47. Micrographs of samples (a) No.1’, (b) No.2’, (c) No.3’, and (d) No.4’ with high temperature hot corrosion at 900°C with Na2SO4 for 100h ............................................ 84
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

At first, I would like to thank my thesis advisor Professor Gleeson of Swanson School of Engineering at University of Pittsburgh: thank you for your patience and instruction although I had waste so much time to complete my thesis and your guidance which steered me in the right direction when I ran into a trouble spot.

I would also like to thank Patrick T. Brennan for his help in revision of my thesis. In addition, I would like to express my gratitude to Xu Liu and Wei Zhao because of their instruction in my experiments.

Nevertheless, I would like to thank my family: my parents and little brother for their spiritual support throughout writing the thesis.
1.0 INTRODUCTION

Hot corrosion is a highly accelerated surface degradation process which is generally separated into two forms: Type I hot corrosion, which is in the temperature range of 850-1000°C; and Type II hot corrosion, which is in the temperature range of 600-800°C [1, 2]. The earliest report of hot corrosion was the accelerated degradation of stainless steel superheater supports [3]. In this incident, corroded marine boilers were covered in sodium sulfate and it was shown that this salt had a significant role in the corrosion process. Since then, hot corrosion has been reported in other applications such as engines burning natural gas and gas turbines [1, 4]. Components subjected to hot corrosion have several similarities: all operated at high temperature (generally from 700°C to 900°C); were exposed to impurities from the environment, like sodium sulfate and chlorides; and were maintained at high temperature for an extended period (at least 225 hours) [4].

In high-temperature applications, MCrAl (M=Co, Ni or Co+Ni)-based superalloys are the commonly used due primarily to their high-temperature strength [5]. To increase the hot corrosion resistance of components, a coating is often used to isolate the gas phase and deposited salt from the base alloy [6]. In practice, the coating methods consist of one of two types: diffusion aluminide coatings and overlay MCrAlY-based coatings. These coatings are generally designed to form a protective Al₂O₃ scale in corrosive environments. Thus, studies on the hot
corrosion and oxidation resistance of MCrAlY-based overlay coating are needed to determine their high-temperature properties.

This thesis covers the oxidation and hot corrosion of model MCrAlY-based overlay coatings whose compositions were systematically varied to control phase volume fractions and chemistries. The thesis is organized as follows:

- Chapter 2 provides a background on superalloys used in practice, with a focus on their compositions, structures and properties.
- Chapter 3 discovers the oxidation and hot corrosion mechanisms of metals and alloys.
- Chapter 4 covers the experimental procedures used for sample preparation and oxidation and hot corrosion testing of the Ni-Co-Cr-Al-Y alloys and overlay coatings.
- Chapter 5 presents the results and a discussion of the oxidation and hot corrosion experiments that are conducted.
- Chapter 6 and Chapter 7 provide a thesis summary and proposed future work, respectively.
2.0 SUPERALLOYS FOR HIGH-TEMPERATURE APPLICATIONS

High-temperature superalloys are typically based on nickel and/or cobalt with additions such as aluminum, iron and chromium. These specialized alloys are primarily designed for high-temperature strength [5]. With different compositions, superalloys have various properties. With regard to high-temperature strength, additions like Cr, Mo, and Ta can provide solid-solution strengthening by dissolving into base metal solid solution. The addition of carbon to these alloys leads to enhanced strength by formation of carbides. And the precipitation of intermetallic phases, such as $\gamma'$-Ni$_3$(Al, Ti), in the matrix can lead to significant strengthening.

With regard to oxidation and corrosion resistance, chromium and aluminum addition can lead to the formation of a protective dense oxide layer on the surface of superalloys, which can isolate the environment from the base superalloy. Different concentrations of Al and Cr have different abilities to form a protective scale. Stringer [4] reported that generally at least 15% Cr is required in nickel-based alloys for hot corrosion resistance between 750°C to 900°C.

2.1 SUPERALLOYS IN GAS TURBINE ENGINES

Superalloys are commonly used in the gas turbine engines of aircraft and ships. In this section, gas turbine engines will be the main focus.
Gas turbine engines were developed in the middle of the 20th century, around the time of World War II. Now they are widely used on commercial aircraft. Due to the high-temperature operating environment, gas turbine engines are susceptible to hot corrosion and oxidation. Figure 1 presents a schematic of a gas turbine engine section. From this figure, the gas engine is divided into the following three main parts: compressor; combustor; and turbine.

The compressor, which is connected to the air inlet, or intake, compresses air to effectively combust fuel. In the compressor, the stationary and rotating blades form several stages. Each successive stage increases the pressure of compressed air.

![Figure 1. Section of gas turbine engine](image)

The high-pressure air from the compressor is directed into the combustor where fuel is sprayed by a series of nozzles to nebulize it and mix it with the compressed air. Due to the large specific surface area of the nebulized fuel and the high air pressure, the gas temperature in the combustor can be above 1900°C [1]. This extremely high temperature from the fuel combustion
is beyond the operating limit of superalloys, so that the superalloy component in the hot stages need to be internally cooled.

The exhaust gas exiting from the combustor is at a high temperature and extreme pressure. When it passes through the turbine, the gas expands and drives rotating blades in the turbine section. This also drives the central shaft connected to the blades which provide energy for the compressor, fuel pump and other accessories. To protect blades in the turbine, corrosion-resistant coatings are often used in conjunction with cooling schemes.

After the turbine, high speed gas exits the engine through a nozzle and provides thrust. What commonly results are that the superalloys in the engine degrade by elevated temperatures, corrosive deposits and oxidizing gases.

At this high temperature, the reactivity of superalloy constituents are significantly raised, and the reaction rates are increased to a large extent. The combination of high activities and accelerated reaction rates contribute to the hot corrosion.

Sodium sulfate is a common corrosive deposit in gas turbine engines. The main sources of sodium are fuel and intake air [1,4]. In fuel, sodium is dissolved in the oil from contamination of seawater; and in air, it is suspended due to evaporation of seawater or pollutants. Sulfur is also from fuel combustion and air. Oil may contain a considerable amount of sulfur, and although the concentration is decreased in the refining process, remnant sulfur will exist [1]. In addition, sulfur may be ingested from sources such as sulfur-containing gases released by active volcanoes [4]. This also contributes to the formation of sulfates. Sodium chloride is another important deposit due to its abundance in seawater. In the combustion process, sodium chloride can transform to sodium sulfate at high temperature [7].
2.2 COMPOSITION, STRUCTURE, AND PROPERTY OF SUPERALLOYS

Superalloys used for high-temperature applications are based on nickel and/or cobalt, which have a relatively high melting point and solubility for other elements. Cr, Al, Fe, C, Mo etc., are the commonly used alloying additions [8]. Generally, Al and Cr can form protective oxide layers that reduce the hot corrosion rate, and Mo and C confer excellent mechanical properties by solid-solution strengthening or forming an intermetallic phase in the alloy.

In nickel-based superalloys, several phases form according to different solute elements being metallic or nonmetallic. Figure 2[9] shows the Ni-Al phase diagram. Gamma (γ) phase is an FCC solid solution of nickel and the base constituent of nickel-based superalloys. At higher temperature, the solubility of additions is large enough to form a signal gamma phase, while at lower temperature, a gamma-prime (γ’) phase based on Ni₃Al precipitates. γ’ is an intermetallic phase which has a slightly different lattice parameter from that of the γ phase; thus, it hardens the nickel-based superalloys when dispersed as discrete participates in the γ matrix [5]. Below 650°C, gamma double-prime (γ’’)-Ni₃Nb may precipitate. This γ” phase, which is body-centered tetragonal, has a large difference in lattice parameter in comparison with γ matrix phase and can significantly improve the mechanical strength of nickel-based superalloys [8]. Finally, carbide phases, which can form at grain boundaries and inhibit grain-boundary motion, forms in alloys with a relatively high carbon concentration [10, 11].

Compared with nickel, cobalt has better resistance to the high temperature (Type I) hot corrosion [12], although its mechanical strength at high temperature is inferior. So, it is common to mix nickel with cobalt to achieve superior properties. Figure 3 shows the Co-Al phase diagram [13].

In addition, some refractory elements like Ru can extend the creep rupture life and increase the steady-state creep activation energy of superalloys [14]. These additions can also improve mechanical strength of superalloys by solid-solution strengthening.

Figure 2. Ni-Al phase diagram
2.3 COATINGS FOR SUPERALLOYS

Raising the gas temperature in the combustor and turbine improves the engine thermal efficiency. To increase the operating temperature in gas turbine engine, novel material design, cooling technologies and manufacturing methods are applied; in addition, coatings provide another crucial method to extend the service life of the superalloys and reduce hot corrosion and oxidation.

Diffusion coatings are formed by enriching the alloy surface with aluminum, chromium or silicon, which can form a protective oxide layer Al₂O₃, Cr₂O₃, and SiO₂, respectively, during exposure at high-temperature environments. The addition of platinum in diffusion coatings is helpful for formation of an adherent oxide layer, which increases long-term resistance to
oxidation attack [1, 6, 15]. Improved coating methods, like depositing an interlayer in the coatings can also promote hot corrosion and oxidation resistance [6].

Overlay coatings were developed after diffusion coatings as a means to better control composition. Overlay coatings generally have good adherence to the substrate and excellent high-temperature ductility. Overlay coatings are typically M-Al-Cr-Y in composition, where M is nickel, cobalt or both. The addition of cobalt into NiCrAlY alloys can improve the ductility and as a result, the service life is expanded [16]. In an MCrAlY alloy, nickel provides reasonable oxidation resistance, while cobalt confers hot corrosion resistance at least at high temperature. In addition, Y in the coating can extend the long term-cyclic oxidation resistance with optimized concentration and surface condition [16-19]. In oxidation process, yttrium is bonded to oxygen and enriched at splat boundaries. Besides, yttrium can also segregate at the coating surface [20]. Figure 4[17] summaries the oxidation and hot corrosion resistance of some overlay coatings. High-aluminum and low-chromium overlay coatings have resistance to high temperature (Type I) hot corrosion, while overlay coatings with low-aluminum and high-chromium contents resist low temperature (Type II) hot corrosion [1, 6]. Alloyed with several oxygen-active elements, overlay coatings can be highly resistant to various critical environments. For example, overlay coatings alloyed with platinum attain scales with less defects and stronger cyclic oxidation resistance [6]. Nijdam and Sloof [21] found that the trace elements, like Ta and Re, could increase the aluminum concentration in the alloy at the oxide/alloy interface. Pint [22] proposed that the segregation of reactive-element ions could inhibit interfacial void growth in β-NiAl and improve scale adhesion.

In practice, overlay coatings are deposited on a given substrate by different methods. Electron-beam physical vapor deposition (EB-PVD), argon-shrouded plasma spray and high
velocity oxyfuel (HVOF) spraying process are commonly used. Different methods can result in a variation in overlay coating microstructure. Nijdam and Sloof [23] studied the microstructure of a NiCoCrAlY overlay coating deposited by electron beam physical vapor deposition. They concluded that the overlay coating was composed of small equiaxed grains at the bottom and large columnar grains at the top, which consisted of a periodic arrangement of γ and β lamellae. Fritscher and Lee [24] studied the microstructure of a low-pressure plasma sprayed NiCoCrAlY overlay coating and found that the coating was stratified in three layers: the thickest layer containing γ and β grains near the substrate; a thin fibrous layer in the middle; and a thin porous layer with amorphous grains on the outer edge of scale.

