SYNTHESIS AND STRUCTURE-ACTIVITY RELATIONSHIP OF ALLOSTERIC INHIBITORS OF THE AAA ATPASE P97

by

Celeste Alverez

B.S., Miami University, 2011

Submitted to the Graduate Faculty of

The School of Pharmacy in partial fulfillment

of the requirements for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

University of Pittsburgh

2019

UNIVERSITY OF PITTSBURGH

THE SCHOOL OF PHARMACY

This dissertation was presented

by

Celeste Alverez

It was defended on

November 21, 2019

and approved by

Barry Gold, Professor Emeritus, Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences

Donna M. Huryn, Professor, Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences

W. Seth Horne, Associate Professor, Department of Chemistry

Dissertation Advisor: Peter Wipf, Distinguished University Professor, Department of

Chemistry

Copyright © by Celeste Alverez

2019

SYNTHESIS AND STRUCTURE-ACTIVITY RELATIONSHIP OF ALLOSTERIC INHIBITORS OF THE AAA ATPASE P97

Celeste Alverez, PhD

University of Pittsburgh, 2019

This thesis describes the design and synthesis of allosteric, small molecule inhibitors targeting the cancer relevant p97. Due to its pivotal role of maintaining proteostasis, while both normal and cancerous cells need p97, cancerous cells become reliant on its activity to survive. Based on the initial success of proteosome inhibitors it was proposed that targeting p97, which functions upstream of the proteosome, will mitigate compensatory pathway activation observed with proteosome inhibition. In order to test this hypothesis, a campaign to identify small molecule inhibitors of p97 was initiated which identified three distinct chemotypes: two related chemotypes contained indole cores that were initially explored, and a third alkylsulfanyl-1,2,4triazole based molecule that was found to be the most amenable to modification. The best compound reported in the initial publication, NMS-873, was shown to possess good potency, but poor solubility. Therefore, modification of this chemotype focused on incorporating solubilizing groups and reducing lipophilicity of the linear side chain of the molecule including various carbamate containing aliphatic heterocycles. Additional changes focused on improving potency while considering stability. To accomplish this, the thioether was replaced with an ether linker or oxidizing it to a sulfone. In addition, difluorination of the phenol side chain to reduce electron density of the ring was found to significantly improve potency. Through an iterative structureactivity relationship effort, five analogs with unique structural features were identified that maintained or improved activity relative to NMS-873.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIS	T OF TAI	BLES VII
LIS	T OF FIG	URESVIII
LIS	T OF SCH	IEMESX
LIS	T OF ABI	BREVIATIONS XII
ACI	KNOWLE	EDGEMENTSXIX
1.0	INTRO	DDUCTION1
	1.1	BIOLOGICAL FEATURES OF P972
	1.1.1	Biological function of p972
	1.1.2	Role of p97 in disease
	1.1.3	Structure of p979
	1.1.4	Targeting protein homeostasis for cancer treatment14
	1.2	KNOWN INHIBITORS OF P97 15
	1.2.1	ATP competitive inhibitors15
	1.2.2	ATP uncompetitive inhibitors18
	1.2.3	ATP non-competitive inhibitors
2.0	DESIG	GN AND SYNTHESIS OF TRIAZOLE ANALOGS
	2.1	EARLY TRIAZOLE ANALOGS
	2.2	GENERAL SYNTHESIS OF TRIAZOLE ANALOGS

	2.3	BIOLOGICAL SCREENING OF TRIAZOLE ANALOGS	47
	2.3.1	Structure-activity relationship	47
	2.3.2	Discussion	78
3.0	EXPE	RIMENTALS	89
	3.1	GENERAL EXPERIMENTAL	89
	3.2	UNPUBLISHED AMIDE INDOLE EXPERIMENTALS	91
	3.3	UNPUBLISHED PHENYL INDOLE EXPERIMENTALS	95
	3.4	TRIAZOLE EXPERIMENTALS	134
	3.4.1	Analogs of NMS-873 described in Chapter 2.0	134
	3.4.2	Additional, undescribed analogs of NMS-873	220
BIB	LIOGRA	.PHY	287

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2-1. Physiochemical properties and literature values for PK properties of NMS-873. ⁶⁰ 36
Table 2-2. Zone 1 replacements and associated p97 inhibitory activity in biochemical assay 39
Table 2-3. Screening of protecting group strategy for Sonogashira cross coupling in the synthesis
of the propargyl alcohol side chain fragment
Table 2-4. Replacements of zone 5 to reduce size and improve solubility and associated p97
inhibitory activity
Table 2-5. Zone 2 replacements to reduce potential metabolism and associated p97 inhibitory
activity
Table 2-6. Optimization of zone 5 capping group and associated p97 inhibitory activity
Table 2-7. Optimization of zone 5 carbamates and associated p97 inhibitory activity
Table 2-8. Alternatively, substituted zone 4 phenols and associated p97 inhibitory activity 65
Table 2-9. Substituted zone 4 phenols (see Figure 2-5 for numbering scheme) with preferred N-
methylpiperidine carbamate and associated p97 inhibitory activity
Table 2-10. Substitution of the propargyl methylene in zone 5 and associated p97 inhibitory
activity
Table 2-11. Zone 3 imidazole replacement of triazole analogs and associated p97 inhibitory
activity75

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1-1. Cartoon illustrating select, fundamental roles p97 plays within the cell. ¹⁸
Figure 1-2. Diagram illustrating the role of p97 in ERAD. ²²
Figure 1-3. Schematic summary of cancer-relevant pathways with p97 involvement. ²²
Figure 1-4. Ribbon diagrams derived from cryo-EM data at 2.4 Å of full length p97 highlighting
the three structural domains as well as sites of nucleotide and inhibitor binding11
Figure 1-5. Cryo-EM surface model structures of the three conformations identified during the
process of nucleotide binding, with arrows showing the conformational changes at each step13
Figure 1-6. Schematic representation of the mechanism of p97 unfolding of polyubiquitinated
substrates
Figure 1-7. Structures of the diaminoquinazoline series
Figure 1-8. Structures of the two hits identified from the CBC HTS. ^{54, 56}
Figure 1-9. Zones of structural modification for the amide indole 1-5
Figure 1-10. SAR summary and best analog derived from the amide indole series
Figure 1-11. Zones of structural modification for the phenyl indole chemotype23
Figure 1-12. SAR summary and best analog derived from the phenyl indole series
Figure 1-13. Cryo-EM and refined structures of advanced analogs bound to p9728
Figure 1-14. Mechanism of phenyl indole chemotype inhibition
Figure 1-15. Structure of the tool compound MSC1094308 . ⁶¹

Figure 1-16. Structures of four alkylsulfanyl-1,2,4-triazoles. ^{60, 62}	34
Figure 1-17. Location of alkylsulfanyl-1,2,4-triazole binding site in a 3D representation of	the
p97 hexamer as determined by cross-linked amino acid location. ⁶²	34
Figure 2-1. Structure of NMS-873	35
Figure 2-2. Zones of structural modification for the triazole-containing NMS-873.	38
Figure 2-3. SAR summary for zones 1, 2, and phenyl replacement of zone 5	53
Figure 2-4. SAR summary for the zone 5 end groups.	62
Figure 2-5. Numbering scheme for the substituted phenol side chain relative to the 3-met	hyl
phenols 2-38 and 2-39	64
Figure 2-6. SAR summary for zone 4 substituents.	68
Figure 2-7. SAR summary for substitution at the propargyl position in zone 5	73
Figure 2-8. SAR summary for imidazole analogs.	76
Figure 2-9. The structure of NMS-873 with the preferred fragments identified at each zone fr	om
the SAR campaign	77
Figure 2-10. The five most potent analogs.	78
Figure 2-11. Electrostatic potential maps for the substituted phenylacetylenes in zone 4.95	81
Figure 2-12. Relative activities of the tested NMS-873 chemotype cores	82
Figure 2-13. Structures of tetrabenazine and Austedo®. ¹¹¹	86
Figure 2-14. SAR summary for triazole derivatives of NMS-873.	87
Figure 2-15. Location of the triazole binding site proposed by Magnaghi et al. ⁶²	88

LIST OF SCHEMES

Scheme 1-1. General synthesis of amide indole analogs showing points of diversification 20
Scheme 1-2. General synthesis of phenyl indole core showing basic of diversification
Scheme 2-1. Synthesis of triazole analogs utilizing a literature route. ⁶⁰
Scheme 2-2. Attempted alternate synthetic routes utilizing sulfur protecting groups
Scheme 2-3. General synthesis of NMS-873 analogs utilizing the preferred O-THP protecting
group. ⁶³
Scheme 2-4. General synthesis of propargyl side chain NMS-873 analogs
Scheme 2-5. Synthesis of the sulfone 2-41 . ⁶³
Scheme 2-6. Synthesis of 2-42 . ⁶³
Scheme 2-7. Representative synthesis of secondary amine zone 5 analogs. ⁶³
Scheme 2-8. Representative synthesis of carbamate analogs. ⁶³
Scheme 2-9. Synthesis of the deuterated propargyl analog 2-96 . ⁶³
Scheme 3-1. Synthesis of amide indole analogs
Scheme 3-2. Synthesis of 2-methylquinoline indole replacement
Scheme 3-3. Synthesis of oxygen linker replacement
Scheme 3-4. Synthesis of carbon linker replacement analogs
Scheme 3-5. Synthesis of the oxetane-containing linker analog
Scheme 3-6. Synthesis of 4,5,6,7-tetrahydro-1 <i>H</i> -pyrrolo[3,2- <i>c</i>]pyridine indole replacement 106

Scheme 3-7. Synthesis of 5-(methylsulfonyl)-1 <i>H</i> -indole analogs	111
Scheme 3-8. Representative synthesis of carbamate indole replacements from chlorofor	rmates.
	116
Scheme 3-9. Synthesis of cyclohexyl carbamate indole replacement.	120
Scheme 3-10. Representative synthesis of amide indole replacements	121
Scheme 3-11. Synthesis of <i>para</i> substituted phenyl indole analog	128
Scheme 3-12. Synthesis of 5-chloroindole analog	130
Scheme 3-13. Synthesis of 5-fluoroindole analog with N-methylpiperazine terminal group.	132
Scheme 3-14. Synthesis of branched ester and carboxylic acid zone 1 replacements	220
Scheme 3-15. Synthesis of cyclopentanone zone 1 analog	224
Scheme 3-16. Synthesis of oxabicyclo[3.1.0]hexane analog	228
Scheme 3-17. Synthesis of pyrazole zone 1 analog	232
Scheme 3-18. Synthesis of zone 5 hydantoin analog	235
Scheme 3-19. Synthesis of zone 5 SF ₅ replacements.	238
Scheme 3-20. Synthesis of zone 4 4-bromo-3-trifluoromethyl phenol analog	240
Scheme 3-21. Synthesis of zone 2 sulfur replacement with oxygen, with biphenyl side	e chain
analog	242
Scheme 3-22. Synthesis of zone 1 2,3,3,3-tetrafluoro analogs	245
Scheme 3-23. Synthesis of 4-fluoro THF analog	249
Scheme 3-24. Synthesis of propargyl amine derivatives.	253
Scheme 3-25. Synthesis of (3S,4R)-3-fluoro-1-methylpiperidin-4-amine building block	268

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

1,2-DCE	
AAA	ATPases associated with diverse cellular activities
Ac	acetyl
AcOH	acetic acid
ADME	absorption, distribution, metabolism, and excretion
ADP	adenosine diphosphate
ATP	adenosine triphosphate
ΑΤΡγS	adenosine 5'-(3-thiotriphosphate)
BI	Boehringer-Ingelheim
Bn	benzyl
Boc	<i>tert</i> -butyloxycarbonyl
Boc ₂ O	di- <i>tert</i> -butyl dicarbonate
Bu	butyl
Bz	benzoyl
cat	catalytic
CBC	Chemical Biology Consortium
CD	circular dichroism spectroscopy
CDI	carbonyldiimidazole

CL	clearance
CL _{int}	in vitro intrinsic clearance
cLogD	calculated partition coefficient pH 7.4
CMT2Y	Charcot-Marie-Tooth disease type 2Y
cryo-EM	cryogenic electron microscopy
CyJohnPhos	
CYP450	cytochrome P450
Da	Daltons
DAST	(diethylamino)sulfur trifluoride
DBU	1,8-diazabicyclo[5.4.0]undec-7-ene
dec	decomposed
DIAD	diisopropyl azodicarboxylate
DIBAL	diisobutylaluminium hydride
DiPEA	N,N-diisopropylethylamine
DMA	
DMAP	4-dimethylaminopyridine
DMF	
DMP	Dess-Martin periodinane
DMSO	dimethylsulfoxide
DNA	deoxyribonucleic acid
DPPA	diphenylphosphoryl azide
dppm	bis(diphenylphosphino)methane
EDC	

<i>ee</i>	enantiomeric excess
ELSD	evaporative light scattering detector
equiv. (eq.)	equivalents
ER	endoplasmic reticulum
ERAD	endoplasmic reticulum-associated degradation
ESI	electrospray ionization
EtOAc	ethyl acetate
EWG	electron withdrawing group
FALS	familial amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS)
FDA	US Food and Drug Administration
FMO	flavin-containing monooxygenase
G	gram(s)
GFP	green fluorescent protein
h	hour(s)
HATU2-(7-aza-1 <i>H</i> -be	nzotriazole-1-yl)-1,1,3,3-tetramethyluronium hexafluorophosphate
HBA	hydrogen bond acceptor
HBD	hydrogen bond donor
HCT-116	a human colorectal carcinoma
HFPO	hexafluoropropylene oxide
HLM	
HOBt	1-hydroxybenzotriazole
HPLC	high performance liquid chromatography
Hrd1	

HRMS	high-resolution mass spectroscopy
Hsp	heat shock protein
HTS	high-throughput screen
hv	irradiated with light
IC ₅₀	concentration resulting in 50% inhibition of activity
<i>i</i> Pr	isopropyl
IR	infrared spectroscopy
ΙκΒ	NFkB endogenous inhibitor
K _m	Michaelis constant, concentration of substrate where the reaction rate is 50%
LC3	microtubule-associated 1A/1B-light chain 3
LCMS	liquid chromatography mass spectrometry
LiAlD ₄	lithium aluminum deuteride
MAD	mitochondria associated degradation
<i>m</i> -CPBA	
Me	methyl
MeCN	acetonitrile
mg	milligram(s)
min	minute(s)
MIP	
mL	milliliter(s)
m.p	
Ms (mesyl)	methanesulfonyl
MSP1	multisystem proteinopathy 1

μL	microliter(s)
μΜ	micromolar
NBS	N-bromosuccinimide
<i>n</i> -BuLi	<i>n</i> -butyllithium
NCI	
NFκBnuclear fac	ctor kappa-light-chain-enhancer of activated B cells
nM	nanomolar
nm	nanometer(s)
NMP	1-methyl-2-pyrrolidinone
NMR	nuclear magnetic resonance
NSCLC	non-small cell lung carcinoma
ODD-Luc HIF1α oxyger	n-dependent degradation domain fused to luciferase
PBS	phosphate buffered saline
Pd/C	palladium on carbon
$Pd_2(dba)_3$	tris(dibenzylideneacetone)dipalladium(0)
PG	protecting group
Ph	phenyl
Piv	pivaloyl
РК	pharmacokinetic
PMA	phosphomolybdic acid
PNB	<i>p</i> -nitrobenzyl
РО	oral dosing (per os)
PPh3	triphenylphosphine

PPTS	
PSA	polar surface area
<i>p</i> -TsOH	<i>p</i> -toluenesulfonic acid
Rh ₂ (OAc) ₄	
RNAi	ribose nucleic acid (RNA) interference
RuCl ₂ (<i>p</i> -cymene)] ₂	dichloro(p-cymene)ruthenium(II) dimer
SAR	structure-activity relationship
SFC	supercritical fluid chromatography
siRNA	small interfering RNA
SPR	surface plasmon resonance
STAB	sodium triacetoxyborohydride
TBAF	tetrabutylammonium fluoride
TDP-43	transactive response (TAR) DNA binding protein-43
TFA	trifluoroacetic acid
THF	tetrahydrofuran
THP	tetrahydro-2 <i>H</i> -pyran
TLC	thin layer chromatography
TMSCl	
TMSCF3	(trifluoromethyl)trimethylsilane
TMSCN	cyanotrimethylsilane
Ts (tosyl)	toluenesulfonyl
Ub ^{G76V} -GFP	ubiquitin, with glycine 76 mutated to valine, fused to GFP
UCLA	University of California, Los Angeles

Ufd1	ubiquitin fusion degradation 1
UPR	unfolded protein response
UPS	ubiquitin-proteasome system
UV	ultraviolet
VCP	Valosin-containing protein
V _{max} maximu	im rate of enzyme reaction at saturating substrate concentration
<i>V</i> _{ss}	steady state volume of distribution
WT	wild type

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First, I would like to thank my advisor Professor Peter Wipf for the opportunity to work in his lab, as well as providing the knowledge, guidance, and resources that allowed me to learn and experience so much in my time in his lab. I would also like to thank Professor Donna M. Huryn for her knowledge, insight, and help to guide me become a better medicinal chemist. I would also like to express my appreciation for Professors Barry Gold and W. Seth Horne for serving on my committee and giving me their honest feedback throughout the years. I would also like to acknowledge the Chemical Development department at Boehringer-Ingelheim (BI), particularly Dr. Carl Busacca who welcomed me into his lab as a summer intern in 2016 and showed me how large large-scale synthesis could be. In addition, I would like to thank the p97 members of the Chemical Biology Consortium (CBC) at the National Cancer Institute (NCI) for exposing me to all the different aspects and considerations that go into running an industrial style medicinal chemistry program so early in my career.

During my time in the Wipf group I have worked with many great people, past and present, that have helped me with their words and actions. In particular, I would like to thank Evan Carder for his endless enthusiasm whether it was welcomed at the time or not; Alexandra Manos-Turvey for her continued encouragement, friendship, and support; and Tanja Krainz, Chaemin Lim, and Marina Kovaliov for their constant support and friendship (and the frequent late night mental health talks). I would also like to thank my friends and family that have always been there to support and encourage me no matter what. I would especially like to thank my mother, Janet Alverez, who though she is not here, has been my inspiration in pursuing medicinal chemistry from the start so that I may one day help others who face the same health difficulties as she did but not face the same fate. Lastly, I would like to thank my father, Marc Alverez, most of all for his endless support, love, and encouragement over the years.

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Cancer is a leading cause of death worldwide.¹ In 2018, an estimated 18.1 million new cases and 9.6 million cancer related deaths, across all cancer types, have been projected.¹ In addition, it is predicted that by 2040 an additional 29.5 million people worldwide will be diagnosed with cancer per year.² This makes the development of new cancer chemotherapeutics an increasingly important endeavor. An appealing target for the treatment of cancer has been protein homeostasis and the ubiquitin-proteasome system due to cellular reliance on this system for proliferation and survival. The US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approved the first proteasome inhibitor, bortezomib, in 2003, attracting numerous laboratories to this field.³ However, targeting the proteasome has had limited success thus far, with FDA approvals for only three drugs for the treatment of lymphoma and melanoma.^{4, 5} A recently explored alternative target that plays a crucial role in protein homeostasis is p97. p97, also known as Valosin-containing protein (VCP) and Cdc48 in yeast, is a member of the AAA (ATPases associated with diverse cellular activities) ATPase superfamily. It has been found to be upregulated in many tumor types including non-small cell lung carcinoma (NSCLC), prostate cancer, breast cancer, and colorectal carcinoma, with its elevated expression level correlating to poor prognosis of patients, thus making it an appealing target for chemotherapeutic development.^{4, 6-15}

1.1 BIOLOGICAL FEATURES OF P97

1.1.1 Biological function of p97

The chaperone p97, or a related homolog, can be found in nearly all branches of life. In mammalian cells, it is primarily found in the cytoplasm, however, a fraction is found at specific organelles such as the endoplasmic reticulum (ER), Golgi apparatus, and mitochondria, as well as within the nucleus.¹⁶ p97 is abundant, comprising approximately 1% of the protein makeup of the cell.¹⁷ This can likely be attributed to the numerous roles p97 has within the cell. Generally, it has three main roles that all functions can be related to: 1) protein homeostasis, 2) membrane trafficking, and 3) cell cycle and nucleic acid regulation.^{16, 18} p97 is able to perform this varied array of functions via its various complexes formed with a large number of adaptor and cofactor proteins.¹⁹



Figure 1-1. Cartoon illustrating select, fundamental roles p97 plays within the cell.¹⁸ This figure was reproduced with permission from <u>Nature Cell Biology</u>, article doi: <u>10.1038/ncb2407</u>

Amongst these functions, p97 plays an especially important role in protein homeostasis. It has major roles in ER-associated degradation (ERAD), mitochondria associated degradation (MAD), and degradation of protein aggregates and misfolded cytoplasmic proteins (Figure 1-1).^{16, 18} The role of p97 in ERAD has been well studied. At the ER, p97 removes or retrotranslocates ubiquitinated protein substrates from the ER membrane into the cytoplasm where they can be identified and degraded by the proteasome (Figure 1-2). p97 is known to mediate the ERAD of a number of substrates, with the well-studied p97-Ufd1-Np14 complex specifically having been found to play a role in this retrotranslocation event.²⁰ Ballar et al. showed that different members of this complex were required depending on whether the initial retrotranslocation event to remove luminal proteins was initiated by the E3 ligase Hrd1 or

gp78.²⁰ They showed that the Hrd1-initated pathway of ERAD activity was mediated by the full p97-Ufd1-Npl4 complex while the gp78-initated pathway required only a p97-Npl4 complex for retrotranslocation to the cytosol.²⁰ These cofactors allow p97 to remodel and retrotranslocate substrates from the ER. Since p97 primarily binds to ubiquitinated substrates through its many cofactors. When an ubiquitinated substrate is bound, ATP is hydrolyzed, resulting in a conformational change within the p97-cofactor complex and substrate remodeling.¹⁶ After the remodeling event, the polyubiquitin chain of the substrate is trimmed by a deubiquitinase and the substrate is released to the cytoplasm for proteosome degradation.¹⁸ Since p97 plays an essential role in ERAD, loss of p97 function can, at the ER specifically, result in ER-stress induced apoptosis via the unfolded protein response (UPR).²¹



Figure 1-2. Diagram illustrating the role of p97 in ERAD.²²

This figure was reproduced with permission from <u>Current Molecular Medicine</u>, article doi: 10.2174/1566524018666180308111238

Though a link between p97 activity and autophagy has been known for nearly a decade, the role p97 plays in regulating autophagy is still controversial, with conflicting reports suggesting that it is conversely an activator and a mediator, or inhibitor, of autophagy.²³⁻²⁵ Both Chou et al. and Tresse et al. independently showed that p97 activity is required for autophagosome maturation of vesicles containing ubiquitinated substrates and therefore the degradation of these substrates. However, both studies showed that p97 is not required for the initiation of autophagy, but is ultimately responsible for the autophagic degradation of ubiquitinated materials.^{23, 24} Separately, they were able to draw these conclusions by observing the microtubule-associated 1A/1B-light chain 3 (LC3). Both groups noted an accumulation of immature autophagosomes, observed as an increase of the autophagosome maturation marker, LC3-II, positive vesicles, upon RNAi treatment targeting p97.^{23, 24} Conversely, when Anderson et al. explored the effects of their pre-clinical agent, CB-5083, they showed that treatment of cells with their p97 inhibitor lead to p62, an autophagy adaptor protein, and LC3 co-localized foci from the cells indicating the activation of autophagy.²⁵ This directly contradicts what Tresse observed which was an approximately 3.5-fold accumulation of p62 in p97 knockdown cells.²³ However, Anderson et al. go on to further support that their observations are in fact mediated by p97 by showing that siRNA knockdown of p97, in their hands, resulted in a decrease in the p62 levels within the cell.²⁵ In addition to the unclear role p97 plays in autophagy, the exact target of p97 which mediates this activity is also still unknown.²⁶

The role of p97 and especially its yeast homolog, Cdc48, in cell cycle regulation has been well studied. Since cell cycle progression is determined by the turnover of cell cycle related proteins, p97 is instrumental in regulating this process.²⁷ The role of p97 in DNA replication and

chromatin dynamics appears to be fairly complex.¹⁸ In combination with Udf-1 and Npl-4, p97 extracts Cdt-1 from chromatin to allow for DNA repair and replication at sites of UV damage.^{27, 28} Once the cell progresses into mitosis, p97 performs several additional tasks to promote cell division. One of the first known roles of p97 in mitosis was the extraction of the kinase Aurora B from the chromatin in the last stages of mitosis to allow for chromatin decondensation and nuclear envelop formation.²⁹ However, more recent work by Dobrynin et al. indicates that p97 involvement begins as early as the prometaphase. They showed that in HeLa cells when Udf-1-Npl-4 was depleted there were increased levels of Aurora B present in chromosomes in both prometaphase and metaphase, resulting in misalignment and extended periods of time to coalesce into a metaphase plate. In addition, they observed that in anaphase the chromosome segregation was also defective, yielding lagging chromosomes and chromosome bridges which resulted in nuclear aberrations in the daughter cells.³⁰ Though the specific mechanism of how the p97-Udf-1-Npl-1 complex mediates these Aurora B-related effects is unknown, these data illustrate the important role that p97 plays in regulating the cell cycle.

1.1.2 Role of p97 in disease

A precise regulation of p97 is essential to normal cellular operation. Therefore, strict control of p97 activity needs to be maintained, otherwise disease can result. p97 has been both directly and indirectly linked to various neurodegenerative diseases including MSP1 (mucopolysaccharidosis type 1), FALS (familial amyotrophic lateral sclerosis), CMT2Y (autosomal dominant Charcot-Marie-Tooth disease type 2 due to p97 mutation), Alzheimer's disease, Huntington's disease, and Parkinson's disease.^{4, 26} MSP1, formerly known as Inclusion Body Myopathy associated with Paget's disease of the bone and Frontotemporal Dementia or IBMPFD) was one of first

diseases linked to aberrant p97 activity, at least partially due to numerous p97 mutations.³¹ MSP1 is a progressive, lethal autosomal dominant genetic disorder that primarily affects the muscles, bones, and/or the brain and can often be characterized by inclusions containing ubiquitin, TAR DNA binding protein-43 (TDP-43), and p97, especially in the muscles and brain.²⁶ A study by Ritson et al. in a *Drosophila melanogaster* model of MSP1/IBMPFD showed that disease causing mutations in p97 lead to redistribution of TDP-43 to the cytoplasm which lead to the neurodegenerative phenotype.³² The commonality among these neurodegenerative diseases linked to p97 is the inability to clear protein aggregates and aberrant proteins, illustrating the importance of the role p97 plays in proteostasis.

p97 activity has also been linked to the cancer phenotype. In 2003, Yamamoto et al. reported the correlation between p97 expression levels and patient prognosis in several cancer types, including esophageal, thyroid, lung, liver, stomach, pancreatic, and colorectal cancer.^{6-9, 11-14} Several pathways involving p97 constitute the molecular mechanisms by which its aberrations can lead to cancer (Figure 1-3). p97 facilitates the proteasomal degradation of p53 and the NFkB endogenous inhibitor, IkB. As a result, p97 overexpression allows for increased tumor growth, invasion, and metastasis.^{6, 7, 11, 22} However, in the same study, the authors showed that p97 inhibition or knockdown suppressed cancer cell growth and migration, and increased apoptosis.³³ Through the use of a xenograft model, they also showed that chemotherapeutic intervention against p97 was able to significantly reduce NSCLC tumor growth.³³ This ability to stop growth and to kill cancer cells can potentially be attributed to cancer cells reliance or "addiction" to mechanisms of protein quality control, including p97.³⁴ Very recently, Parzych et al. determined that p97 plays a crucial role in cellular metabolism, especially under conditions of nutrient deprivation, specifically glutamine.³⁵ Under these starvation conditions, they observed p97

expression and elevated p97 protein levels, indicating that it might play a key role in the UPS starvation response.³⁵ Given the elevated requirement for nutrients, especially amino acids, by continuously and rapidly growing and replicating cancer cells, as well as the reliance on proliferation and survival promoting pathways, p97 represents a vital player in the cancer phenotype.



Figure 1-3. Schematic summary of cancer-relevant pathways with p97 involvement.²² This figure was adapted with permission from <u>Current Molecular Medicine</u>, article doi: <u>10.2174/1566524018666180308111238</u>

1.1.3 Structure of p97

p97 forms a homohexameric ring in which each monomer is composed of three domains, the N, D1, and D2 domains (Figure 1-4, A).¹⁸ The D1 domain connects to the D2 domain by a short linker (D1-D2 linker) and the N domain sits on the outside of the D1 domains, again attached by a linker (D1-N linker), forming an additional circle around the top of the core of the hexamer (Figure 1-4, lower). The six monomers adjoin to form a pore or channel at their center (Figure 1-4, B). The D1 and D2 domains are the type II AAA ATPase domains containing the characteristic nucleotide binding sites which are located at the interfaces between each monomer (RecA-like domains). These binding sites contain Walker A and Walker B motifs for nucleotide binding and hydrolysis, respectively.²⁶ Despite the similarities between the two ATPase domains (approximately 40% sequence identity and approximately 60% overall similarity), their function is not equal in relation to substrate remodeling and extraction.³⁶ Specifically, the D1 domain is primarily responsible for mediating hexamer formation and N domain movement while the D2 domain is primarily responsible for the ATP hydrolysis utilized for protein remodeling and extraction.^{18, 37, 38} The N domain, however, is the primary site of co-chaperone, adaptor, and substrate binding.³⁷ In addition to the differences in ATPase domain function, the structures of the two binding sites was recently shown to be different. Firstly, the D1 nucleotide binding site can be accessed from the top face or the side of the hexamer, while the D2 nucleotide binding site can be accessed only by the side of the hexamer with the D1-D2 linker determining the shape of the D2 site.³⁶ In addition, differences in the amino acid residue composition of the two sites were found during the course of studies intending to understand the molecular binding of the ATP-competitive inhibitor CB-5083. This was accomplished by obtaining and solving X-ray crystals of CB-5083 bound to a D1-D2 truncated protein and comparing this structure with that

of an ADP bound full length p97 (PDB: 3CF3), as well as looking at CB-5083 resistant mutants to confirm key residues.³⁶ A number of key residues for CB-5083 binding in the D2 binding site were identified and when compared to the D1 binding site were shown to not be conserved, however, in a separate earlier study by Hänzelmann *et al.*, nucleotide binding residues were shown to be conserved.^{36, 39} The key differences identified by Hänzelmann et al. between the D1 and D2 sites were much more subtle; the side chain of the arginine in the arginine finger (R359) of D1 was found to be much more flexible than the D2 equivalent (R635) preventing interaction with a hydrogen bonding network.³⁹ In addition, a proline (P) to phenylalanine (F) switch is made within the arginine fingers from D2 to D1, respectively, and this phenylalanine side chain changes conformations dependent on the type of nucleotide bound to the D1 binding site.³⁹ This phenylalanine plays a key role in determining the type of nucleotide bound and therefore has a significant impact on the ATPase activity of D1, shown by its mutation to alanine resulting in a 75% loss of ATPase activity compared to wild type.³⁹



Figure 1-4. Ribbon diagrams derived from cryo-EM data at 2.4 Å of full length p97 highlighting the three structural domains as well as sites of nucleotide and inhibitor binding.

(A) Side view of the ribbon diagram showing the N domain (green), D1 domain (blue), and D2 domain (purple) bound to ADP (cyan). (B) Top view of the homohexameric ring structure of p97 with ADP (cyan) and an allosteric inhibitor bound (red). (Lower) Schematic of the p97 sequence showing the various regions of the structure color coded to match the ribbon structure above. (C) Side view of the structure with ADP and inhibitor bound.³⁷ This figure was reproduced with permission from <u>Science</u>, article doi: 10.1126/science.aad7974

Over the last two decades, the conformational changes p97 undergoes within the cell have been reaffirmed. Recently, the first high resolution structures of the p97 homohexamer were solved to provide the strongest evidence thus far for the activation of p97 before this remodeling activity.³⁷ The proposed mechanism is comprised of two steps (Figure 1-5). The first step is p97 activation, by binding of ATP to the D2 domain, which results in the movement of

the D2 domain "down" (Figure 1-5, B). This also results in structural changes in the D1-D2 interface that "unlock" the N domain. Next, ATP binds at the D1 domain, which causes the N domain to move into the "up" position (~75° difference from the "down" position before second ATP binding event) which likely allows for effector protein binding (Figure 1-5, C). This movement of the N domain also results in movement of the N-D1 linker which significantly alters the D1 nucleotide binding domain. In addition, Banerjee et al. noted that as ATP bound to the D2 domain there was an approximately 6.8 Å contraction of the pore within the D2 ring while ATP binding to D1 did not result in any additional pore diameter changes in either the D1 or D2 rings.³⁷ It should be noted that all six protomers are not necessarily synchronized in their activation, or nucleotide binding, and that there can be numerous partially activated p97 states present at any given time.^{26, 40} Though this mechanism of conformational change is well studied, the means by which p97 carries out its effects on other proteins is less clear. Two possible mechanisms by which p97 can extract substrates from the target structure (membrane, aggregate, or chromatin) have been proposed: 1) p97 conformational change while attached to the substrate can result in partial unfolding that disrupts the interactions between the substrate and the target structure, or 2) the substrate is threaded through the central pore of p97, resulting in unfolding.²⁶ Bodnar and Rapoport recently showed that in the case of polyubiquitinated model substrates, once the polyubiquitin chain interacted with the adaptors Udf-1 and Npl-4 of the p97-Udf-1-Npl-4 complex, ATP hydrolysis by the D2 domain lead to threading of the chain through the pore of p97 and unfolding of the substrate. Once the unfolding occurred, ATP hydrolysis in D1 as well as trimming of the polyubiquitin to an oligoubiquitin chain by a deubiquitinase, proposed to be YOD1 (Otu1 in yeast), lead to the release of the newly unfolded, shorter ubiquitin-tagged substrate from the p97 complex (Figure 1-6).⁴¹



Figure 1-5. Cryo-EM surface model structures of the three conformations identified during the process of nucleotide binding, with arrows showing the conformational changes at each step.

(A) ~3.3 Å resolution structure of the completely ADP bound structure with domains color coded: N domain (green), D1 domain (blue), and D2 domain (purple). (B) ~3.2 Å resolution structure of the D1-ADP and D2-ATP γ S bound structure. (C) ~3.3 Å resolution structure of the completely ATP γ S bound structure.³⁷ This figure was reproduced with permission from <u>Science</u>, article doi: <u>10.1126/science.aad7974</u>



Figure 1-6. Schematic representation of the mechanism of p97 unfolding of polyubiquitinated substrates. p97/Cdc48 D1 and D2 domains (cyan), p97/Cdc48 N domain (gray), Udf-1-Npl-4 complex (magenta), ubiquitin (blue), GFP/model substrate (green), deubiquitinase/Otu1/YOD1 (orange).⁴¹ This figure was reproduced with permission from <u>Cell</u>, article doi: <u>10.1016/j.cell.2017.04.020</u>

1.1.4 Targeting protein homeostasis for cancer treatment

After first being discovered in 1987, the proteasome has been well studied and characterized.⁴²⁻⁴⁴ Once the importance of the proteasome was understood, the hypothesis that it could play a crucial role in the cancer phenotype and that it could be a viable chemotherapeutic target was proposed.^{45, 46} The proteasome's main role within the cell is to degrade unnecessary or damaged proteins. Therefore, the proteasome plays an important role in cell cycle progression. In addition, the proteasome removes damaged and aberrant proteins. Both activities become very important in cancer cells due to their increased proliferation rate. Therefore, targeting the proteasome for cancer chemotherapy has been a popular strategy in the past.³ This effort has led to the FDA approval of three proteasome inhibitors: bortezomib (Velcade®), carfilzomib (Kyprolis®), and ixazomib (Ninlaro®).⁴⁷ Bortezomib was the first FDA approved proteasome inhibitor in 2003, suggesting that targeting protein homeostasis was a viable method for treating cancer. Though the discovery and approval of bortezomib was a key step in the development of protein quality control targeting drugs, bortezomib still had many limitations. In clinical trials, it showed a 35% response rate (38% with partial and complete responses combined) which was as much as a 20% increase over the standard of care dexamethasone.⁴⁷ However, some patients do not respond to bortezomib treatment and a large population relapses after bortezomib treatment. Since bortezomib was first approved, only two additional proteasome drugs have been approved for the treatment of multiple myeloma and lymphoma, but no other tumor types.⁴⁷

Though these proteasome targeting drugs lay the foundation for treating cancer via protein quality control, there is still room for improvement. It has been proposed that one of the imitations of the proteasome inhibitors is that upon proteasome inhibition compensatory pathways are activated and cause increased expression of proteasome proteins, allowing the cells to recover.³⁴ Since p97 functions upstream of the proteasome and is only required for a fraction of the proteasome activity, it is theorized that especially in combination therapies the same compensatory pathways will not be activated and will lead to improved efficacy.^{4, 48} In addition, p97 directly and indirectly regulates key tumor-related proteins (see Sections 1.1.1 and 1.1.2).^{33, 34}

1.2 KNOWN INHIBITORS OF P97

Within the p97 literature, examples of competitive, uncompetitive, and non-competitive inhibitors have been reported and key examples of each type will be discussed below.

1.2.1 ATP competitive inhibitors

Competitive inhibitors are characterized by their ability to bind free enzyme. The binding with regard to substrate, ATP, is mutually exclusive, meaning that only the inhibitor or the nucleotide can be bound at any given time, typically because they have the same binding site. This results in an increase in the K_m of the enzyme while the V_{max} is unaffected.⁴⁹ One of the earliest small molecules identified to target p97 was called DBeQ (**1-1**), i.e. N^2, N^4 -<u>dibenzylquinazoline-2,4</u>-diamine (Figure 1-7).²⁴ DBeQ was shown to be a reversible and selective inhibitor of p97 with a biochemical IC₅₀ of 1.5±0.4 µM and a cellular IC₅₀ of 2.6±0.3 µM. The reversibility of the compound was supported by the retained activity against p97^{C522A}, a mutant where the reactive cysteine residue within the ATP binding site is replaced by alanine. The selectivity of DBeQ was demonstrated by comparing its IC₅₀ for the p97-independent proteasome substrate ODD-Luc

versus the p97-dependent substrate Ub^{G76V}-GFP. In this assay format, DBeQ showed a greater than 10-fold loss of activity for ODD-Luc versus Ub^{G76V}-GFP. It was also tested against a panel of approximately 170 kinases and at 15 μ M it did not show significant inhibition.²⁴ DBeQ was found to bind both the D1- and D2-domain active sites.⁵⁰ DBeQ affects the ERAD branch of p97 activity, resulting in accumulation of GFP fused T-cell receptor α -chain (TCR α -GFP) which is embedded into the ER when over expressed in non-T cells and degraded by the proteasome in a p97-dependent manner. Optimization of the diaminoquinazoline chemotype led to several lead compounds as well as one clinical candidate.^{25, 51}



Figure 1-7. Structures of the diaminoquinazoline series.

The second generation diaminoquinazolines, ML240 (1-2) and ML241 (1-3), were reported two years later by the same groups (Figure 1-7).⁵¹ Both compounds retained the pyrimidine-2,4-diamine core of DBeQ as well as the benzyl group at the 4-nitrogen. However, they differed in the ring fused to the pyrimidine as well as the heterocycle attached at the 2-nitrogen. Despite these differences, both compounds showed low-micromolar activity in a biochemical ATPase assay, however, in a cellular assay determining the degradation of Ub^{G76V}-GFP, there was a clear preference for compound **1-2** over **1-3** (0.9±0.1 μ M versus 3.5±0.4 μ M, respectively). Both compounds were shown to act via p97 inhibition and not by another p97-
independent pathway in an assay of the degradation of ODD-Luc.⁵¹ Compound **1-2** was shown to rapidly activate apoptotic pathways as well as block autophagosome maturation; however, compound **1-3** did not accomplish this.⁵¹ Both **1-2** and **1-3** were also shown to affect the ERAD activity of p97, albeit **1-3** to a weaker degree than **1-2**. Like DBeQ, **1-2** resulted in decreased autophagosome maturation as determined by LC3-II accumulation; in contrast, **1-3** did not show any such affects. Compound **1-2** was effective as an antiproliferative agent in a number of cell lines in the NCI60 panel, a panel of 60 human tumor cell lines used to identify compounds with anticancer activity.⁵¹ Unlike DBeQ, the second generation analogs were found to only inhibit the D2 domain binding site.⁵⁰ Overall, the second generation compounds, **1-2** and **1-3**, showed improved potency and improved specificity relative to **1-1**.

Despite these significant improvements, ML240 (1-2) and ML241 (1-3) only had low micromolar cellular activity, and improvements were still required to produce a viable clinical candidate. The company that licensed the diaminoquinazoline intellectual property (IP), Cleave Biosciences Inc., generated a clinical candidate, CB-5083 (1-4), via a lead optimization strategy to improve potency, ADME, and physiochemical and pharmacokinetic properties (Figure 1-7).⁵² They reaffirmed that the N^4 -benzylpyrimidine-2,4-diamine was required for activity; however, they were able to modify the 2-amino heterocycle as well as the fused pyrimidine ring. Once again, they showed that the scaffold was ATP-competitive and only bound to the D2 domain. CB-5083 had a biochemical IC₅₀ of 11 nM and a cellular IC₅₀ in a CellTiter-Glo assay of 0.68 μ M, which corresponded to a 74-fold (under the same assay conditions) and a nearly 5-fold improvement, respectively.⁵² Treatment with CB-5083 also resulted in ERAD disruption as determined by accumulation of TCRα-GFP; however, unlike the previously reported analogs that inhibited autophagy, CB-5083 appeared to induce autophagy. This was shown by the rapid

clearance of p62- and LC3-II-positive foci in **1-4** treated cells.²⁵ In addition, Anderson et al. showed, both *in vitro* and *in vivo*, that CB-5083 was able to induce cancer cell death and decrease tumor growth after oral administration in tumor xenograft studies, including both hematological and solid tumor types.²⁵ Given these promising findings, CB-5083 was tested in two Phase I clinical trials for advanced solid tumors and multiple myeloma. Both trials were terminated, however, due to an off-target retinal toxicity due to PDE6 inhibition.³⁶

1.2.2 ATP uncompetitive inhibitors

Uncompetitive inhibitors are characterized by an ability to only bind enzyme-substrate complexes, not free-unbound enzyme, resulting in a decreased enzyme K_m and V_{max} .⁴⁹ Thus far, the only uncompetitive p97 inhibitors published are from the collaborative effort of the p97 group within the Chemical Biology Consortium (CBC).^{37, 53-58} The CBC team identified several weakly active inhibitor series in a high-throughput screen (HTS) of 246,000 compounds using a biochemical ADP-GloTM assay (Figure 1-8).^{54, 56} Though three chemotypes were classified as uncompetitive inhibitors, only two were studied extensively. The first series, characterized by an amide indole core, was reported in the literature.⁵⁴ The initial hit 1-5 was determined to bind at the D2 domain but not the D1 domain. This was demonstrated by an absence of inhibitory activity against the truncated form of p97 bearing only the N and D1 domains.⁵⁴ In addition, the amide indole chemotype was shown to be an uncompetitive binder with regard to ATP, given that 1-5 and analogs inhibited the ATP bound complex more potently than the ATP unbound state. This was demonstrated by the decrease in the IC₅₀ of 1-5 as the concentration of ATP increased (IC₅₀ = 11.5 ± 4.6 µM at 20 µM ATP versus IC₅₀ = 2.5 ± 1.7 µM at 100 µM ATP).⁵⁴



Figure 1-8. Structures of the two hits identified from the CBC HTS.^{54, 56}

To explore the SAR of the amide indole chemotype, the structure was divided into four zones (Figure 1-9). The synthetic approach taken for the library was to disconnect the amide bond, allowing for a straightforward generation of analogs. This was accomplished by purchasing or synthesizing the desired amine and carboxylic acid building blocks and connect them via an amide coupling utilizing 1-[bis-(dimethylamino)-methylene]-1*H*-1,2,3-triazolo[4,5-b]-pyridinium 3-oxide hexafluorophosphate (HATU) and an amine base (Scheme 1-1).⁵⁴



Figure 1-9. Zones of structural modification for the amide indole 1-5.



Scheme 1-1. General synthesis of amide indole analogs showing points of diversification.

All zones of **1-5** were explored to probe the binding pocket and establish the SAR of this initial hit.⁵⁴ Beginning with zone 1, additional aryl groups, particularly heterocyclic groups, were screened to determine whether the starting pyrazine was optimal. While nearly all replacements were found to be detrimental to activity, removal of the *N*-4 nitrogen yielding the 2-amino pyridine derivative (**1-10**, **I**C₅₀ = $0.5 \pm 0.2 \mu$ M).⁵⁴ The substitution pattern within zone 1 was also explored; these changes consisted of removing and replacing the 2-amino group, as well as adding substituents at the 5-position. Despite relatively conservative replacements being made at the 2-position (replacement with hydrogen, hydroxy, and *N*-methyl amino groups), only the unsubstituted amine was tolerated. At the 5-position, both smaller and larger groups, including cyano; ethyl; 4-pyridyl; and 2-methyl-1*H*-indol-5-yl, were prepared to probe the size of the pocket. While the smaller groups (cyano and ethyl) retained some activity (IC₅₀ < 50 μ M) they all resulted in significant losses in activity, indicating that the pocket surrounding zone 1 was relatively small and not amenable to larger groups (Figure 1-10).⁵⁴



Figure 1-10. SAR summary and best analog derived from the amide indole series.

(A) SAR summary for amide indole derivatives of hit **1-5**. (B) Best amide indole analog discovered from SAR campaign.⁵⁴

The SAR within the three remaining zones proved to be quite limited as well.⁵⁴ Zone 2 modifications included a reduction of the amide to the benzylic amine, which retained partial activity ($IC_{50} = 10 \pm 1.5 \mu M$), while replacement with an ester linkage as well as alkylation on the nitrogen of the amide lead to complete loss of potency.⁵⁴ These finding suggested an important hydrogen bonding interaction which was very dependent on the pK_a of the hydrogen bond donor, the amine nitrogen, hence the significant loss of activity of the amine. Zone 3 was explored by replacement of the indole, such as with 2-methylquinoline, as well as the addition of substituents at various positions. Modifications of both types resulted in significant or total loss of activity. Once again showing that the binding pocket at the indole region was very specific to the 2-substituted indole. Finally, zone 4 modification focused on the removal and elongation of

the alkyl group. A small alkyl group (methyl or ethyl) was found to be required in the 2-position; however, larger chains were not tolerated, emphasizing the small volume of the binding site. The difficulty in modifying zones 3 and 4 was supported by NMR studies of **1-5** in the presence and absence of a truncated p97 D2 domain, which showed line broadening of the 2- and 3-positions of the indole in the presence of the D2 domain relative to the protein free spectra.⁵⁴ This data suggests that the indole, especially at the 2- and 3-positions, tightly interacts with the D2 domain.

A second series identified via HTS was characterized by a phenyl indole (1-6, Figure 1-8) with a flexible, basic side chain. Some SAR data, as well as a study of the substituent effects at the 5-position of the indole, have been reported.^{53, 56} This series, like the amide indole series, was found to bind uncompetitively to p97.56 Early experiments with 1-6 in a biochemical assay against p97 with varying concentrations of ATP (20 µM and 100 µM) showed that at the lower, 20 μ M, concentration, **1-6** was approximately 2.5-fold less potent (IC₅₀= 2.4 μ M) than in the presence of 100 μ M ATP (IC₅₀= 0.99 μ M).^{56, 59} Given the appealing uncompetitive binding and generally favorable, within standard drug-like ranges, physical properties, a SAR campaign to explore the structure of 1-6 was carried out.^{53, 55-57} The structure of 1-6 was broken into five zones for modification (Figure 1-11). The SAR was carried out in a systematic fashion to identify the preferable fragments in each zone and then a mix-and-match optimization was carried out to identify the overall best analogs.^{53, 55-57} The synthetic approach taken to achieve this relied on a modular synthesis. First, the 2-phenyl indole core was synthesized in either two steps or one step via imine formation, followed by Fischer indole synthesis or C-H arylation, respectively (Scheme 1-2, A and B, respectively). The resulting meta-aryl bromide was then reacted with the piperidine ketal under Buchwald-Hartwig conditions. The ketal was deprotected under acidic conditions to reveal the ketone which was then carried into a titanium (IV)-mediated reductive amination with the desired amine (see Section 3.3 for synthesis).^{53, 56} In the later stages of the synthesis, once the preferable side chain fragments (zones 3-5) were identified, the Buchwald-Hartwig coupling could be carried out with the fully functionalized side chain fragment, followed by Boc deprotection to give the final analogs (see Chapter 3.3 for synthesis).^{53, 56}



Figure 1-11. Zones of structural modification for the phenyl indole chemotype.



Scheme 1-2. General synthesis of phenyl indole core showing basic of diversification.

(A) Two-step synthesis (imine formation followed by indole cyclization) of phenyl indole core.⁵³ (B) One-step synthesis (C,H-arylation) of phenyl indole core.⁵³

All five zones of 1-6 were explored while trying to maintain the drug-like physiochemical properties and improve the potency of the hit. The indole of zone 1 was found to be very sensitive to modification. Both the replacement of the indole with additional fused aryl systems, as well as substitution of the 5-position of the indole were carried out.^{53, 55} All replacements of the indole were found to be inferior; however small groups at the 5-position were well tolerated with the 5-cyano, 5-fluoro, and 5-nitro performing the best (IC₅₀ = 44 ± 45 nM, IC₅₀ = 55 \pm 87 nM, IC₅₀ = 47 \pm 40 nM, respectively).^{53, 55} This showed that the binding pocket around the indole was small, preferred hydrogen bonding competent groups (especially hydrogen bond acceptors as evidenced by the top three compounds), and was very sensitive to electronic effects.⁵⁵ In addition to this, it was observed that small apolar and hydrophobic groups were moderately tolerated (most retaining submicromolar potencies) in the 5-position as well.⁵⁵ In zone 2, substitution at the 2-position with a methyl group was well tolerated ($IC_{50}s < 100 \text{ nM}$), indicating that a part of the binding pocket was likely very hydrophobic.⁵⁵ However, conformationally restricting the molecule by tethering the phenyl to the indole by formation of a benzo[α]carbazole core resulted in a >2-fold loss of activity compared to the unsubstituted indole.⁵⁵ Zone 3 modification focused on removal of the nitrogen within the piperidine and testing of both the *cis* and *trans* cyclohexane analogs.⁵⁶ The piperidine was found to play an important role in binding, likely participating in a hydrogen bonding interaction, given that the cyclohexane analogs were >80-fold (cis) and >3-fold (trans) less active than their nitrogencontaining counterpart.⁵⁶ However, the drastic difference in the two cyclohexane analogs' potencies showed the large effect that the orientation of the side chain has on binding. The *cis*

analog was shown to move key hydrogen bonding interactions between the side chain and p97 out of range, while the trans analog was able to orient the amine side chain to maintain hydrogen bonding interactions.⁵⁶ Zone 4 modification focused on replacing the nitrogen within the linker, modifying the length of the linker, and adding additional substitution to the linker (See Chapter 3.3 for nitrogen linker replacements).^{56, 57} The SAR within zone 4 was found to be less restrictive than many other regions of the chemotype. The linker tolerated both lengthening and shortening of the parent amino ethyl linker, with preference for two carbon or zero carbon linkers, as well as the addition of small cyclic rings (cyclobutyl and oxetanyl) at the C2 of the ethyl linker.⁵⁶ However, fused ring and spirocyclic systems which restricted the flexibility of the side chain were shown to be 16- to >200-fold less potent than their more flexible counterparts.⁵⁶ This finding emphasized the necessity of flexibility within the side chain to adopt a preferred conformation for binding. The final region of the chemotype, zone 5, was explored through the replacement of the 1-methyl-1,2,4-triazole with aryl and nitrogen containing alkyl groups. The synthesis of phenyl and pyridyl containing analogs showed the importance of heteroatoms within this tail group given the nearly 3-fold loss of activity of the phenyl versus the pyridyl and 1methyl-1,2,4-triazole (1-6) compounds.⁵⁶ The replacement of the aryl nitrogen-containing systems with alkyl and basic nitrogen-containing groups, like piperazine and dimethylamine, lead to significant increases in potency with the piperazines being preferred.⁵⁶ This preference for the basic piperazine group suggested hydrogen bonding interactions, likely at both nitrogens given the increased potency of the piperazine over dimethylamine. This was later supported by molecular modeling which showed key hydrogen bonds between the N-1 with Gln494 and N-4 with Glu534.⁵⁶ The 4-position of the piperazine was also explored and it was found that the size of the alkyl group was not important and that both small (methyl) and large (tert-butyl) groups

were tolerated, with a slight preference for more lipophilic groups, *iso*-propyl being the most potent one.⁵⁶



Figure 1-12. SAR summary and best analog derived from the phenyl indole series.

(A) SAR summary for phenyl indole derivatives of hit **1-6**. (B) Best phenyl indole analog discovered from SAR campaign.^{53, 55, 56}

The location of the binding site of these inhibitors was determined to be the D2 domain, and ultimately this was confirmed by a cryo-EM structure of p97 bound to an advanced analog of **1-6** which showed the binding site to be an allosteric site within the D2 domain.^{37, 55} A 2.3 Å structure showed that the specific location of the binding pocket was located near the protomer interface (Figure 1-13, **A** and **B** upper). The binding mode originally reported by Banerjee et al. showed the indole tucked deep into a hydrophobic pocket, with the 5-position fluorine engaged

in a hydrogen-fluorine type hydrogen bond with Ser511 (Figure 1-13, B lower). The phenyl ring was also tucked back into the pocket while the piperidine occupied a cleft just outside of this pocket (Figure 1-13, **B** lower). This supported the SAR that was observed for zones 1-3 and showed that the pocket around the phenyl indole was narrow, not allowing for larger groups or additional substituents around the core. The cryo-EM had difficulty identifying the orientation and position of the side chain due to low densities at zones 4 and 5 leading to questions about the interactions occurring with the side chain. In collaboration with Leidos Biomedical Research, Inc. and the Frederick National Laboratory for Cancer Research's Developmental Therapeutics Program, the authors were able to conduct computational studies on the cryo-EM structure, which showed additional interactions which better agreed with the experimental SAR.⁵⁵ First, they observed π -stacking between the indole and Phe618, hydrogen bonding of the indole NH with the backbone carbonyl of Val493, and two threonine residues that were found to move to accommodate small polar and non-polar groups at the 5-position (Figure 1-13, C). The phenyl ring was shown to sit in a hydrophobic pocket, while the piperidine nitrogen was engaged in a dipole-dipole interaction with the thiol of Cys535, supporting the reduced potency of the trans cyclohexane analog. With the use of the computational refinements, a reasonable orientation of the linker and terminal heterocycle was determined that agreed with the SAR. This showed hydrogen bonding interactions between the carboxylate of Glu498 and the linker nitrogen, the amide of Gln494 and N-1 of the piperazine, and the carboxylate of Glu534 and N-4 of the piperazine. Overall, the refined structure agreed with and supported the SAR that was published, and suggested the importance of the flexible nature of the molecules for adopting the necessary shape for binding to p97.



Figure 1-13. Cryo-EM and refined structures of advanced analogs bound to p97.

(A) Overview of the p97 hexamer with advanced analog (1-18, D) bound to each protomer. N-Domain (green), D1 domain (blue), D2 domain (purple), inhibitor (red), ADP (cyan).³⁷ (B) Upper: View of a single protomer showing the location of the bound inhibitor (1-18, red) relative to each domain and bound ADP (cyan).³⁷ Lower: 2D schematic representation of inhibitor (1-19, D) in the binding pocket showing the nearby residues and key interactions with the binding pocket residues for the refinement of the binding site identified by cryo-EM for 1-18. H-bonding (blue dashed), hydrophobic interactions (green dashed), π -stacking (green circles).⁵⁵ (C) 2D schematic representation of inhibitor (1-20, D) in the binding pocket showing the nearby residues and key interactions with the binding pocket residues of inhibitors used in Cryo-EM and molecular modeling.^{37, 55, 56}

This figure was reproduced with permission from Science, article doi: 10.1126/science.aad7974

This figure was reproduced with permission from <u>Organic & Biomolecular Chemistry</u>, article doi: 10.1039/c7ob00526a

The mechanism of action for the phenyl indole chemotype was also elucidated during the course of the cryo-EM studies. Three distinct conformations of p97 were observed while titrating ATP γ S into the ADP bound, inactive p97. Those three conformations were found to be: D1-ADP and D2-ADP bound, D1-ADP and D2-ATP γ S, and D1-ATP γ S and D2-ATP γ S (Figure 1-14, **A**) (described previously in Section 1.1.3). The cryo-EM structure showed that the specific binding site was located near the D1-D2 interface (Figure 1-14, **B**). It was suggested that the inhibitor binds to the ADP bound state preferentially, and upon this binding event, it prevents ATP binding to D2 due to steric clashes between the inhibitor and the observed D2-ATP bound configuration. This prevents the initiation of the conformational changes, and results in p97 deactivation (Figure 1-14, **B**).³⁷



Figure 1-14. Mechanism of phenyl indole chemotype inhibition.

(A) Conformational changes p97 undergoes during the step-wise activation by the ATP surrogate ATP γ S. (B) The phenyl indole inhibitor (**1-18**) binds to the D2-ADP complex and prevents ADP-ATP exchange, and works as a "wrench" in the p97 gears. Close up of the overlay between the D2-ADP, inhibitor bound structure (light purple) and the D2-ATP bound structure (dark purple) showing the steric clashes (orange) between the protein and inhibitor (red).³⁷

This figure was reproduced with permission from Science, article doi: 10.1126/science.aad7974

With the understanding of how the phenyl indole analogs inhibited p97 activity, the analogs were then evaluated for their cellular activity. The analogs were first tested in the standard ubiquitinated substrate (Ub^{G76V}-GFP) accumulation assay described in Section 1.2.1. When **1-17** was screened in this assay, it was shown to show modest inhibition at an early time point (15 μ M at 1 h), but not at the final time point (6 h) at the highest tested concentration (40 μ M).⁵⁶ As a potential reason for this very potent analog (IC₅₀ = 10 nM in biochemical assay) showing little to no effect in the cellular assays, it was proposed that permeability could be limited; however, related analogs showed high intracellular concentrations, effectively discrediting permeability as the cause of inactivity.⁵⁶ Finally, it was proposed that the assay

format may have been reason for the lack of activity, meaning that the Ub^{G76V}-GFP accumulation assay may not assess all aspects of p97 activity and that the phenyl indole analogs disrupt only certain aspects of p97's cellular functions. Autophagy was then suggested as the specific mechanism of activity of the phenyl indole analogs.⁵⁶ The ability of these analogs to affect the biological function of p97 was further supported by their cell growth inhibition in several cell lines in the NCI60 panel.⁵⁶

1.2.3 ATP non-competitive inhibitors

Non-competitive inhibitors are characterized by their ability to bind either free enzyme or substrate-bound enzyme. This results in two separate equilibrium constants, one for inhibitor binding to free enzyme and the second for inhibitor binding to the substrate-bound enzyme. Noncompetitive inhibitors can partially favor binding to either state or can have equal affinity to both. Given their ability to bind to either substrate-bound or -unbound forms, these types of inhibitors typically bind at allosteric, or non-active, sites. The effects of non-competitive inhibitors on the Michaelis-Menten kinetics of its target enzyme are: no change in K_m and decrease in V_{max}.⁴⁹ Thus far, only two non-competitive inhibitors have been reported in the literature, the first by a collaboration between Nerviano Medical Sciences and Genentech and the second by a collaboration between University of Duisburg-Essen and Merck KGaA.^{60, 61} Compound 1-21, published by a partnership between academia and industry, was shown to have low micromolar activity (7.2±1.1 µM) against p97 (Figure 1-15). Given this low biochemical potency, the compound currently is not viable as a drug candidate; however, it proved to be a useful tool compound. Using photoaffinity cross-linking studies, the authors were able to show that it bound to a known allosteric site within the D2 domain of p97. They were able to support

this finding with inhibition studies using the Walker B mutant K251A, which disrupts nucleotide binding to the D1 domain, and K524A, which disrupts nucleotide binding to the D2 domain. The authors showed that when **1-21** was tested in p97^{K251A}, there was similar inhibition as with p97^{WT}, whereas in p97^{K524A} there was decreased inhibition, therefore confirming the D2 binding site. Finally, in a single concentration cellular activity assay, **1-21** showed the ability to induce p97-mediated accumulation of Ub^{G76V}-GFP by Western blot.⁶¹



Figure 1-15. Structure of the tool compound MSC1094308.61

The Nerviano and Genentech efforts began with an HTS that generated the initial hit **1-22** (Figure 1-16) which showed low micromolar biochemical activity (2.69 μ M), but no activity within cells at concentrations as high as 20 μ M.⁶⁰ They also proposed that this alkylsulfanyl-1,2,4-triazole chemotype was non-competitive in nature due to a lack of significant change in biochemical IC₅₀ at 60 μ M and 1 mM ATP concentrations. During the course of their SAR efforts, the authors were able to find that the 3-pyridine was preferred over phenyl in the *N*-1 position of the triazole, the cyclopentyl was the preferred alkyl group at the thioether, a bi-phenyl ether side chain was preferred over the mono-phenyl ether, and that the 5-methyl substituted phenol was preferred over the unsubstituted phenol of the side chain. These key findings lead to the discovery of **1-25**, also known as **NMS-873** (Figure 1-16).⁶⁰ The authors reconfirmed that

NMS-873 maintained the non-competitive binding of 1-22. It also improved on the biochemical activity of 1-22 by greater than 100-fold, $IC_{50} = 0.024 \ \mu M$ versus 2.69 μM for 1-22, and achieved sub-micromolar cellular activity in HCT-116 cells, $IC_{50} = 0.38 \mu M$. NMS-873 was also shown to be selective for p97 versus other AAA ATPases, Hsp90, and 50 kinases.⁶⁰ To better understand the binding of these alkylsulfanyl-1,2,4-triazoles, Magnaghi et al. carried out photo cross-linking studies of compounds 1-23 and 1-24 with WT p97 (Figure 1-16).⁶² From the crosslinking study, the authors identified that 1-23 labeled Asn616 while 1-24 labeled the adjacent amino acid, Lys615. Given these separate, adjacent labeling events using two tool compounds with proximal reactive azido moieties, the authors were confident that a single binding site was indicated. They used this information along with publicly available crystal structures of p97 to develop a model that identified the binding site to be in a channel between the D1 and D2 domains between adjacent protomers leading from the outside to the interior pore of the hexamer (Figure 1-17). The authors demonstrated that NMS-873 competently activated the UPR by increased levels of CHOP, a biomarker of p97 inhibition, decreased autophagy marked by increased levels of LC3-II, and increased apoptosis by cleavage of PARP-1 and caspase-3.62 Given these positive markers of NMS-873 activity as a cytotoxic agent for tumor cells, the authors carried out a mouse PK study to assess its potential use in further studies. After a single 1 mg/kg IV bolus, it was shown that NMS-873 had good distribution throughout the body (V_{ss} = 5882 ± 1298 mL/kg) but was cleared very quickly (CL = 115 ± 31 mL/min/kg) resulting in low overall exposure (2.26±0.07 µM·h). This correlated well with the data obtained from a single oral dose (PO) of 10 mg/kg which showed only a 16.4% bioavailability.⁶⁰ The authors postulated that this could be a result of the low solubility of the compound (approx. 7 µM in ammonium acetate buffer, pH 7) or first pass metabolism ($t_{1/2 \text{ IV}} = 0.9 \text{ h}$). Given these results, the authors

conceded that to be a viable candidate for further studies, the solubility and metabolic stability of **NMS-873** needed to be improved.



Figure 1-16. Structures of four alkylsulfanyl-1,2,4-triazoles.^{60, 62}



Figure 1-17. Location of alkylsulfanyl-1,2,4-triazole binding site in a 3D representation of the p97 hexamer as determined by cross-linked amino acid location.⁶²

N domains (purple), D1 domains (green), D1/D2 linkers (orange), D2 domains (pink).⁶²

This figure was reproduced with permission from Nature Chemical Boiology, article doi: 10.1038/nchembio.1313

2.0 DESIGN AND SYNTHESIS OF TRIAZOLE ANALOGS

As discussed in Section 1.2.3, Nerviano Medical Sciences and Genentech Inc. reported analogs of the alkylsulfanyl-1,2,4-triazole scaffold, **NMS-873**, to be allosteric, non-competitive inhibitors of p97 binding to a pocket between the D2 of one protomer and the D1 of an adjacent protomer near the D1-D2 linker region (Figure 2-1).^{60, 62} Polucci *et al.* reported that **NMS-873** showed good activity in both biochemical and cellular assays (IC₅₀ = 0.024 μ M and HCT-116 CellTiter-Glo® IC₅₀ = 0.38 μ M, respectively) and selectivity against related AAA ATPases, Hsp90, and 50 kinases up to 10 μ M.⁶⁰ While they described select PK and ADME properties as being favorable, namely cell permeability ($P_{app} = 21.6 \times 10^{-6}$ cm/s in Caco-2 cells) and volume of distribution (V_{ss} = 5882 ± 1298 mL/kg), they showed that several properties were not favorable (Table 2-1).⁶⁰ Given the good potency of **NMS-873** and the less than desirable properties, we recognized the potential for improving the reported structure to optimize both the activity and properties. Consequently, a library was prepared to explore improvements of these features.^{58, 63}



Figure 2-1. Structure of NMS-873.

Property	Value		
Molecular weight (MW)	520 Da		
Hydrogen bond donors (HBD)	0		
Hydrogen bond acceptors (HBA)	6		
Polar surface area (PSA) ^a	87 Å^2		
Lipophilicity at pH 7.4 (clogD) ^a	4.7		
Solubility (S) ^b	7 μΜ		
Cell permeability $(P_{app}, \text{Caco-2})^{b}$	$21.6 \times 10^{-6} \text{ cm/s}$		
Highest concentration in blood $(C_{\text{max}})^{b, c}$	$0.30\pm0.08~\mu M$		
Clearance (CL) ^{b, c}	$115 \pm 31 \text{ mL/min/kg}$		
Volume of distribution $(V_{ss})^{b, c}$	$5882 \pm 1298 \text{ mL/kg}$		
Half-life $(t_{1/2})^{b, c}$	0.9 h		
Highest concentration in blood $(C_{\text{max}})^{b, d}$	$0.06\pm0.02\;\mu M$		
Time to highest concentration $(t_{\text{max}})^{b, d}$	0.8 h		
Half-life $(t_{1/2})^{b, d}$	6.0 h		
Bioavailability ^{b, d}	16.4%		

Table 2-1. Physiochemical properties and literature values for PK properties of NMS-873.⁶⁰

^a Calculated using Instant JChem ⁶⁴; ^b Literature values⁶⁰; ^c iv dosing 1 mg/kg⁶⁰; ^d po dosing 10 mg/kg⁶⁰

2.1 EARLY TRIAZOLE ANALOGS

The medicinal chemistry efforts described below were carried out by a team of medicinal chemists working at the University of Pittsburgh Chemical Diversity Center (UPCDC) as a part of a larger team including Leidos within the Chemical Biology Consortium (CBC) of the National Cancer Institute Experimental Therapeutics (NExT) program. As a result, the sections discussing synthesis (Section 2.2) and structure-activity relationship (Section 2.3.1) of this thesis

will focus on the work I contributed to the project unless stated otherwise with the exception of the biological data which was generated by collaborators within the CBC team. Due to the nature of the team science that was conducted during this project, additional data that cannot be shown due to (a) being contributed to the project without my involvement and (b) confidentiality requirements by the CBC and Leidos was also collected and will only be taken into consideration in the discussion (Section 2.3.2) to provide a more complete understanding of the project as a whole. In addition, the SAR will be elaborated on in the discussion (Section 2.3.2) with conclusions based on additional analogs not discussed in the SAR section (Section 2.3.1) due to being prepared by others on the chemistry team.

Overall, the goals of the proposed modifications to the NMS-873 scaffold were to improve the potency to match or surpass that of CB-5083, the most advanced p97 targeting small molecule to date, as well as to make modifications that would be predicted to have beneficial effects on the properties of the molecule. In the earliest stage of exploration of the NMS-873 scaffold, zone 1 was explored. Modification began here due to the ease of synthesis of these derivatives and to determine whether activity could be improved by modifying or replacing the cyclopentane ring (Figure 2-2). In addition, this site was selected first due to the known metabolic liability of thioether (or sulfide) moieties, including the aryl-alkyl thioether present in NMS-873. Thioethers have been shown to undergo both enzymatic oxidation (by CYP450s and flavin-containing monooxygenases, FMO) and dealkylation (by CYP450s) which could potentially be a concern for the chemotype going forward.⁶⁵⁻⁶⁸



Figure 2-2. Zones of structural modification for the triazole-containing NMS-873.

During the course of zone 1 exploration, a number of analogs were prepared included changes that increased the steric bulk of the groups attached to the sulfur, as well as the incorporation of fluorine which would increase the steric hindrance and decrease electron density of this group.^{69, 70} The mechanism of sulfide oxidation to either the sulfoxide or sulfone is a direct process hence increasing the steric bulk adjacent to the sulfur should limit access of the heme iron (CYP450s) or the reactive 4α-hydroperoxyflavin (FMOs), however, the mechanism of S-dealkylation is not direct.^{71, 72} Sulfur dealkylation has been proposed to occur via the hydroxylation of an α -carbon of the thioether followed by cleavage of the oxidized α -carbonsulfur bond.⁶⁸ Therefore, fluorination of the α -carbon can block the initial oxidation event, and given the preference for more lipophilic substrates by both CYP450s and FMOs, increasing the polarity could result in decreased affinity of the active site for the analogs. Though a number of analogs addressing these hypotheses were prepared, only two retained sufficient activity to justify further use (Table 2-2; see Chapter 3.4.2 for additional structures).⁶³ While no analogs incorporating fluorine or more sterically hindered thioethers were found to be as potent as the original cyclopentane, the perdeuterated cyclopentane (2-1) was found to be tolerated in the biochemical assay. With the incorporation of deuterium, 2-1 potentially decreased the role of α hydroxylation at the tertiary carbon of the cyclopentane, and therefore dealkylation, due to the

kinetic isotope effect.⁷³ In addition to the discovery of **2-1**, the cyclohex-2-ene (**2-2**) was shown to retain biochemical activity. Although the cyclohex-2-ene group does not sterically crowd the sulfur or physically block hydroxylation, it was hypothesized to increase the polarizability of the zone 1 side chain which has been proposed to modulate polarity and solubility.⁷⁴⁻⁷⁶



Table 2-2. Zone 1 replacements and associated p97 inhibitory activity in biochemical assay.ⁱ

2.2 GENERAL SYNTHESIS OF TRIAZOLE ANALOGS

The strategy utilized to prepare analogs of **NMS-873** involved the synthesis of the core composed of zones 2 and 3 as well as the pyridine and methylene alcohol. Once the core was prepared, the thiol of zone 2 was alkylated with the desired group at zone 1 and then the phenol portion, comprised of zones 4 and 5, was added to the core. In the later stages of the SAR

^a BIOMOL® Green biochemical assay at 200 µM ATP.⁵⁰

ⁱ 2-1 synthesized by Dr. Matthew LaPorte; 2-2 synthesized by Eric Miller

exploration, zone 5 was further elaborated to give the final desired analogs. Like the syntheses of the phenyl indole analogs described in Chapter 1.2.2, the approach taken for the triazole series allowed for a modular design and synthesis.