A new type of overlay coating, termed “smart coating”, was developed to provide high temperature corrosion protection over a wide range of operating conditions [25, 26]. Smart coatings can provide considerable protection to oxidation as well as Type I and Type II hot corrosion. For high-temperature oxidation and Type I hot corrosion, nickel-base alloys containing aluminum and chromium can decrease reaction rate effectively. However, cobalt-base alloys with a high concentration of chromium outperform nickel-base alloys at low temperatures at which Type II hot corrosion predominates. This is evidenced in Figure 4. Accordingly, a smart coating is composed of a standard MCrAlY alloy which is preheated to form a chromium-rich intermediate layer [25].

Under high temperature oxidation and hot corrosion, the coating can form Al₂O₃ to prevent further reaction. The chromium-rich interlayer acts as a diffusion barrier and restricts aluminum diffusion into the coating [27]. In low temperature Type II hot corrosion, the intermediate layer can form a chromium-rich oxide layer limiting the corrosion rate.
In summary, superalloys have been used in various high-temperature and corrosive environments because of their excellent mechanical properties at elevated temperatures. With additions of beneficial elements and development of coating techniques, superalloys are able to exhibit improved performance.

Figure 4. Relative oxidation and corrosion resistance of high temperature coating systems
3.0 HOT CORROSION AND OXIDATION

3.1 OXIDATION

As discussed in the last chapter, in gas turbine engines, coatings of superalloys play a critical role. In high-temperature application with corrosive environments, oxygen-active metals in overlay coatings, i.e., Al and Cr, react with oxygen in the environment to form protective oxide layers. These layers are generally dense and have low solubility of oxygen, which is beneficial to retarding the hot corrosion and expanding the service life.

3.1.1 Metal oxidation

In this section, oxidation of Ni, Al and Cr, which are main components of overlay coatings, shall be discussed.

Figure 5[28] is the Ni-O phase diagram. The melting point of Ni is 1455°C, which is higher than the working temperature of superalloys. This means that nickel-based superalloys can be solid phase and maintain decent mechanical strength during operation. The thermal stability and crystal structure are key factors as to why nickel-based superalloys are predominantly used in practice. The oxygen solubility in Ni is also important in hot corrosion because it determines the oxidation rate. Neumann [28] proposed that the maximum oxygen solubility in Ni is approximately 0.05 at. %, which is relatively low.
With regard to the thermodynamics of the Ni-NiO system, the relevant equilibrium equation below the eutectic temperature is [28]:

\[ \text{Ni} + \frac{1}{2} \text{O}_2(\text{g}) = \text{NiO} \]

\[
\log\left(\frac{p_{O_2}}{\text{bar}}\right) = 8.811 - \frac{24400}{T} \quad (1000\text{K to 1713K})
\]

When \( T=1273\text{K} \), the calculated \( p_{O_2} = 3.18 \times 10^{-5} \text{atm} \). Such a low oxygen pressure means that, at the working temperature of superalloys, oxidation of the nickel base metal is thermodynamically favored.

![Weight Percent Oxygen vs Temperature](image)

**Figure 5.** Ni-O phase diagram
With regard to kinetics, Goebel and Pettit [29] tested the oxidation of pure nickel in air at 1000°C. They obtained cross-sectional images of samples and weight-change kinetics, which are shown in Figure 6 and Figure 7, respectively. In Figure 6, the NiO layer forms as a compact scale. The parabolic kinetics in Figure 7 indicates that the NiO scale growth is diffusion controlled. It is now well established that the outward Ni\textsuperscript{2+} diffusion through the NiO scale is rate controlling factor below 1000°C, while the diffusion of oxygen molecules through cracks and fissures formed at oxide grain boundaries is necessary to be considered at higher temperature [30].

![Figure 6. Transverse section of pure nickel oxidized in air at 1000°C for 3 hours](image)

With regard to the Al-O system, Figure 8[31] shows the Al-O phase diagram. The melting point of Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} is 2054°C. Thus, at the working temperature in a gas turbine engine, the Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} is solid.
Figure 7. Weight change per square centimeter of oxidized nickel

Figure 8. Al-O phase diagram
According to the phase diagram, the thermodynamically stable phase of Al-O system at working temperature is $\alpha$-$\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$. In general, $\alpha$-$\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ is stable thermodynamically and kinetically at high temperature applications with enough oxygen [31].

Similar to Al, Cr can react with oxygen to form an oxide layer during high-temperature exposure. Figure 9 [32] shows a Cr-O phase diagram. The melting point of $\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_3$ is 2540°C, and at working temperature of gas turbine engine, Cr-O system is $\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_3$ as long as the pressure of oxygen is high enough.
3.1.2 Alloy oxidation

Oxidation of alloy is much more complex than pure metal oxidation due to:

a. Different metals in the alloy have different oxygen affinities.

b. More complex product may form.

c. The potential for internal oxidation.

d. The solubility of oxygen is different in different metals.

The degree of complexity of alloy oxidation changes depending on the environment. In practice, the gas phase is air, which consists of about 21% oxygen. Oxidation of an alloy composed of nickel, aluminum and chromium in air is the main subject of this section.

Giggins and Pettit [33] conducted oxidation exposures of Ni-Cr-Al alloys between 1000°C and 1200°C and partitioned the Ni-Cr-Al phase diagram into three regions having different oxidation behaviors. Figure 10 is a cross-sectional image of Ni-2Cr-4Al alloy after 20h oxidation in 0.1 atm of oxygen at 1000°C. A dense NiO scale formed above an internal oxide subscale, which consists of Cr₂O₃, Al₂O₃ and Ni (Cr, Al)₂O₄. This type of microstructure shows that, in a low-chromium and low-aluminum nickel-based alloy, NiO is formed preferentially due to its high concentration and Cr and Al can form internal precipitates. Alloys whose concentration is in region I in Figure 11 show this type of microstructure.

With increasing Al and Cr concentrations, the oxidation behaviors of Ni-Cr-Al alloys change from a NiO layer to Al₂O₃ and Cr₂O₃ scales. Figure 12 shows a cross-sectional image of Ni-20Cr-2Al alloy after 21h oxidation in 0.1 atm of oxygen at 1100°C. An outer Cr₂O₃ layer formed above the internal Al₂O₃ subscale. The dense Cr₂O₃ layer and Al₂O₃ precipitates prove that Cr and Al reacted in preference to Ni with oxygen in the same condition. The Ni-20Cr-2Al alloy composition in region II is shown in Figure 13.
**Figure 10.** Micrograph of Ni-2Cr-4Al superalloy after 20h oxidation in 0.1 atm of oxygen at 1000°C

**Figure 11.** Ni-Al-Cr system phase diagram at 1000°C
Figure 12. Micrograph of Ni-20Cr-2Al after 21h oxidation in 0.1 atm of oxygen at 1100°C

Figure 13. Ni-Al-Cr system phase diagram at 1100°C

Figure 14 shows the microstructure of Ni-20Cr-4Al alloy after 20h oxidation in 0.1 atm of oxygen at 1200°C. The dense Al₂O₃ scale covering the alloy substrate indicates that the
affinity of aluminum with oxygen is greater than that of chromium. Figure 15 shows the composition of the Ni-20Cr-4Al alloy in region III.

Figure 14. Micrograph of Ni-20Cr-4Al after 20h oxidation in 0.1 atm of oxygen at 1200°C

Figure 15. Ni-Al-Cr system phase diagram at 1200°C
The different oxidation microstructures that can be seen with the composition variation of Ni-Cr-Al alloys clearly show that the oxidation behavior is highly dependent on the alloy composition. Giggins and Pettit [33] proposed that oxidation of Ni-Cr-Al alloys occurs in two stages: the transient stage and the steady-state stage.

During the transient oxidation stage, the surface layer of the alloy is rapidly oxidized. This rapidly formed but thin oxide layer is a mixture of NiO, Al₂O₃ and Cr₂O₃ of composition that is dependent on the alloy composition. Because the oxidation rate is fast, generally the duration of the transient oxidation stage for most Ni-Cr-Al alloys was less than 1 hour, the diffusion of oxygen in the oxide and the outward diffusion of metals in the substrate are not critical factors [33].

The end of the transient oxidation stage corresponds to the establishment of a reaction-product assemblage that is time independent. This corresponds to the steady-state stage. Because the oxygen pressure required to form Al₂O₃ and Cr₂O₃ is significantly lower than that for NiO [34], diffusing oxygen preferentially reacts with Al and Cr to form Al₂O₃ and Cr₂O₃. When concentrations of Cr and Al are insufficient (compositions in region I), Al₂O₃ and Cr₂O₃ form a discontinuous subscale, while NiO forms a continuous layer (Figure 10). When a continuous dense NiO layer forms, oxidation rate is controlled by Ni²⁺ cation transportation through the NiO.

When the composition of the Ni-Cr-Al alloy moves into either region II or region III, the Cr or Al content is sufficient to form a continuous Al₂O₃ or Cr₂O₃ layer. As shown in Figure 12, the dense continuous Cr₂O₃ layer and the internal Al₂O₃ precipitates imply that the oxidation mechanism of Ni-20Cr-2Al is different from that of Ni-2Cr-4Al. Generally, during steady-state stage oxidation, metals react with oxygen to form corresponding oxides by virtue of the diffusion
of aluminum and chromium from the interior of the alloy to the surface and oxygen from the surface to the interior of the alloy. In the Ni-20Cr-2Al alloy, the high concentration of chromium causes a continuous Cr$_2$O$_3$ layer and the discontinuous Al$_2$O$_3$ subscale form below after a certain period. After the formation of the dense continuous Cr$_2$O$_3$ layer, the oxidation rate is controlled by the diffusions of oxygen and chromium through the Cr$_2$O$_3$ layer. If the composition of Ni-Cr-Al system is in region III, like the Ni-20Cr-4Al alloy, the dense layer changes from Cr$_2$O$_3$ to Al$_2$O$_3$. In this case, the aluminum content is sufficient to form a continuous layer when the oxygen pressure is lower than that required for Cr$_2$O$_3$ formation, and thus the subscale of Cr$_2$O$_3$ is not observed in the Figure 14. When the continuous Al$_2$O$_3$ layer forms, the rate-determining step is diffusion of oxygen and aluminum through the Al$_2$O$_3$ layer.

In summary, the oxidation mechanism of Ni-Cr-Al alloys is determined by the aluminum and chromium concentrations. When the alloy composition lies in region I, a continuous dense NiO scale forms above a subscale composed of the mixture of Cr$_2$O$_3$, Al$_2$O$_3$ and Ni (Cr, Al)$_2$O$_4$. However, when the composition lies in region II or region III, the formed continuous layer is Cr$_2$O$_3$ or Al$_2$O$_3$ respectively.