The general synthetic scheme followed that outlined by Polucci *et al.* (Scheme 2-1).⁶⁰ Though the synthesis through 2-7 was straightforward and high yielding, the use of boron tribromide (BBr₃) to remove the benzyl group severely limited the zone 1 groups that could be prepared. Only simple alkyl groups were tolerated due to the use of such a harsh reagent; therefore, a new protecting group strategy was explored to try to identify a more universally suitable synthetic route.



Scheme 2-1. Synthesis of triazole analogs utilizing a literature route.⁶⁰

The first alternate routes explored aimed to install the zone 1 group last instead of early on to allow for a more convergent synthesis. Zone 1 could thus be readily diversified in the last step (Scheme 1-2). To accomplish this, the sulfur group was protected with either a benzyl Scheme 2-2, **A**) or *p*-nitrobenzyl (PNB) ether (Scheme 2-2, **B**). In the case of the benzyl route, the methylene alcohol was deprotected under the standard BBr₃ conditions, alkylated with the phenol chain, and then the sulfur was unmasked (Scheme 2-2, **A**). Unfortunately, the removal of the benzyl group proved to be difficult. In the presence of chlorotrimethylsilane (TMSCl) as the Lewis acid no reaction occurred. Aluminum (III) chloride (AlCl₃) was also attempted in the removal of the *S*-benzyl protecting group. However, in this case, while AlCl₃ did result in consumption of **2-15**, only an unidentified product was formed and no desired **2-16** was found. Given the difficulty of deprotecting the *S*-benzyl group, the more activated PNB group was tried. While installation of the PNB on the sulfur went well (81-85% yield), it was again the removal that proved difficult. The advantage of the PNB versus the Bn group was that under irradiation (hv) the PNB ether (thioether) can be cleaved to give the alcohol (thiol) and *p*-nitrosobenzaldehyde.⁷⁸ However, despite utilizing two different light sources at different wavelengths (λ) and different wattages to try to tune the reactivity, neither condition was able to cleanly give **2-18**, at best a 1:1 ratio **2-17:2-18** was achieved with two 300 nm Hg-lamps after 58 h. Upon resubjection of the reaction mixture to light no further reaction occurred. Due to the difficulty of deprotecting **2-17**, a simplified test substrate, this approach was not further explored on the more complex desired system. Because of this, the sulfur protecting strategy was abandoned and an *O*-tetrahydro-2*H*-pyran (THP) protecting group was selected (Scheme 2-3).



Scheme 2-2. Attempted alternate synthetic routes utilizing sulfur protecting groups.

(A) Modification of published route utilizing a benzyl (Bn) protecting group on the sulfur.⁶⁰ (B) The PNB group could not be successfully removed.

The synthesis of analogs via the improved protecting group strategy using an *O*-THP group maintained the original length of the synthesis (Scheme 2-3). Intermediate **2-19** was prepared in an analogous method to the literature⁷⁹ and from this hydrazide through the deprotection, the synthesis paralleled the original synthesis reported by Polucci et al.⁶⁰ Not only

was the desired *S*-alkylated product (**2-22**) observed, but the *N*-alkylated product (**2-23**) was also found to varying degrees in most reactions. With the *O*-THP group, the deprotection required only catalytic amounts of *p*-toluenesulfonic acid (*p*-TsOH, 20 mol%), allowing for the installation of a variety of groups in zone 1, including acid labile groups. The activation of the benzyl alcohol, **2-24**, was carried out with either thionyl chloride (SOCl₂) or methanesulfonyl chloride (MsCl) and diisopropylethylamine (DiPEA) which was carried on after minimal workup to the nucleophilic substitution reaction to install the desired phenol side chain. Large variability was seen in the yields for this step due to varying degrees of stability of **2-25** while handling the product before the alkylation reaction.



Scheme 2-3. General synthesis of NMS-873 analogs utilizing the preferred O-THP protecting group.⁶³

While exploring the SAR at zone 5, analogs were prepared as described above in Scheme 2-3; however, it was discovered that the alkyne was a useful bioisostere of the phenyl ring at the 4-position of the phenol. In order to maintain the presence of heteroatoms at the terminal portion of zone 5, substituted alkynes, i.e. propargyl alcohols and amines, were prepared (Scheme 2-4). The propargyl alcohol-containing side chain was prepared via a sequence that began with the O-THP protection of the desired propargyl alcohol and O-pivaloyl (Piv) protection of the desired pbromo phenol (Scheme 2-4). The two protected building blocks were then coupled via a Sonogashira cross coupling to give the fully protected side chain fragment. Conditions were tested on 4-bromo-3-fluorophenol and propargyl alcohol in which the cross coupling was carried out on all permutations of the protecting scheme for the these building blocks (Table 2-2). Starting with both unprotected alcohols, none of the desired cross coupling product, 2-36, was observed by liquid chromatography coupled mass spectrometry (LCMS). When the propargyl alcohol was protected as the O-THP ether but the phenol was unprotected, no product was observed either. In the opposite case, with the phenol protected as the O-Piv, and the propargyl alcohol unprotected, some product was observed by LCMS; however, the reaction was very messy and the amount was not quantifiable or isolatable. Useable quantities of product were only isolated when both alcohols were protected, resulting in a cleaner reaction profile and higher conversion of the starting material. In addition, it has been shown that phenols can undergo side reactions, including O-arylation, which could account for the significantly more messy LCMS profile observed with unprotected phenol.⁸⁰ The O-pivaloyl group was then removed with aqueous sodium hydroxide (NaOH) and the crude product, after workup and concentration, was treated with pyridinium *p*-toluenesulfonate (PPTS) to remove the *O*-THP group to give the fully

deprotected side chain (2-33). This fragment was then added to the core via an S_N2 reaction. Further elaboration of the propargyl alcohol will be discussed in section 2.3.1.



Scheme 2-4. General synthesis of propargyl side chain NMS-873 analogs.

 Table 2-3. Screening of protecting group strategy for Sonogashira cross coupling in the synthesis of the propargyl alcohol side chain fragment.

2-34	^D G ₁ +	OPG ₂	C Pd(F Et ₃	ul (10 mol%) PG ₂ O PG ₂ O F OPG F 2-36
		2-35		
Entry PG ₁		PG ₂	Yield	
	1	Η	Η	no desired pdt
	2	THP	Η	no desired pdt
	3	Η	Piv	messy, unable to isolate pdt
	4	THP	Piv	25%

2.3 BIOLOGICAL SCREENING OF TRIAZOLE ANALOGS

2.3.1 Structure-activity relationship

A library of analogs of **NMS-873** were prepared and screened against p97 for inhibition.⁸¹ The inhibitory activities (IC₅₀) of selected analogs are summarized in Tables 2-4 through 2-11.^{58, 63} Modification of the **NMS-873** chemotype began with zone 1 which was quickly shown to tolerate only minor adjustments to the size and composition of this group (see Section 2.1). Further exploration of the scaffold focused on continuing to improve the activity of the structure. Consideration was also given to modifications which would be proposed to impact stability and reduce lipophilicity, or cLogD, to address the key problems identified by Nerviano Medical Sciences and Genentech.⁶⁰

Based on the limitations for structural modification identified in zone 1, zone 5 was explored next as a means of making significant impact on the activity and physical properties of the NMS-873 scaffold (Table 2-4). To accomplish this, the 4-methylsulfonyl benzene group was replaced with either prop-2-yn-1-ol (or propargyl alcohol, 2-37, 2-38, 2-39) or prop-2-yn-1amine (propargyl amine, 2-40) groups with the alkyne being proposed as an isostere of the phenyl group and the propargyl heteroatom possibly maintaining interactions originally made by the methanesulfonyl group. Alkynes can serve as p-substituted phenyl isosteres due to their ability to mimic the π -system of the phenyl ring as well as maintain the linear geometry of the two *para* substituents.⁸² Fortunately, upon testing the analogs containing the propargyl groups, this hypothesis was supported by their biochemical potency (Table 2-4, entries 2 and 3). Not only do all four analogs maintain good biochemical potency (under 50 nM for 3 of the 4 alkynecontaining analogs), the replacement of the aryl group with the alkyne provided the benefit that all analogs decreased in cLogD. This decrease in lipophilicity could suggest an improvement in solubility versus the biphenyl containing compounds: NMS-873, 2-1, and 2-2. Also, given the retention of potency between the alkyne- and phenyl-containing analogs, the alkyne does serve not only as an isostere, in which the definition is primarily focused on the structural features of the replacement, but also as a bioisostere, in which the definition includes the impact the structural modification has on the activity of the resulting analog.

Table 2-4. Replacements of zone 5 to reduce size and improve solubility and associated p97 inhibitory activity.ⁱⁱ

r

			–s, R		
Entry	Compound	*	R	IC50 (µM) ^a	cLogD ^b
1	NMS-873	MeO ₂ S	\sim	0.03877	4.7
	2-1		\bigwedge_{d_9}	\approx NMS-873	4.7
	2-2	,	\sim	\approx NMS-873	4.9
2	2-37		\sim	0.093 ⁵⁸	3.9
	2-38	HO	\bigwedge_{d_9}	0.048 ⁵⁸	3.9
	2-39	/	\sim	0.037 ⁵⁸	4.1
3	2-40	H ₂ N	\sim	0.044 ⁵⁸	2.8

^a BIOMOL® Green biochemical assay at 200 µM ATP.^{50 b} Calculated using Instant JChem. ⁶⁴

After determining that incorporation of the propargyl alcohol into zone 5 maintained potency and reduced cLogD, the next consideration was for the potentially metabolically labile thioether in zone 2. Given that one major goal of the SAR exploration was to distinguish resulting analogs from **NMS-873**, the thioether in zone 2 was identified as an important group to explore. In addition, given that thioethers are known metabolic liabilities (as described in section 2.1) modifications which limit these metabolic pathways were the primary focus (Table 2-5).^{83, 84}

ⁱⁱ**2-40** synthesized by Dr. Alexander Chatterley

The sulfur atom of 2-39 was oxidized to the sulfone (2-41) to determine whether the potential metabolite would have any biological activity (Table 2-5, entry 3 versus 2). Compound 2-41 was prepared by treating 2-39 with hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) and sodium tungstate dihydrate (NaWO₄·2H₂O) which gave 2-41 in 10% yield (Scheme 2-5). Sodium tungstate/hydrogen peroxide were selected for the oxidation in this case due to previous reports by Giam et al. that this system showed good selectivity for thioethers over pyridines.⁸⁵ Though no *N*-oxide and minimal sulfoxide (10%) were observed after 1 day, a significant loss of the cyclohexene ring was observed by LCMS, and 2-41 was isolated in low yield. Unfortunately, there was a significant loss of both biochemical activity (>100-fold) and cellular activity (>25-fold) for 2-41 compared to 2-39. This clearly showed that the oxidation of the thioether was not tolerated.



Scheme 2-5. Synthesis of the sulfone 2-41.63

The corresponding ether at zone 2 was also prepared. While the ether would likely retain the dealkylation metabolic liability, the oxygen is not further oxidized which is an advantage over the sulfur atom. The synthesis of the oxygen replacement proceeded in an analogous manner to that described by Polucci et al.^{60, 63} This synthesis began with the previously assembled cyclopentyl thioether, **2-43**, which was oxidized to the sulfone (**2-44**) using the same sodium tungstate catalyst/H₂O₂ oxidizing system used above for the synthesis of **2-41**. Before displacing the sulfone to give the ether, there were concerns about the ability to remove the *O*-THP protecting group in the presence of the zone 2 ether; therefore, the *O*-THP group was removed, at first, with stoichiometric PPTS. However, after one day the reaction had not gone to completion, and a catalytic amount of *p*-TsOH was added. The alcohol was then protected with the more labile 1-methyl-1-methoxyethyl (*O*-MIP) protecting group. The sulfone was displaced after deprotonation of cyclopentanol with 60 wt% sodium hydride (NaH) in an S_N2 reaction to give the di-ether compound, **2-47**. The *O*-MIP group was removed with one equivalent of PPTS at room temperature, giving a moderate yield of the desired deprotected alcohol. The final two steps of the sequence followed the general synthesis described previously with the preparation of the mesylate (**2-49**) from MsCl and DiPEA which was carried crude into the S_N2 reaction with the phenol side chain fragment and cesium carbonate (Cs_2CO_3) to give **2-42** in 33% yield over 2 steps (Scheme 2-6). However, **2-42** was found to have a weaker effect in both the biochemical and cellular assays by about 2.5 to 4-fold, respectively (Table 2-5, entry 4).



Scheme 2-6. Synthesis of 2-42.63

Table 2-5. Zone 2 replacements to reduce potential metabolism and associated p97 inhibitory activity.

Entry	Compound	*	IC50 (µM) ^a		
1	2-37	$\lambda_{\rm s}$	0.093 ⁵⁸		
2	2-39	χ^{s}	0.037 ⁵⁸		
3	2-41	V ^S ^S →	4.8 ⁵⁸		
4	2-42	\mathcal{V}°	0.18 ⁵⁸		

^a BIOMOL® Green biochemical assay at 200 µM ATP.⁵⁰
Based on the efforts to improve potency and reduce metabolic "hot-spots", the structureactivity relationship identified thus far is shown in Figure 2-3. Overall in zone 1, cyclic alkyl groups were found to be preferred with ring sizes of 5 and 6 being well tolerated. It was also observed that incorporation of deuterium in an attempt to improve stability was also well tolerated for activity, as was the addition of an alkene. In zone 2, it was seen that both a sulfur atom and an oxygen atom were tolerated, but the bulkier oxidation product of the thioether, the sulfone, was not tolerated within the binding pocket. In addition, initial replacement of the biphenyl side chain with a phenyl alkyne was well tolerated. Both the propargyl alcohol and propargyl amine were well tolerated biochemically and which could be used as a site for further structural modification.



Figure 2-3. SAR summary for zones 1, 2, and phenyl replacement of zone 5.

After determining that the thioether linkage was optimal in zone 2, the focus returned to zone 5, in particular the heteroatom of the propargyl group. Further exploration lead to optimizing the propargyl side chain by capping it with small alkyl groups as well as additional solubilizing groups (Table 2-6). The methyl ether (**2-50**) maintained efficacy within 2-fold

(versus 2-38, $IC_{50} = 0.069$ vs. 0.048 µM, respectively) even without the hydrogen bond donor (HBD) that is present in 2-38. Given this tolerance of the methyl ether, the larger 2-pyran analog (2-51) was prepared but resulted in a 3-fold loss of activity in the biochemical assay. Realizing that the SAR of these ethers was limited, carbamate derivatives were targeted next for synthesis with the goal to introduce additional hydrogen bonds as well as solubilizing moieties (Table 2-6, entry 5). Due to the good potency and high solubility of the corresponding phenyl indole analogs,^{53, 55, 56} the ethyl *N*-isopropylpiperazine fragment (2-52 and 2-53) was incorporated (see Chapter 1.2.2). Though the addition of this fragment increases the molecular weight by 197 Daltons versus the alcohol, in the case of the deuterated analog (2-52), a 2-fold increase in biochemical potency was accomplished. In the case of the cyclohexenyl analog (2-53), a more modest improvement was observed (2-fold biochemical). This finding supports the hypothesis that outside of the hydrophobic channel that the phenyl-alkyne portion of the side chain resides in, there is a more hydrophilic or solvent-exposed region which has only been accessed by these larger carbamate analogs thus far.

Analogs of the propargyl amine were explored next to determine the effects of amino derivatives. First, secondary and tertiary amines were synthesized to determine if an HBD group was required. The *N*-methylpiperidine (**2-54**) represented a secondary amine that maintained an additional hydrogen bond acceptor (HBA), the heteroatom at the terminus, that was found to be beneficial in the carbamate analogs. Fortunately, the relatively smaller *N*-methylpiperidine showed good activity in the biochemical assay (IC₅₀ = 0.036 μ M), indicating that the larger ethyl *N*-isopropylpiperazine group may not be necessary for potent activity, and that a smaller basic group might convey similar potency. Next, tertiary amines including morpholino (**2-55**) and thiomorpholino 1,1-dioxide (**2-56** and **2-57**) were tested. Overall, all three analogs showed good

activity in the biochemical assay (<40 nM). This suggests that the binding site does not require the HBD of the secondary amines, however, the NH could be beneficial for solubility purposes. In addition to the amines, an amide analog (**2-58**) was also prepared. This ethyl amide was equipotent in the biochemical assay (Table 2-6, entry 9 versus 2). Overall, the similarities in biochemical activity of all analogs described in Table 2-6 suggests that the zone 5 terminal group does not have to be basic for potent inhibition. The tolerance for nearly all capping groups in the biochemical assay reinforces the hypothesis that the region of the binding site where this terminal zone 5 group resides is large and can accommodate groups of all sizes.

The secondary amine analogs, **2-55**, **2-56**, and **2-57**, were synthesized in an analogous method as described above; however, a new method was required for the synthesis of the side chain (Scheme 2-7). The propargyl alcohol was tosylated using 4-methylbenzenesulfonyl chloride (TsCl) and potassium hydroxide (KOH) at low temperature (-20 °C) and warmed to room temperature over 2 h. After aqueous workup, the intermediate was carried on to the S_N2 displacement with morpholine (**2-55**) or thiomorpholine 1,1-dioxide (**2-56** and **2-57**, Scheme 2-7). With the desired propargyl amines prepared, the last step of the side chain synthesis involved the Sonogashira coupling of the propargyl amine (**2-60**) and 4-iodo-3-methylphenol with bis(triphenylphosphine)palladium(II) dichloride [Pd(PPh_3)₂Cl₂] as the catalyst, copper (I) iodide (CuI) as the co-catalyst, and trimethylamine (Et₃N) as the base to give the completed side chain which could then be used in the alkylation of the core to give the final products.



Scheme 2-7. Representative synthesis of secondary amine zone 5 analogs.⁶³

		*			
Entry	Compound	*	N~ _N ″ R 	IC50 (IIM) a	cLogD b
1	2-37		$\frac{1}{4}$	0.093 ⁵⁸	3.9
	2-38	$_{\scriptscriptstyle HO}\!\lambda$		0.04858	3.9
	2-39		\sim	0.037 ⁵⁸	4.1
2	2-40	$_{_{H_2N}}\!\lambda$	\sim	0.044 ⁵⁸	2.8
3	2-50	$\sim \lambda$		0.069 ⁵⁸	4.5
4	2-51	$\Box_{\circ\lambda}$	\sim	0.11 ⁵⁸	5.7
5	2-52		$\bigwedge_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{A}_{o}}}$	0.034 ⁵⁸	3.9
	2-53			0.019 ⁵⁸	4.1
6	2-54		\sim	0.036 ⁵⁸	2.7
7	2-55	$\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{N}}$	\sim	0.039 ⁵⁸	4.4
8	2-56	$\sim \lambda$	\sim	0.1858	3.3
	2-57			0.16 ⁵⁸	3.3
9	2-58	$\sim \mathcal{A}_{H}^{\circ} \lambda$		0.030 ⁵⁸	4.5

Table 2-6. Optimization of zone 5 capping group and associated p97 inhibitory activity.ⁱⁱⁱ

 a BIOMOL® Green biochemical assay at 200 μM ATP. $^{50~b}$ Calculated using Instant JChem 64

ⁱⁱⁱ **2-54** synthesized by Dr. Alexander Chatterley; **2-58** synthesized by Dr. Chaemin Lim

The synthesis of all carbamate analogs was straightforward, requiring only two additional steps which were carried out in a one-pot sequence (Scheme 2-8). Starting with one of the propargyl alcohols (2-38 or 2-39) previously synthesized as described in Scheme 2-3, activation with carbonyldiimidazole (CDI) was monitored by LCMS. After the addition of the amine, the reaction mixture was stirred for at least 16 h. Occasionally, triethylamine was added in cases where amine salts were used, as well as sometimes an additional portion of the amine was required to drive the conversion to completion. Overall, the yield of the carbamate step ranged from low to high (16-96%), with the low yields typically from early analogs before the workup was optimized to utilize brine instead of just water. Due to the improved solubility of these compounds, a portion of the final product can partition into the aqueous layer, but the use of brine significantly reduced this loss.



Scheme 2-8. Representative synthesis of carbamate analogs.⁶³

Carbamates, 2-52 and 2-53, showed the best overall activities, as a result additional groups at the nitrogen of the carbamate were also explored (Table 2-7). While both larger and smaller groups were utilized, the goal was to determine if a smaller group containing fewer basic nitrogens would maintain potency. Therefore, the smaller trifluoroethyl amine containing carbamate (2-63) was prepared first (Table 2-7, entry 2). This replacement maintained the

secondary amine of the previously tested carbamate, but removed two basic nitrogens, while still potentially allowing for additional hydrogen bonding interactions (fluorine hydrogen bond) that may have been present with the piperazine nitrogen.⁸⁶ In fact, **2-63** proved to be equipotent in the biochemical assay suggesting that it was able to maintain interactions that 2-52 made. Since, in the case of the amines, the secondary propargyl amine, 2-54, was as potent as the tertiary propargyl amines, 2-55 to 2-57, it stood to reason that the secondary amine would perform well at the nitrogen of the carbamate as well. To test this hypothesis, the tertiary amine, morpholine (2-64) and N-methyl-4-piperazine (2-65), containing carbamate analogs were prepared. In the biochemical assay, these two analogs were nearly the same and maintained the efficacy of their larger counterparts 2-52 and 2-53 (Table 2-7, entries 3 and 4 versus 1 and 2). This discrepancy in the activities between the very similar 2-64 and 2-65 cannot not be justified by the zone 1 groups because 2-52 and 2-53 which have the perdeuterated and cyclohex-2-ene groups are equipotent. This indicates that the difference is due to the presence of the basic nitrogen in the piperazine versus the oxygen in the morpholine. Given that the *N*-methyl-4-piperidine (2-54) was the most potent propargyl amine analog, this group was chosen to be incorporated onto the carbamate as well (2-66). Again, there was no change in the biochemical activity. The successful use of the Nmethyl-4-piperidinyl carbamate group as well as the N-methyl-4-piperazine carbamate reaffirms that the HBD amine is not required for activity, however it does result in minor increases in potency.

Given that the inclusion of the side chain fragment from the phenyl indole chemotype (see Chapter 1.2.2) resulted in good biochemical activities for **2-52** and **2-53**, additional analogs containing some of the best side chain fragments from the phenyl indole series were prepared (Table 2-7, entries 6-10). The slightly smaller terminal alkyl group on **2-67** (*N*-methyl vs **2-53**'s

N-isopropyl) maintained activity relative to its slightly more lipophilic counterpart, **2-53**. The longer, amide-containing analog (**2-68**) and the bulkier, "kinked," cyclobutane-containing analog (**2-69**) also maintained the potency of **2-53**. The larger diazepane-containing **2-70** also showed good activity biochemically. The need for a basic nitrogen in the heterocycle was tested further with the 1-methyl-1*H*-1,2,4-triazole-containing **2-71**. Given that this heteroaryl analog is only weakly basic, it was useful to assess whether it was the basicity of the nitrogens in the previous heterocyclic compounds or the presence of the heteroatoms themselves that lead to good activity. This aryl heterocycle-containing analog resulted in a 2.5-fold loss in activity compared to **2-52** (Table 2-7, entry 10 versus 1). Overall, it appears that the large carbamate group of **2-52** and **2-53** is not required for potent activity and that a truncated version lacking the linker and with only a basic nitrogen containing heterocycle conveys the same potent inhibition. Given the poor physiochemical properties of **NMS-873**, it was encouraging to see that with the replacement of the biphenyl side chain with the propargyl carbamate side chains, the lipophilicity of **2-66** decreased by greater than one log unit (4.7 vs 3.4, respectively).

Table 2-7. Optimization of zone 5 carbamates and associated p97 inhibitory activity.



Entry	Compound	*	R	IC50 (µM) ^a	cLogD ^b
1	2-52	\downarrow_{N}		0.034 ⁵⁸	3.9
	2-53	$\sim N_{H} \sim N_{H} $	\sim	0.019 ⁵⁸	4.1
2	2-63	${}_{F_3C} \frown {}_{N} \overset{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}{\overset{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}{\overset{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}}}$		0.034 ⁵⁸	5.2
3	2-64	\sim $^{\lambda}$		0.044 ⁵⁸	4.2
4	2-65	λ	\sim	0.03058	4.4
5	2-66		\sim	0.025 ⁵⁸	3.4
6	2-67	$\widehat{\boldsymbol{\boldsymbol{\nabla}}}_{N} \widehat{\boldsymbol{\boldsymbol{\nabla}}}_{N} \widehat{\boldsymbol{\nabla}}_{N} \widehat{\boldsymbol{\nabla}}_{N} \widehat{\boldsymbol{\boldsymbol{\nabla}}}_{N} \widehat{\boldsymbol{\nabla}}_{N} \widehat{\boldsymbol{\nabla}}$	\sim	0.025 ⁵⁸	3.8
7	2-68		\sim	0.029 ⁵⁸	3.5
8	2-69		\sim	0.011 ⁵⁸	5.1
9	2-70			0.023 ⁵⁸	3.0
10	2-71			0.052 ⁵⁸	4.0

^a BIOMOL® Green biochemical assay at 200 µM ATP.^{50 b} Calculated using Instant JChem.⁶⁴

While exploring the various moieties that could be utilized as propargyl end groups, it became clear that many groups were tolerated in the zone 5 position (Figure 2-4). Nearly all amine derivatives were well tolerated biochemically. The simplest propargyl alcohol derivatives, ethers, were not well tolerated however. The slightly more complex propargyl alcohol derivative, the oxygen-linked carbamates, showed good potency. When the carbamate moiety was further explored a slight preference heterocycle-containing groups was seen, though all tested carbamates showed good activity in the biochemical assay. Also, carbamates that contained a secondary amine versus a tertiary amine performed slightly better. Despite this slight preference for secondary amines, there was no observable preference for the length of the linker connecting the secondary amine of the carbamate to the terminal heterocycle given that compounds with no linker (**2-66**) and a 3-carbon linker (**2-68**) performed essentially the same in both assays.

Zone 5: Many terminal groups tolerated Ethers not well tolerated Secondary amines and amides well tolerated Tertiary amines tolerated Carbamate with terminal heterocycles preferred Any length of linker between carbamate nitrogen and heterocycle tolerated



Figure 2-4. SAR summary for the zone 5 end groups.

After unsuccessful attempts to replace or modify the sulfur in zone 2, other proposed metabolically labile sites were taken into consideration as a way of mitigating potential stability liabilities. Especially important in zone 4 was to distinguish the current series from that of the originally reported **NMS-873**.⁶⁰ The electron rich phenol was modified with fluorine atoms, and, other electron withdrawing groups (EWG) to differentiate the phenol and to potentially modulate

metabolism.⁸⁷ Methyl group replacement with a fluorine atom (2-72 and 2-73) was well tolerated, in terms of binding, with equivalent IC_{50} for both the perdeuterated- (2-72) and cyclohex-2-ene- (2-73) containing analogs (Table 2-8, entry 2 versus 1). Since metabolism data indicating specific sites of oxidation were not available, predictions were based on literature reports.⁸⁸ Therefore, di-fluorinated analogs were prepared which aimed to maintain the beneficial 3-fluoro group, as well as block the potentially hydroxylated ortho-position of the phenol which is a known metabolite. These included the 2,3- and 2,5-difluoro analogs (Table 2-8, entries 4 and 5). The 2,5-difluoro analogs with the perdeuterated- (2-74) and the cyclohex-2-ene-cores (2-75) both showed 2-fold improvement in biochemical activity compared to 2-72 and 2-73. The 2,3difluoro analog (2-75) also maintained the biochemical activity of 2-39 and 2-73 (Table 2-8, entry 5 versus 1 and 2). Additional di-fluorination patterns were also explored to determine the optimal pattern (Table 2-9). The di-fluorinated analogs in conjunction with the two monofluorinated analogs demonstrate a preference for fluorination in the *meta*-position of the phenol ring. This observation is based on the at least 1.5-fold lower activity is observed if no metasubstitution is present.

These observations were explored further by replacing various fluorines with other substituents. Compound **2-77**, where a methoxy was added to the 2-position to give a 5-fluoro-2-methoxy substitution pattern, was moderately tolerated in the biochemical assay. The larger methoxy group could block the metabolic liabilities of the *ortho*-positions as well as ether cleavage of the phenol due to its steric bulk, however a slight preference for smaller, electron withdrawing 2-substituents appears to exist for optimal binding. To assess the requirements of the 3-position, two larger and more electron withdrawing analogs were prepared: 3-cyano (**2-78**) and 3-trifluoromethyl. The 3-cyano analog showed a 3-fold loss in biochemical activity relative

to **2-39** and nearly 5-fold relative to **2-73**. While the 3-methyl (**2-67**) and 3-fluoro (**2-79**) analogs with the ethyl *N*-methylpiperazine carbamate side chains showed similar potencies in the biochemical assay, replacement of the 3-substituent with a trifluoromethyl group, as in **2-80**, resulted in a 2-fold loss in potency in the biochemical assay. This suggests that for potent binding the electronics of the phenol ring are not the most important factor, given that both donating and withdrawing groups were tolerated.



Figure 2-5. Numbering scheme for the substituted phenol side chain relative to the 3-methyl phenols 2-38 and 2-39.

		*-0/1/N/S, N-N R		
Entry	Compound	*	R	IC50 (µM) ^a
1	2-38	HO	\bigwedge_{d_9}	0.048 ⁵⁸
	2-39		\sim	0.037 ⁵⁸
2	2-72	HO	\bigwedge_{d_9}	0.030 ⁵⁸
	2-73	F	\sim	0.026 ⁵⁸
4	2-74	HO	\bigwedge_{d_9}	0.021 ⁵⁸
	2-75	F	\sim	0.020^{58}
5	2-76	HO	\sim	0.040 ⁵⁸
8	2-77	HO F	\sim	0.040 ⁵⁸
9	2-78	HONC	\sim	0.10^{58}
10	2-79		\sim	0.020 ⁵⁸
11	2-80		\sim	0.043 ⁵⁸

Table 2-8. Alternatively, substituted zone 4 phenols and associated p97 inhibitory activity.

^a BIOMOL® Green biochemical assay at 200 µM ATP.⁵⁰

The optimal di-fluorination pattern was first evaluated with compounds containing the previously preferred *N*-methylpiperidine carbamate (Table 2-9). Further evaluation of *N*-methylpiperidine carbamate analogs began with the mono-fluorinated **2-82**. With the perdeuterated thioether in place, it showed equipotent inhibition in the biochemical assay as compared with **2-81**. Given that the difluoro analogs were shown to be efficacious with the propargyl alcohol side chain, their *N*-methylpiperidine carbamate counterparts were also synthesized in all di-fluorination combinations (Table 2-9, entries 4-7). Both the perdeuterated and cyclohex-2-ene thioether analogs were prepared with the 2,5-difluoro pattern (**2-83** and **2-84**, respectively), and the 2,3-difluoro (**2-85**) and 3,5-difluoro (**2-86**) were synthesized with the cyclohex-2-ene thioether. The 2,5-, 2,3-, and 3,5- analogs all showed < 20 nM activity in the biochemical assay (Table 2-9, entries 4 and 6). The 17 nM IC₅₀ value of **2-85** was very nice to see given that **2-76** was the least active of the tested di-fluorination patterns for the propargyl alcohol side chain.

The substitution with non-fluorine groups was readdressed on the *N*-methylpiperidine carbamate system as well to see if the same preference for fluorination carried over with the more potent zone 5 group (Table 2-9, entries 8 and 9). The 5-fluoro-2-methoxy analog (**2-87**) nicely retained biochemical potency, indicating that the binding pocket around the phenol is spacious enough to accommodate larger groups in the 2-position. Further supporting this hypothesis is that the 3-cyano analog (**2-88**), though showing slightly weaker biochemical potency (30 nM), was able to maintain activity within 2-fold relative to **2-66** and **2-81**. This supports the observation that an electron deficient phenol ring is well tolerated, but larger and more electron withdrawing the groups result in a slightly diminished activity (Hammett constant, σ_{meta} , = 0.56 versus 0.34 for CN and F, respectively).⁸⁹ There does not appear to be a strong

correlation between the IC_{50} of these substituted phenol analogs and their cLogD, which is the same for all difluoro analogs, or their PSA, which shows only very subtle changes for each substitution pattern but cannot account for the SAR.

Table 2-9. Substituted zone 4 phenols (see Figure 2-5 for numbering scheme) with preferred N-methylpiperidine carbamate and associated p97 inhibitory activity.^{iv}

			\mathbb{R}^2	N J
				-S, R ¹
Entry	Compound	\mathbb{R}^2	R ¹	IC50 (µM) ^a
1	2-81	2.14		0.016 ⁵⁸
	2-66	3-Me	\sim	0.025^{58}
2	2-82	3-F	K K K K K K K K K K K K K K K K K K K	0.016 ⁵⁸
4	2-83	25 E		0.010 ⁵⁸
	2-84	2, 5 -F	\sim	0.012 ⁵⁸
5	2-85	2,3-F	\sim	0.017 ⁵⁸
6	2-86	3,5-F	\sim	0.01258
8	2-87	5-F, 2-OMe	\sim	0.019 ⁵⁸
9	2-88	3-CN	\sim	0.030 ⁵⁸

 $[^]a$ BIOMOL® Green biochemical assay at 200 μM ATP. 50

^{iv} **2-81** synthesized by Kaylan Kerrigan.

While exploring modification of the phenol ring, it was found that the 3-methyl group could readily be replaced which was important to distinguish from **NMS-873** (Figure 2-6). Replacement with groups that reduce the electron density of the ring and potentially impact its metabolism, such as the smaller fluorine atom was well tolerated. However, slightly larger groups such as the trifluoromethyl group or cyano were not quite as well tolerated. Further incorporation of an additional fluorine onto the ring was preferred over the mono-fluoro analog. While exploring all variations of the bis-fluorination pattern, it was shown that *meta* substitution is preferred for binding.



Figure 2-6. SAR summary for zone 4 substituents.

The propargyl methylene in zone 5 was also viewed as a further location to introduce substituents that could be used to distinguish the scaffold further from **NMS-873** as well as introduce groups that could affect metabolism. Alkylation was primarily used in these modifications; however, an isotope switch to the deuterated propargyl system was also made to take advantage of the kinetic isotope effect to reduce metabolism without significantly changing

the binding of the compound (Table 2-10). First the methylated analog (2-97) was prepared but this incorporation lead to a nearly 2-fold loss in the biochemical activity relative to 2-38. However, given that a large number of additional propargyl groups were tested previously with varying degrees of success, it was clear that a selection of the previous moieties should be prepared (Table 2-10, entries 3-5). The methyl ether (2-98), morpholine (2-99), and ethyl Nisopropylpiperazine carbamate (2-100) were all tested and showed decreased potency of at least 2.5-fold and up to 4-fold, relative to 2-97, in the biochemical assay. These IC_{50} values represent a more significant loss of activity versus their CH_2 -containing counterparts (2-50, 2-55, and 2-52), indicating that the additional substituent likely does not fit into the binding pocket as well as the unsubstituted analogs. This reinforces the hypothesis that the alkyl portion of the side chain binds in a narrow hydrophobic channel which cannot accommodate larger substituents at the methylene position. This is further supported by the fact that all methylated analogs (Table 2-10, entries 2-5) showed a significant loss (2- to 7-fold) in the biochemical assay alone. To further assess the tolerance of the binding pocket for methylene substitution, analogs with additional substituents were prepared (Table 2-10, entries 6 and 7). The trifluoromethyl analog (2-101) showed a similar biochemical activity to 2-100 but a 7-fold loss of activity relative to 2-53. Both the methylated and trifluoromethylated analogs were synthesized as racemic mixtures, and therefore it is unknown whether one of the enantiomers would bind better than the other. However, given that the addition of the previously good ethyl *N*-isopropylpiperazine carbamate did not significantly improve the biochemical potency of the two analogs and move their IC_{50} values closer to their unsubstituted counterparts (34 nM for 2-52, and 19 nM for 2-53), it stands to reason that resolution of the enantiomers would not lead to a single super-potent and a single inactive analog. To be thorough, the bulkier gem-dimethyl analog (2-102) was also prepared to

further support the claim that steric bulk is not well tolerated at the propargyl position. In principle, the steric bulk would mask the adjacent alcohol and physically block oxidation of the methylene group which could be advantageous for stability purposes as well.⁹⁰ However, the *gem*-dimethyl group resulted in a decrease of the IC₅₀ by a factor of 2.5 relative to **2-39**. Finally, deuterium were incorporated which could further differentiate the side chain from that of **NMS-873** and replace the potentially labile hydrogens with this more stable isotope.⁹¹⁻⁹³ This CD₂containing analog (**2-96**) maintained the activity of **2-72** in the biochemical assay, which when this data is taken together with the biochemical activities of the alkyl substituted analogs further supports the hypothesis that the binding region where the methylene resides is narrow.

The synthesis of the deuterated propargyl analog was carried out as described in Scheme 2-9. First, methyl propiolate was reduced to the alcohol using lithium aluminum deuteride (LiAlD₄) in diethyl ether at -45 °C allowing it to warm to room temperature over 2 h and then stirring at room temperature overnight. Then the alcohol was protected as the tetrahydropyran (THP) ether giving the desired alkyne after Kugelrohr distillation at about 20 Torr and 80-90 °C. This protected alkyne and the protected 4-bromo-3-fluorophenol were then coupled in 31% yield to give the intact side chain. The two protecting groups were removed sequentially using NaOH to remove the *O*-Piv group and PPTS to remove the *O*-THP group, giving a 37% yield of the fully deprotected side chain (**2-93**) after two steps. After activation of the hydroxyl group with SOCl₂, the chloride was displaced with **2-93** to give **2-96** in 97% yield over two steps.



Scheme 2-9. Synthesis of the deuterated propargyl analog 2-96.63

$\mathbb{R}^{2} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{1}$						
Entry	Compound	*	R ²	R ¹	IC ₅₀ (µM) ^a	
1	2-38		Me		0.048 ⁵⁸	
	2-39	no /	Me	\sim	0.037 ⁵⁸	
2	2-97	но	Me	\bigwedge_{d_9}	0.06858	
3	2-98	\sim	Me	\bigwedge_{d_9}	0.16 ⁵⁸	
4	2-99		Me	\bigwedge_{d_9}	0.17 ⁵⁸	
5	2-100		Me		0.1358	
6	2-101		Me	\sim	0.11 ⁵⁸	
7	2-102	но	Me	\sim	0.096 ⁵⁸	
8	2-96	но	F		0.030 ⁵⁸	

Table 2-10. Substitution of the propargyl methylene in zone 5 and associated p97 inhibitory activity.

 a BIOMOL® Green biochemical assay at 200 μM ATP. 50

While trying to modify the unsubstituted propargyl position, it was determined that significant alteration was not well tolerated biochemically (Figure 2-7). Even with the incorporation of end groups that typically benefited potency, the small methyl substituents were not tolerated. However, switching the hydrogens at this position with their metabolically more stable isotopes, deuterium, was tolerated.



Figure 2-7. SAR summary for substitution at the propargyl position in zone 5.

The final zone for the **NMS-873** chemotype that remained unexplored was zone 3. In the original report no heterocycles other than the 3-mercapto-1,2,4-triazole were prepared. This region could therefore be a key means by which to distinguish the currently described endeavor. In addition, having not explored additional heterocycles, it is unknown whether all three nitrogens of the triazole are required for binding. In order to explore this question, the 2mercapto-1*H*-imidazole core was evaluated as an alternative to the triazole. The removal of N-1 from the 3-mercapto-1,2,4-triazole core proved to be well tolerated biochemically (Table 2-11). Even with the unoptimized propargyl alcohol side chain (2-103), the biochemical activity was 37 nM. However, when carbamates were introduced the activity improved relative to 2-103. Two new extended carbamates were prepared (ethyl morpholine: 2-105 and 2-methoxyethane: 2-106) along with the 4-pyran (2-107) and N-methylpiperidine (2-108) carbamates (Table 2-11, entries 3-6). These carbamates were utilized to test whether the SAR for the triazole core extended to the imidazole core. Basic nitrogen containing heterocycles resulted in better activity, and the much larger carbamates were not necessary for potent activity. All biochemical assays for the three oxygen-containing carbamates gave IC₅₀ values in the 30-40 nM range. Analyzing the efficacies for all carbamate analogs in the imidazole series indicates that the trend of a basic nitrogen-containing heterocycle being preferred for both core series. In addition, comparing the

activities of **2-104** and **2-108** shows that, again the trend of not requiring the larger carbamate for potent activity carries over between the two core types. **2-104** was found to inhibit p97 at 26 nM while **2-108** was significantly more potent in the biochemical assay (14 nM). This data suggests that the binding mode for the triazole and imidazole series' is likely the same given that some of the SAR trends observed for the triazoles were mirrored in the imidazoles. In addition, the activity of **2-108** is comparable to **2-66** (IC₅₀ = 14 nM versus IC₅₀ = 25 nM, respectively) indicating that the 2*N* of the 1,2,4-triazole, the nitrogen next to the side chain is not necessary for potent activity.



Table 2-11. Zone 3 imidazole replacement of triazole analogs and associated p97 inhibitory activity.^v

The SAR for the sample of imidazole analogs described follows that of the triazole compounds (Figure 2-8). Carbamate analogs were preferred over the unsubstituted propargyl alcohol and carbamates with nitrogen-containing heterocycles were preferred over other carbamates. Again, any length of the linker connecting the carbamate and the terminal heterocycle was tolerated, but shorter lengths were slightly preferred. Given the overall

^a BIOMOL® Green biochemical assay at 200 µM ATP.⁵⁰

v 2-104 and 2-108 synthesized by Dr. Marina Kovaliov

similarities in the biochemical activities for the imidazole and triazole series, it was shown that the *N*-1 of the triazole was not necessary for binding.



Figure 2-8. SAR summary for imidazole analogs.

Since only limited modification was tolerated in zone 2 there was no need for an extensive additional optimization effort. Therefore, the key preferred fragments necessary for potent inhibition are outlined in Figure 2-9. Five compounds were identified as the most potent overall over the course of this SAR development (Figure 2-10). The activity difference between these analogs is very small, which is not surprising given the similarity between these structures. Three contain the same triazole core (zones 1-3), one has the perdeuterated zone 1 cyclopentane, and only one has the imidazole in zone 3. In zone 4, the only difference is the di-fluorination pattern (2,5- or 3,5-difluoro) or 3-methyl phenol and within zone 5 only nitrogen heterocycle containing carbamates resulted in this high level of activity.



B

А



Figure 2-9. The structure of NMS-873 with the preferred fragments identified at each zone from the SAR campaign.

(A) Structure of **NMS-873** showing the 5 zones of structural modification. (B) The preferred fragments for each zone. The preferred propargyl carbamates in zone 5 (orange); the preferred di-fluorinated phenols in zone 4 (blue); the triazole and 2-mercapto-1*H*-imidazole in zone 3 (pink); and the preferred cycles in zone 1 (purple).







Figure 2-10. The five most potent analogs.

2.3.2 Discussion

Similar to the previously reported chemotypes (amide indole and phenyl indole), the SAR for the triazole series was fairly narrow (Figure 2-14). The core region of **NMS-873** (zones 1-3) was less tolerant to modification while the side chain (zones 4 and 5) showed flexibility in the functionality that could be accommodated. The successful replacement of the phenyl ring with the alkyne moiety indicates a region of the binding pocket that is likely narrow. This is supported

by the 5-fold loss of biochemical activity of the analogs with additional propargyl substituents; only the relatively small methylated analog (2-97) showed a small loss of activity (2-fold) and the deuterated analog (2-96) retained activity. In addition, the rigid conformation of the alkyne and its attached groups lead to a structure which has a limited range of conformations that it can adopt. This can allow for smaller entropic penalties for binding which can also help to explain the improved potency of the phenyl alkyne versus the biphenyl series.

The observed SAR for zone 5 paralleled that described in the literature for the side chain of the phenyl indole series where the terminal portion of zone 5 (beyond the propargyl methylene) was very tolerant to many groups in the biochemical assay. Nearly all terminal functional groups tested showed IC₅₀ values between 50 and 10 nM. All groups were linked through a heteroatom due to the successful incorporation of the propargyl alcohol or amine. With respect to the propargyl heteroatom, there was no preference for the type of hydrogen bonding ability given that both the HBD/HBA **2-52** and the HBA **2-57** had very similar activities (IC₅₀ ~ 20-30 nM). Regarding the class of terminal groups preferred, the binding pocket showed tolerance for amine, carbamate, and amide groups indicating that this portion of the molecule is not tightly bound and might be in a solvent exposed region of the binding site. Generally, groups that contained additional heteroatoms for participating hydrogen bonding interactions were favored which would support the hypothesis that these groups are solvent exposed or in a hydrophilic region.

The SAR at zone 4 shows a clear preference for *meta*-substitution relative to the phenol alcohol in the biochemical assay. *Ortho*-substitution is tolerated, but preferrably when combined with *meta*-substitution (**2-84**, **2-85**, and **2-86**). Given the similar sizes of hydrogen and fluorine (van der Waals radii = 1.2 and 1.47 Å, respectively) it can be assumed that the differences in

biochemical activity can be attributed to electronic features (Figure 2-11).⁹⁴ Analysis of the electrostatic potential maps for all zone 4 variations reveals several features that could contribute to potency. Groups larger than a methyl group at the 3-position lead to a slight decrease in potency; trifluoromethyl and cyano are both larger than the methyl (trifluoromethyl is overall bulkier and cyano extends further into the pocket) and their analogs were approximately 2- to 3-fold less potent than the comparable 3-methyl analogs. Groups only containing the smaller fluoro either retained or increased activity. The alkyne might participate in a π - π stacking interaction, and the lack of electron density in the case of a *ortho-ortho* (2,6-difluoro) substitution pattern could disrupt that interaction, resulting in decreased biochemical activity. This is further supported by the similar potencies of the 3-fluoro, 2,3-difluoro, 2-fluoro-5-methoxy, 2,5-difluoro, and 3,5-difluoro analogs which show very similar biochemical potencies as well as similar alkyne electron densities. In addition, there is an apparent preference for compounds with a relatively uniform phenyl π -system that is neither overly electron rich or electron poor (consistent green coloration in the electrostatic potential maps below).



Figure 2-11. Electrostatic potential maps for the substituted phenylacetylenes in zone 4.95

(A) The structure used for the calculation. (B) Calculated with PM3 parametrization in water. The color coding (scale on the left) reflects the electrostatic potential experienced by a positive probe charge (red = attractive, blue = repulsive). Generated using Spartan Student (7.2.6).⁹⁶ Organized from least potent (beginning with the 3-trifluoromethyl, 2,6-difluoro and 2-fluoro calculated as *ortho*-substituted references) to most potent (left to right and top to bottom)

The core of the **NMS-873** scaffold (zones 1-3) showed a much lower tolerance for modification. In zone 3, a very similar imidazole core derivative which maintained the vectors of the original **NMS-873** was prepared (Figure 2-12); the 2-mercapto-1*H*-imidazole showed an improvement in biochemical activity in the case of the best carbamate zone 5 group, but overall it was equipotent in biochemical potency. While its biochemical activity was encouraging and showed that the *N*-1 was not required for binding. Sequential removal of the remaining two nitrogens is still desired to further probe the necessity of each. The IC₅₀ values for **2-103** versus **2-39**, for instance (as well as for the other three analogs that were synthesized with the triazole and imidazole cores) suggests that there is either hydrogen bonding occurring with the *N*-2 or *N*-4 nitrogens that are not being affected by the removal of the *N*-1 or that there is π -stacking occurring that is not affected by the change in electronics when *N*-1 is removed.



Figure 2-12. Relative activities of the tested NMS-873 chemotype cores.

The SAR of zone 2 was also very tight. In the biochemical assay, only the replacement of the sulfur with oxygen retained the same potency. Both the sulfur and the oxygen can participate in hydrogen bonding which could explain that moderate tolerance for both. Sulfur is not a traditional hydrogen bonding atom, and when it is considered, it is thought of as a weak hydrogen bond acceptor.^{97, 98} However, thioethers have been shown to be stronger HBA in the case of acyclic thioethers relative to acyclic ethers when the donor is an alcohol and shown to be

equally strong HBA when the donor is an amine.^{97, 98} This supports the observation that both the sulfur and oxygen containing analogs are potent. The sulfone analog, however, showed the weakest activity likely due to its significantly increased size. In addition, the sulfone C-S-C bond angle has been predicted or shown to be from 98.5° to 103° while the thioether C-S-C bond angle was shown to be 105° .^{99, 100} The reduced size of the angle in the case of the sulfone may be enough of a change to cause an unfavorable interaction or steric clash in the zone 1 binding region, especially given that the SAR at zone 1 indicates that the size of the pocket is relatively small. In addition to the thioether and ether linkages, carbon- and nitrogen-replacements of the sulfur were made either by other UPCDC members or by Polucci et al. Simply comparing the zone 2 modifications presented in the literature with an unsubstituted phenyl ring in zone 4 and cyclopentyl ring in zone 1, the thioether (IC₅₀ = $0.72 \,\mu$ M) was at least twice as potent as the ether $(IC_{50} = 2.25 \ \mu M)$, methylene $(IC_{50} = 1.97 \ \mu M)$, or secondary amine $(IC_{50} = 2.85 \ \mu M)$.⁶⁰ Though the amine derivative was not prepared with one of the improved zone 5 modifications, the carbon equivalent of 2-52 without deuteration of the cyclopental was reported to have an $IC_{50} = 0.12 \,\mu M$ while 2-52 has an IC₅₀ = 0.037μ M indicating that even with the improvements that were made in other areas of the molecule, the thioether was best zone 2 group based on the balance of activity and ease of preparation.⁶³

As indicated above by the preference for the thioether, sulfur can hold a special place in medicinal chemistry. The bond angles of C-S-C in thioethers have been shown to be smaller than that of C-C-C in methylenes and of C-O-C in ethers.¹⁰¹ In addition, the van der Waals radius of sulfur (R = 1.80-1.85 Å) is larger than that of either oxygen (R = 1.40-1.58 Å) or carbon (R = 1.68-1.77 Å) indicating that sulfur uniquely fills the space of that region of the binding pocket.¹⁰² Further emphasizing its importance, sulfur and its related compounds have also been used

throughout history as treatments for various maladies since before it was known that sulfur was an element.^{103, 104} As a result, when medicinal chemistry efforts have been employed for the development of new drugs, sulfur is one of the most common elements used. A 2014 study found that of the top 200 brand name drugs of 2011 (by either sale or prescription), sulfur was found in 22-25% percent of non-biological drugs.¹⁰³ Sulfur has been found in many forms in these drugs including in heterocycles, such as thiophenes; thiazoles; and β -lactams, and acyclic forms, such as sulfonamides, sulfones, and thioethers.^{103, 105} Thioethers such as those studied in this triazole series comprise about 9% of the sulfur compounds currently found in sulfur containing drugs. A few of these thioether containing drugs include Singulair® and Brilinta®.^{103, 105} Thioether containing compounds, such as pesticides, have been shown to have metabolic liability though, with oxidation to the sulfoxides and sulfones in human liver microsomes by CYP450's and FMO.⁶⁶

Though many modifications were made within zone 1, only a few showed submicromolar IC_{50} values and within that, three were of interest, including the original cyclopentyl group. These three were structurally closely related given that all were carbocyclic groups with ring sizes of 5- or 6-carbons, and two were saturated and one was unsaturated. Given these minor differences and very specific requirements for activity, this indicates that the site where the zone 1 group resides is hydrophobic in nature and small in size. However, polarizability also appears to play an important role in binding. This is best shown in the case of the alkene containing cyclohex-2-ene versus the cyclohexane.¹⁰⁶ Though the cyclohexane analog was synthesized outside the scope of this thesis, to understand the importance of the alkene for potency, analogs differing only in the alkene showed a 2-fold difference in biochemical potency favoring the cyclohex-2-ene over the cyclohexane. Another possible benefit to the introduction of the double

bond in the cyclohex-2-ene analogs is the potential for π - π stacking between aromatic residues and the π system of the cyclohex-2-ene.¹⁰⁷ A π - π interaction within the binding site could explain why the 2-fluorocyclohex-2-ene analog resulted in a nearly 14-fold loss of activity in the biochemical assay.⁶³ Looking beyond the biochemical assay, the inclusion of a double bond can be beneficial due to the possibility of a reduction in lipophilicity and a reduction in entropy due to the limited number of conformations that can be adopted, both of these can subtly change the solubility of the molecule and affect the permeability as well.⁷⁴

Deuteration within zone 1 was also well tolerated. Deuterium has been known to exist since the 1930's and has been incorporated into small molecules for decades primarily to study reaction mechanisms.¹⁰⁸ The use of deuterium in pharmaceuticals, however, dates back to the 1970's where its inclusion was said to improve PK properties and reduce toxicity.¹⁰⁹ The principle in which this proton to deuterium switch is made is based on the kinetic isotope effect (KIE). The KIE of deuterium is a mathematical calculation (DKIE = $k_{\rm H}/k_{\rm D}$) based on the theoretical rates of cleavage of the carbon-hydrogen and carbon-deuterium bond. This principle is based on the fact that the atomic mass of deuterium is higher than that of a hydrogen, and as a result, the vibrational energies calculated for carbon-deuterium bonds are lower than that for carbon-hydrogen bonds, and therefore, the zero-point energy (or lowest energy state) for carbondeuterium bonds is lower than that for corresponding carbon-hydrogen bonds.¹⁰⁸ Consequently, carbon-deuterium bonds are theoretically harder to cleave due to higher activation energy and a slower reaction rate.¹⁰⁸ In the case of metabolism of small molecules, this principle is not always the most important driver when a hydrogen-deuterium switch is made to try to improve stability, and this is because in the complex system of metabolism of drug molecules by CYP450s, the resulting rate limiting step might not be at the site of this switch.¹¹⁰ Despite these challenges in predicting useful sites of deuterium incorporation, it has been a strategy that has been employed successfully in a number of clinical candidates and resulted in the first deuterated FDA approved drug entering the market. Deutetrabenazine or Austedo® was found by Teva Pharmaceuticals Industries Ltd. as a deuterated derivative of an older drug, tetrabenazine, in which the methoxy groups on the phenyl ring were replaced with trideuteromethoxy groups (Figure 2-13) as a treatment for the involuntary movement disorder chorea typically associated with Huntington's disease and tardive dyskinesia.¹¹¹ Deuteration in the case of deutetrabenazine was shown to successfully reduce the rate of metabolism and increase half-life, as well as reduce the C_{max} of its active metabolites allowing for a more favorable, lower dosing regimen in humans relative to tetrabenazine.¹¹² Based on the recent successful movement of a number of deuterated small molecules into the clinic and Austedo® into the market, it is likely that incorporation of deuterium is a trend that will likely continue in the field of medicinal chemistry.



Tetrabenazine



Deutetrabenazine (Austedo[®])

Figure 2-13. Structures of tetrabenazine and Austedo®.¹¹¹



Figure 2-14. SAR summary for triazole derivatives of NMS-873.

Still necessary for the full understanding of the SAR of the triazole series is the determination of the binding mode within p97. Though Magnaghi et al. showed through photo cross-linking studies that very early analogs of the triazole bound in a tunnel between two adjacent D1 domains and a D2 domain of p97 (Figure 2-15), further studies need to be carried out on advanced analogs to verify that the newer and more complex compounds bind to the same region. Given that a large number of modifications were made to the tail region (zones 4 and 5) it stands to reason that these modifications that resulted in increased potency could direct the molecule into a new region of the binding pocket and potentially a new pocket altogether. Therefore, further efforts toward confirming this initial result need to be carried out to resolve the binding site of this series.



Figure 2-15. Location of the triazole binding site proposed by Magnaghi et al. ⁶² Location of alkylsulfanyl-1,2,4-triazole binding site in a 3D representation of the p97 hexamer as determined by cross-linked amino acid location. N domains (purple), D1 domains (green), D1/D2 linkers (orange), D2 domains (pink).⁶²

This figure was reproduced with permission from Nature Chemical Boiology, article doi: 10.1038/nchembio.1313

Overall, an extensive SAR effort was conducted to improve the biochemical activity of the **NMS-873** scaffold with consideration made for changes that would impact its physiochemical properties as well. Thanks to the development of a new synthetic route which allowed for incorporation of a wider range of moieties, a large library of analogs which explored nearly all regions of the original scaffold were prepared. While trying to distinguish the current triazole series from that published by Nerviano and Genentech, fragments previously beneficial in the phenyl indole SAR campaign were utilized to improve the activity of this triazole series. In addition, during further attempts to differentiate the phenol region and potentially remove metabolically labile sites, the preferred di-fluorophenols in zone 4 were serendipitously found to significantly improve activity. After the second stage optimization effort, five compounds were identified that showed significant and the best overall improvement in the biochemical potency relative to **NMS-873** (3- to 4-fold).
3.0 EXPERIMENTALS

3.1 GENERAL EXPERIMENTAL

Reactions were carried out under nitrogen or argon unless stated otherwise. Glassware was dried in an oven at 130 °C for at least 30 minutes followed by flame drying prior to use. THF was distilled over sodium/benzophenone ketyl or purified using an alumina column filtration system, diethyl ether was distilled over sodium/benzophenone ketyl, CH₂Cl₂ was distilled over calcium hydride or purified using an alumina column filtration system, methanol, ethanol, t-butanol, and 1.4-dioxane were dried 4Å molecular sieves, DMF was dried using a neutral alumina column, ethyl acetate was dried by filtering through a SiO₂ column. Reagent grade Et₃N, DiPEA, acetone, DMAc, and MeCN were used without treatment. HPLC grade water was used without treatment. Solvents were used without degassing unless otherwise stated. Solvents and solutions that were deoxygenated were sparged with nitrogen or argon for at least 30 minutes before being transferred into the reaction unless stated otherwise. Reactions carried out at 0 °C or -5 °C used an ice bath, reactions at -78 °C used an acetone/dry ice bath, and reactions at -20 °C used a brine/ice bath. Microwave reactions were performed in glass microwave vials (sealed cap) with continuous magnetic stirring and an external surface temperature sensor in a Biotage Initiator microwave reactor. Reactions were monitored by TLC (on pre-coated silica gel 60 F₂₅₄ plates, 250 µm layer thickness) and were visualized by 254 nm UV light followed by staining with a

KMnO₄ solution (1.5 g of KMnO₄ and 1.5 g of K₂CO₃ in 100 mL of a 0.1% NaOH solution) or PMA solution (10 g of phosphomolybdic acid in 100 mL of absolute ethanol) unless otherwise stated. Reactions were used without purification unless stated otherwise. Reactions that were purified via chromatography were carried out on SiO₂ (SiliaFlash® F60, Silicycle) or using and ISCO-Rf chromatography system on prepacked SiO₂ columns (RediSep® Rf, 40-60 μ m, Teledyne ISCO) or on reusable columns packed with SiO₂ (SiliaFlash® F60, Silicycle).

Melting points (uncorrected) were determined using a Mel-Temp instrument. Infrared spectra (IR) were collected on a Perkin Elmer ATR IR.¹H NMR spectrum were obtained at 300, 400, 500, or 600 MHz on a Bruker Avance III 300MHz, 400MHz, 500MHz, or 600MHz, respectively, instrument using CDCl₃ unless stated otherwise. All experiments were run at room temperature, approximately 296 K. Chemical shifts are reported in parts per million (ppm) using the residual solvent peak as an internal standard. ¹H spectral details reported as chemical shift, multiplicity (s= singlet, d= doublet, t= triplet, q= quartet, quintet, sept= septet, m= multiplet, dd= doublet of doublets, ddd= doublet of doublet of doublets, dt= doublet of triplets, ddt= doublet of doublet of triplets, dtd= doublet of triplet of doublets, dq= doublet of quartets, bs= broad singlet, app= apparent), coupling constant, number of protons. ¹³C NMR spectra were run at 100, 125, or 150 MHz and are reported as chemical shift, multiplicity, coupling constant where applicable. ¹⁹F NMR spectra were run at 376 or 471 MHz (uncalibrated) and are reported as chemical shift, multiplicity, coupling constant. High-resolution mass spectra (HRMS or LCMS) analyses were completed on a Thermo Scientific Exactive Orbitrap mass spectrometer using electrospray (ESI) ionization with purities of final compounds were determined using an Agilent Technologies 385-ELSD using MeCN/H₂O with 0.1% formic acid; purities of final products were >95%.



Scheme 3-1. Synthesis of amide indole analogs.

3.2



6-Amino-*N***-(2-methyl-1***H***-indol-5-yl)-[3,4'-bipyridine]-5-carboxamide (3-2).⁵⁴** To a solution of 2-amino-5-bromo-N-(2-methyl-1*H*-indol-5-yl)nicotinamide (0.10 g, 0.29 mmol) in deoxygenated DMF (2.7 mL) was added Pd(PPh₃)₂Cl₂ (0.01 g, 0.01 mmol) and pyridine-4-boronic acid (0.05 g, 0.43 mmol) followed by K₃PO₄ (0.12 g, 0.58 mmol) and deoxygenated water (0.68 mL). The reaction vial was sealed and heated in a Biotage Initiator microwave under argon at 120 °C for 1 h. The resulting mixture was diluted with EtOAc, washed with water, dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated with SiO₂. The product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, MeOH/CH₂Cl₂: 0/100 to 10/90). Product **3-2** was obtained as pale yellow solid (0.05 g, 53%): m.p. 185 °C (dec.); IR (neat) 3285, 3135, 1631, 1595, 1564, 1451, 1247, 1000, 796 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, acetone-*d*₆) δ 9.99 (bs, 1 H), 9.54 (s, 1 H), 8.59 (d, *J* = 4.8 Hz, 3 H), 8.50 (s, 1 H), 7.88 (s, 1 H), 7.70 (d, *J* = 5.5 Hz, 2 H), 7.37 – 7.22 (m, 2 H), 7.09 (bs, 1 H), 6.15 (s, 1 H), 2.42 (d, *J* = 4.1 Hz, 3 H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, acetone-*d*₆) δ 166.8, 160.5, 151.2,

150.6, 145.8, 137.3, 135.5, 134.9, 131.7, 130.1, 122.4, 120.9, 116.1, 116.0, 112.8, 111.9, 110.9, 100.7, 13.6; HRMS (ESI): *m/z* calculated for C₂₀H₁₈ON₅ (M+H) 344.1506, found 344.1504; ELS purity (100%).



4,4,5,5-Tetramethyl-2-(5-methylthiophen-2-yl)-1,3,2-dioxaborolane.¹¹³ To a solution of 2methylthiophene (0.25 mL, 2.50 mmol) in THF (12.5 mL) at -78 °C was added *n*-BuLi (2.22 mL, 2.99 mmol) dropwise. The reaction was then stirred at -78 °C for 1 h followed by 1 h at room temperature. The solution was cooled to -78 °C and 2-isopropoxy-4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane (1.14 mL, 5.49 mmol) was slowly added and then stirred for 1 h. The reaction was warmed to room temperature and stirred for 7 h. Water (7 mL) and diethyl ether (5 mL) were added and the organic layer was separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with diethyl ether (3x). The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The crude 4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-2-(5-methylthiophen-2-yl)-1,3,2-dioxaborolane was obtained as a pale-yellow oil (0.41 g, 73%) and carried on without further purification or characterization: ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.45 (d, *J* = 3.3 Hz, 1 H), 6.84 (m, 1 H), 2.53 (d, *J* = 0.6 Hz, 3 H), 1.33 (s, 12 H).

2-Amino-*N***-(2-methyl-1***H***-indol-5-yl)-5-(5-methylthiophen-2-yl)nicotinamide** (**3-3**).⁵⁴ To a solution of 2-amino-5-bromo-N-(2-methyl-1*H*-indol-5-yl)nicotinamide (0.10 g, 0.29 mmol) in deoxygenated DMF (2.7 mL) was added Pd(PPh₃)₂Cl₂ (6.0 mg, 0.01 mmol) and 4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-2-(5-methylthiophen-2-yl)-1,3,2-dioxaborolane (0.13 g, 0.58 mmol) followed by K₃PO₄ (0.12 g, 0.58 mmol) and deoxygenated water (0.68 mL). The reaction vial was sealed and

heated in a Biotage Initiator microwave under argon at 120 °C for 1 h. The resulting mixture was diluted with EtOAc, washed with water, dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated with SiO₂. The product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, MeOH/CH₂Cl₂: 0/100 to 10/90). Product **3-3** was obtained as a yellow solid (0.03 g, 27%): m.p. 202 °C (dec.); IR (neat) 3533, 3464, 3408, 3285, 3143, 1635, 1531, 1445, 1243, 917, 791 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, acetone- d_6) δ 9.97 (bs, 1 H), 9.53 (s, 1 H), 8.35 (d, J = 2.6 Hz, 1 H), 8.22 (d, J = 2.5 Hz, 1 H), 7.89 (s, 1 H), 7.33 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1 H), 7.26 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1 H), 7.13 (t, J = 3.0 Hz, 1 H), 6.88 (s, 2 H), 6.76 (s, 1 H), 6.15 (s, 1 H), 2.48 (s, 3 H), 2.42 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 3 H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, acetone- d_6) δ 166.0, 158.3, 148.0, 138.6, 138.1, 136.4, 134.0, 133.1, 130.9, 129.2, 126.2, 122.2, 119.7, 115.2, 111.9, 111.0, 110.0, 99.8, 14.3, 12.8; HRMS (ESI): *m*/*z* calculated for C₂₀H₁₉ON₄S (M+H) 363.1274, found 363.1278; ELS purity (100%).



2-Amino-5-(1-methyl-1*H***-indol-5-yl)-***N***-(2-methyl-1***H***-indol-5-yl)nicotinamide (3-4).** To a solution of 2-amino-5-bromo-N-(2-methyl-1*H*-indol-5-yl)nicotinamide (0.06 g, 0.17 mmol) in deoxygenated DMF (1.64 mL) was added Pd(PPh₃)₂Cl₂ (3.0 mg, 0.01 mmol) and *N*-methylindole-5-boronic acid (0.05 g, 0.26 mmol) followed by K_3PO_4 (0.07 g, 0.35 mmol) and deoxygenated water (0.41 mL). The reaction was microwaved under argon at 110 °C for 1 h. The resulting mixture was diluted with EtOAc, washed with water, dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated with SiO₂. The product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, EtOAc/Hexanes: 0/100 to 100/0) (2x). Product **3-4** was obtained as an off-white solid (0.01 g, 20%): m.p. 230°C (dec.); IR (neat) 3464, 3417, 3262, 3100, 1631, 1466, 1289, 1240, 1186, 858,

781, 725 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, acetone- d_6) δ 9.97 (bs, 1 H), 9.54 (s, 1 H), 8.46 (d, J = 2.3 Hz, 1 H), 8.33 (d, J = 2.2 Hz, 1 H), 7.92 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1 H), 7.82 (d, J = 1.4 Hz, 1 H), 7.48 (d, J = 1.3 Hz, 2 H), 7.35 (dd, J = 8.6, 2.1 Hz, 1 H), 7.26 (dd, J = 5.9, 2.8 Hz, 2 H), 6.76 (bs, 2 H), 6.48 (d, J = 3.0 Hz, 1 H), 6.15 (s, 1 H), 3.87 (s, 3 H), 2.42 (s, 3 H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, acetone- d_6) δ 167.3, 150.3, 137.2, 135.4, 134.8, 132.0, 130.8, 130.2, 130.1, 127.6, 121.0, 118.9, 116.1, 112.8, 110.9, 110.7, 101.7, 100.7, 33.0, 13.6; HRMS (ESI): m/z calculated for C₂₄H₂₂ON₅ (M+H) 396.1819, found 396.1817; ELS purity (100%).



Scheme 3-2. Synthesis of 2-methylquinoline indole replacement.



2-Amino-*N***-(2-methylquinolin-6-yl)nicotinamide** (**3-5**).⁵⁴ To a solution of 2-aminonicotinic acid (0.04 g, 0.31 mmol) in DMF (1.25 mL) was added DiPEA (0.21 mL, 1.23 mmol) and HATU (0.14 g, 0.37 mmol) and stirred for 40 min under argon. 5-Amino-2-methylquinoline (0.05 g, 0.31 mmol) was added and the reaction stirred at room temperature 16 h. The reaction was diluted with EtOAc, washed with H₂O and brine, dried (MgSO₄), and concentrated. The solid was washed with CH₂Cl₂ followed by purification by chromatography on SiO₂ (MeOH/CH₂Cl₂: 0/100 to 10/90) (2x) followed by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, MeOH/CH₂Cl₂: 0/100 to 5/95). The product was then precipitated from CH₂Cl₂ with cyclohexanes. The

solid was then triturated with distilled hexanes (5x). Product **3-5** was obtained as a pale yellow solid (0.02 g, 25%): m.p. 215-217 °C (dec.); IR (acetone) 3488, 3326, 3080, 2922, 1659, 1598, 1548, 1378, 1287, 1126, 822, 775 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, acetone- d_6) δ 9.73 (bs, 1 H), 8.44 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 1 H), 8.18 – 8.10 (m, 3 H), 7.96 (dd, J = 9.1, 2.4 Hz, 1 H), 7.91 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 1 H), 7.37 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1 H), 6.82 (bs, 3 H), 6.66 (dd, J = 7.7, 4.8 Hz, 1 H), 2.65 (s, 3 H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, acetone- d_6) δ 166.9, 159.4, 157.6, 152.0, 145.2, 136.5, 136.4, 135.6, 129.0, 126.8, 123.9, 122.3, 116.5, 111.7, 110.2, 24.3; HRMS (ESI): m/z calculated for C₁₆H₁₅ON₄ (M+H) 279.1239, found 279.1240; ELS purity (98.3%).

3.3 UNPUBLISHED PHENYL INDOLE EXPERIMENTALS



Scheme 3-3. Synthesis of oxygen linker replacement.