It should be noted that the oxidized surface microstructures of Ni-2Cr-4Al and Ni-20Cr-4Al have different continuous layers even though the amount of aluminum content is the same. This shows that adding chromium promotes the formation of Al$_2$O$_3$. Giggins and Pettit [33] proposed that this phenomenon is caused by initial oxidation of chromium primarily, which could reduce oxygen permeability into the alloy, and then the oxygen potential is lowered to a level which is insufficient to form NiO. As a result, the oxidation of aluminum is allowed to predominate in the oxidation process.
Guan and Smeltzer [35] came to this same conclusion on the benefits of Cr. They calculated the oxygen solubility in Ni-Al-Cr alloys with different alloy compositions and established the boundary condition between internal and external oxidation in the ternary system alloy. They found that the addition of chromium could affect the oxygen solubility and diffusion in the Ni-Al-Cr alloy system. Figure 16 [35] is the plot of the oxygen solubility in Ni-Cr-Al alloys with respect to chromium concentration at 1200°C. The oxygen solubility decreases dramatically with increasing chromium concentration. Figure 17 from the same study [35] goes on to show the change of the slope of the diffusion path at the scale-alloy interface as a function of chromium concentration at 1200°C. It shows that the chromium concentration strongly influences the oxygen distribution path. In summary, the addition of chromium can reduce the oxygen concentration required to form continuous Al₂O₃ layers by two mechanisms: (1) chromium can reduce the oxygen solubility in Ni-Al-Cr alloys; and (2) chromium can modify the distribution of oxygen and prevent oxygen super-saturation in the alloy; which is required for the formation of NiO. Because of the difference of lattice mismatch, nucleation and growth of Cr₂O₃ is easier than Al₂O₃ in Ni-Cr-Al alloy [36]. This means that earlier formed Cr₂O₃ can protect Al in the alloy, which would extend the alloy service life.
Figure 16. Oxygen solubility in the Ni-Cr-Al alloys as a function of Cr concentration at 1200°C

Figure 17. The change of the slope of the diffusion path at the scale/alloy interface as a function of Cr concentration at 1200°C
Some researchers studied the protectiveness of dense oxide layers against sulfidation and hot corrosion. Pope and Birks [37] measured the penetration of sulfur into NiO scale. First, they oxidized a nickel specimen in pure oxygen at 1000°C to obtain the dense NiO layer; when the desired oxide scale formed, the gas was shifted to the mixture of CO and CO₂; finally, SO₂ was added into the CO-CO₂ mix to stabilize sulfide formation. The result shows that the dense NiO layer is penetrated by sulfur after the first several hours, even though the SO₂ pressure is as low as 0.05 atm. The penetration of oxide scale by sulfur is dominated by the transport of SO₂ molecules through physical defects in the scale as opposed to the dissolution and diffusion of sulfur.

Otsuka and Rapp [38] conducted experiments to test the hot corrosion of pre-oxidized nickel with a Na₂SO₄ deposit at 900°C. After the pre-oxidation, the specimen which had a dense NiO scale were deposited with Na₂SO₄ and heated in 0.1% SO₂-O₂ gas atmosphere at 900°C for 4 hours. By the electrochemical method, they found that the oxide layer could retard the hot corrosion until the oxide scale was penetrated by melting salt. A thicker or less-defect layer was found to be more resistant to hot corrosion. The hot corrosion occurring around 900°C is called Type I hot corrosion, which will be discussed in more detail in the next section.

Santoro and Barrett [39] tested various Ni-Cr-Al alloys to determine which compositions have the best resistance to hot corrosion. Their results showed that Ni-33Al and Ni-30Cr-20Al alloys had optimal oxidation and hot corrosion resistance by forming dense protective Al₂O₃ layer. These experiments also showed that the addition of chromium decreases the required aluminum content to form a continuous Al₂O₃ scale layer.

Oxidation mechanisms of MCrAlY overlay coatings are similar to those of Ni-Cr-Al alloys. The difference is that addition of Co can influence the transportation of Cr and Al. For
example, because Cr diffuses more slowly in Co than in Ni, the required Cr in overlay coatings is larger than in standard Ni-Cr-Al alloys [12]. In practice, the oxidation features of overlay coatings depend on various factors when overlay coatings are deposited on the superalloy [12,40,41]. Coating density, extent of connected porosity in the coating, and ease of penetration for oxidizing molecules through the coating are the major factors [40]. These factors are determined by alloy composition, deposition method, coating microstructure as well as interdiffusion between the coating and substrate [12].

### 3.2 HOT CORROSION

Deposit-induced corrosion at high temperature is often termed hot corrosion. Several reviews and specific papers on hot corrosion have been published [e.g. 2, 39, 42, 43, 44]. The study of hot corrosion is important to comprehending a key degradation mechanism of superalloys.

#### 3.2.1 Basics of hot corrosion

Hot corrosion is generally categorized as one of two types: Type I hot corrosion, also called high temperature hot corrosion, occurs between 850-1000°C which is above the melting temperature of the salt; and Type II hot corrosion, also called low temperature hot corrosion, occurs between 600-800°C, which is below the melting temperature of the salt. Figure 18 [2] shows different regions of Type I and Type II hot corrosion.

The accelerated degradation at high temperature is dictated by the state of deposit on metal or alloy because whether the deposit melts or not has significant influence on the oxidation
mechanism. The molten salt contributes to dissolution of protective oxides and transportation of oxygen and metal ions in the salt. In addition, viscosity, wetting ability and equilibrium state of the molten salt are also crucial factors in determining the severity of hot corrosion. Thus, liquid salt is the necessary condition for severe hot corrosion. Generally, \( \text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4 \) and \( \text{NaCl} \) are the common deposits in hot corrosion experiments. In this section, \( \text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4 \) deposit are used to illustrate the hot corrosion mechanism.

![Figure 18. Summary diagram showing Type I and Type II hot corrosion](image)

Figure 19 is a schematic transverse section of an alloy undergoing \( \text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4 \)-induced hot corrosion. The continuity of the oxide layer is essential for the resistance to hot corrosion. When
cracks and channels form in the oxide scale, the molten salt penetrates and contacts the alloy directly. The result is severe hot corrosion since no metal is intrinsically resistant to molten salt.

According to proposed mechanisms [2], in the initial stages of hot corrosion, the most active metals in alloy, commonly aluminum and chromium, react with oxygen dissolved in Na$_2$SO$_4$ to form an oxide layer. This oxide layer prevents the direct contact between the molten salt and the alloy substrate. The oxidation of metals consumes oxygen in the liquid salt and the chemistry of Na$_2$SO$_4$ deposit will consequently change. Then, the oxide layer reacts with the deposit and dissolves in the molten salt. Where there is no protective oxide layer, the severe hot corrosion will occur.

![Schematic drawing illustrating hot corrosion of metals and alloys](image)
At the hot corrosion temperature, the chemical constitution of molten Na₂SO₄ are represented in Equations (1) and (2):

\[
\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4 = \text{Na}_2\text{O} + \text{SO}_3
\]  

(1)

or

\[
\text{SO}_4^{2-} = \text{SO}_3 + \text{O}^{2-}
\]  

(2)

Figure 20[44] is the thermodynamic stability diagram for the Na-O-S system at constant temperature. It is obvious that the composition of molten salt at a given temperature is determined by the \(P_{\text{O}_2}\) and by either \(a_{\text{Na}_2\text{O}}\) or \(a_{\text{SO}_3}\). Thus, formation and consumption of oxide ions can change the composition of the molten salt. Besides, when \(P_{\text{O}_2}\) is constant, the basicity of the molten salt is defined by \(a_{\text{Na}_2\text{O}}\) or \(a_{\text{O}^{2-}}\).
In Na$_2$SO$_4$-induced hot corrosion, there are two oxide dissolution mechanisms: basic fluxing and acidic fluxing. Basic fluxing is caused by reactions between the basic component of sodium sulfate, Na$_2$O (or O$^{2-}$) and the oxide layer, as shown in Equations (3) to (5); while acidic fluxing involves the decomposition of the oxide layer by reaction with the acid component and SO$_3$, as shown in Equations (6) to (8) [44]:

$$\text{MO} + \text{Na}_2\text{O} = 2\text{Na}^+ + \text{MO}^{2-}_2$$ \hspace{1cm} (3)

$$\text{MO} + \text{O}^{2-} = \text{MO}^{2-}_2$$ \hspace{1cm} (4)

$$\text{MO} + \text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4 = 2\text{Na}^+ + \text{MO}^{2-}_2 + \text{SO}_3$$ \hspace{1cm} (5)

$$\text{MO} + \text{SO}_3 = \text{M}^{2+} + \text{SO}_4^{2-}$$ \hspace{1cm} (6)

$$\text{MO} = \text{M}^{2+} + \text{O}^{2-}$$ \hspace{1cm} (7)

$$\text{MO} + \text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4 = \text{M}^{2+} + \text{SO}_4^{2-} + \text{Na}_2\text{O}$$ \hspace{1cm} (8)

Here, M represents the metal.

In basic fluxing, the salt reaction with the oxide reduces the oxide ion concentration in salt and consequently favors the forward reactions (1) or (2). In acidic fluxing, the decomposition of oxide increases the oxide ion concentration in the salt and drives reactions (1) or (2) in the reverse direction. Thus, the composition of molten salt changes according to different fluxing mechanisms.

In molten salt, oxide ion concentration changes gradually with the development of fluxing, and the solubility of the oxide varies with the concentration of oxide ion. Figure 21 [43,45] shows different oxides solubilities in molten Na$_2$SO$_4$ at 927°C (1200 k) and 1 atm O$_2$. In
this figure, each oxide solubility has a minimum at a certain oxide ion concentration. Rapp and Goto [46] proposed that, for the pure nickel, the gradient in the solubility of the oxide in the molten salt is negative at the oxide-salt interface, i.e.

$$\left(\frac{dC_{\text{oxide}}}{dx}\right)_{x=0} < 0$$

where $x$ is the thickness of the deposit. The oxide which dissolves at the interface can precipitate out in molten salt away from the oxide-salt interface. This negative solubility gradient is called the Rapp-Goto criterion. If the Rapp-Goto criterion is satisfied, the molten salt will dissolve the protective oxide layer.

![Figure 21. Measured oxide solubilities in fused Na$_2$SO$_4$ at 927°C (1200 k) and 1 atm O$_2$](image-url)
3.2.2 High temperature (Type I) hot corrosion

For pure nickel oxidized in pure oxygen, high temperature hot corrosion is caused by basic fluxing of the protective NiO layer. Figure 22 [2] shows the thermodynamic stability diagram of nickel in Ni-S-O system at 1000°C. The mark \( \otimes \) indicates the deposited composition of Na\(_2\)SO\(_4\). The Na\(_2\)SO\(_4\) decomposition reaction can be illustrated by Equations (10) and (11) [44]:

\[
\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4 = \text{Na}_2\text{O} (\text{in Na}_2\text{SO}_4) + \frac{3}{2} \text{O}_2 (\text{used to form NiO}) + \frac{1}{2} \text{S}_2 (\text{used to form NiS}) \quad (10)
\]

or

\[
\text{SO}_4^{2-} = \text{O}^{2-} (\text{in Na}_2\text{SO}_4) + \frac{3}{2} \text{O}_2 (\text{used to form NiO}) + \frac{1}{2} \text{S}_2 (\text{used to form NiS}) \quad (11)
\]

In the initial stage of high temperature hot corrosion, the composition point of Na\(_2\)SO\(_4\) lies in the NiO(s) stability region. Because of the oxidation of metal at the salt-metal interface, the oxygen concentration in Na\(_2\)SO\(_4\) decreases. As a result, oxide ion and sulfur concentration increase due to the decomposition of Na\(_2\)SO\(_4\), described by Equations (10) or (11). The downward arrows in Figure 22 shows the change of Na\(_2\)SO\(_4\) composition due to the removal of oxygen. This reaction path for basic fluxing was also shown in Figure 20.
As the hot corrosion continues, the concentration of oxygen decreases gradually and composition of Na$_2$SO$_4$ moves downward, in accordance with Figure 22. When the composition point moves into the NiS stability region, NiS will appear beneath NiO where oxygen concentration is low. This is indicated by the leftward arrow in Figure 22. From Equations (10) or (11), the decline of sulfur and oxygen concentration leads to an increase in the oxide ion concentration, which can cause dissolution of NiO and formation of NiO$_2^{2-}$, shown by Equation (4). NiO$_2^{2-}$ will diffuse away from nickel-salt interface into the molten salt and decompose to NiO and oxide ion again. When the molten salt is saturated with oxide, the negative solubility gradient is no longer satisfied. Then oxide particles formed at the metal-salt interface accumulate to form a continuous protective NiO layer. Thus, without the addition of new Na$_2$SO$_4$, high
temperature hot corrosion of pure nickel is not self-sustaining. The whole hot corrosion process of pure nickel is summarized schematically in Figure 23 [44].