2-((1-(3-(1*H***-Indol-2-yl)phenyl)piperidin-4-yl)oxy)ethan-1-ol (3-7).¹¹⁴** To a solution of 8-(3-(1*H*-indol-2-yl)phenyl)-1,4-dioxa-8-azaspiro[4.5]decane (0.50 g, 1.50 mmol) in toluene (4.5 mL) at 0 °C was added DIBAL (4.34 mL, 4.34 mmol, 1M). The reaction was stirred at 80 °C 16 h. The reaction was quenched with brine (4.3 mL) and the aqueous was extracted with EtOAc (3x). The combined organic layers were dried (Na₂SO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The product was combined with a previous batch and purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, EtOAc/Hexanes: 40/60 to 60/40). Indole **3-7** was obtained impure as a pink film (0.298 g, 52% combined) and carried on without further purification or characterization: HRMS (ESI): m/z calculated for C₂₁H₂₅O₂N₂ (M+H) 337.1911, found 337.1909.

2-((1-(3-(1*H***-Indol-2-yl)phenyl)piperidin-4-yl)oxy)ethyl 4-methylbenzenesulfonate (3-8).¹¹⁵** To a solution of **3-2** (0.29 g, 0.85 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (8.8 mL) at 0 °C was added Et₃N (0.18 mL, 1.27 mmol) and DMAP (0.01 g, 0.08 mmol) followed by p-toluenesulfonyl chloride (0.16 g, 0.85 mmol). The reaction was warmed to room temperature and stirred 16 h. The reaction was quenched with ice water and organic layer was separated, washed with brine, dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, EtOAc/Hexanes: 0/100 to 40/60). Indole **3-8** was obtained impure as a pink goo (0.26 g, 61%) and carried on without further purification or characterization: HRMS (ESI): m/z calculated for C₂₈H₃₁O₄N₂S (M+H) 491.1999, found 491.2000.

2-(3-(4-(2-(4-Isopropylpiperazin-1-yl)ethoxy)piperidin-1-yl)phenyl)-1*H*-indole (3-9).¹¹⁶ To a solution of 3-3 (0.13 g, 0.25 mmol) in MeCN (0.4 mL) was added 1-isopropylpiperazine (75 µL, 0.51 mmol) and the reaction stirred at 80 °C for 6 h. The reaction was then cooled to room temperature and quenched with brine. The aqueous was extracted with MeCN (3x), dried (Na₂SO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, MeOH/CH₂Cl₂: 0/100 to 10/90). Product **3-9** was obtained as a light brown foam (0.019) g, 17%): IR (neat) 3245, 2925, 2823, 1601, 1454, 1219, 1175, 1120, 1010, 778, 726, 681 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 9.32 (s, 1 H), 7.80 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1 H), 7.59 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1 H), 7.43 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1 H), 7.33 – 7.23 (m, 1 H), 7.21 – 7.03 (m, 3 H), 6.85 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1 H), 6.78 (s, 1 H), 3.61 - 3.50 (m, 4 H), 3.44 (tt, J = 8.7, 4.1 Hz, 1 H), 2.97 (ddd, J = 12.7, 9.2, 3.2Hz, 3 H), 2.79 (s, 9 H), 2.63 (t, J = 5.5 Hz, 2 H), 1.97 (t, J = 6.6 Hz, 3 H), 1.70 (dtd, J = 13.0, 9.1, 3.6 Hz, 2 H), 1.12 (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 6 H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 151.8, 142.5, 140.0, 138.6, 136.9, 133.3, 129.6, 129.2, 128.9, 125.9, 122.0, 120.4, 119.9, 116.5, 115.8, 113.3, 111.3, 99.4, 65.0, 57.4, 56.3, 51.4, 47.8, 47.2, 21.4, 17.4; HRMS (ESI): m/z calculated for C₂₈H₃₉ON₄ (M+H) 447.3118, found 447.3116; ELS purity (98.8%).



Scheme 3-4. Synthesis of carbon linker replacement analogs.



1-(3-(1*H***-Indol-2-yl)phenyl)piperidin-4-one** (**3-10**)^{vi}. A solution of 8-(3-(1*H*-indol-2-yl)phenyl)-1,4-dioxa-8-azaspiro[4.5]decane (0.70 g, 2.09 mmol) in acetone (250 mL) and 3.6 N HCl (250 mL) was heated at reflux for 4 h. The reaction was then cooled to 0 $^{\circ}$ C, quenched with

vi Full characterization by Raffaele Colombo

K₂CO₃ (to pH 7), and extracted with EtOAc (3x). The combined organic layers were combined, concentrated, washed with brine, dried (Na₂SO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (EtOAc/Hexanes: 25/75). Indole **3-10** was obtained as a pale pink solid (0.45 g, 77%) and carried on without further characterization: ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.35 (bs, 1 H), 7.64 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1 H), 7.38 (ABq, $\Delta\delta_{AB}$ = 0.05, *J*_{AB} = 7.7 Hz, 2 H), 7.28-7.27 (m, 1 H), 7.23-7.10 (m, 3 H), 6.95 (dd, *J* = 8.1, 2.1 Hz, 1 H), 6.82 (d, *J* = 1.5 Hz, 1 H), 3.69 (t, *J* = 6 H, 4 H), 2.61 (t, *J* = 6.2 Hz, 4 H); HRMS (ESI): *m/z* calculated for C₁₉H₁₉ON₂ (M+H) 291.1492, found 291.1489.

Methyl 2-(1-(3-(1*H***-indol-2-yl)phenyl)piperidin-4-ylidene)acetate (3-11)^{vii}.** To a suspension of NaH (0.09 g, 2.30 mmol) in dry THF (5 mL) at 0 °C was added dropwise a solution of methyl diethylphosphonoacetate (0.44 mL, 2.30 mmol) in dry THF (5 mL) and the mixture was stirred for 1 h. A solution of **3-10** (0.45 g, 1.53 mmol) in dry THF (3 mL) was added dropwise at 0 °C. The reaction was warmed to room temperature and stirred for 3 h. The reaction was quenched with brine (50 mL), extracted with EtOAc (4x), washed with brine, dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The crude product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, EtOAc/Hexanes: 0/100 to 30/70). Indole **3-11** was obtained as a light tan foam (0.36 g, 67%) and carried on without further characterization: ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.33 (bs, 1 H), 7.64 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1 H), 7.41 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1 H), 7.34 (t, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1 H), 7.23-7.10 (m, 4 H), 6.92 (dd, *J* = 8.2, 2.2 Hz, 1 H), 6.81 (d, *J* = 1.2 Hz, 1 H), 5.76 (s, 1 H), 3.72 (s, 3 H), 3.42 (p, *J* = 5.6 Hz, 4 H), 3.15 (t, *J* = 5.6 Hz, 2 H), 2.49 (t, *J* = 5.4 Hz, 2 H); HRMS (ESI): *m*/z calculated for C₂₂H₂₃O₂N₂ (M+H) 347.1754, found 347.1753.

vii Full characterization by Zhizhou Yue

Lithium 2-(1-(3-(1H-indol-2-yl)phenyl)piperidin-4-ylidene)acetate (3-12)^{viii}. To a mixture of **3-11** (0.35 g, 1.01 mmol) in THF (9.8 mL) and H₂O (4.9 mL) was added LiOH monohydrate (0.05 g, 2.02 mmol) in one portion. This yellow mixture was then stirred at room temperature 16 h. Additional LiOH monohydrate (0.012 g, 0.50 mmol) was added and the reaction was stirred at 35 °C 16 h. The reaction was concentrated to give **3-12** as a pale-yellow solid (0.35 g, + LiOH) and carried on without further characterization: HRMS (ESI): m/z calculated for C₂₁H₂₁O₂N₂ (M+H) 333.1598, found 333.1596.

2-(1-(3-(1H-Indol-2-yl)phenyl)piperidin-4-ylidene)-1-(4-isopropylpiperazin-1-yl)ethan-1-

one (3-13)^{ix}. To a solution of 3-12 (0.15 g, 0.44 mmol) in dry DMF (2.2 mL) was added *N*isopropylpiperazine (65 µL, 0.49 mmol) followed by EDC (0.10 g, 0.53 mmol), HOBt (0.07 g, 0.53 mmol), and DiPEA (0.15 mL, 0.88 mmol) and reaction was stirred at room temperature 16 h. The reaction was then diluted with sat. NaHCO₃ (10 mL), extracted with EtOAc (3x), washed with brine, dried (Na₂SO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, MeOH/CH₂Cl₂: 10/90) (x2). Indole **3-13** was obtained as an off-white solid (0.13 g, 64%) and carried on without further characterization: ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.32 (bs, 1 H), 7.62 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1 H), 7.41 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1 H), 7.33 (t, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1 H), 7.23-7.09 (m, 4 H), 6.91 (app d, *J* = 6.6 Hz, 1 H), 6.80 (s, 1 H), 5.88 (s, 1 H), 3.68 (app bs, 2 H), 3.55 (app bs, 2 H), 3.40 (p, *J* = 5.5 Hz, 4 H), 2.72 (t, *J* = 5.3 Hz, 3 H), 2.48-2.44 (m, 6 H), 1.05 (d, *J* = 6.3 Hz, 6 H); HRMS (ESI): *m*/*z* calculated for C₂₈H₃₅ON₄ (M+H) 443.2805, found 443.2803.

viii Full characterization of the carboxylic acid by Zhizhou Yue

^{ix} Full characterization of the 4-methyl piperazine analog by Zhizhou Yue

2-(3-(4-(2-(4-Isopropylpiperazin-1-yl)ethylidene)piperidin-1-yl)phenyl)-1H-indole (3-14). To a solution of LiAlH₄ (0.19 mL, 0.28 mmol, 1M) in diethyl ether (0.3 mL) at 0 °C was added AlCl₃ (0.04 g, 0.28 mmol) in diethyl ether (0.36 mL) and the suspension stirred for 45 min. A solution of 3-13 (0.08 g, 0.19 mmol) in THF (0.13 mL) was then added dropwise and the reaction stirred at room temperature for 2 h. The reaction was cooled to 0 °C and quenched with water (0.07 mL), NaOH (0.2 mL, 1 M), and water (0.21 mL). The aqueous was extracted with EtOAc, the combined organic layers dried (Na_2SO_4), filtered, and concentrated. The product was filtered through a plug of basic Al₂O₃ (MeOH/CH₂Cl₂: 0/100 to 5/95). Product 3-14 was obtained as an off-white foam (0.06 g, 74%): IR (neat) 3177, 3058, 2964, 2813, 1601, 1453, 1336, 1211, 1175, 1002, 776, 748 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.41 (s, 1 H), 7.62 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1 H), 7.42 - 7.36 (m, 1 H), 7.31 (t, J = 7.9 Hz, 1 H), 7.25 - 7.14 (m, 2 H), 7.16 - 7.07(m, 2 H), 6.91 (dd, J = 8.3, 2.4 Hz, 1 H), 6.80 (dd, J = 2.0, 0.9 Hz, 1 H), 5.39 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1 H), 3.31 (dt, J = 11.5, 5.7 Hz, 4 H), 3.04 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 2 H), 2.66 (p, J = 6.5 Hz, 1 H), 2.58 (s, 7 H), 2.45 (t, J = 5.8 Hz, 2 H), 2.39 (t, J = 5.5 Hz, 2 H), 1.06 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 6 H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 151.8, 139.1, 138.6, 136.7, 133.4, 129.8, 129.3, 122.2, 120.6, 120.2, 119.6, 116.4, 116.0, 113.4, 110.9, 100.0, 55.0, 54.4, 53.5, 51.5, 50.5, 48.7, 35.8, 28.4, 18.7; HRMS (ESI): *m/z* calculated for C₂₈H₃₇N₄ (M+H) 429.3013, found 429.3010; ELS purity (100%).



101

2-(3-(4-(2-(4-Isopropylpiperazin-1-yl)ethyl)piperidin-1-yl)phenyl)-1H-indole (3-15). To a solution of 3-14 (0.032 g, 0.075 mmol) in MeOH (1.7 mL) was added Pd/C (2.0 mg, 0.004 mmol). The reaction was stirred in the Parr hydrogenator under H₂ (8 bar) at 35 °C 16 h. Additional Pd/C (2.0 mg, 0.004 mmol) was added and the reaction was stirred under H₂ (10 bar) at 40 °C for 6 h. The reaction was filtered through Celite, washed with MeOH, and concentrated. The product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, MeOH/CH₂Cl₂: 0/100 to 10/90). Product 3-15 was obtained as a tan foam (0.020 g, 62%): IR (neat) 3194, 2923, 2810, 1600, 1453, 1359, 1299, 1176, 967, 775, 748 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.76 (bs, 1 H), 7.61 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1 H), 7.41 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1 H), 7.30 (t, J = 7.9 Hz, 1 H), 7.25 (d, J = 2.1 Hz, 1 H),7.20 - 7.07 (m, 3 H), 6.89 (dd, J = 8.2, 2.5 Hz, 1 H), 6.79 (d, J = 1.9 Hz, 1 H), 3.73 (d, J = 11.6Hz, 2 H), 2.84 - 2.53 (m, 11 H), 2.47 - 2.38 (m, 2 H), 1.78 (d, J = 9.7 Hz, 2 H), 1.53 - 1.33 (m, 5 H), 1.10 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 6 H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 152.4, 138.7, 136.8, 133.3, 129.6, 129.3, 122.1, 120.5, 120.1, 116.4, 116.0, 113.5, 111.0, 99.7, 55.9, 54.9, 52.6, 49.9, 48.1, 34.2, 33.2, 32.3, 18.2; HRMS (ESI): *m/z* calculated for C₂₈H₃₉N₄ (M+H) 431.3169, found 431.3170; ELS purity (100%).



Scheme 3-5. Synthesis of the oxetane-containing linker analog.



3-(4-Isopropylpiperazin-1-yl)oxetane-3-carbonitrile (**3-17**)¹¹⁷. To AcOH (3.2 mL) at 0 °C was added 1-isopropylpiperazine (1.49 mL, 10.1 mmol) followed by 3-oxetanone (133 μ L, 2.02 mmol) and TMSCN (687 μ L, 5.05 mmol). The reaction was warmed to room temperature and stirred 16 h. The reaction was diluted with CH₂Cl₂ (10 mL) and basified to pH 9 with NaOH (32% w/w). The aqueous was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (2x) and the combined organic layers were

dried (Na₂SO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, MeOH/CH₂Cl₂: 0/100 to 10/90). Oxetane **3-17** was obtained as a pale yellow crystalline solid (0.383g, 91%): m.p. 80-82 °C; IR (neat) 2960, 2884, 2824, 1453, 1365, 1273, 1226, 1178, 1146, 994, 983, 925, 787 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 4.79 (d, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 2 H), 4.65 (d, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 2 H), 2.71 (sept, *J* = 6.7 Hz, 1 H), 2.62 (bs, 4 H), 2.47 (t, *J* = 4.8 Hz, 4 H), 1.05 (d, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 6 H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 116.4, 59.0, 54.3, 47.7, 46.2, 18.4; HRMS (ESI): *m/z* calculated for C₁₁H₂₀ON₃ (M+H) 210.1601, found 210.1600.

(3-(4-Isopropylpiperazin-1-yl)oxetan-3-yl)methanamine (3-18)¹¹⁸. To a solution of 3-17 (0.150 g, 0.717 mmol) in MeOH (12.8 mL) was added a catalytic amount of Raney-Ni (15 drops). The reaction was sparged for 10 sec with H₂ and the reaction was stirred under an atmosphere of H₂ 16 h. The reaction was filtered through a pad of Celite, washed with THF, and concentrated. Oxetane **3-18** was obtained as a yellow oil (0.136 g, 89%) and carried on without further purification or characterization: ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 4.74 (d, *J* = 6.6 Hz, 2 H), 4.28 (d, *J* = 6.3 Hz, 2 H), 3.11 (s, 2 H), 2.67-2.56 (m, 9 H), 1.20 (bs, 2 H), 1.06 (d, *J* = 6.6 Hz, 6 H).

2-(3-(4-Oxopiperidin-1-yl)phenyl)-1*H***-indole-5-carbonitrile (3-20).** To a solution of 2-(3-(1,4-dioxa-8-azaspiro[4.5]decan-8-yl)phenyl)-1*H***-indole-5-carbonitrile (0.150 g, 0.417 mmol) in** acetone (53 mL) was added HCl (3 M, 53 mL) at room temperature and the reaction was heated to reflux. After 4.75 h, the solution was cooled to room temperature and allowed to stir at room temperature for 16 h. The reaction was cooled to 0 °C and neutralized with Na₂CO₃. The mixture was extracted with EtOAc, washed with brine, dried (Na₂SO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The residue was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, EtOAc/Hexanes: 0/100 to 50/50).

Indole **3-20** was obtained as a yellow solid (0.088 g, 67%) and carried on without further characterization: HRMS (ESI): m/z calculated for C₂₀H₁₈ON₃ (M+H) 316.1444, found 316.1442.

2-(3-(4-(((3-(4-Isopropylpiperazin-1-yl)oxetan-3-yl)methyl)amino)piperidin-1-yl)phenyl)-

1H-indole-5-carbonitrile acetate (3-21). To a solution of 3-20 (0.050 g, 0.162 mmol), 3-18 (0.038 g, 0.178 mmol) in 1,2-DCE (1.6 mL) was added Ti(OiPr)₄ (0.075 mL, 0.244 mmol) at room temperature. After 30 min, NaBH(OAc)₃ (0.025 g, 0.081 mmol) was added. After 1 h, additional NaBH(OAc)₃ (0.025 g, 0.081 mmol) was added and the solution was stirred at rooom temperature. After 8 h, additional NaBH(OAc)₃ (0.025 g, 0.081 mmol) was added. After 16 h, trace product by LCMS was seen. An additional 0.95 mL 1,2-DCE and 0.5 mL CH₂Cl₂ were added along with $Ti(OiPr)_4$ (0.10 mL) and 3-18 (0.050 g) and stirred at room temperature. After 30 min, NaBH(OAc)₃ (0.025 g, 0.081 mmol) was added (3x). The reaction was filtered through a pad of Celite. The Celite was washed with CH₂Cl₂ and MeOH (collected separately). The MeOH wash was concentrated and washed with EtO_2 (3x). Product 3-21 was obtained as the acetate salt as a pale yellow solid (0.013 g, 10%): m.p. 220 °C (dec.); IR (neat) 2934, 2218, 1707, 1571, 1400, 1263, 1044, 974, 809, 732 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃/MeOD) δ 7.72 (d, J = 1.5 Hz, 1 H), 7.28 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 1 H), 7.18 – 7.09 (m, 3 H), 7.08 – 7.04 (m, 1 H), 6.75 (dd, J = 8.0, 1.6 Hz, 1 H), 6.64 (s, 1 H), 4.55 (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 2 H), 4.20 (s, 4 H), 4.15 (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 2 H), 3.57 (d, J = 12.5 Hz, 2 H), 2.91 (s, 2 H), 2.72 – 2.60 (m, 4 H), 2.50 – 2.44 (m, 1 H), 1.85 (d, J = 12.8 Hz, 2 H), 1.79 (s, 4 H), 1.46 – 1.32 (m, 2 H), 0.95 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 6 H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 176.3 (AcOH), 151.7, 141.2, 139.1, 132.5, 129.7, 128.7, 125.6, 124.3, 121.1, 117.2, 116.6, 113.9, 112.0, 101.7, 99.2, 63.1, 55.8, 55.4, 44.4, 31.4, 22.0 (AcOH), 17.3; HRMS (ESI): m/z calculated for C₃₁H₄₁ON₆ (M+H) 513.3336, found 513.3336; ELS purity (98.6%).



Scheme 3-6. Synthesis of 4,5,6,7-tetrahydro-1*H*-pyrrolo[3,2-*c*]pyridine indole replacement.



tert-Butyl 4-oxopiperidine-1-carboxylate (3-21)¹¹⁹. To at solution of 4-piperidone monohydrate hydrochloride (0.500 g, 3.22 mmol) in MeOH (7.4 mL) was added Et₃N (0.679 mL, 4.83 mmol) and the solution stirred for 5 min. Di*-tert*-butyl dicarbonate (0.896 mL, 4.19 mmol) was added slowly over 5 min followed by DMAP (tip of spatula). The reaction was stirred 16 h at room temperature. The solvent was removed in vacuo and the residue dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ (2.5 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with 2 N HCl (2x), sat. Na₂CO₃ (1.7 mL), and brine. Then dried (Na₂SO₄), filtered, and concentrated. Piperidine **3-21** was dried in vacuo and obtained as a white solid (0.619 g, 96%) and carried on without further purification or characterization: ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 3.72 (t, *J* = 6.2 Hz, 4 H), 2.44 (t, *J* = 6.2 Hz, 4 H), 1.49 (s, 9 H).

tert-Butyl 3-(2-(3-bromophenyl)-2-oxoethyl)-4-oxopiperidine-1-carboxylate (3-22)¹²⁰. To a solution of 3-21 (1.00 g, 4.97 mmol) in toluene (13.4 mL) was added pyrrolidine (0.628 mL, 7.45 mmol) and *p*-TsOH (tip of spatula) and heated to 120 °C under Dean Stark conditions 16 h. The reaction was then concentrated in vacuo and crude *tert*-Butyl 4-(pyrrolidin-1-yl)-3,6-dihydropyridine-1(2*H*)-carboxylate (3-22 intermediate) was obtained as a dark orange oil and carried on without further purification or characterization: ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 4.14 (bs, 1 H), 3.95 (bs, 2 H), 3.71 (t, *J* = 6.3 Hz, 1 H), 3.02 (app t, *J* = 6.5 Hz, 4 H), 2.28 (t, *J* = 4.8 Hz, 2 H), 1.87-1.83 (m, 4 H), 1.46 (s, 9 H). To a solution of 3-22 intermediate (1.25 g, 4.97 mmol) in THF (16 mL) was added 3-bromophenacyl bromide (1.14 g, 3.98 mmol) and DiPEA

(1.30 mL, 7.45 mmol). The reaction was stirred at reflux for 1 d. The reaction was concentrated and purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, EtOAc/Hexanes: 0/100 to 40/60). Piperidine **3-22** was obtained as a red oil (1.03 g, 52%) and carried on without further characterization: ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.10 (t, *J* = 1.7 Hz, 1 H), 7.89 (app d, *J* = 7.8, 1 H), 7.72-7.68 (app d, 1 H), 7.35 (t, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1 H), 4.35 (bs, 2 H), 3.45 (dd, *J* = 18, 6.6 Hz, 1 H), 3.21 (td, *J* = 12, 5.2 Hz, 2 H), 2.94 (t, *J* = 12 Hz, 1 H), 2.78 (dd, *J* = 18, 5.3 Hz, 1 H), 2.67-2.56 (m, 1 H), 2.46 (dt, *J* = 14, 3.6 Hz, 1 H), 1.50 (s, 9 H).

tert-Butyl 2-(3-bromophenyl)-1,4,6,7-tetrahydro-5*H*-pyrrolo[3,2-*c*]pyridine-5-carboxylate (3-23)¹²⁰. To a solution of 3-22 (1.00 g, 2.52 mmol) in EtOH (18.2 mL) was added ammonium acetate (0.973 g, 12.6 mmol) and the reaction stirred at reflux for about 4 h. The reaction was cooled to room temperature and concentrated. The residue was taken up in CH₂Cl₂ (18 mL) and sat. NaHCO₃ (13 mL) was added. The aqueous was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (3x), dried (Na₂SO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, EtOAc/Hexanes: 0/100 to 25/75). Pyrrolo[3,2-*c*]pyridine 3-23 was obtained as a tan solid (0.691 g, 73%) and carried on without further characterization: ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.04 (bs, 1 H), 7.55 (t, *J* = 1.8 Hz, 1 H), 7.35-7.28 (m, 2 H), 7.20 (t, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1 H), 6.30 (d, *J* = 2.0 Hz, 1 H), 4.43 (s, 2 H), 3.75 (bs, 2 H), 2.72 (bs, 2 H), 1.49 (s, 9 H); HRMS (ESI): *m*/*z* calculated for C₁₈H₂O₂N₂Br (M+H) 37.0854, found 377.0856.

Di*tert*-butyl **2-(3-bromophenyl)-6,7-dihydro-1***H*-pyrrolo[**3**,**2**-*c*]pyridine-**1**,**5**(4*H*)dicarboxylate (**3-24**)¹²¹. To a solution of **3-23** (0.220 g, 0.583 mmol) in THF (7.2 mL) was added Et₃N (0.164 mL, 1.17 mmol), DMAP (0.021 g, 0.175 mmol), and di*-tert*-butyl dicarbonate (0.229 g, 1.05 mmol). The reaction was stirred at room temperature 16 h. The reaction was quenched with H₂O, extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (3x), and washed with brine. The combined organic layers were dried (Na₂SO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, MeOH/CH₂Cl₂: 0/100 to 10/90). Pyrrolo[3,2-*c*]pyridine **3-24** was obtained as an off-white foam (0.240 g, 86%): IR (CH₂Cl₂) 2978, 2932, 1738, 1692, 1594, 1468, 1420, 1367, 1349, 1324, 1304, 1232, 1156, 1118, 1097, 1023, 924, 881, 848, 783, 732, 694 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.43-7.39 (m, 2 H), 7.23-7.20 (m, 2 H), 6.02 (s, 1 H), 4.36 (s, 2 H), 3.70 (t, *J* = 5.3 Hz, 2 H), 2.96 (t, *J* = 5.9 Hz, 2 H), 1.49 (s, 9 H), 1.31 (s, 9 H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 155.0, 149.4, 136.9, 133.2, 131.7, 129.8, 129.3, 127.0, 121.6, 83.9, 79.8, 28.5, 27.5, 25.5; HRMS (ESI): *m*/*z* calculated for C₂₃H₃₀O₄N₂Br (M+H) 477.1383, found 477.1378.

Di-*tert*-butyl 2-(3-(4-((*tert*-butoxycarbonyl)(2-(4-isopropylpiperazin-1yl)ethyl)amino)piperidin-1-yl)phenyl)-6,7-dihydro-1*H*-pyrrolo[3,2-*c*]pyridine-1,5(4*H*)-

dicarboxylate (3-25). To a suspension of **3-24** (0.100 g, 0.209 mmol) in dry degassed dioxane (1.15 mL), *tert*-butyl (2-(4-isopropylpiperazin-1-yl)ethyl)(piperidin-4-yl)carbamate (0.089 g, 0.251 mmol), K₃PO₄ (0.068 g, 0.314 mmol), Pd₂(dba)₃ (4.0 mg, 0.004 mmol), and CyJohnPhos (6.0 mg, 0.017 mmol) was degassed for 5 minutes by bubbling nitrogen. The flask was sealed, and the reaction mixture was heated at 110 °C 16 h. The reaction mixture was diluted with sat. NaHCO₃ and extracted with EtOAc (3x). The combined organic phases were washed with brine (5 mL), dried (Na₂SO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The crude product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, MeOH/CH₂Cl₂: 0/100 to 10/90). Pyrrolo[3,2-*c*]pyridine **3-25** was obtained as an orange-brown foam (0.071 g, 45%) and carried on without further characterization: ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.19 (t, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1 H), 6.86-6.82 (m, 2 H), 6.75 (d, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1 H), 5.99 (s, 1 H), 4.36 (s, 2 H), 3.73-3.69 (m, 4 H), 3.23 (bs, 2 H), 2.94 (s,

2 H), 2.75-2.44 (m, 14 H), 1.76 (s, 4 H), 1.45 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 18 H), 1.27 (s, 9 H), 1.04 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 6 H); HRMS (ESI): m/z calculated for C₄₂H₆₇O₆N₆ (M+H) 751.5117, found 751.5113.

N-(2-(4-Isopropylpiperazin-1-yl)ethyl)-1-(3-(4,5,6,7-tetrahydro-1H-pyrrolo[3,2-c]pyridin-2-

yl)phenyl)piperidin-4-amine (3-26). To a solution of 3-25 (0.030 g, 0.055 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (3.3 mL) was added Et₃SiH (36.5 µL, 0.226 mmol) and TFA (0.471 mL, 6.34 mmol). After 1 h, the solution was concentrated, diluted with CH₂Cl₂ and quenched with solid NaHCO₃ and 2 mL H_2O . The combined organic layers were removed and dried (Na_2SO_4), filtered, concentrated, and stored. The aqueous was concentrated and the residue taken up in EtOH and CH_2Cl_2 . The combined organic layers were concentrated and take up in CH₂Cl₂ and the solid filtered off (2x). Product 3-26 was obtained from the aqueous layer as a yellow oil (0.017 g, 42%): IR (neat) 3177, 3059, 2931, 2813, 1676, 1593, 1462, 1381, 1344, 1273, 1177, 1117, 982, 774, 731, 695 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.35 (bs, 1 H), 7.19 (t, J = 7.9 Hz, 1 H), 7.00 (t, J = 2.0 Hz, 1 H), 6.88 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1 H), 6.75 (dd, J = 8.3, 2.5 Hz, 1 H), 6.19 (d, J = 2.2 Hz, 1 H), 3.84 (s, 2 H), 3.68 (dt, J = 12.7, 3.7 Hz, 2 H), 3.14 (q, J = 5.9 Hz, 2 H), 2.78 (dt, J = 12.3, 7.6 Hz, 4 H), 2.69 - 2.57 (m, 3 H), 2.57 - 2.43 (m, 11 H), 2.31 - 2.18 (m, 2 H), 2.02 - 1.93 (m, 2 H), 1.51 (qd, J = 11.9, 3.8 Hz, 2 H), 1.04 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 6 H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 152.0, 133.9, 131.3, 129.4, 126.1, 17.8, 115.1, 114.3, 112.1, 102.7, 58.0, 55.1, 54.5, 53.5, 48.8, 48.7, 43.6, 43.6, 43.4, 32.6, 23.9, 18.7; HRMS (ESI): m/z calculated for C₂₇H₄₃N₆ (M+H) 451.3544, found 451.3540; ELS purity (98.3%).



Scheme 3-7. Synthesis of 5-(methylsulfonyl)-1*H*-indole analogs.



4-(Methylsulfonyl)aniline (3-28)¹²². To a 250 mL sealed flask was added ZnCl₂ (0.048 g, 0.352 mmol), DBU (0.133 mL, 0.880 mmol), 4-(methylthio)aniline (0.447 mL, 3.52 mmol), and MeOH (7.05 mL). Hydrogen peroxide (1.44 mL, 14.1 mmol) was added last and the vessel sealed at heated to 80 °C behind a blast shield for 10 h. The reaction was cooled to room temperature and the reaction quenched with sat. Na₂SO₃ and the aqueous extracted with EtOAc (2x). The product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, EtOAc/Hexanes: 0/100 to 100/0). Aniline **3-28** was obtained as a white solid (0.511 g, 85%) and carried on without further characterization: ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.69-7.67 (m, 2 H), 6.71-6.69 (m, 2 H), 4.22 (bs, 2 H), 3.00 (s, 3 H); HRMS (ESI): *m*/z calculated for C₇H₁₀O₂NS (M+H) 172.0427, found 172.0427.

2-Iodo-4-(methylsulfonyl)aniline $(3-29)^{123}$. To a solution of **3-28** (0.500 g, 2.90 mmol) in EtOH (27.5 mL) at 50° C was added a solution of I₂ (0.247 g, 0.973 mmol) and AgSO₄ (0.365 g, 1.75 mmol) in EtOH (7.5 mL). The reaction was stirred for 1 h and an additional solution of I₂ (0.247 g, 0.973 mmol) and AgSO₄ (0.365 g, 1.75 mmol) in EtOH (7.5 mL) was added (x2, after 1 h and 2 h). The reaction was then stirred at 50 °C 16 h. The hot reaction was filtered through Celite and the solvent concentrated. The residue was triturated with 50 °C EtOH (7.5 mL) for 45 min then cooled to 0 °C, the solid filtered, and dried in vacuo. Aniline **3-29** was obtained as a brown solid (0.374 g, 43%) and carried on without further purification or characterization: ¹H NMR (400

MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.17 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1 H), 7.67 (dd, J = 8.6, 2.2 Hz, 1 H), 6.77 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1 H), 3.02 (s, 3 H); HRMS (ESI): m/z calculated for C₇H₉O₂NIS (M+H) 297.9393, found 297.9391.

2-((3-(1,4-Dioxa-8-azaspiro[4.5]decan-8-yl)phenyl)ethynyl)-4-(methylsulfonyl)aniline (3-30)¹²⁴. To a solution of 3-29 (0.280 g, 0.939 mmol) in degassed THF (8.17 mL) was added 8-(3ethynylphenyl)-1,4-dioxa-8-azaspiro[4.5]decane (0.597 g, 2.45 mmol), degassed Et₃N (0.689 mL, 4.91 mmol), Pd(PPh₃)₂Cl₂ (0.115 g, 0.164 mmol), and CuI (0.031 g, 0.164 mmol). The reaction was degassed by sparging with N₂ for an additional 5 min. The reaction was stirred at room temperature for 2 h. The reaction was diluted with EtOAc, washed with NH₄OH, the combined organic layers dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, EtOAc/Hexanes: 10/90 to 80/20). Aniline 3-30 was obtained as a tan solid (0.237 g, 61%) and carried on without further characterization: ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.93 (d, *J* = 2.4 Hz, 1 H), 7.65 (dd, *J* = 8.7, 2.1 Hz, 1 H), 7.26-7.22 (m, 1 H), 7.10 (bs, 1 H), 6.99 (bs, 2 H), 6.78 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1 H), 4.81 (bs, 2 H), 4.00 (s, 4 H), 3.38 (t, *J* = 5.4 Hz, 4 H), 3.02 (s, 3 H), 1.86 (bs, 4 H); HRMS (ESI): *m*/*z* calculated for C₂₂H₂₅O₂N₂S (M+H) 413.1530, found 413.1528.

8-(3-(5-(Methylsulfonyl)-1*H*-indol-2-yl)phenyl)-1,4-dioxa-8-azaspiro[4.5]decane (3-31)¹²⁴. To a solution of 3-30 (0.230 g, 0.558 mmol) in NMP (1.14 mL) was added KO*t*Bu (0.128 g, 1.12 mmol) and the reaction was stirred at room temperature for 2 d. The reaction was diluted with EtOAc, washed with half-saturated brine (5x), dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. Indole **3-31** was obtained as a solid (0.229 g, 100%) and carried on without further characterization: ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.21 (s, 1 H), 7.66 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 1 H), 7.43 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1 H), 7.39-7.37 (m, 2 H), 6.80 (s, 1 H), 4.03 (s, 4 H), 3.60 (bs, 2 H), 3.38 (t, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 1 H), 3.09 (s, 3 H), 2.84 (s, 2 H), 2.37 (t, J = 8.2 Hz, 1 H), 2.06-1.98 (m, 2 H); HRMS (ESI): m/z calculated for C₂₂H₂₅O₄N₂S (M+H) 413.1530, found 413.1531.

1-(3-(5-(Methylsulfonyl)-1*H***-indol-2-yl)phenyl)piperidin-4-one (3-32).** To a solution of 3-31 (0.220 g, 0.533 mmol) in acetone (67.7 mL) was added HCl (3 M, 67.7 mL) at room temperature and the reaction was heated to reflux. After 4 h, the solution was cooled to room temperature and allowed to stir for 16 h. The reaction was cooled to 0 °C and neutralized with Na₂CO₃. The mixture was extracted with EtOAc, washed with brine, dried (Na₂SO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The residue was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, EtOAc/Hexanes: 0/100 to 50/50). Indole **3-32** was obtained as a pale yellow solid (0.189 g, 96%) and carried on without further characterization: ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.88 (bs, 1 H), 8.25 (d, *J* = 1.6 Hz, 1 H), 7.72 (dd, *J* = 8.6, 1.8 Hz, 1 H), 7.52 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1 H), 7.42 (t, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2 H), 7.07 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1 H), 6.92 (d, *J* = 1.6 Hz, 1 H), 3.74 (t, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 4 H), 3.10 (s, 3 H), 2.70 (bs, 4 H); HRMS (ESI): *m/z* calculated for C₂₀H₂₁O₃N₂S (M+H) 369.1267, found 369.1266.

N-((1-(4-Methylpiperazin-1-yl)cyclobutyl)methyl)-1-(3-(5-(methylsulfonyl)-1*H*-indol-2-

yl)phenyl)piperidin-4-amine (3-33). To a solution of 3-32 (0.090 g, 0.244 mmol), (1-(4methylpiperazin-1-yl)cyclobutyl)methanamine (0.049 g, 0.269 mmol) in 1,2-DCE (2.41 mL) was added Ti(OiPr)₄ (0.082 mL, 0.269 mmol) at room temperature. After 30 min, NaBH(OAc)₃ (0.025 g, 0.114 mmol) was added. After 1 h, additional NaBH(OAc)₃ (0.025 g, 0.114 mmol) was added and the solution was stirred at room temperature. After 1 h, additional NaBH(OAc)₃ (0.025 g, 0.114 mmol) was added and the reaction allowed to stir 16 h. The solution was diluted with sat. NaHCO₃, extracted with EtOAc (2x), dried (Na₂SO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The product was purified by chromatography on Al₂O₃-neutral (MeOH/CH₂Cl₂ + 0.1% Et₃N: 0/100 to 8/92) followed by filtration through a plug of basic Al₂O₃ (MeOH/CH₂Cl₂: 0/100 to 5/95). Product **3-33** was obtained as a tan foam (0.032 g, 24%): IR (CH₂Cl₂) 3542, 3128, 2931, 2804, 1604, 1585, 1486, 1449, 1285, 1220, 1139, 1124, 954, 804, 772 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 9.76 (s, 1 H), 8.21 (s, 1 H), 7.65 (dd, *J* = 8.6, 1.8 Hz, 1 H), 7.51 (d, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 1 H), 7.30 (t, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 1 H), 7.14 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1 H), 6.92 (dd, *J* = 8.2, 2.4 Hz, 1 H), 6.86 (s, 1 H), 3.71 (dt, *J* = 12.7, 3.9 Hz, 2 H), 3.08 (s, 3 H), 2.84 (d, *J* = 11.3 Hz, 2 H), 2.80 (s, 2 H), 2.61 – 2.50 (m, 10 H), 2.43 (bs, 1 H), 2.27 (s, 3 H), 2.19 – 2.07 (m, 2 H), 1.94 (dd, *J* = 13.0, 3.7 Hz, 2 H), 1.75 – 1.63 (m, 4 H), 1.49 (qd, *J* = 11.2, 3.8 Hz, 2 H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 152.1, 141.7, 139.2, 132.3, 131.6, 129.8, 128.8, 121.1, 120.2, 116.5, 113.5, 111.7, 100.5, 62.6, 55.8, 55.3, 50.6, 48.4, 46.0, 45.3, 45.2, 32.4, 27.0, 13.9; HRMS (ESI): *m*/z calculated for C₃₀H₄₂O₂N₅S (M+H) 536.3054, found 536.3052; ELS purity (100%).



1-Isopropyl-*N***-(1-(3-(5-(methylsulfonyl)-1***H***-indol-2-yl)phenyl)piperidin-4-yl)piperidin-4**amine (3-34). To a solution of 3-32 (0.090 g, 0.244 mmol), 4-amino-1-isopropyl piperidine (0.045 mL, 0.267 mmol) in 1,2-DCE (2.41 mL) was added Ti(O*i*Pr)₄ (0.082 mL, 0.267 mmol) at room temperature. After 30 min, NaBH(OAc)₃ (0.025 g, 0.114 mmol) was added. After 1 h, additional NaBH(OAc)₃ (0.025 g, 0.114 mmol) was added and the solution was stirred at rooom temperature. After 1 h, additional NaBH(OAc)₃ (0.025 g, 0.114 mmol) was added and the reaction allowed to stir 16 h. The solution was diluted with sat. NaHCO₃, extracted with EtOAc

(2x), dried (Na₂SO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The product was purified by chromatography on Al₂O₃-neutral (MeOH/CH₂Cl₂ + 0.1% Et₃N: 0/100 to 5/95) followed by filtration through a plug of basic Al₂O₃ (MeOH/CH₂Cl₂: 0/100 to 5/95). Product **3-34** was obtained as a tan foam (0.085 g, 70%): IR (CH₂Cl₂) 3538, 3133, 2973, 2934, 2795, 2250, 1605, 1487, 1449, 1283, 1222, 1134, 1120, 1061, 954, 920, 773, 726, 693 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃/MeOD) δ 8.18 (q, *J* = 1.9 Hz, 1 H), 7.62 (dq, *J* = 8.2, 2.0 Hz, 1 H), 7.48 (dd, *J* = 8.6, 1.8 Hz, 1 H), 7.28 (dd, *J* = 8.0, 3.1 Hz, 1 H), 7.17 (d, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1 H), 6.91 – 6.85 (m, 2 H), 6.84 (d, *J* = 2.6 Hz, 1 H), 3.72 – 3.67 (m, 2 H), 3.06 – 3.04 (m, 3 H), 2.85 – 2.81 (m, 2 H), 2.81 – 2.70 (m, 3 H), 2.70 – 2.64 (m, 1 H), 2.60 – 2.53 (m, 1 H), 2.17 – 2.10 (m, 2 H), 1.88 (t, *J* = 12.0 Hz, 4 H), 1.47 (tq, *J* = 12.0, 8.5, 6.0 Hz, 2 H), 1.37 – 1.27 (m, 2 H), 1.01 (dd, *J* = 6.7, 2.4 Hz, 6 H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃/MeOD) δ 151.7, 141.6, 139.4, 132.2, 130.8, 129.5, 128.5, 120.6, 119.6, 116.8, 116.3, 113.6, 111.7, 99.9, 54.3, 50.9, 50.7, 47.5, 45.0, 32.4, 32.2, 18.0; HRMS (ESI): *m/z* calculated for C₂₈H₃₉O₂N₄S (M+H) 495.2788, found 495.2789; ELS purity (100%).



Scheme 3-8. Representative synthesis of carbamate indole replacements from chloroformates.



tert-Butyl (1-(3-(((benzyloxy)carbonyl)amino)phenyl)piperidin-4-yl)(2-(4isopropylpiperazin-1-yl)ethyl)carbamate (3-36). To a solution of *tert*-butyl (1-(3aminophenyl)piperidin-4-yl)(2-(4-isopropylpiperazin-1-yl)ethyl)carbamate (0.061 0.137 g, mmol) in THF (0.35 mL) was added NaHCO₃ (0.046 g, 0.548 mmol). The suspension was cooled to 0 °C and benzyl chloroformate (46.2 µL, 0.137 mmol) was added and the reaction was allowed to warm to room temperature over 4.25 h. The reaction was filtered and the solid washed with THF. The combined organic layers were concentrated in the presence of MeOH. Crude carbamate **3-36** was obtained as a brown-orange foam (0.075 g, 95%) and carried on without further purification or characterization: ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.41-7.34 (m, 5 H), 7.15 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 2 H), 6.69 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1 H), 6.62 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 2 H), 5.19 (s, 2 H), 4.11 (bs, 1 H), 3.73 (d, J = 12 Hz, 2 H), 3.21 (bs, 3 H), 2.76-2.50 (m, 12 H), 2.17 (s, 1 H), 1.75 (bs, 4 H), 1.46 (s, 9 H), 1.06 (bs, 6 H); HRMS (ESI): m/z calculated for C₃₃H₅₀O₄N₅ (M+H) 580.3857, found 580.3796.

Benzyl (3-(4-((2-(4-isopropylpiperazin-1-yl)ethyl)amino)piperidin-1-yl)phenyl)carbamate (3-37). To a solution of 3-36 (0.070 g, 0.121 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (3.1 mL) was added Et₃SiH (48.6 μ L, 0.302 mmol) and TFA (0.628 mL, 8.45 mmol). After 1 h, the solution was concentrated, diluted with sat. NaHCO₃, extracted with EtOAc (3x), washed with brine, dried (Na₂SO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (MeOH/CH₂Cl₂: 5/95 to 20/80) followed by filtering through a plug of basic Al₂O₃ (MeOH/CH₂Cl₂: 0/100 to 5/95). Product **3-37** was obtained as a yellow goo (0.040 g, 69%): IR (neat) 3302, 2932, 2810, 1728, 1608, 1591, 1547, 1497, 1447, 1293, 1218, 1177, 1052, 843, 765, 728, 695 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.40 – 7.27 (m, 5 H), 7.17 – 7.08 (m, 2 H), 7.01 (s, 1 H), 6.68 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1 H), 6.61 (dd, *J* = 8.3, 2.4 Hz, 1 H), 5.16 (s, 2 H), 3.67 – 3.59 (m, 2 H), 2.77 – 2.69 (m, 4 H), 2.63 (sept, *J* = 6.5 Hz, 1 H), 2.59 – 2.42 (m, 12 H), 1.96 – 1.89 (m, 2 H), 1.46 (tt, *J* = 12.0, 6.1 Hz, 2 H), 1.03 (d, *J* = 6.5 Hz, 6 H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 153.4, 152.2, 138.7, 136.2, 129.4, 128.6, 128.3, 128.3, 111.5, 109.5, 106.7, 66.8, 58.0, 55.1, 54.4, 53.6, 48.8, 48.4, 43.4, 32.5, 28.5, 18.7. HRMS (ESI): *m*/z calculated for C₂₈H₄₂O₂N₅ (M+H) 480.3333, found 480.3275; ELS purity (99.8%).



tert-Butyl (1-(3-((ethoxycarbonyl)amino)phenyl)piperidin-4-yl)(2-(4-isopropylpiperazin-1-yl)ethyl)carbamate (3-38). To a solution of *tert*-butyl (1-(3-aminophenyl)piperidin-4-yl)(2-(4-isopropylpiperazin-1-yl)ethyl)carbamate (0.050 g, 0.112 mmol) in THF (0.29 mL) was added NaHCO₃ (0.038 g, 0.449 mmol). The suspension was cooled to 0 °C and ethyl chloroformate (10.7 μ L, 0.112 mmol) was added and the reaction warmed to room temperature over 4.25 h. The reaction was filtered and the solid washed with THF. The combined organic layers were

concentrated in the presence of MeOH. Crude carbamate **3-38** was obtained as a brown-orange foam (0.069 g) and carried on without further purification or characterization: ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.15 (t, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 1 H), 6.68 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 1 H), 6.62 (dd, *J* = 7.8, 2.1 Hz, 1 H), 6.52 (bs, 1 H), 4.21 (q, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2 H), 3.77-3.72 (m, 2 H), 3.33 (d, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2 H), 3.23-2.78 (m, 8 H), 2.57 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2 H), 1.87-1.83 (m, 1 H), 1.74 (bs, 3 H), 1.57 (bs, 4 H), 1.46-1.43 (m, 15 H), 1.31 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3 H); HRMS (ESI): *m*/*z* calculated for C₂₈H₄₈O₄N₅ (M+H) 518.3701, found 518.3702.

Ethyl (3-(4-((2-(4-isopropylpiperazin-1-yl)ethyl)amino)piperidin-1-yl)phenyl)carbamate (3-39). To a solution of 3-38 (0.060 g, 0.116 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (3 mL) was added Et₃SiH (46.7 μL, 0.290 mmol) and TFA (0.603 mL, 8.11 mmol). After 1 h, the solution was concentrated, diluted with sat. NaHCO₃, extracted with EtOAc (3x), washed with brine, dried (Na₂SO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The product was purified by chromatography on Al₂O₃ (MeOH/CH₂Cl₂: 0/100 to 5/95). Product 3-39 was obtained as a yellow foam (0.035 g, 72%): IR (CH₂Cl₂) 3302, 2930, 2810, 1727, 1608, 1548, 1497, 1447, 1382, 1294, 1223, 1177, 1068, 856, 767, 692 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.14 (bs, 1 H), 7.11 (td, *J* = 8.1, 2.7 Hz, 1 H), 6.85 (s, 1 H), 6.66 (dd, *J* = 7.9, 1.9 Hz, 1 H), 6.60 (dd, *J* = 8.3, 2.5 Hz, 1 H), 4.18 (qd, *J* = 7.1, 2.4 Hz, 2 H), 3.68 – 3.60 (m, 2 H), 2.78 – 2.69 (m, 4 H), 2.62 (h, *J* = 6.6 Hz, 1 H), 2.58 – 2.42 (m, 12 H), 1.93 (d, *J* = 12.4 Hz, 2 H), 1.45 (qd, *J* = 12.4, 3.8 Hz, 2 H), 1.27 (td, *J* = 7.2, 2.4 Hz, 3 H), 1.03 (dd, *J* = 6.5, 2.2 Hz, 6 H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 153.7, 152.2, 138.9, 129.4, 111.3, 109.4, 106.6, 61.0, 58.0, 55.1, 54.4, 53.5, 48.8, 48.4, 43.4, 32.5, 18.7, 14.6; HRMS (ESI): *m/z* calculated for C₂₃H₄₀O₂N₅ (M+H) 418.3177, found 418.3173; ELS purity (100%).



Scheme 3-9. Synthesis of cyclohexyl carbamate indole replacement.



tert-Butyl (1-(3-(((cyclohexyloxy)carbonyl)amino)phenyl)piperidin-4-yl)(2-(4isopropylpiperazin-1-yl)ethyl)carbamate (3-40)¹²⁵. To a solution of *tert*-butyl (1-(3aminophenyl)piperidin-4-yl)(2-(4-isopropylpiperazin-1-yl)ethyl)carbamate (0.050 g, 0.112 mmol) in dry CH₂Cl₂ (0.5 mL) at 0 °C was added DiPEA (29.3 μ L, 0.135 mmol) followed by triphosgene (0.033 g, 0.112 mmol). The reaction was warmed to room temperature over 3 h. Additional DiPEA (39.0 μ L, 0.258 mmol) was added followed by cyclohexanol (0.120 mL, 0.114 mmol) and the reaction was stirred at 30-35 °C for 3 d. Sat. NaHCO₃ was added to quench and the aqueous was extracted with EtOAc (3x). The combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, MeOH/CH₂Cl₂: 0/100 to 10/90). Carbamate **3-40** was obtained as a yellow film (0.018 g, 28%) and carried on without further characterization: HRMS (ESI): *m/z* calculated for C₃₂H₅₄O₄N₅ (M+H) 572.4170, found 572.4094. Cyclohexyl (3-(4-((2-(4-isopropylpiperazin-1-yl)ethyl)amino)piperidin-1-

yl)phenyl)carbamate (3-41). To a solution of **3-40** (0.017 g, 0.030 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (0.77 mL) was added TFA (0.155 mL, 2.08 mmol). After 1 h, the solution was concentrated, diluted with sat. NaHCO₃, extracted with EtOAc (3x), washed with brine, dried (Na₂SO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The crude product was purified by chromatography on Al₂O₃ (MeOH/CH₂Cl₂: 0/100 to 2/98). Product **3-41** was obtained as an orange-brown foam (0.011 g, 81%): IR (CH₂Cl₂) 3309, 2934, 2811, 1720, 1607, 1548, 1497, 1448, 1221, 1177, 1059, 982, 767, 733, 695 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.20 (bs, 1 H), 7.12 (t, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1 H), 6.65 (dd, *J* = 8.0, 1.5 Hz, 1 H), 6.61 (dd, *J* = 8.5, 2.0 Hz, 1 H), 6.58 (bs, 1 H), 4.72 (m, 1 H), 3.67 (d, *J* = 13 Hz, 2 H), 2.77-2.74 (m, 4 H), 2.64-2.49 (m, 12 H), 1.96-1.91 (m, 4 H), 1.73 (t, *J* = 4.3 Hz, 2 H), 1.49-1.36 (m, 8 H), 1.04 (d, *J* = 6.5 Hz, 6 H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 153.2, 152.2, 139.0, 129.4, 111.2, 109.3, 106.5, 73.5, 58.1, 56.0, 55.1, 54.5, 53.5, 48.8, 48.4, 43.4, 32.6, 32.0, 30.9, 29.7, 25.4, 23.8, 18.7; HRMS (ESI): *m/z* calculated for C₂₇H₄₆O₂N₅ (M+H) 472.3646, found 472.3585; ELS purity (100%).



Scheme 3-10. Representative synthesis of amide indole replacements.



tert-Butyl (1-(3-(5-fluoro-1H-indole-2-carboxamido)phenyl)piperidin-4-yl)(2-(4isopropylpiperazin-1-yl)ethyl)carbamate (3-42). To a solution of 5-fluoroindole-2-carboxylic acid (0.023 g, 0.123 mmol) in DMF (0.3 mL) was added DiPEA (0.078 mL, 0.449 mmol) and HATU (0.051 g, 0.135 mmol) and stirred for 40 min under argon. tert-Butyl (1-(3aminophenyl)piperidin-4-yl)(2-(4-isopropylpiperazin-1-yl)ethyl)carbamate (0.050)0.112 g, mmol) in DMF (0.16 mL) was added and the reaction stirred 16 h. The reaction was diluted with EtOAc, washed with H₂O and brine, dried (MgSO₄), and concentrated. The solid was washed with CH₂Cl₂ followed by purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, MeOH/CH₂Cl₂: 0/100 to 5/95) to give amide **3-42** (0.039 g, 57%) and carried on without further characterization: ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 9.36 (bs, 1 H), 7.85 (bs, 1 H), 7.42 (d, J = 4.5 Hz, 1 H), 7.39 (d, J =3.9 Hz, 1 H), 7.31 (dd, J = 9.6, 2.4 Hz, 1 H), 7.26-7.21 (m, 1 H), 7.07 (td, J = 9.0, 2.7 Hz, 1 H), 7.00-6.98 (m, 2 H), 6.72 (app d, J = 9.9 Hz, 1 H), 3.80 (d, J = 12 Hz, 2 H), 3.22 (bs, 2 H), 2.83-2.51 (m, 14 H), 1.75 (bs, 4 H), 1.47 (s, 9 H), 1.13 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 6 H); HRMS (ESI): m/zcalculated for C₃₄H₄₈O₃N₆F (M+H) 607.3766, found 607.3767.

5-Fluoro-N-(3-(4-((2-(4-isopropylpiperazin-1-yl)ethyl)amino)piperidin-1-yl)phenyl)-1H-

indole-2-carboxamide (3-43). To a solution of 3-42 (0.035 g, 0.058 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (1.48 mL) was added TFA (0.300 mL, 4.04 mmol). After about 1.5 h, the solution was concentrated, diluted with sat. NaHCO₃, extracted with EtOAc (3x), washed with brine, dried (Na₂SO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The crude product was purified by chromatography on Al₂O₃ (MeOH/CH₂Cl₂: 0/100 to 5/95). Product **3-43** was obtained as a pale yellow foam (0.022 g, 75%): IR (CH₂Cl₂) 3274, 2945, 2815, 1645, 1606, 1544, 1493, 1445, 1328, 1216, 1176, 1112, 955, 855, 799, 769, 732, 692 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 10.20 (bs, 1 H), 8.02 (s, 1 H), 7.37 (s, 1 H), 7.33 (dd, J = 4.5, 4.5 Hz, 1 H), 7.27-7.21 (m, 2 H), 7.04-6.99 (m, 2 H), 6.96 (s, 1 H), 6.74 (dd, J = 1.5 Hz, 1 H), 3.68 (d, J = 13 Hz, 2.80-2.73 (m, 4 H), 2.65-2.48 (m, 12 H), 1.93 (d, J = 12 Hz, 2 H), 1.47 (qd, J = 9.5, 3.5 Hz, 2 H), 1.04 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 6 H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 159.6, 158.2 (d, $J_{CF} = 235$ Hz), 152.3, 138.2, 133.5, 132.5, 129.6, 127.7 (d, $J_{CF} = 10$ Hz), 113.8 (d, $J_{CF} = 28$ Hz), 113.1 (d, $J_{CF} = 10$ Hz), 112.9, 111.0, 106.2 (d, $J_{CF} = 23$ Hz), 102.6 (d, $J_{CF} = 5$ Hz), 58.0, 55.0, 54.5, 53.6, 48.8, 48.3, 43.4, 32.5, 18.7; ¹⁷F NMR (470 MHz, CDCl₃) δ -122.9; HRMS (ESI): m/z calculated for C₂₉H₄₀ON₆F (M+H) 507.3242, found 507.3241; ELS purity (100%).



tert-Butyl (1-(3-([1,1'-biphenyl]-4-carboxamido)phenyl)piperidin-4-yl)(2-(4isopropylpiperazin-1-yl)ethyl)carbamate (3-44). To a solution of 4-biphenylcarboxylic acid (0.025 g, 0.123 mmol) in DMF (0.3 mL) was added DiPEA (0.078 mL, 0.449 mmol) and HATU (0.051 g, 0.135 mmol) and stirred for 40 min under argon. tert-Butyl (1-(3aminophenyl)piperidin-4-yl)(2-(4-isopropylpiperazin-1-yl)ethyl)carbamate (0.054 g, 0.121 mmol) in DMF (0.16 mL) was added and the reaction stirred 16 h. The reaction was diluted with EtOAc, washed with H_2O and brine, dried (MgSO₄), and concentrated. The solid was washed with CH₂Cl₂ followed by purification by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, MeOH/CH₂Cl₂: 0/100 to 5/95) to give amide 3-44 (0.035 g, 50%) and carried on without further characterization: ${}^{1}\text{H}$ NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.94 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2 H), 7.78 (bs, 1 H), 7.72 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 2 H), 7.64 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 2 H), 7.51-7.38 (m, 4 H), 7.26-7.21 (m, 1 H), 6.95 (d, J = 9.9 Hz, 1 H), 6.72 (app d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1 H), 3.81 (d, J = 12 Hz, 2 H), 3.24 (bs, 2 H), 2.82-2.50 (m, 12 H), 1.78-1.60 (m, 6 H), 1.47 (s, 9 H), 1.06 (bs, 6 H); HRMS (ESI): m/z calculated for $C_{38}H_{52}O_{3}N_{5}$ (M+H) 626.4065, found 626.4061.

N-(3-(4-((2-(4-isopropylpiperazin-1-yl)ethyl)amino)piperidin-1-yl)phenyl)-[1,1'-biphenyl]-

4-carboxamide (3-45). To a solution of **3-44** (0.033 g, 0.053 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (1.35 mL) was added TFA (0.274 mL, 3.69 mmol). After 1 h, the solution was concentrated, diluted with sat. NaHCO₃, extracted with EtOAc (3x), washed with brine, dried (Na₂SO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The crude product was purified by chromatography on Al₂O₃ (MeOH/CH₂Cl₂: 0/100 to 2/98). Product **3-45** was obtained as a tan foam (0.026 g, 94%): IR (CH₂Cl₂) 3291, 3032, 2932, 2809, 1648, 1607, 1542, 1487, 1442, 1301, 1261, 1177, 1116, 983, 852, 743, 694 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.95 (bs, 1 H), 7.92 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 2 H), 7.67 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2 H), 7.60 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2 H), 7.49 (bs, 1 H), 7.46 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2 H), 7.39 (t, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 1 H),
7.20 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 1 H), 6.95 (dd, J = 8.0, 1.0 Hz, 1 H), 6.72 (dd, J = 8.5, 2.0 Hz, 1 H), 3.70 (d, J = 13 Hz, 2 H), 2.81-2.74 (m, 4 H), 2.66-2.49 (m, 12 H), 1.96 (d, J = 12 Hz, 2 H), 1.48 (qd, J = 12, 3.0 Hz, 2 H), 1.04 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 6 H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 165.4, 152.2, 144.5, 139.9, 138.9, 133.8, 129.5, 129.0, 128.1, 127.6, 127.4, 127.2, 112.6, 110.8, 108.2, 58.1, 55.1, 54.5, 53.6, 48.8, 48.4, 43.5, 32.6, 18.7; HRMS (ESI): m/z calculated for C₃₃H₄₄ON₅ (M+H) 526.3540, found 526.3537; ELS purity (100%).



tert-Butyl (1-(3-(3-aminopyrazine-2-carboxamido)phenyl)piperidin-4-yl)(2-(4isopropylpiperazin-1-yl)ethyl)carbamate (3-46). To a solution of 3-amino-pyrazine-2carboxylic acid (0.018 g, 0.123 mmol) in DMF (0.3 mL) was added DiPEA (0.078 mL, 0.449 mmol) and HATU (0.051 g, 0.135 mmol) and stirred for 40 min under argon. *tert*-Butyl (1-(3aminophenyl)piperidin-4-yl)(2-(4-isopropylpiperazin-1-yl)ethyl)carbamate (0.052 g, 0.117 mmol) in DMF (0.16 mL) was added and the reaction stirred 16 h. The reaction was diluted with EtOAc, washed with H₂O and brine, dried (MgSO₄), and concentrated. The solid was washed with CH₂Cl₂ followed by purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, MeOH/CH₂Cl₂: 0/100 to 5/95) to give amide **3-46** (0.045 g, 71%) and carried on without further characterization: ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 9.79 (s, 1 H), 8.22 (d, *J* = 2.4 Hz, 1 H), 7.87 (d, *J* = 2.1 Hz, 1 H), 7.52 (bs, 1 H), 7.11 (bs, 1 H), 6.80 (bs, 1 H), 3.81 (d, J = 12 Hz, 2 H), 3.38-2.88 (m, 12 H), 2.61 (bs, 2 H), 1.89-1.84 (m, 6 H), 1.49-1.41 (m, 15 H); HRMS (ESI): m/z calculated for C₃₀H₄₇O₃N₈ (M+H) 567.3766, found 567.3765.

3-Amino-N-(3-(4-((2-(4-isopropylpiperazin-1-yl)ethyl)amino)piperidin-1-

yl)phenyl)pyrazine-2-carboxamide (3-47). To a solution of 3-46 (0.043 g, 0.076 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (1.95 mL) was added TFA (0.395 mL, 5.31 mmol). After 1 h, the solution was concentrated, diluted with sat. NaHCO₃, extracted with EtOAc (3x), washed with brine, dried (Na₂SO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The crude product was purified by chromatography on Al₂O₃ (MeOH/ CH₂Cl₂: 0/100 to 5/95). Product **3-47** was obtained as a yellow foam (0.025 g, 71%): IR (CH₂Cl₂) 3341, 2933, 2810, 1665, 1603, 1529, 1496, 1438, 1382, 1235, 1178, 1109, 983, 919, 810, 767, 690 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 9.73 (s, 1 H), 8.17 (d, *J* = 2.5 Hz, 1 H), 7.83 (d, *J* = 2.5 Hz, 1 H), 7.38 (t, *J* = 2.0 Hz, 1 H), 7.21 (t, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 1 H), 7.05 (dd, *J* = 8.0, 1.3 Hz, 1 H), 6.71 (dd, *J* = 8.5, 2.0 Hz, 1 H), 3.70 (d, *J* = 13 Hz, 2 H), 2.82-2.74 (m, 4 H), 2.65-2.48 (m, 12 H), 1.97 (d, *J* = 11 Hz, 2 H), 1.49 (qd, *J* = 12, 3.4 Hz, 2 H), 1.03 (d, *J* = 6.5 Hz, 6 H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 163.9, 155.4, 152.2, 147.0, 138.3, 131.5, 129.5, 126.4, 112.5, 110.6, 107.6, 58.3, 55.1, 54.4, 53.6, 48.7, 48.4, 43.5, 32.6, 18.7; HRMS (ESI): *m/z* calculated for C₂₅H₃₉ON₈ (M+H) 467.3241, found 467.3238; ELS purity (100%).



tert-Butyl 2-((3-(4-((*tert*-butoxycarbonyl)(2-(4-isopropylpiperazin-1yl)ethyl)amino)piperidin-1-yl)phenyl)carbamoyl)pyrrolidine-1-carboxylate (3-48). To a

solution of *N*-Boc-proline (0.027 g, 0.123 mmol) in DMF (0.3 mL) was added DiPEA (0.078 mL, 0.449 mmol) and HATU (0.051 g, 0.135 mmol) and stirred for 40 min under argon. *tert*-Butyl (1-(3-aminophenyl)piperidin-4-yl)(2-(4-isopropylpiperazin-1-yl)ethyl)carbamate (0.056 g, 0.126 mmol) in DMF (0.16 mL) was added and the reaction stirred 16 h. The reaction was diluted with EtOAc, washed with H₂O and brine, dried (MgSO₄), and concentrated. The solid was washed with CH₂Cl₂ followed by purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, MeOH/CH₂Cl₂: 0/100 to 5/95) to give amide **3-48** (0.039 g, 54%) and carried on without further characterization: ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.33 (bs, 1 H), 7.14 (t, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 1 H), 6.79 (d, *J* = 7.2, 1 H), 6.63 (d, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1 H), 4.42 (bs, 1 H), 3.74 (d, *J* = 12 Hz, 2 H), 3.43 (bs, 2 H), 3.22 (bs, 2 H), 2.78-2.44 (m, 15 H), 1.92-1.75 (m, 7 H), 1.47 (s, 9 H), 1.43 (s, 9 H), 1.05 (d, *J* = 6.6 Hz, 6 H).

N-(3-(4-((2-(4-isopropylpiperazin-1-yl)ethyl)amino)piperidin-1-yl)phenyl)pyrrolidine-2-

carboxamide (**3-49**). To a solution of **3-48** (0.039 g, 0.061 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (1.56 mL) was added TFA (0.315 mL, 4.25 mmol). After 1 h, the solution was concentrated, diluted with sat. NaHCO₃, extracted with EtOAc (3x), washed with brine, dried (Na₂SO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The crude product was purified by chromatography on Al₂O₃ (MeOH/CH₂Cl₂: 0/100 to 5/95). Product **3-49** was obtained as a brown goo (0.012 g, 45%): $[\alpha]_D = -0.27 \circ$ (c = 1.00, CH₂Cl₂); IR (CH₂Cl₂) 3274, 2933, 2811, 1676, 1603, 1524, 1496, 1447, 1382, 1294, 1177, 1114, 983, 863, 770, 732, 693 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 9.63 (s, 1 H), 7.41 (t, *J* = 2.5 Hz, 1 H), 7.16 (t, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1 H), 6.90 (dd, *J* = 7.8, 1.3 Hz, 1 H), 6.66 (dd, *J* = 8.0, 2.0 Hz, 1 H), 3.83 (dd, *J* = 5.5, 5.0 Hz, 1 H), 3.69 (d, *J* = 13 Hz, 2 H), 3.09-3.07 (m, 1 H), 2.99-2.96 (m, 1 H),

2.80-2.75 (m, 4 H), 2.65-2.50 (m, 12 H), 2.25-2.15 (m, 1 H), 2.05 (sext, J = 6.3 Hz, 1 H), 1.96 (d, J = 12 Hz, 2 H), 1.77-1.73 (m, 2 H), 1.48 (qd, J = 12, 3.0 Hz, 2 H), 1.05 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 6 H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 173.3, 152.2, 138.7, 129.3, 112.0, 110.0, 107.2, 61.1, 58.0, 55.1, 54.5, 53.5, 48.8, 48.5, 48.4, 47.4, 43.4, 32.6, 30.7, 26.3, 18.7; HRMS (ESI): m/z calculated for C₂₅H₄₃ON₆ (M+H) 443.3493, found 443.3491; ELS purity (97.3%).



Scheme 3-11. Synthesis of *para* substituted phenyl indole analog.



tert-Butyl (1-(4-(1*H*-indol-2-yl)phenyl)piperidin-4-yl)(2-(4-isopropylpiperazin-1-yl)ethyl)carbamate (3-51). To a suspension of 2-(4-bromophenyl)-1*H*-indole (0.100 g, 0.367 mmol) in dry degassed dioxane (1.0 mL), *tert*-butyl (2-(4-isopropylpiperazin-1-

yl)ethyl)(piperidin-4-yl)carbamate (0.156 g, 0.441 mmol), K₃PO₄ (0.119 g, 0.551 mmol), Pd₂(dba)₃ (7.0 mg, 0.007 mmol), and CyJohnPhos (0.011 g, 0.029 mmol) was degassed for 5 minutes by bubbling nitrogen. The flask was sealed, and the reaction mixture was heated at 110 °C 16 h. The reaction mixture was diluted with sat. NaHCO₃ and extracted with EtOAc (3x). The combined organic phases were washed with brine (15 mL), dried (Na₂SO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The crude product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (MeOH/CH₂Cl₂: 0/100 to 10/90). Indole **3-51** was obtained as a yellow-brown foam (0.066 g, 33%) and carried on without further characterization: ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.37 (bs, 1 H), 7.59 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1 H), 7.54 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 2 H), 7.36 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1 H), 7.17-7.08 (m, 2 H), 6.95 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 6.69 (d, *J* = 1.6 Hz, 1 H), 4.14 (bs, 1 H), 3.79 (d, 12 Hz, 2 H), 3.24 (bs, 2 H), 2.84-2.77 (m, 2 H), 2.66-2.46 (m, 11 H), 1.78 (bs, 4 H), 1.48 (s, 9 H), 1.04 (d, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 6 H); HRMS (ESI): *m*/z calculated for C₃₃H₄₈O₂N₅ (M+H) 546.3803, found 546.3802.

1-(4-(1*H***-Indol-2-yl)phenyl)-***N***-(2-(4-isopropylpiperazin-1-yl)ethyl)piperidin-4-amine (3-52). To a solution of 3-51** (0.060 g, 0.110 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (2.8 mL) was added Et₃SiH (44.3 µL, 0.275 mmol) and TFA (0.572 mL, 7.70 mmol). After 1 h, the solution was concentrated, diluted with sat. NaHCO₃, extracted with EtOAc (3x), washed with brine, dried (Na₂SO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The crude product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (MeOH/CH₂Cl₂: 5/95 to 100/0) followed by filtering through a plug of basic Al₂O₃ (MeOH/CH₂Cl₂: 0/100 to 5/95). Product **3-52** was obtained as a yellow solid (0.017 g, 35%): m.p. 197-198.6 °C; IR (neat) 3438, 2930, 2810, 1610, 1548, 1504, 1455, 1350, 1298, 1221, 1178, 1112, 984, 917, 824, 786, 749, 662 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.32 (bs, 1 H), 7.59 (d, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1 H), 7.54 (d, *J* = 9.0 Hz, 2 H), 7.36 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1 H), 7.17-7.06 (m, 2 H), 6.98 (d, *J* = 9.0 Hz, 2 H), 6.68 (d, *J* = 1.2 Hz, 1 H), 3.74 (d, *J* = 13 Hz, 2 H), 2.88-2.76 (m, 5 H), 2.70-2.43 (m, 11 H), 1.99 (d, *J* = 11 Hz, 2 H),

1.52 (qd, J = 13, 11, 3.9, 2.4 Hz, 2 H), 1.06 (d, J = 6.3 Hz, 6 H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 150.9, 138.4, 136.6, 129.6, 126.1, 123.1, 121.6, 120.2, 120.0, 116.3, 110.6, 98.2, 58.0, 55.0, 54.5, 53.5, 48.7, 48.1, 43.4, 32.4, 27.8, 18.7; HRMS (ESI): m/z calculated for C₂₈H₄₀N₅ (M+H) 446.3278, found 446.3278; ELS purity (97.9%).



Scheme 3-12. Synthesis of 5-chloroindole analog.