![Figure 23. High temperature hot corrosion process of pure nickel](image)

When SO2 is added into the gas phase, the amount of metal sulfide increases due to the transport of sulfur from that gas. Andresen [47] measured the solubilities of oxygen and sulfur dioxide in molten Na2SO4, and the calculated Henry's law solubility constants. The results are summarized in Tables 1 and 2. From these two tables, solubilities of oxygen and sulfur dioxide are extremely low. Generally, oxygen and sulfur are transported via $S_2O_7^{2-}$ instead of SO2 or O2 [48]. Even so, the addition of SO2 or, more specially, SO3 in gas phase will influence hot corrosion mechanism.
Table 1. Solubility of O₂ in molten Na₂SO₄

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Expt. No.</th>
<th>Temp (℃)</th>
<th>Kₜ×10⁷ mole·cm⁻³·atm⁻¹</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>902</td>
<td>2.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>901</td>
<td>1.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>903</td>
<td>1.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>903</td>
<td>1.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>904</td>
<td>1.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>904</td>
<td>2.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>961</td>
<td>2.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>965</td>
<td>2.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>966</td>
<td>2.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>961</td>
<td>2.64</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Solubility of SO₂ in molten Na₂SO₄

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Expt. No.</th>
<th>Temp (℃)</th>
<th>Kₜ×10⁶ mole·cm⁻³·atm⁻¹</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>902</td>
<td>1.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>901</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>901</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>902</td>
<td>1.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>961</td>
<td>1.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>961</td>
<td>2.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>961</td>
<td>2.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>955</td>
<td>3.18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Lillerud et al. [49] studied the reaction mechanism of high-purity nickel in 96% O₂ and 4% SO₂ at 700-900°C. They found that inward migration of sulfur through microchannels in the scale in the form of SO₂ molecules is the main manner of sulfur transportation.

Misra and Whittle [50] studied the effects of SO₂ and SO₃ on the Na₂SO₄-induced corrosion of nickel. They found that the addition of sulfurous gas into the environment could increase the weight gain. With small amount of sulfur in the gas phase, e.g. 0.104% SO₂ in air, basic fluxing is predominant in hot corrosion and NiS forms beneath the NiO layer. While if
sulfur in gas phase is relatively high, e.g. 10% SO₂ in air, the reaction mechanism will be acidic fluxing. In high-concentration sulfur gas, NiS can form at the beginning of the reaction. Because the reaction between Ni and SO₃ can produce NiS and NiO, the scale morphology is the mixture of oxide and sulfide of nickel. As hot corrosion continues, NiS is oxidized to NiO and released sulfur diffuses into metal to form NiS again. As a result, more sulfides form beneath the oxide layer. This process is a sulfidation-oxidation mechanism.

In summary, the high-temperature hot corrosion of pure nickel deposited with Na₂SO₄ is dominated by basic fluxing when the concentration of sulfur in gas is low, while the hot corrosion occurs by acidic fluxing when there is a substantial amount of sulfur in gas.

For Ni-Cr-Al alloy, the mechanism of high temperature hot corrosion is similar to that of pure nickel. As discussed in the last section, oxidation of Ni-Cr-Al alloy can result in a continuous protective layer that consists of Al₂O₃ and/or Cr₂O₃, depending on the alloy composition. The formation of Al₂O₃ and Cr₂O₃ can decrease the oxygen partial pressure in molten salt and the oxygen ion concentration is increased by decomposition of Na₂SO₄. In high temperature hot corrosion, the protective oxide layer will be dissolved in molten salt. The mechanism can be described by Equations (12) - (13):

\[
\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 + \text{O}^{2-} = 2\text{AlO}_2^- \tag{12}
\]
\[
\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_3 + 2\text{O}^{2-} + \frac{3}{2}\text{O}_2 = 2\text{CrO}_4^{2-} \tag{13}
\]

Thus, aluminum and chromium in Ni-Cr-Al alloy can consume Na₂SO₄. And consequently, other metals in the alloy will be intact. Besides, formation of metal sulfide beneath the oxide layer is important as well. Table 3 [51] shows the free energies of formation for sulfides and oxides at 1123K. The free energy of formation of Cr₂S₃ is lower than that of NiS,
which means Cr$_2$S$_3$ formation is thermodynamically preferred. Thus, the addition of chromium can not only form the protective layer, but may preferentially react with sulfur. To that end, Goebel and Pettit [52] illustrated that chromium could form sulfide preferentially in Ni-Al-Cr alloys and transform NiS to CrS.

Table 3. Free energies of formation for sulfides and oxides at 1123K (Kcal/mol S or O)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sulfide</th>
<th>$\Delta G_{1123K}$</th>
<th>Oxide</th>
<th>$\Delta G_{1123K}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FeS</td>
<td>21.9</td>
<td>FeO</td>
<td>45.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NiS</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>NiO</td>
<td>32.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CoS</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>CoO</td>
<td>37.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CrS</td>
<td>34.9</td>
<td>Cr$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td>66.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al$_2$S$_3$</td>
<td>41.7</td>
<td>Al$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td>104.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Some alloying elements can react with the Na$_2$SO$_4$ and form corresponding acidic oxide, which can accelerate the hot corrosion. Taking molybdenum as the example, typical reactions are shown in Equations (14) - (18) [44]:

\[
\text{Mo} + \frac{3}{2}\text{O}_2 = \text{MoO}_3
\]  

(14)

\[
\text{MoO}_3 + \text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4 = \text{Na}_2\text{MoO}_4 + \text{SO}_3
\]  

(15)

\[
\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 + 3\text{MoO}_3(\text{in Na}_2\text{SO}_4) = 2\text{Al}^{3+} + 3\text{MoO}_4^{2-}
\]  

(16)

\[
\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_3 + 3\text{MoO}_3(\text{in Na}_2\text{SO}_4) = 2\text{Cr}^{3+} + 3\text{MoO}_4^{2-}
\]  

(17)

\[
3\text{NiO} + 3\text{MoO}_3(\text{in Na}_2\text{SO}_4) = 3\text{Ni}^{3+} + 3\text{MoO}_4^{2-}
\]  

(18)
During high temperature hot corrosion, acidic MoO$_3$ dissolves $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$, $\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_3$ and $\text{NiO}$ at the metal-salt interface where the MoO$_3$ activity is sufficiently high. Vaporization of MoO$_3$ can shift Equations (16) - (18) towards left side and produce $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$, $\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_3$ and $\text{NiO}$ in molten salt above the metal-salt interface. This keeps the concentration of $\text{MoO}_4^{2-}$ near the gas phase lower than that at the metal-salt interface, which is the driving force for the outward movement of $\text{MoO}_4^{2-}$ ions in the molten salt. This type of hot corrosion is called alloy-induced acidic fluxing [44], which is self-sustaining and can cause catastrophic corrosion.

Some researchers have also tested the properties of nickel-based alloys which contain molybdenum. Bornstein [53] proposed that the addition of Mo and V could impart sulfidation resistance to the alloy by decreasing the oxide ion content of molten Na$_2$SO$_4$. In the low-concentration oxide ion environment, the oxide layer will keep continuous and prevent the further sulfidation. Bornstein further reported that only a low concentration of molybdenum, below that necessary for precipitation of a molybdenum-rich phase to occur, can provide sulfidation resistance to the alloy.

Misra [54] tested nickel-base superalloys containing molybdenum with Na$_2$SO$_4$ deposit in SO$_2$-O$_2$ atmosphere at 950°C. The author found that the corrosion is affected by SO$_2$ pressure in atmosphere. At lower levels of SO$_2$ (<0.24%), superalloys undergo catastrophic corrosion in the presence of Na$_2$SO$_4$ deposit. However, when SO$_2$ is higher than 1%, the incorporation of MoO$_3$ into molten salt, i.e. Equation (15), is restricted. No Mo was detected in the molten salt. The hot corrosion is caused by the high SO$_2$ pressure in atmosphere.
3.2.3 Low temperature (Type II) hot corrosion

Type II hot corrosion, or low temperature hot corrosion, occurs between 600 and 800°C. Low temperature hot corrosion studies have shown that the presence of liquid salt is necessary for accelerated hot corrosion [55-60]. In the hot corrosion tests of nickel below the melting point of Na$_2$SO$_4$ (i.e. 884°C), Na$_2$SO$_4$ is solid in the absence of SO$_3$. If the partial pressure of SO$_3$ is larger than $10^{-4}$ atm, a Na$_2$SO$_4$-NiSO$_4$ liquid solution can form above the eutectic temperature of 671°C. Figure 24[60] shows the Na$_2$SO$_4$-NiSO$_4$ system phase diagram.

![Figure 24. Na$_2$SO$_4$-NiSO$_4$ system phase diagram [60]](image-url)
For low temperature hot corrosion of pure nickel, the binary molten salt is formed by reaction between nickel and sulfur trioxide dissolved in molten salt. This type of hot corrosion is called gas-phase induced acidic fluxing. As mentioned previously, solubilities of oxygen and sulfur dioxide in molten Na$_2$SO$_4$ is extremely low. Oxygen and sulfur are transported via $S_2O_7^{2-}$ generally. The transposition of SO$_3$ into salt is given by Equations (19) and (20):

$$\text{SO}_3 + O^{2-} = \text{SO}_4^{2-}$$ \hspace{1cm} (19)

or

$$\text{SO}_3 + \text{SO}_4^{2-} = S_2O_7^{2-}$$ \hspace{1cm} (20)

At the initial stage of low temperature hot corrosion, nickel is oxidized to NiO. Then SO$_3$, in the form of $S_2O_7^{2-}$, in molten salt reacts with NiO to form NiSO$_4$. When the concentration of NiSO$_4$ is sufficient to form Na$_2$SO$_4$-NiSO$_4$ liquid solution, severe corrosion occurs. Figure 25[55] shows the stability diagram of the Ni–O–S system superimposed on that of the Na–O–S system at 700°C. The ‘X’ marks the gas mixture composition point of O$_2$–0.1SO$_2$ at 700°C. This composition is located in the liquid Na$_2$SO$_4$-NiSO$_4$ region, which means solid Na$_2$SO$_4$ may transform to liquid Na$_2$SO$_4$-NiSO$_4$ solution.
Gheno and Gleeson [55] studied the mechanism of low temperature hot corrosion of pure nickel at 700°C. Figure 26 is the schematic representation of the microstructural evolution of Na$_2$SO$_4$-induced low temperature hot corrosion of nickel in O$_2$–0.1SO$_2$ at 700°C. First, the oxidized nickel reacts with sulfur trioxide to form the liquid Na$_2$SO$_4$-NiSO$_4$ solution on the nickel surface, shown in Figure 26(a). Because of the relatively low SO$_3$ pressure in the gas phase, the liquid Na$_2$SO$_4$-NiSO$_4$ solution is not stable. The liquid solution is easy to decompose into NiO and solid Na$_2$SO$_4$-NiSO$_4$ solution. Thus, the liquid Na$_2$SO$_4$-NiSO$_4$ solution can be only stable near the gas phase where the SO$_3$ pressure is sufficient. In the deeper region of molten salt, NiO and solid Na$_2$SO$_4$-NiSO$_4$ solution are more stable as indicated in Figure 26(b). As the reaction proceeds, the oxygen pressure at the salt-metal interface becomes low due to the oxidation of nickel and positive solubility gradient of oxygen. As a result, nickel sulfide will form along the NiO grain boundaries. The netlike sulfide is shown in Figure 26(b). Sulfur is
generally transported by SO$_2$-SO$_3$. As the hot corrosion continues, the diffusion of oxygen into nickel transforms the nickel sulfide to nickel oxide shown in Figure 26(c). This transformation process is determined by the balance between sulfidation and oxidation rates of the nickel. Overall, it is manifested as an oxidation–sulfidation process.

With regard to low temperature hot corrosion at different temperatures, Lillerud and Kofstad [60] presented an estimated phase diagram, shown in Figure 27, which illustrates the critical SO$_3$ pressure required to form liquid Na$_2$SO$_4$-NiSO$_4$ solution at different temperatures. This is consistent with Figure 25.

**Figure 26.** Schematic representation of microstructural evolution and transport processes during reaction of nickel with Na$_2$SO$_4$ in O$_2$–0.1SO$_2$ at 700°C [55]
The critical value of SO$_3$ pressure required to form liquid Na$_2$SO$_4$-NiSO$_4$ solution at different temperatures.

The low temperature hot corrosion mechanism of alloys is difficult to describe completely because the effects are different for different alloys, depending upon alloy composition [44]. There are some features about this type of hot corrosion. First, the corrosion rate of low temperature hot corrosion is greater at low temperatures compared to higher temperatures. This characteristic comes from the need to form liquid sulfate solutions, which needs high SO$_3$ partial pressure in atmospheres. And higher SO$_3$ partial pressure is required when the corrosion temperature is higher, shown in Figure 27. Besides, higher temperature will restrict the formation of SO$_3$ from SO$_2$ and O$_2$ [44]. Second, in low temperature hot corrosion, the microstructures of corroded alloys often consisted of pits that extended into these alloys [2].
Finally, aluminum and chromium in the alloy cannot form a continuous oxide layer. The distributions of chromium and aluminum in the corrosion product are the same as those in the alloy, which means they are transformed to porous oxide in situ with little or no diffusion, different from high temperature hot corrosion [2, 44].

For cobalt-based alloys, the mechanism of low temperature hot corrosion had been proposed by several researchers. Luthra [48] formulated a low temperature hot corrosion mechanism for Co-Cr-Al-Y alloys. Figure 28 [2] shows the Na$_2$SO$_4$-CoSO$_4$ phase diagram and Figure 29 [48, 59] shows the critical SO$_3$ pressure required to form liquid Na$_2$SO$_4$-CoSO$_4$ solution at different temperatures. Compared to the Na$_2$SO$_4$-NiSO$_4$ system, the Na$_2$SO$_4$-CoSO$_4$ system has a lower eutectic temperature, which means it is easier to form a liquid solution. Furthermore, a lower SO$_3$ pressure is needed to form a liquid solution. After the formation of a liquid Na$_2$SO$_4$-CoSO$_4$ solution on the surface of the substrate, outwards diffusing Co$^{2+}$ ions react with SO$_3$ or O$_2$ around the salt-gas interface to form CoSO$_4$/Co$_3$O$_4$ and Co$^{3+}$ ions. This is shown in Equations (20) and (21):

\[
3Co^{2+} + SO_3 + \frac{1}{2}O_2 = CoSO_4(s) + 2Co^{3+}
\]  

\[
3Co^{2+} + \frac{3}{2}O_2 = \frac{1}{3}Co_3O_4(s) + 2Co^{3+}
\]

The generated Co$^{3+}$ ions diffuse inwards to the scale-alloy interface and transform again to new Co$^{2+}$ ions. This transformation is completed by the reaction of Co$^{3+}$ ions with Co$^{2+}$ ions and electrons form inner scale. Because of the diffusion of cobalt, aluminum and chromium can only be oxidized in situ rather than form the continuous oxide layer.
**Figure 28.** Na$_2$SO$_4$-CoSO$_4$ system phase diagram

**Figure 29.** Critical value of SO$_3$ pressure required to form (A) liquid Na$_2$SO$_4$-CoSO$_4$ solution from Co$_3$O$_4$, (B) liquid Na$_2$SO$_4$-CoSO$_4$ solution from CoO, (C) solid CoSO$_4$ from Co$_3$O$_4$ at different temperatures
Comparison between low temperature hot corrosion of nickel-based and cobalt-based alloys was conducted by Luthra and Shores [58]. They tested the low temperature hot corrosion of Ni-30Cr and Co-30Cr and found that the hot corrosion rate of Co-30Cr was higher than that of Ni-30Cr under the same test conditions. Misra and Whittle [61] concluded that the minimum of SO_3 pressure required to form liquid binary salt solution in cobalt-based alloy was almost an order of magnitude lower than that in nickel-based alloy, which is shown above. Therefore, the addition of cobalt into nickel-based alloy may cause severe low temperature hot corrosion.

### 3.3 AIMS OF CURRENT STUDY

The main aim of this research is to better determine the influences of alloy compositions, phase compositions and phase volume fractions of Ni-Cr-Co-Al-Y alloys on their oxidation performance and hot-corrosion resistance. As discussed in the previous sections, aluminum and chromium are constituents in Ni-Co-Cr-Al systems for providing resistance to oxidation and hot corrosion resistance. However, there are few studies about the effect of phase composition and phase volume fraction on oxidation and hot-corrosion behavior. This thesis will investigate the influence of these two factors by controlling alloy composition and phase species.

Four specific Ni-Cr-Co-Al-Y alloys having different compositions were chosen to be tested under oxidation and hot corrosion conditions at 900°C. Each of the four alloys was heat-treated at two different temperatures to generate two structural variants of the same alloy, i.e., different phase volume fractions and phase compositions.
4.0 EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

In this section, the procedures used for sample preparation, oxidation, and high temperature hot corrosion of Ni-Co-Al-Cr-Y alloys having different compositions are described.

4.1 SAMPLE PREPARATION

Ni-Co-Al-Cr-Y alloys were tested to assess their oxidation and hot corrosion resistances. Because the concentrations of yttrium in the alloys are low and nominally the same in all samples (0.18 at. %), the influence of yttrium is not considered in this study. The Ni-Co-Al-Cr alloy compositions that were prepared are shown in Table 4. The aluminum content order from low to high is denoted as Ref.1, Ref.3, Ref.2 and Ref.4. It should be noted that the aluminum content between samples Ref.1 and Ref.3 and between samples Ref.2 and Ref.4 are almost same. This can be used to explain the different performances of different alloys in the oxidation and hot corrosion experiments discussed later.

The Ni-Co-Al-Cr-Y alloys were prepared by Ar-arc melting followed by drop-casting into 10mm diameter rods. The cast rods were then heat-treated at different temperatures to produce different phase volume fractions and phase compositions. A set of alloys No.1, No.2, No.3, and No.4 (referred to hereafter as Type I samples) were vacuum heat-treated at 1200°C for 6 hours to homogenize and then equilibrated at 1150°C for 48 hours in vacuum. Another set of
alloys No.1’, No.2’, No.3’, and No.4’ (referred to hereafter as Type II samples) were also homogenized at 1200°C, but then equilibrated at 900°C. 1mm thick sample coupons were cut from the rods for testing. Prior to testing, the coupons were polished to a 1200-grit finish with SiC paper, washed by detergent and then ultrasonically cleaned in ethanol.

Table 4. Nominal composition of the Ni-Co-Al-Cr-Y alloys studied, at. % (yttrium is ignored)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ref.</th>
<th>Ni</th>
<th>Co</th>
<th>Cr</th>
<th>Al</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.2 OXIDATION TESTING

Oxidation tests of the Ni-Co-Al-Cr-Y alloys were conducted by thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) in dry air at a constant volumetric flow rate of approximately 50 ml/min for 100 hours at 900°C. The oxidation kinetics were determined directly from the TGA. After oxidation, the samples were cold-mounted in resin and then polished with water-based diamond suspensions, coated with palladium by a sputter coater and characterized by SEM and EDS.
4.3 HOT CORROSION TESTING

Isothermal hot-corrosion experiments were conducted in a horizontal tube furnace in the static air.

Before being slid into the hot zone of the furnace, samples were deposited with 2-3 mg/cm² Na₂SO₄ on one of the flat surfaces. Na₂SO₄ was redeposited every 20 hours. Na₂SO₄ was deposited by spraying a saturated aqueous solution of Na₂SO₄ on the flat surface of a given sample that was heated on a hot plate to around 150°C (i.e., the water quickly evaporated and left a solid deposit of Na₂SO₄). The Na₂SO₄ aqueous solution was prepared by dissolving laboratory grade reagents into deionized water. After the hot corrosion experiments, the specimens were mounted, polished with oil-based diamond suspensions to retain water-soluble reaction products, coated with palladium by a sputter coater and characterized by SEM and EDS.
5.0 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

5.1 SUPERALLOYS

In this section, alloy compositions and phase compositions and volume fractions of the Type I and Type II samples are examined and analyzed. The results are compared, where possible, to literature, and the effects of differences in alloy composition, phase composition and volume fraction on oxidation and hot corrosion behavior of the alloys are assessed.

5.1.1 Alloy microstructures

Figure 30 shows the microstructures of the Ni-Co-Al-Cr-Y alloys. The ordered B1 β-NiAl has a relatively high aluminum content; and the face-centered cubic γ-Ni phase contains relatively high chromium content [5]. Accordingly, the phases in the alloy samples were identified based on their measured phase compositions, which are listed in Table 5 and marked in Figure 30. The γ-Ni+γ’-Ni$_3$Al phases are indicated in the No.2’ and No.3’ images and such an equilibrium is the result of the higher Al contents and lower Cr contents in the phase than that in γ-Ni phase of other samples. The volume fractions of γ’-Ni$_3$Al are very low and its size is too small to reveal in samples No.2’ and No.3’. Some bright white particles were identified by EDS as yttrium-enriched in the No.2, No.3, No.3’, No.4, and No.4’ samples. It is seen that samples No.2/No.2’
and No.4/No.4’ have significantly more $\beta$-NiAl phase, which is consistent with their high Al contents.