1-(3-(5-Chloro-1*H***-indol-2-yl)phenyl)piperidin-4-one (3-53).** To a solution of 8-(3-(5-chloro-1*H*-indol-2-yl)phenyl)-1,4-dioxa-8-azaspiro[4.5]decane (0.100 g, 0.271 mmol) in acetone (35

mL) was added HCl (3 M, 35 mL) at room temperature and the reaction was heated to reflux. After 3 h, the solution was cooled to room temperature and stirred at room temperature 16 h. The reaction was then cooled to 0 °C and neutralized with Na₂CO₃. The aqueous was extracted with EtOAc, washed with brine, dried (Na₂SO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The residue was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, EtOAc/Hexanes: 5/95 to 50/50). Indole **3-53** was obtained as an orange solid (0.053 g, 61%) and carried on without further characterization: ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.58 (d, *J* = 1.36 Hz, 1 H), 7.39 (t, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1 H), 7.37-7.37 (m, 2 H), 7.20 (d, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 1 H), 7.15 (dd, *J* = 8.4, 2.0 Hz, 1 H), 7.01 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1 H), 6.75 (d, *J* = 1.6 Hz, 1 H), 3.70 (t, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 4 H), 2.66 (t, *J* = 5.4 Hz, 4 H).

1-(3-(5-Chloro-1*H***-indol-2-yl)phenyl)-***N***-(1-isopropylpiperidin-4-yl)piperidin-4-amine** (3-**54).** To a solution of **3-53** (0.050 g, 0.154 mmol), 4-amino-1-isopropyl piperidine (0.028 mL, 0.169 mmol) in 1,2-DCE (1.52 mL) was added Ti(O*i*Pr)₄ (0.052 mL, 0.169 mmol) and stirred at room temperature. After 30 min, NaBH(OAc)₃ (0.016 g, 0.072 mmol) was added. After an additional 1 h, NaBH(OAc)₃ (0.016 g, 0.072 mmol) was added and the solution was stirred at rooom temperature 16 h. Additional NaBH(OAc)₃ (0.016 g, 0.072 mmol) was added and stirred for 4 h. The solution was diluted with sat. NaHCO₃, extracted with EtOAc (2x), dried (Na₂SO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The product was purified by chromatography on Al₂O₃-neutral (MeOH/CH₂Cl₂ + 0.1% Et₃N: 0/100 to 10/90) followed by filtration through a plug of basic Al₂O₃ (MeOH/CH₂Cl₂: 0/100 to 5/95). Product **3-54** was obtained as a pale tan foam (0.038 g, 54%): IR (CH₂Cl₂) 3140, 2932, 2817, 1603, 1577, 1447, 1314, 1173, 1122, 916, 855, 781, 691 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.62 (bs, 1 H), 7.56 (d, *J* = 2.0 Hz, 1 H), 7.28 (dd, *J* = 10.0, 8.2 Hz, 2 H), 7.18 (t, *J* = 2.1 Hz, 1 H), 7.11 (dd, *J* = 8.6, 2.0 Hz, 1 H), 7.06 (dt, *J* = 7.8, 1.1 Hz, 1 H), 6.89 (dd, *J* = 7.9, 1.9 Hz, 1 H), 6.72 – 6.67 (m, 1 H), 3.71 (dd, *J* = 12.7, 3.9 Hz, 2 H), 2.83 (ddd, J = 24.7, 11.7, 3.1 Hz, 5 H), 2.72 (dt, J = 13.1, 6.6 Hz, 1 H), 2.60 (tt, J = 10.5, 4.0 Hz, 1 H), 2.18 (td, J = 11.6, 2.4 Hz, 2 H), 1.92 (td, J = 14.0, 3.7 Hz, 5 H), 1.49 (qd, J = 11.9, 3.9 Hz, 2 H), 1.35 (qd, J = 11.1, 3.7 Hz, 2 H), 1.04 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 6 H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 152.0, 140.2, 135.1, 132.8, 130.3, 129.7, 125.7, 122.3, 119.9, 116.4, 116.2, 113.5, 111.9, 99.4, 54.5, 51.6, 51.1, 48.5, 47.8, 33.8, 33.0, 18.5; HRMS (ESI): m/z calculated for C₂₇H₃₆N₄Cl (M+H) 451.2623, found 451.2619; ELS purity (100%).



Scheme 3-13. Synthesis of 5-fluoroindole analog with *N*-methylpiperazine terminal group.



1-(3-(5-Fluoro-1*H***-indol-2-yl)phenyl)piperidin-4-one (3-56)**. To a solution of 8-(3-(5-fluoro-1*H*-indol-2-yl)phenyl)-1,4-dioxa-8-azaspiro[4.5]decane (0.300 g, 0.851 mmol) in acetone (110 mL) was added HCl (3 M, 110 mL) at room temperature then heated to reflux. After 5 h, the solution was cooled to room temperature and stirred 16 h. The reaction was then cooled to 0 °C and neutralized with Na₂CO₃. The mixture was extracted with EtOAc, washed with brine, dried (Na₂SO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The residue was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, EtOAc/Hexanes: 5/95 to 50/50). Indole **3-56** was obtained as an orange solid (0.166 g, 63%) and carried on without further characterization: ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz) δ 8.35 (bs, 1 H), 7.23-7.40 (m, 4 H), 7.16 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1 H), 6.91-6.98 (m, 2 H), 6.77 (dd, *J* = 2.1, 0.7 Hz, 1 H), 3.68 (t, *J* = 6.1 Hz, 4 H) 2.60 (t, *J* = 6.1 Hz, 4 H).

1-(3-(5-Fluoro-1*H***-indol-2-yl)phenyl)-N-(2-(4-methylpiperazin-1-yl)ethyl)piperidin-4-amine (3-57)**. To a solution of **3-56** (0.15 g, 0.49 mmol), 2-(4-methylpiperazin-1-yl)ethan-1-amine (0.077 g, 0.54 mmol) in 1,2-DCE (4.8 mL) was added Ti(O*i*Pr)₄ (0.16 mL, 0.54 mmol) at room temperature. After 30 min, NaBH(OAc)₃ (0.060 g, 0.27 mmol) was added. After 1 h, additional NaBH(OAc)₃ (0.060 g, 0.27 mmol) was added and the solution was stirred at rooom temperature. After 8 h, additional NaBH(OAc)₃ (0.060 g, 0.27 mmol) was added and the solution was stirred at rooom temperature. After 8 h, additional NaBH(OAc)₃ (0.060 g, 0.27 mmol) was added. After 4 h, the solution was diluted with sat. NaHCO₃, extracted with EtOAc (2x), dried (Na₂SO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, MeOH/CH₂Cl₂ + 0.1% Et₃N: 0/100 to 20/80) followed by Kugelrohr distillation (40-45 °C, 5 h) to remove Et₃N. Product **3-57** was obtained as a yellow solid (0.111 g, 52%): IR (neat) 3062, 2930, 2811, 1576, 1491, 1456, 1194, 1114, 959, 855, 781, 736 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (acetone-*d*₆, 300 MHz) δ 10.80 (s, 1 H), 7.48 – 7.42 (m, 1 H), 7.38 (dd, *J* = 8.8, 4.5 Hz, 1 H), 7.31 – 7.19 (m, 3 H), 6.95 – 6.82 (m, 3 H), 3.76 (dt, *J* = 12.9, 4.1 Hz, 2 H), 3.56 (s, 2 H), 2.86 (td, *J* = 12.5, 11.9, 2.7 Hz, 2 H), 2.74 (t, *J* = 6.1 Hz,

2 H), 2.66 (tt, J = 10.0, 3.9 Hz, 1 H), 2.44 (t, J = 6.1 Hz, 2 H), 2.38 – 2.31 (m, 7 H), 2.17 (s, 3 H), 2.02 – 1.92 (m, 2 H), 1.57 – 1.38 (m, 2 H); ¹³C NMR (acetone- d_6 , 151 MHz) δ 158.7 (d, $J_{CF} =$ 232.1 Hz), 153.1, 141.7, 134.8, 133.8, 130.5 (d, $J_{CF} = 10.3$ Hz), 130.3, 116.7, 116.4, 113.7, 112.8 (d, $J_{CF} = 9.6$ Hz), 110.3 (d, $J_{CF} = 26.2$ Hz), 105.3 (d, $J_{CF} = 23.5$ Hz), 99.8 (d, $J_{CF} = 4.9$ Hz), 58.6, 56.0, 55.6, 53.9, 48.7, 46.3, 44.2, 33.0; ¹⁹F NMR (acetone- d_6 , 565 MHz;) δ -126.4; HRMS (ESI⁺): m/z calculated for C₂₆H₃₅N₅F (M+H) 436.2871, found 436.2869; ELS purity (100%).

3.4 TRIAZOLE EXPERIMENTALS

3.4.1 Analogs of NMS-873 described in Chapter 2.3.12.0



2-(Prop-2-yn-1-yloxy)tetrahydro-2*H***-pyran.** To a solution of propargyl alcohol (0.52 mL, 8.7 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (20 mL) was added 3,4-dihydro-2*H*-pyran (0.97 mL, 10 mmol) and the reaction stirred at room temperature for 10 min. *p*-TsOH (0.16 g, 0.87 mmol) was added and the reaction stirred at room temperature 16 h. The reaction was then washed with water, NaOH (1 M), and brine. The combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The tetrahydro-2*H*-pyran was obtained as a colorless oil (1.3 g) and carried on without further purification or characterization: ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 4.82 (t, *J* = 3.1 Hz, 1 H), 4.19-

4.33 (m, 2 H), 3.80-3.87 (m, 1 H), 3.50-3.55 (m, 1 H), 2.41 (t, *J* = 2.4 Hz, 1 H), 1.52-1.82 (m, 6 H).

3-Methyl-4-(3-((tetrahydro-2*H***-pyran-2-yl)oxy)prop-1-yn-1-yl)phenol ¹²⁶.** To a solution of 2-(prop-2-yn-1-yloxy)tetrahydro-2*H*-pyran (0.30 g, 2.1 mmol) and 4-iodo-3-methylphenol (0.46 g, 1.9 mmol) in dry, deoxygenated MeCN (3.3 mL) was added Pd(PPh₃)₂Cl₂ (0.070 g, 0.097 mmol) and CuI (0.019 g, 0.097 mmol) followed by Et₃N (0.69 mL, 4.9 mmol). The reaction was stirred at room temperature 16 h. The reaction was diluted with EtOAc, washed with brine, filtered, and concentrated. The product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, EtOAc/hexanes: 20/80 to 100/0). The tetrahydro-2*H*-pyran was obtained as a brown crystalline solid (0.27 g, 56%) and carried on without further characterization: ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.30 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 1 H), 6.67 (d, *J* = 2.2 Hz, 1 H), 6.59 (dd, *J* = 8.3, 2.4 Hz, 1 H), 4.93 (t, *J* = 3.1 Hz, 1 H), 4.78 (s, 1 H), 4.57-4.46 (m, 2 H), 3.86-3.94 (m, 1 H), 3.53-3.60 (m, 1 H), 2.38 (s, 3 H), 1.57-1.92 (m, 6 H).

3-(3-(Cyclopentylthio)-5-((3-methyl-4-(3-((tetrahydro-2H-pyran-2-yl)oxy)prop-1-yn-1-

yl)phenoxy)methyl)-4*H*-1,2,4-triazol-4-yl)pyridine⁶⁰. To a solution of crude 3-(3-(chloromethyl)-5-(cyclopentylthio)-4H-1,2,4-triazol-4-yl)pyridine (0.053 g, 0.18 mmol) in dry DMF (2.8 mL) was added 3-methyl-4-(3-((tetrahydro-2*H*-pyran-2-yl)oxy)prop-1-yn-1-yl)phenol (0.053 g, 0.21 mmol) and Cs₂CO₃ (0.12 g, 0.36 mmol) and the reaction stirred at room temperature 16 h. The reaction was diluted with EtOAc, and organic layer washed with water. The aqueous was extracted with EtOAc (3x). The combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The triazole was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, EtOAc/Hexanes: 0/100 to 100/0). The product was obtained as a yellow viscous oil (0.072 g, 79%) and carried on without further characterization: ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.73 (dd, *J* = 4.8, 1.5 Hz, 1 H), 8.59 (dd, J = 2.5, 0.5 Hz, 1 H), 7.66 (ddd, J = 8.1, 2.5, 1.6 Hz, 1 H), 7.44 (ddd, J = 8.1, 4.8, 0.7 Hz, 1 H), 7.27 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1 H), 6.66 (d, J = 2.6 Hz, 1 H), 6.61 (dd, J = 8.5, 2.6 Hz, 1 H), 5.04 (s, 2 H), 4.88 (t, J = 3.4 Hz, 1 H), 4.52-4.43 (m, 2 H), 4.04-4.01 (m, 1 H), 3.86 (ddd, J = 11.4, 8.7, 2.9 Hz, 1 H), 3.56-3.50 (m, 1 H), 2.34 (s, 3 H), 2.22-2.14 (m, 2 H), 1.50-1.85 (m, 12 H); HRMS (ESI⁺): m/z calculated for C₂₈H₃₃O₃N₄S (M+H) 505.2268, found 505.2269.

3-(4-((5-(Cyclopentylthio)-4-(pyridin-3-yl)-4H-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)methoxy)-2-

methylphenyl)prop-2-yn-1-ol (**2-37**)^x. To a solution of 3-(3-(cyclopentylthio)-5-((3-methyl-4-(3-((tetrahydro-2*H*-pyran-2-yl)oxy)prop-1-yn-1-yl)phenoxy)methyl)-4*H*-1,2,4-triazol-4-

yl)pyridine (0.072 g, 0.14 mmol) in MeOH (0.5 mL) was added PPTS (9.0 mg, 0.036 mmol) and the reaction stirred at room temperature 16 h. The reaction was diluted with CH₂Cl₂ (2 mL) and extracted with sat. NaHCO₃ (2x). The combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, EtOAc/Hexanes: 0/100 to 100/0). Product **2-37** was obtained as a pale yellow viscous oil (0.032 g, 54%): ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.73 (d, *J* = 3.9 Hz, 1 H), 8.60 (s, 1 H), 7.67 (dt, *J* = 8.1, 1.7 Hz, 1 H), 7.45 (dd, *J* = 8.1, 4.8 Hz, 1 H), 7.21 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1 H), 6.63 (d, *J* = 1.7 Hz, 1 H), 6.57 (dd, *J* = 8.5, 2.0 Hz, 1 H), 5.03 (s, 2 H), 4.50 (s, 2 H), 4.07-3.98 (m, 1 H), 2.92 (bs, 1 H), 2.31 (s, 3 H), 2.19-2.15 (m, 2 H), 1.65-1.59 (m, 6 H); HRMS (ESI⁺): *m*/z calculated for C₂₃H₂₅O₂N₄S (M+H) 421.1693, found 421.1691.

^x Full characterization by Marina Kovaliov, described batch not biologically tested



3-(3-((Cyclopentyl-*d***9)thio)-5-(((tetrahydro-**2*H*-**pyran-2-yl)oxy)methyl)-**4*H*-**1,2,4-triazol-4yl)pyridine**⁶⁰. To a solution of 4-(pyridin-3-yl)-5-(((tetrahydro-2*H*-pyran-2-yl)oxy)methyl)-4*H*-1,2,4-triazole-3-thiol (0.400 g, 1.37 mmol) in DMF (8 mL) was added cyclopentyl bromide-*d***9** (0.123 mL, 1.78 mmol) and Cs₂CO₃ (0.892 g, 2.74 mmol). The reaction was stirred at room temperature for 15 h. The reaction was diluted with EtOAc (20 mL), washed with H₂O and brine (2x), dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, EtOAc/Hexanes: 0/100 to 100/0). The triazole was obtained as a colorless white foam (0.369 g, 73%) and carried on without further characterization: ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.75 (dd, *J* = 4.8, 1.5 Hz, 1 H), 8.64 (dd, *J* = 2.5, 0.4 Hz, 1 H), 7.72 (ddd, *J* = 8.1, 2.5, 1.6 Hz, 1 H), 7.49 (ddd, *J* = 8.1, 4.8, 0.7 Hz, 1 H), 4.66 (d, *J* = 12.6 Hz, 1 H), 4.56 (t, *J* = 2.9 Hz, 1 H), 4.49 (d, *J* = 12.6 Hz, 1 H), 3.45-3.39 (m, 2 H), 1.62-1.39 (m, 6 H); HRMS (ESI⁺): *m/z* calculated for C₁₈H₁₆²H₉O₂N₄S (M+H) 370.2258, found 370.2255.

(5-((Cyclopentyl-*d*₉)thio)-4-(pyridin-3-yl)-4*H*-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)methanol. To a solution of 3-(3-((cyclopentyl-*d*₉)thio)-5-(((tetrahydro-2*H*-pyran-2-yl)oxy)methyl)-4*H*-1,2,4-triazol-4-

yl)pyridine (0.36 g, 0.97 mmol) in MeOH (4.5 mL) was added *p*-TsOH (0.037 g, 0.19 mmol) and the reaction stirred at room temperature for 1.5 d. The reaction was quenched by adding poly(4-vinylpyridine) and stirring for 30 min. The solid filtered off and the solvent concentrated. The product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, MeOH/CH₂Cl₂: 0/100 to 10/90). The triazole was obtained as a white solid (0.222 g, 80%) and carried on without further characterization: ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.78 (d, *J* = 4.3 Hz, 1 H), 8.68 (d, *J* = 1.9 Hz, 1

H), 7.86 (ddd, *J* = 8.1, 2.4, 1.5 Hz, 1 H), 7.52 (dd, *J* = 8.1, 4.8 Hz, 1 H), 4.63 (s, 2 H), 2.71 (bs, 1 H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 155.2, 153.7, 151.0, 147.9, 135.0, 130.1, 124.2, 54.4, 33.0-32.6 (m, CD), 23.5 (app s, CD).

3-(3-(Chloromethyl)-5-((cyclopentyl-*d***9)thio)-4***H***-1,2,4-triazol-4-yl)pyridine.** To a solution of (5-((cyclopentyl-*d***9)thio)-4-(pyridin-3-yl)-4***H***-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)methanol** (0.216 g, 0.757 mmol) in dry CH₂Cl₂ (16.5 mL) at 0 °C was added thionyl chloride (82.8 µL, 1.14 mmol) and the reaction stirred at 0 °C for 2 h. The reaction was cooled to 0 °C and quenched with sat. NaHCO₃, extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (3x), dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The triazole was obtained as a pale-yellow oil and carried on without further purification or characterization: HRMS (ESI⁺): m/z calculated for C₁₃H₇²H₉N₄S (M+H) 304.1344, found 304.1342.

4-(3-Hydroxyprop-1-yn-1-yl)-3-methylphenol¹²⁷. To a solution of 4-iodo-3-methylphenol (1.4 g, 6.2 mmol) and propargyl alcohol (0.41 mL, 7.1 mmol) in dry, deoxygenated MeCN (11.2 mL) was added CuI (0.082 g, 0.43 mmol) and Pd(PPh₃)₂Cl₂ (0.26 g, 0.37 mmol) followed by Et₃N (1.8 mL, 13 mmol). The reaction was stirred at room temperature 16 h. The reaction was concentrated and dissolved in H₂O (20 mL) and Et₂O (20 mL) then 1M HCl (2 mL) was added. The aqueous was extracted with Et₂O (2x) and the combined organic layers washed with water and brine, dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, EtOAc/Hexanes: 0/100 to 100/0). The phenol was obtained as an orange solid (0.667 g, 67%) and carried on without further characterization: ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.29 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 1 H), 6.67 (d, *J* = 2.6 Hz, 1 H), 6.60 (ddd, *J* = 8.3, 2.6, 0.4 Hz, 1 H), 5.00 (s, 1 H), 4.52 (d, *J* = 6.1 Hz, 2 H), 2.38 (s, 3 H), 1.65 (t, *J* = 6.1 Hz, 1 H); HRMS (ESI): *m/z* calculated for C₁₀H₉O₂ (M-H) 161.0597, found 161.0600.

3-(4-((5-((Cyclopentyl-d9)thio)-4-(pyridin-3-yl)-4H-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)methoxy)-2-

methylphenyl)prop-2-yn-1-ol (2-38)^{xi}. To a solution of crude 3-(3-(chloromethyl)-5-((cyclopentyl-*d*₉)thio)-4*H*-1,2,4-triazol-4-yl)pyridine (0.230 g, 0.757 mmol) in dry DMF (12.3 mL) was added 4-(3-hydroxyprop-1-yn-1-yl)-3-methylphenol (0.160 g, 0.984 mmol) and Cs₂CO₃ (0.370 g, 1.14 mmol) and the reaction stirred at room temperature 16 h. The reaction was diluted with EtOAc and organic layer washed with water. The aqueous was extracted with EtOAc (3x). The combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, EtOAc/Hexanes: 0/100 to 100/0). Product **2-38** was obtained as a white foam (0.311 g, 96%): ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.74 (dd, *J* = 4.8, 1.5 Hz, 1 H), 8.61 (d, *J* = 2.4 Hz, 1 H), 7.67 (ddd, *J* = 8.1, 2.5, 1.6 Hz, 1 H), 7.46 (ddd, *J* = 8.1, 4.8, 0.6 Hz, 1 H), 7.24 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 1 H), 6.65 (d, *J* = 2.5 Hz, 1 H), 6.60 (dd, *J* = 8.5, 2.6 Hz, 1 H), 5.05 (s, 2 H), 4.50 (d, *J* = 5.7 Hz, 2 H), 2.43-2.39 (m, 1 H), 2.33 (s, 3 H); HRMS (ESI⁺): *m/z* calculated for C₂₃H₁₆²H₉O₂N₄S (M+H) 430.2258, found 430.2256.



(5-(Cyclohex-2-en-1-ylthio)-4-(pyridin-3-yl)-4*H*-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)methyl methanesulfonate and **3-(3-(chloromethyl)-5-(cyclohex-2-en-1-ylthio)-4***H***-1,2,4-triazol-4-yl)pyridine. To a solution of (5-(cyclohex-2-en-1-ylthio)-4-(pyridin-3-yl)-4***H***-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)methanol (0.290 g, 1.01 mmol) and DiPEA (219 \muL, 1.26 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (10.8 mL) at 0 °C was added MsCl**

xi Full characterization by Marina Kovaliov, described batch not biologically tested

(85.6 µL, 1.11 mmol) dropwise. The reaction was stirred at 0 °C and slowly warmed to room temperature over 2.5 h. The reaction was diluted with CH_2Cl_2 , washed with sat. NaHCO₃ and sat. NH₄Cl, dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The triazole was obtained a mixture of the mesylate and chloride and carried on without purification or characterization: HRMS (ESI⁺) mesylate: m/z calculated for $C_{15}H_{19}O_3N_4S_2$ (M+H) 367.0893, found 367.0890; HRMS (ESI⁺) chloride: m/z calculated for $C_{14}H_{16}N_4ClS$ (M+H) 307.0779, found 307.0776.

3-(4-((5-(Cyclohex-2-en-1-ylthio)-4-(pyridin-3-yl)-4H-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)methoxy)-2-

methylphenyl)prop-2-yn-1-ol (**2-39**)^{xii}. To a solution of the mixture from above (0.369 g, 1.01 mmol) in dry DMF (14.8 mL) was added 4-(3-hydroxyprop-1-yn-1-yl)-3-methylphenol (0.180 g, 1.11 mmol) and Cs₂CO₃ (0.361 g, 1.11 mmol) and the reaction stirred at room temperature 16 h. The reaction was diluted with EtOAc and organic layer washed with water. The aqueous was extracted with EtOAc (3x). The combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, EtOAc/Hexanes: 0/100 to 100/0). Product **2-39** was obtained as an off-white solid (0.365 g, 84%) and carried on without further characterization: ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.75 (dd, *J* = 4.8, 1.5 Hz, 1 H), 8.61 (d, *J* = 2.4 Hz, 1 H), 7.67 (ddd, *J* = 8.1, 2.5, 1.6 Hz, 1 H), 7.46 (ddd, *J* = 8.1, 4.8, 0.6 Hz, 1 H), 7.27 (d, *J* = 8.9 Hz, 1 H), 6.68 (d, *J* = 2.5 Hz, 1 H), 6.63 (dd, *J* = 8.5, 2.6 Hz, 1 H), 5.90-5.85 (m, 1 H), 5.77-5.72 (m, *J* = 1.9 Hz, 1 H), 5.10-5.03 (m, 2 H), 4.59-4.55 (m, 1 H), 4.51 (d, *J* = 5.9 Hz, 2 H), 2.36 (s, 3 H), 2.13-1.97 (m, 4 H), 1.84 (t, *J* = 6.1 Hz, 1 H), 1.78-1.65 (m, 2 H); HRMS (ESI⁺): *m/z* calculated for C₂₄H₂₅O₂N₄S (M+H) 433.1693, found 433.1690.

xii Full characterization by Michael Houghton; described batch not biologically tested



3-(4-((5-(Cyclohex-2-en-1-ylsulfonyl)-4-(pyridin-3-yl)-4H-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)methoxy)-2-

methylphenyl)prop-2-yn-1-ol $(2-41)^{85}$. To a solution of 2-39 (0.055 g, 0.13 mmol) in EtOAc (0.4 mL) and H₂O (0.04 mL) was added Na₂WO₄-2H₂O (4.8 mg, 0.014 mmol). The reaction was cooled to 0 °C and treated with H₂O₂ (0.039 mL, 30%). After 1 h, the reaction was warmed to room temperature and stirred 16 h. LCMS showed no reaction so MeOH (0.4 mL) was added to dissolve everything and the reaction stirred for 5 h. The reaction was diluted with satd. NaHCO₃, extracted with EtOAc, washed with brine, dried (MgSO₄), filtered and concentrated. The product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, MeOH/CH₂Cl₂: 0/100 to 10/90). Product 2-41 was obtained as a pale yellow foam (6.0 mg, 10%): IR (neat) 3325, 2921, 2862, 2235, 2178, 1603, 1484, 1445, 1324, 1229, 1139, 1029, 913, 819, 702 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.78 (dd, J = 4.8, 1.2 Hz, 1 H), 8.68 (d, J = 2.5 Hz, 1 H), 7.77-7.81 (m, 1 H), 7.47 (dd, J = 8.2, 4.8 Hz, 1 H), 7.28 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 1 H), 6.66-6.59 (m, 2 H), 6.23 (dq, J = 10.1, 3.0 Hz, 1 H), 5.75 (dq, J = 7.7, 2.5 Hz, 1 H), 5.15-5.06 (m, 2 H), 4.51-4.45 (m, 3 H), 2.36 (s, 3 H), 2.26-2.06 (m, 4 H), 1.93-1.79 (m, 2 H), 1.67-1.61 (m, 1 H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 156.9, 153.4, 152.9, 152.0, 147.8, 142.6, 137.8, 135.3, 133.7, 129.4, 123.9, 116.6, 116.1, 115.8, 112.0, 90.6, 84.0, 61.5, 59.5, 51.9, 24.5, 22.0, 21.0, 19.2; HRMS (ESI⁺): m/z calculated for C₂₄H₂₅O₄N₄S (M+H) 465.1591, found 465.1590; ELS purity (100%).



3-(3-(Cyclopentylsulfonyl)-5-(((tetrahydro-2H-pyran-2-yl)oxy)methyl)-4H-1,2,4-triazol-4vl)pvridine (2-44).⁸⁵ To a solution of 2-43 (0.54 g, 1.50 mmol) in EtOAc (4.8 mL) and H_2O (0.48 mL) was added Na₂WO₄-2H₂O (0.057 g, 0.17 mmol). The reaction was cooled to 0 °C and treated with H₂O₂ (30 wt%, 0.046 mL, 4.50 mmol). After 1 h, the reaction was warmed to room temperature and stirred for 16 h. An additional 2 eq. of H_2O_2 (0.3 mL) was added and the reaction stirred for 8 h. LCMS still showed starting material so an additional 2 eq. H₂O₂ was added and the reaction stirred for 16 h. The reaction was diluted with sat. NaHCO₃, extracted with EtOAc, washed with brine, dried (MgSO₄), filtered and concentrated. The product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, EtOAc/Hexanes: 0/100 to 100/0). Triazole 2-44 was obtained as a white solid (0.495 g, 84%): IR (neat) 2948, 2871, 1711, 1581, 1485, 1444, 1321, 1144, 1124, 1077, 1034, 901, 827, 712 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 600 MHz) δ 8.79 (d, J = 4.8 Hz, 1 H), 8.73 (d, J = 2.3 Hz, 1 H), 7.85 (ddd, J = 8.1, 2.1, 1.6 Hz, 1 H), 7.51 (dd, J = 8.1, 4.8 Hz, 1 H), 4.72 (d, J = 12.8 Hz, 1 H), 4.52-4.54 (m, 2 H), 4.19 (quintet, J = 7.9 Hz, 1 H), 3.35-3.42 (m, 2 H), 2.14 (q, J = 7.0 Hz, 4 H), 1.76-1.80 (m, 2 H), 1.67-1.72 (m, 2 H) 1.41-1.61 (m, 6 H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 150 MHz) δ 154.7, 153.3, 151.7, 148.1, 135.4, 129.6, 123.8, 98.7, 63.5, 61.8, 58.4, 30.0, 27.1, 26.1, 25.1, 18.7; HRMS (ESI⁺): m/z calculated for C₁₈H₂₅O₄N₄S (M+H) 393.1591, found 393.1589.

(5-(Cyclopentylsulfonyl)-4-(pyridin-3-yl)-4*H*-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)methanol (2-45). To a solution of 2-44 (0.480 g, 1.22 mmol) in MeOH (5.6 mL) was added PPTS (0.307 g, 1.22 mmol) and the reaction stirred at room temperature for 22 h. TLC showed mainly starting material

remaining so *p*-TsOH (tip of spatula) was added and the reaction stirred for 1 d. The reaction was diluted with EtOAc and quenched with NaHCO₃. The aqueous was extracted with EtOAc (3x) and the combined organic layers dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, MeOH/CH₂Cl₂: 0/100 to 10/90). Triazole **2-45** was obtained as a white solid (0.297 g, 79%): m.p. 179.0-180.6 °C; IR (neat) 3201, 3067, 2974, 2934, 2874, 1582, 1487, 1434, 1330, 1316, 1306, 1146, 1048, 1001, 829, 707 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CD₃OD/CDCl₃, 600 MHz) δ 8.58 (dd, *J* = 4.8, 0.8 Hz, 1 H), 8.54 (d, *J* = 2.1 Hz, 1 H), 7.78 (ddd, *J* = 8.2, 2.5, 1.5 Hz, 1 H), 7.42 (dd, *J* = 8.1, 4.9 Hz, 1 H), 4.42 (s, 2 H), 4.14 (bs, 1 H), 3.90 (quintet, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 1 H), 1.90-1.93 (m, 4 H) 1.49-1.63 (m, 4 H); ¹³C NMR (CD₃OD/CDCl₃, 150 MHz) δ 157.0, 152.5, 151.0, 147.3, 135.8, 129.5, 124.1, 63.5, 53.4, 26.6, 25.7; HRMS (ESI⁺): *m*/*z* calculated for Cl₃H₁₇O₃N₄S (M+H) 309.1016, found 309.1014.

3-(3-(Cyclopentylsulfonyl)-5-(((2-methoxypropan-2-yl)oxy)methyl)-4H-1,2,4-triazol-4-

yl)pyridine (2-46). To a solution of 2-45 (0.28 g, 0.91 mmol) in THF (6 mL) at 0 °C was added 2-methoxypropene (0.17 mL, 1.8 mmol) and PPTS (0.023 g, 0.091 mmol). The reaction was stirred at 0 °C for 1 h then diluted with CH₂Cl₂ and quenched with NaHCO₃. The combined organic layers were then dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. Triazole 2-46 was obtained as a white solid (0.35 g) and carried on without further purification or characterization: ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.80 (dd, *J* = 4.8, 0.9 Hz, 1 H), 8.73 (d, *J* = 2.3 Hz, 1 H), 7.84 (dt, *J* = 8.2, 1.8 Hz, 1 H), 7.50 (dd, *J* = 8.1, 4.9 Hz, 1 H), 4.48 (s, 2 H), 4.20 (quintet, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 1 H), 2.98 (s, 3 H), 2.18-2.11 (m, 4 H), 1.86-1.65 (m, 4 H), 1.19 (s, 6 H).

3-(3-(Cyclopentyloxy)-5-(((2-methoxypropan-2-yl)oxy)methyl)-4H-1,2,4-triazol-4-

yl)pyridine (2-47). To a solution of cyclopentanol (0.11 mL, 1.2 mmol) in THF (1 mL) at 0 °C was added NaH (0.039 g, 0.99 mmol) and the reaction warmed to room temperature for 30 min.

A solution of **2-46** (0.15 g, 0.39 mmol) in DMF (1.7 mL) was added and the reaction stirred at room temperature for 3.5 h. The reaction was cooled to room temperature, quenched with sat. NH₄Cl, and extracted with EtOAc. The combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄), filtered and SiO₂ was added and stirred for 30 min. The solvent was removed, and the material dry loaded onto the column. Triazole **2-47** was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, EtOAc/Hexanes: 0/100 to 100/0; MeOH/CH₂Cl₂: 0/100 to 10/90; EtOAc: 100). The product was obtained as a colorless oil (0.089 g, 68%) and carried on without further characterization: HRMS (ESI⁺): *m/z* calculated for C₁₇H₂₅O₃N₄ (M+H) 333.1921, found 333.1919.

(5-(Cyclopentyloxy)-4-(pyridin-3-yl)-4*H*-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)methanol (2-48). To a solution of 2-47 (0.085 g, 0.26 mmol) in MeOH (0.5 mL) at 5 °C (ice bath) was added PPTS (tip of spatula). The reaction was stirred at this temperature for 2.75 h. The reaction was quenched with sat. NaHCO₃ and extracted with EtOAc. The combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. Triazole 2-48 was obtained as a white foam (0.063 g, 63%) and carried on without further purification or characterization: ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.71 (dd, *J* = 4.8, 1.5 Hz, 1 H), 8.69 (dd, *J* = 2.5, 0.6 Hz, 1 H), 7.86 (ddd, *J* = 8.2, 2.5, 1.5 Hz, 1 H), 7.49 (ddd, *J* = 8.2, 4.8, 0.8 Hz, 1 H), 5.43 (app septet, *J* = 2.8 Hz, 1 H), 4.58 (d, *J* = 5.1 Hz, 2 H), 3.64 (s, 1 H), 1.92-2.00 (m, 3 H), 1.91-1.84 (m, 3 H), 1.66-1.59 (m, 6 H); HRMS (ESI⁺): *m/z* calculated for C₁₃H₁₇O₂N₄ (M+H) 261.1346, found 261.1344.

(5-(Cyclopentyloxy)-4-(pyridin-3-yl)-4*H*-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)methyl methanesulfonate (2-49). To a solution of 2-48 (0.062 g, 0.16 mmol) and DiPEA (0.056 mL, 0.32 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (3.2 mL) at 0 °C was added MsCl (0.022 mL, 0.28 mmol) dropwise. The reaction was stirred at 0 °C and slowly warmed to room temperature over about 2 h. The reaction was diluted with CH₂Cl₂, washed with sat. NaHCO₃ and sat. NH₄Cl, dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. Triazole 2-

49 was obtained as a brown oil and carried on without purification or characterization: HRMS (ESI⁺): m/z calculated for C₁₄H₁₉O₄N₄S (M+H) 339.1122, found 339.1118.

3-(4-((5-(Cyclopentyloxy)-4-(pyridin-3-yl)-4H-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)methoxy)-2-

methylphenyl)prop-2-yn-1-ol (2-42). To a solution of crude 2-49 (0.054 g, 0.16 mmol) in dry DMF (2.6 mL) was added 4-(3-hydroxyprop-1-yn-1-yl)-3-methylphenol (0.034 g, 0.21 mmol) and Cs₂CO₃ (0.078 g, 0.24 mmol) and the reaction stirred at room temperature for 18 h. The reaction was diluted with EtOAc, and organic layer washed with water. The aqueous was extracted with EtOAc (3x). The combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The product was combined with a crude batch and purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, EtOAc/Hexanes: 0/100 to 100/0) followed by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, MeOH/EtOAc: 0/100 to 5/95). Product 2-42 was obtained as a waxy off-white solid (0.047 g, 33% combined): m.p. 145.3-147.8 °C; IR (neat) 3237, 2961, 2856, 1561, 1489, 1460, 1301, 1233, 1029, 1014, 956, 812, 705 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.65 (d, J = 4.7 Hz, 1 H), 8.59 (d, J = 2.1 Hz, 1 H), 7.67 (dt, J = 8.2, 1.8 Hz, 1 H), 7.41 (dd, J = 8.1, 4.8 Hz, 1 H), 7.19 (s, 1 H), 6.62 (d, J = 2.2 Hz, 1 H), 6.56 (dd, J = 8.5, 2.4 Hz, 1 H), 5.41 (tt, J = 5.6, 2.7 Hz, 1 H), 4.95 (s, 2 H), 4.49 (s, 2 H), 3.75 (bs, 1 H), 2.28 (s, 3 H), 1.95-1.90 (m, 2 H), 1.84-1.81 (m, 2 H), 1.63-1.55 (m, 4 H); ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 158.6, 150.3, 147.33, 147.28, 142.3, 134.0, 133.4, 129.4, 124.1, 116.2, 115.7, 111.9, 90.9, 85.2, 83.5, 60.3, 51.3, 32.8, 23.6, 20.9; HRMS (ESI⁺): *m/z* calculated for C₂₃H₂₅O₃N₄ (M+H) 405.1921, found 405.1917; ELS purity (100%).



145

3-(4-((5-((Cyclopentyl-d9)thio)-4-(pyridin-3-yl)-4H-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)methoxy)-2-

methylphenyl)prop-2-yn-1-yl methanesulfonate^{128, 129}. To a solution of 2-38 (0.12 g, 0.28 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (10 mL) at 0 °C was added Et₃N (0.079 mL, 0.56 mmol) and MsCl (0.026 mL, 0.34 mmol) and the reaction stirred at 0 °C for 3.5 h. The reaction was diluted with EtOAc and washed with sat. NaHCO₃, sat. NH₄Cl, and brine. The combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The crude triazole was obtained as a brown orange oil and carried on without further purification or characterization: HRMS (ESI⁺): m/z calculated for C₂₄H₁₈²H₉O₄N₄S₂ (M+H) 508.2026, found 508.2032.

3-(3-((Cyclopentyl-d9)thio)-5-((4-(3-methoxyprop-1-yn-1-yl)-3-methylphenoxy)methyl)-4H-

1,2,4-triazol-4-yl)pyridine (**2-50**). $3-(4-((5-((Cyclopentyl-d_9)thio)-4-(pyridin-3-yl)-4H-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)methoxy)-2-methylphenyl)prop-2-yn-1-yl methanesulfonate (0.070 g, 0.14 mmol) was dissolved in MeOH (0.5 mL) and the reaction stirred 16 h at room temperature. The reaction was concentrated and loaded onto the column. The product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, MeOH/EtOAc: 0/100 to 10/90) followed by chromatography on C18-SiO₂ (RP-ISCO, MeCN/H₂O: 10/90 to 95/5). Product$ **2-50**was obtained as a colorless viscous oil (0.020 g, 33%)¹³⁰

Alternatively:

To a solution of crude 3-(3-(chloromethyl)-5-((cyclopentyl- d_9)thio)-4*H*-1,2,4-triazol-4yl)pyridine (0.027 g, 0.088 mmol) in dry DMF (1.3 mL) was added 4-(3-methoxyprop-1-yn-1yl)-3-methylphenol (0.016 g, 0.091 mmol) and Cs₂CO₃ (0.031 g, 0.096 mmol) and the reaction stirred at room temperature 16 h. The reaction was diluted with EtOAc and organic layer washed with water. The aqueous was extracted with EtOAc (3x). The combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, EtOAc/Hexanes: 0/100 to 100/0). Product **2-50** was obtained as white foam (0.019 g, 48%): IR (neat) 3047, 2928, 2223, 2110, 1603, 1484, 1445, 1290, 1230, 1167, 1094, 1021, 996, 817, 707 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.75 (bs, 1 H), 8.61 (bs, 1 H), 7.67 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 1 H), 7.46 (dd, *J* = 7.5, 4.8 Hz, 1 H), 7.28 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 1 H), 6.68 (d, *J* = 2.1 Hz, 1 H), 6.63 (dd, *J* = 8.5, 2.4 Hz, 1 H), 5.05 (s, 2 H), 4.32 (s, 2 H), 3.43 (s, 3 H), 2.36 (s, 3 H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 126 MHz) δ 157.3, 154.2, 151.36, 151.24, 148.2, 142.5, 134.8, 133.7, 130.3, 124.2, 115.9, 112.1, 88.0, 84.9, 60.6, 60.1, 57.6, 45.6 (t, *J*_{CD} = 23.8 Hz), 33.0 (dt, *J*_{CD} = 40.3, 20.4 Hz), 23.6 (t, *J*_{CD} = 20.1 Hz), 21.0; HRMS (ESI⁺): *m*/*z* calculated for C₂₄H₁₈²H₉O₂N₄S (M+H) 444.2414, found 444.2407; ELS purity (100%).



2-(Prop-2-yn-1-yloxy)tetrahydro-2*H***-pyran.** To a solution of propargyl alcohol (0.52 mL, 8.7 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (20 mL) was added 3,4-dihydro-2*H*-pyran (0.97 mL, 10 mmol) and the reaction stirred at room temperature for 10 min. *p*-TsOH (0.16 g, 0.87 mmol) was added and the reaction stirred at room temperature 16 h. The reaction was then washed with water, NaOH (1 M), and brine. The combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The crude tetrahydro-2*H*-pyran was obtained as a colorless oil (1.3 g, >100%) and carried on without further purification or characterization: ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 4.82 (t, *J* = 3.1 Hz, 1 H), 4.33-4.19 (m, 2 H), 3.87-3.80 (m, 1 H), 3.55-3.50 (m, 1 H), 2.41 (t, *J* = 2.4 Hz, 1 H), 1.82-1.52 (m, 6 H).

3-Methyl-4-(3-((tetrahydro-2*H***-pyran-2-yl)oxy)prop-1-yn-1-yl)phenol¹²⁶.** To a solution of 2-(prop-2-yn-1-yloxy)tetrahydro-2*H*-pyran (0.30 g, 2.1 mmol) and 4-iodo-3-methylphenol (0.46 g, 1.9 mmol) in dry, deoxygenated MeCN (3.3 mL) was added Pd(PPh₃)₂Cl₂ (0.070 g, 0.097 mmol) and CuI (0.019 g, 0.097 mmol) followed by Et₃N (0.69 mL, 4.9 mmol). The reaction was stirred at room temperature 16 h. The reaction was diluted with EtOAc, washed with brine, filtered, and concentrated. The product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, EtOAc/hexanes: 20/80 to 100/0). The phenol was obtained as a brown crystalline solid (0.27 g, 56%) and carried on without further characterization: ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.30 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 1 H), 6.67 (d, *J* = 2.2 Hz, 1 H), 6.59 (dd, *J* = 8.3, 2.4 Hz, 1 H), 4.93 (t, *J* = 3.1 Hz, 1 H), 4.78 (s, 1 H), 4.57-4.46 (m, 2 H), 3.94-3.86 (m, 1 H), 3.60-3.53 (m, 1 H), 2.38 (s, 3 H), 1.92-1.57 (m, 6 H).

3-(3-(Cyclohex-2-en-1-ylthio)-5-((3-methyl-4-(3-((tetrahydro-2*H***-pyran-2-yl)oxy)prop-1-yn-1-yl)phenoxy)methyl)-4H-1,2,4-triazol-4-yl)pyridine (2-51). To the crude mixture of (5-(cyclohex-2-en-1-ylthio)-4-(pyridin-3-yl)-4***H***-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)methyl methanesulfonate and 3-(3-(chloromethyl)-5-(cyclohex-2-en-1-ylthio)-4***H***-1,2,4-triazol-4-yl)pyridine (0.063 g, 0.17 mmol) in dry DMF (2.7 mL) was added 3-methyl-4-(3-((tetrahydro-2***H***-pyran-2-yl)oxy)prop-1yn-1-yl)phenol (0.051 g, 0.21 mmol) and Cs₂CO₃ (0.11 g, 0.34 mmol) and the reaction stirred at room temperature 16 h. The reaction was cooled to room temperature, diluted with EtOAc, and organic layer washed with water. The aqueous was extracted with EtOAc (3x). The combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, EtOAc/Hexanes: 0/100 to 100/0). Product 2-51** was obtained as a pale yellow viscous oil (0.056 g, 64%): IR (neat) 3039, 2941, 2859, 1736, 1604, 1484, 1443, 1231, 1118, 1021, 869, 815, 732, 705 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.72 (d, *J* = 3.9 Hz, 1 H), 8.59 (d, *J* = 2.0 Hz, 1 H), 7.66 (ddd, *J* = 8.1, 2.5, 1.5 Hz, 1 H), 7.44 (dd, *J* = 8.1, 4.8 Hz, 1 H), 7.26 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1 H), 6.65 (d, J = 2.6 Hz, 1 H), 6.60 (dd, J = 8.5, 2.6 Hz, 1 H), 5.84 (ddq, J = 9.8, 3.8, 1.9 Hz, 1 H), 5.71 (ddt, J = 9.9, 3.9, 2.0 Hz, 1 H), 5.07-5.01 (m, 2 H), 4.88 (t, J = 3.4 Hz, 1 H), 4.54 (dd, J = 4.2, 1.8 Hz, 1 H), 4.52-4.42 (m, 2 H), 3.85 (ddd, J = 11.4, 8.7, 2.9 Hz, 1 H), 3.55-3.50 (m, 1 H), 2.33 (s, 3 H), 2.0-1.97 (m, 4 H), 1.73-1.50 (m, 9 H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 157.1, 153.5, 151.4, 151.2, 148.1, 142.5, 134.8, 133.6, 132.4, 130.03, 129.92, 125.4, 124.1, 116.2, 115.7, 111.9, 96.7, 88.0, 84.2, 62.1, 59.9, 54.9, 44.1, 30.4, 29.2, 25.4, 24.9, 21.0, 19.2; HRMS (ESI⁺): m/z calculated for C₂₉H₃₃O₃N₄S (M+H) 517.2268, found 517.2272; ELS purity (100%).



3-(4-((5-((Cyclopentyl- d_9)**thio)-4-(pyridin-3-yl)-4H-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)methoxy)-2methylphenyl)prop-2-yn-1-yl (2-(4-isopropylpiperazin-1-yl)ethyl)carbamate (2-52)**¹³¹. To a solution of **2-38** (0.300 g, 0.698 mmol) in dry CH₂Cl₂ (10.3 mL) was added CDI (0.170 g, 1.05 mmol) and the reaction stirred at room temperature under argon for 2 h. 2-(4-Isopropylpiperazin-1-yl)ethan-1-amine (0.179 g, 1.05 mmol) was added and the reaction stirred at room temperature. After stirring for 15 h additional 2-(4-isopropylpiperazin-1-yl)ethan-1-amine (0.120 g, 0.698 mmol) was added and the reaction was then diluted with CH₂Cl₂ and washed with water. The combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, MeOH/CH₂Cl₂: 0/100 to 10/90). The concentrated fractions were taken up in CH₂Cl₂ and washed with water to remove residual imidazole. Product **2-52** was obtained as a sticky pale yellow foam (0.348 g, 76%): IR (neat) 3280, 2964, 2814, 2225, 1719, 1604, 1495, 1485, 1230, 1126, 1022, 816, 707 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.69 (dd, *J* = 4.8, 0.8 Hz, 1 H), 8.56 (d, *J* = 2.5 Hz, 1 H), 7.64 (dddd, *J* = 8.1, 2.3, 1.5, 0.7 Hz, 1 H), 7.41 (dd, *J* = 8.1, 4.8 Hz, 1 H), 7.23 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 1 H), 6.63 (d, *J* = 2.4 Hz, 1 H), 6.58 (dd, *J* = 8.5, 2.6 Hz, 1 H), 5.45 (t, *J* = 4.3 Hz, 1 H), 5.01 (s, 2 H), 4.86 (s, 2 H), 3.25 (q, *J* = 5.7 Hz, 2 H), 2.61 (dquintet, *J* = 13.0, 6.5 Hz, 1 H), 2.54-2.32 (m, 10 H), 2.30 (s, 3 H), 0.99 (d, *J* = 6.5 Hz, 6 H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 176.3, 157.3, 155.6, 154.0, 151.13, 151.12, 148.0, 142.6, 134.7, 133.7, 130.0, 124.0, 115.7, 111.9, 99.4, 86.5, 84.6, 59.8, 56.7, 54.4, 53.3, 53.0, 48.5, 37.5, 45.0-45.7 (m, CD), 32.3-33.2 (m, CD), 22.5-23.9 (m, CD), 20.9, 18.5; HRMS (ESI⁺): *m*/*z* calculated for C₃₃H₃₅²H₉O₃N₇S (M+H) 627.3786, found 627.3783; ELS purity (100%).



3-(4-((5-(Cyclohex-2-en-1-ylthio)-4-(pyridin-3-yl)-4H-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)methoxy)-2methylphenyl)prop-2-yn-1-yl (2-(4-isopropylpiperazin-1-yl)ethyl)carbamate (2-53)¹³¹. To a solution of **2-39** (0.055 g, 0.13 mmol) in dry CH₂Cl₂ (1.5 mL) was added CDI (0.023 g, 0.14 mmol) and the reaction stirred at room temperature under argon for 2 h. A solution of 2-(4-isopropylpiperazin-1-yl)ethan-1-amine (0.033 g, 0.19 mmol) in dry CH₂Cl₂ (0.5 mL) was then

added and the reaction stirred for 2 d at room temperature. The reaction was then diluted with CH₂Cl₂ and washed with brine. The combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, MeOH/EtOAc: 0/100 to 20/80) followed by filtration through a plug of basic Al₂O₃ (MeOH/ CH₂Cl₂: 0/100 to 3/97). Product 2-53 was obtained as a white foam (0.058 g, 73%): IR (neat) 3303, 2936, 2815, 1719, 1485, 1445, 1230, 1127, 1023, 984, 707 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (600 MHz, acetone-*d*₆) δ 8.74 (dd, J = 4.8, 1.5 Hz, 1 H), 8.71 (d, J = 2.5 Hz, 1 H), 7.98 (ddd, J = 8.1, 2.5, 1.5 Hz, 1 H), 7.62 (dd, J = 1.5 Hz, 1 H), 7.63 (dd, J = 1.5 Hz, 1 H), 7.64 (dd, J = 1.5 Hz, 1 H), 7.65 (dd, J = 1.5 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H}), 7.65 (dd, J = 1.5 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H}), 7.65 (dd, J = 1.5 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H}), 7.65 (dd, J = 1.5 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H}), 7.65 (dd, J = 1.5 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H}), 7.65 (dd, J = 1.5 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H}), 7.65 (dd, J = 1.5 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H}), 7.65 (dd, J = 1.5 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H}), 7.65 (dd, J = 1.5 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H}), 7.65 (dd, J = 1.5 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H}), 7.65 (dd, J = 1.5 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H}), 7.65 (dd, J = 1.5 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H}), 7.65 (dd, J = 1.5 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H}), 7.65 (dd, J = 1.5 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H}), 7.65 (dd, J = 1.5 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H}), 7.65 (dd, J = 1.5 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H}), 7.65 (dd, J = 1.5 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H}), 7.65 8.1, 4.8 Hz, 1 H), 7.27 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1 H), 6.82 (d, J = 2.5 Hz, 1 H), 6.75 (dd, J = 8.5, 2.6 Hz, 1 H), 6.27 (t, J = 4.1 Hz, 1 H), 5.86 (dtd, J = 9.8, 3.8, 1.6 Hz, 1 H), 5.72 (ddt, J = 9.9, 4.2, 2.1 Hz, 1 H), 5.22-5.17 (m, 2 H), 4.88 (s, 2 H), 4.41 (qd, J = 4.2, 2.0 Hz, 1 H), 3.25 (q, J = 6.1 Hz, 2 H), 2.58 (dquintet, J = 13.1, 6.5 Hz, 1 H), 2.50-2.35 (m, 10 H), 2.32 (s, 3 H), 2.04-1.99 (m, 3 H), 1.95 (ddt, J = 14.0, 6.9, 3.5 Hz, 1 H), 1.71 (ddtd, J = 13.8, 10.4, 7.0, 3.4 Hz, 1 H), 1.65-1.59 (m, 1 H), 0.96 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 6 H); ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, acetone- d_6) δ 158.7, 156.3, 152.9, 152.5, 151.8, 149.0, 143.0, 136.0, 134.1, 132.6, 131.2, 126.5, 125.0, 116.7, 116.3, 113.1, 88.3, 84.6, 60.9, 58.2, 54.8, 54.4, 53.1, 49.2, 44.8, 38.9, 25.4, 20.8, 19.8, 18.8; HRMS (ESI⁺): m/z calculated for C₃₄H₄₄O₃N₇S (M+H) 630.3221, found 630.3221; ELS purity (100%).



Prop-2-yn-1-yl 4-methylbenzenesulfonate. To a solution of propargyl alcohol (0.52 mL, 8.7 mmol) and TsCl (1.7 g, 9.1 mmol) in Et₂O (17.3 mL) at -20 °C was added powdered KOH (3.4 g, 52 mmol). The reaction was stirred allowed to warm to 0 °C over 1 h and then stirred for an

additional 1 h. The reaction was then poured into water and extracted with Et₂O. The combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The tosylate was obtained as a pale brown oil (1.4 g, 74%) and carried on without further purification or characterization: ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.83-7.80 (m, 2 H), 7.35 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2 H), 4.70 (d, *J* = 2.5 Hz, 2 H), 2.47 (t, *J* = 2.5 Hz, 1 H), 2.45 (s, 3 H).

4-(Prop-2-yn-1-yl)morpholine^{132, 133}. To a solution of prop-2-yn-1-yl 4-methylbenzenesulfonate (1.00 g, 4.76 mmol) in THF (4.6 mL) was added morpholine (0.832 mL, 9.51 mmol) and K₂CO₃ (1.31 g, 9.51 mmol). The reaction was stirred at room temperature 16 h and diluted with EtOAc (5 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with sat. NH₄Cl (5 mL) and brine, then the aqueous layers were extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (5 mL). The combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The morpholine was purified by Kugelrohr distillation (250 Torr, 150 °C). The product was obtained as a colorless oil (0.533 g, 64%) and carried on without further characterization: ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 3.74 (app t, *J* = 4.7 Hz, 4 H), 3.29 (d, *J* = 2.4 Hz, 2 H), 2.57 (app t, *J* = 4.7 Hz, 4 H), 2.26 (t, *J* = 2.4 Hz, 1 H).

3-Methyl-4-(3-morpholinoprop-1-yn-1-yl)phenol¹²⁶. To a solution of 4-(prop-2-yn-1-yl)morpholine (0.30 g, 1.7 mmol) and 4-iodo-3-methylphenol (0.44 g, 1.9 mmol) in dry, deoxygenated MeCN (4.6 mL) was added Pd(PPh₃)₂Cl₂ (0.061 g, 0.085 mmol) and CuI (0.017 g, 0.085 mmol) followed by Et₃N (0.60 mL, 4.3 mmol). The reaction was stirred at room temperature 16 h. The reaction was diluted with EtOAc, washed with brine, filtered, and concentrated. The product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, EtOAc/hexanes: 20/80 to 100/0). The phenol was obtained as a brown crystalline solid (0.29 g, 73%) and carried on without further characterization: ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.17 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 1 H), 6.84 (s, 1 H), 6.64 (d, *J* = 2.3 Hz, 1 H), 6.57 (dd, *J* = 8.3, 2.5 Hz, 1 H), 3.81 (app t, *J* = 4.7 Hz, 4 H),

3.56 (s, 2 H), 2.70 (app t, J = 4.7 Hz, 4 H), 2.30 (s, 3 H); HRMS (ESI⁺): m/z calculated for C₁₄H₁₈O₂N (M+H) 232.1332, found 232.1333.

(5-(Cyclopentylthio)-4-(pyridin-3-yl)-4*H*-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)methyl methanesulfonate and 3-(3-(chloromethyl)-5-(cyclopentylthio)-4*H*-1,2,4-triazol-4-yl)pyridine. To a solution of (5-(cyclopentylthio)-4-(pyridin-3-yl)-4*H*-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)methanol (0.050 g, 0.18 mmol) and DiPEA (0.063 mL, 0.36 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (2.4 mL) at 0 °C was added MsCl (0.024 mL, 0.32 mmol) dropwise. The reaction was stirred at 0 ° C and slowly warmed to room temperature over about 2 h. The reaction was diluted with CH₂Cl₂, washed with sat. NaHCO₃ and sat. NH₄Cl, dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The triazole was obtained as a mixture of mesylate and chloride and carried on without purification or characterization: HRMS (ESI⁺) chloride: m/zcalculated for C₁₃H₁₆N₄ClS (M+H) 295.0779, found 295.0776; HRMS (ESI⁺) mesylate: m/zcalculated for C₁₄H₁₉O₃N₄S₂ (M+H) 355.0893, found 355.0891.

4-(3-(4-((5-(Cyclopentylthio)-4-(pyridin-3-yl)-4H-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)methoxy)-2-

methylphenyl)prop-2-yn-1-yl)morpholine (2-55). To a solution of the mixture from above (0.064 g, 0.18 mmol) in dry DMF (3 mL) was added 3-methyl-4-(3-morpholinoprop-1-yn-1-yl)phenol (0.054 g, 0.23 mmol) and Cs₂CO₃ (0.088 g, 0.27 mmol) and the reaction stirred at room temperature 16 h. The reaction was diluted with EtOAc and organic layer washed with water. The aqueous was extracted with EtOAc (3x). The combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, MeOH/EtOAc: 0/100 to 10/00). Product **2-55** was obtained as a pale red foam (0.039 g, 44%): IR (neat) 3423, 3049, 2955, 2858, 1603, 1485, 1448, 1290, 1230, 1167, 1114, 1003, 861, 819, 707 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.72 (d, *J* = 4.4 Hz, 1 H), 8.59 (d, *J* = 1.8 Hz, 1 H), 7.66 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 1 H), 7.43 (dd, *J* = 8.1, 4.8 Hz, 1 H), 7.24 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 1 H), 6.65 (d, *J* =

2.1 Hz, 1 H), 6.60 (dd, J = 8.5, 2.4 Hz, 1 H), 5.03 (s, 2 H), 4.01 (quintet, J = 6.6 Hz, 1 H), 3.73 (t, J = 4.5 Hz, 4 H), 3.50 (s, 2 H), 2.60 (t, J = 4.3 Hz, 4 H), 2.33 (s, 3 H), 2.19-2.15 (m, 2 H), 1.69-1.59 (m, 6 H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 126 MHz) δ 157.0, 154.0, 151.29, 151.18, 148.1, 142.1, 134.8, 133.5, 130.1, 124.1, 116.6, 115.8, 112.0, 86.8, 84.0, 66.9, 59.9, 52.4, 48.2, 46.1, 33.9, 24.7, 21.2; HRMS (ESI⁺): m/z calculated for C₂₇H₃₂O₂N₅S (M+H) 490.2271, found 490.2270; ELS purity (100%).



4-(Prop-2-yn-1-yl)thiomorpholine 1,1-dioxide^{132, 133}. To a solution of thiomorpholine-1,1dioxide (0.500 g, 2.85 mmol) and K₂CO₃ (1.18 g, 8.56 mmol) in MeCN (5.8 mL) was added prop-2-yn-1-yl 4-methylbenzenesulfonate (0.720 g, 3.43 mmol) . The reaction was stirred at room temperature 16 h then concentrated. The residue was resuspended in EtOAc, filtered, and loaded onto and ISCO cartridge. The product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, MeOH/CH₂Cl₂: 0/100 to 10/90). The thiomorpholine 1,1-dioxide was obtained as a white solid (0.212 g, 43%) and carried on without further characterization: ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 3.43 (d, *J* = 2.5 Hz, 2 H), 3.11-3.08 (m, 8 H), 2.33 (t, *J* = 2.4 Hz, 1 H); HRMS (ESI⁺): *m/z* calculated for C₇H₁₂O₂NS (M+H) 174.0583, found 174.0582.

4-(3-(4-Hydroxy-2-methylphenyl)prop-2-yn-1-yl)thiomorpholine 1,1-dioxide¹²⁶. To a solution of 4-(prop-2-yn-1-yl)thiomorpholine 1,1-dioxide (0.10 g, 0.58 mmol) and 4-iodo-3-methylphenol (0.15 g, 0.63 mmol) in dry, deoxygenated MeCN (1.1 mL) was added $Pd(PPh_3)_2Cl_2$ (0.021 g, 0.029 mmol) and CuI (5.6 mg, 0.029 mmol) followed by Et₃N (0.20 mL,

1.4 mmol). The reaction was stirred at room temperature 16 h. The reaction was diluted with EtOAc, washed with brine, filtered, and concentrated. The product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, EtOAc/hexanes: 20/80 to 100/0). The phenol was obtained as a tan foam (0.094 g, 58%) and carried on without further characterization: ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.24 (d, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 1 H), 6.65 (bs, 1 H), 6.58 (dd, *J* = 8.5, 1.5 Hz, 1 H), 3.65 (s, 2 H), 3.1-3.06 (m, 8 H), 2.35 (s, 3 H).

4-(3-(4-((5-(Cyclopentylthio)-4-(pyridin-3-yl)-4H-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)methoxy)-2-

methylphenyl)prop-2-yn-1-yl)thiomorpholine 1,1-dioxide (2-56). To a solution of crude 3-(3-(chloromethyl)-5-(cyclopentylthio)-4H-1,2,4-triazol-4-yl)pyridine (0.043 g, 0.15 mmol) in dry DMF (2.4 mL) was added 4-(3-(4-hydroxy-2-methylphenyl)prop-2-yn-1-yl)thiomorpholine 1,1dioxide (0.053 g, 0.19 mmol) and CsCO₃ (0.071 g, 0.22 mmol) and the reaction stirred at room temperature 16 h. The reaction was diluted with EtOAc and organic layer washed with water. The aqueous was extracted with EtOAc (3x). The combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, MeOH/EtOAc: 0/100 to 10/00). Product 2-56 was obtained as a white foam (0.063 g, 80%): IR (neat) 2951, 2869, 2232, 1604, 1485, 1447, 1296, 1230, 1125, 1047, 907, 724, 707 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.74 (dd, *J* = 4.8, 1.5 Hz, 1 H), 8.60 (d, *J* = 2.1 Hz, 1 H), 7.67 (ddd, *J* = 8.1, 2.5, 1.6 Hz, 1 H), 7.46 (ddd, J = 8.1, 4.8, 0.6 Hz, 1 H), 7.25 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1 H), 6.68 (d, J = 2.5 Hz, 1 H), 6.63 (dd, J = 8.5, 2.6 Hz, 1 H), 5.06 (s, 2 H), 4.00-4.07 (m, 1 H), 3.65 (s, 2 H), 3.15-3.08 (m, 8 H), 2.35 (s, 3 H), 2.22-2.15 (m, 2 H), 1.71-1.59 (m, 6 H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) & 157.2, 154.1, 151.22, 151.20, 148.0, 142.2, 134.8, 133.5, 130.1, 124.1, 115.96, 115.80, 112.0, 85.7, 84.7, 59.9, 51.5, 50.2, 47.7, 46.1, 33.8, 24.6, 21.2; HRMS (ESI⁺): m/z calculated for C₂₇H₃₂O₃N₅S₂ (M+H) 538.1941, found 538.1943; ELS purity (100%).



4-(3-(4-((5-((Cyclopentyl-d₉)thio)-4-(pyridin-3-yl)-4H-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)methoxy)-2methylphenyl)prop-2-yn-1-yl)thiomorpholine 1,1-dioxide (2-57). To a solution of crude 3-(3-(chloromethyl)-5-((cyclopentyl-d₉)thio)-4H-1,2,4-triazol-4-yl)pyridine (0.028 g, 0.092 mmol) in dry DMF (1.5 mL) was added 4-(3-(4-hydroxy-2-methylphenyl)prop-2-yn-1-yl)thiomorpholine 1,1-dioxide (0.033 g, 0.12 mmol) and Cs_2CO_3 (0.045 g, 0.14 mmol) and the reaction stirred at room temperature 16 h. The reaction was diluted with EtOAc and organic layer washed with water. The aqueous was extracted with EtOAc (3x). The combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The product was purified by chromatography on SiO_2 (ISCO, MeOH/EtOAc: 0/100 to 10/00). Product 2-57 was obtained as a white foam (0.041 g, 81%): IR (neat) 3376, 2928, 2849, 2229, 1602, 1486, 1446, 1296, 1232, 1125, 1022, 951, 828, 707 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.73 (dd, J = 4.8, 1.5 Hz, 1 H), 8.59 (d, J = 2.3 Hz, 1 H), 7.67 (ddd, J = 8.1, 2.5, 1.6 Hz, 1 H), 7.45 (ddd, J = 8.1, 4.8, 0.6 Hz, 1 H), 7.24 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1 H), 6.67 (d, J = 2.6 Hz, 1 H), 6.62 (dd, J = 8.5, 2.6 Hz, 1 H), 5.05 (s, 2 H), 3.64 (s, 2 H), 3.14-3.07 (m, 8 H), 2.34 (s, 3 H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 157.2, 154.1, 151.2, 148.1, 142.2, 134.8, 133.6, 130.1, 124.1, 115.99, 115.83, 112.0, 85.7, 84.7, 59.9, 51.6, 50.2, 47.7, 33.1-32.7 (m, CD), 24.0-23.1 (m, CD), 21.2; HRMS (ESI⁺): *m/z* calculated for C₂₇H₂₃²H₉O₃N₅S₂ (M+H) 547.2506, found 547.2501; ELS purity (100%).



3-(4-((5-((Cyclopentyl-d9)thio)-4-(pyridin-3-yl)-4H-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)methoxy)-2-

methylphenyl)prop-2-yn-1-yl (2,2,2-trifluoroethyl)carbamate (2-63)¹³¹. To a solution of 2-38 (0.055 g, 0.13 mmol) in dry CH₂Cl₂ (1.5 mL) was added CDI (0.023 g, 0.14 mmol) and the reaction stirred at room temperature under argon for 2 h. 2,2,2-Trifluoroethylamine (0.015 mL, 0.19 mmol) was then added and the reaction stirred 16 h at room temperature. The reaction was then diluted with CH₂Cl₂ and washed with brine. The combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, EtOAc/Hexanes: 0/100 to 100/0). Product 2-63 was obtained as a white foam (0.012 g, 16%): IR (neat) 3238, 3048, 2939, 2228, 1730, 1551, 1485, 1284, 1230, 1147, 1032, 829, 707 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.75 (dd, J = 4.8, 1.5 Hz, 1 H), 8.61 (dd, J = 2.5, 0.3 Hz, 1 H), 7.67 (ddd, J = 8.1, 2.5, 1.6 Hz, 1 H), 7.46 (ddd, J = 8.1, 4.8, 0.6 Hz, 1 H), 7.28 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1 H), 6.68 (d, J = 2.6 Hz, 1 H), 6.63 (dd, J = 8.5, 2.6 Hz, 1 H), 5.26 (t, J = 5.4 Hz, 1 H), 5.06 (s, 2 H), 4.96 (s, 2 H), 3.84 (qd, J = 8.8, 6.9 Hz, 2 H), 2.35 (s, 3 H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 157.6, 155.5, 154.3, 151.31, 151.27, 148.1, 142.9, 134.9, 133.9, 130.2, 122.7-125.5 (m, CD), 124.2, 120.0, 115.9, 115.6, 112.0, 85.8, 85.4, 59.9, 54.5, 42.9 (q, $J_{CF} = 35.0 \text{ Hz}$), 32.9 (app d, J_{CF} = 276.9 Hz) 23.6 (dt, J_{CD} = 38.7, 19.4 Hz), 21.0; HRMS (ESI⁺): m/z calculated for $C_{26}H_{18}^{2}H_{9}O_{3}N_{5}F_{3}S$ (M+H) 555.2346, found 555.2343; ELS purity (100%).



3-(4-((5-((Cyclopentyl-d9)thio)-4-(pyridin-3-yl)-4H-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)methoxy)-2-

methylphenyl)prop-2-yn-1-yl morpholine-4-carboxylate (2-64). To a solution of 2-38 (0.055 g, 0.13 mmol) in dry CH₂Cl₂ (1.5 mL) was added CDI (0.023 g, 0.14 mmol) and the reaction stirred at room temperature under argon for 2 h. Morpholine (0.017 mL, 0.19 mmol) was then added and the reaction stirred 16 h at room temperature. The reaction was then diluted with CH₂Cl₂ and washed with brine. The combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, EtOAc/Hexanes: 0/100 to 100/0) followed by chromatography on C18-SiO₂ (RP-ISCO, MeCN/H₂O: 10/90 to 95/5). Product 2-64 was obtained as a white foam (0.054 g, 78%): IR (neat) 2920, 2858, 2225, 1701, 1603, 1431, 1278, 1231, 1115, 1022, 817, 728, 707 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.72 (dd, J = 4.8, 1.4 Hz, 1 H), 8.58 (d, J = 2.5 Hz, 1 H), 7.66 (dddd, J = 8.2, 2.5, 1.6, 0.5 Hz, 1 H), 7.44 (ddt, J = 8.1, 4.8, 0.8 Hz, 1 H), 7.26 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1 H), 6.66 (d, J = 2.2 Hz, 1 H), 6.61 (dd, J = 8.5, 2.6 Hz, 1 H), 5.03 (s, 2 H), 4.92 (s, 2 H), 3.63 (bs, 4 H), 3.47 (t, J = 4.7 Hz, 4 H),2.33 (s, 3 H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 157.4, 154.6, 154.1, 151.2, 148.0, 142.7, 134.7, 133.7, 130.1, 124.1, 115.74, 115.69, 111.9, 86.5, 84.8, 66.6, 59.9, 54.1, 44.3-44.0 (m, CD), 32.8 (dt, J_{CD} = 39.0, 19.1 Hz) 23.6 (dd, J_{CD} = 39.3, 19.7 Hz), 20.9; HRMS (ESI⁺): m/z calculated for $C_{28}H_{23}^{2}H_{9}O_{4}N_{5}S$ (M+H) 543.2733, found 543.2734; ELS purity (100%).