Figure 30. Micrographs of Ni-Co-Al-Cr-Y samples
Table 5. Measured phase compositions of Ni-Co-Al-Cr-Y samples, at. % (yttrium is ignored)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alloy</th>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Ni</th>
<th>Co</th>
<th>Cr</th>
<th>Al</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No.1</td>
<td>β-NiAl (dark phase)</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>γ-Ni (matrix)</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.1'</td>
<td>β-NiAl (dark phase)</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>γ-Ni (matrix)</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>σ (light grey phase)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.2</td>
<td>β-NiAl (dark phase)</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>γ-Ni (matrix)</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.2'</td>
<td>β-NiAl (dark phase)</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>γ-Ni+γ' (grey phase)</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.3</td>
<td>β-NiAl (dark phase)</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>γ-Ni (matrix)</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.3'</td>
<td>β-NiAl (dark phase)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>γ-Ni+γ' (grey phase)</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.4</td>
<td>β-NiAl (dark phase)</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>γ-Ni (grey phase)</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.4'</td>
<td>β-NiAl (dark phase)</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>γ-Ni (grey phase)</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>σ (light grey phase)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The heat-treatment of the alloy samples at 900°C caused \( \gamma'\)-Ni\(_3\)Al phase formation in samples No.2’ and No.3’, but no \( \gamma'\)-Ni\(_3\)Al formation was found after the heat-treatment at 1150°C. The phase volume fractions of the alloy samples were measured from their microstructures, and the results are listed in Table 6.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Type I samples</th>
<th>Type II samples</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No.1</td>
<td>No.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \beta)-NiAl</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \gamma)-Ni</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \gamma')-Ni(_3)Al</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \sigma ) phase</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 31[62] presents isothermal phase diagrams of Ni-Co-Al-Cr at 900°C, 1100°C, and 1200°C; the alloy compositions are indicated. Based on Figure 31, four alloys consist of \( \beta \) and \( \gamma \) phases at 1100°C and 1200°C, and thus, it is inferred that they also consist of \( \beta \) and \( \gamma \) phases at 1150°C, which is consistent with the microstructural results that were presented above. The calculated phase compositions of the samples No.1, No.1’, No.2, No.2’, based on Figure 31, are listed in Table 7, including the measured phase compositions of the alloy samples. It is seen that the calculated and measured phase compositions in samples No.2 and No.2’ are more consistent, within 1 at. %, than the difference of phase compositions in samples No.1 and No.1’.
Figure 31. Ni-Co-Al-Cr alloys phase diagrams at (A) 19, (B) 26, and (C) 30 at. % Co and (1) 900°C, (2) 1100°C, and (3) 1200°C
Table 7. Comparison of the calculated and measured phase compositions of Ni-Co-Al-Cr-Y samples, at. %. (calculated composition/ measured composition)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alloy</th>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Ni</th>
<th>Co</th>
<th>Cr</th>
<th>Al</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No.1</td>
<td>β-NiAl</td>
<td>39/36</td>
<td>18/18</td>
<td>11/13</td>
<td>32/33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>γ-Ni</td>
<td>26/26</td>
<td>32/31</td>
<td>32/34</td>
<td>10/9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.1’</td>
<td>β-NiAl</td>
<td>45/42</td>
<td>14/14</td>
<td>6/7</td>
<td>35/37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>γ-Ni</td>
<td>26/25</td>
<td>35/36</td>
<td>32/33</td>
<td>7/6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>σ</td>
<td>7/10</td>
<td>30/30</td>
<td>63/58</td>
<td>1/2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.2</td>
<td>β-NiAl</td>
<td>49/49</td>
<td>14/14</td>
<td>6/6</td>
<td>31/31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>γ-Ni</td>
<td>43/42</td>
<td>26/26</td>
<td>17/17</td>
<td>14/15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.2’</td>
<td>β-NiAl</td>
<td>50/49</td>
<td>12/12</td>
<td>5/4</td>
<td>33/35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>γ-Ni+γ’</td>
<td>45/43</td>
<td>27/27</td>
<td>16/17</td>
<td>14/13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 32[62, 63] shows the predicted phase equilibria of Ni-Co-Al-Cr system as a function of temperature, and accordingly, the phase volume fractions at 900°C and 1150°C can be calculated. Table 8 summarizes the calculated and measured phase volume fractions of the samples heat-treated at 900°C and 1150°C. The results show that Type I alloys had better agreement between the calculation and the phase measurements than the Type II alloys, likely due to the effect of the heat-treatment temperatures.
Figure 32. Calculated phase equilibria of Ni-Co-Al-Cr alloys with respect to temperature
Table 8. Comparison of the calculated and measured phase volume fractions of Ni-Co-Al-Cr-Y samples.
(Calculated phase volume fraction / Measured phase volume fraction)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Type I samples</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>Type II samples</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No.1</td>
<td>No.2</td>
<td>No.3</td>
<td>No.4</td>
<td>No.1’</td>
<td>No.2’</td>
<td>No.3’</td>
<td>No.4’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-NiAl</td>
<td>0.12 /0.14</td>
<td>0.62 /0.62</td>
<td>0.05 /0.06</td>
<td>0.67 /0.67</td>
<td>0.20 /0.23</td>
<td>0.54 /0.56</td>
<td>0.10 /0.13</td>
<td>0.63 /0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>γ-Ni</td>
<td>0.88 /0.86</td>
<td>0.38 /0.38</td>
<td>0.95 /0.94</td>
<td>0.33 /0.33</td>
<td>0.71 /0.67</td>
<td>0.37+0.09 /0.44</td>
<td>0.76+0.14 /0.87</td>
<td>0.31 /0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>γ’-Ni&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;Al</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.09 /0.10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>σ phase</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For the Type I samples, it is noted that, the measured γ-Ni and β-NiAl phase compositions in samples No.1 and No.4 are similar, and they are also similar for samples No.2 and No.3. Figure 33 shows the 1100°C phase diagram of the Ni-Co-Al-Cr alloy [62], and it is seen that the compositions of No.1/No.4 alloys and No.2/No.3 alloys locate in the same tie lines, which indicate that γ-Ni and β-NiAl compositions in No.1/No.4 and No.2/No.3 alloys are very similar. For the alloys heat-treated at 1150°C, the measured phase compositions in samples No.1/No.4 and samples No.2/No.3 are very similar. Moreover, the measured γ-Ni and β-NiAl volume fractions in the alloys studied are in good agreement with the calculated amounts shown in Figure 33.
The Type II alloy samples that were heat-treated at 900°C showed more complicated microstructures. For example, samples No.2’ and No.3’ contained the ordered L1₂ γ’-Ni₃Al phase, and No.1’ and No.4’ samples contained tetragonal σ phase, along with β-NiAl and γ-Ni phases as the major phases existed in the alloys. Similar with the phase composition relationships in Type I alloys, the phases of Type II samples No1’/No4’ and samples No2’/No3’ showed similar compositions.

However, it is noted that the phase volume fractions of the alloys studied do not exhibit similar relationship as their phase compositions. This is due to the fact that the phase volume fraction depends on their alloy composition.

From Table 7, the calculated and measured phase compositions of samples No.2 and No.2’ match better than that of samples No.1 and No.1’. Figure 34 presents a comparison of
these alloy compositions. The possible reason is of the diffusivities of alloying elements in the different phases. Thus, the samples No.2 and No.2’, which have the highest amount of β-NiAl, are generally closest to the predicted equilibrium. This may be because the rates of diffusion are higher in β-NiAl than in γ-Ni [5].

In summary, the alloy studied showed the difference of phases, phase compositions, and phase volume fractions, especially for Type I and Type II alloys, which could result in different performance of their oxidation and hot corrosion behaviors.

**Figure 34.** Comparison of (a) Calculated and (b) measured phase compositions for No.1, No.1’, No.2 and No.2 samples
5.1.2 High Temperature Oxidation

High temperature oxidation tests of Ni-Co-Al-Cr-Y alloys were conducted from thermal gravimetric analysis (TGA). TGA is a method of thermal analysis in which mass changes are continuously measured with respect to time, in this case at a constant temperature [64]. In this section, alloys were oxidized in flowing air (50 ml/min) at 900°C for 100 hours.

Figure 35 shows the cross-sectional SEM images of Ni-Co-Al-Cr-Y samples after 100h oxidation. A continuous oxide scale was formed on all samples, and it was inferred based on chemical analysis by EDS to be aluminum oxide. In addition, there were some oxides formed above or within the aluminum oxide scale in some samples, like sample No.1. These oxides were inferred to be Ni and Co-rich oxides. Voids and non-planarities at the scale/alloy interface and inward and outward protrusions of the oxide scale were present. Moreover, some filamentary protrusions of the scale at the scale/gas interface are found on samples No.2/No.2’ and No.4/No.4’. Besides, it should be noted that only γ phase is present in the subsurface region at the alloy/oxide interface shown in Figure 35. These regions comprised of γ phase are called β-depletion zones.

The oxidation kinetics of the Ni-Cr-Al alloys could be divided into two stages: the transient stage and the steady-state stage. Different constituents of Ni-Cr-Al alloys result in different oxidation behaviors. Obviously, all tested samples were above the critical amount of aluminum content to form a Al₂O₃-rich scale. There have been many previous studies on the oxidation behavior of M-Cr-Al (M is Ni/Co or combination of Ni and Co) alloys which form Al₂O₃-rich scale [65-71]. In the transient stage, and if the oxygen potential is sufficient to stabilize the oxide of the major alloying constituents, NiO and CoO, nuclei of NiO/CoO, Cr₂O₃,
Figure 35. Micrographs of 100h oxidation of (a) No.1 and (b) No.1’, (c) No.2 and (d) No.2’, (e) No.3 and (f) No.3’, and (g) No.4 and (h) No.4’ samples at 900°C
and Al₂O₃ tend to form on the alloy surface. With further oxide growth, a continuous oxide layer develops, which generally signifies the beginning of the steady-state stage. Then, the oxygen partial pressure at the scale/alloy interface decreases as the Al₂O₃ is established [70]. Thus, Al₂O₃ was the primary oxide forming during the steady-state stage; while other oxides were only stable at the oxide/gas interface. Because the continuous oxide scale prevented the direct contact between the alloy and gas environment, the transport of reactants through the scale was a determinant factor during the steady-stage oxidation [65, 70].

In the transient stage of oxidation, various oxide particles form above the alloy surface. The metastable γ-Al₂O₃ may form at the early time, then transform to the intermediate θ-Al₂O₃ and finally to the stable α-Al₂O₃ at 900°C [66-68]. The reaction between NiO/CoO and Al₂O₃ forms the NiAl₂O₃, which are the bright particles in samples No.1/No.1’ shown in Figure 35. The formation of Cr₂O₃ could act as sympathetic nucleation sites for the transformation from γ-Al₂O₃/θ-Al₂O₃ to α-Al₂O₃ and accelerate the oxidation rate [69, 70]. The lateral growth of oxide particles resulted in a continuous oxide layer and the commencement of the steady-state stage. Thus, the transport of aluminum and oxygen through the scale eventually becomes the rate-controlling factor, as diffusion with Al₂O₃ is extremely slow [65]. It has been generally concluded in past studies that oxygen and aluminum diffusion along scale grain-boundaries is the main transport path due to the very low rates of diffusion in the Al₂O₃ lattice [66]. Some researchers have deduced that inward oxygen diffusion controls Al₂O₃ scale growth [22, 66] while some others have concluded that outward diffusion of aluminum predominates [67, 68], and still others inferred that both Al and O diffusion are important during steady-state stage [70, 71]. A critical factor here is whether or not the Al₂O₃ scale is doped with a reactive element (RE), such as Y and/or Hf. In such a case of RE-doped Al₂O₃ scale growth, it is now well
accepted that oxygen is the principal grain-boundary diffusing constituent of the Al$_2$O$_3$ scale [65].

As shown in samples No.1/No.1’, NiAl$_2$O$_4$ particles, which are believed to have formed during the transient stage, were present above or through the aluminum oxide layer. This suggests that, in the steady-state stage of the scale growth, oxygen diffused through the scale, reacted with aluminum from the alloy and formed Al$_2$O$_3$ at the scale/alloy interface, which was below these NiAl$_2$O$_4$ particles. In other words, the NiAl$_2$O$_4$ particles formed in the transient initial stage of oxidation severed as markers of the original gas/alloy interface. The NiAl$_2$O$_4$ particles were at the scale surface, especially in sample No.1’, indicating that the inward oxygen diffusion dominated the transport processes. In samples No.1, No.2’ and No.3’, some voids and non-planarities appeared in the alloy at the scale/alloy interface. It seemed that these defects were not caused by the scale configuration coming from the lateral growth of oxide grains or the thermal stresses during cooling because the scale overlaying these defects was flat and did not exhibit any fracture [65, 71]. These voids and non-planarities may have come from the selective removal of aluminum to form the Al$_2$O$_3$ scale [65, 66]. The protrusion from the oxide scale overlaying the localized intrusion in sample No.3’ also indicated the presence of outward aluminum diffusion [65]. In addition, filamentary protrusions on the oxide scale at the scale/gas interface were observed in samples No.2/No.2’ and No.4/No.4’. Some researchers ascribed these whisker-like features to the growth by outward aluminum transport [66].

Figures 36 and 37 present the measured weight-change kinetics of the Ni-Co-Al-Cr samples. After 100h oxidation, alloys with different compositions have different weight changes during oxidation. Samples No.4 and No.4’ have the highest weight changes, while mass gains of samples No.1 and No.1’ are the lowest among the Type I and Type II samples. The order of
weight change from low to high is: No.1 (No.1’), No.3 (No.3’), No.2 (No.2’) and No.4 (No.4’), which is consistent with the order of aluminum content from low to high in the Type I and Type II samples.