3-(4-((5-(Cyclohex-2-en-1-ylthio)-4-(pyridin-3-yl)-4H-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)methoxy)-2methylphenyl)prop-2-yn-1-yl 4-methylpiperazine-1-carboxylate (2-65). To a solution of 2-39 (0.050 g, 0.12 mmol) in dry CH₂Cl₂ (2 mL) was added CDI (0.028 g, 0.17 mmol) and the reaction stirred at room temperature under argon for 2 h. 1-Methylpiperazine (0.019 mL, 0.17 mmol) was added and the reaction stirred at room temperature 16 h. The reaction was then diluted with CH₂Cl₂ and washed with brine. The combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The product was purified by chromatography on SiO_2 (ISCO, MeOH/EtOAc: 0/100 to 12.5/87.5) followed by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, MeOH/ CH₂Cl₂: 0/100 to 8/92), the mixed fractions were re-purified by (ISCO, MeOH/ CH₂Cl₂: 0/100 to 10/90). Product 2-65 was obtained as a pale yellow oil (0.030 g, 46%): IR (neat) 2937, 2793, 2231, 1702, 1604, 1439, 1292, 1231, 1148, 1004, 820, 708 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.75 (dd, J = 4.7, 1.2 Hz, 1 H), 8.61 (d, J = 2.2 Hz, 1 H), 7.67 (dt, J = 8.1, 1.8 Hz, 1 H), 7.46 (dd, J = 8.1, 4.8 Hz, 1 H), 7.30 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1 H), 6.68 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1 H), 6.63 (dd, J = 8.5, 2.3Hz, 1 H), 5.90-5.85 (m, 1 H), 5.75 (dt, J = 10.1, 1.9 Hz, 1 H), 5.07 (s, 2 H), 4.93 (s, 2 H), 4.57 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1 H), 3.53 (t, J = 5.0 Hz, 4 H), 2.36-2.39 (m, 6 H), 2.30 (s, 3 H), 2.17-2.01 (m, 5 H), 1.80-1.67 (m, 2 H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 76 MHz) δ 157.4, 154.7, 153.5, 151.4, 151.2, 148.1, 142.8, 134.8, 133.8, 132.4, 130.1, 125.5, 124.1, 115.8, 112.0, 86.8, 84.7, 60.0, 54.8, 54.0, 46.2, 44.2, 43.9, 29.3, 24.9, 20.9, 19.3; HRMS (ESI⁺): m/z calculated for C₃₀H₃₅O₃N₆S (M+H) 559.2486, found 559.2486; ELS purity (100%).



3-(4-((5-(Cyclohex-2-en-1-ylthio)-4-(pyridin-3-yl)-4H-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)methoxy)-2-

methylphenyl)prop-2-yn-1-yl (1-methylpiperidin-4-yl)carbamate (2-66). To a solution of 2-**39** (0.050 g, 0.12 mmol) in dry CH₂Cl₂ (2 mL) was added CDI (0.028 g, 0.17 mmol) and the reaction stirred at room temperature under argon for 2 h. 4-Amino-1-methylpiperidine (0.022 mL, 0.17 mmol) was added and the reaction stirred 16 h at room temperature. The reaction was then diluted with CH₂Cl₂ and washed with brine. The combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, MeOH/EtOAc: 0/100 to 40/60) followed by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, MeOH/ CH₂Cl₂: 0/100 to 20/80) and the mixed fractions were repurified by (ISCO, MeOH/ CH₂Cl₂: 0/100 to 12.5/87.5). Product 2-66 was obtained as a white foam (0.026 g, 39%): IR (neat) 3257, 2936, 1718, 1604, 1485, 1447, 1270, 1231, 1045, 868, 708 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.72 (dd, J = 4.7, 0.8 Hz, 1 H), 8.59 (d, J = 2.2 Hz, 1 H), 7.65 (ddd, J = 8.1, 2.3, 1.6 Hz, 1 H), 7.43 (dd, J = 8.1, 4.8 Hz, 1 H), 7.26 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1 H), 6.66 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1 H), 6.60 (dd, J = 2.0 Hz, 1 H), 7.26 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1 H), 6.60 (dd, J = 1.0 Hz, 1 Hz, 1 H), 6.60 (dd, J = 1.0 Hz, 1 Hz, 8.5, 2.3 Hz, 1 H), 5.87-5.82 (m, 1 H), 5.74-5.69 (m, 1 H), 5.09-5.00 (m, 2 H), 4.88 (s, 2 H), 4.54 (app d, J = 2.4 Hz, 1 H), 3.55-3.46 (m, 1 H), 2.73 (d, J = 11.5 Hz, 2 H), 2.33 (s, 3 H), 2.24 (s, 3 H), 2. H), 2.06-1.99 (m, 9 H), 1.73-1.62 (m, 2 H), 1.46 (qd, J = 11.7, 3.2 Hz, 2 H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 76 MHz) & 157.4, 155.0, 153.5, 151.4, 151.2, 148.1, 142.8, 134.8, 133.8, 132.4, 130.1, 125.5, 124.1, 115.8, 112.0, 86.6, 84.8, 60.0, 54.4, 53.4, 47.9, 46.2, 44.2, 32.5, 29.3, 24.9, 20.9, 19.3;
HRMS (ESI⁺): m/z calculated for C₃₁H₃₇O₃N₆S (M+H) 573.2642, found 573.2642; ELS purity (100%).



3-(4-((5-(Cyclohex-2-en-1-ylthio)-4-(pyridin-3-yl)-4H-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)methoxy)-2methylphenyl)prop-2-yn-1-yl (2-(4-methylpiperazin-1-yl)ethyl)carbamate (2-67). To a solution of 2-39 (0.050 g, 0.12 mmol) in dry CH₂Cl₂ (1.5 mL) was added CDI (0.028 g, 0.17 mmol) and the reaction stirred at room temperature under argon for 2 h. A solution of 2-(4isopropylpiperazin-1-yl)ethan-1-amine (0.025 g, 0.17 mmol) in dry CH₂Cl₂ (0.5 mL) was then added and the reaction stirred at room temperature for 3 d. The reaction was then diluted with CH₂Cl₂ and washed with brine. The combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, MeOH/EtOAc: 0/100 to 40/60) followed by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, MeOH/ CH₂Cl₂: 0/100 to 15/85). Product 2-67 was obtained as a white foam (0.020 g, 28%): IR (neat) 3282, 2935, 2796, 1717, 1604, 1485, 1444, 1230, 1165, 1012, 818, 707 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.73 (dd, J = 4.8, 1.4 Hz, 1 H), 8.60 (d, J = 2.5 Hz, 1 H), 7.66 (ddd, J = 8.1, 2.5, 1.6 Hz, 1 H), 7.44 (dd, J = 8.1, 4.8 Hz, 1 H), 7.28 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1 H), 6.67 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 1 H), 6.62 (dd, J = 8.5, 2.6 Hz, 1 H), 5.88-5.84 (m, 1 H), 5.73 (dt, J = 9.9, 2.1 Hz, 1 H), 5.37 (s, 1 H), 5.05 (s, 2 H), 4.91 (s, 2 H), 4.56 (dd, J = 3.8, 1.5 Hz, 1 H), 3.28 (q, J = 5.7 Hz, 2 H), 2.47 (t, J = 6.0 Hz, 8 H), 2.35 (s, 3 H), 2.26 (s, 3 H), 2.08-1.99 (m, 5 H), 1.73-1.64 (m, 3 H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 157.4,

155.7, 153.6, 151.41, 151.27, 148.1, 142.8, 134.8, 133.9, 132.5, 130.1, 125.5, 124.1, 115.8, 112.0, 86.6, 84.8, 59.9, 56.8, 55.2, 53.5, 52.9, 46.1, 44.1, 37.7, 29.3, 24.9, 21.0, 19.2; HRMS (ESI⁺): *m/z* calculated for C₃₂H₄₀O₃N₇S (M+H) 602.2908, found 602.2907; ELS purity (100%).



3-(4-((5-(Cyclohex-2-en-1-ylthio)-4-(pyridin-3-yl)-4H-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)methoxy)-2methylphenyl)prop-2-yn-1-yl (3-(4-methylpiperazin-1-yl)-3-oxopropyl)carbamate (2-68). To a solution of 2-39 (0.050 g, 0.12 mmol) in dry CH₂Cl₂ (1.5 mL) was added CDI (0.028 g, 0.17 mmol) and the reaction stirred at room temperature under argon for 2 h. A solution of 3-amino-1-(4-methylpiperazin-1-yl)propan-1-one (0.030 g, 0.17 mmol) in dry CH₂Cl₂ (0.5 mL) was then added and the reaction stirred 16 h at room temperature. The reaction was then diluted with CH₂Cl₂ and washed with brine. The combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, MeOH/EtOAc: 0/100 to 30/70) followed by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, MeOH/ CH₂Cl₂: 0/100 to 10/90). Product 2-68 was obtained as a white foam (0.025 g, 34%): IR (neat) 3284, 2936, 2795, 1718, 1634, 1442, 1226, 1138, 1000, 817, 708 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.72 (dd, J = 4.8, 1.6 Hz, 1 H), 8.59 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1 H), 7.65 (ddd, J = 8.1, 2.5, 1.4 Hz, 1 H), 7.44 (dd, J = 8.1, 4.8 Hz, 1 H), 7.26 (dd, J = 8.6, 2.0 Hz, 1 H), 6.66 (d, J = 1.2 Hz, 1 H), 6.61 (dd, J = 8.6, 1.9 Hz, 1 H), 5.87-5.83 (m, 1 H), 5.74-5.70 (m, 1 H), 5.66 (t, J = 5.3 Hz, 1 H), 5.07-5.01 (m, 2 H), 4.87 (s, 2 H), 4.55-4.54 (m, 1 H), 3.60 (dd, J = 9.3, 5.2 Hz, 2 H), 3.50-3.45 (m, 2 H), 3.42-3.40 (m, 2 H),

2.50 (t, J = 5.6 Hz, 2 H), 2.35-2.33 (m, 7 H), 2.27 (s, 3 H), 2.07-1.97 (m, 4 H), 1.73-1.62 (m, 2 H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 169.8, 157.4, 155.8, 153.5, 151.41, 151.25, 148.1, 142.7, 134.8, 133.8, 132.5, 130.1, 125.5, 124.1, 115.81, 115.76, 112.0, 86.6, 84.6, 59.9, 55.0, 54.7, 53.4, 46.1, 45.2, 44.1, 41.5, 36.9, 33.2, 29.3, 24.9, 21.0, 19.2; HRMS (ESI⁺): m/z calculated for C₃₃H₄₀₄N₇S (M+H) 630.2857, found 630.2858; ELS purity (100%).



3-(4-((5-(Cyclohex-2-en-1-ylthio)-4-(pyridin-3-yl)-4H-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)methoxy)-2methylphenyl)prop-2-yn-1-yl ((**1-(4-isopropylpiperazin-1-yl)cyclobutyl)methyl)carbamate** (**2-69).** To a solution of **2-39** (0.050 g, 0.12 mmol) in dry CH₂Cl₂ (1.5 mL) was added CDI (0.028 g, 0.17 mmol) and the reaction stirred at room temperature under argon for 2 h. A solution of (1-(4-isopropylpiperazin-1-yl)cyclobutyl)methanamine (0.037 g, 0.17 mmol) in dry CH₂Cl₂ (0.5 mL) was then added and the reaction stirred for 2 d at room temperature. The reaction was then diluted with CH₂Cl₂ and washed with brine. The combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, MeOH/EtOAc: 0/100 to 10/90). Product **2-69** was obtained as a white foam (0.056 g, 73%): IR (neat) 3388, 2936, 2815, 1717, 1604, 1485, 1445, 1222, 1123, 1022, 984, 732, 706 cm⁻¹ ; ¹H NMR (600 MHz, acetone-*d*₆) δ 8.74 (dd, *J* = 4.8, 1.5 Hz, 1 H), 8.71 (d, *J* = 2.5 Hz, 1 H), 7.98 (ddd, *J* = 8.1, 2.5, 1.6 Hz, 1 H), 7.62 (dd, *J* = 8.1, 4.8 Hz, 1 H), 7.27 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 1 H), 6.81 (d, J = 2.5 Hz, 1 H), 6.75 (dd, J = 8.5, 2.6 Hz, 1 H), 6.08 (t, J = 5.4 Hz, 1 H), 5.86 (dtd, J = 9.8, 3.8, 1.6 Hz, 1 H), 5.72 (ddt, J = 9.9, 4.1, 2.1 Hz, 1 H), 5.22-5.17 (m, 2 H), 4.89 (s, 2 H), 4.41 (dtt, J = 6.3, 4.2, 2.1 Hz, 1 H), 3.38 (d, J = 5.8 Hz, 2 H), 2.57 (tt, J = 13.1, 6.5 Hz, 1 H), 2.51 (bs, 4 H), 2.44 (bs, 4 H), 2.32 (s, 3 H), 2.10-1.93 (m, 6 H), 1.77-1.62 (m, 6 H), 0.96 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 6 H); ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, acetone- d_6) δ 158.7, 156.7, 152.9, 152.5, 151.9, 149.0, 143.0, 136.0, 134.1, 132.7, 131.2, 126.5, 125.0, 116.7, 116.3, 113.1, 88.3, 84.7, 63.5, 60.9, 54.8, 53.3, 50.5, 49.9, 46.1, 44.8, 44.1, 27.8, 27.5, 25.4, 20.9, 19.8, 18.8, 13.9; HRMS (ESI⁺): m/z calculated for C₃₇H₄₈O₃N₇S (M+H) 670.3534, found 670.3534; ELS purity (100%).



3-(4-((5-((Cyclopentyl-*d*₉)**thio)-4-(pyridin-3-yl)-4***H***-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)methoxy)-2methylphenyl)prop-2-yn-1-yl (2-(4-isopropyl-1,4-diazepan-1-yl)ethyl)carbamate (2-70).** To a solution of **2-38** (0.055 g, 0.13 mmol) in dry CH₂Cl₂ (1.5 mL) was added CDI (0.031 g, 0.19 mmol) and the reaction stirred at room temperature under argon for 2 h. A solution of 2-(4isopropyl-1,4-diazepan-1-yl)ethan-1-amine (0.036 g, 0.19 mmol) in dry CH₂Cl₂ (0.5 mL) was then added and the reaction stirred for 2 d at room temperature. The reaction was then diluted with CH₂Cl₂ and washed with brine. The combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, MeOH/EtOAc: 0/100 to 60/40) followed by filtration through basic Al₂O₃ (MeOH/CH₂Cl₂: 0/100 to 10/90). Product **2-70** was obtained as a colorless viscous oil/goo (0.041 g, 49%): IR (neat) 3314, 2931, 2819, 2224, 1719, 1604, 1485, 1230, 1122, 1023, 997, 818, 707 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (600 MHz, acetone- d_6) δ 8.74 (dd, J = 4.8, 1.5 Hz, 1 H), 8.71 (dd, J = 2.5, 0.5 Hz, 1 H), 7.97 (ddd, J = 8.1, 2.6, 1.5 Hz, 1 H), 7.62 (ddd, J = 8.1, 4.8, 0.7 Hz, 1 H), 7.27 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1 H), 6.81 (d, J = 2.5 Hz, 1 H), 6.74 (dd, J = 8.5, 2.6 Hz, 1 H), 6.26 (t, J = 5.5 Hz, 1 H), 5.18 (s, 2 H), 4.88 (s, 2 H), 3.21 (q, J = 6.1 Hz, 2 H), 2.82 (dquintet, J = 13.2, 6.6 Hz, 1 H), 2.69-2.67 (m, 2 H), 2.64-2.62 (m, 4 H), 2.59 (dt, J = 6.3, 3.3 Hz, 4 H), 2.32 (s, 3 H), 1.69 (dt, J = 12.1, 6.0 Hz, 2 H), 0.94 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 6 H); ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, acetone- d_6) δ 158.7, 156.4, 153.5, 152.3, 151.9, 149.0, 143.0, 136.0, 134.1, 131.3, 125.1, 116.7, 116.3, 113.1, 88.3, 84.6, 60.9, 57.9, 57.7, 55.6, 54.5, 53.1, 51.5, 50.2, 46.2 (t, $J_{CD} = 23.8$ Hz), 39.8, 33.3 (t, $J_{CD} = 19.7$ Hz) 24.1 (dd, $J_{CD} = 40.7$, 19.8 Hz), 20.8, 18.6; HRMS (ESI⁺): m/z calculated for C₃₄H₃₇²H₉O₃N₇S (M+H) 641.3942, found 641.3940; ELS purity (100%).



3-(4-((5-((Cyclopentyl-*d*₉)**thio)-4-(pyridin-3-yl)-4H-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)methoxy)-2methylphenyl)prop-2-yn-1-yl (2-(1-methyl-1H-1,2,4-triazol-5-yl)ethyl)carbamate (2-71).** To a solution of **2-38** (0.055 g, 0.13 mmol) in dry CH₂Cl₂ (1.5 mL) was added CDI (0.031 g, 0.19 mmol) and the reaction stirred at room temperature under argon for 2 h. A solution of 2-(1methyl-1H-1,2,4-triazol-5-yl)ethan-1-amine (0.024 g, 0.19 mmol) in dry CH₂Cl₂ (0.5 mL) was then added and the reaction stirred for 2 d at room temperature. The reaction was then diluted with CH₂Cl₂ and washed with brine. The combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, MeOH/EtOAc: 0/100 to 10/90). Product **2-71** was obtained as a yellow foam (0.065 g, 87%): IR (neat) 3264, 3049, 2949, 2224, 1711, 1485, 1445, 1222, 1124, 1021, 997, 819, 707 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (600 MHz, acetone- d_6) δ 8.74 (dd, J = 4.8, 1.4 Hz, 1 H), 8.71 (t, J = 1.2 Hz, 1 H), 7.98 (ddt, J = 8.1, 2.6, 1.3 Hz, 1 H), 7.68 (bs, 1 H), 7.62 (ddd, J = 8.1, 4.8, 0.5 Hz, 1 H), 7.27 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1 H), 6.81 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 1 H), 6.75 (dd, J = 8.5, 2.5 Hz, 1 H), 5.19 (s, 2 H), 4.89 (s, 2 H), 3.81 (s, 3 H), 3.57-3.53 (m, 2 H), 2.99 (t, J = 6.8 Hz, 2 H), 2.31 (s, 3 H); ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, acetone- d_6) δ 158.7, 156.4, 154.1, 153.5, 152.3, 151.8, 150.6, 149.0, 143.0, 136.0, 134.1, 131.3, 125.1, 116.7, 116.2, 113.1, 88.1, 84.7, 60.9, 53.3, 46.3 (t, $J_{CD} = 20.5$ Hz), 39.5, 35.3, 33.4 (dd, $J_{CD} = 40.1$, 19.9 Hz), 26.6, 24.0 (quintet, $J_{CD} = 20.9$ Hz), 20.8; HRMS (ESI⁺): m/z calculated for C₂₉H₂₄²H₉O₃N₈S (M+H) 582.2956, found 582.2955; ELS purity (100%).



4-Bromo-3-fluorophenyl pivalate¹³⁴. To a solution of 4-bromo-3-fluorophenol (1.00 g, 5.24 mmol) and Et₃N (0.809 mL, 5.76 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (10.5 mL) at 0 °C was added PivCl (0.709 mL, 5.76 mmol). The reaction was stirred at 0 °C for 20 min then diluted with Et₂O (15 mL) and filtered. The filtrate was concentrated and taken up in Et₂O (15 mL), filtered, and concentrated. The product was obtained as a tan oil that solidified in the freezer (1.41 g, 98%) and the pivalate was carried on without further purification or characterization: ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.53 (dd, *J* = 8.6, 7.9 Hz, 1 H), 6.92 (dd, *J* = 9.1, 2.6 Hz, 1 H), 6.79 (ddd, *J* = 8.7, 2.5, 1.2 Hz, 1 H), 1.35 (s, 9 H).

3-Fluoro-4-(3-((tetrahydro-2*H***-pyran-2-yl)oxy)prop-1-yn-1-yl)phenyl** pivalate¹³⁵. To a solution of 2-(prop-2-yn-1-yloxy)tetrahydro-2H-pyran (2.65 g, 18.9 mmol) and 4-bromo-3fluorophenyl pivalate (4.00 g, 14.5 mmol) in deoxygenated Et₃N (11.2 mL) was added Pd(PPh₃)₄ (0.840 g, 0.727 mmol) and CuI (0.283 g, 1.45 mmol). The reaction was sparged with argon for 2 min then stirred at reflux 16 h. The reaction was concentrated, and the residue taken up in Et_2O_1 , washed with sat. NH₄Cl and brine, filtered, and concentrated. The product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, EtOAc/hexanes: 0/100 to 10/90). The pivalate was obtained as a yellow oil (2.95 g, 61%): IR (neat) 2942, 1756, 1615, 1578, 1501, 1365, 1257, 1143, 1109, 1096, 1023, 973, 899, 815 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.41 (t, J = 8.1 Hz, 1 H), 6.86-6.80 (m, 2 H), 4.88 (t, J = 3.4 Hz, 1 H), 4.53-4.44 (m, 2 H), 3.86 (ddd, J = 11.4, 8.9, 2.8 Hz, 1 H), 3.54 (dtd, J = 11.0, 4.3, 1.3 Hz, 1 H), 1.84-1.71 (m, 2 H), 1.66-1.51 (m, 4 H), 1.31 (s, 9 H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃,100 MHz) δ 176.3, 163.0 (d, J_{CF} = 253 Hz), 151.9 (d, J_{CF} = 10.3 Hz, 133.9 (d, $J_{CF} = 2.0$ Hz), 117.4 (d, $J_{CF} = 3.4$ Hz), 109.8 (d, $J_{CF} = 24.1$ Hz), 108.8 (d, $J_{CF} = 16.1$ Hz), 96.9, 90.5 (d, J_{CF} = 3.0 Hz), 78.6, 62.0, 54.7, 39.2, 30.3, 27.0, 25.4, 19.1; ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃) δ -107.4; HRMS (ESI⁺): *m/z* calculated for C₁₉H₂₄O₄F (M+H) 335.1652, found 335.1652.

3-Fluoro-4-(3-((tetrahydro-2*H***-pyran-2-yl)oxy)prop-1-yn-1-yl)phenol¹³⁶.** To a solution of 3fluoro-4-(3-((tetrahydro-2*H*-pyran-2-yl)oxy)prop-1-yn-1-yl)phenyl pivalate (1.00 g, 2.99 mmol) in THF (44 mL) and MeOH (44 mL) was added a solution of NaOH (1 M, 32.9 mL) dropwise and the reaction was stirred at room temperature 16 h. The reaction was concentrated and diluted with CH₂Cl₂ (100 mL). The solution was then neutralized to pH 7 with 2 N HCl and the aqueous extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (2x). The combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The crude phenol was obtained as a yellow oil (0.887 g, 119%) and carried on without further purification or characterization: ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.28 (t, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1 H), 6.65-6.60 (m, 2 H), 5.02 (t, J = 3.2 Hz, 1 H), 4.63-4.52 (m, 2 H), 3.98 (ddd, J = 11.3, 8.7, 2.9 Hz, 1 H), 3.70-3.64 (m, 1 H), 1.97-1.81 (m, 2 H), 1.77-1.60 (m, 4 H); ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃) δ -107.4; HRMS (ESI⁻): m/z calculated for C₁₄H₁₄O₃F (M-H) 249.0921, found 249.0927.

3-Fluoro-4-(3-hydroxyprop-1-yn-1-yl)phenol. To a solution of 3-fluoro-4-(3-((tetrahydro-2*H*pyran-2-yl)oxy)prop-1-yn-1-yl)phenol (0.408 g, 1.63 mmol) in MeOH (10 mL) was added PPTS (0.102 g, 0.408 mmol) and the reaction stirred at room temperature for 44.5 h. The reaction was diluted with CH₂Cl₂ and extracted with sat. NaHCO₃. The combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, EtOAc/Hexanes: 0/100 to 100/0). The phenol was obtained as a pale yellow solid (0.128 g, 47%): m.p. 101-102.8 °C; IR (neat) 3405, 3225, 2868, 2237, 1619, 1591, 1506, 1469, 1306, 1222, 1152, 1112, 1021, 947, 839, 804 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃/acetone-*d*₆) δ 6.99 (t, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1 H), 6.40-6.35 (m, 2 H), 4.26 (s, 2 H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃/acetone-*d*₆), δ 163.5 (d, *J*_{CF} = 250.0 Hz), 158.7 (d, *J*_{CF} = 11.2 Hz), 133.9, 111.4 (d, *J*_{CF} = 2.4 Hz), 102.9 (d, *J*_{CF} = 8.1 Hz), 102.8 (d, *J*_{CF} = 8.0 Hz), 101.8 (d, *J*_{CF} = 16.2 Hz), 90.6 (d, *J*_{CF} = 2.9 Hz), 78.2, 50.7; ¹⁹F NMR (470 MHz, CDCl₃/acetone-*d*₆,) δ -104.0; HRMS (ESI⁻): *m/z* calculated for C₉H₆O₂F (M-H) 165.0346, found 165.0352.

3-(4-((5-((Cyclopentyl-d9)thio)-4-(pyridin-3-yl)-4H-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)methoxy)-2-

fluorophenyl)prop-2-yn-1-ol (2-72). To a solution of 3-(3-(chloromethyl)-5-((cyclopentyl d_9)thio)-4*H*-1,2,4-triazol-4-yl)pyridine (0.053 g, 0.17 mmol) in dry DMF (2.9 mL) was added 3fluoro-4-(3-hydroxyprop-1-yn-1-yl)phenol (0.032 g, 0.19 mmol) and Cs₂CO₃ (0.063 g, 0.19 mmol) and the reaction stirred at room temperature for 18 h. The reaction was diluted with EtOAc, and organic layer washed with water. The aqueous was extracted with EtOAc (3x). The combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, EtOAc/Hexanes: 0/100 to 100/0). Product **2-72** was obtained as an off-white solid (0.074 g, 98%): IR (neat) 3218, 2943, 2855, 2225, 1619, 1576, 1505, 1484, 1396, 1288, 1162, 1023, 836, 708 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.74 (dd, *J* = 4.8, 1.5 Hz, 1 H), 8.60 (d, *J* = 2.3 Hz, 1 H), 7.67 (ddd, *J* = 8.1, 2.5, 1.5 Hz, 1 H), 7.47 (ddd, *J* = 8.1, 4.8, 0.6 Hz, 1 H), 7.22 (t, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1 H), 6.60-6.51 (m, 2 H), 5.04 (s, 2 H), 4.48 (d, *J* = 5.5 Hz, 2 H), 3.32 (t, *J* = 5.6 Hz, 1 H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 163.3 (d, *J*_{CF} = 252.0 Hz), 158.4 (d, *J*_{CF} = 10.4 Hz), 156.6, 154.4, 151.2, 150.7, 147.9, 134.8, 134.3 (d, *J*_{CF} = 2.9 Hz), 130.0, 124.2, 110.5 (d, *J* = 3.1 Hz), 104.7 (d, *J*_{CF} = 16.1 Hz), 102.7 (d, *J*_{CF} = 25.0 Hz), 92.1 (d, *J*_{CF} = 3.0 Hz), 78.1, 60.1, 51.3, 45.7-45.2 (m, CD), 33.2-32.4 (m, CD), 23.7-23.3 (m, CD); ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃) δ -107.1; HRMS (ESI⁺): *m*/*z* calculated for C₂₂H₁₃²H₉O₂N₄FS (M+H) 434.2007, found 434.2003; ELS purity (100%).



3-(3-(Cyclohex-2-en-1-ylthio)-5-((3-fluoro-4-(3-((tetrahydro-2*H***-pyran-2-yl)oxy)prop-1-yn-1-yl)phenoxy)methyl)-4***H***-1,2,4-triazol-4-yl)pyridine.** To the crude mixture of (5-(cyclohex-2en-1-ylthio)-4-(pyridin-3-yl)-4*H*-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)methyl methanesulfonate and 3-(3-(chloromethyl)-5-(cyclohex-2-en-1-ylthio)-4*H*-1,2,4-triazol-4-yl)pyridine (0.254 g, 0.693 mmol) in dry DMF (10.1 mL) was added 3-fluoro-4-(3-((tetrahydro-2*H*-pyran-2-yl)oxy)prop-1-yn-1yl)phenol (0.191 g, 0.762 mmol) and Cs₂CO₃ (0.248 g, 0.762 mmol) and the reaction stirred at room temperature 16 h. The reaction was diluted with EtOAc, and organic layer washed with water. The aqueous was extracted with EtOAc (3x). The combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, EtOAc/Hexanes: 0/100 to 80/20). The triazole was obtained as an off-white solid (0.227 g, 63%): IR (CDCl₃) 3032, 2942, 2863, 2230, 1619, 1571, 1505, 1442, 1286, 1163, 1120, 1021, 902, 814, 726, 707 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.76 (dd, J = 4.8, 1.4 Hz, 1 H), 8.60 (d, *J* = 2.4 Hz, 1 H), 7.66 (ddd, *J* = 8.1, 2.5, 1.6 Hz, 1 H), 7.48 (dd, *J* = 8.1, 4.8 Hz, 1 H), 7.30 (t, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 1 H), 6.61 (ddd, J = 17.4, 9.6, 2.5 Hz, 2 H), 5.87 (dtd, J = 9.7, 3.8, 1.3 Hz, 1 H), 5.74 (ddd, J = 9.9, 4.0, 1.9 Hz, 1 H), 5.09-5.04 (m, 2 H), 4.87 (t, J = 3.4 Hz, 1 H), 4.57 (dd, J = 3.9, 1.0 Hz)1.6 Hz, 1 H), 4.47 (q, J = 13.4 Hz, 2 H), 3.87 (ddd, J = 11.4, 8.9, 2.7 Hz, 1 H), 3.59-3.53 (m, 1 H), 2.12-1.52 (m, 12 H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 163.8 (d, J_{CF} = 253.0 Hz), 158.5 (d, J_{CF} = 10.6 Hz), 153.9, 151.4, 150.9, 148.1, 134.8, 134.6 (d, *J*_{CF} = 3.2 Hz), 132.6, 130.0, 125.5, 124.2, 110.5 (d, $J_{CF} = 3.2$ Hz), 105.0 (d, $J_{CF} = 16.3$ Hz), 102.9 (d, $J_{CF} = 24.9$ Hz), 97.0, 89.6 (2.9 Hz), 78.9, 62.2, 60.3, 54.9, 44.2, 30.4, 29.3, 25.5, 24.9, 19.26, 19.18; ¹⁹F NMR (470 MHz, CDCl₃) δ -106.7; HRMS (ESI⁺): *m/z* calculated for C₂₈H₃₀O₃N₄FS (M+H) 521.2017, found 521.2014.

3-(4-((5-(Cyclohex-2-en-1-ylthio)-4-(pyridin-3-yl)-4H-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)methoxy)-2-

fluorophenyl)prop-2-yn-1-ol (2-73). To a solution of 3-(3-(cyclohex-2-en-1-ylthio)-5-((3-fluoro-4-(3-((tetrahydro-2*H*-pyran-2-yl)oxy)prop-1-yn-1-yl)phenoxy)methyl)-4*H*-1,2,4-triazol-4-yl)pyridine (0.20 g, 0.38 mmol) in MeOH (1.4 mL) was added PPTS (0.024 g, 0.096 mmol) and the reaction stirred at room temperature for 21 h. The reaction was diluted with CH₂Cl₂ (5 mL) and extracted with sat. NaHCO₃ (2x). The combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, EtOAc/Hexanes: 0/100 to 100/0). Product**2-73**was obtained as a pale yellow solid (0.145 g, 87%): m.p. 167.9-

169.4 °C; IR (neat) 3231, 2931, 2854, 1619, 1575, 1504, 1450, 1394, 1318, 1227, 1168, 1121, 1102, 1028, 969, 834, 707 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.79 (d, J = 4.2 Hz, 1 H), 8.65 (d, J = 1.9 Hz, 1 H), 7.74-7.71 (m, 1 H), 7.52 (dd, J = 8.1, 4.8 Hz, 1 H), 7.29 (d, J = 9.5 Hz, 1 H), 6.62 (ddd, J = 19.5, 9.6, 2.4 Hz, 2 H), 5.92-5.89 (m, 1 H), 5.77 (dt, J = 9.9, 2.0 Hz, 1 H), 5.13-5.07 (m, 2 H), 4.60 (d, J = 2.5 Hz, 1 H), 4.53 (s, 2 H), 3.09 (bs, 1 H), 2.12-2.01 (m, 4 H), 1.75-1.69 (m, J = 11.1, 7.8, 3.8 Hz, 2 H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 164.7, 162.2, 158.5 (d, $J_{CF} = 10.5$ Hz), 153.9, 151.1 (d, $J_{CF} = 42.3$ Hz), 148.0, 134.9, 134.4, 132.6, 130.0, 125.4, 124.3, 110.6 (d, $J_{CF} = 2.8$ Hz), 104.8 (d, $J_{CF} = 16.1$ Hz), 102.8 (d, $J_{CF} = 24.8$ Hz), 92.1 (d, $J_{CF} = 2.9$ Hz), 78.3, 60.2, 51.5, 44.2, 29.3, 24.9, 19.2; ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃) δ -106.9; HRMS (ESI⁺): m/z calculated for C₂₃H₂₂O₃N₄FS (M+H) 437.1442, found 437.1438; ELS purity (100%).



4-Bromo-2,5-difluorophenyl pivalate. To a solution of 4-bromo-2,5-difluorophenol (0.500 g, 2.39 mmol) and Et₃N (0.370 mL, 2.63 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (4.7 mL) at 0 °C was added PivCl (0.656 mL, 2.63 mmol). The reaction was stirred at 0 °C for 30 min then diluted with Et₂O (10 mL) and filtered. The filtrate was concentrated and taken up in Et₂O (10 mL), filtered, and concentrated. The product was obtained as a white solid (0.661 g, 94%) and the pivalate carried on without further purification: IR (neat) 3056, 2978, 1755, 1494, 1476, 1404, 1274, 1187, 1140, 1092, 1027, 1000, 905, 806, 738, 658 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.38 (dd, *J* = 9.0, 6.2 Hz, 1 H), 6.97 (dd, *J* = 8.1, 6.5 Hz, 1 H), 1.36 (s, 9 H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 175.6, 155.1 (dd, *J*_{CF} = 245.5, 3.3 Hz), 150.5 (dd, *J*_{CF} = 249.8, 3.6 Hz), 138.4 (dd, *J*_{CF} = 14.6, 9.9 Hz),

120.8 (dd, $J_{CF} = 23.7$, 1.3 Hz), 112.2 (dd, $J_{CF} = 26.5$, 1.3 Hz), 105.4 (dd, $J_{CF} = 23.5$, 8.3 Hz), 39.4, 27.2; ¹⁹F NMR (470 MHz, CDCl₃) δ -110.5 (d, J = 13.6 Hz), -131.4 (d, J = 13.4 Hz); HRMS (ESI⁺): m/z calculated for C₁₁H₁₂O₂BrF₂ (M+H) 292.9983, found 292.9995.

2,5-Difluoro-4-(3-((tetrahydro-2*H***-pyran-2-yl)oxy)prop-1-yn-1-yl)phenyl pivalate.** To a solution of 2-(prop-2-yn-1-yloxy)tetrahydro-2*H*-pyran (0.31 g, 2.2 mmol) and 4-bromo-2,5-difluorophenyl pivalate (0.50 g, 1.7 mmol) in deoxygenated Et₃N (1.32 mL) was added Pd(PPh₃)₄ (0.099 g, 0.085 mmol) and CuI (0.032 g, 0.17 mmol). The reaction was sparged with argon for 2 min then stirred at reflux 18.5 h. The reaction was concentrated, and the residue taken up in Et₂O, washed with sat. NH₄Cl and brine, filtered, and concentrated. The product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, EtOAc/hexanes: 0/100 to 10/90). The pivalate was obtained as a yellow oil (0.37 g, 62%) and carried on without further characterization: ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.22 (dd, *J* = 9.8, 6.3 Hz, 1 H), 6.89 (dd, *J* = 8.8, 6.4 Hz, 1 H), 4.89 (t, *J* = 3.3 Hz, 1 H), 4.55-4.46 (m, 2 H), 3.88 (ddd, *J* = 11.4, 8.9, 2.9 Hz, 1 H), 3.60-3.54 (m, 1 H), 1.86-1.74 (m, 2 H), 1.69-1.53 (m, 4 H), 1.36 (s, 9 H); ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃) δ -113.1 (d, *J* = 14.9 Hz), -133.4 (d, *J* = 14.8 Hz); HRMS (ESI⁺): *m/z* calculated for C₁₉H₂₃O₄F₂ (M+H) 353.1559, found 353.1560.

2,5-Difluoro-4-(3-((tetrahydro-2*H***-pyran-2-yl)oxy)prop-1-yn-1-yl)phenol.** To a solution of 2,5-difluoro-4-(3-((tetrahydro-2*H*-pyran-2-yl)oxy)prop-1-yn-1-yl)phenyl (0.36 g, 1.0 mmol) in THF (14.5 mL) and MeOH (14.5 mL) was added a solution of NaOH (1 M, 5.6 mL) dropwise and the reaction was stirred at room temperature 13.5 h. The reaction was concentrated and diluted with CH_2Cl_2 . The solution was then neutralized to pH 7 with 2 N HCl and the aqueous extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (2x). The combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The crude phenol was obtained as a yellow oil that solidified upon standing (0.29

g) and carried on without further purification or characterization: ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.10 (dd, *J* = 10.4, 6.4 Hz, 1 H), 6.72 (dd, *J* = 9.6, 7.4 Hz, 1 H), 4.92 (t, *J* = 3.3 Hz, 1 H), 4.49 (q, *J* = 13.7 Hz, 2 H), 3.89 (ddd, *J* = 11.4, 9.1, 2.6 Hz, 1 H), 3.61-3.57 (m, 1 H), 1.89-1.74 (m, 2 H), 1.69-1.54 (m, 4 H); ¹⁹F NMR (470 MHz, CDCl₃) δ -112.8 (d, *J* = 14.2 Hz), -145.0 (d, *J* = 14.3 Hz); HRMS (ESI⁺): *m*/*z* calculated for C₁₄H₁₃O₃F₂ (M+H) 267.0827, found 267.0834.

2,5-Difluoro-4-(3-hydroxyprop-1-yn-1-yl)phenol. To a solution of crude 2,5-difluoro-4-(3-((tetrahydro-2*H*-pyran-2-yl)oxy)prop-1-yn-1-yl)phenol (0.274 g, 1.02 mmol) in MeOH (6.3 mL) was added PPTS (0.128 g, 0.511 mmol) and the reaction stirred at room temperature for 2 d. The reaction was diluted with CH₂Cl₂ and washed with sat. NaHCO₃. The combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, EtOAc/Hexanes: 0/100 to 100/0). The phenol was obtained as an off-white solid (0.163 g, 86%): IR (acetone) 3381, 3088, 2232, 1692, 1632, 1512, 1433, 1261, 1222, 1170, 1012, 874, 817 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, acetone-*d*₆) δ 7.10 (dd, *J* = 11.0, 6.7 Hz, 1 H), 6.75 (dd, *J* = 10.3, 7.4 Hz, 1 H), 4.46 (s, 2 H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, acetone-*d*₆) δ 160.0 (dd, *J*_{CF} = 246.1, 1.8 Hz), 148.1 (dd, *J*_{CF} = 237.8, 2.8 Hz), 147.4 (dd, *J*_{CF} = 15.3, 11.8 Hz), 120.1 (dd, *J*_{CF} = 21.7, 3.4 Hz), 105.8 (dd, *J*_{CF} = 26.2, 3.1 Hz), 102.4 (dd, *J*_{CF} = 18.6, 8.4 Hz), 92.9 (d, *J*_{CF} = 3.4 Hz), 77.6 (d, *J*_{CF} = 2.1 Hz), 51.0; ¹⁹F NMR (470 MHz, acetone-*d*₆) δ -115.6 (d, *J* = 14.2 Hz), -142.8 (d, *J* = 13.9 Hz); HRMS (ESI): *m*/z calculated for C₉H₅O₂F₂ (M-H) 183.0252, found 183.0244.

3-(4-((5-((Cyclopentyl-d9)thio)-4-(pyridin-3-yl)-4H-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)methoxy)-2,5-

difluorophenyl)prop-2-yn-1-ol (2-74). To a solution of crude 3-(3-(chloromethyl)-5-((cyclopentyl- d_9)thio)-4*H*-1,2,4-triazol-4-yl)pyridine (0.14 g, 0.46 mmol) in dry DMF (7.5 mL) was added 2,5-difluoro-4-(3-hydroxyprop-1-yn-1-yl)phenol (0.092 g, 0.50 mmol) and Cs₂CO₃ (0.16 g, 0.50 mmol) and the reaction stirred at room temperature for 18 h. The reaction was diluted with EtOAc and organic layer washed with water. The aqueous was extracted with EtOAc and the combined organic layers dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, EtOAc/Hexanes: 0/100 to 100/0). Product **2-74** was obtained as a white foam (0.183 g, 89%): IR (neat) 3215, 2922, 2852, 2226, 1507, 1421, 1343, 1270, 1223, 1178, 1033, 1000, 848, 706 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃/CD₃OD) δ 8.74 (dd, *J* = 4.9, 1.3 Hz, 1 H), 8.64 (d, *J* = 2.3 Hz, 1 H), 7.89 (ddd, *J* = 8.2, 2.5, 1.5 Hz, 1 H), 7.60 (ddd, *J* = 8.2, 4.9, 0.4 Hz, 1 H), 7.07 (dd, *J* = 10.9, 6.5 Hz, 1 H), 6.92 (dd, *J* = 10.0, 7.0 Hz, 1 H), 5.16 (s, 2 H), 4.56 (s, 1 H), 4.41 (s, 2 H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃/CD₃OD) δ 158.6 (dd, *J*_{CF} = 249.1, 2.3 Hz), 154.4, 150.7, 150.3, 147.6 (dd, *J*_{CF} = 243.8, 3.3 Hz), 145.6 (dd, *J*_{CF} = 12.8, 10.0 Hz), 135.4, 129.5, 124.4, 119.3 (dd, *J*_{CF} = 21.3, 2.7 Hz), 104.1 (dd, *J*_{CF} = 18.5, 8.3 Hz), 103.1 (dd, *J*_{CF} = 27.2, 0.9 Hz), 92.7 (d, *J*_{CF} = 3.4 Hz), 76.1 (d, *J*_{CF} = 2.0 Hz), 60.6, 50.0, 45.5-45.0 (m, CD), 32.7-31.9 (m, CD), 23.4-22.5 (m, CD); ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃/CD₃OD) δ -112.5 (d, *J* = 14.6 Hz), -139.2 (d, *J* = 14.5 Hz); HRMS (ESI⁺): *m*/z calculated for C₂₂H₁₂²H₉O₂N₄F₂S (M+H) 452.1913, found 452.1905; ELS purity (100%).



3-(4-((5-(Cyclohex-2-en-1-ylthio)-4-(pyridin-3-yl)-4H-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)methoxy)-2,5difluorophenyl)prop-2-yn-1-ol (2-75). To the crude mixture of (5-(cyclohex-2-en-1-ylthio)-4-(pyridin-3-yl)-4H-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)methyl methanesulfonate and 3-(3-(chloromethyl)-5-(cyclohex-2-en-1-ylthio)-4H-1,2,4-triazol-4-yl)pyridine (0.090 g, 0.25 mmol) in dry DMF (4 mL) was added 2,5-difluoro-4-(3-hydroxyprop-1-yn-1-yl)phenol (0.050 g, 0.27 mmol) and

 $C_{s_2}CO_3$ (0.088 g, 0.27 mmol) and the reaction stirred at room temperature for about 19.5 h. The reaction was diluted with EtOAc, and organic layer washed with water. The aqueous was extracted with EtOAc (3x). The combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, EtOAc/Hexanes: 0/100 to 100/0). Product 2-75 was obtained as an off-white solid (0.088 g, 79%): m.p. 172.9-175 °C; IR (neat) 3204, 2944, 2850, 1628, 1508, 1481, 1454, 1422, 1346, 1226, 1178, 1038, 997, 867, 848, 751, 706 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃/CD₃OD) δ 8.60 (d, J = 4.5 Hz, 1 H), 8.48 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1 H), 7.69 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1 H), 7.43 (dd, J = 8.0, 4.9 Hz, 1 H), 6.93 (dd, J = 10.8)6.5 Hz, 1 H), 6.73 (dd, J = 9.7, 7.1 Hz, 1 H), 5.75-5.73 (m, 1 H), 5.57 (dt, J = 9.7, 1.7 Hz, 1 H), 5.02-4.96 (m, 2 H), 4.35 (d, J = 1.9 Hz, 1 H), 4.28 (s, 2 H), 3.92 (bs, 1 H), 1.95-1.81 (m, 4 H), 1.59-1.50 (m, 2 H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃/CD₃OD) δ 158.8 (dd, J_{CF} = 249.4, 2.0 Hz), 154.0, 151.0, 150.5, 147.9 (dd, *J*_{CF} = 243.9, 3.1 Hz), 147.5, 145.8 (dd, *J*_{CF} = 12.8, 9.9 Hz), 135.4, 132.5, 129.7, 124.8, 124.4, 119.6 (dd, $J_{CF} = 21.6$, 2.7 Hz), 104.5 (dd, $J_{CF} = 18.3$, 8.3 Hz), 103.4 (d, $J_{CF} = 28.1$ Hz), 93.0 (d, $J_{CF} = 3.4$ Hz), 76.4 (d, $J_{CF} = 1.4$ Hz), 60.9, 50.4, 44.1, 28.9, 24.6, 18.8; ¹⁹F NMR (470 MHz, CDCl₃/CD₃OD) δ -112.2 (d, J = 14.6 Hz), -139.0 (d, J = 14.1 Hz); HRMS (ESI⁺): m/z calculated for C₂₃H₂₁O₂N₄F₂S (M+H) 455.1348, found 455.1348; ELS purity (100%).



4-Bromo-2,3-difluorophenyl pivalate. To a solution of 4-bromo-2,3-difluorophenol (0.500 g, 2.30 mmol) and Et₃N (0.355 mL, 2.53 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (4.7 mL) at 0 °C was added PivCl

(0.630 mL, 2.53 mmol). The reaction was stirred at 0 °C for 30 min then diluted with Et₂O (10 mL) and filtered. The filtrate was concentrated and taken up in Et₂O (10 mL), filtered, and concentrated. The product was obtained as an off-white solid (0.672 g, 100%) and the pivalate carried on without further purification: IR (neat) 2978, 1752, 1487, 1471, 1266, 1088, 1035, 1008, 879, 790 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.31 (ddd, *J* = 9.0, 6.7, 2.4 Hz, 1 H), 6.83 (ddd, *J* = 9.0, 6.9, 2.2 Hz, 1 H), 1.37 (s, 9 H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 175.7, 148.9 (dd, *J*_{CF} = 249.8, 12.7 Hz), 144.0 (dd, *J*_{CF} = 255.1, 14.7 Hz), 139.5 (d, *J*_{CF} = 10.1 Hz), 126.9 (d, *J*_{CF} = 4.3 Hz), 119.4 (d, *J*_{CF} = 3.6 Hz), 107.1 (d, *J*_{CF} = 18.2 Hz), 39.4, 27.2; ¹⁹F NMR (470 MHz, CDCl₃) δ -127.2 (d, *J* = 20.9 Hz) -147.2 (d, *J* = 20.9 Hz); HRMS (ESI⁺): *m*/*z* calculated for C₁₁H₁₂O₂BrF₂ (M+H) 292.9983, found 292.9996.

2,3-Difluoro-4-(3-((tetrahydro-2*H***-pyran-2-yl)oxy)prop-1-yn-1-yl)phenyl pivalate.** To a solution of 2-(prop-2-yn-1-yloxy)tetrahydro-2*H*-pyran (0.31 g, 2.2 mmol) and 4-bromo-2,3-difluorophenyl pivalate (0.50 g, 1.7 mmol) in deoxygenated Et₃N (1.32 mL) was added Pd(PPh₃)₄ (0.099 g, 0.085 mmol) and CuI (0.032 g, 0.17 mmol). The reaction was sparged with argon for 2 min then stirred at reflux for 18.5 h. The reaction was concentrated, and the residue taken up in Et₂O, washed with sat. NH₄Cl and brine, filtered, and concentrated. The product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, EtOAc/hexanes: 0/100 to 10/90). The pivalate was obtained as a yellow oil (0.36 g, 60%) and carried on without further characterization: ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.19 (ddd, *J* = 8.8, 6.8, 2.1 Hz, 1 H), 6.84 (ddd, *J* = 8.7, 6.8, 1.9 Hz, 1 H), 4.89 (t, *J* = 3.3 Hz, 1 H), 4.56-4.47 (m, 2 H), 3.89 (ddd, *J* = 11.4, 8.9, 2.8 Hz, 1 H), 3.60-3.55 (m, 1 H), 1.86-1.74 (m, 2 H), 1.69-1.53 (m, 4 H), 1.37 (s, 9 H); ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃) δ - 132.2 (d, *J* = 20.5 Hz), -150.5 (d, *J* = 20.4 Hz); HRMS (ESI⁺): *m*/z calculated for C₁₉H₂₃O₄F₂ (M+H) 353.1559, found 353.1557.

2,3-Difluoro-4-(3-((tetrahydro-2*H***-pyran-2-yl)oxy)prop-1-yn-1-yl)phenol.** To a solution of 2,3-difluoro-4-(3-((tetrahydro-2*H*-pyran-2-yl)oxy)prop-1-yn-1-yl)phenyl pivalate (0.35 g, 0.99 mmol) in THF (14.5 mL) and MeOH (14.5 mL) was added a solution of NaOH (1 M, 5.5 mL) dropwise and the reaction was stirred at room temperature for about 13.5 h. The reaction was concentrated and diluted with CH₂Cl₂. The solution was then neutralized to pH 7 with 2 N HCl and the aqueous extracted with CH₂Cl₂. The combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The crude phenol was obtained as a pale brown oil that solidified upon standing (0.25 g, 95%) and carried on without further purification or characterization: ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.08-7.03 (m, 1 H), 6.73-6.69 (m, *J* = 2.8 Hz, 1 H), 4.91 (t, *J* = 3.5 Hz, 1 H), 4.50 (app q, *J* = 13.1 Hz, 2 H), 3.92-3.87 (m, 1 H), 3.61-3.56 (m, 1 H), 1.77 (d, *J* = 0.9 Hz, 2 H), 1.69-1.55 (m, 4 H); ¹⁹F NMR (470 MHz, CDCl₃) δ -133.4 (d, *J* = 20.5 Hz), -162.9 (d, *J* = 20.7 Hz); HRMS (ESI⁺): *m/z* calculated for C₁₄H₁₃O₃F₂ (M+H) 267.0827, found 267.0837.

2,3-Difluoro-4-(3-hydroxyprop-1-yn-1-yl)phenol. To a solution of crude 2,3-difluoro-4-(3-((tetrahydro-2*H*-pyran-2-yl)oxy)prop-1-yn-1-yl)phenol (0.253 g, 0.944 mmol) in MeOH (5.8 mL) was added PPTS (0.119 g, 0.472 mmol) and the reaction stirred at room temperature for 2 d. The reaction was diluted with CH₂Cl₂ and washed with sat. NaHCO₃. The combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, EtOAc/Hexanes: 0/100 to 100/0). The phenol was obtained as an off-white solid (0.146 g, 84%): IR (acetone) 3548, 3103, 2925, 2226, 1693, 1632, 1512, 1481, 1320, 1257, 1067, 1021, 997, 976, 808, 723, 656 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, acetone-*d*₆) δ 7.07 (ddd, *J* = 8.7, 7.5, 2.3 Hz, 1 H), 6.80 (ddd, *J* = 8.7, 8.1, 1.9 Hz, 1 H), 4.43 (s, 2 H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, acetone-*d*₆) δ 151.8 (dd, *J* = 249.2, 11.1 Hz), 147.3 (dd, *J* = 9.9, 2.7 Hz), 140.2 (dd, *J* = 242.9, 13.9 Hz), 127.6 (dd, *J* = 3.9, 1.8 Hz), 113.0 (d, *J* = 2.4 Hz), 103.5 (d, *J* = 13.1 Hz), 92.9

(d, J = 3.6 Hz), 76.3 (d, J = 3.6 Hz), 50.1; ¹⁹F NMR (470 MHz, acetone- d_6) δ -136.7 (d, J = 20.5 Hz); -162.5 (d, J = 19.1 Hz); HRMS (ESI⁻): m/z calculated for C₉H₅O₂F₂ (M-H) 183.0252, found 183.0255.

3-(4-((5-(Cyclohex-2-en-1-ylthio)-4-(pyridin-3-yl)-4H-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)methoxy)-2,3-

difluorophenyl)prop-2-yn-1-ol (2-76). To the crude mixture of (5-(cyclohex-2-en-1-ylthio)-4-(pyridin-3-yl)-4H-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)methyl methanesulfonate and 3-(3-(chloromethyl)-5-(cyclohex-2-en-1-ylthio)-4H-1,2,4-triazol-4-yl)pyridine (0.090 g, 0.25 mmol) in dry DMF (4 mL) was added 2,3-difluoro-4-(3-hydroxyprop-1-yn-1-yl)phenol (0.050 g, 0.27 mmol) and Cs₂CO₃ (0.088 g, 0.27 mmol) and the reaction stirred at room temperature for 18.5 h. The reaction was diluted with EtOAc, and organic layer washed with water. The aqueous was extracted with EtOAc (3x). The combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, EtOAc/Hexanes: 0/100 to 100/0). Product 2-76 was obtained as a yellow foam (0.081 g, 72%): IR (neat) 3196, 2917, 2851, 1631, 1507, 1483, 1451, 1394, 1305, 1186, 1089, 1053, 996, 804, 707 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃/CD₃OD) δ 8.69 (d, J = 3.1 Hz, 1 H), 8.55 (s, 1 H), 7.72 (dd, J = 6.3, 1.8 Hz, 1 H), 7.48 (dd, J = 7.8, 5.0 Hz, 1 H), 7.04-6.98 (m, 1 H), 6.76 (td, J = 8.1, 1.4 Hz, 1 H), 5.83-5.80 (m, 1 H), 5.67-5.63 (m, 1 H), 5.08 (s, 2 H), 4.45 (d, J = 1.4 Hz, 1 H), 4.37 (s, 2 H), 3.20 (s, 1 H), 2.02-1.87 (m, 4 H), 1.67-1.61 (m, 2 H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃/CD₃OD) δ 154.0, 151.5 (dd, $J_{CF} = 253.0, 11.0 \text{ Hz}$), 151.1, 150.6, 147.5, 146.5 (dd, $J_{CF} = 8.2, 2.8 \text{ Hz}$), 141.1 (dd, $J_{CF} = 249.2, 14.1 \text{ Hz}$, 135.5, 132.6, 129.7, 127.4 (d, $J_{CF} = 4.2 \text{ Hz}$), 124.8, 124.5, 109.9 (d, $J_{CF} = 4.2 \text{ Hz}$) 3.5 Hz), 106.8 (d, $J_{CF} = 12.9$ Hz), 93.2 (d, $J_{CF} = 3.5$ Hz), 76.4 (d, $J_{CF} = 3.9$ Hz), 61.0, 50.4, 44.1, 28.9, 24.6, 18.8; ¹⁹F NMR (470 MHz, CDCl₃/CD₃OD) δ -133.5 (d, J = 19.5 Hz), -157.8 (d, J =

19.4 Hz); HRMS (ESI⁺): m/z calculated for C₂₃H₂₁O₂N₄F₂S (M+H) 455.1348, found 455.1345; ELS purity (100%).



4-Bromo-5-fluoro-2-methoxyphenyl pivalate. To a solution of 4-bromo-5-fluoro-2methoxyphenol (0.500 g, 2.26 mmol) and Et₃N (0.350 mL, 2.49 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (4.4 mL) at 0 °C was added PivCl (0.620 mL, 2.49 mmol). The reaction was stirred at 0 °C for 100 min then diluted with Et₂O (10 mL) and filtered. The filtrate was concentrated and taken up in Et₂O (10 mL), filtered, and concentrated. The product was obtained as a brown solid (0.662 g, 96%) and the pivalate carried on without further purification: IR (neat) 2968, 1746, 1504, 1396, 1270, 1206, 1137, 1103, 1027, 993, 901, 798, 760, 731 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.07 (d, *J* = 6.3 Hz, 1 H), 6.86 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 1 H), 3.75 (s, 3 H), 1.34 (s, 9 H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 176.0, 152.8 (d, *J*_{CF} = 241.4 Hz), 148.3 (d, *J*_{CF} = 3.0 Hz), 139.8 (d, *J*_{CF} = 9.5 Hz), 116.3, 111.6 (d, *J*_{CF} = 25.9 Hz), 104.9 (d, *J*_{CF} = 22.6 Hz), 56.6, 39.1, 27.1; ¹⁹F NMR (470 MHz, CDCl₃) δ -115.9; HRMS (ESI⁺): *m*/*z* calculated for C₁₂H₁₅O₃BrF (M+H) 305.0183, found 305.0202.

5-Fluoro-2-methoxy-4-(3-((tetrahydro-2*H***-pyran-2-yl)oxy)prop-1-yn-1-yl)phenyl pivalate.** To a solution of 2-(prop-2-yn-1-yloxy)tetrahydro-2*H*-pyran (0.30 g, 2.1 mmol) and 4-bromo-5-fluoro-2-methoxyphenyl pivalate (0.50 g, 1.6 mmol) in deoxygenated Et₃N (1.27 mL) was added $Pd(PPh_3)_4$ (0.095 g, 0.082 mmol) and CuI (0.031 g, 0.16 mmol). The reaction was sparged with argon for 2 min then stirred at reflux for 16.5 h. The reaction was concentrated, and the residue

taken up in Et₂O, washed with sat. NH₄Cl and brine, filtered, and concentrated. The product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, EtOAc/hexanes: 0/100 to 15/85). The pivalate was obtained as a yellow oil (0.31 g, 52%) and carried on without further characterization: ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.97 (d, *J* = 6.3 Hz, 1 H), 6.80 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 1 H), 4.90 (t, *J* = 3.2 Hz, 1 H), 4.51 (q, *J* = 12.3 Hz, 2 H), 3.89 (ddd, *J* = 11.3, 9.1, 2.7 Hz, 1 H), 3.77 (s, 3 H), 3.57 (dt, *J* = 10.4, 4.7 Hz, 1 H), 1.88-1.50 (m, 6 H), 1.35 (s, 9 H); ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃) δ -118.1; HRMS (ESI⁺): *m/z* calculated for C₂₀H₂₆O₅F (M+H) 365.1759, found 365.1759.

5-Fluoro-2-methoxy-4-(3-((tetrahydro-2*H*-pyran-2-yl)oxy)prop-1-yn-1-yl)phenol. To а solution 5-fluoro-2-methoxy-4-(3-((tetrahydro-2*H*-pyran-2-yl)oxy)prop-1-yn-1-yl)phenyl of pivalate (0.30 g, 0.82 mmol) in THF (12 mL) and MeOH (12 mL) was added a solution of NaOH (1 M, 4.5 mL) dropwise and the reaction was stirred at room temperature for 14 h. The reaction was concentrated and diluted with CH_2Cl_2 . The solution was then neutralized to pH 7 with 2 N HCl and the aqueous extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (2x). The combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The crude phenol was obtained as a brown oil (0.25 g) and carried on without further purification or characterization: ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.86 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 1 H), 6.66 (d, J = 9.8 Hz, 1 H), 4.91 (t, J = 3.4 Hz, 1 H), 4.50 (q, J = 16.2 Hz, 2 H), 3.91-3.87 (m, 1 H), 3.86 (s, 3 H), 3.57 (dtd, J = 11.2, 4.4, 1.2 Hz, 1 H), 1.89-1.54 (m, 6 H); ¹⁹F NMR (470 MHz, CDCl₃) δ -116.8; HRMS (ESI): m/z calculated for C₁₅H₁₆O₄F (M-H) 279.1027, found 279.1033.

5-Fluoro-4-(3-hydroxyprop-1-yn-1-yl)-2-methoxyphenol. To a solution of crude 5-fluoro-2methoxy-4-(3-((tetrahydro-2*H*-pyran-2-yl)oxy)prop-1-yn-1-yl)phenol (0.231 g, 0.824 mmol) in MeOH (5.1 mL) was added PPTS (0.104 g, 0.412 mmol) and the reaction stirred at room temperature for 2 d. The reaction was diluted with CH₂Cl₂ and washed with sat. NaHCO₃. The combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, EtOAc/Hexanes: 0/100 to 100/0). The phenol was obtained as an off-white solid (0.121 g, 75%): IR (acetone) 3459, 3166, 2992, 2231, 1620, 1602, 1508, 1435, 1292, 1221, 1204, 1173, 1013, 865, 660 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, acetone-*d*₆) δ 8.45 (bs, 1 H), 6.92 (d, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 1 H), 6.66 (d, *J* = 10.4 Hz, 1 H), 4.42 (s, 3 H), 3.83 (s, 3 H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, acetone-*d*₆) δ 158.7 (d, *J*_{CF} = 242.5 Hz), 149.4 (d, *J*_{CF} = 11.8 Hz), 144.8 (d, *J*_{CF} = 2.5 Hz), 115.8 (d, *J*_{CF} = 2.8 Hz), 103.8 (d, *J*_{CF} = 26.1 Hz), 101.6 (d, *J*_{CF} = 17.3 Hz), 92.6 (d, *J*_{CF} = 3.4 Hz), 78.6, 56.8, 51.1; ¹⁹F NMR (470 MHz, acetone-*d*₆) δ -119.7; HRMS (ESI): *m*/*z* calculated for C₁₀H₈O₃F (M-H) 195.0452, found 195.0456.

3-(4-((5-(Cyclohex-2-en-1-ylthio)-4-(pyridin-3-yl)-4H-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)methoxy)-2-fluoro-

5-methoxyphenyl)prop-2-yn-1-ol (2-77). To the crude mixture of (5-(cyclohex-2-en-1-ylthio)-4-(pyridin-3-yl)-4*H*-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)methyl methanesulfonate and 3-(3-(chloromethyl)-5-(cyclohex-2-en-1-ylthio)-4*H*-1,2,4-triazol-4-yl)pyridine (0.085 g, 0.23 mmol) in dry DMF (3.8 mL) was added 5-fluoro-4-(3-hydroxyprop-1-yn-1-yl)-2-methoxyphenol (0.050 g, 0.25 mmol) and Cs₂CO₃ (0.083 g, 0.25 mmol) and the reaction stirred at room temperature for 20.5 h. The reaction was diluted with EtOAc, and organic layer washed with water. The aqueous was extracted with EtOAc (3x). The combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, EtOAc/Hexanes: 0/100 to 100/0). Product **2-77** was obtained as a yellow foam (0.088 g, 82%): IR (neat) 3288, 2930, 1615, 1505, 1445, 1220, 1206, 1133, 1025, 998, 867, 752, 706 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.72 (dd, *J* = 4.8, 1.2 Hz, 1 H), 8.64 (d, *J* = 2.2 Hz, 1 H), 7.77 (ddd, *J* = 8.1, 2.4, 1.5 Hz, 1 H), 7.44 (dd, *J* = 8.0, 4.9 Hz, 1 H), 6.76 (d, *J* = 6.6 Hz, 1 H), 6.63 (d, *J* = 10.1 Hz, 1 H), 5.88-5.82 (m, 1 H), 5.71 (dt, *J* = 9.9, 2.0 Hz, 1 H), 5.10-5.01 (m, 2 H), 4.54 (app d, *J* = 2.4 Hz, 1 H), 4.48 (s, 2 H), 3.70 (s, 3 H), 3.49 (bs, 1 H), 2.12-1.94 (m, 4 H), 1.77-1.59 (m, 2 H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 157.0 (d, $J_{CF} = 245.8$ Hz), 153.7, 151.0, 151.0 (d, $J_{CF} = 12.5$ Hz), 150.9, 148.1, 147.5 (d, $J_{CF} = 9.4$ Hz), 145.5 (d, $J_{CF} = 2.8$ Hz), 135.2, 132.5, 129.8, 125.3, 124.1, 115.1 (d, $J_{CF} = 2.4$ Hz), 103.6 (d, $J_{CF} = 16.8$ Hz), 102.8 (d, $J_{CF} = 26.8$ Hz), 92.5 (d, $J_{CF} = 3.6$ Hz), 78.1, 61.0, 56.2, 51.1, 44.0, 29.2, 24.8, 19.1; ¹⁹F NMR (470 MHz, CDCl₃) δ -116.9; HRMS (ESI⁺): *m/z* calculated for C₂₄H₂₄O₃N₄FS (M+H) 467.1548, found 467.1546; ELS purity (100%).



4-Bromo-3-cyanophenyl pivalate. To a solution of 4-bromo-5-hydroxybenzonitrile (0.500 g, 2.53 mmol) and Et₃N (0.390 mL, 2.78 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (5 mL) at 0 °C was added PivCl (0.692 mL, 2.78 mmol). The reaction was stirred at 0 °C for 50 min then diluted with Et₂O (10 mL) and filtered. The filtrate was concentrated and taken up in Et₂O (10 mL), filtered, and concentrated. The product was obtained as a brown oil (0.720 g, 101%) and the pivalate carried on without further purification: IR (neat) 2977, 2936, 2236, 1754, 1465, 1396, 1259, 1225, 1151, 1095, 1027, 902, 833 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.67 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 1 H), 7.40 (d, *J* = 2.7 Hz, 1 H), 7.20 (dd, *J* = 8.8, 2.8 Hz, 1 H), 1.35 (s, 9 H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 176.3, 150.3, 134.2, 127.9, 127.5, 121.7, 116.8, 116.5, 39.4, 27.1; HRMS (ESI⁺): *m/z* calculated for C₁₂H₁₃O₂NBr (M+H) 282.0124, found 282.0123.

3-Cyano-4-(3-((tetrahydro-2*H***-pyran-2-yl)oxy)prop-1-yn-1-yl)phenyl.** To a solution of 2-(prop-2-yn-1-yloxy)tetrahydro-2*H*-pyran (0.32 g, 2.3 mmol) and 4-bromo-3-cyanophenyl pivalate (0.50 g, 1.8 mmol) in deoxygenated Et₃N (1.37 mL) was added Pd(PPh₃)₄ (0.10 g, 0.089

mmol) and CuI (0.034 g, 0.18 mmol). The reaction was sparged with argon for 2 min then stirred at reflux for 13 h. The reaction was concentrated, and the residue taken up in Et₂O, washed with sat. NH₄Cl and brine, filtered, and concentrated. The product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, EtOAc/hexanes: 0/100 to 10/90). The phenol was obtained as a yellow oil (0.40 g, 65%) and carried on without further characterization: ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.55 (d, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 1 H), 7.38 (d, *J* = 2.3 Hz, 1 H), 7.26 (dd, *J* = 8.6, 2.4 Hz, 1 H), 4.95 (t, *J* = 3.3 Hz, 1 H), 4.55 (s, 2 H), 3.89 (ddd, *J* = 11.4, 8.7, 2.9 Hz, 1 H), 3.61-3.56 (m, 1 H), 1.89-1.75 (m, 2 H), 1.69-1.51 (m, 4 H), 1.35 (s, 9 H); HRMS (ESI⁺): *m*/*z* calculated for C₂₀H₂₃O₄NNa (M+Na) 364.1519, found 364.1517.

5-Hydroxy-2-(3-((tetrahydro-2*H***-pyran-2-yl)oxy)prop-1-yn-1-yl)benzonitrile.** To a solution of 3-cyano-4-(3-((tetrahydro-2*H*-pyran-2-yl)oxy)prop-1-yn-1-yl)phenyl pivalate (0.38 g, 1.1 mmol) in THF (16.3 mL) and MeOH (16.3 mL) was added a solution of NaOH (1 M, 6.12 mL) dropwise and the reaction was stirred at room temperature for 14 h. The reaction was concentrated and diluted with CH₂Cl₂. The solution was then neutralized to pH 7 with 2 N HCl and the aqueous extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (2x). The combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The crude phenol was obtained as a brown oil (0.31 g) and carried on without further purification or characterization: ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.35 (d, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 1 H), 7.07 (d, *J* = 2.6 Hz, 1 H), 6.99 (dd, *J* = 8.6, 2.6 Hz, 1 H), 5.00 (t, *J* = 3.2 Hz, 1 H), 4.58-4.50 (m, 2 H), 3.91 (ddd, *J* = 11.4, 8.7, 2.8 Hz, 1 H), 3.64-3.59 (m, 1 H), 1.90-1.76 (m, 2 H), 1.70-1.55 (m, 5 H); HRMS (ESI'): *m/z* calculated for C₁₅H₁₄O₃N (M-H) 256.0968, found 256.0973.

5-Hydroxy-2-(3-hydroxyprop-1-yn-1-yl)benzonitrile. To a solution of crude 5-hydroxy-2-(3-((tetrahydro-2*H*-pyran-2-yl)oxy)prop-1-yn-1-yl)benzonitrile (0.286 g, 1.11 mmol) in MeOH (6.8

mL) was added PPTS (0.140 g, 0.556 mmol) and the reaction stirred at room temperature for 2 d. The reaction was diluted with CH₂Cl₂ and washed with sat. NaHCO₃. The combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, EtOAc/Hexanes: 0/100 to 100/0). The phenol was obtained as a tan solid (0.171 g, 89%): IR (acetone) 3368, 3166, 2922, 2237, 1693, 1601, 1503, 1440, 1308, 1245, 1010, 955, 856, 720 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, acetone-*d*₆) δ 9.47 (bs, 1 H), 7.43 (d, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 1 H), 7.17 (d, *J* = 2.6 Hz, 1 H), 7.12 (dd, *J* = 8.6, 2.6 Hz, 1 H), 4.63 (bs, 1 H), 4.48 (s, 2 H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, acetone-*d*₆) δ 158.3, 135.1, 121.2, 119.8, 118.0, 117.8, 116.6, 93.3, 81.1, 50.9; HRMS (ESI⁻): *m*/*z* calculated for C₁₀H₆O₂N (M-H) 172.0393, found 172.0396.

5-((5-(Cyclohex-2-en-1-ylthio)-4-(pyridin-3-yl)-4H-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)methoxy)-2-(3-

hydroxyprop-1-yn-1-yl)benzonitrile (2-78). To the crude mixture of (5-(cyclohex-2-en-1ylthio)-4-(pyridin-3-yl)-4*H*-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)methyl methanesulfonate and 3-(3-(chloromethyl)-5-(cyclohex-2-en-1-ylthio)-4*H*-1,2,4-triazol-4-yl)pyridine (0.096 g, 0.26 mmol) in dry DMF (4.3 mL) was added 5-hydroxy-2-(3-hydroxyprop-1-yn-1-yl)benzonitrile (0.050 g, 0.29 mmol) and Cs₂CO₃ (0.094 g, 0.29 mmol) and the reaction stirred at room temperature for 20.5 h. The reaction was diluted with EtOAc, and organic layer washed with water. The aqueous was extracted with EtOAc (3x). The combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, EtOAc/Hexanes: 0/100 to 100/0). Product **2-78** was obtained as a pale yellow foam (0.089 g, 77%): IR (neat) 3273, 3069, 2924, 2230, 1600, 1485, 1445, 1308, 1216, 1164, 1030, 827, 751, 706 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.73 (d, *J* = 4.6 Hz, 1 H), 8.58 (d, *J* = 1.8 Hz, 1 H), 7.70 (dt, *J* = 8.1, 1.8 Hz, 1 H), 7.50 (dd, *J* = 8.0, 4.9 Hz, 1 H), 7.35 (d, *J* = 9.3 Hz, 1 H), 7.07-7.05 (m, 2 H), 5.85-5.81 (m, 1 H), 5.67 (dt, *J* = 9.9, 2.0 Hz, 1 H), 5.11-5.05 (m, 2 H), 4.49 (d, *J* = 2.2 Hz, 1 H), 4.45 (s, 2 H), 2.47 (s, 1 H), 2.06-1.92 (m, 4 H), 1.71-1.59 (m, 2 H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 156.7, 154.0, 151.3, 150.6, 147.8, 135.0, 134.2, 132.6, 129.8, 125.2, 124.4, 120.3, 119.5, 118.4, 117.1, 116.2, 93.7, 80.4, 60.2, 50.8, 44.2, 29.2, 24.8, 19.1; HRMS (ESI⁺): *m/z* calculated for C₂₄H₂₂O₂N₅S (M+H) 444.1489, found 444.1487; ELS purity (100%).



3-(4-((5-(Cyclohex-2-en-1-ylthio)-4-(pyridin-3-yl)-4H-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)methoxy)-2-

fluorophenyl)prop-2-yn-1-yl (2-(4-methylpiperazin-1-yl)ethyl)carbamate (2-79)¹³¹. To a solution of 2-73 (0.060 g, 0.14 mmol) in dry CH₂Cl₂ (1.5 mL) was added CDI (0.033 g, 0.21 mmol) and the reaction stirred at room temperature under argon for 2 h. A solution of 2-(4-methylpiperazin-1-yl)ethan-1-amine (0.030 g, 0.21 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (0.5 mL) was added and the reaction stirred 16 h at room temperature. An additional 1 eq. of 2-(4-methylpiperazin-1-yl)ethan-1-amine was added and the reaction stirred for 1 d. The reaction was then diluted with CH₂Cl₂ and washed with brine. The combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, MeOH/CH₂Cl₂: 0/100 to 30/70) followed by filtration through a plug of basic Al₂O₃ (MeOH/CDCl₃: 0/100 to 5/95). Product **2-79** was obtained as a sticky white foam (0.075 g, 90%): IR (neat) 3261, 2940, 2803, 1714, 1620, 1505, 1446, 1255, 1163, 1011, 910, 726 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.74 (dd, *J* = 4.8, 1.5 Hz, 1 H), 8.59-8.58 (m, 1 H), 7.68 (ddd, *J* = 8.1, 2.5, 1.5 Hz, 1 H), 7.47 (ddd, *J* = 8.1, 4.8, 0.7 Hz, 1 H), 7.29 (d, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 1 H), 6.64-6.58 (m, 2 H), 6.23 (s, 1 H), 5.87-

5.83 (m, J = 1.4 Hz, 1 H), 5.73-5.69 (m, 1 H), 5.57 (app t, J = 3.4 Hz, 1 H), 5.10-5.03 (m, 2 H), 4.87 (s, 2 H), 4.53 (dd, J = 4.0, 1.7 Hz, 1 H), 3.28 (q, J = 5.7 Hz, 2 H), 2.56-2.48 (m, 9 H), 2.34 (s, 3 H), 2.10-1.94 (m, 4 H), 1.59-1.75 (m, 2 H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 164.8, 162.3, 158.7 (d, $J_{CF} = 10.7$ Hz), 155.5, 153.6, 151.3, 150.8, 147.9, 134.7, 134.5 (d, $J_{CF} = 2.6$ Hz), 132.4, 129.8, 125.3, 124.1, 110.6 (d, $J_{CF} = 2.7$ Hz), 104.3 (d, $J_{CF} = 24.1$ Hz), 102.8 (d, $J_{CF} = 28.7$ Hz), 87.9 (d, $J_{CF} = 2.8$ Hz), 79.2, 60.2, 56.7, 54.6, 53.1, 52.0, 45.4, 44.0, 37.6, 29.2, 24.8, 19.1; ¹⁹F NMR (470 MHz, CDCl₃) δ -106.6; HRMS (ESI⁺): m/z calculated for C₃₁H₃₇O₃N₇FS (M+H) 606.2657, found 606.2655; ELS purity (100%).



4-Bromo-3-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl pivalate¹³⁴. To a solution of 3-trifluoromethyl-4bromophenol (1.00 g, 4.02 mmol) and Et₃N (0.622 mL, 4.43 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (8.1 mL) at 0 °C was added PivCl (0.545 mL, 4.43 mmol). The reaction was stirred at 0 °C for 30 min then diluted with Et₂O (10 mL) and filtered. The filtrate was concentrated and taken up in Et₂O (10 mL), filtered, and concentrated. The pivalate was obtained as an off-white solid (1.35 g) and carried on without further purification or characterization.

4-(3-((Tetrahydro-2*H***-pyran-2-yl)oxy)prop-1-yn-1-yl)-3-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl** pivalate ¹³⁵. To a solution of 2-(prop-2-yn-1-yloxy)tetrahydro-2*H*-pyran (1.40 g, 10.0 mmol) and 4bromo-3-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl pivalate (2.50 g, 7.69 mmol) in deoxygenated Et₃N (5.94 mL) was added Pd(PPh₃)₄ (0.444 g, 0.384 mmol) and CuI (0.146 g, 0.769 mmol). The reaction was sparged with argon for 2 min then stirred at reflux for 18.5 h. The reaction was concentrated, and the residue taken up in Et₂O, washed with sat. NH₄Cl and brine, filtered, and concentrated. The product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, EtOAc/hexanes: 0/100 to 10/90). The pivalate was obtained as a yellow oil (0.849 g, 29%) and carried on without further characterization: ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.59 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 1 H), 7.35 (d, *J* = 2.1 Hz, 1 H), 7.21 (dd, *J* = 8.4, 2.1 Hz, 1 H), 4.91 (t, *J* = 2.9 Hz, 1 H), 4.52 (s, 2 H), 3.89 (ddd, *J* = 11.1, 8.3, 3.0 Hz, 1 H), 3.56 (dt, *J* = 11.2, 4.1 Hz, 1 H), 1.87-1.57 (m, 6 H), 1.36 (s, 9 H); ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃) δ -62.6; HRMS (ESI⁺): *m*/*z* calculated for C₂₀H₂₄O₄F₃ (M+H) 385.1621, found 385.1623.

4-(3-((Tetrahydro-2*H*-pyran-2-yl)oxy)prop-1-yn-1-yl)-3-(trifluoromethyl)phenol. To а 4-(3-((tetrahydro-2*H*-pyran-2-yl)oxy)prop-1-yn-1-yl)-3-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl solution of pivalate (0.84 g, 2.2 mmol) in THF (32 mL) and MeOH (32 mL) was added a solution of NaOH (1 M, 24.0 mL) dropwise and the reaction was stirred at room temperature 16 h. The reaction was concentrated and diluted with CH₂Cl₂ (100 mL). The solution was then neutralized to pH 7 with 2 N HCl and the aqueous extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (2x). The combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The crude phenol was obtained as a yellow oil (0.67)g) and carried on without further purification: IR (neat) 3265, 2948, 2868, 2244, 1616, 1501, 1447, 1323, 1258, 1120, 1013, 902, 872, 807, 673 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.28 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1 H), 7.09 (d, J = 2.6 Hz, 1 H), 6.89 (dd, J = 8.4, 2.4 Hz, 1 H), 5.00 (dd, J = 4.0, 2.7 Hz, 1 H), 4.56-4.48 (m, 2 H), 3.94 (ddd, J = 11.4, 8.5, 3.1 Hz, 1 H), 3.65-3.60 (m, 1 H), 1.77-1.87 (m, 2 H), 1.69-1.56 (m, 4 H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 156.5, 135.9, 133.2 (q, J_{CF} = 30.5 Hz), 123.2 (q, $J_{CF} = 273.6$ Hz), 118.5, 113.6 (q, $J_{CF} = 5.2$ Hz), 112.0 (q, $J_{CF} = 2.1$ Hz), 97.1,

88.1 (app d, $J_{CF} = 1.0$ Hz), 82.5, 62.7, 55.1, 30.4, 25.4, 19.2; ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃) δ - 62.6; HRMS (ESI⁺): m/z calculated for C₁₅H₁₆O₃F₃ (M+H) 301.1046, found 301.1045.

3-(3-(Cyclohex-2-en-1-ylthio)-5-((4-(3-((tetrahydro-2H-pyran-2-yl)oxy)prop-1-yn-1-yl)-3-

(trifluoromethyl)phenoxy)methyl)-4H-1,2,4-triazol-4-yl)pyridine. To the crude mixture of (5-(cyclohex-2-en-1-ylthio)-4-(pyridin-3-yl)-4H-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)methyl methanesulfonate and 3-(3-(chloromethyl)-5-(cyclohex-2-en-1-ylthio)-4H-1,2,4-triazol-4-yl)pyridine (0.254 g, 0.693)mmol) in dry DMF (9.8 mL) was added 4-(3-((tetrahydro-2H-pyran-2-yl)oxy)prop-1-yn-1-yl)-3-(trifluoromethyl)phenol (0.229 g, 0.762 mmol) and Cs_2CO_3 (0.248 g, 0.762 mmol) and the reaction stirred at room temperature 16 h. The reaction was diluted with EtOAc and organic layer washed with water. The aqueous was extracted with EtOAc (3x). The combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, EtOAc/Hexanes: 0/100 to 100/0). The triazole was obtained as an off-white solid (0.379 g, 96%) and carried on without further characterization: ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.78 (dd, J = 4.8, 1.4 Hz, 1 H), 8.63 (d, J = 2.1 Hz, 1 H), 7.68 (ddd, J = 8.0, 2.4, 1.4 Hz, 1 H), 7.51-7.40 (m, 2 H), 7.08-7.04 (m, 2 H), 5.91-5.87 (m, 1 H), 5.78-5.74 (m, 1 H), 5.12 (s, 2 H), 4.89 (t, J = 3.0 Hz, 1 H), 4.64-4.56 (m, 1 H), 4.49 (s, 2 H), 3.92-3.85 (m, 1 H), 3.57-3.52 (m, 1 H), 2.10-2.02 (m, 4 H), 1.90-1.56 (m, 8 H); HRMS (ESI⁺): m/z calculated for C₂₉H₃₀O₃N₄F₃S (M+H) 571.1985, found 571.1983.

3-(4-((5-(Cyclohex-2-en-1-ylthio)-4-(pyridin-3-yl)-4H-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)methoxy)-2-

(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)prop-2-yn-1-ol. To a solution of 3-(3-(cyclohex-2-en-1-ylthio)-5-((4-(3-((tetrahydro-2*H*-pyran-2-yl)oxy)prop-1-yn-1-yl)-3-(trifluoromethyl)phenoxy)methyl)-4*H*-1,2,4-triazol-4-yl)pyridine (0.37 g, 0.65 mmol) in MeOH (2.4 mL) was added PPTS (0.041 g,

0.16 mmol) and the reaction stirred at room temperature 16 h. The reaction was diluted with

CH₂Cl₂ (10 mL) and extracted with sat. NaHCO₃ (2x). The combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, EtOAc/Hexanes: 0/100 to 100/0). The triazole was obtained as a pale yellow solid (0.182 g, 58%) and carried on without further characterization: ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.77 (dd, J = 4.8, 1.2 Hz, 1 H), 8.62 (d, J = 2.5 Hz, 1 H), 7.68 (ddd, J = 8.1, 2.5, 1.5 Hz, 1 H), 7.51-7.45 (m, 2 H), 7.08-7.04 (m, 2 H), 5.91-5.86 (m, 1 H), 5.77-5.72 (m, 1 H), 5.12 (s, 2 H), 4.59 (dd, J = 4.0, 1.6 Hz, 1 H), 4.49 (s, 2 H), 2.00-2.10 (m, 3 H), 1.77-1.69 (m, 4 H); ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃) δ -62.6; HRMS (ESI⁺): m/z calculated for C₂₄H₂₂O₂N₄F₃S (M+H) 487.1410, found 487.1446.

3-(4-((5-(Cyclohex-2-en-1-ylthio)-4-(pyridin-3-yl)-4H-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)methoxy)-2-

(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)prop-2-yn-1-yl (2-(4-methylpiperazin-1-yl)ethyl)carbamate (2-80).

To solution 3-(4-((5-(Cyclohex-2-en-1-ylthio)-4-(pyridin-3-yl)-4H-1,2,4-triazol-3а of yl)methoxy)-2-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)prop-2-yn-1-ol (0.065 g, 0.13 mmol) in dry CH₂Cl₂ (1.5 mL) was added CDI (0.032 g, 0.20 mmol) and the reaction stirred at room temperature under argon for 2 h. A solution of 2-(4-methylpiperazin-1-yl)ethan-1-amine (0.029 g, 0.20 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (0.5 mL) was added and the reaction stirred 16 h at room temperature. The reaction was then diluted with CH₂Cl₂ and washed with brine. The combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The product was purified by chromatography on SiO_2 (ISCO, MeOH/CH₂Cl₂: 0/100 to 30/70) followed by filtration through a plug of cotton. Product 2-80 was obtained as a white foam (0.051 g, 58%): IR (neat) 3315, 2940, 2803, 1719, 1612, 1498, 1438, 1312, 1134, 1011, 911, 825, 726 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.77 (dd, J =4.8, 1.2 Hz, 1 H), 8.62 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 1 H), 7.67 (dt, J = 8.1, 1.8 Hz, 1 H), 7.49 (td, J = 8.2, 4.5 Hz, 2 H), 7.08-7.05 (m, 2 H), 5.90-5.86 (m, 1 H), 5.75 (dt, J = 9.9, 2.0 Hz, 1 H), 5.37 (app s, 1

H), 5.15-5.09 (m, 2 H), 4.90 (s, 2 H), 4.59 (app d, J = 2.4 Hz, 1 H), 3.30 (q, J = 5.6 Hz, 2 H), 2.54-2.37 (m, 8 H), 2.28-2.25 (m, 4 H), 2.14-1.99- (m, 5 H), 1.78-1.67 (m, 2 H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 175.6, 157.0, 155.6, 153.8, 151.4, 150.7, 147.9, 136.1, 134.7, 133.4 (q, $J_{CF} =$ 31.0 Hz), 125.3, 124.2, 122.9 (q, $J_{CF} = 273.9$ Hz), 132.5, 129.9, 117.1, 113.8 (app d, $J_{CF} = 1.9$ Hz), 113.2 (q, $J_{CF} = 5.1$ Hz), 88.3, 81.5, 60.2, 56.8, 54.9, 53.1, 52.7, 45.9, 44.1, 37.6, 29.2, 24.9, 22.3, 19.2; ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃) δ -62.6; HRMS (ESI⁺): m/z calculated for C₃₂H₃₇O₃N₇F₃S (M+H) 656.2625, found 656.2618; ELS purity (100%).



3-(4-((5-((Cyclopentyl-*d***))thio)-4-(pyridin-3-yl)-4***H***-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)methoxy)-2fluorophenyl)prop-2-yn-1-yl (1-methylpiperidin-4-yl)carbamate (2-81).** To a solution of **2-72** (0.070 g, 0.16 mmol) in dry CH₂Cl₂ (1.8 mL) was added CDI (0.039 g, 0.24 mmol) and the reaction stirred at room temperature under argon for 3 h. 4-Amino-1-methylpiperidine (0.061 mL, 0.48 mmol) was added and the reaction stirred at room temperature for 21 h. The reaction was diluted with CH₂Cl₂ and washed with water and brine. The combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, MeOH/CH₂Cl₂: 0/100 to 15/85). Product **2-81** was obtained as a white foam (0.083 g, 90%): IR (neat) 3238, 2940, 2787, 2227, 1714, 1620, 1505, 1447, 1270, 1226, 1162, 1044, 1017, 727, 707 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.72 (dd, *J* = 4.8, 1.2 Hz, 1 H), 8.57 (d, *J* = 2.5 Hz, 1 H), 7.64 (dt, *J* = 8.1, 1.9 Hz, 1 H), 7.44 (dd, *J* = 8.1, 4.8 Hz, 1 H), 7.25 (t, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 1 H), 6.58 (ddd, J = 16.0, 9.7, 2.2 Hz, 2 H), 5.03 (s, 2 H), 5.01 (s, 1 H), 4.84 (s, 2 H), 3.47 (t, J = 3.6 Hz, 1 H), 2.70 (d, J = 10.4 Hz, 2 H), 2.21 (s, 3 H), 2.03 (t, J = 10.9 Hz, 2 H), 1.90 (dd, J = 12.9, 2.6 Hz, 2 H), 1.44 (qd, J = 11.7, 2.9 Hz, 2 H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 163.5 (d, $J_{CF} = 253.0$ Hz), 158.7 (d, $J_{CF} = 10.7$ Hz), 154.8, 154.3, 151.3, 150.7, 147.9, 134.7, 134.5 (d, $J_{CF} = 2.7$ Hz), 130.0, 124.2, 110.5 (d, $J_{CF} = 3.3$ Hz), 104.4 (d, $J_{CF} = 16.1$ Hz), 102.8 (d, $J_{CF} = 24.7$ Hz), 87.9 (d, $J_{CF} = 3.2$ Hz), 79.2, 60.2, 54.4, 53.1, 47.9, 46.2, 45.7-45.3 (m, CD), 33.0-32.5 (m, CD), 32.5, 23.9-23.0 (m, CD); ¹⁹F NMR (470 MHz, CDCl₃) δ -106.6; HRMS (ESI⁺): *m/z* calculated for C₂₉H₂₅²H₉O₃N₆FS (M+H) 574.2957, found 574.2949; ELS purity (100%).



3-(4-((5-((Cyclopentyl-*d*₉)thio)-4-(pyridin-3-yl)-4*H*-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)methoxy)-2,5difluorophenyl)prop-2-yn-1-yl (1-methylpiperidin-4-yl)carbamate (2-83). To a solution of 2-74 (0.090 g, 0.20 mmol) in dry CH₂Cl₂ (2.2 mL) was added CDI (0.048 g, 0.30 mmol) and the reaction stirred at room temperature for 3 h. 4-Amino-1-methylpiperidine (0.075 mL, 0.60 mmol) was added and the reaction stirred at room temperature for 20.5 h. The reaction was then diluted with CH₂Cl₂ and washed with water and brine. The combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, MeOH/CH₂Cl₂: 0/100 to 15/85). Product 2-83 was obtained as a white foam (0.098 g, 83%): IR (neat) 3235, 2940, 2790, 2226, 1714, 1629, 1507, 1447, 1271, 1224, 1044, 1003, 707 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.71 (dd, *J* = 4.7, 1.0 Hz, 1 H), 8.57 (d, *J* = 2.3 Hz, 1 H), 7.70 (dt, *J* = 8.1, 1.8 Hz, 1 H), 7.44 (dd, *J* = 8.1, 4.8 Hz, 1 H), 7.01 (dd, *J* = 10.6, 6.5 Hz, 1 H), 6.83 (dd, J = 9.6, 7.1 Hz, 1 H), 5.15 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1 H), 5.06 (s, 2 H), 4.81 (s, 2 H), 3.45 (s, 1 H), 2.71 (d, J = 9.7 Hz, 2 H), 2.20 (s, 3 H), 2.03 (t, J = 10.9 Hz, 2 H), 1.88 (d, J = 10.7 Hz, 2 H), 1.45 (qd, J = 11.6, 2.7 Hz, 2 H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 159.2 (dd, $J_{CF} = 250.4$, 1.9 Hz), 159.2 (dd, $J_{CF} = 250.4$, 1.9 Hz), 148.0 (dd, $J_{CF} = 244.3$, 3.2 Hz), 146.4 (dd, $J_{CF} = 12.7$, 10.0 Hz), 119.9 (dd, $J_{CF} = 21.5$, 2.2 Hz), 103.8 (dd, $J_{CF} = 18.4$, 8.5 Hz), 103.6 (d, $J_{CF} = 27.2$ Hz), 88.8 (d, $J_{CF} = 3.4$ Hz), 78.1 (d, $J_{CF} = 1.6$ Hz), 45.4 (t, $J_{CD} = 21.9$ Hz), 32.8 (dt, $J_{CD} = 40.1$, 20.0 Hz), 23.5 (dt, $J_{CD} = 39.7$, 19.9 Hz); ¹⁹F NMR (470 MHz, CDCl₃) δ -111.3 (d, J = 14.0 Hz), -138.6 (d, J = 14.0 Hz); HRMS (ESI⁺): m/z calculated for C₂₉H₂₄²H₉O₃N₆F₂S (M+H) 592.2862, found 592.2858; ELS purity (100%).



3-(**4**-((**5**-(**Cyclohex-2-en-1-ylthio**)-**4**-(**pyridin-3-yl**)-**4***H*-**1**,**2**,**4**-**triazol-3-yl**)**methoxy**)-**2**,**5**difluorophenyl)prop-2-yn-1-yl (1-methylpiperidin-4-yl)carbamate (2-84). To a solution of **2**-**75** (0.060 g, 0.13 mmol) in dry CH₂Cl₂ (2 mL) was added CDI (0.032 g, 0.20 mmol) and the reaction stirred at room temperature under argon for 3 h. 4-Amino-1-methylpiperidine (0.050 mL, 0.40 mmol) was added and the reaction stirred at room temperature for 23 h. The reaction was then diluted with CH₂Cl₂ and washed with brine. The combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, MeOH/CH₂Cl₂: 0/100 to 15/85). Product **2-84** was obtained as an off-white foam (0.069 g, 88%): IR (neat) 3254, 2937, 2788, 1713, 1629, 1506, 1446, 1271, 1224, 1179, 1044, 999, 725, 707 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.72 (dd, *J* = 4.8, 1.5 Hz, 1 H), 8.59 (d, *J* = 2.1 Hz, 1

H), 7.71 (ddd, J = 8.2, 2.5, 1.5 Hz, 1 H), 7.45 (ddd, J = 8.1, 4.8, 0.6 Hz, 1 H), 7.03 (dd, J = 10.7, 6.5 Hz, 1 H), 6.84 (dd, J = 9.8, 7.0 Hz, 1 H), 5.85-5.81 (m, 1 H), 5.69 (ddt, J = 9.9, 4.0, 2.0 Hz, 1 H), 5.10 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 1 H), 5.08 (s, 2 H), 4.83 (s, 2 H), 4.54-4.50 (m, 1 H), 3.51-3.44 (m, 1 H), 3.03 (s, 1 H), 2.73 (d, J = 11.3 Hz, 2 H), 2.22 (s, 3 H), 2.08-1.88 (m, 8 H), 1.73-1.57 (m, J = 7.3, 3.7 Hz, 2 H), 1.46 (qd, J = 11.8, 3.3 Hz, 2 H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 159.2 (dd, $J_{CF} = 246.5$, 4.3 Hz), 154.7, 154.0, 151.4, 150.4, 148.0 (dd, $J_{CF} = 244.2$, 3.3 Hz), 147.9, 146.4 (dd, $J_{CF} = 12.6$, 9.9 Hz), 135.0, 132.5, 129.7, 125.3, 124.2, 119.9 (dd, $J_{CF} = 21.2$, 5.5 Hz), 103.9 (dd, $J_{CF} = 18.1$, 8.5 Hz), 103.6 (d, $J_{CF} = 28.0$ Hz), 88.8 (d, $J_{CF} = 3.6$ Hz), 78.2 (d, $J_{CF} = 2.0$ Hz), 61.2, 54.3, 52.9, 48.0, 46.1, 44.1, 32.3, 29.2, 24.8, 19.1; ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃) δ -111.1 (d, J = 14.4 Hz), -138.5 (d, J = 14.5 Hz); HRMS (ESI⁺): m/z calculated for C₃₀H₃₃O₃N₆F₂S (M+H) 595.2297, found 595.2296; ELS purity (100%).



3-(4-((5-(Cyclohex-2-en-1-ylthio)-4-(pyridin-3-yl)-4H-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)methoxy)-2,3difluorophenyl)prop-2-yn-1-yl (1-methylpiperidin-4-yl)carbamate (2-85). To a solution of **2-76** (0.055 g, 0.12 mmol) in dry CH₂Cl₂ (1.8 mL) was added CDI (0.029 g, 0.18 mmol) and the reaction stirred at room temperature under argon for 3 h. 4-Amino-1-methylpiperidine (0.045 mL, 0.36 mmol) was added and the reaction stirred 16 h at room temperature. The reaction was then diluted with CH₂Cl₂ and washed with brine. The combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, MeOH/CH₂Cl₂: 0/100 to 15/85). Product **2-85** was obtained as an off-white foam (0.049 g, 69%): 3235, 3031, 2935, 2787, 1716, 1506, 1484, 1446, 1271, 1233, 1094, 1041, 810, 706 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.76 (dd, J = 4.8, 1.4 Hz, 1 H), 8.61 (d, J = 2.3 Hz, 1 H), 7.73 (ddd, J = 8.1, 2.4, 1.6 Hz, 1 H), 7.49 (dd, J = 8.2, 4.8 Hz, 1 H), 7.09 (td, J = 8.0, 2.1 Hz, 1 H), 6.88-6.82 (m, 1 H), 5.90-5.85 (m, 1 H), 5.73 (dt, J = 9.9, 2.0 Hz, 1 H), 5.18-5.10 (m, 2 H), 4.88 (s, 2 H), 4.83 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 1 H), 4.57 (dd, J = 3.9, 1.8 Hz, 1 H), 3.57-3.48 (m, 1 H), 2.76 (d, J = 11.7 Hz, 2 H), 2.27 (s, 3 H), 2.18-1.92 (m, 9 H), 1.79-1.61 (m, 2 H), 1.49 (qd, J = 11.8, 3.4 Hz, 2 H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 154.8, 154.1, 151.9 (dd, $J_{CF} = 254.0$, 11.2 Hz), 151.5, 150.6, 147.9, 147.2 (dd, $J_{CF} = 8.4$, 2.8 Hz), 141.3 (dd, $J_{CF} = 249.6$, 14.0 Hz), 135.1, 132.6, 129.8, 127.8 (d, $J_{CF} = 4.3$ Hz), 125.4, 124.3, 110.1 (d, $J_{CF} = 3.5$ Hz), 106.3 (d, $J_{CF} = 13.0$ Hz), 89.0 (d, $J_{CF} = 3.5$ Hz), 78.2 (d, $J_{CF} = 3.7$ Hz), 61.3, 54.3, 53.0, 47.9, 46.2, 44.1, 32.4, 29.3, 24.9, 19.2; ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃) δ -132.4 (d, J = 20.2 Hz), -157.2 (d, J = 20.1 Hz); HRMS (ESI⁺): m/z calculated for C₃₀H₃₃O₃N₆F₂S (M+H) 595.2297, found 595.2298; ELS purity (99.9%).



4-Bromo-3,5-difluorophenyl pivalate. To a solution of 4-bromo-3,5-difluorophenol (0.500 g, 2.39 mmol) and Et_3N (0.370 mL, 2.63 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (4.7 mL) at 0 °C was added PivCl (0.656 mL, 2.63 mmol). The reaction was stirred at 0 °C for 35 min then diluted with Et_2O (10 mL) and filtered. The filtrate was concentrated and taken up in Et_2O (10 mL), filtered, and concentrated. The product was obtained as a white solid (0.601 g, 86%) and the pivalate carried

on without further purification: IR (neat) 2977, 1750, 1601, 1472, 1438, 1272, 1128, 1097, 1058, 1022, 896 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.79-6.76 (m, 2 H), 1.34 (s, 9 H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 176.0, 159.8 (dd, J_{CF} = 248.9, 6.3 Hz), 151.1 (t, J_{CF} = 12.7 Hz), 106.5 (dd, J_{CF} = 26.0, 3.3 Hz), 94.8 (t, J_{CF} = 24.5 Hz), 39.2, 27.0; ¹⁹F NMR (470 MHz, CDCl₃) δ -103.9; HRMS (ESI⁺): *m/z* calculated for C₁₁H₁₂O₂BrF₂ (M+H) 292.9983, found 292.9993.

3,5-Difluoro-4-(3-((tetrahydro-2*H***-pyran-2-yl)oxy)prop-1-yn-1-yl)phenyl pivalate.** To a solution of 2-(prop-2-yn-1-yloxy)tetrahydro-2*H*-pyran (0.31 g, 2.2 mmol) and 4-bromo-3,5-difluorophenyl pivalate (0.50 g, 1.7 mmol) in deoxygenated Et₃N (1.32 mL) was added Pd(PPh₃)₄ (0.099 g, 0.085 mmol) and CuI (0.032 g, 0.17 mmol). The reaction was sparged with argon for 2 min then stirred at reflux for 13 h. The reaction was concentrated, and the residue taken up in Et₂O, washed with sat. NH₄Cl and brine, filtered, and concentrated. The product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, EtOAc/hexanes: 0/100 to 10/90). The pivalate was obtained as a yellow oil (0.084 g, 14%) and carried on without further characterization: ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.69-6.74 (m, 2 H), 4.92 (t, *J* = 3.3 Hz, 1 H), 4.58-4.50 (m, 2 H), 3.89 (ddd, *J* = 11.5, 8.9, 2.9 Hz, 1 H), 3.60-3.54 (m, 1 H), 1.87-1.73 (m, 2 H), 1.6-1.53 (m, 4 H), 1.33 (s, 9 H); ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃) δ -106.1, HRMS (ESI⁺): *m/z* calculated for C₁₉H₂₃O₄F₂ (M+H) 353.1559, found 353.1555.

3,5-Difluoro-4-(3-((tetrahydro-2*H***-pyran-2-yl)oxy)prop-1-yn-1-yl)phenol.** To a solution of 3,5-difluoro-4-(3-((tetrahydro-2*H*-pyran-2-yl)oxy)prop-1-yn-1-yl)phenyl pivalate (0.080 g, 0.23 mmol) in THF (3.3 mL) and MeOH (3.3 mL) was added a solution of NaOH (1 M, 1.2 mL) dropwise and the reaction was stirred at room temperature for 13.5 h. The reaction was concentrated and diluted with CH₂Cl₂. The solution was then neutralized to pH 7 with 2 N HCl and the aqueous extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (2x). The combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄),

filtered, and concentrated. The crude phenol was obtained as a pale yellow oil (0.062 g) and carried on without further purification or characterization: ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.40-6.36 (m, 2 H), 4.96 (t, *J* = 3.0 Hz, 1 H), 4.57-4.50 (m, 2 H), 3.93-3.89 (m, 1 H), 3.62-3.58 (m, 1 H), 1.90-1.75 (m, 2 H), 1.70-1.55 (m, 4 H); ¹⁹F NMR (470 MHz, CDCl₃) δ -106.8; HRMS (ESI⁻): *m/z* calculated for C₁₄H₁₃O₃F₂ (M-H) 267.0827, found 267.0834.

3,5-Difluoro-4-(3-hydroxyprop-1-yn-1-yl)phenol. To a solution of crude 3,5-difluoro-4-(3-((tetrahydro-2*H*-pyran-2-yl)oxy)prop-1-yn-1-yl)phenol (0.061 g, 0.23 mmol) in MeOH (1.4 mL) was added PPTS (0.029 g, 0.11 mmol) and the reaction stirred at room temperature for 2 d. The reaction was diluted with CH₂Cl₂ and washed with sat. NaHCO₃. The combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, EtOAc/Hexanes: 0/100 to 100/0). The phenol was obtained as an off-white solid (0.042 g, 100%): IR (acetone) 3619, 3257, 3073, 2929, 2242, 1638, 1597, 1508, 1470, 1368, 1149, 1022, 836 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, acetone-*d*₆) δ 9.64 (s, 1 H), 6.56-6.51 (m, 2 H), 4.44 (s, 2 H), 4.38 (bs, 1 H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, acetone-*d*₆) δ 164.7 (dd, *J* = 248.9, 9.1 Hz), 160.4 (t, *J* = 14.6 Hz), 100.2-100.0 (dd, *J* = 21.3, 5.9 Hz), 97.8 (t, *J* = 2.8 Hz), 93.5 (t, *J* = 20.5 Hz), 71.6, 51.1; ¹⁹F NMR (470 MHz, acetone-*d*₆) δ -109.5; HRMS (ESI): *m*/*z* calculated for C₉H₅O₂F₂ (M-H) 183.0252, found 183.0256.

3-(4-((5-(Cyclohex-2-en-1-ylthio)-4-(pyridin-3-yl)-4H-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)methoxy)-2,6-

difluorophenyl)prop-2-yn-1-ol. To the crude mixture of (5-(cyclohex-2-en-1-ylthio)-4-(pyridin-3-yl)-4*H*-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)methyl methanesulfonate and 3-(3-(chloromethyl)-5-(cyclohex-2-en-1-ylthio)-4*H*-1,2,4-triazol-4-yl)pyridine (0.063 g, 0.17 mmol) in dry DMF (2.8 mL) was added3,5-difluoro-4-(3-hydroxyprop-1-yn-1-yl)phenol (0.035 g, 0.19 mmol) and Cs₂CO₃ (0.062 g,0.19 mmol) and the reaction stirred at room temperature for 19.5 h. The reaction was diluted
with EtOAc, and organic layer washed with water. The aqueous was extracted with EtOAc (3x). The combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, EtOAc/Hexanes: 0/100 to 100/0) followed by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, MeOH/CH₂Cl₂: 0/100 to 5/95). The triazole was obtained as a pale yellow solid (0.032 g, 41%) and carried on without further characterization: ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.78 (d, *J* = 4.0 Hz, 1 H), 8.61 (d, *J* = 2.3 Hz, 1 H), 7.68 (ddd, *J* = 8.2, 2.3, 1.7 Hz, 1 H), 7.51 (dd, *J* = 8.1, 4.8 Hz, 1 H), 6.48-6.44 (m, 2 H), 5.90-5.86 (m, 1 H), 5.76-5.73 (m, 1 H), 5.08-5.03 (m, 2 H), 4.59 (d, *J* = 2.2 Hz, 1 H), 4.52 (d, *J* = 4.8 Hz, 2 H), 2.12-2.06 (m, 1 H), 2.03-1.99 (m, 3 H), 1.74-1.65 (m, 3 H); ¹⁹F NMR (470 MHz, CDCl₃) δ -105.5 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz); HRMS (ESI⁺): *m*/z calculated for C₂₃H₂₁O₂N₄F₂S (M+H) 455.1348, found 455.1346.

3-(4-((5-(Cyclohex-2-en-1-ylthio)-4-(pyridin-3-yl)-4H-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)methoxy)-2,6-

difluorophenyl)prop-2-yn-1-yl (1-methylpiperidin-4-yl)carbamate (2-86). To a solution of 3-(4-((5-(cyclohex-2-en-1-ylthio)-4-(pyridin-3-yl)-4*H*-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)methoxy)-2,6-

difluorophenyl)prop-2-yn-1-ol (0.032 g, 0.070 mmol) in dry CH₂Cl₂ (1 mL) was added CDI (0.017 g, 0.11 mmol) and the reaction stirred at room temperature under argon for 3 h. 4-Amino-1-methylpiperidine (0.027 mL, 0.21 mmol) was added and the reaction stirred at room temperature for 15.5 h. The reaction was then diluted with CH₂Cl₂ and washed with brine. The combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, MeOH/CH₂Cl₂: 0/100 to 15/85). Product **2-86** was obtained as a white foam (0.034 g, 82%): IR (neat) 3236, 3033, 2936, 2788, 1715, 1635, 1574, 1504, 1445, 1271, 1234, 1147, 1042, 829, 708 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.75 (dd, *J* = 4.8, 1.5 Hz, 1 H), 8.58 (dd, *J* = 2.5, 0.5 Hz, 1 H), 7.66 (ddd, *J* = 8.1, 2.6, 1.6 Hz, 1 H), 7.48 (ddd, *J* = 8.1, 4.8, 0.7 Hz, 1 H), 6.49-6.44 (m, 2 H), 5.88-5.83 (m, 1 H), 5.74-5.70 (m, 1 H), 5.07-5.01 (m, 2 H), 4.98 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1 H), 4.88 (s, 2 H), 4.58-4.53 (m, 1 H), 3.51-3.48 (m, 1 H), 2.74 (d, J = 11.3 Hz, 2 H), 2.50 (s, 1 H), 2.24 (s, 3 H), 2.10-1.90 (m, 7 H), 1.75-1.62 (m, 2 H), 1.52-1.42 (m, 2 H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 163.9 (dd, $J_{CF} = 253.7$, 8.2 Hz), 158.6 (t, $J_{CF} = 13.6$ Hz), 154.8, 154.0, 151.5, 150.4, 147.9, 134.7, 132.6, 129.8, 125.3, 124.3, 98.9 (dd, $J_{CF} = 27.1$, 1.3 Hz), 95.1 (t, $J_{CF} = 20.0$ Hz), 92.5 (t, $J_{CF} = 2.8$ Hz), 73.0, 60.4, 54.4, 53.1, 47.9, 46.2, 44.1, 32.4, 29.3, 24.9, 19.2; ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃) δ -105.1; HRMS (ESI⁺): m/z calculated for C₃₀H₃₃O₃N₆F₂S (M+H) 595.2297, found 595.2297; ELS purity (100%).



3-(4-((5-(Cyclohex-2-en-1-ylthio)-4-(pyridin-3-yl)-4H-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)methoxy)-2-fluoro-5-methoxyphenyl)prop-2-yn-1-yl (1-methylpiperidin-4-yl)carbamate (2-87). To a solution of **2-77** (0.058 g, 0.12 mmol) in dry CH₂Cl₂ (1.4 mL) was added CDI (0.030 g, 0.19 mmol) and the reaction stirred at room temperature under argon for 3 h. 4-Amino-1-methylpiperidine (0.047 mL, 0.37 mmol) was added and the reaction stirred at room temperature for 13 h. The reaction was then diluted with CH₂Cl₂ and washed with brine. The combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, MeOH/CH₂Cl₂: 0/100 to 15/85). Product **2-87** was obtained as an off-white foam (0.059 g, 78%): IR (neat) 3236, 2935, 2788, 2239, 1714, 1505, 1445, 1270, 1222, 1208, 1044, 1000, 854, 726, 707 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.71 (dd, *J* = 4.8, 1.5 Hz, 1 H), 8.61 (d, *J* = 2.4 Hz, 1 H), 7.73 (ddd, *J* = 8.1, 2.5, 1.6 Hz, 1 H), 7.41 (ddd, *J* = 8.1, 4.8, 0.6 Hz, 1 H), 6.79 (d, *J* = 6.5 Hz, 1 H), 6.69 (d, J = 10.0 Hz, 1 H), 5.86-5.82 (m, 1 H), 5.74-5.69 (m, J = 1.9 Hz, 1 H), 5.09-5.03 (m, 2 H), 4.90 (s, 1 H), 4.87 (s, 2 H), 4.53 (dd, J = 4.1, 1.7 Hz, 1 H), 3.69 (s, 3 H), 3.51-3.48 (m, 1 H), 2.72 (dt, J = 12.0, 3.2 Hz, 2 H), 2.24 (s, 3 H), 1.90-2.10 (m, 8 H), 1.76-1.59 (m, 2 H), 1.76-1.43 (m, 5 H), 1.48 (qd, J = 11.8, 3.6 Hz, 2 H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 157.8 (d, J_{CF} = 247.4 Hz), 154.9, 153.7, 151.2, 151.0, 148.3, 148.1 (d, J_{CF} = 9.5 Hz), 145.9 (d, J_{CF} = 2.8 Hz), 135.0, 132.3, 130.0, 125.6, 124.0, 115.7 (d, J_{CF} = 5.2 Hz), 103.6, 103.4 (d, J_{CF} = 8.8 Hz), 88.0 (d, J_{CF} = 3.7 Hz), 79.5, 61.4, 56.4, 54.3, 53.2, 48.0, 46.2, 44.2, 32.5, 29.4, 24.9, 19.3; ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃) δ -116.2; HRMS (ESI⁺): m/z calculated for C₃₁H₃₆O₄N₆FS (M+H) 607.2497, found 607.2493; ELS purity (100%).



3-(2-Cyano-4-((5-(cyclohex-2-en-1-ylthio)-4-(pyridin-3-yl)-4H-1,2,4-triazol-3-

yl)methoxy)phenyl)prop-2-yn-1-yl (1-methylpiperidin-4-yl)carbamate (2-88). To a solution of 2-78 (0.056 g, 0.13 mmol) in dry CH₂Cl₂ (1.9 mL) was added CDI (0.031 g, 0.19 mmol) and the reaction stirred at room temperature under argon for 3 h. 4-Amino-1-methylpiperidine (0.048 mL, 0.38 mmol) was added and the reaction stirred at room temperature for 22 h. The reaction was then diluted with CH₂Cl₂ and washed with brine. The combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, MeOH/ CH₂Cl₂: 0/100 to 15/85). Product **2-88** was obtained as a tan foam (0.054 g, 74%): IR (neat) 3183, 2940, 2788, 2234, 1710, 1600, 1486, 1449, 1308, 1265, 1236, 1222, 1044,

1016, 830, 708 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.73 (dd, J = 4.7, 1.2 Hz, 1 H), 8.56 (d, J = 2.5 Hz, 1 H), 7.67 (ddd, J = 8.1, 2.4, 1.4 Hz, 1 H), 7.49 (dd, J = 8.1, 4.9 Hz, 1 H), 7.41-7.39 (m, 1 H), 7.07 (dd, J = 7.3, 2.6 Hz, 2 H), 5.85-5.82 (m, 1 H), 5.69-5.67 (m, 1 H), 5.11-5.04 (m, 2 H), 4.86 (s, 2 H), 4.50 (s, 1 H), 3.46 (s, 1 H), 2.83-2.73 (m, 3 H), 2.23 (s, 3 H), 2.06-1.87 (m, 8 H), 1.73-1.59 (m, 2 H), 1.50-1.41 (m, 2 H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 156.8, 155.1, 153.9, 151.2, 150.5, 147.6, 134.9, 134.3, 132.6, 129.7, 125.0, 124.4, 119.6, 119.3, 118.4, 116.61, 116.42, 89.2, 81.5, 60.0, 54.2, 52.7, 47.4, 45.8, 44.1, 31.6, 29.0, 24.7, 19.0; HRMS (ESI⁺): m/z calculated for C₃₁H₃₄O₃N₇S (M+H) 584.2438, found 584.2437; ELS purity (100%).



4-(3-Hydroxybut-1-yn-1-yl)-3-methylphenol¹²⁶. To a solution of 3-butyn-2-ol (0.17 mL, 2.1 mmol) and 4-iodo-3-methylphenol (0.39 g, 1.6 mmol) in dry, deoxygenated MeCN (2.9 mL) was added Pd(PPh₃)₂Cl₂ (0.059 g, 0.082 mmol) and CuI (0.016 g, 0.082 mmol) followed by Et₃N (0.58 mL, 4.1 mmol). The reaction was stirred at room temperature 16 h. The reaction was diluted with EtOAc, washed with brine, filtered, and concentrated. The product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, EtOAc/hexanes: 0/100 to 50/50). The phenol was obtained as a brown oil that solidifies upon standing (0.27 g, 94%) and carried on without further characterization: ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.28 (app s, 1 H), 6.67 (d, *J* = 2.6 Hz, 1 H), 6.60 (dd, *J* = 8.3, 2.6 Hz, 1 H), 4.92 (bs, 1 H), 4.78 (q, *J* = 6.5 Hz, 1 H), 2.37 (s, 3 H), 1.89 (bs, 1 H),

1.56 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 3 H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 126 MHz) δ 155.8, 142.5, 133.7, 116.6, 114.9, 112.9, 93.6, 83.0, 59.3, 24.8, 20.8.

4-(4-((5-((Cyclopentyl-d9)thio)-4-(pyridin-3-yl)-4H-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)methoxy)-2-

methylphenyl)but-3-yn-2-ol (2-97). To a solution of crude 3-(3-(chloromethyl)-5-((cyclopentyld₉)thio)-4H-1,2,4-triazol-4-yl)pyridine (0.16 g, 0.53 mmol) in dry DMF (8.5 mL) was added 4-(3-hydroxybut-1-yn-1-yl)-3-methylphenol (0.10 g, 0.58 mmol) and Cs₂CO₃ (0.19 g, 0.58 mmol) and the reaction stirred at room temperature 16 h. The reaction was diluted with EtOAc, and organic layer washed with water. The aqueous was extracted with EtOAc (3x). The combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, EtOAc/Hexanes: 0/100 to 80/20). Product 2-97 was obtained as a yellow foam (0.189 g, 81%): IR (neat) 3291, 2979, 2223, 1702, 1604, 1485, 1450, 1234, 1098, 1021, 811, 703 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, acetone- d_6) δ 8.74 (dd, J = 4.8, 1.5 Hz, 1 H), 8.70 (d, J= 2.1 Hz, 1 H), 7.96 (ddd, J = 8.1, 2.6, 1.5 Hz, 1 H), 7.62 (ddd, J = 8.1, 4.8, 0.7 Hz, 1 H), 7.22 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1 H), 6.79 (d, J = 2.6 Hz, 1 H), 6.72 (dd, J = 8.5, 2.4 Hz, 1 H), 5.17 (s, 2 H), 4.73-4.65 (m, 1 H), 4.36 (d, J = 5.4 Hz, 1 H), 2.31 (s, 3 H), 1.45 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 3 H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, acetone- d_6) δ 158.3, 153.5, 152.4, 151.9, 149.0, 142.5, 136.0, 133.7, 131.4, 125.1, 117.1, 116.7, 113.0, 96.4, 81.6, 60.9, 58.5, 33.2 (d, $J_{CD} = 21.1 \text{ Hz}$), 25.3, 24.0 (s, CD), 20.8; HRMS (ESI⁺): m/z calculated for C₂₄H₁₈²H₉O₂N₄S (M+H) 444.2414, found 444.2415; ELS purity (100%).



4-(4-((5-((Cyclopentyl-d₉)thio)-4-(pyridin-3-yl)-4H-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)methoxy)-2-

methylphenyl)but-3-yn-2-yl methanesulfonate.^{128, 129} To a solution of **2-97** (0.10 g, 0.23 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (8 mL) at 0 °C was added Et₃N (0.063 mL, 0.45 mmol) and MsCl (0.021 mL, 0.27 mmol) and the reaction stirred at 0 °C for 2 h. The reaction was diluted with EtOAc and washed with sat. NaHCO₃, sat. NH₄Cl, and brine. The combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The crude triazole was obtained as a brown orange oil and carried on without further purification or characterization: HRMS (ESI⁺) observed as methyl ether from LCMS conditions: m/z calculated for C₂₅H₂₀²H₉O₂N₄S (M+H) 458.2571, found 458.2568.

3-(3-((Cyclopentyl-d9)thio)-5-((4-(3-methoxybut-1-yn-1-yl)-3-methylphenoxy)methyl)-4H-

1,2,4-triazol-4-yl)pyridine (2-98). To a solution of MeOH (0.10 mL, 1.1 mmol) in THF (0.1 mL) at 0 °C was added NaH (0.051 g, 1.3 mmol) and stirred for 20 min. The suspension was then added dropwise to a solution of $4-(4-((5-((cyclopentyl-d_9)thio)-4-(pyridin-3-yl)-4H-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)methoxy)-2-methylphenyl)but-3-yn-2-yl methanesulfonate (0.055 g, 0.11 mmol) in THF (0.12 mL) at 0 °C. The reaction was warmed to room temperature and stirred 16 h. The reaction was diluted with CH₂Cl₂ (1 mL) and quenched with water (1 mL). The combined organic layers were then dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, MeOH/EtOAc: 0/100 to 10/90) followed by chromatography on C18-SiO₂ (RP-ISCO, MeCN/H₂O: 10/90 to 95/5). Product$ **2-98**was obtained as a yellow sticky

oil (8.0 mg, 17%): IR (neat) 2984, 2226, 1603, 1484, 1445, 1229, 1110, 1094, 1021, 820, 707 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (600 MHz, acetone- d_6) δ 8.74 (d, J = 4.7 Hz, 1 H), 8.70 (d, J = 2.5 Hz, 1 H), 7.97 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 1 H), 7.62 (dd, J = 8.1, 4.8 Hz, 1 H), 7.28 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1 H), 6.82 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 1 H), 6.74 (dd, J = 8.5, 2.5 Hz, 1 H), 5.18 (s, 2 H), 4.32 (q, J = 6.6 Hz, 1 H), 3.38 (s, 3 H), 2.34 (s, 3 H), 1.43 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 3 H); ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, acetone- d_6) δ 158.5, 153.5, 152.4, 151.9, 149.1, 142.6, 136.0, 134.0, 131.4, 125.1, 116.74, 116.71, 113.1, 93.0, 83.9, 67.9, 60.9, 56.2, 22.6, 20.9; HRMS (ESI⁺): m/z calculated for C₂₅H₂₀²H₉O₂N₄S (M+H) 458.2571, found 458.2569; ELS purity (100%).



4-(4-(4-((5-((Cyclopentyl- d_9)thio)-4-(pyridin-3-yl)-4H-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)methoxy)-2methylphenyl)but-3-yn-2-yl)morpholine (2-99).¹³⁷ To a solution of 4-(4-((5-((cyclopentyl- d_9)thio)-4-(pyridin-3-yl)-4H-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)methoxy)-2-methylphenyl)but-3-yn-2-yl methanesulfonate (0.055 g, 0.11 mmol) in MeCN (0.15 mL) was added morpholine (0.020 mL, 0.23 mmol) and the reaction stirred at room temperature 16 h. The reaction was concentrated and loaded onto the column. The product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, EtOAc/Hexanes: 0/100 to 100/0; MeOH/EtOAc: 0/100 to 10/90) followed by chromatography on C18-SiO₂ (RP-ISCO, MeCN/H₂O: 10/90 to 95/5). Product **2-99** was obtained as an orange sticky foam (0.015 g, 28%): IR (neat) 2955, 2854, 2227, 1701, 1604, 1485, 1446, 1290, 1228, 1115, 1029, 818, 708 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, acetone- d_6) δ 8.78-8.68 (m, 2 H), 8.01-7.93 (m, 1 H), 7.62 (dd, J = 8.1, 4.8 Hz, 1 H), 7.27 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1 H), 6.81 (d, J = 2.7 Hz, 1 H), 6.73 (dd, J = 8.5, 2.7 Hz, 1 H), 5.17 (s, 2 H), 3.75-3.56 (m, 5 H), 2.72-2.63 (m, 2 H), 2.54-2.45 (m, 2 H), 2.35 (s, 3 H), 1.37 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 3 H); ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, acetone- d_6) δ 206.1, 158.3, 153.5, 152.4, 151.9, 149.1, 142.3, 136.0, 134.0, 131.4, 125.1, 117.3, 116.7, 113.1, 91.8, 84.2, 67.6, 60.9, 53.3, 50.5-50.3 (m), 33.6-33.2 (m), 21.3, 19.8, 14.5; HRMS (ESI⁺): m/z calculated for C_{28H25}²H₉O₂N₅S (M+H) 513.2993, found 513.2989; ELS purity (100%).



4-(4-((5-((Cyclopentyl-*d*₉)**thio)-4-(pyridin-3-yl)-4***H***-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)methoxy)-2**methylphenyl)but-3-yn-2-yl (2-(4-isopropylpiperazin-1-yl)ethyl)carbamate (2-100). To a solution of 2-97 (0.055 g, 0.12 mmol) in dry CH₂Cl₂ (1.5 mL) was added CDI (0.030 g, 0.19 mmol) and the reaction stirred at room temperature under argon for 2 h. A solution of 2-(4-isopropylpiperazin-1-yl)ethan-1-amine (0.032 g, 0.19 mmol) in dry CH₂Cl₂ (0.5 mL) was then added and the reaction stirred for 2 d at room temperature. The reaction was then diluted with CH₂Cl₂ and washed with brine. The combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, MeOH/EtOAc: 0/100 to 20/80) followed by filtration through basic Al₂O₃ (MeOH/CH₂Cl₂: 0/100 to 5/95). Product **2-100** was obtained as a white foam (0.047 g, 59%): IR (neat) 3334, 2965, 2813, 2227, 1713, 1604, 1485, 1446, 1230, 1082, 1027, 817, 707 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (600 MHz, acetone-*d*₆) δ , 8.74 (dd, J = 4.8, 1.5 Hz, 1 H), 8.70 (d, J = 2.5 Hz, 1 H), 7.98-7.96 (m, 1 H), 7.62 (ddd, J = 8.1, 4.8, 0.6 Hz, 1 H), 7.25 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1 H), 6.81 (d, J = 2.5 Hz, 1 H), 6.74 (dd, J = 8.5, 2.6 Hz, 1 H), 6.19 (q, J = 5.9 Hz, 1 H), 5.58 (q, J = 6.6 Hz, 1 H), 5.18 (s, 2 H), 3.24 (q, J = 6.2 Hz, 2 H), 2.58 (dt, J = 13.1, 6.5 Hz, 1 H), 2.43 (dd, J = 16.2, 9.7 Hz, 10 H), 2.32 (s, 3 H), 1.50 (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 3 H), 0.96 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 6 H); ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, acetone- d_6) δ 158.6, 156.1, 153.5, 152.3, 151.8, 149.0, 142.9, 136.0, 133.9, 131.3, 125.1, 116.7, 116.3, 113.1, 92.5, 83.1, 61.3, 60.9, 58.2, 54.8, 54.4, 49.2, 46.4-46.1 (m, CD), 38.8, 33.3 (t, $J_{CD} = 20.2$ Hz), 24.0 (t, $J_{CD} = 19.8$ Hz), 22.3, 20.8, 18.8; HRMS (ESI⁺): m/z calculated for C₃₄H₃₇²H₉O₃N₇S (M+H) 641.3942, found 641.3940; ELS purity (100%).



4-(3-Hydroxyprop-1-yn-1-yl)-3-methylphenyl pivalate¹³⁸. To a solution of 4-(3-hydroxyprop-1-yn-1-yl)-3-methylphenol (0.564 g, 3.48 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (7 mL) at 0 °C was added Et₃N (0.538 mL, 3.83 mmol) followed by pivaloyl chloride (0.385 mL, 3.13 mmol) dropwise and the reaction was stirred at room temperature for 4 h. The reaction was diluted with CH₂Cl₂ (10 mL) and washed with sat. NaHCO₃, sat. NH₄Cl, and brine. The combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, EtOAc/Hexanes: 0/100 to 100/0). The pivalate was obtained as a pale brown oil that solidified upon standing (0.532 g, 62%) and carried on without further characterization: ¹H NMR

(300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.40 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 1 H), 6.91 (d, *J* = 2.2 Hz, 1 H), 6.85 (dd, *J* = 8.3, 2.4 Hz, 1 H), 4.53 (d, *J* = 5.6 Hz, 2 H), 2.42 (s, 3 H), 1.63 (t, *J* = 5.8 Hz, 1 H), 1.35 (s, 9 H).

3-Methyl-4-(3-oxoprop-1-yn-1-yl)phenyl pivalate¹³⁹. To a solution of 4-(3-hydroxyprop-1-yn-1-yl)-3-methylphenyl pivalate (0.174 g, 0.706 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (2.6 mL) at 0 °C was added DMP (0.303 g, 0.706 mmol) and the reaction stirred at 0 °C for about 1 h. The reaction was poured into cold sat. NaHCO₃ (2 mL) and diluted with CH₂Cl₂ (2 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with sat. Na₂SO₃ (3x), water (2 mL), and brine (2 mL), dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The pivalate was obtained as a white solid (0.153 g, 89%) and carried on without further purification or characterization: ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 9.46 (s, 1 H), 7.58 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1 H), 7.00 (d, *J* = 2.1 Hz, 1 H), 6.94 (ddd, *J* = 8.4, 2.3, 0.3 Hz, 1 H), 2.50 (s, 3 H), 1.36 (s, 9 H).

3-Methyl-4-(4,4,4-trifluoro-3-hydroxybut-1-yn-1-yl)phenyl pivalate¹⁴⁰. To a solution of 3methyl-4-(3-oxoprop-1-yn-1-yl)phenyl pivalate (0.15 g, 0.61 mmol) in THF (0.8 mL) was added TMSCF₃ (0.12 mL, 0.80 mmol) then cooled to 0 °C and stirred under argon for 10 min. TBAF (2 drop) was then added and the reaction stirred for 10 min at 0 °C. The reaction was warmed to room temperature and stirred 16 h. The reaction was cooled to 0 °C, water (0.061 mL) followed by TBAF (0.061 mL) were added and the reaction warmed to room temperature and stirred for 3 h. The reaction was diluted with Et₂O (2 mL) and water (1 mL). The aqueous was extracted with Et₂O (2x) and the combined organic layers dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, EtOAc/Hexanes: 0/100 to 35/65). The still silylated intermediate was resubjected to the desilylation conditions: The mixture was dissolved in THF (0.8 mL) and treated with water (0.061 mL) and TBAF (0.061 mL) and stirred for 7 h. The reaction was diluted with Et₂O (2 mL) and water (1 mL). The aqueous was extracted with Et₂O (2x) and the combined organic layers dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, EtOAc/Hexanes: 0/100 to 35/65). The pivalate was obtained as a yellow oil (0.102 g, 53%) and carried on without further characterization: ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.43 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1 H), 6.93 (dd, *J* = 1.8, 0.4 Hz, 1 H), 6.87 (ddd, *J* = 8.3, 2.4, 0.5 Hz, 1 H), 4.91 (q, *J* = 5.6 Hz, 1 H), 2.91 (bs, 1 H), 2.41 (s, 3 H), 1.35 (s, 9 H); ¹⁹F NMR (470 MHz, CDCl₃) δ -79.4; MS (ESI⁻): M-H found 313.2.

3-Methyl-4-(4,4,4-trifluoro-3-hydroxybut-1-yn-1-yl)phenol¹⁴¹. To a solution of 3-methyl-4-(4,4,4-trifluoro-3-hydroxybut-1-yn-1-yl)phenyl pivalate (0.045 g, 0.14 mmol) in EtOH (0.15 mL) was added Cs_2CO_3 (0.056 g, 0.17 mmol) and the reaction stirred at room temperature for 20 h. The reaction was concentrated, and the phenol obtained as a red-brown solid and carried on without further purification or characterization: HRMS (ESI⁻): *m/z* calculated for $C_{11}H_8O_2F_3$ (M-H) 229.0471, found 229.0481.

4-(4-((5-(Cyclohex-2-en-1-ylthio)-4-(pyridin-3-yl)-4H-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)methoxy)-2-

methylphenyl)-1,1,1-trifluorobut-3-yn-2-ol. To the crude mixture of (5-(cyclohex-2-en-1ylthio)-4-(pyridin-3-yl)-4*H*-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)methyl methanesulfonate and 3-(3-(chloromethyl)-5-(cyclohex-2-en-1-ylthio)-4*H*-1,2,4-triazol-4-yl)pyridine (0.045 g, 0.12 mmol) in dry DMF (1.8 mL) was added 3-methyl-4-(4,4,4-trifluoro-3-hydroxybut-1-yn-1-yl)phenol (0.049 g, 0.14 mmol) and Cs₂CO₃ (0.048 g, 0.15 mmol) and the reaction was stirred at room temperature 16 h. The reaction was diluted with EtOAc and organic layer washed with water. The aqueous was extracted with EtOAc (3x). The combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, EtOAc/Hexanes: 0/100 to 100/0). The triazole was obtained impure as a yellow oil (~20 mg, ~30%) and carried on without further characterization: ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.73 (d, *J* = 3.4 Hz, 1 H), 8.60 (s, 1 H), 7.68 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1 H), 7.47 (dd, J = 7.7, 5.0 Hz, 1 H), 7.20 (dd, J = 8.3, 4.5 Hz, 1 H), 6.62 (s, 1 H), 6.54-6.57 (m, 1 H), 5.88-5.86 (m, 1 H), 5.73-5.72 (m, 1 H), 5.06-4.95 (m, 4 H), 4.56 (bs, 1 H), 2.29 (s, 2 H), 2.09-2.01 (m, 4 H), 1.67-1.66 (m, 3 H); ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 157.8, 153.8, 151.31, 151.18, 148.0, 143.2, 135.1, 133.8, 132.6, 130.1, 125.4, 124.3, 123.3 (q, $J_{CF} = 284.5$ Hz), 115.8, 114.7, 112.0, 86.0, 84.5, 77.2, 62.9 (q, $J_{CF} = 36.0$ Hz), 59.8, 56.1, 44.2, 29.3, 24.9, 22.8, 20.8, 19.2; ¹⁹F NMR (565 MHz, CDCl₃) δ -79.2; HRMS (ESI⁺): m/zcalculated for C₂₅H₂₄O₂N₄F₃S (M+H) 501.1567, found 501.1569.

4-(4-((5-(Cyclohex-2-en-1-ylthio)-4-(pyridin-3-yl)-4H-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)methoxy)-2-

$methylphenyl) \textbf{-1,1,1-trifluorobut-3-yn-2-yl} \\ (2-(4-isopropylpiperazin-1-yl)ethyl) carbamate$

(2-101). To a solution of 4-(4-((5-(cyclohex-2-en-1-ylthio)-4-(pyridin-3-yl)-4*H*-1,2,4-triazol-3yl)methoxy)-2-methylphenyl)-1,1,1-trifluorobut-3-yn-2-ol (0.026 g, 0.052 mmol) in dry CH₂Cl₂ (0.5 mL) was added CDI (0.013 g, 0.078 mmol) and the reaction stirred at room temperature under argon for 2 h. A solution of 2-(4-isopropylpiperazin-1-yl)ethan-1-amine (0.013 g, 0.078 mmol) in dry CH₂Cl₂ (0.5 mL) was then added and the reaction stirred for 4 d at room temperature. The reaction was then diluted with CH₂Cl₂ and washed with brine. The combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, EtOAc/Hexanes: 0/100 to 100/0; MeOH/EtOAc: 0/100 to 30/70) followed by filtration through a plug of basic Al₂O₃ (MeOH/CDCl₃: 0/100 to 2/98). Product **2-101** was obtained as a gooey white foam (0.012 g, 32%): IR (neat) 3246, 2935, 2815, 2234, 1737, 1604, 1485, 1445, 1232, 1181, 1140, 1036, 995, 707 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.75 (dd, *J* = 4.8, 1.5 Hz, 1 H), 8.60 (d, *J* = 2.4 Hz, 1 H), 7.66 (ddd, *J* = 8.1, 2.5, 1.5 Hz, 1 H), 7.46 (ddd, *J* = 8.1, 4.8, 0.3 Hz, 1 H), 7.33 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 1 H), 6.70 (d, *J* = 2.4 Hz, 1 H), 6.65 (dd, *J* = 8.5, 2.6 Hz, 1 H), 6.08 (q, *J* = 5.8 Hz, 1 H), 5.87 (dtd, *J* = 9.8, 3.8, 1.4 Hz, 1 H), 5.76-5.73 (m, 1 H), 5.54 (t, J = 4.7 Hz, 1 H), 5.10-5.05 (m, 2 H), 4.57 (dd, J = 3.9, 1.6 Hz, 1 H), 3.33 (dd, J = 11.6, 5.6 Hz, 2 H), 2.64 (dquintet, J = 13.0, 6.5 Hz, 1 H), 2.59-2.45 (m, 10 H), 2.36 (s, 3 H), 2.01-2.09 (m, 4 H), 1.75-1.65 (m, 2 H), 1.04 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 6 H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 126 MHz) δ 158.1, 153.74, 153.68, 151.3, 148.2, 143.6, 134.8, 134.2, 132.5, 130.1, 125.6, 124.2, 121.1 (q, $J_{CF} = 281.1$ Hz), 116.0, 114.5, 112.2, 86.7, 81.5, 62.9 (q, $J_{CF} = 37.3$ Hz), 60.0, 56.7, 54.6, 53.4, 48.7, 44.2, 38.0, 29.4, 25.0, 20.8, 19.3, 18.8; ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃) δ -76.8; HRMS (ESI⁺): m/z calculated for C₃₅H₄₃O₃N₇F₃S (M+H) 698.3095, found 698.3096; ELS purity (100%).



4-(4-((5-(Cyclohex-2-en-1-ylthio)-4-(pyridin-3-yl)-4H-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)methoxy)-2methylphenyl)-2-methylbut-3-yn-2-ol (2-102). To the crude mixture of (5-(cyclohex-2-en-1ylthio)-4-(pyridin-3-yl)-4H-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)methyl methanesulfonate and 3-(3-(chloromethyl)-5-(cyclohex-2-en-1-ylthio)-4H-1,2,4-triazol-4-yl)pyridine (0.063 g, 0.17 mmol) in dry DMF (2.5 mL) was added 4-(3-hydroxy-3-methylbut-1-yn-1-yl)-3-methylphenol (0.052 g, 0.21 mmol) and Cs₂CO₃ (0.11 g, 0.34 mmol) and the reaction stirred at room temperature 16 h. The reaction was diluted with EtOAc and organic layer washed with water. The aqueous was extracted with EtOAc (3x). The combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The product **2-102** was obtained as a tan foam (0.037 g, 47%): IR (neat) 3311, 2978, 2927, 1604, 1485, 1444, 1231, 1163, 1032, 816, 707 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, acetone- d_6) δ 8.74 (dd, J = 4.8, 1.3 Hz, 1 H), 8.71 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 1 H), 7.97 (ddd, J = 8.1, 2.4, 1.5 Hz, 1 H), 7.62 (dd, J = 8.1, 4.8 Hz, 1 H), 7.21 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1 H), 6.79 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 1 H), 6.72 (dd, J = 8.5, 2.6 Hz, 1 H), 5.87 (dtd, J = 9.6, 3.8, 1.2 Hz, 1 H), 5.72 (ddt, J = 9.9, 3.9, 2.0 Hz, 1 H), 5.18 (s, 2 H), 4.41-4.40 (m, 1 H), 4.37 (s, 1 H), 2.31 (s, 3 H), 2.02-1.95 (m, 4 H), 1.72-1.64 (m, 2 H), 1.53 (s, 6 H); ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, acetone- d_6) δ 158.2, 152.9, 152.5, 151.8, 149.0, 142.4, 136.0, 133.5, 132.7, 131.3, 129.3, 126.5, 125.1, 117.2, 116.6, 113.0, 99.2, 80.0, 65.2, 60.9, 44.8, 32.2, 25.4, 20.8, 19.7; HRMS (ESI⁺): m/z calculated for C₂₆H₂₉O₂N₄S (M+H) 461.2006, found 461.2007; ELS purity (100%).



2-((Prop-2-yn-1-yl-1,1- d_2 **)oxy)tetrahydro-**2H**-pyran**¹⁴². To a suspension of LiAlD₄ (0.484 g, 11.3 mmol) in Et₂O (30.8 mL) at -40 to -50 °C was added methyl propiolate (1.06 mL, 11.5 mmol) in Et₂O (10.2 mL) over 10 min. The reaction was stirred at -30 °C for 1 h and slowly warmed to room temperature over 2 h. The reaction was stirred for an additional 11.5 h. The reaction was quenched with dropwise addition of water (0.5 mL), NaOH (0.5 mL), and water (1 mL). The solid was filtered off and washed with Et₂O (20 mL), the filtrate was dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and the volume reduced. Crude prop-2-yn-1,1- d_2 -1-ol was obtained as a pale-yellow oil and carried on without further purification or characterization: ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 3.68 (s, 1 H), 2.29 (s, 1 H).

To a solution of crude prop-2-yn-1,1- d_2 -1-ol (0.669 mL, 11.5 mmol) in Et₂O was added 3,4dihydro-2*H*-pyran (1.30 mL, 14.2 mmol) and the reaction stirred at room temperature for 10 min. *p*-TsOH (0.226 g, 1.19 mmol) was then added and the reaction stirred at room temperature for 23.5 h. The reaction was then washed with water, 1 M NaOH, and brine. The combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The crude material was distilled via Kugelrohr (~20 torr, 80-90 °C). The alkyne was obtained as a colorless oil (0.561 g, 34%) and carried on without further purification or characterization: ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 4.95 (dd, *J* = 4.6, 2.9 Hz, 1 H), 3.88-3.84 (m, 1 H), 3.80 (s, 1 H), 3.55-3.50 (m, 1 H), 1.79-1.50 (m, 6 H).

3-Fluoro-4-(3-((tetrahydro-2*H***-pyran-2-yl)oxy)prop-1-yn-1-yl-3,3-***d***₂)phenyl pivalate. To a solution of 2-((prop-2-yn-1-yl-1,1-***d***₂)oxy)tetrahydro-2***H***-pyran (0.55 g, 3.9 mmol) and 4-bromo-3-fluorophenyl pivalate (0.89 g, 3.2 mmol) in deoxygenated Et₃N (2.5 mL, 18 mmol) was added Pd(PPh₃)₄ (0.19 g, 0.16 mmol) and CuI (0.061 g, 0.32 mmol). The reaction was sparged with argon for 2 min then stirred at reflux for 16 h. The reaction was concentrated, and the residue taken up in Et₂O, washed with sat. NH₄Cl and brine, filtered, and concentrated. The product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, EtOAc/hexanes: 0/100 to 10/90). The pivalate was obtained as a yellow oil (0.337 g, 31%) and carried on without further characterization: ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) \delta 7.43 (t,** *J* **= 8.1 Hz, 1 H), 6.86 (dd,** *J* **= 9.7, 2.1 Hz, 1 H), 6.83 (ddd,** *J* **= 8.4, 2.3, 0.7 Hz, 1 H), 4.90 (t,** *J* **= 3.4 Hz, 1 H), 3.91-3.87 (m, 1 H), 3.59-3.55 (m, 1 H), 1.91-1.81 (m, 1 H), 1.80-1.74 (m, 1 H), 1.68-1.53 (m, 4 H), 1.34 (s, 9 H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) \delta 176.5, 163.1 (d,** *J***_{CF} = 253.0 Hz), 152.0 (d,** *J***_{CF} = 10.6 Hz), 134.0 (d,** *J***_{CF} = 2.6 Hz), 117.5 (d,** *J***_{CF} = 3.6 Hz), 109.9 (d,** *J***_{CF} = 24.4 Hz), 108.9 (d,** *J***_{CF} = 16.3 Hz), 90.5, 78.7, 62.2, 39.3, 30.4, 27.2, 25.5, 19.2; ¹⁹F NMR (470 MHz, CDCl₃) \delta -107.4.**

3-Fluoro-4-(3-((tetrahydro-2*H***-pyran-2-yl)oxy)prop-1-yn-1-yl-3,3-***d***₂)phenol. To a solution of 3-fluoro-4-(3-((tetrahydro-2***H***-pyran-2-yl)oxy)prop-1-yn-1-yl-3,3-***d***₂)phenyl pivalate (0.332 g, 0.987 mmol) in THF (14.5 mL) and MeOH (14.5 mL) was added a solution of NaOH (1 M, 10.9 mL) dropwise and the reaction was stirred at room temperature for about 15 h. The reaction was concentrated and diluted with CH₂Cl₂ (30 mL). The solution was then neutralized to pH 7 with 2 N HCl and the aqueous extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (2x). The combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The crude phenol was obtained as a yellow oil (0.261 g) and carried on without further purification or characterization: ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) \delta 7.29 (d,** *J* **= 8.1 Hz, 1 H), 6.59-6.55 (m, 2 H), 4.92 (t,** *J* **= 3.4 Hz, 1 H), 3.89 (ddd,** *J* **= 11.4, 8.8, 2.9 Hz, 1 H), 3.59-3.55 (m, 1 H), 1.88-1.73 (m, 2 H), 1.69-1.52 (m, 4 H); ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃) \delta -107.8.**

3-Fluoro-4-(3-hydroxyprop-1-yn-1-yl-3,3-d₂)phenol. То а solution of 3-fluoro-4-(3-((tetrahydro-2H-pyran-2-yl)oxy)prop-1-yn-1-yl-3,3-d₂)phenol (0.25 g, 0.99 mmol) in MeOH (6 mL) was added PPTS (0.062 g, 0.25 mmol) and the reaction stirred at room temperature for 19.5 h. The reaction was diluted with CH₂Cl₂ (20 mL) and extracted with sat. NaHCO₃. The combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, EtOAc/Hexanes: 0/100 to 40/100). The phenol was obtained as an off-white solid (0.061 g, 37%): m.p. 99.8-102.1 °C; IR (neat) 3411, 3235, 2932, 2811, 2238, 1623, 1590, 1506, 1468, 1307, 1239, 1093, 965, 839, 780, 659 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃/acetone- d_6) δ 7.15 (t, J = 8.1 Hz, 1 H), 6.56-6.50 (m, 2 H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃/acetone- d_6) δ 163.2 (d, $J_{CF} = 250.0$ Hz), 158.6 (d, $J_{CF} = 11.2$ Hz), 133.8 (d, $J_{CF} = 3.4$ Hz), 111.3 (d, $J_{CF} = 2.9$ Hz), 102.7 (d, $J_{CF} = 23.7$ Hz), 101.7 (d, $J_{CF} = 16.1$ Hz), 90.5 (d, J_{CF} = 16.1 Hz), 90.5 (d, J_{CF} = 16.1 Hz), 2.5 Hz), 78.1, 50.0 (t, $J_{CD} = 22.4$ Hz); ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃/acetone- d_6) δ -109.3; HRMS (ESI): m/z calculated for C₉H₄²H₂O₂F (M-H) 167.0472, found 167.0470.