Figure 36. Weight change of samples with respect to time
Figure 37. Weight change of samples with respect to time
After 100h oxidation, the continuous oxide layers on all samples are composed of primary Al$_2$O$_3$ and small amount of Ni/Co-oxide particles formed during the transient stage. Thus, mass gains of these samples mainly come from the formation of Al$_2$O$_3$. It seems that high aluminum content can promote the formation of Al$_2$O$_3$ layer.

Taking sample No.1’ as an example, its plot of the square of the mass gain versus oxidation time, which is linear before 30h or after 50h, is shown in Figure 38, and there is a significant decrease of the slope of the curve at around 40h. The linear curve of square of mass gain shows that the mass gain curve of sample No.1’ is quadratic before 30h or after 50h; 40h is the turning point from the transient stage to the steady-state stage, and in the transient stage the slope of mass gain curve is steeper than it is in the steady-state stage.

![Figure 38. Square of mass gain of sample No.1’ versus oxidation time](image-url)
Although the Type I and corresponding Type II samples have same alloy composition, phase constitutions and phase volume fractions are different as a result of the different heat-treatment. Comparing mass gains of Type I samples and corresponding Type II samples, considerable differences exist, especially between samples No.1 and No.1’ and between samples No.3 and No.3’. This difference may result from various factors. In Figure 36, the mass gain of sample No.1’ is significantly higher than that of sample No.1 and the difference was substantial at first 30h, which responds to the transient stage. However, the higher weight change of sample No.3’ is obtained after 30h while the weight change of sample No.3 is almost same with that of sample No.3’ before 30h. In Figure 30, samples No.1 and No.1’ have same alloy composition, while the β phase size in sample No.1’ is smaller than that in sample No.1, Chromium in fine β phase particles were oxidized to form Cr₂O₃ nuclei, which promoted the formation of α-Al₂O₃ oxide particles during the transient stage [70]. The finer β structure with more grain boundaries in sample No.1’ may have promoted the formation of Cr₂O₃ nuclei and resulting aluminum oxide particles during the transient stage. In contrast, the weight change of sample No.3’ is higher than that of sample No.3 after 30h. In Figure 35, there are some oxide nodules protruding from the scale into the gas phase in sample No.3’, while the protrusion is absent in sample No.3. As shown above, these protrusions and voids below the scale may come from the outward aluminum diffusion through the scale. Some researchers proposed that during the steady-state stage both anions and cations can diffuse along the same boundaries through the scale without reacting with each other [22]. The additional outward aluminum diffusion in sample No.3’ may be beneficial for the formation of aluminum oxide scale during the steady-state stage.

As shown above, in Figures 36 and 37, the oxidation of the alloys consisted of two stages: the transient stage and the steady-state stage. During the transient stage, the alloy surface is
oxidized and oxide particles begin to form. When the continuous oxide layer forms, the transient stage transitions to the steady-state stage. In the transient stage, the slopes of the mass-gain curves are steeper than those in the steady-state stage. This means oxidation in the transient stage is faster than in the steady-state stage. During the oxidation process, the weight-change curves at the steady-state stage should follow the parabolic rate law (22) [73]:

\[ \Delta m^2 = k_p t \]  

where \( \Delta m \) is the mass gain per unit area, \( k_p \) is the oxidation rate constant and \( t \) is the oxidation time. Figure 39 shows the square of mass gains of the alloys with respect to the oxidation time from 50h to 100h. The slopes of these curves represent the oxidation rate constant \( k_p \). During the steady-state stage, all curves are approximately linear, which indicates that \( k_p \) are the parabolic rate constants, which have been summarized in Table 9 and Figure 40. Comparing \( k_p \) of Type I and corresponding Type II samples, all Type II samples oxidized faster than corresponding Type I samples. In Table 9, aluminum contents of \( \beta \)-NiAl in Type II samples are higher than these in corresponding Type I samples, although they have same alloy composition. Some researchers mentioned the importance of \( \beta \)-NiAl in MCrAlY coating alloys. Salam et al. [72] proposed that the increase of \( \beta \)-NiAl content in the MCrAlY coating alloy can extend the lifetime of the coating alloy because \( \beta \)-NiAl is the main Al reservoir. This implies that the aluminum content of \( \beta \)-NiAl has a significant influence on the oxidation rate.
Table 9. Aluminum oxide layer and β-depletion layer thickness, oxidation rate constant and aluminum content of Type I and Type II samples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>No.1</th>
<th>No.1’</th>
<th>No.2</th>
<th>No.2’</th>
<th>No.3</th>
<th>No.3’</th>
<th>No.4</th>
<th>No.4’</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Al concentration in β-NiAl (%)</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-NiAl phase fraction</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al content in β-NiAl (%)</td>
<td>4.95</td>
<td>8.51</td>
<td>19.22</td>
<td>19.60</td>
<td>1.98</td>
<td>4.29</td>
<td>21.44</td>
<td>23.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-depletion layer thickness(um)</td>
<td>4.38</td>
<td>4.40</td>
<td>6.25</td>
<td>4.50</td>
<td>11.88</td>
<td>15.00</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>1.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_p \times 10^{14}$ (g^2/cm^4s)</td>
<td>7.73</td>
<td>19.32</td>
<td>48.48</td>
<td>55.99</td>
<td>16.67</td>
<td>41.59</td>
<td>53.69</td>
<td>75.07</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 41 compares rate constants from this study to other studies. The straight lines in Figure 41 show rate constants of oxidation of NiAl [75] at different temperatures. Al$_2$O$_3$ with different structures have different oxidation rate constants. The steady-state rate constants of tested alloys at 900°C are shown in Figure 41, and the transient rate constants of samples No.1’ and No.3 are also illustrated to be compared with the steady-state rate constants. The transient rate constants of samples No.1’ and No.3 are higher than the steady-state rate constants of the two alloys. It is coincident with the theory that reactant transport during the steady-stage stage is slower than that during the transient stage. Besides, the rate constants of most tested alloys are higher than that of NiAl, no matter which kind of Al$_2$O$_3$ is formed during the oxidation of NiAl. This may come from the beneficial effect of Cr on the transformation of Al$_2$O$_3$ scale [75].
The presence of $\beta$-depletion zones in Figure 35 was caused by the selective removal of Al in the substrate to form aluminum oxide. This suggested that $\beta$-NiAl is a main contributor to the oxide layer formation. The distance between red dashed line and continuous oxide layer is the $\beta$-depletion zone thickness. The measured $\beta$-depletion zone thicknesses are shown in Table 9. Thickness of the $\beta$-depletion zone is influenced by alloy composition, alloy microstructure, oxidation temperature and aluminum content in alloy [72].

As discussed above, the oxidation of Ni-Co-Cr-Al alloys are controlled by the diffusion of reactants through the scale during the steady-state stage. Some researchers [76] analyzed EPMA line profiles of Ni-Al-Cr-Pt alloys and concluded that the Al diffusion profile in the $\beta$-depletion zone is flat (the flat profile A in Figure 42). Thus, we can assume that the aluminum

**Figure 41.** Arrhenius plot of rate constants for experimental data from this thesis and various other studies.
diffusion profile in the β-depletion zone in this study is flat, which is shown in Figure 42. The Al flux through the β-depletion zone can be given by

\[ J_{Al} = \frac{(N_{Al}^{B} - N_{Al}^{A})}{V_{all}} \frac{dX_d}{dt} \]  

(23)

where \( N_{Al}^{O} \) is the bulk aluminum percentage of the alloy, \( N_{Al}^{\gamma} \) is the aluminum percentage of \( \gamma \)-matrix in β-depletion zone, \( V_{all} \) is the molar volume of the alloy and \( X_d \) is the thickness of β-depletion zone. Besides, the Al consumption during the oxidation can be given by the oxidation rate constant [76]

\[ J_{Al}^{ox} = \frac{1}{V_{ox}} \left( \frac{k_p}{t} \right)^{1/2} \]  

(24)

where \( V_{ox} \) is the molar volume of aluminum oxide and \( k_p \) is the oxidation rate constant. During the steady-state stage, the Al flux from the alloy to the oxide scale should be equal to the Al consumption at the gas/oxide and oxide/alloy interfaces, i.e. \( J_{Al} = J_{Al}^{ox} \). Combining equations (23) and (24), the β-depletion zone thickness \( X_d \) can be given by

\[ X_d = \frac{V_{all}}{V_{ox}} \left[ \frac{2}{(N_{Al}^{O} - N_{Al}^{\gamma})} \right] \left( k_p t \right)^{1/2} \]  

(25)

In Equation (25), if the formed oxide and alloy composition were alike between different alloys, which means the ratio between \( V_{all} \) and \( V_{ox} \) were same between different samples, the β-depletion zone thickness is controlled by the oxidation rate constant and difference between the bulk and matrix compositions. Taking samples No.2 and No.2’ as examples, Table 10 compares the calculated and measured β-depletion zone thicknesses of both samples. Samples No.2 and No.2’ have same compositions, which means same \( N_{Al}^{O} \), and same \( V_{all} \), so the difference of \( X_d \) comes from differences of \( \gamma \) matrix compositions and rate constants between two samples. The \( \gamma \)
matrix composition is related with the $\beta$ phase fraction when the alloy composition is constant. Higher $\beta$ phase fraction results in lower aluminum content in $\gamma$ matrix and resulting higher $N_{Al}^O - N_{Al}^{\gamma}$. Besides, as discussed above, the higher aluminum content in alloy contributes to the higher oxidation rate constant. Thus, low $\beta$ phase fraction and high aluminum content in the alloy are beneficial to the formation of thick $\beta$-depletion zone.

In Table 10, the measured thicknesses of $\beta$-depletion zone are significantly different from the calculated values. This may come from various factors. The model used to calculate the $\beta$-depletion zone thickness is clearly too simplified. In Figure 42, profile B is more likely to be the actual Al diffusion profile in consideration of the Al diffusion in the matrix. Because the Al supply to form an Al$_2$O$_3$ scale is much greater for profile B than A, the $\beta$-depletion zone thickness is consequently over predicted for a given Al$_2$O$_3$-scale thickness. In addition, lack of consideration of other oxide formation during the transient stage may cause the significant difference.

![Figure 42](image_url). The flat Al diffusion through the alloy to the alloy/scale interface.
Table 10. Calculated and measured thicknesses of β-depletion zone of samples No.2 and No.2’

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alloy</th>
<th>Calculated thickness (μm)</th>
<th>Measured thickness (μm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No.2</td>
<td>20.2</td>
<td>6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.2’</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.1.3 High-temperature (900°C) hot corrosion

In this section, the high temperature hot corrosion tests of Ni-Co-Al-Cr-Y alloys covered with Na$_2$SO$_4$ were conducted at 900°C in static air for 1h, 20h, and 100h, with the aim of elucidating the influence of alloy composition.