3-(4-((5-((Cyclopentyl-d9)thio)-4-(pyridin-3-yl)-4H-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)methoxy)-2-

fluorophenyl)prop-2-yn-1,1-d2-1-ol (2-96). To a solution of 3-(3-(chloromethyl)-5-((cyclopentyl-d₉)thio)-4H-1,2,4-triazol-4-yl)pyridine (0.082 g, 0.27 mmol) in DMF (4.5 mL) was added 3-fluoro-4-(3-hydroxyprop-1-yn-1-yl-3,3-d₂)phenol (0.054 g, 0.32 mmol) and Cs₂CO₃ (0.11 g, 0.32 mmol) and the reaction stirred at room temperature for 16 h. The reaction was diluted with EtOAc and organic layer washed with water. The aqueous was extracted with EtOAc (3x). The combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, EtOAc/Hexanes: 0/100 to 100/0). Product 2-96 was obtained as an off-white solid (0.11 g, 97%): m.p. 163-164 °C; IR (neat) 3209, 2791, 2225, 2097, 1618, 1575, 1504, 1454, 1395, 1288, 1162, 1092, 1018, 966, 807, 707 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.75 (dd, J = 4.8, 1.4 Hz, 1 H), 8.61 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 1 H), 7.68 (ddd, J = 8.1, 2.4, 1.6 Hz, 1 H), 7.48 (dd, J = 8.1, 4.8 Hz, 1 H), 7.23 (t, J = 8.3 Hz, 1 H), 6.59 $(dd, J = 8.6, 2.5 Hz, 1 H), 6.54 (dd, J = 10.7, 2.4 Hz, 1 H), 5.05 (s, 2 H), 3.11 (bs, 1 H); {}^{13}C$ NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 163.2 (d, J_{CF} = 252.3 Hz), 158.3 (d, J_{CF} = 10.6 Hz), 154.3, 151.2, 150.7, 147.8, 134.9, 134.2 (d, *J*_{CF} = 2.8 Hz), 129.9, 124.3, 110.5 (d, *J*_{CF} = 3.2 Hz), 104.7 (d, *J*_{CF} = 15.8 Hz), 102.6 (d, J_{CF} = 25.0 Hz), 92.4, 77.8, 60., 50.5 (t, J_{CD} = 22.3 Hz), 45.4 (t, J_{CF} = 24.2 Hz), 33.1-32.5 (m), 23.8-23.2 (m); ¹⁹F NMR (470 MHz, CDCl₃) δ -107.1; HRMS (ESI⁺): *m/z*. calculated for C₂₂H₁₁²H₁₁O₂N₄FS (M+H) 436.2132, found 436.2129; ELS purity (100%).



Ethyl 2-(cyclohex-2-en-1-ylthio)-1-(pyridin-3-yl)-1H-imidazole-5-carboxylate. To a solution of ethyl 2-mercapto-1-(pyridin-3-yl)-1H-imidazole-5-carboxylate (0.200 g, 0.802 mmol) in DMF (4.1 mL) was added 3-bromocyclohexene (0.138 mL, 1.20 mmol) and Cs₂CO₃ (0.523, 1.60 mmol) the reaction mixture was stirred for 1 h at room temperature. Then the reaction was diluted with water (10 mL) and extracted with EtOAc (2x). The combined organics were dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The crude product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, EtOAc/Hexanes: 0/100 to 50/50). The imidazole was obtained as a white foam (0.206 g, 78%): IR (neat) 3100, 2984, 2939, 1712, 1534, 1482, 1429, 1369, 1321, 1274, 1159, 1028, 997, 868, 816, 764, 750, 712 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.72 (d, J = 4.8 Hz, 1 H), 8.53 (d, J = 2.3 Hz, 1 H), 7.86 (s, 1 H), 7.64 (ddd, J = 8.1, 2.4, 1.4 Hz, 1 H), 7.45 (dd, J = 8.0, 4.8 Hz, 1 H), 5.87-5.84 (m, 1 H), 5.72 (ddt, J = 9.9, 4.2, 2.2 Hz, 1 H), 4.53-4.52 (m, 1 H), 4.15 (q, J = 7.1 Hz, 2 H), 2.08-2.00 (m, 3 H), 1.93-1.89 (m, 1 H), 1.75-1.62 (m, 2 H), 1.19 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3 H); ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 159.1, 150.8, 150.1, 148.4, 137.9, 135.3, 133.0, 132.0, 125.7, 125.6, 123.5, 60.6, 43.8, 29.2, 24.8, 19.2, 14.1; HRMS (ESI⁺): *m/z* calculated for C₁₇H₂₀O₂N₃S (M+H) 330.1271, found 330.1269.

(2-(Cyclohex-2-en-1-ylthio)-1-(pyridin-3-yl)-1*H*-imidazol-5-yl)methanol¹⁴³. To a solution of LiAlH₄ (0.28 mL, 4 M, 1.1 mmol) in dry Et₂O (4.9 mL) at -40 °C under nitrogen was added a solution of ethyl 2-(cyclohex-2-en-1-ylthio)-1-(pyridin-3-yl)-1*H*-imidazole-5-carboxylate (0.25 g, 0.76 mmol) in dry CH₂Cl₂ (4.9 mL) dropwise. The reaction was stirred for 10 min. The reaction was then quenched with water (0.31 mL), 1M NaOH (0.31 mL), and water (0.94 mL).

The suspension was diluted with CH₂Cl₂ (10 mL) and then dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The crude product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (EtOAc/hexanes: 50/50 to MeOH/EtOAc: 5/95). The imidazole was obtained as a colorless oil (0.205 g, 94%) and carried on without further characterization: ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.72 (dd, *J* = 4.8, 1.5 Hz, 1 H), 8.63 (dd, *J* = 2.5, 0.6 Hz, 1 H), 7.76 (ddd, *J* = 8.1, 2.5, 1.6 Hz, 1 H), 7.47 (ddd, *J* = 8.1, 4.8, 0.8 Hz, 1 H), 7.20 (s, 1 H), 5.84-5.79 (m, 1 H), 5.70-5.65 (m, 1 H), 4.47-4.40 (m, 2 H), 4.30-4.26 (m, 1 H), 2.02-1.95 (m, 3 H), 1.88-1.81 (m, 1 H), 1.74-1.64 (m, 2 H); HRMS (ESI⁺): *m/z* calculated for C₁₅H₁₈ON₃S (M+H) 288.1165, found 288.1164.

3-(2-(Cyclohex-2-en-1-ylthio)-5-((3-methyl-4-(3-((tetrahydro-2H-pyran-2-yl)oxy)prop-1-yn-1-yl)phenoxy)methyl)-1H-imidazol-1-yl)pyridine. To a solution of (2-(cyclohex-2-en-1ylthio)-1-(pyridin-3-yl)-1H-imidazol-5-yl)methanol (0.200 g, 0.696 mmol), PPh₃ (0.219 g, 0.835 mmol), and 3-methyl-4-(3-((tetrahydro-2H-pyran-2-yl)oxy)prop-1-yn-1-yl)phenol (0.206 g, 0.835 mmol) in dry THF (2.9 mL) at 0 °C was added DIAD (0.164 mL, 0.835 mmol) dropwise. The reaction was stirred at room temperature 16 h. The reaction was poured into water (5 mL) and extracted with EtOAc (3x). The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The crude product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, EtOAc/Hexanes: 0/100 to 100/0). The imidazole was obtained as a white foam (0.210 g, 58%) and carried on without further characterization: IR (neat) 2939, 2861, 2221, 1721, 1603, 1496, 1483, 1432, 1229, 1116, 1020, 813, 707 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.68 (dd, J =4.8, 1.5 Hz, 1 H), 8.63 (dd, J = 2.6, 0.5 Hz, 1 H), 7.71 (ddd, J = 8.1, 2.5, 1.6 Hz, 1 H), 7.41 (ddd, J = 8.1, 4.9, 0.7 Hz, 1 H), 7.32 (s, 1 H), 7.28 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1 H), 6.61 (d, J = 2.5 Hz, 1 H), 6.55 (dd, J = 8.4, 2.6 Hz, 1 H), 5.84-5.81 (m, 1 H), 5.71-5.67 (m, 1 H), 4.91 (t, J = 3.5 Hz, 1 H), 4.78-4.72 (m, 2 H), 4.50 (d, J = 5.1 Hz, 2 H), 4.36 (bs, 1 H), 3.89 (ddd, J = 11.5, 8.6, 3.1 Hz, 1 H),

3.58-3.53 (m, 1 H), 2.36 (s, 3 H), 2.36-1.97 (m, 3 H), 1.88-1.84 (m, 3 H), 1.76-1.55 (m, 6 H); HRMS (ESI⁺): *m/z* calculated for C₃₀H₃₄O₃N₃S (M+H) 516.2315, found 516.2316.

3-(4-((2-(Cyclohex-2-en-1-ylthio)-1-(pyridin-3-yl)-1H-imidazol-5-yl)methoxy)-2-

methylphenyl)prop-2-yn-1-ol (**2-103**)^{xiii}. To a solution of 3-(2-(cyclohex-2-en-1-ylthio)-5-((3-methyl-4-(3-((tetrahydro-2*H*-pyran-2-yl)oxy)prop-1-yn-1-yl)phenoxy)methyl)-1*H*-imidazol-1-yl)pyridine (0.110 g, 0.213 mmol) in MeOH (6 mL) was added PPTS (0.160 g, 0.640 mmol) and then stirred at 40-50 °C for 2 h. The reaction was then concentrated, and the residue dissolved in EtOAc, washed with satd. NaHCO₃, and brine. The combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The crude product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, EtOAc/Hexanes: 0/100 to 100/0). Product **2-103** was obtained as an off-white foam (0.0637 g, 69%): HRMS (ESI⁺): *m/z* calculated for C₂₅H₂₆O₂N₃S (M+H) 432.1740, found 432.1736.



3-(4-((2-(Cyclohex-2-en-1-ylthio)-1-(pyridin-3-yl)-1H-imidazol-5-yl)methoxy)-2methylphenyl)prop-2-yn-1-yl (2-morpholinoethyl)carbamate (2-105). To a solution of 2-103 (0.040 g, 0.93 mmol) in dry CH₂Cl₂ (1.5 mL) was added CDI (0.023 g, 0.14 mmol) and the reaction stirred at room temperature under argon 16 h. 4-(2-Aminoethyl)-morpholine (18 μ L,

xiii Full characterization by Matthew LaPorte; described batch not biologically tested

0.14 mmol) was added and the reaction stirred 16 h at room temperature. The reaction was then diluted with CH₂Cl₂ and washed with brine. The combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, MeOH/CH₂Cl₂: 0/100 to 5/95). Product 2-105 was obtained as a sticky white foam (0.035 g, 64%): IR (neat) 3312, 3031, 2933, 2856, 2220, 1718, 1603, 1495, 1432, 1291, 1228, 1140, 1115, 1028, 815, 708 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.65 (dd, J = 4.8, 1.5 Hz, 1 H), 8.61 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 1 H), 7.69 (ddd, J = 8.1, 2.4, 1.6 Hz, 1 H), 7.39 (dd, J = 8.1, 4.8 Hz, 1 H), 7.29 (s, 1 H), 7.27 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1 H), 6.60 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 1 H), 6.54 (dd, J = 8.5, 2.5 Hz, 1 H), 5.83-5.78 (m, J = 1.4 Hz, 1 H), 5.70-5.65 (m, 1 H), 5.37-5.36 (m, 1 H), 4.91 (s, 2 H), 4.77-4.70 (m, 2 H), 4.32-4.31 (m, 1 H), 3.67 (t, J = 4.6 Hz, 4 H), 3.29 (q, J = 5.7 Hz, 2 H), 2.48-2.42 (m, 6 H), 2.34 (s, 3 H), 2.03-1.95 (m, 3 H), 1.90-1.83 (m, J = 3.5 Hz, 1 H), 1.74-1.55 (m, 2 H); ¹³C NMR (CD₃OD/CDCl₃,) & 157.9, 155.7, 150.4, 148.9, 145.7, 142.6, 135.6, 133.8, 132.2, 131.7, 131.5, 129.5, 126.0, 123.8, 115.8, 115.2, 112.0, 86.4, 85.0, 67.0, 59.4, 57.4, 53.56, 53.40, 44.5, 37.3, 29.3, 24.9, 21.0, 19.2; HRMS (ESI⁺): m/z calculated for C₃₂H₃₈O₄N₅S (M+H) 588.2639, found 588.2645; ELS purity (100%).



3-(4-((2-(Cyclohex-2-en-1-ylthio)-1-(pyridin-3-yl)-1*H***-imidazol-5-yl)methoxy)-2methylphenyl)prop-2-yn-1-yl (2-methoxyethyl)carbamate (2-106).** To a solution of **2-103** (0.031 g, 0.072 mmol) in dry CH₂Cl₂ (1 mL) was added CDI (0.017 g, 0.11 mmol) and the

reaction stirred at room temperature under argon 16 h. 2-Methoxyethylamine (9.4 µL, 0.11 mmol) was added and the reaction stirred 16 h at room temperature. The reaction was then diluted with CH₂Cl₂ and washed with brine. The combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, EtOAc/Hexanes: 0/100 to 80/20). Product **2-106** was obtained as a sticky white foam (0.027 g, 70%): IR (neat) 3329, 3029, 2929, 2225, 1718, 1603, 1496, 1432, 1228, 1119, 1021, 910, 815, 728, 708 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.65 (dd, J = 4.8, 1.5 Hz, 1 H), 8.61 (d, J = 2.5 Hz, 1 H), 7.69 (ddd, J = 8.1, 2.4, 1.6 Hz, 1 H), 7.39 (dd, J = 8.1, 4.8 Hz, 1 H), 7.29 (s, 1 H), 7.27 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 1 H), 6.60 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 1 H), 6.54 (dd, J = 8.5, 2.6 Hz, 1 H), 5.83-5.78 (m, 1 H), 5.68 (ddt, J = 9.9, 4.1, 2.0 Hz, 1 H), 5.22-5.20 (m, 1 H), 4.91 (s, 2 H), 4.77-4.70 (m, 2 H), 4.31 (dd, J = 4.0, 1.6 Hz, 1 H), 3.45 (t, J = 4.9 Hz, 2 H), 3.37 (q, J = 5.2 Hz, 2 H), 3.33 (s, 3 H), 2.34 (H), 2.03-1.95 (m, 2 H), 1.92-1.87 (m, 2 H), 1.74-1.56 (m, 2 H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 157.9, 155.8, 150.4, 148.9, 145.7, 142.6, 135.6, 133.7, 132.2, 131.7, 131.5, 129.5, 126.0, 123.8, 115.8, 115.3, 112.0, 86.4, 84.9, 71.4, 59.5, 58.9, 53.6, 44.5, 41.0, 29.3, 24.9, 21.0, 19.2; HRMS (ESI⁺): *m/z* calculated for C₂₉H₃₃O₄N₄S (M+H) 533.2217, found 533.2220; ELS purity (100%).



3-(4-((2-(Cyclohex-2-en-1-ylthio)-1-(pyridin-3-yl)-1H-imidazol-5-yl)methoxy)-2methylphenyl)prop-2-yn-1-yl (tetrahydro-2H-pyran-4-yl)carbamate (2-107). To a solution of **2-103** (0.031 g, 0.72 mmol) in dry CH₂Cl₂ (1 mL) was added CDI (0.017 g, 0.11 mmol) and

the reaction stirred at room temperature under argon 16 h. 4-Aminotetrahydropyran (11 µL, 0.11 mmol) was added and the reaction stirred 16 h at room temperature. The reaction was then diluted with CH₂Cl₂ and washed with brine. The combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, EtOAc/Hexanes: 0/100 to 80/20). Product 2-107 was obtained as a white foam (0.028 g, 69%): IR (neat) 3321, 3033, 2938, 2852, 2223, 1713, 1603, 1496, 1432, 1228, 1042, 907, 815, 725 cm⁻¹ ¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.65 (dd, J = 4.8, 1.5 Hz, 1 H), 8.61 (dd, J = 2.5, 0.5 Hz, 1 H), 7.69 (ddd, J = 8.1, 2.5, 1.6 Hz, 1 H), 7.38 (ddd, J = 8.1, 4.8, 0.6 Hz, 1 H), 7.29 (s, 1 H), 7.26 (d, J= 8.5 Hz, 1 H), 6.60 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 1 H), 6.54 (dd, J = 8.5, 2.5 Hz, 1 H), 5.83-5.78 (m, J = 1.5Hz, 1 H), 5.70-5.65 (m, J = 1.9 Hz, 1 H), 4.89 (s, 2 H), 4.76-4.70 (m, 2 H), 4.31 (dd, J = 4.2, 1.8 Hz, 1 H), 3.93 (dt, J = 11.6, 3.4 Hz, 2 H), 3.73-3.70 (m, 1 H), 3.44 (td, J = 11.6, 1.9 Hz, 2 H), 2.33 (s, 3 H), 2.03-1.83 (m, 7 H), 1.72-1.56 (m, 2 H), 1.51-1.41 (m, J = 4.1 Hz, 2 H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 157.9, 154.9, 150.4, 148.9, 145.7, 142.6, 135.6, 133.7, 132.2, 131.7, 131.5, 129.5, 126.0, 123.8, 115.8, 115.2, 112.0, 86.3, 85.0, 66.7, 59.4, 53.5, 47.5, 44.5, 33.4, 29.3, 24.9, 21.0, 19.2; HRMS (ESI⁺): *m/z* calculated for C₃₁H₃₅O₄N₄S (M+H) 559.2374, found 559.2375; ELS purity (100%).



Scheme 3-14. Synthesis of branched ester and carboxylic acid zone 1 replacements.



Ethyl 2-((4-(pyridin-3-yl)-5-(((tetrahydro-2*H*-pyran-2-yl)oxy)methyl)-4*H*-1,2,4-triazol-3yl)thio)propanoate (3-58). To a solution of 2-21 (0.200 g, 0.684 mmol) in DMF (5.9 mL) was added Cs₂CO₃ (0.44 g, 1.37 mmol) and ethyl 2-bromopropionate (0.112 mL, 0.855 mmol). The reaction was stirred at room temperature for 4.5 h. The reaction was poured into water (10 mL) and the aqueous was extracted with EtOAc (2x). The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The crude was concentrated with heptane (3x) to remove residual DMF. The product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, MeOH/CH₂Cl₂: 0/100 to 3/97). Triazole **3-58** was obtained as a colorless oil (0.334 g, DMF present) and carried on without further characterization: ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.77 (dd, J = 4.8, 1.4 Hz, 1 H), 8.67 (t, J = 2.4 Hz, 1 H), 7.75 (ddt, J = 8.1, 2.6, 1.5 Hz, 1 H), 7.50 (dd, J =7.9, 4.8 Hz, 1 H), 4.68 (dd, J = 12.6, 8.0 Hz, 1 H), 4.58-4.56 (m, 1 H), 4.52-4.46 (m, 2 H), 4.15 (q, J = 7.1 Hz, 2 H), 3.44-3.41 (m, 2 H), 1.68 (s, 1 H), 1.62 (dd, J = 7.2, 0.6 Hz, 3 H), 1.60-1.40 (m, 5 H), 1.24 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3 H); HRMS (ESI⁺): m/z calculated for C₁₈H₂₅O₄N₄S (M+H) 393.1591, found 393.1591.

Ethyl 2-((5-(hydroxymethyl)-4-(pyridin-3-yl)-4*H*-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)thio)propanoate (3-59). To a solution of 3-58 (0.250 g, 0.637 mmol) in EtOH (2.5 mL) was added *p*-TsOH (0.022 g, 0.127 mmol) and the reaction stirred at room temperature for about 40 h. The reaction was quenched by adding poly(4-vinylpyridine) and stirring for 30 min. The solid filtered off and the solvent concentrated. The product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, MeOH/CH₂Cl₂: 0/100 to 5/95). Triazole **3-59** was obtained as a pale yellow oil (0.158 g, 80%) and carried on without further characterization: ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.79 (d, *J* = 4.4 Hz, 1 H), 8.69 (d, *J* = 1.9 Hz, 1 H), 7.82 (d, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 1 H), 7.52 (dd, *J* = 8.0, 4.9 Hz, 1 H), 4.64 (d, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 2 H), 4.45 (q, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 1 H), 4.15 (q, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2 H), 2.95 (t, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 1 H),

1.62 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 3 H), 1.24 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3 H); HRMS (ESI⁺): m/z calculated for C₁₃H₁₇O₃N₄S (M+H) 309.1016, found 309.1014.

Ethyl 2-((5-(((methylsulfonyl)oxy)methyl)-4-(pyridin-3-yl)-4H-1,2,4-triazol-3yl)thio)propanoate and Ethyl 2-((5-(chloromethyl)-4-(pyridin-3-yl)-4H-1,2,4-triazol-3yl)thio)propanoate (3-60). To a solution of 3-59 (0.155 g, 0.503 mmol) and MsCl (0.039 mL, 0.503 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (6.6 mL) at 0 °C was added DiPEA (0.087 mL, 0.503 mmol) dropwise. The reaction was stirred at 0 °C for 1 h. The reaction was diluted with CH₂Cl₂, washed with water and brine, dried (Na₂SO₄), filtered, and concentrated. Triazole **3-60** was obtained as a mixture of mesylate and chloride (0.217 g) and carried on without purification or characterization: HRMS (ESI⁺): m/z calculated for C₁₃H₁₆O₂N₄ClS (M+H) 327.0677, found 327.0675; HRMS (ESI⁺): m/z calculated for C₁₄H₁₉O₅N₄S₂ (M+H) 387.0791, found 387.0789.

Ethyl 2-((5-(((2-methyl-4'-(methylsulfonyl)-[1,1'-biphenyl]-4-yl)oxy)methyl)-4-(pyridin-3-

yl)-4*H*-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)thio)propanoate (3-61)⁶⁰. To a solution of the mixture from above (3-60) (0.215 g, 0.556 mmol) in dry DMF (3.7 mL) was added 2-methyl-4'-(methylsulfonyl)-[1,1'biphenyl]-4-ol (0.153 g, 0.584 mmol) and Cs₂CO₃ (0.363 g, 1.11 mmol) and the reaction heated at 40 °C for about 2 h. The reaction was poured into water (5 mL) and the aqueous extracted with EtOAc (2x). The combined organic layers were washed with brine, sat. LiCl, dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (EtOAc/Hexanes: 50/50 to 100/0). Product **3-61** was obtained as a white foam (0.152 g, 49%): IR (CH₂Cl₂) 3054, 2983, 2930, 1731, 1605, 1484, 1309, 1234, 1149, 1024, 957, 819, 778, 731, 703 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.79 (dd, *J* = 4.8, 1.4 Hz, 1 H), 8.68 (d, *J* = 2.3 Hz, 1 H), 7.96 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2 H), 7.77 (ddd, *J* = 8.1, 2.4, 1.6 Hz, 1 H), 7.51 (dd, *J* = 8.3, 4.6 Hz, 1 H), 7.46 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2 H), 7.10-7.08 (m, 1 H), 6.81-6.79 (m, 2 H), 5.16-5.09 (m, 2 H), 4.52 (q, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 1 H), 4.16 (q, J = 7.1 Hz, 2 H), 3.10 (s, 3 H), 2.21 (s, 3 H), 1.65 (d, J = 7.3 Hz, 3 H), 1.24 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3 H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 171.2, 157.1, 151.95, 151.79, 151.4, 148.1, 147.1, 138.8, 137.0, 134.9, 133.9, 130.9, 130.3, 129.9, 127.3, 124.2, 116.9, 112.3, 62.0, 60.0, 45.1, 44.6, 20.7, 18.2, 14.1; HRMS (ESI⁺): m/z calculated for C₂₇H₂₉O₅N₄S₂ (M+H) 553.1574, found 553.1569; ELS purity (100%).

Sodium 2-(((5-(((2-methyl-4'-(methylsulfonyl)-[1,1'-biphenyl]-4-yl)oxy)methyl)-4-(pyridin-3-yl)-4H-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)thio)propanoate (3-62)¹⁴⁴. To a solution of 3-61 (0.125 g, 0.226 mmol) in THF (0.42 mL) at 0 °C was added NaOH (0.23 mL, 1 M). The reaction was warmed to room temperature and the reaction stirred for 1 h. The reaction was concentrated, and the residue was taken up in water, washed with chloroform, and the aqueous concentrated. Product **3-62** was obtained as an orange foam (0.111 g, 90%): IR (neat) 3385, 2928, 2465, 1594, 1485, 1390, 1295, 1227, 1147, 1003, 817, 779, 707 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ 8.73 (dd, *J* = 4.7, 0.9 Hz, 1 H), 8.68 (d, *J* = 2.3 Hz, 1 H), 7.99 (dt, *J* = 8.4, 1.7 Hz, 1 H), 7.94 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 2 H), 7.63 (dd, *J* = 8.1, 4.8 Hz, 1 H), 7.57 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 2 H), 7.12 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 1 H), 6.83-6.79 (m, 2 H), 5.14 (s, 2 H), 3.92 (q, *J* = 6.9 Hz, 1 H), 3.26 (s, 3 H), 2.18 (s, 3 H), 1.45 (d, *J* = 6.9 Hz, 3 H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CD₃OD/CDCl₃) δ 177.1, 156.9, 154.2, 151.5, 150.8, 147.7, 147.0, 138.4, 136.8, 135.4, 133.6, 130.6, 130.10, 129.95, 127.0, 124.3, 116.5, 111.9, 59.6, 44.2, 20.3, 18.9; HRMS (ESI⁺): *m*/z calculated for C₂₅H₂₅O₅N₄S₂ (M+H) 525.1261, found 525.1261; ELS purity (100%).



Scheme 3-15. Synthesis of cyclopentanone zone 1 analog.



2-Bromocyclopentan-1-one (3-63). To a solution of cyclopentanone (1.02 mL, 11.9 mmol) in distilled H₂O (11.9 mL) was added zinc dust (0.389 g, 5.94 mmol) followed by bromine (0.914 mL, 17.8 mmol). The reaction was stirred at room temperature for 30 min. The solid was removed by filtration and washed with Et₂O. The aqueous was extracted with Et₂O (3x). The combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The product was filtered through a pad of neutral Al₂O₃ followed by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, EtOAc/Hexanes: 0/100 to 20/80). Cyclopentanone **3-63** was obtained as an orange oil (0.543 g, 28%).

Alternatively:

To a solution of cyclopentanone (1.05 mL, 11.9 mmol) in DMSO (11.9 mL) was added NBS (2.24 g, 12.5 mmol) in 3 portions. The reaction was stirred for 15 min. The reaction was diluted with sat. NH₄Cl then extracted with EtOAc. The combined organic layers were dried (Na₂SO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The residue was taken up in CCl₄, the solid filtered off, washed (3x), and the filtrated concentrated. Cyclopentanone **3-63** was obtained as a yellow oil (1.46 g, 75%), data matches that reported in the literature: ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 4.32-4.24 (m, 1 H), 2.53-2.37 (m, 2 H), 2.31-2.19 (m, 3 H), 2.07-2.03 (m, 1 H).

2-((4-(Pyridin-3-yl)-5-(((tetrahydro-2H-pyran-2-yl)oxy)methyl)-4H-1,2,4-triazol-3-

yl)thio)cyclopentan-1-one (3-64). To a solution of 4-(pyridin-3-yl)-5-(((tetrahydro-2*H*-pyran-2-yl)oxy)methyl)-4*H*-1,2,4-triazole-3-thiol (0.500 g, 1.71 mmol) in DMF (14.8 mL) was added Cs₂CO₃ (1.11 g, 3.42 mmol) and **3-63** (0.335 g, 2.05 mmol). The reaction was stirred at room temperature 16 h. The reaction was poured into water (20 mL) and the aqueous was extracted with EtOAc (2x). The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The crude was concentrated with heptane (3x) to remove residual DMF. The product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, MeOH/CH₂Cl₂: 0/100 to 10/90). Triazole **3-64** was obtained as a colorless oil as an inseparable mixture of product and starting material (0.235 g, 18.5%) and carried on without further characterization: ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.78 (dd, *J* = 4.8, 1.3 Hz, 1 H), 8.72-8.69 (m, 1 H), 7.87-7.79 (m, 1 H), 7.51 (ddd, *J* = 11.1, 8.0, 4.6 Hz, 1 H), 4.67 (dd, *J* = 12.6, 2.4 Hz, 1 H), 4.58-4.48 (m, 2 H), 4.06 (ddd, *J* = 9.8, 8.4, 1.4 Hz, 1 H), 3.48-3.40 (m, 2 H), 2.69-2.63 (m, 1 H), 2.40-2.37 (m, 1 H), 2.22-2.14 (m, 1 H), 1.98-1.90 (m, *J* = 6.3, 3.1 Hz, 1 H), 1.63-1.41 (m, 8 H); HRMS (ESI⁺): *m*/z calculated for C₁₈H₂₃O₃N₄S (M+H) 375.1485, found 375.1481.

2-((5-(Hydroxymethyl)-4-(pyridin-3-yl)-4H-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)thio)cyclopentan-1-one (3-65). To a solution of **3-64** (0.230 g, 0.614 mmol) in acetone (3 mL) was added HCl (1 M, 1.2 mL) and the reaction stirred at room temperature for 1 h. The reaction was cooled to 0 °C and neutralized with solid K₂CO₃. The aqueous was extracted with EtOAc (3x) and the combined organic layers dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, MeOH/CH₂Cl₂: 3/97 to 10/90). Triazole **3-65** was obtained as a colorless crystalline solid (0.068 g, 38%) and carried on without further characterization: ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.79 (d, *J* = 4.0 Hz, 1 H), 8.71 (s, 1 H), 7.86 (dt, *J* = 8.2, 1.7 Hz, 1 H), 7.52 (dd, *J* = 8.1, 4.9 Hz, 1 H), 4.63 (s, 2 H), 4.03 (dd, *J* = 9.6, 8.3 Hz, 1 H), 2.65-2.59 (m, 1 H), 2.37 (dd, *J* = 9.0, 6.0 Hz, 2 H), 2.18-2.12 (m, 2 H), 1.95-1.91 (m, 2 H); HRMS (ESI⁺): *m/z* calculated for C₁₃H₁₅O₂N₄S (M+H) 291.0910, found 291.0908.

2-((5-(Chloromethyl)-4-(pyridin-3-yl)-4H-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)thio)cyclopentan-1-one (**3-66**). To a solution of **3-65** (0.065 g, 0.224 mmol) in dry CH₂Cl₂ (4.9 mL) at 0 °C was added thionyl chloride (0.025 mL, 0.336 mmol) and the reaction stirred at 0 °C for about 2 h. The reaction was cooled to 0 °C and quenched with sat. NaHCO₃, extracted with EtOAc (3x), dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. Triazole **3-66** was obtained as a pale orange oil (0.036 g, 52%) and carried on without further purification or characterization: HRMS (ESI⁺): m/z calculated for C₁₃H₁₄ON₄ClS (M+H) 309.0571, found 309.0570.

2-((5-(((2-Methyl-4'-(methylsulfonyl)-[1,1'-biphenyl]-4-yl)oxy)methyl)-4-(pyridin-3-yl)-4H-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)thio)cyclopentan-1-one (3-67). To a solution of crude 3-66 (0.036 g, 0.117 mmol) in dry DMF (1.9 mL) was added 2-methyl-4'-(methylsulfonyl)-[1,1'-biphenyl]-4-ol (0.046 g, 0.175 mmol) and Cs₂CO₃ (0.114 g, 0.350 mmol) and the reaction was stirred at room temperature 16 h. The reaction was poured into water (5 mL) and the aqueous extracted with EtOAc (2x). The combined organic layers were washed with brine, sat. LiCl, dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, MeOH/CH₂Cl₂: 0/100 to 5/95). Product **3-67** was obtained as a pale yellow oil (0.010 g, 15%): IR (neat) 2925, 1737, 1605, 1484, 1447, 1300, 1233, 1147, 1090, 999, 956, 910, 816, 778, 727, 707 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.78 (dd, *J* = 4.8, 1.5 Hz, 1 H), 8.70 (d, *J* = 2.2 Hz, 1 H), 7.97-7.95 (m, 2 H), 7.81 (ddd, *J* = 8.1, 2.5, 1.6 Hz, 1 H), 7.51 (ddd, *J* = 8.2, 4.8, 0.6 Hz, 1 H), 7.47-7.45 (m, 2 H), 7.10-7.08 (m, 1 H), 6.81-6.78 (m, 2 H), 5.11 (s, 2 H), 4.07 (dd, *J* = 10.1, 8.3 Hz, 1 H), 3.10 (s, 3 H), 2.69-2.66 (m, 1 H), 2.40 (dd, *J* = 9.3, 5.9 Hz, 2 H), 2.22-2.16 (m, 5 H), 1.99-1.93 (m, 1 H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 212.6, 157.2, 152.0, 151.7, 151.5, 148.2, 147.2, 138.9, 137.1, 135.1, 133.9, 131.0, 130.4, 130.0, 127.4, 124.2, 116.9, 112.4, 60.0, 52.0, 44.7, 36.7, 30.8, 20.8; HRMS (ESI⁺): *m/z* calculated for C₂₇H₂₇O₄N₄S₂ (M+H) 535.1468, found 535.1464; ELS purity (100%).



Scheme 3-16. Synthesis of oxabicyclo[3.1.0]hexane analog.



Ethyl (1*a*,5*a*,6*a*)-3-oxabicyclo[3.1.0]hexane-6-carboxylate (3-68).¹⁴⁵ In 4 separated flasks, a solution of 2,5-dihydrofuran (0.88 mL, 11 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (10 mL) at room temperature was added Rh(OAc)₂ dimer (0.030 g, 0.069 mmol) followed by ethyl diazoacetate (0.92 mL, 7.6 mmol) dropwise via syringe pump (0.17 mL/h). The reaction was stirred at room temperature for 1 h. The 4 reactions were combined, filtered through a pad of Celite, and concentrated. The product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, EtOAc/Hexanes: 0/100 to 15/85). The *trans* (1*a*,5*a*,6*a*)-3-oxabicyclo[3.1.0]hexane **3-68** was obtained as colorless oil (1.89 g, 36%, 90% pure) and carried on without further characterization: ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 4.13 (q, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 2 H), 3.92 (d, *J* = 8.7 Hz, 2 H), 3.75 (d, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 2 H), 2.16 (m, 2 H), 1.60 (t, *J* = 3.1 Hz, 1 H), 1.26 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3 H).

((1 α ,5 α ,6 α)-3-Oxabicyclo[3.1.0]hexan-6-yl)methanol (3-69). To a solution of 3-68 (0.500 g, 3.20 mmol) in Et₂O (18 mL) at -10 °C was added LiAlH₄ (0.96 mL, 3.84 mmol, 4 M) dropwise over 1 h. The reaction was stirred at -10 °C for 1 h then warmed to room temperature and stirred for 1 h. The reaction was cooled to -5 °C and quenched with aq. potassium sodium tartrate (8.5 mL) and stirred for 30 min. The aqueous was extracted with EtOAc (3x), dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, EtOAc/Hexanes: 0/100 to 50/50). (1 α ,5 α ,6 α)-3-Oxabicyclo[3.1.0]hexane **3-69** was obtained as a colorless oil (0.239 g, 56%) and carried on without further characterization: ¹H NMR (300 MHz,

CDCl₃) δ 3.87 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 2 H), 3.69 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2 H), 3.53 (dd, *J* = 6.4, 5.7 Hz, 2 H), 1.55-1.54 (m, 2 H), 1.34 (t, *J* = 5.3 Hz, 1 H), 1.09 (tt, *J* = 7.0, 3.5 Hz, 1 H).

((1*a*,5*a*,6*a*)-3-Oxabicyclo[3.1.0]hexan-6-yl)methyl 4-methylbenzenesulfonate (3-70). To a solution of 3-69 (0.23 g, 2.0 mmol) and Et₃N (0.37 mL, 2.6 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (5.9 mL) was added a solution of TsCl (0.42 g, 2.2 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (2.2 mL) followed by DMAP (0.025 g, 0.20 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (0.81 mL). The reaction was stirred at room temperature for 4 h. The reaction was quenched with sat. NH₄Cl and the aqueous extracted with EtOAc (3x). The combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. (1 α ,5 α ,6 α)-3-Oxabicyclo[3.1.0]hexane 3-70 was obtained as a pale yellow oil (0.505 g, 74%, 79% pure) and carried on without further purification or characterization: ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.79 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 2 H), 7.35 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2 H), 3.96 (d, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2 H), 3.77 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 2 H), 3.62 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 2 H), 2.45 (s, 3 H), 1.56-1.55 (m, 2 H), 1.08 (tt, *J* = 7.4, 3.6 Hz, 1 H).

3-(3-((((1a,5a,6a)-3-Oxabicyclo[3.1.0]hexan-6-yl)methyl)thio)-5-(((tetrahydro-2H-pyran-2-

yl)oxy)methyl)-4*H*-1,2,4-triazol-4-yl)pyridine (3-71). To a solution of 4-(pyridin-3-yl)-5-(((tetrahydro-2*H*-pyran-2-yl)oxy)methyl)-4*H*-1,2,4-triazole-3-thiol (0.150 g, 0.513 mmol) in DMF (4.5 mL) was added Cs₂CO₃ (0.334 g, 1.03 mmol) and **3-70** (0.246 g, 0.770 mmol). The reaction was stirred at 50 °C for 3.5 h. The reaction was poured into water (5 mL) and the aqueous was extracted with EtOAc (2x). The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The crude was concentrated with heptane (3x) to remove residual DMF. The product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, MeOH/CH₂Cl₂: 0/100 to 10/90). Triazole **3-71** was obtained as a pale yellow oil (0.175 g, 88%) and carried on without further characterization: ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.78 (dd, *J* = 4.8, 1.5 Hz, 1 H), 8.66 (dd, *J* = 2.5, 0.5 Hz, 1 H), 7.73 (ddd, *J* = 8.1, 2.5, 1.6 Hz, 1 H), 7.50 (ddd, *J* = 8.1, 4.8, 0.7 Hz, 1 H), 4.67 (d, J = 12.6 Hz, 1 H), 4.57 (t, J = 3.0 Hz, 1 H), 4.50 (d, J = 12.6 Hz, 1 H), 3.83 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2 H), 3.64 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 2 H), 3.46-3.40 (m, 2 H), 3.27 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 2 H), 1.62-1.43 (m, 8 H), 1.12 (tt, J = 7.4, 3.6 Hz, 1 H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 153.2, 153.1, 151.2, 148.4, 135.0, 130.4, 124.1, 98.4, 69.6, 61.8, 58.8, 35.3, 30.1, 25.30, 25.23, 19.6, 18.8; HRMS (ESI⁺): m/z calculated for C₁₉H₂₅O₃N₄S (M+H) 389.1642, found 389.1642.

(5-((((1α,5α,6α)-3-Oxabicyclo[3.1.0]hexan-6-yl)methyl)thio)-4-(pyridin-3-yl)-4H-1,2,4-

triazol-3-yl)methanol (3-72). To a solution of **3-71** (0.17 g, 0.44 mmol) in MeOH (2 mL) was added *p*-TsOH (0.017 g, 0.088 mmol) and the reaction stirred at room temperature 16 h. The reaction was quenched by adding poly(4-vinylpyridine), the solid filtered off and the solvent concentrated. The product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, MeOH/CH₂Cl₂: 0/100 to 10/90). Triazole **3-72** was obtained as a pale-yellow oil (0.094 g, 71%) and carried on without further characterization: HRMS (ESI⁺): m/z calculated for C₁₄H₁₇O₂N₄S (M+H) 305.1067, found 305.1064.

(5-((((1 α ,5 α ,6 α)-3-Oxabicyclo[3.1.0]hexan-6-yl)methyl)thio)-4-(pyridin-3-yl)-4H-1,2,4triazol-3-yl)methyl methanesulfonate and 3-(3-((((1 α ,5 α ,6 α)-3-Oxabicyclo[3.1.0]hexan-6yl)methyl)thio)-5-(chloromethyl)-4H-1,2,4-triazol-4-yl)pyridine (3-73). To a solution of 3-72 (0.090 g, 0.30 mmol) and DiPEA (0.10 mL, 0.59 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (4 mL) at 0 °C was added MsCl (0.040 mL, 0.52 mmol) dropwise. The reaction was stirred at 0 °C and slowly warmed to room temperature over about 1 h. The reaction was diluted with CH₂Cl₂, washed with sat. NaHCO₃ and sat. NH₄Cl, dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. Triazole 3-73 was obtained as a mixture of mesylate and chloride and carried on without purification or characterization: HRMS (ESI⁺): m/z calculated for C₁₄H₁₆ON₄ClS (M+H) 323.0728, found 323.0727; HRMS (ESI⁺): m/z calculated for C₁₅H₁₉O₄N₄S₂ (M+H) 383.0842, found 383.0842. 3-(3-((((1a,5a,6a)-3-Oxabicyclo[3.1.0]hexan-6-yl)methyl)thio)-5-(((2-methyl-4'-

(methylsulfonyl)-[1,1'-biphenyl]-4-yl)oxy)methyl)-4H-1,2,4-triazol-4-yl)pyridine (3-74). To a solution of the mixture from above (3-73) (0.110 g, 0.288 mmol) in dry DMF (5 mL) was added 2-methyl-4'-(methylsulfonyl)-[1,1'-biphenyl]-4-ol (0.113 g, 0.431 mmol) and Cs₂CO₃ (0.281 g, 0.863 mmol) and the reaction stirred at 55 °C for 2 h. The reaction was diluted with EtOAc and organic layer washed with water. The aqueous was extracted with EtOAc (3x). The combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, MeOH/EtOAc: 0/100 to 10/90). Product 3-74 was obtained as a white foam (0.119 g, 75%): IR (neat) 3048, 2961, 2927, 2858, 1605, 1484, 1447, 1303, 1233, 1149, 1077, 1001, 956, 909, 847, 778, 728, 706 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.73 (d, J =4.8 Hz, 1 H), 8.61 (d, J = 2.2 Hz, 1 H), 7.89 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2 H), 7.73 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 1 H), 7.48 (dd, J = 8.1, 4.8 Hz, 1 H), 7.40 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2 H), 7.04-7.02 (m, 1 H), 6.77-6.73 (m, 2 H), 5.07 (s, 2 H), 3.75 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2 H), 3.56 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 2 H), 3.22 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 2 H), 3.04 (s, 3 H), 2.16 (s, 3 H), 1.56 (app s, 2 H), 1.08 (tt, J = 7.3, 3.6 Hz, 1 H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 157.0, 153.5, 151.6, 151.2, 147.9, 147.0, 138.7, 136.9, 134.7, 133.6, 130.8, 130.2, 129.9, 127.1, 124.1, 116.7, 112.2, 69.3, 59.8, 44.5, 35.2, 25.1, 20.6, 19.4; HRMS (ESI⁺): m/z calculated for C₂₈H₂₉O₄N₄S₂ (M+H) 549.1625, found 549.1630; ELS purity (100%).



Scheme 3-17. Synthesis of pyrazole zone 1 analog.



(1-Methyl-1*H*-pyrazol-4-yl)methanol (3-75).¹⁴⁶ To a solution of 1-methyl-1*H*-pyrazole-4carbaldehyde (0.25 g, 2.18 mmol) in MeOH (3.5 mL) at 0 °C was added NaBH₄ (0.18 g, 4.58 mmol) in portions. The reaction was warmed to room temperature and stirred for 2 h. An additional 0.5 equivalent NaBH₄ was added and the reaction stirred for 1.5 h. The reaction was cooled to 0 °C and neutralized with 2 N HCl. The solvent was reduced in vacuo and the aqueous extracted with EtOAc (3x). The combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. Pyrazole **3-75** was obtained as a colorless oil (0.240 g, 98%) and carried on
without further purification or characterization: ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.33 (s, 1 H), 7.29 (s, 1 H), 4.40 (s, 2 H), 3.75 (s, 3 H), 3.72 (s, 1 H); HRMS (ESI⁺): *m/z* calculated for C₅H₉ON₂ (M+H) 113.0709, found 113.0711.

$\label{eq:constraint} 3-(3-(((1-Methyl-1H-pyrazol-4-yl)methyl)thio)-5-(((tetrahydro-2H-pyran-2-yl)oxy)methyl)-1)-3-(((tetrahydro-2H-pyran-2-yl)oxy)methyl)-1)-3-((tetrahydro-2H-pyran-2-yl)oxy)methyl)-1)-3-((tetrahydro-2H-pyran-2-yl)oxy)methyl)-1)-3-((tetrahydro-2H-pyran-2-yl)oxy)methyl)-1)-3-((tetrahydro-2H-pyran-2-yl)oxy)methyl)-1)-3-((tetrahydro-2H-pyran-2-yl)oxy)methyl)-1)-3-((tetrahydro-2H-pyran-2-yl)oxy)methyl)-3-((tetrahydro-2H-pyran-2-yl)oxy)methyl)-1)-3-((tetrahydro-2H-pyran-2-yl)oxy)methyl)-3-((tetrahydro-2H-pyran-2-yl)oxy)methyl)-3-((tetrahydro-2H-pyran-2-yl)oxy)methyl)-3-(tetrahydro-2H-pyran-2-yl)oxy)methyl)-3-(tetrahydro-2H-pyran-2-yl)oxy)methyl)-3-(tetrahydro-2H-pyran-2-yl)oxy)methyl)-3-(tetrahydro-2H-pyran-2-yl)oxy)methyl)-3-(tetrahydro-2H-pyran-2-yl)oxy)methyl)-3-(tetrahydro-2H-pyran-2-yl)oxy)methyl)-3-(tetrahydro-2H-pyran-2-yl)oxy)methyl)-3-(tetrahydro-2H-pyran-2-yl)oxy)methyl)-3-(tetrahydro-2H-pyran-2-yl)oxy)methyl)-3-(tetrahydro-2H-pyran-2-yl)oxy)methyl)-3-(tetrahydro-2H-pyran-2-yl)oxy)methyl (tetrahydro-2H-pyran-2-yl)oxy)methyl (tetrahydro-2H-pyran-2+yl)oxy)methyl (tetrahydro-2H-pyran-2+yl)oxy)methyl (tetrahydro-2H-pyran$

4*H*-1,2,4-triazol-4-yl)pyridine (3-76). To a solution of 4-(pyridin-3-yl)-5-(((tetrahydro-2*H*-pyran-2-yl)oxy)methyl)-4*H*-1,2,4-triazole-3-thiol (0.307 g, 1.05 mmol) in THF (14 mL) was added PPh₃ (0.278 g, 1.06 mmol) followed by **3-75** (0.200 g, 1.78 mmol). The reaction was cooled to 0 °C and DIAD (0.285 mL, 1.36 mmol) was added. The reaction was slowly warmed to room temperature and stirred for about 27 h. The solution was concentrated, and the product purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, MeOH/CH₂Cl₂: 3/97 to 10/90). Triazole **3-76** was obtained as a pale yellow oil (0.265 g, 26%) and carried on without further characterization: ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.73 (dd, J = 4.8, 1.5 Hz, 1 H), 8.54 (dd, J = 2.5, 0.5 Hz, 1 H), 7.61 (ddd, J = 8.1, 2.5, 1.6 Hz, 1 H), 7.45 (ddd, J = 8.1, 4.8, 0.7 Hz, 1 H), 7.39 (s, 1 H), 7.38 (s, 1 H), 4.66 (d, J = 12.6 Hz, 1 H), 4.58-4.54 (m, J = 6.6, 3.8 Hz, 1 H), 4.49 (d, J = 12.6 Hz, 1 H), 4.33 (s, 2 H), 3.82 (s, 3 H), 3.48-3.40 (m, 2 H), 1.60-1.39 (m, 6 H); HRMS (ESI⁺): *m/z* calculated for C₁₈H₂₃O₂N₆S (M+H) 387.1598, found 387.1594.

(5-(((1-Methyl-1*H*-pyrazol-4-yl)methyl)thio)-4-(pyridin-3-yl)-4*H*-1,2,4-triazol-3-

yl)methanol (3-77). To a solution of 3-76 (0.250 g, 0.346 mmol) in MeOH (2.6 mL) was added p-TsOH (0.134 g, 0.776 mmol) and the reaction stirred at room temperature for about 12.5 h. The reaction was quenched by adding poly(4-vinylpyridine) and stirring for 30 min. The solid filtered off and the solvent concentrated. The product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, MeOH/CH₂Cl₂: 0/100 to 10/90). Triazole 3-77 was obtained as a white gel (0.114 g, solvent present) and carried on without further characterization: ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ

8.76 (dd, J = 4.8, 1.5 Hz, 1 H), 8.56 (dd, J = 2.5, 0.6 Hz, 1 H), 7.69 (ddd, J = 8.1, 2.5, 1.6 Hz, 1 H), 7.48 (ddd, J = 8.2, 4.8, 0.7 Hz, 1 H), 7.37 (d, J = 1.1 Hz, 2 H), 4.63 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 2 H), 4.33 (s, 2 H), 3.83 (s, 3 H), 3.06 (t, J = 6.7 Hz, 1 H); HRMS (ESI⁺): m/z calculated for C₁₃H₁₅ON₆S (M+H) 303.1023, found 303.1021.

(5-(((1-Methyl-1*H*-pyrazol-4-yl)methyl)thio)-4-(pyridin-3-yl)-4*H*-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)methyl methanesulfonate and 3-(3-(Chloromethyl)-5-(((1-methyl-1*H*-pyrazol-4-yl)methyl)thio)-4*H*-1,2,4-triazol-4-yl)pyridine (3-78). To a solution of 3-77 (0.060 g, 0.198 mmol) and MsCl (0.015 mL, 0.198 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (2.7 mL) at 0 °C was added DiPEA (0.035 mL, 0.198 mmol) dropwise. The reaction was stirred at 0 °C and slowly warmed to room temperature over about 3.5 h. The reaction was diluted with CH₂Cl₂, washed with sat. NaHCO₃, dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. Triazole 3-78 was obtained as a mixture of mesylate and chloride and carried on without purification or characterization: HRMS (ESI⁺): m/z calculated for C₁₃H₁₄N₆ClS (M+H) 321.0684, found 321.0682; HRMS (ESI⁺): m/z calculated for C₁₄H₁₇O₃N₆S₂ (M+H) 381.0798, found 381.0796.

3-(3-(((1-Methyl-1H-pyrazol-4-yl)methyl)thio)-5-(((2-methyl-4'-(methylsulfonyl)-[1,1'-

biphenyl]-4-yl)oxy)methyl)-4H-1,2,4-triazol-4-yl)pyridine (3-79). To a solution of the mixture from above (**3-78**) (0.075 g, 0.197 mmol) in dry THF (3.5 mL) was added 2-methyl-4'- (methylsulfonyl)-[1,1'-biphenyl]-4-ol (0.078 g, 0.296 mmol) and Cs₂CO₃ (0.193 g, 0.591 mmol) and the reaction stirred at room temperature 16 h. The reaction was concentrated, and the product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, EtOAc/Hexanes: 0/100 to 100/0, MeOH/EtOAc: 5/95). Triazole **3-79** was obtained as a white foam (0.022 g, 20% over 2 steps): IR (CH₂Cl₂) 3392, 2927, 1594, 1485, 1448, 1390, 1299, 1233, 1147, 1003, 958, 817, 779, 707 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.76 (d, *J* = 4.6 Hz, 1 H), 8.55 (d, *J* = 2.0 Hz, 1 H), 7.96-

7.94 (m, 2 H), 7.63 (ddd, J = 8.1, 2.5, 1.5 Hz, 1 H), 7.48-7.44 (m, 3 H), 7.40 (d, J = 5.8 Hz, 2 H), 7.10-7.08 (m, 1 H), 6.81-6.79 (m, 2 H), 5.11 (s, 2 H), 4.36 (s, 2 H), 3.83 (s, 3 H), 3.10 (s, 3 H), 2.21 (s, 3 H); ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 157.1, 153.4, 151.8, 151.4, 148.0, 147.2, 139.3, 138.8, 137.1, 135.1, 134.8, 133.9, 131.0, 130.34, 130.23, 129.9, 127.3, 124.2, 116.9, 116.3, 112.3, 59.9, 44.7, 39.1, 27.0, 20.7; HRMS (ESI⁺): m/z calculated for C₂₇H₂₇O₃N₆S₂ (M+H) 547.1581, found 547.1578; ELS purity (99.6%).



Scheme 3-18. Synthesis of zone 5 hydantoin analog.



3-Phenyl-2-thioxoimidazolidin-4-one (**3-80**).¹⁴⁷ To a suspension of glycine methyl ester HCl (0.500 g, 3.94 mmol) in dry EtOAc (8 mL) was added Et₃N (0.554 mL, 3.94 mmol) and phenyl isothiocyanate (0.471 mL, 3.94 mmol) and the reaction was heated to 40 °C for 2 d. The reaction was cooled to room temperature and the solvent removed under vacuum. The residue was taken up in EtOAc (20 mL) and the solid was filtered off. The filtrate was dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. Crude 3-phenyl-2-thioxoimidazolidin-4-one **3-80** was triturated with Et₂O and the product was obtained as a yellow-orange solid (0.266 g, 35%), data matches that reported in the literature: ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.55-7.46 (m, 3 H), 7.34-7.31 (m, 2 H), 7.11 (bs, 1 H), 4.29 (s, 2 H); HRMS (ESI⁺): *m/z* calculated for C₉H₉ON₂S (M+H) 193.0430, found 193.0430.

3-(3-(Chloromethyl)-5-(cyclopentylthio)-4H-1,2,4-triazol-4-yl)pyridine (3-81). To a solution of (5-(cyclopentylthio)-4-(pyridin-3-yl)-4*H*-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)methanol (0.040 g, 0.145 mmol) in dry CH₂Cl₂ (3.2 mL) at 0 °C was added thionyl chloride (16.0 μ L, 0.217 mmol) and the reaction stirred at 0 °C for about 2 h. The reaction was cooled to 0 °C and quenched with sat. NaHCO₃, extracted with EtOAc (3x), dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. Triazole **3-81** was obtained as a colorless oil (0.037 g, 87%) and carried on without further purification or characterization: HRMS (ESI⁺): m/z calculated for C₁₃H₁₆N₄ClS (M+H) 295.0779, found 295.0777.

4-((5-(Cyclopentylthio)-4-(pyridin-3-yl)-4H-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)methoxy)benzaldehyde (3-82). To a solution of crude (**3-81**) (0.097 g, 0.329 mmol) in dry DMF (8.6 mL) was added 4hydroxybenzaldehyde (0.061 g, 0.494 mmol) and Cs_2CO_3 (0.322 g, 0.987 mmol) and the reaction stirred at 50 °C for 2 h. The reaction was cooled to room temperature, poured into water (5 mL) and the aqueous extracted with EtOAc (2x). The combined organic layers were washed with brine, sat. LiCl, dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The product was purified by

chromatography on SiO₂ (SiO₂, MeOH/CH₂Cl₂: 0/100 to 10/90). Triazole **3-82** was obtained as a pale yellow solid (0.93 g, 74%) and carried on without further characterization: ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 9.87 (s, 1 H), 8.77 (dd, *J* = 4.8, 0.8 Hz, 1 H), 8.62 (d, *J* = 2.2 Hz, 1 H), 7.82-7.79 (m, 2 H), 7.68 (ddd, *J* = 8.1, 2.1, 1.2 Hz, 1 H), 7.48 (dd, *J* = 8.1, 4.8 Hz, 1 H), 7.02 (d, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 2 H), 5.17 (s, 2 H), 4.06 (quintet, *J* = 6.7 Hz, 1 H), 2.23-2.19 (m, 2 H), 1.73-1.61 (m, 6 H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 190.6, 162.0, 154.4, 151.3, 150.6, 148.0, 134.7, 132.0, 130.9, 130.0, 124.1, 115.0, 60.0, 46.1, 33.8, 24.6; HRMS (ESI⁺): *m*/*z* calculated for C₂₀H₂₁O₂N₄S (M+H) 381.1380, found 381.1377.

(Z)-5-(4-((5-(Cyclopentylthio)-4-(pyridin-3-yl)-4H-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)methoxy)benzylidene)-

3-phenyl-2-thioxoimidazolidin-4-one (**3-83**)¹⁴⁷. To a solution of **3-82** (0.070 g, 0.184 mmol) and **3-80** (0.046 g, 0.239 mmol) in EtOH (3 mL) was added piperidine (36.4 μ L, 0.368 mmol). The reaction was sealed and stirred at reflux 16 h. The reaction was cooled to room temperature and concentrated. The residue was taken up in EtOAc (20 mL), washed with H₂O (5 mL) and brine (5 mL), dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, MeOH/CH₂Cl₂: 0/100 to 10/90) followed by chromatography on Al₂O₃.neutral (MeOH/CH₂Cl₂: 0/100 to 5/95). Product **3-83** was obtained as a yellow glassy foam (0.060 g, 59%): IR (CH₂Cl₂) 3050, 2925, 2866, 1732, 1651, 1599, 1482, 1449, 1394, 1242, 1171, 1002, 824, 730, 703 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 9.68 (bs, 1 H), 8.78 (dd, *J* = 4.8, 1.3 Hz, 1 H), 8.54 (d, *J* = 2.3 Hz, 1 H), 7.70 (ddd, *J* = 8.1, 2.2, 1.6 Hz, 1 H), 7.54-7.43 (m, 7 H), 7.38-7.35 (m, 2 H), 6.95 (d, *J* = 8.7 Hz, 2 H), 6.75 (s, 1 H), 5.15 (s, 2 H), 4.07-4.03 (m, 1 H), 2.23-2.17 (m, 2 H), 1.72-1.60 (m, 8 H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 178.0, 163.6, 158.4, 154.5, 151.4, 151.1, 148.1, 135.0, 132.8, 131.3, 130.1, 129.39, 129.32, 128.3, 126.9, 125.6,

124.3, 116.1, 113.6, 60.2, 46.2, 34.0, 24.7; HRMS (ESI⁺): *m*/*z* calculated for C₂₉H₂₇O₂N₆S₂ (M+H) 555.1631, found 555.1631; ELS purity (100%).



Scheme 3-19. Synthesis of zone 5 SF₅ replacements.



3-(3-(Cyclopentylthio)-5-((4-(pentafluoro- λ^6 -sulfanyl)phenoxy)methyl)-4H-1,2,4-triazol-4-

yl)pyridine (3-84). To a solution of crude **3-81** (0.037 g, 0.126 mmol) in dry DMF (3.4 mL) was added 4-(pentafluorothio)phenol (0.041 g, 0.188 mmol) and Cs₂CO₃ (0.123 g, 0.377 mmol) and the reaction stirred at 80 °C for 6 h. The reaction was cooled to room temperature, poured into water (5 mL) and the aqueous extracted with EtOAc (2x). The combined organic layers were washed with brine, sat. LiCl, dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The product was combined with a previous batch and purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (EtOAc/Hexanes: 0/100 to 100/0). Product **3-84** was obtained as a white foam (0.053 g, 68% combined): IR (neat)

3064, 2959, 2869, 1586, 1497, 1485, 1454, 1402, 1308, 1254, 1189, 1098, 1014, 999, 848, 827, 801, 777, 707 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.75 (dd, *J* = 4.8, 1.2 Hz, 1 H), 8.60 (d, *J* = 2.4 Hz, 1 H), 7.67 (ddd, *J* = 8.1, 2.0, 1.7 Hz, 1 H), 7.62 (app d, *J* = 9.2 Hz, 2 H), 7.47 (dd, *J* = 8.1, 4.8 Hz, 1 H), 6.93 (d, *J* = 9.0 Hz, 2 H), 5.12 (s, 2 H), 4.03 (quintet, *J* = 6.6 Hz, 1 H), 2.20-2.16 (m, 2 H), 1.73-1.68 (m, 2 H), 1.63-1.60 (m, 4 H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 159.0, 154.5, 151.4, 150.7, 148.0, 147.7 (app t, *J*_{CF} = 17.9 Hz), 134.7, 130.0, 127.9 (quintet, *J*_{CF} = 4.5 Hz), 124.2, 114.5, 60.2, 46.2, 33.9, 24.7; ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 85.4 (quintet, *J*_{FF} = 150 Hz); HRMS (ESI⁺): *m*/*z* calculated for C₁₉H₂₀ON₄F₅S₂ (M+H) 479.0993, found 479.0989; ELS purity (100%).



3-(3-(Cyclopentylthio)-5-((3-(pentafluoro-λ⁶-sulfanyl)phenoxy)methyl)-4H-1,2,4-triazol-4-

yl)pyridine (3-85). To a solution of crude 3-81 (0.059 g, 0.200 mmol) in dry DMF (5 mL) was added 3-(pentafluorothio)phenol (0.066 g, 0.300 mmol) and Cs₂CO₃ (0.196 g, 0.600 mmol) and the reaction stirred at 80 °C for 6 h. The reaction was cooled to room temperature, poured into water (5 mL) and the aqueous extracted with EtOAc (2x). The combined organic layers were washed with brine, sat. LiCl, dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (EtOAc/Hexanes: 0/100 to 100/0). Product 3-85 was obtained as a pale yellow solid (0.073 g, 76%): IR (neat) 2968, 2870, 1602, 1587, 1488, 1446, 1393, 1290, 1240, 1104, 1048, 898, 824, 811, 774, 712, 681 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.74 (app d, *J* = 4.7 Hz, 1 H), 8.61 (d, *J* = 2.1 Hz, 1 H), 7.68 (app d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 1 H), 7.47 (dd,

J = 8.1, 4.8 Hz, 1 H), 7.32 (d, J = 4.5 Hz, 2 H), 7.16 (s, 1 H), 7.11-7.07 (m, 1 H), 5.10 (s, 2 H), 4.01 (quintet, J = 6.5 Hz, 1 H), 2.21-2.14 (m, 2 H), 1.72-1.58 (m, 6 H); ¹³C NMR (76 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 157.1, 154.7 (app t, $J_{CF} = 17.6$ Hz), 154.3, 151.4, 150.7, 147.9, 134.7, 130.1, 129.8, 124.2, 119.5 (app t, $J_{CF} = 4.8$ Hz), 117.6, 113.6 (app t, $J_{CF} = 4.8$ Hz), 60.6, 46.2, 33.9, 24.6; ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 83.9 (quintet, $J_{FF} = 150$ Hz), 62.7 (d, $J_{FF} = 150$ Hz); HRMS (ESI⁺): m/z calculated for C₁₉H₂₀ON₄F₅S₂ (M+H) 479.0993, found 479.0990; ELS purity (100%).



Scheme 3-20. Synthesis of zone 4 4-bromo-3-trifluoromethyl phenol analog.



3-(3-((4-Bromo-3-(trifluoromethyl)phenoxy)methyl)-5-(cyclohex-2-en-1-ylthio)-4H-1,2,4triazol-4-yl)pyridine (3-87). To a solution of crude **3-86** (0.095 g, 0.26 mmol) in dry DMF (3.8 mL) was added 3-trifluoromethyl-4-bromophenol (0.071 g, 0.29 mmol) and Cs₂CO₃ (0.093 g, 0.29 mmol) and the reaction stirred at room temperature overnight. The reaction was diluted with EtOAc, and organic layer washed with water. The aqueous was extracted with EtOAc (3 x 7 mL). The combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, EtOAc/Hexanes: 0/100 to 100/0) followed by

chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, MeOH/ CH₂Cl₂: 0/100 to 4/96). Product **3-87** was obtained as a white solid (0.088 g, 66%): m.p. 123.5-125.5 °C; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 8.78 (dd, *J* = 4.8, 1.4 Hz, 1 H), 8.62-8.63 (m, 1 H), 7.67-7.69 (m, 1 H), 7.56 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 1 H), 7.51 (dd, *J* = 4.8, 0.6 Hz, 1 H), 7.15 (d, *J* = 3.0 Hz, 1 H), 7.01 (dd, *J* = 8.8, 3.0 Hz, 1 H), 5.86-5.91 (m, 1 H), 5.75 (dt, *J* = 9.6, 2.0 Hz, 1 H), 5.07-5.13 (m, 2 H), 4.59 (dd, *J* = 4.0, 1.6 Hz, 1 H), 2.01-2.14 (m, 4 H) 1.65-1.78 (m, *J* = 3.6 Hz, 2 H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ 156.3, 153.7, 151.4, 150.7, 147.9, 136.0, 134.7, 132.5, 131.1 (q, *J*_{CF} = 31.6 Hz), 129.8, 125.3, 124.2, 122.4 (q, *J*_{CF} = 273.7 Hz), 118.9, 115.1 (q, *J*_{CF} = 5.6 Hz), 111.4 (app d, *J*_{CF} = 1.8 Hz), 60.3, 44.1, 29.2, 24.8, 19.1; ¹⁹F NMR (CDCl₃, 376 MHz) δ -63.0; HRMS (ESI⁺): *m*/z calculated for C₂₁H₁₉ON₄BrF₃S (M+H) 511.0410, found 511.0404; ELS purity (100%).



Scheme 3-21. Synthesis of zone 2 sulfur replacement with oxygen, with biphenyl side chain analog.



3-(3-(Methylthio)-5-(((tetrahydro-2*H***-pyran-2-yl)oxy)methyl)-4***H***-1,2,4-triazol-4-yl)pyridine (3-88).** To a solution of 4-(pyridin-3-yl)-5-(((tetrahydro-2*H*-pyran-2-yl)oxy)methyl)-4*H*-1,2,4triazole-3-thiol (1.00 g, 3.42 mmol) in DMF (8 mL) was added MeI (2.28 mL, 5.13 mmol) followed by $C_{s_2}CO_3$ (2.25 g, 6.84 mmol). The reaction was stirred at room temperature 16 h. The reaction was diluted with EtOAc, washed with H₂O, brine, dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, EtOAc/hexanes: 50/50 to 100/0). Triazole **3-88** was obtained as a yellow oil (0.496 g, 47%) and carried on without further characterization: HRMS (ESI⁺): m/z calculated for $C_{14}H_{19}O_2N_4S$ (M+H) 307.1223, found 307.1222.

3-(3-(Methylsulfonyl)-5-(((tetrahydro-2H-pyran-2-yl)oxy)methyl)-4H-1,2,4-triazol-4-

yl)pyridine (3-89). To a solution of **3-88** (0.100 g, 0.326 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (3 mL) was added *m*-CPBA (0.105 g, 0.424 mmol) at -20 °C. The reaction was stirred at -20 °C for 1 h then warmed to 10 °C for 7 h. The reaction was diluted with CH₂Cl₂, washed with Na₂S₂O₃, NaHCO₃, water, and brine, dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The crude product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, MeOH/EtOAc: 0/100 to 10/90). Sulfoxide **3-89** was obtained as a white solid (0.063 g, 57%) and the sulfone was obtained as a white solid (5.0 mg, 5%) and carried on without further characterization.

3-(3-(Cyclopentyloxy)-5-(((tetrahydro-2H-pyran-2-yl)oxy)methyl)-4H-1,2,4-triazol-4-

yl)pyridine (3-90).⁶⁰ To a solution of cyclopentanol (0.14 g, 0.80 mmol) in dry THF (1.8 mL) at

0 °C was added NaH (0.026 g, 0.66 mmol) and the reaction warmed to room temperature for 30 min. **3-89** (0.090 g, 0.27 mmol) was added in portions. The reaction was stirred at room temperature for 5 h. The reaction was diluted with H₂O and extracted with EtOAc (3x). The combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, EtOAc/hexanes: 0/100 to 100/0). Triazole **3-90** was obtained as a white solid (0.076 g, 83%) and carried on without further characterization: ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.70 (dd, *J* = 4.8, 1.0 Hz, 1 H), 8.67 (d, *J* = 2.2 Hz, 1 H), 7.74 (dt, *J* = 8.2, 1.8 Hz, 1 H), 7.46 (dd, *J* = 8.1, 4.8 Hz, 1 H), 5.45 (tt, *J* = 5.6, 2.8 Hz, 1 H), 4.64-4.60 (m, 2 H), 4.45 (d, *J* = 12.6 Hz, 1 H), 3.58-3.52 (m, 1 H), 3.48-3.42 (m, 1 H), 1.98-1.85 (m, 4 H), 1.66-1.46 (m, *J* = 5.3 Hz, 10 H); HRMS (ESI⁺): *m*/z calculated for C₁₈H₂₅O₃N₄ (M+H) 345.1921, found 345.1919.