Figure 43 shows representative cross-sectional SEM images of sample No.1 after hot corrosion test with different testing periods. In Figure 43 (a), after the sample was tested for one hour, mixed Al, Cr oxide particles formed at the salt-alloy interface. At the very early period of hot corrosion, e.g. less than 1 hour, alloy elements at the alloy surface could contact and react with oxygen without the obstruction by the stable oxide layer. As discussed in the previous section, NiO/CoO, Cr$_2$O$_3$, and Al$_2$O$_3$ could form on the alloy surface during the transient stage. Thus, it is reasonable for the appearance of Al, Cr oxide particles. Besides, chromium was rich in the remnant salt. After 20h of hot corrosion, the remnant salt was full of chromium, and a continuous mixed oxide layer had formed, as shown in Figure 43 (b). It should be noted that internal chromium sulfides were present in the γ-Ni beneath the continuous oxide layer. The free energy of formation of chromium sulfide is the lowest in the alloy shown in Table 3, and the low-concentration sulfur diffusing from the molten salt could only react with chromium. Cr and Co oxide particles were present above the remnant salt after 20h corrosion. These oxide particles
Figure 43. Micrographs of sample No.1 with high temperature hot corrosion at 900°C with Na2SO4 for (a) 1h, (b) 20h and (c) 100h
might form during the first few hours. When the hot corrosion time was increased to 100 hours, the continuous oxide layer was composed of Al₂O₃ primarily, and the remnant salt is also full of chromium. The enriched chromium in the remnant salt may come from the dissolution of chromium oxide formed during the transient stage.

Figure 44 shows cross-sectional SEM images of sample No.4 after 900°C hot corrosion test. Sample No.4 has higher Al content while lower Cr content than sample No.1. Like sample No.1, at the first few hours of hot corrosion, mixed oxide particles appeared at the alloy-salt interface. With longer time corrosion, the continuous oxide layer comprised of Al and Cr oxide formed. After 100h hot corrosion, the continuous oxide layer was primarily comprised of Al₂O₃. Although both samples formed a continuous oxide layer, there are some differences between samples No.1 and No.4 after 100h hot corrosion. The continuous oxide layer in sample No.4 is thicker than that in sample No.1 and internal chromium sulfide particles did not appear in the substrate in sample No.4.

Figure 45 presents cross-sectional SEM images of sample No.2 after hot corrosion test at 900°C. This sample has a similar aluminum content with sample No.4, which is the highest among Type I samples, but the lowest chromium content among Type I samples. After 20h hot corrosion exposure, a continuous layer consisted of Al oxide and Cr oxide formed. However, when the corrosion time extended to 100 hours, severe corrosion was found (Figure 45(c)), and the continuous oxide layer disappeared. The chromium-rich salt accompanied with nickel-rich mixed oxide was on the top of the corroded alloy and oxide containing all alloy elements was beneath them. Chromium sulfide was also present in sample No.4.
Figure 44. Micrographs of sample No.4 with high temperature hot corrosion at 900°C with Na₂SO₄ for (a) 1h, (b) 20h and (c) 100h
Figure 45. Micrographs of sample No.2 with high temperature hot corrosion at 900°C with Na2SO4 for (a) 1h, (b) 20h and (c) 100h
Sample No.3 also suffered severe hot corrosion like sample No.2, shown in Figure 46. The chromium content is higher than sample No.2, but aluminum content is lower. Although a protective oxide layer comprised of Al oxide and Cr oxide formed in 20h hot corrosion, it disappeared after 100h hot corrosion. In Figure 46(c), a mixed oxide layer rich in nickel and cobalt formed on the top. Beneath the oxide layer, there was a large amount of mixed oxide containing all alloy elements. In addition, internal chromium sulfides formed in the substrate. Comparing with sample No.2, the corrosion scales were thicker, and the amount of chromium sulfide was larger.

Four Type I samples showed different hot corrosion behaviors. A continuous aluminum oxide layer formed in samples No.1 and No.4, while samples No.2 and No.3 suffered severe hot corrosion after 100h hot corrosion. Because these samples were comprised of $\beta$-NiAl and $\gamma$ phase, which means they have similar structure, and tested at the same environment, the difference of corrosion condition comes from the difference of alloy composition.

As mentioned above, catastrophic degradation in Type I hot corrosion in this thesis could occur when the dissolution of aluminum oxide layer in the molten salt and resulting basic fluxing of Na$_2$SO$_4$ occur, while the protective oxide layer is unable to reform, and the molten salt contact directly with the alloy [77]. During hot corrosion tests, all Type I samples formed a continuous oxide layer after 20h hot corrosion. After 100h hot corrosion, aluminum oxide layers in samples No.1 and No.4 were still present, while in samples No.2 and No.3 were absent. Thus, different performances after 100h hot corrosion come from different abilities of these samples to reform oxide layers. Because the continuous oxide layers formed after 20h hot corrosion were comprised of mixed Al, Cr oxide, we can infer that aluminum and chromium content in alloys dominated the resistance to hot corrosion.
Figure 46. Micrographs of sample No.3 with high temperature hot corrosion at 900°C with Na2SO4 for (a) 1h, (b) 20h and (c) 100h
In Table 4, samples No.1 and No.3 are shown to have a similar Al content, which is around 13 at. %, and samples No.2 and No.4 have a similar Al content of about 24 at. %. Both samples No.3 and No.4 have about 17 at. % Cr. Comparing the corroded performance of samples No.1 and No.3, although both samples have similar Al content, samples No.1 formed a continuous aluminum oxide layer, while sample No.3 had been corroded significantly. This suggests that the difference comes from the difference of Cr content in both samples. The Cr concentration in sample No.1 is 30 at. %, while in sample No.3 it is only 16 at. %. Higher Cr content in sample No.1 contributes to the better corrosion resistance. The same observation holds for samples No.2 and No.4. The Cr concentration in sample No.4, which is 18 at. %, is larger than the 10 at. % in sample No.2 and results in a continuous oxide layer. To illustrate the influence of aluminum content, we can compare corrosion conditions of samples No.3 and No.4. Sample No.4 has higher Al content than sample No.3, although both samples have similar Cr concentration, and thus sample No.4 had a continuous oxide layer rather than the severe corrosion in sample No.3.

For samples No.1 and No.4, both samples had a continuous aluminum oxide scale after 100h hot corrosion. The difference is thickness of the oxide layer. As mentioned above, the ability of the alloy to reform the aluminum oxide layer during hot corrosion is the determinant factor for the hot corrosion resistance, and β-NiAl is the main Al reservoir during oxidation. It is reasonable to infer that β-NiAl in the alloy can act as the potential aluminum source for the reformation of aluminum oxide layer during the hot corrosion. The thicker oxide layer in sample No.4 may arise from the higher phase volume fraction of β-NiAl.

For samples No.2 and No.3, although continuous oxide layers formed after 20h hot corrosion, these layers were destroyed after 100h hot corrosion. In sample No.2, there were some
Ni-rich mixed oxide between salt and mixed oxide above the alloy. The Ni-rich mixed oxide may be formed by the oxidation of remnant γ phase where aluminum and chromium in β-NiAl had been consumed by oxidation. In sample No.3, a Co, Ni mixed oxide layer was present at the top of mixed oxide. This may also come from the oxidation of remnant γ phase. Besides, without the protection of continuous aluminum oxide layer, sulfur diffused into the alloy and formed a large amount of chromium sulfide in the alloy, as shown in samples No.2 and No.3.

In summary, the hot corrosion resistance of Type I samples varied significantly with Al and Cr content. In this thesis, all Type I samples formed a continuous oxide layer after 20h hot corrosion. After 100h hot corrosion, different abilities of these samples to reform oxide layers resulted in different performances. In samples with high chromium content, i.e. samples No.1 and No.4, a close aluminum oxide layer was present, while the alloy was corroded severely in samples having low chromium content, i.e. samples No.2 and No.3, although sample No.2 had same aluminum content as sample No.4. This shows chromium can promote the reformation of aluminum oxide layer when the oxide scale has formed. Besides, thicker aluminum oxide layer in sample No.4 compared with that in sample No.1 implies that high aluminum content can contribute to the formation of aluminum oxide layer.

Figure 47 shows the cross-sectional SEM images of Type II samples after 100h hot corrosion tests at 900°C. Comparing samples No.1’ and No.4’, the continuous oxide layer in sample No.4’ is thicker than that in sample No.1’. Samples No.2’ and No.3’ underwent severe hot corrosion and the corrosion of sample No.3’ is the most severe. These observations are aligned with the Type I samples. The only difference is that chromium sulfide is absent in sample No.1’ as opposed to the presence of chromium sulfide in sample No.1. This is probably
because sulfur diffusing into the alloy during the first few hours is negligible due to the fast formation of the oxide layer.

Performances of Type I and Type II samples, which have same alloy composition but different phase volume fractions and phase compositions, are analogous in hot corrosion. Thus, we can conclude that phase volume fraction and phase composition do not have obvious influence on the resistance of hot corrosion.

**Figure 47.** Micrographs of samples (a) No.1’, (b) No.2’, (c) No.3’, and (d) No.4’ with high temperature hot corrosion at 900°C with Na2SO4 for 100h
5.2 CONCLUSIONS

The oxidation and high temperature hot corrosion testing of Ni-Co-Al-Cr-Y alloys was conducted to study the influence of alloy composition, phase composition and phase volume fraction on degradation resistance.

In the oxidation case, aluminum content in alloys is a key factor and $\beta$-NiAl in alloy contributes to the formation of the oxide layer primarily. High aluminum content can promote the formation of $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ layer and contribute to the thickening of the oxide scale. In the transient stage, different phase size impacts the mass gain during oxidation. The alloy aluminum content and aluminum content in $\beta$-NiAl have influences on the steady-state stage oxidation rate. Besides, the $\beta$-depletion zone thickness is promoted by the low $\beta$ phase fraction and high aluminum content in the alloy.

In high temperature hot corrosion, the hot corrosion resistance varied significantly with Al and Cr content while phase volume fraction and phase composition do not have obvious influence on the resistance of hot corrosion. Different abilities of alloys to reform oxide layers during the steady-state stage result in different hot corrosion resistance. Higher Cr content in alloys can promote the rapid establishment of a continuous oxide layer and the reformation of aluminum oxide layer when the oxide scale has formed. High aluminum content can also contribute to the formation of aluminum oxide layer. The significant presence of chromium sulfide in samples No.2 and No.3 imply that cracks in the protective oxide layer will accelerate the inward penetration of sulfur.
6.0 SUMMARY

In high temperature applications, MCrAl (M=Co, Ni or Co+Ni)-based alloys are commonly used due to their outstanding hot corrosion and oxidation resistance. They are designed to form protective Al₂O₃ layers in oxidative and corrosive environments. Variation in the composition of alloys leads to different oxidation and hot corrosion resistance.

Ni-Co-Cr-Al-Y alloys were oxidized to study the influence of alloy composition, phase composition, and phase volume fraction on formation rate of aluminum oxide. Higher aluminum content in alloys results in thicker oxide layer and β-NiAl in alloy contributes to the formation of the oxide layer primarily. The alloy aluminum content and aluminum content in β-NiAl have influences on the steady-state stage oxidation rate. Besides, the β-depletion zone thickness is promoted by the low β phase fraction and high aluminum content in the alloy.

The hot corrosion resistance of Ni-Co-Cr-Al-Y alloys varied significantly with Al and Cr content while phase volume fraction and phase composition do not have obvious influence on the resistance of hot corrosion. High Cr content in alloys can promote the rapid establishment of a continuous oxide layer and the reformation of aluminum oxide layer when the oxide scale has formed. Higher Al content is beneficial to the formation of thicker aluminum oxide layer.
7.0  FUTURE WORK

In this thesis, the influence of alloy composition, phase composition, and phase volume fraction on oxidation and high temperature hot corrosion resistance of Ni-Co-Cr-Al-Y alloys was studied. There are still some factors that need to be considered. For example, the distribution and shape of the different phases may have an impact on the oxidation and hot corrosion resistance. The influence of the considered factors should also be studied for the case of low temperature hot corrosion.

In future work, to obtain more details of oxidation and corrosion products, more detailed characterizations, e.g. XRD, can be used. In addition, more test conditions will be conducted. Longer exposure time, cyclic-testing, and different test temperatures can give a better understanding of degradation resistance of Ni-Co-Cr-Al-Y alloys.
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