(5-(Cyclopentyloxy)-4-(pyridin-3-yl)-4*H*-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)methanol (3-91). To a solution of 3-90 (0.072 g, 0.21 mmol) in MeOH (1 mL) was added *p*-TsOH (tip of spatula) and the reaction stirred at room temperature 16 h. The reaction was quenched by adding poly(4-vinylpyridine) and stirring for 30 min. The solid filtered off and the solvent concentrated. The product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, MeOH/ CH₂Cl₂: 0/100 to 10/90). Triazole **3-91** was obtained as a white solid (0.013 g, 24%) and carried on without further characterization: HRMS (ESI⁺): m/z calculated for C₁₃H₁₇O₂N₄ (M+H) 261.1346, found 261.1345.

(5-(Cyclopentyloxy)-4-(pyridin-3-yl)-4H-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)methyl methanesulfonate (3-92). To a solution of 3-91 (0.013 g, 0.049 mmol) and DiPEA (0.017 mL, 0.098 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (1 mL) at 0 °C was added MsCl (6.7 μ L, 0.086 mmol) dropwise. The reaction was stirred at 0 °C and slowly warmed to room temperature over 2.5 h. The reaction was diluted with CH₂Cl₂, washed with sat. NaHCO₃ and sat. NH₄Cl, dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. Triazole 3-

92 was obtained as an orange oil and carried on without purification or characterization: HRMS (ESI⁺): m/z calculated for C₁₄H₁₉O₄N₄S (M+H) 339.1122, found 339.1119.

3-(3-(Cyclopentyloxy)-5-(((2-methyl-4'-(methylsulfonyl)-[1,1'-biphenyl]-4-yl)oxy)methyl)-

4H-1,2,4-triazol-4-yl)pyridine (3-93). To a solution of crude 3-92 (0.017 g, 0.050 mmol) in dry DMF (0.8 mL) was added 2-methyl-4'-(methylsulfonyl)-[1,1'-biphenyl]-4-ol (0.017 g, 0.065 mmol) and $C_{s_2}CO_3$ (0.025 g, 0.075 mmol) and the reaction stirred at room temperature 16 h. The reaction was diluted with EtOAc and organic layer washed with water. The aqueous was extracted with EtOAc (3x) and the combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, MeOH/EtOAc: 0/100 to 10/00). Product 3-93 was obtained as a white foam (0.019 g, 74%): IR (neat) 2960, 2871, 1553, 1487, 1455, 1306, 1232, 1149, 1090, 1004, 955, 815, 778, 707 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.71 (d, J = 4.3 Hz, 1 H), 8.67 (d, J = 1.1 Hz, 1 H), 7.96-7.93 (m, 2 H), 7.75 (ddd, J = 8.2, 2.4, 1.5 Hz, 1 H), 7.48-7.44 (m, 3 H), 7.10-7.08 (m, 1 H), 6.85-6.82 (m, 2 H), 5.46 (tt, J = 5.7, 2.8 Hz, 1 H), 5.04 (s, 2 H), 3.09 (s, 3 H), 2.21 (s, 3 H), 1.96-1.86 (m, 4 H), 1.66-1.60 (m, 4 H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 158.8, 157.3, 150.4, 147.55, 147.41, 147.27, 138.8, 137.1, 134.0, 133.8, 131.0, 130.4, 129.6, 127.3, 124.1, 117.0, 112.4, 85.2, 60.5, 44.7, 32.9, 23.6, 20.8; HRMS (ESI⁺): m/z calculated for C₂₇H₂₉O₄N₄S (M+H) 505.1904, found 505.1901; ELS purity (100%).



Scheme 3-22. Synthesis of zone 1 2,3,3,3-tetrafluoro analogs.



Ethyl 2,3,3,3-tetrafluoro-2-((4-(pyridin-3-yl)-5-(((tetrahydro-2*H*-pyran-2-yl)oxy)methyl)-

4H-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)thio)propanoate (**3-94**).¹⁴⁸ To a solution of 4-(pyridin-3-yl)-5-(((tetrahydro-2*H*-pyran-2-yl)oxy)methyl)-4*H*-1,2,4-triazole-3-thiol (0.500 g, 1.71 mmol) in THF (10.8 mL) was added NaH (0.075 g, 1.88 mmol) in portions at room temperature. The reaction was stirred at room temperature 16 h. The reaction was concentrated, and the residue suspended in hexanes. The solid was filtered and washed with distilled hexanes (3x). The product (sodium 4-(pyridin-3-yl)-5-(((tetrahydro-2*H*-pyran-2-yl)oxy)methyl)-4*H*-1,2,4-triazole-3-thiolate) was obtained as a pale brown foam (0.538 g, 100%) and carried on without further purification.

To a solution of sodium 4-(pyridin-3-yl)-5-(((tetrahydro-2*H*-pyran-2-yl)oxy)methyl)-4*H*-1,2,4triazole-3-thiolate (0.535 g, 1.70 mmol) in EtOH (3.5 mL) at -78 °C was added hexafluoropropylene oxide (0.224 mL, 5 drops by dry ice condenser, 1.70 mmol). The reaction was warmed to room temperature and stirred for 4 h with dry ice condenser in place. The reaction was poured onto ice cooled sat. NaHCO₃. The aqueous was extracted with EtOAc (3x), dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, EtOAc/Hexanes: 35/65 to 100/0) to give the crude triazole **3-94** (0.467 g, 16%, 27% pure by UV) and carried on without further characterization: ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.64 (dd, J = 4.7, 1.0 Hz, 1 H), 8.50 (d, J = 1.6 Hz, 1 H), 7.68 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1 H), 7.41 (dd, J = 8.1, 4.9Hz, 1 H), 4.56 (t, J = 12.5 Hz, 1 H), 4.42-4.36 (m, 2 H), 4.10 (q, J = 7.1 Hz, 2 H), 3.26-3.20 (m, 2 H), 1.42-1.23 (m, 6 H), 1.13 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3 H); ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃) δ -75.0 (dd, J_{FF} = 10.4, 7.8 Hz), -144.1 (dq, $J_{FF} = 20.8, 10.3$ Hz); HRMS (ESI⁺): m/z calculated for C₁₈H₂₁O₄N₄F₄S (M+H) 465.1214, found 465.1213.

Ethyl 2,3,3,3-tetrafluoro-2-((5-(hydroxymethyl)-4-(pyridin-3-yl)-4H-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)thio)propanoate (3-95). To a solution of 3-94 (0.467 g, 1.01 mmol) in EtOH (4 mL) was added *p*-TsOH (0.035 g, 0.201 mmol) and the reaction stirred at room temperature for 16 h. LCMS still showed starting material, the reaction was heated to 40 °C for 8 h. The reaction was quenched by adding poly(4-vinylpyridine) and stirring for 30 min. The solid filtered off and the solvent concentrated. The product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, MeOH/CH₂Cl₂: 0/100 to 10/90) followed by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, MeOH/CH₂Cl₂: 3/97 to 10/90). Triazole 3-95 was obtained as a pale yellow oil (0.162 g, 85%; 25% over 3 steps)

and carried on without further characterization: ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.83 (dd, *J* = 4.8, 1.3 Hz, 1 H), 8.64 (d, *J* = 2.5 Hz, 1 H), 7.82 (dt, *J* = 8.3, 1.7 Hz, 1 H), 7.56 (dd, *J* = 8.2, 4.8 Hz, 1 H), 4.73-4.64 (m, 2 H), 4.33-4.28 (m, 2 H), 3.08 (t, *J* = 6.6 Hz, 1 H), 1.34 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3 H); ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃): -74.6 (d, *J*_{FF} = 10.7 Hz), -143.9 (q, *J*_{FF} = 10.6 Hz); HRMS (ESI⁺): *m*/*z* calculated for C₁₃H₁₃O₃N₄F₄S (M+H) 381.0639, found 381.0637.

Ethyl 2,3,3,3-tetrafluoro-2-((5-(((methylsulfonyl)oxy)methyl)-4-(pyridin-3-yl)-4H-1,2,4triazol-3-yl)thio)propanoate and Ethyl 2-((5-(chloromethyl)-4-(pyridin-3-yl)-4H-1,2,4triazol-3-yl)thio)-2,3,3,3-tetrafluoropropanoate (3-96). To a solution of 3-95 (0.155 g, 0.408 mmol) and MsCl (0.047 mL, 0.408 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (5.5 mL) at 0 °C was added DiPEA (0.106 mL, 0.408 mmol) dropwise. The reaction was stirred at 0 °C and slowly warmed to room temperature over about 1.3 h. The reaction was diluted with CH₂Cl₂, washed with sat. NaHCO₃ and sat. NH₄Cl, dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. Triazole **3-96** was obtained as a mixture of mesylate and chloride and carried on without purification or characterization: HRMS (ESI⁺): m/z calculated for C₁₃H₁₂O₂N₄ClF₄S (M+H) 399.0300, found 399.0299; HRMS (ESI⁺): m/z calculated for C₁₄H₁₅O₅N₄F₄S+2 (M+H) 459.0415, found 459.0412.

3-(3-(((2-Methyl-4'-(methylsulfonyl)-[1,1'-biphenyl]-4-yl)oxy)methyl)-5-((1,2,2,2-

tetrafluoroethyl)thio)-4*H*-1,2,4-triazol-4-yl)pyridine (3-97) and Ethyl 2,3,3,3-tetrafluoro-2-((5-(((2-methyl-4'-(methylsulfonyl)-[1,1'-biphenyl]-4-yl)oxy)methyl)-4-(pyridin-3-yl)-4*H*-

1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)thio)propanoate (3-98). To a solution of the mixture from above (**3-96**) (0.180 g, 0.393 mmol) in dry THF (7 mL) was added 2-methyl-4'-(methylsulfonyl)-[1,1'-biphenyl]-4-ol (0.155 g, 0.589 mmol) and Cs_2CO_3 (0.384 g, 1.18 mmol) and the reaction stirred at room temperature 16 h. The reaction was concentrated and washed with water. The product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, EtOAc/Hexanes: 30/70 to 100/0) followed by

HPLC (C18-SiO₂, MeCN/H2O + 0.1% TFA: 25/75 to 75/25). The decarboxylated product (**3-97**) was obtained as a white foam (0.016 g, 8%) and the carboxylated product (**3-98**) was obtained as a white foam (0.036 g, 15%): **3-97**: IR (CH₂Cl₂) 3051, 2924, 1745, 1681, 1605, 1484, 1446, 1299, 1195, 1148, 1036, 1003, 956, 779, 733, 706 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.91 (dd, J = 5.0, 1.2 Hz, 1 H), 8.81 (d, J = 2.3 Hz, 1 H), 8.00 (dt, J = 8.2, 1.2 Hz, 1 H), 7.96-7.94 (m, 2 H), 7.78 (dd, J = 8.1, 5.1 Hz, 1 H), 7.46-7.43 (m, 2 H), 7.09 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 1 H), 6.78-6.68 (m, 3 H), 5.25 (s, 2 H), 3.10 (s, 3 H), 2.20 (s, 3 H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz CDCl₃) δ 159.9 (q, $J_{CF} = 40.8$ Hz), 156.6, 153.7, 150.0, 147.7, 147.1, 146.3, 138.9, 137.5 (d, $J_{CF} = 5.6$ Hz), 134.5, 131.1, 130.38, 130.20, 127.4, 125.7, 120.8 (app dd, $J_{CF} = 281.6, 29.3$ Hz), 116.7, 112.1, 94.0 (dq, $J_{CF} = 239.7, 38.4$ Hz), 59.7, 44.7, 20.6; ¹⁹F NMR (470 MHz, CDCl₃) δ -76.7 (d, $J_{FF} = 14.6$ Hz), -169.2 (q, $J_{FF} = 14.4$ Hz); HRMS (ESI⁺): m/z calculated for C₂₄H₂₁O₃N₄FS₂ (M+H) 553.0986, found 553.0987; ELS purity (100%).

3-98: IR (neat) 3057, 2924, 1758, 1603, 1482, 1443, 1277, 1212, 1191, 1146, 1089, 1027, 1003, 954, 934, 816, 777, 733, 705 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.86-8.85 (m, 1 H), 8.70 (s, 1 H), 7.94 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 3 H), 7.66 (dd, *J* = 8.0, 4.9 Hz, 1 H), 7.44 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 2 H), 7.07 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 1 H), 6.74-6.72 (m, 2 H), 5.20 (s, 2 H), 4.28 (q, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 2 H), 3.09 (s, 3 H), 2.19 (s, 3 H), 1.31 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3 H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 160.1 (d, *J*_{CF} = 27.3 Hz), 156.7, 154.5, 150.4, 147.2, 146.9, 143.9, 138.8, 137.2, 137.0, 134.2, 130.9, 130.2, 127.2, 124.7, 121.2 (dq, *J*_{CF} = 286.5, 30.4 Hz), 116.8, 112.1, 98.8 (dq, *J*_{CF} = 251.8, 34.3 Hz), 64.9, 60.1, 44.5, 20.5, 13.7; ¹⁹F NMR (470 MHz, CDCl₃) δ -74.6 (d, *J*_{FF} = 10.5 Hz), -143.3 (app d, *J*_{FF} = 4.2 Hz); HRMS (ESI⁺): *m*/*z* calculated for C₂₇H₂₅O₅N₄F₄S₂ (M+H) 625.1197, found 625.1195; ELS purity (100%).



Scheme 3-23. Synthesis of 4-fluoro THF analog.



3,6-Dioxabicyclo[3.1.0]hexane (**3-99**).¹⁴⁹ To a solution of 2,5-dihydrofuran (1.08 mL, 14.3 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (30 mL) at 0 °C was added *m*-CPBA (4.58 g, 18.6 mmol). The reaction was warmed to room temperature and stirred for 3 d. The reaction was quenched with NaOH (1 M) and sat. NaHCO₃ and extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (3x). The combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. 3,6-Dioxabicyclo[3.1.0]hexane **3-99** was obtained as a pale-yellow oil (1.20 g, 98%) and carried on without further purification or characterization, data

matches that reported in the literature: ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 4.02 (dd, *J* = 10.5, 1.4 Hz, 2 H), 3.79 (s, 2 H), 3.65 (d, *J* = 10.7 Hz, 2 H); ¹³C NMR (76 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 67.5, 56.0.

4-((4-(Pyridin-3-yl)-5-(((tetrahydro-2H-pyran-2-yl)oxy)methyl)-4H-1,2,4-triazol-3-

yl)thio)tetrahydrofuran-3-ol (3-100). To a solution of 4-(pyridin-3-yl)-5-(((tetrahydro-2Hpyran-2-yl)oxy)methyl)-4H-1,2,4-triazole-3-thiol (0.200 g, 0.684 mmol) in DMF (5.9 mL) was added Cs₂CO₃ (0.446 g, 1.37 mmol) and **3-99** (0.088 g, 1.03 mmol). The reaction was stirred at 50 °C 16 h. An additional 1 equivalent of 3,6-dioxabicyclo[3.1.0]hexane was added, and the reaction stirred at 50 °C for 9 h. The reaction was poured into water (10 mL) and the aqueous was extracted with EtOAc (2x). The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The crude was concentrated with heptane (3x) to remove residual DMF. The product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, MeOH/CH₂Cl₂: 3/97 to 10/90). The mixture of *trans* products was obtained as a colorless oil (0.090 g, 35%) and carried on without further characterization: ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.80 (dd, J = 4.8, 1.3) Hz, 1 H), 8.68 (d, J = 2.3 Hz, 1 H), 7.76 (ddd, J = 8.1, 2.2, 1.7 Hz, 1 H), 7.52 (dd, J = 8.1, 4.8Hz, 1 H), 5.30 (s, 1 H), 4.69 (t, J = 12.7 Hz, 1 H), 4.58 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 2 H), 4.50 (t, J = 12.7 Hz, 1 H), 4.35-4.32 (m, 1 H), 4.14 (dd, J = 9.5, 6.4 Hz, 1 H), 4.00 (ddt, J = 7.6, 6.2, 3.2 Hz, 1 H), 3.79 (dd, J = 9.7, 4.8 Hz, 1 H), 3.61 (dd, J = 10.1, 6.1 Hz, 1 H), 3.49-3.43 (m, 2 H), 1.67-1.42 (m, 6 H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 153.7, 153.22, 153.19, 151.6, 148.2, 134.9, 134.8, 130.0, 124.3, 98.5, 80.1, 74.3, 70.9, 62.0, 61.9, 58.7, 58.6, 52.24, 52.21, 30.1, 25.2, 18.89, 18.85.

3-(3-((trans-4-Fluorotetrahydrofuran-3-yl)thio)-5-(((tetrahydro-2H-pyran-2-

yl)oxy)methyl)-4*H*-1,2,4-triazol-4-yl)pyridine (3-101).^{150, 151} To a solution of 3-100 (0.085 g, 0.225 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (4.5 mL) at 0 °C was added DAST (0.125 mL, 0.898 mmol) dropwise. The reaction was stirred at 0 °C for 15 min. The reaction was quenched with sat. NaHCO₃. The

product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (MeOH/CH₂Cl₂: 0/100 to 10/90). Triazole **3-101** was obtained as a colorless oil (0.038 g, 25%) and carried on without further characterization: ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.80 (dd, *J* = 4.8, 1.5 Hz, 1 H), 8.66 (d, *J* = 2.5 Hz, 1 H), 7.73 (dddd, *J* = 8.1, 2.4, 1.6, 0.5 Hz, 1 H), 7.51 (ddd, *J* = 8.1, 4.8, 0.7 Hz, 1 H), 5.45-5.26 (m, 1 H), 4.70 (dd, *J* = 12.6, 1.9 Hz, 1 H), 4.58-4.56 (m, 1 H), 4.52 (dd, *J* = 12.6, 2.2 Hz, 1 H), 4.40-4.29 (m, 2 H), 4.13-4.10 (m, 1 H), 4.01-4.00 (m, 1 H), 3.84-3.79 (m, 1 H), 3.45-3.43 (m, 2 H), 1.62-1.43 (m, 6 H); HRMS (ESI⁺): *m/z* calculated for C₁₇H₂₂O₃N₄FS (M+H) 381.1391, found 381.1389.

(5-((trans-4-Fluorotetrahydrofuran-3-yl)thio)-4-(pyridin-3-yl)-4H-1,2,4-triazol-3-

yl)methanol (3-102). To a solution of 3-101 (0.035 g, 0.092 mmol) in MeOH (0.4 mL) was added *p*-TsOH (tip of spatula) and the reaction stirred at room temperature 16 h. The reaction was quenched by adding poly(4-vinylpyridine) and stirring for 30 min. The solid filtered off and the solvent concentrated. The product was purified by chromatography on Al₂O₃-neutral (MeOH/CH₂Cl₂: 0/100 to 5/95). Triazole **3-102** was obtained as a pale yellow film (0.026 g, 97%) and carried on without further characterization: ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.80 (dd, *J* = 4.8, 1.5 Hz, 1 H), 8.68 (d, *J* = 2.4 Hz, 1 H), 7.87 (ddd, *J* = 8.2, 2.5, 1.6 Hz, 1 H), 7.55 (dd, *J* = 8.1, 4.8 Hz, 1 H), 5.40-5.20 (m, 1 H), 4.65 (d, *J* = 5.0 Hz, 2 H), 4.57-4.53 (m, 1 H), 4.38-4.23 (m, 2 H), 4.14-4.08 (m, 1 H), 3.98 (d, *J* = 2.4 Hz, 1 H), 3.80-3.75 (m, 1 H); HRMS (ESI⁺): *m*/z calculated for C₁₂H₁₄O₂N₄FS (M+H) 297.0816, found 297.0814.

(5-((*trans*-4-Fluorotetrahydrofuran-3-yl)thio)-4-(pyridin-3-yl)-4*H*-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)methyl methanesulfonate and 3-(3-(Chloromethyl)-5-((4-fluorotetrahydrofuran-3-yl)thio)-4*H*-1,2,4-triazol-4-yl)pyridine (3-103). To a solution of 3-102 (0.026 g, 0.088 mmol) and MsCl (7.0 μ L, 0.088 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (1.2 mL) at 0 °C was added DiPEA (0.015 mL, 0.088 mmol) dropwise.

The reaction was stirred at 0 °C and slowly warmed to room temperature over about 3.5 h. The reaction was diluted with CH₂Cl₂, washed with sat. NaHCO₃, dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. Triazole **3-103** was obtained as a mixture of mesylate and chloride (0.060 g) and carried on without purification or characterization: HRMS (ESI⁺): m/z calculated for C₁₂H₁₃ON₄ClFS (M+H) 315.0477, found 315.0475; HRMS (ESI⁺): m/z calculated for C₁₃H₁₆O₄N₄FS₂ (M+H) 375.0592, found 375.0589.

3-(3-((trans-4-Fluorotetrahydrofuran-3-yl)thio)-5-(((2-methyl-4'-(methylsulfonyl)-[1,1'-

biphenyl]-4-yl)oxy)methyl)-4H-1,2,4-triazol-4-yl)pyridine (**3-104**). To a solution of the mixture from above (**3-103**) (0.032 g, 0.085 mmol) in dry THF (1.5 mL) was added 2-methyl-4'- (methylsulfonyl)-[1,1'-biphenyl]-4-ol (0.034 g, 0.128 mmol) and Cs_2CO_3 (0.084 g, 0.256 mmol) and the reaction stirred at room temperature 16 h. LCMS showed trace product. The reaction was diluted with CH₂Cl₂, extraction with H₂O, sat. NaHCO₃, and sat. NH₄Cl. The combined organic layers dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated.

The clean material was resubjected as: To a solution of the mixture from above (0.032 g, 0.085 mmol) in dry DMF (1.5 mL) was added 2-methyl-4'-(methylsulfonyl)-[1,1'-biphenyl]-4-ol (0.034 g, 0.128 mmol) and Cs₂CO₃ (0.084 g, 0.256 mmol) and the reaction heated to 60 °C for about 1.5 h. The reaction was diluted with EtOAc and organic layer washed with water. The aqueous was extracted with EtOAc (3x). The combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, EtOAc/Hexanes: 0/100 to 100/0). Product **3-104** was obtained as a white foam (0.031 g, 68%): IR (CH₂Cl₂) 3055, 2926, 2873, 1604, 1484, 1448, 1301, 1276, 1233, 1148, 1088, 956, 848, 818, 778, 731, 705 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.79 (dd, *J* = 4.8, 1.3 Hz, 1 H), 8.65 (d, *J* = 2.4 Hz, 1 H), 7.94 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 2 H), 7.75 (ddd, *J* = 8.1, 2.4, 1.6 Hz, 1 H), 7.52 (dd, *J* = 8.1, 4.8 Hz, 1 H), 7.44 (d, *J* =

8.4 Hz, 2 H), 7.09-7.07 (m, 1 H), 6.80-6.78 (m, 2 H), 5.42-5.28 (m, 1 H), 5.13 (s, 2 H), 4.39-4.30 (m, 2 H), 4.12-3.97 (m, 2 H), 3.83-3.79 (m, 1 H), 3.09 (s, 3 H), 2.20 (s, 3 H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 157.1, 152.2, 151.7, 151.4, 147.9, 147.1, 138.8, 137.1, 134.7, 133.9, 131.0, 130.3, 129.7, 127.3, 124.4, 116.8, 112.3, 96.7 (d, *J*_{CF} = 187.9 Hz), 72.4 (d, *J*_{CF} = 23.5 Hz), 71.6, 59.8, 49.8 (d, *J*_{CF} = 25.6 Hz), 44.6, 20.7; ¹⁹F NMR (470 MHz, CDCl₃) δ -168.7; HRMS (ESI⁺): *m*/*z* calculated for C₂₆H₂₆O₄N₄FS₂ (M+H) 541.1374, found 541.1373; ELS purity (100%).



Scheme 3-24. Synthesis of propargyl amine derivatives.



3-(3-((4-(3-Azidoprop-1-yn-1-yl)-2,5-difluorophenoxy)methyl)-5-((cyclopentyl-d₉)thio)-4H-1,2,4-triazol-4-yl)pyridine (3-105)¹⁵². To a solution of **2-75** (0.190 g, 0.421 mmol) in THF (3.2 mL) at 0 °C was added DPPA (102 μ L, 0.505 mmol) followed by DBU (75.4 μ L, 0.505 mmol) dropwise. The reaction was warmed to room temperature 16 h. The reaction was diluted with EtOAc then washed with sat. NH₄Cl and the aqueous was extracted with EtOAc. The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The crude product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, EtOAc/Hexanes: 0/100 to 100/0). The impure triazole **3-105** was obtained as a pale-yellow solid (0.180 g, 90%) and carried on without further purification or characterization: ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.78 (d, *J* = 4.8 Hz, 1 H), 8.64 (s, 1 H), 7.74 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 1 H), 7.54-7.46 (m, 1 H), 7.12 (dd, *J* = 10.7, 6.4 Hz, 1 H), 6.95-6.86 (m, 1 H), 5.12 (s, 2 H), 4.14 (s, 2 H); ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃) δ -110.9 (d, *J* = 14.3 Hz), -138.4 (d, *J* = 14.1 Hz); HRMS (ESI⁺): *m*/z calculated for C₂₂H₁₁²H₉ON₇F₂S (M+H) 477.1978, found 477.1974.

3-(4-((5-((Cyclopentyl-d9)thio)-4-(pyridin-3-yl)-4H-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)methoxy)-2,5-

difluorophenyl)prop-2-yn-1-amine $(3-106)^{152}$. To a solution of $3-(3-((4-(3-azidoprop-1-yn-1-yl)-2,5-difluorophenoxy)methyl)-5-((cyclopentyl-<math>d_9$)thio)-4H-1,2,4-triazol-4-yl)pyridine (0.211 g, 0.443 mmol) in THF (4.2 mL) was added PPh₃ (0.174 g, 0.664 mmol) and the reaction stirred for 1.5 h. Water (0.32 mL) was added and the reaction stirred at room temperature for about 23 h. The reaction was concentrated, and the crude product was purified by chromatography on

SiO₂ (ISCO, MeOH/CH₂Cl₂: 0/100 to 10/90). The triazole **3-106** was obtained as an off-white solid (0.188 g, 94%) and carried on without further characterization: ¹H NMR (CD₂Cl₂, 400 MHz) δ 8.71 (app d, *J* = 3.0 Hz, 1 H), 8.58 (app s, 1 H), 7.76 (ddd, *J* = 8.2, 2.5, 1.6 Hz, 1 H), 7.51 (ddd, *J* = 8.2, 4.9, 0.8 Hz, 1 H), 7.23 (q, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1 H), 7.08 (ddd, *J* = 10.2, 6.7, 3.8 Hz, 1 H), 6.87 (dd, *J* = 9.6, 7.5 Hz, 1 H), 5.09 (s, 2 H), 3.60 (s, 2 H), 3.48 (s, 1 H), 3.30 (s, 1 H); ¹⁹F NMR (CD₂Cl₂, 376 MHz) δ -113.2 (d, *J* = 14.3 Hz), -139.5 (d, *J* = 14.0 Hz); HRMS (ESI⁺): *m/z* calculated for C₂₂H₁₃²H₉ON₅F₂S (M+H) 451.2073, found 451.2068.

N-(3-(4-((5-((Cyclopentyl-*d*₉)thio)-4-(pyridin-3-yl)-4*H*-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)methoxy)-2,5-

difluorophenyl)prop-2-yn-1-yl)oxetan-3-amine (3-107). To a solution of 3-106 (0.080 g, 0.18 mmol) in 1,2-DCE (4 mL) was added 3-oxetanone (11 µL, 0.18 mmol). After 3 h NaBH(OAc)₃ (0.095 g, 0.45 mmol) was added and the reaction stirred 16 h at room temperature. After 16 h a second portion of NaBH(OAc)₃ (0.095 g, 0.45 mmol) was added and the reaction stirred for 7 h. The reaction was diluted with CH_2Cl_2 and washed with water. The aqueous was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (2x) and the combined organic layers dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (MeOH/EtOAc: 0/100 to 4/96). Product 3-107 was obtained as a white foam (0.036 g, 40%): IR (neat) 3274, 3052, 2956, 2870, 2224, 1629, 1507, 1484, 1447, 1332, 1222, 1177, 1133, 998, 969, 851, 734, 707 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 8.75 (dd, J = 4.8, 1.5 Hz, 1 H), 8.61 (d, J = 2.5 Hz, 1 H), 7.73 (ddd, J = 8.2, 2.6, 1.5 Hz, 1 H), 7.48 (dd, J = 8.1, 4.8 Hz, 1 H), 7.00 (dd, J = 10.8, 6.5 Hz, 1 H), 6.85 (dd, J = 9.9, 7.0 Hz, 1 H), 5.08 (s, 2 H), 4.84 (t, J = 6.9 Hz, 2 H), 4.52 (t, J = 6.4 Hz, 2 H), 4.08 (p, J = 6.6 Hz, 1 H), 3.61 (s, 2 H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 159.0 (dd, J_{CF} = 249.4, 2.1 Hz), 154.6, 151.4, 150.2, 148.1 (dd, *J*_{CF} = 244.3, 3.2 Hz), 147.9, 146.0 (dd, *J*_{CF} = 12.8, 9.8 Hz), 135.0, 129.8, 124.2, 119.6 (dd, $J_{CF} = 21.4$, 2.7 Hz), 104.5 (dd, $J_{CF} = 18.3$, 8.4 Hz), 103.7 (d, $J_{CF} = 27.1$ Hz), 92.7 (d, $J_{CF} = 3.6 \text{ Hz}$), 79.9, 75.6 (d, $J_{CF} = 2.1 \text{ Hz}$), 61.2, 53.6, 45.3 (d, J = 24.0 Hz), 37.6-36.8 (m, CD), 33.3-32.3 (m, CD), 23.5 (dt, $J_{CD} = 40.2$, 19.9 Hz); ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃) δ -112.0 (d, J =14.1 Hz), -138.6 (d, J = 14.2 Hz); HRMS (ESI⁺): m/z calculated for C₂₅H₁₇²H₉O₂N₅F₂S (M+H) 507.2335, found 507.2329; ELS purity (100%).



N-(3-(4-((5-((Cyclopentyl-*d*₉)thio)-4-(pyridin-3-yl)-4*H*-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)methoxy)-2,5difluorophenyl)prop-2-yn-1-yl)tetrahydrofuran-3-amine (3-108). To a solution of 3-106 (0.080 g, 0.18 mmol) in 1,2-DCE (4 mL) and AcOH (2 drops) was added dihydrofuran-3-one (15 μ L, 0.20 mmol). After 1 h NaBH(OAc)₃ (0.19 g, 0.89 mmol) was added and the reaction stirred 16 h at room temperature. The reaction was diluted with CH₂Cl₂ and washed with water. The aqueous was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (2x) and the combined organic layers dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, MeOH/CH₂Cl₂: 0/100 to 7/93) then chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, EtOAc/Hexanes: 0/100 to 100/0 to MeOH/CH₂Cl₂: 0/100 to 7/93) followed by filtration through a plug of basic Al₂O₃ (MeOH/CH₂Cl₂: 0/100 to 3/97). Product **3-108** was obtained as a yellow foam (0.029 g, 31%): IR (neat) 3305, 3054, 2918, 2849, 1627, 1524, 1509, 1485, 1446, 1399, 1352, 1324, 1278, 1219, 1174, 1131, 1053, 995, 945, 884, 842, 796, 707 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.76 (dd, *J* = 4.9, 1.5 Hz, 1 H), 8.62 (d, *J* = 2.5 Hz, 1 H), 7.73 (ddd, *J* = 8.1, 2.6, 1.6 Hz, 1 H), 7.49 (dd, *J* = 8.1, 4.8 Hz, 1 H), 7.05 (dd, *J* = 10.8, 6.6 Hz, 1 H), 6.86 (dd, *J* = 9.9, 7.0 Hz, 1 H), 5.09 (s, 2 H), 3.95-3.88 (m, 1 H), 3.88-3.82 (m, 1 H), 3.79 (td, J = 8.2, 5.6 Hz, 1 H), 3.64 (s, 2 H), 3.65-3.57 (m, 2 H), 2.18-2.05 (m, 1 H), 1.80-1.71 (m, 1 H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 159.0 (dd, $J_{CF} = 249.3$, 2.2 Hz), 154.7, 151.4, 150.2, 148.1 (dd, J = 244.0, 3.2 Hz), 147.9, 145.9 (dd, $J_{CF} = 12.7$, 10.0 Hz), 135.0, 129.8, 124.2, 119.8 (dd, $J_{CF} = 21.3$, 2.6 Hz), 104.8 (dd, $J_{CF} = 18.4$, 8.4 Hz), 103.6 (d, $J_{CF} = 27.1$ Hz), 92.7 (d, $J_{CF} = 3.6$ Hz), 75.6 (d, $J_{CF} = 2.1$ Hz), 73.2, 67.2, 61.2, 57.3, 45.7-45.1 (m, CF), 37.6, 33.0, 32.9-32.3 (m, CD), 29.7 (d, $J_{CF} = 3.4$ Hz), 23.8-23.0 (m, CD); ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃) δ -111.9 (d, J = 14.3 Hz), -138.7 (d, J = 14.3 Hz); HRMS (ESI⁺): m/z calculated for C₂₆H₁₉²H₉O₂N₅F₂S (M+H) 521.2491, found 521.2490; ELS purity (100%).



N-(3-(4-((5-((cyclopentyl-*d*₉)thio)-4-(pyridin-3-yl)-4*H*-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)methoxy)-2,5difluorophenyl)prop-2-yn-1-yl)tetrahydro-2*H*-pyran-4-amine (3-109). To a solution of 3-106 (0.070 g, 0.16 mmol) in 1,2-DCE (3.5 mL) and AcOH (2 drops) was added 4oxotetrahydropyran (23 μ L, 0.24 mmol). After 1 h NaBH(OAc)₃ (0.16 g, 0.78 mmol) was added and the reaction stirred at room temperature for 16 h. The reaction was diluted with CH₂Cl₂ and washed with water. The aqueous was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (2x) and the combined organic layers dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, MeOH/CH₂Cl₂: 0/100 to 10/90) followed by filtration through a plug of basic Al₂O₃ (MeOH/CH₂Cl₂: 0/100 to 1/99). It was then purified again by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, MeOH/CH₂Cl₂: 0/100 to 7/93) followed by filtration through a plug of basic Al₂O₃ (MeOH/CH₂Cl₂: 0/100 to 2/98). Product **3-109** was obtained as a yellow foam (0.041 g, 50%): IR (neat) 3047, 2918, 2848, 2226, 1629, 1507, 1484, 1446, 1222, 1177, 1136, 997, 816, 733, 706 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.75 (dd, J = 4.8, 0.8 Hz, 1 H), 8.61 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 1 H), 7.73 (dd, J = 8.1, 1.5 Hz, 1 H), 7.48 (dd, J = 8.1, 4.8 Hz, 1 H), 7.03 (dd, J = 10.8, 6.5 Hz, 1 H), 6.86 (dd, J = 9.8, 7.0 Hz, 1 H), 5.08 (s, 2 H), 3.96 (dt, J = 11.5, 3.1 Hz, 2 H), 3.67 (s, 2 H), 3.41 (td, J = 11.6, 1.7 Hz, 2 H), 2.93 (tt, J = 9.9, 4.7 Hz, 1 H), 1.81 (dd, J = 12.5, 1.5 Hz, 2 H), 1.42 (qd, J = 11.7, 4.1 Hz, 2 H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 159.1 (dd, $J_{CF} = 249.2$, 2.1 Hz), 154.7, 151.5, 150.3, 148.2 (dd, $J_{CF} = 243.9$, 3.0 Hz), 148.0, 145.9 (dd, $J_{CF} = 12.7$, 10.0 Hz), 135.0, 129.9, 124.3, 119.8 (dd, $J_{CF} = 21.6$, 2.8 Hz), 105.0 (dd, $J_{CF} = 18.3$, 8.3 Hz), 103.8 (d, $J_{CF} = 27.4$ Hz), 93.0 (d, $J_{CF} = 3.6$ Hz), 75.5 (d, $J_{CF} = 2.2$ Hz), 66.7, 61.3, 52.4, 45.5 (t, $J_{CD} = 23.0$ Hz), 35.8, 33.3, 33.1-32.7 (m), 23.9-23.5 (m); ¹⁹F NMR (470 MHz, CDCl₃) δ -112.0 (d, J = 14.2 Hz), -138.7 (d, J = 14.4 Hz); HRMS (ESI⁺): m/z calculated for C₂₇H₂₁²H₉O₃N₅F₂S (M+H) 535.2648, found 535.2644; ELS purity (100%).



tert-Butyl 3-((3-(4-((5-((cyclopentyl-*d*₉)thio)-4-(pyridin-3-yl)-4*H*-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)methoxy)-2,5-difluorophenyl)prop-2-yn-1-yl)amino)azetidine-1-carboxylate. To a solution of 3-106 (0.12 g, 0.26 mmol) in 1,2-DCE (5.75 mL) was added *tert*-butyl 3-oxoazetidine-1-carboxylate (0.044 g, 0.26 mmol) followed by AcOH (2 drops). After 3 h NaBH(OAc)₃ (0.13 g, 0.65 mmol) was added and the reaction stirred 16 h at room temperature. After stirring 16 h additional

NaBH(OAc)₃ (0.13 g, 0.65 mmol) and Ti(O*i*Pr)₄ (76 µL, 0.25 mmol) were added and stirred for 12 h. The reaction was diluted with CH₂Cl₂ and washed with water. The aqueous was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (2x) and the combined organic layers dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (MeOH/EtOAc: 0/100 to 7/93). The triazole was obtained as an off-white foam (0.079 g, 51%) and carried on without further characterization: ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.78 (dd, *J* = 4.8, 1.5 Hz, 1 H), 8.64 (d, *J* = 2.6 Hz, 1 H), 7.78-7.71 (m, 1 H), 7.50 (dd, *J* = 8.2, 4.9 Hz, 1 H), 7.04 (dd, *J* = 10.8, 6.5 Hz, 1 H), 6.87 (dd, *J* = 9.9, 7.0 Hz, 1 H), 5.10 (s, 2 H), 4.18-4.09 (m, 2 H), 3.78-3.69 (m, 3 H), 3.63 (s, 2 H), 1.43 (s, 9 H); ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃) δ -111.8 (d, *J* = 14.3 Hz), -138.6 (d, *J* = 14.2 Hz).

N-(3-(4-((5-((Cyclopentyl-d₉)thio)-4-(pyridin-3-yl)-4H-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)methoxy)-2,5-

difluorophenyl)prop-2-yn-1-yl)azetidin-3-amine 2,2,2-trifluoroacetate (3-110). To a solution of *tert*-butyl 3-((3-(4-((5-((cyclopentyl- d_9)thio)-4-(pyridin-3-yl)-4H-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)methoxy)-2,5-difluorophenyl)prop-2-yn-1-yl)amino)azetidine-1-carboxylate (0.078 g, 0.13 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (2.5 mL) at 0 °C was added TFA (0.50 mL, 6.7 mmol) dropwise. The reaction was stirred at 0 °C for 2 h. The reaction was filtered through a plug of basic Al₂O₃ (MeOH/CH₂Cl₂: 0/100 and 10/100), and organic phase were concentrated onto C18-SiO₂. The product was purified by chromatography on C18-SiO₂ (RP-ISCO, MeCN/H₂O: 5/95 to 95/5). The mono TFA salt of **3-110** was obtained as a white foam (0.041 g, 52%): IR (neat) 3405, 3053, 2670, 2229, 1674, 1630, 1508, 1486, 1333, 1201, 1178, 1132, 1002, 832, 799, 721, 707 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 9.60 (s, 1 H), 8.75 (d, *J* = 4.9 Hz, 1 H), 8.63-8.59 (m, 1 H), 7.74 (dt, *J* = 8.3, 1.9 Hz, 1 H), 7.49 (dd, *J* = 8.1, 4.8 Hz, 1 H), 6.97 (dd, *J* = 10.7, 6.5 Hz, 1 H), 6.85 (dd, *J* = 10.0, 6.9 Hz, 1 H), 5.09 (s, 2 H), 4.28 (t, *J* = 9.0 Hz, 2 H), 4.10-4.05 (m, 2 H), 4.00 (q, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 1 H), 3.64 (s, 2 H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 159.1 (dd, *J*_{CF} = 248.5, 2.0 Hz), 154.7, 151.5,

150.4, 148.1 (dd, J_{CF} = 244.2, 2.7 Hz), 147.9, 146.1 (dd, J_{CF} = 12.6, 10.3 Hz), 135.0, 129.7, 124.3, 119.5 (dd, J_{CF} = 21.3, 2.4 Hz), 104.2 (dd, J_{CF} = 18.2, 8.8 Hz), 103.8 (d, J_{CF} = 27.4 Hz), 92.1, 76.3, 61.2, 54.4, 50.7, 45.9-45.1 (m, CD), 37.2, 34.4-32.0 (m, CD), 24.7-22.4 (m, CD); ¹⁹F NMR (470 MHz, CDCl₃) δ -75.5, -112.4 (d, J = 13.9 Hz), -138.4 (d, J = 14.1 Hz); HRMS (ESI⁺): m/z calculated for C₂₅H₁₈ON₆F₂S (M+H) 506.2495, found 506.2491; ELS purity (100%).



N-(**3**-(**4**-((**5**-((**Cyclopentyl**-*d*₉)**thio**)-**4**-(**pyridin**-**3**-**yl**)-**4***H*-**1**,**2**,**4**-**triazol**-**3**-**yl**)**methoxy**)-**2**,**5**difluorophenyl)prop-**2**-**yn**-**1**-**yl**)-**1**-methylpyrrolidin-**3**-amine (**3**-**111**). To a solution of **3**-**106** (0.080 g, 0.16 mmol) in 1,2-DCE (4 mL) and AcOH (2 drops) was added 1-methyl-3pyrrolidinone (20 μ L, 0.20 mmol). After 1 h NaBH(OAc)_3 (0.19 g, 0.89 mmol) was added and the reaction stirred 16 h at room temperature. The reaction was diluted with CH₂Cl₂ and washed with water. The aqueous was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (2x) and the combined organic layers dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The product was purified by chromatography on basic Al₂O₃ (ISCO, MeOH/CH₂Cl₂: 0/100 to 5/95) followed by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, MeOH/CH₂Cl₂: 0/100 to 50/50) followed by filtration through a plug of basic Al₂O₃ (Al₂O₃, MeOH/CH₂Cl₂: 0/100 to 10/90). Product **3**-**111** was obtained as a yellow foam (0.026 g, 27%): IR (neat) 2938, 2778, 2225, 1629, 1507, 1484, 1446, 1331, 1222, 1177, 1131, 997, 875, 818, 729, 707 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.75 (dd, *J* = 4.8, 1.5 Hz, 1 H), 8.61 (dd, *J* = 2.5, 0.8 Hz, 1 H), 7.72 (ddd, *J* = 8.2, 2.6, 1.5 Hz, 1 H), 7.47 (ddd, *J* = 8.1, 4.8, 0.8 Hz, 1 H), 7.03 (dd, *J* = 10.9, 6.5 Hz, 1 H), 6.83 (dd, *J* = 9.8, 7.0 Hz, 1 H), 5.08 (s, 2 H), 3.63-3.56 (m, 2 H), 3.51 (ddt, *J* = 8.5, 6.3, 4.5 Hz, 1 H), 2.68 (dd, *J* = 9.5, 6.5 Hz, 1 H), 2.61 (td, *J* = 8.7, 5.8 Hz, 1 H), 2.49-2.41 (m, 1 H), 2.38 (dd, *J* = 9.5, 4.5 Hz, 1 H), 2.32 (s, 3 H), 2.14 (dtd, *J* = 12.9, 8.2, 5.7 Hz, 1 H), 1.63-1.53 (m, 1 H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 159.0 (dd, *J*_{CF} = 249.2, 2.2 Hz), 154.6, 151.4, 150.3, 148.1 (dd, *J*_{CF} = 244.0, 3.2 Hz), 147.9, 145.8 (dd, *J*_{CF} = 12.8, 9.9 Hz), 135.0, 129.8, 124.2, 119.8 (dd, *J*_{CF} = 21.4, 2.9 Hz), 105.0 (dd, *J*_{CF} = 18.3, 8.4 Hz), 103.7 (dd, *J*_{CF} = 27.4, 1.6 Hz), 93.0 (d, *J*_{CF} = 3.6 Hz), 75.3 (d, *J*_{CF} = 2.2 Hz), 62.7, 61.2, 56.8, 55.2, 42.2, 37.6, 32.9 (app d, *J*_{CD} = 20.2 Hz), 32.6, 23.9-23.2 (m, CD); ¹⁹F NMR (470 MHz, CDCl₃) δ -112.0 (d, *J* = 14.4 Hz), -138.8 (d, *J* = 14.5 Hz); HRMS (ESI⁺): *m*/*z* calculated for C₂₇H₂₂²H₉ON₆F₂S (M+H) 534.2808, found 534.2807; ELS purity (100%).



N-(3-(4-((5-((Cyclopentyl-*d*₉)thio)-4-(pyridin-3-yl)-4*H*-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)methoxy)-2,5difluorophenyl)prop-2-yn-1-yl)-1-methylpiperidin-4-amine (3-112). To a solution of 3-106 (0.070 g, 0.16 mmol) in 1,2-DCE (3.5 mL) and AcOH (1 drop) was added 1-methyl-4piperididone (30 μ L, 0.24 mmol). After 1 h NaBH(OAc)₃ (0.26 g, 1.2 mmol) was added and the reaction stirred at room temperature for 16.5 h. The reaction was diluted with CH₂Cl₂ and washed with water. The crude material was extracted into the aqueous layer which was concentrated. The residue was dissolved in MeOH/CH₂Cl₂ (5%) and washed with sat. NaHCO₃. The combined organic layers were filtered through basic Al₂O₃ (MeOH/CH₂Cl₂: 0/100 to 7/93). The product was concentrated then filtered through a plug of basic Al₂O₃ (0/100 to 5/95). Product **3-112** was obtained as an off-white foam (0.061 g, 45%): IR (neat) 3046, 2936, 2784, 2227, 1629, 1507, 1484, 1447, 1274, 1221, 1177, 1112, 997, 734, 707 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.76 (dd, *J* = 4.8, 1.6 Hz, 1 H), 8.61 (dd, *J* = 2.4, 0.6 Hz, 1 H), 7.73 (ddd, *J* = 8.1, 2.6, 1.5 Hz, 1 H), 7.48 (ddd, *J* = 8.1, 4.8, 0.8 Hz, 1 H), 7.03 (dd, *J* = 10.9, 6.6 Hz, 1 H), 6.85 (dd, *J* = 9.8, 7.0 Hz, 1 H), 5.08 (s, 2 H), 3.66 (s, 2 H), 2.80 (d, *J* = 11.6 Hz, 2 H), 2.70 (tt, *J* = 9.6, 4.5 Hz, 1 H), 2.25 (s, 3 H), 2.01 (t, *J* = 11.0 Hz, 2 H), 1.85 (dd, *J* = 12.6, 1.2 Hz, 2 H), 1.46-1.39 (m, 2 H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 159.0 (app d, *J*_{CF} = 248.9 Hz), 154.5, 151.4, 150.3, 148.1 (dd, *J*_{CF} = 243.8, 2.7 Hz), 147.9, 145.7 (dd, *J*_{CF} = 12.9, 9.9 Hz), 135.0, 129.8, 124.2, 119.7 (d, *J*_{CF} = 21.7 Hz), 105.0 (dd, *J*_{CF} = 18.5, 9.0 Hz), 103.7 (d, *J*_{CF} = 27.5 Hz), 93.2 (d, *J*_{CF} = 3.5 Hz), 75.3, 61.2, 54.3, 52.9, 46.2, 45.4 (t, *J*_{CD} = 22.3 Hz), 36.1, 33.2-32.7 (m), 32.3, 23.7-23.2 (m); ¹⁹F NMR (470 MHz, CDCl₃) δ -112.1 (d, *J* = 14.1 Hz), -138.8 (d, *J* = 14.1 Hz); HRMS (ESI⁺): *m/z* calculated for C₂₈H₂₄²H₉ON₆F₂S (M+H) 548.2964, found 548.2962; ELS purity (100%).



N-(3-(4-((5-((Cyclopentyl-d₉)thio)-4-(pyridin-3-yl)-4*H*-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)methoxy)-2,5difluorophenyl)prop-2-yn-1-yl)propionamide (3-113). To a solution of 3-106 (0.040 g, 0.080 mmol) in DMF (0.9 mL) was added propionic acid (6.6 μ L, 0.088 mmol) and DiPEA (28 μ L, 0.16 mmol) followed by HOBt (0.014 g, 0.10 mmol) and EDC (0.021 g, 0.10 mmol). The reaction was stirred at room temperature for 15.5 h. The reaction was diluted with CH₂Cl₂ and

washed with water (2x). The combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The crude product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, EtOAc/Hexanes: 0/100 to 100/0) followed by filtration through a plug of basic Al₂O₃ (MeOH/CDCl₃: 0/100 to 3/97). Product **3-113** was obtained as a white solid (0.030 g, 74%): m.p. 122.9 - 131.2 °C; IR (neat) 3274, 3056, 2917, 2228, 1659, 1630, 1509, 1449, 1273, 1225, 1179, 999, 708 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.77 (dd, J = 4.8, 1.5 Hz, 1 H), 8.63 (dd, J = 2.6, 0.5 Hz, 1 H), 7.74 (ddd, J = 8.2, 2.6, 1.5 Hz, 1 H), 7.49 (ddd, J = 8.1, 4.8, 0.7 Hz, 1 H), 7.05 (dd, J = 10.8, 6.5 Hz, 1 H), 6.86 (dd, J = 9.9, 7.0 Hz, 1 H), 5.81 (s, 1 H), 5.10 (s, 2 H), 4.28 (d, J = 5.2Hz, 2 H), 2.25 (q, J = 7.6 Hz, 2 H), 1.17 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 3 H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 173.6, 159.1 (dd, J = 249.5, 2.1 Hz), 154.7, 151.5, 150.3, 148.1 (dd, J = 244.4, 3.4 Hz), 147.9, 146.2 (dd, J = 12.8, 9.9 Hz), 135.1, 129.8, 124.3, 119.9 (dd, J = 21.5, 2.9 Hz), 104.3 (dd, J = 12.8, 104.3 (dd, J = 12.8, 104.3 (dd, J = 12.8), 104.3 (dd, J = 12.8 18.3, 8.5 Hz), 103.6 (d, J = 28.5 Hz), 90.5 (d, J = 3.6 Hz), 75.2 (d, J = 1.9 Hz), 61.2, 45.5 (t, J = 1.0 Hz), 75.5 (t, J = 1.0 Hz), 75.5 (t, J = 1.0 Hz), 75.5 (t, J = 1.0 Hz), 75. 21.9 Hz), 33.3-32.7 (m), 30.0, 29.5, 23.9-23.2 (m), 9.8; ¹⁹F NMR (470 MHz, CDCl₃) δ -111.8 (d, J = 14.2 Hz), -138.5 (d, J = 14.0 Hz); HRMS (ESI⁺): m/z calculated for C₂₅H₁₇²H₉O₂N₅F₂S (M+H) 507.2335, found 507.2328; ELS purity (100%).



3-(4-((5-(Cyclohex-2-en-1-ylthio)-4-(pyridin-3-yl)-4*H***-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)methoxy)-2,6-difluorophenyl)prop-2-yn-1-yl methylcarbamate (3-114).** To a solution of 3-(4-((5-(cyclohex-2-en-1-ylthio)-4-(pyridin-3-yl)-4*H*-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)methoxy)-2,6-difluorophenyl)prop-2-yn-1-

ol (0.10 g, 0.22 mmol) in dry CH₂Cl₂ (2.5 mL) was added CDI (0.054 g, 0.33 mmol) was added and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 4 h. Et₃N (0.14 mL, 0.97 mmol) was added followed by methylamine hydrochloride (0.065 g, 0.97 mmol) were added and the reaction was stirred 16 h at room temperature. The reaction solution was diluted with CH₂Cl₂ and extracted with water. The organic phase was dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, EtOAc/Hexanes: 0/100 to 100/0). Product 3-114 was obtained as a white foam (0.11 g, 95%): IR (neat) 3342, 3055, 2940, 1720, 1635, 1503, 1445, 1253, 1148, 1027, 997, 828, 752, 708 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.71 (dd, J = 4.8, 1.5 Hz, 1 H), 8.55 (d, J = 2.6 Hz, 1 H), 7.66 (ddd, J = 8.1, 2.6, 1.5 Hz, 1 H), 7.46 (dd, J = 8.0, 4.8 Hz, 1 H), 6.44-6.40 (m, 2 H), 5.80 (dtd, J = 9.3, 3.7, 1.4 Hz, 1 H), 5.70-5.63 (m, 1 H), 5.25 (d, J = 4.8 Hz, 1 H), 5.05-5.00 (m, 2 H), 4.85 (s, 2 H), 4.49 (dd, J = 4.5, 2.1 Hz, 1 H), 2.74 (d, J = 4.9 Hz, 3 H), 2.05-1.89 (m, 4 H), 1.70-1.55 (m, 2 H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 163.8 (dd, $J_{CF} = 253.4$, 8.1 Hz), 158.6 (t, $J_{CF} = 13.6$ Hz), 156.2, 153.8, 151.4, 150.4, 147.9, 134.7, 132.4, 129.8, 125.3 (d, $J_{CF} = 3.2$ Hz), 124.2, 99.2-97.6 (m, CF), 95.0 (t, $J_{CF} = 20.3$ Hz), 92.7, 72.7, 60.5, 53.0, 44.1, 29.2, 27.6, 24.8, 19.1; ¹⁹F NMR (470 MHz, CDCl₃) δ -105.3; HRMS (ESI⁺): *m/z* calculated for C₂₅H₂₄O₃N₅F₂S (M+H) 512.1562, found 512.1563; ELS purity (100%).



264

3-(4-((5-((Cyclopentyl-d9)thio)-4-(pyridin-3-yl)-4H-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)methoxy)-2,5-

difluorophenyl)prop-2-yn-1-yl (tetrahydro-2H-pyran-4-yl)carbamate (3-115). To a solution of 2-75 (0.070 g, 0.16 mmol) in dry CH₂Cl₂ (1.7 mL) was added CDI (0.038 g, 0.23 mmol) and the reaction stirred at room temperature under argon for 3 h. 4-Aminotetrahydropyran (0.048 mL, 0.47 mmol) was added and the reaction stirred at room temperature for about 22 h. The reaction was diluted with CH₂Cl₂ and washed with water and brine. The combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, MeOH/CH₂Cl₂: 0/100 to 10/90), then chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, MeOH/CH₂Cl₂: 0/100 to 5/95), then chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, EtOAc/Hexanes: 0/100 to 100/0), then chromatography on C18-SiO₂ (RP-ISCO, MeCN/H₂O: 5/95 to 95/5). Product 3-115 was obtained as a white foam (0.030 g, 33%): IR (neat) 3301, 2955, 2850, 1721, 1509, 1449, 1270, 1226, 1046, 1008, 709 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.77 (dd, J = 4.8, 1.3 Hz, 1 H), 8.62 (d, J = 2.3 Hz, 1 H), 7.73 (ddd, J = 8.1, 2.5, 1.6 Hz, 1 H), 7.49 (dd, J = 8.1, 4.8 Hz, 1 H), 7.07 (dd, J = 10.7, 6.5 Hz, 1 H), 6.88 (dd, J = 9.8, 7.0 Hz, 1 H), 5.10 (s, 2 H), 4.90-4.88 (m, 3 H), 3.93 (dt, J = 11.6, 3.4 Hz, 2 H), 3.74-3.68 (m, 1 H), 3.44 (td, J = 11.7, 2.0 Hz, 2 H), 1.91 (ddd, J = 12.5, 4.0, 1.8 Hz, 2 H), 1.52-1.42 (m, 2 H); 13 C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 159.3 (d, J_{CF} = 250.6 Hz), 154.8, 154.7, 151.5, 150.2, 148.1 (dd, $J_{CF} = 244.2$, 3.3 Hz), 148.0, 146.6 (dd, $J_{CF} = 244.2$), 148.0, 146.0 (dd, $J_{CF} = 244.2$), 148.0, 146.0 (dd, $J_{CF} = 244.2$), 148.0 (dd, J_{CF} = 244.2), 12.7, 9.8 Hz), 135.1, 129.9, 124.3, 120.0 (dd, $J_{CF} = 22.6$, 1.7 Hz), 103.9 (dd, $J_{CF} = 18.2$, 8.4 Hz), 103.6 (dd, $J_{CF} = 27.3$, 1.5 Hz), 88.7 (d, $J_{CF} = 3.4$ Hz), 78.4 (d, $J_{CF} = 2.0$ Hz), 66.8, 61.2, 53.1, 47.6, 45.5 (t, $J_{CD} = 21.7$ Hz), 33.4, 33.1-32.7 (m), 23.8-23.2 (m); ¹⁹F NMR (470 MHz, CDCl₃) δ -111.1 (d, J = 14.0 Hz), -138.5 (d, J = 14.0 Hz); HRMS (ESI⁺): m/z calculated for C₂₈H₂₁²H₉O₄N₅F₂S (M+H) 579.2546, found 579.2544; ELS purity (100%).



3-(4-((5-((Cyclopentyl-d9)thio)-4-(pyridin-3-yl)-4H-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)methoxy)-2,5difluorophenyl)prop-2-yn-1-yl (1,1-dioxidotetrahydro-2*H*-thiopyran-4-yl)carbamate (3-116). To a solution of 2-75 (0.070 g, 0.16 mmol) in dry CH₂Cl₂ (1.7 mL) was added CDI (0.038 g, 0.23 mmol) and the reaction stirred at room temperature under argon for 3 h. 1,1-Dioxotetrahydrothiopyran-4-amine (0.069 g, 0.47 mmol) was added and the reaction stirred at room temperature for 17 h. LCMS showed mainly the acyl imidazole intermediate so Et₃N (1 eq., 22 μ L, 0.15 mmol) was added and the reaction stirred at room temperature for 1 d. The reaction was diluted with CH₂Cl₂ and washed with water and brine. The combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, EtOAc/Hexanes: 0/100 to 100/0) then chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, MeOH/CH₂Cl₂: 0/100 to 5/95). Product 3-116 was obtained as a white foam (0.083 g, 85%): IR (neat) 3339, 3058, 2935, 2229, 1720, 1507, 1288, 1224, 1122, 1037, 1000, 849, 731, 705 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.78 (dd, J = 4.8, 1.5 Hz, 1 H), 8.63 (dd, J = 2.5, 0.5 Hz, 1 H), 7.73 (ddd, J = 8.1, 2.6, 1.5 Hz, 1 H), 7.50 (ddd, J = 8.1, 4.8, 0.7 Hz, 1 H), 7.08 (dd, J = 10.7, 6.5 Hz, 1 H), 6.90 (dd, J = 9.8, 6.9 Hz, 1 H), 5.11 (s, 2 H), 4.90 (s, 3 H), 3.87-3.80 (m, J = 3.7 Hz, 1 H), 3.08 (t, J = 5.9 Hz, 4 H), 2.37-2.33 (m, 2 H), 2.19-2.11 (m, 2 H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 159.2 (dd, J_{CF} = 249.9, 2.1 Hz), 154.9, 154.7, 151.4, 150.2, 148.0 (dd, $J_{CF} = 244.6, 3.1$ Hz), 147.9, 146.6 (dd, $J_{CF} = 12.7, 10.0 \text{ Hz}$), 135.0, 129.8, 124.3, 119.8 (dd, $J_{CF} = 21.6, 2.4 \text{ Hz}$), 103.7 (d, $J_{CF} = 26.4$

Hz), 103.6 (dd, $J_{CF} = 17.9$, 8.4 Hz), 88.5 (d, $J_{CF} = 3.3$ Hz), 78.5, 61.1, 53.3, 49.2, 46.8, 45.4 (t, $J_{CD} = 22.6$ Hz), 32.8 (app t, $J_{CD} = 20.1$ Hz), 29.7, 23.5 (app t, $J_{CD} = 19.4$ Hz); ¹⁹F NMR (470 MHz, CDCl₃) δ -111.4 (d, J = 14.0 Hz), -138.3 (d, J = 14.2 Hz); HRMS (ESI⁺): m/z calculated for C₂₈H₂₁²H₉O₅N₅F₂S₂ (M+H) 627.2216, found 627.2216; ELS purity (100%).



3-(4-((5-(Cyclohex-2-en-1-ylthio)-4-(pyridin-3-yl)-4H-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)methoxy)-2,6difluorophenyl)prop-2-yn-1-yl (2-(4-isopropylpiperazin-1-yl)ethyl)carbamate (3-117). To a solution of 3-(4-((5-(cyclohex-2-en-1-ylthio)-4-(pyridin-3-yl)-4H-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)methoxy)-2,6-difluorophenyl)prop-2-yn-1-ol (0.10 g, 0.22 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (2 mL) was added CDI (0.054 g, 0.33 mmol) and the reaction stirred at room temperature for 4 h. A solution of 2-(4-isopropylpiperazin-1-yl)ethan-1-amine (0.11 g, 0.66 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (0.5 mL) was added and the reaction stirred 16 h at room temperature. The reaction was diluted with CH₂Cl₂ and washed with brine. The combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated onto C18-SiO₂. The product was purified by chromatography on C18-SiO₂ (RP-ISCO, MeCN/H₂O: 5/95 to 96/5). Product **3-117** was obtained as a pale yellow foam (0.11 g, 74%): IR (neat) 3256, 2936, 2814, 1719, 1635, 1504, 1445, 1253, 1145, 1036, 829, 752, 708 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.77 (dd, *J* = 4.9, 1.5 Hz, 1 H), 8.59 (d, *J* = 2.5 Hz, 1 H), 7.66 (dt, *J* = 8.2, 2.1 Hz, 1 H), 7.49 (dd, *J* = 8.1, 4.8 Hz, 1 H), 6.52-6.44 (m, 2 H), 5.87 (dtd, *J* = 9.5, 3.8, 1.3 Hz, 1 H), 5.74 (ddd, J = 10.0, 4.4, 2.2 Hz, 1 H), 5.41 (t, J = 5.3 Hz, 1 H), 5.08-5.01 (m, 2 H), 4.92 (s, 2 H), 4.58 (qd, J = 4.3, 3.0, 2.3 Hz, 1 H), 3.28 (q, J = 5.7 Hz, 2 H), 2.62 (p, J = 6.5 Hz, 1 H), 2.57-2.40 (m, 9 H), 2.14-1.91 (m, 5 H), 1.76-1.63 (m, 2 H), 1.03 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 6 H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 163.8 (dd, $J_{CF} = 253.5, 8.1$ Hz), 158.6 (t, $J_{CF} = 13.6$ Hz), 155.4, 153.8, 151.3, 150.4, 147.9, 134.6, 132.4, 129.8, 125.3, 124.1, 99.2-98.5 (m), 95.1 (t, $J_{CF} = 20.0$ Hz), 92.6, 77.4, 77.2, 76.9, 72.8, 60.4, 56.8, 54.3, 53.2, 53.0, 48.6, 44.1, 37.7, 29.2, 24.8, 19.1, 18.6; ¹⁹F NMR (470 MHz, CDCl₃) δ -105.2; HRMS (ESI⁺): m/z calculated for C₃₃H₄₀O₃N₇F₂S (M+H) 652.2876, found 652.2879; ELS purity (100%).



Scheme 3-25. Synthesis of (3*S*,4*R*)-3-fluoro-1-methylpiperidin-4-amine building block.


N-(**3-Fluoropyridin-4-yl)benzamide** (**3-118**)¹⁵³. To a solution of 3-fluoro-4-aminopyridine (2.00 g, 17.8 mmol) in THF (22 mL) under N₂ at -5 °C was added Et₃N (5.01 mL, 35.7 mmol) followed by benzoyl chloride (2.38 mL, 20.5 mmol) dropwise. The reaction was stirred for 2 h and filtered. The filter was washed with THF and then the filtrated was concentrated. The residue was dissolved in boiling EtOAc (8 mL) and hexanes (2.6 mL) and cooled to 0 °C. The solid was filtered off and dried under vacuum. The pyridine **3-118** was obtained as an off-white solid (3.17 g, 82%), data matches that reported in the literature: ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.51 (dd, *J* = 6.7, 5.4 Hz, 1 H), 8.46 (d, *J* = 2.4 Hz, 1 H), 8.39 (d, *J* = 5.4 Hz, 1 H), 8.28 (bs, 1 H), 7.89 (dd, *J* = 7.2, 1.7 Hz, 2 H), 7.66-7.57 (m, 1 H), 7.53 (dd, *J* = 8.4, 6.9 Hz, 2 H); ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃) δ -147.0; HRMS (ESI⁺): *m*/z calculated for C₁₂H₁₀ON₂F (M+H) 217.0772, found 217.0769.

4-Benzamido-3-fluoro-1-methylpyridin-1-ium iodide (**3-119**)¹⁵³. To a solution of *N*-(3-fluoropyridin-4-yl)benzamide (6.00 g, 27.8 mmol) in dry DMF (30.6 mL) at 70 °C. Iodomethane (1.90 mL, 30.5 mmol) was then added dropwise and the reaction heated to 100 °C for 2.25 h. The reaction was cooled to room temperature and poured into cold EtOAc (150 mL). After 1 h the precipitate was filtered and washed with EtOAc (3x). The solid was dried under vacuum 16 h and the pyridinium salt **3-119** was obtained as a pale yellow solid (9.50 g, 96%): IR (neat) 3210, 3155, 3126, 3020, 1695, 1598, 1519, 1475, 1331, 1309, 1264, 1149, 1087, 1074, 977, 905, 847, 717 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ 11.32 (s, 1 H), 9.34 (dd, *J* = 6.2, 1.7 Hz, 1 H), 8.80 (d, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 1 H), 8.69 (t, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 1 H), 8.02-7.93 (m, 2 H), 7.74-7.66 (m, 1 H), 7.59 (t, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 2 H), 4.27 (s, 3 H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ 167.7, 149.7 (d, *J*_{CF} = 252.0 Hz), 143.7, 141.8 (d, *J*_{CF} = 8.9 Hz), 135.5 (d, *J*_{CF} = 36.1 Hz), 133.7, 133.1, 129.3, 129.1 118.1 (d, *J*_{CF})

= 3.2 Hz), 47.6; ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃) δ -125.3; HRMS (ESI⁺): *m/z* calculated for C₁₃H₁₂ON₂F (M+H) 231.0928, found 231.0928.

N-(5-Fluoro-1-methyl-1,2,3,6-tetrahydropyridin-4-yl)benzamide (3-120)¹⁵³. To a solution of 4-benzamido-3-fluoro-1-methylpyridin-1-ium iodide (5.20 g, 14.5 mmol) in MeOH (33.5 mL) at 0 °C was added NaBH₄ (1.38 g, 36.3 mmol) portion-wise over 30 min. After stirring for 3 h, the remaining NaBH₄ (1.38 g, 36.3 mmol) was added and the reaction stirred for 5.5 h. The reaction was quenched with sat. NH₄Cl (8.4 mL) and stirred for 10 min. Sat. NaHCO₃ (10 mL) was added, stirred for 2 h, and the volume reduced. The aqueous was extracted with EtOAc (3x). The combined organic layers were washed with water and brine, dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The 1,2,3,6-tetrahydropyridine **3-120** was obtained as a pale yellow solid (3.29 g, 97%): IR (neat) 3438, 3260, 2792, 2385, 1741, 1653, 1529, 1491, 1293, 1084, 881, 799, 692 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.82-7.74 (m, 2 H), 7.54-7.49 (m, 2 H), 7.46-7.41 (m, 2 H), 3.19 (app s, 3 H), 2.96-2.86 (m, 2 H), 2.66 (t, *J* = 5.7 Hz, 2 H), 2.43 (d, *J* = 0.9 Hz, 3 H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 165.6, 144.4 (d, *J*_{CF} = 250.9 Hz), 134.4, 131.9, 128.7, 127.2, 113.9 (d, *J*_{CF} = 5.1 Hz), 52.6 (d, *J*_{CF} = 28.9 Hz), 51.7, 45.0, 25.4; HRMS (ESI⁺): *m*/z calculated for C₁₃H₁₆ON₂F (M+H) 235.1241, found 235.1240.

N-((3*S*,4*R*)-3-Fluoro-1-methylpiperidin-4-yl)benzamide (3-121)¹⁵³. Catalyst Preparation: A dry 20 mL vial was charged with [RuCl₂(p-cymene)]₂ (0.032 g, 0.053 mmol) and SL-J002-1 (0.32 g, 0.055 mmol) and the vial vacuum purged and flushed with argon (3x). The solid was dissolved in dry, deoxygenated MeOH (0.9 mL) and stirred at 40 °C for 45 min then cooled to room temperature.

Reaction:

A solution of N-(5-fluoro-1-methyl-1,2,3,6-tetrahydropyridin-4-yl)benzamide (0.62 g, 2.6 mmol) in dry deoxygenated MeOH (2.4 mL) was added to the catalyst mixture prepared above. The vial was then transferred into an argon purged Parr vessel and uncapped under nitrogen. The vessel was sealed and purged with argon (2x) and then H₂ (2x). The reaction was pressurized to 18 bar and stirred at room temperature for 20 h. The solution was sparged with N₂ for 10 min, filtered through SiO₂ gel layered over Celite (1:5 SiO₂:Celite), and the plug was washed with MeOH/CH₂Cl₂ (10%, 20 mL). The solvent was removed under vacuum and the piperidine 3-121 was obtained as a brown solid (0.65 g) and carried on without further purification or characterization: 98.9% ee (CHIRALPAK® AD-H, 10:90 iPrOH:Hexanes, 0.1% DEA, 1 mL/min); $[\alpha]_D$ crude = +6 (c = 0.38, MeOH); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.78 (d, J = 7.1 Hz, 1 H), 7.51 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 1 H), 7.44 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 1 H), 6.37 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 1 H), 4.79 (d, J = 1.449.6 Hz, 1 H), 4.27-4.13 (m, 1 H), 3.23 (ddt, J = 13.2, 10.3, 2.8 Hz, 1 H), 2.94 (dt, J = 12.1, 2.5 Hz, 1 H), 2.33 (s, 3 H), 2.32-2.21 (m, 1 H), 2.21-2.12 (m, 1 H), 2.03-1.86 (m, 2 H); ¹⁹F NMR (471 MHz, CDCl₃) δ -200.8; HRMS (ESI⁺): *m/z* calculated for C₁₃H₁₈ON₂F (M+H) 237.1398, found 237.1398.

(3*S*,4*R*)-3-Fluoro-1-methylpiperidin-4-amine dihydrochloride (3-122)¹⁵³. Aqueous HCl (6 M, 1.0 mL) and *N*-((3*S*,4*R*)-3-fluoro-1-methylpiperidin-4-yl)benzamide (0.10 g, 6.0 mmol) were added to a 5 mL round bottom, fitted with a condenser, and connected to a sodium hydroxide scrubber. The reaction was heated to 90 °C 16 h, LCMS showed remaining benzamide starting material, so the reaction was heated to reflux for 20 h then allowed to cool to room temperature. The reaction was filtered and the solid washed with water. The filtrate was concentrated and azeotroped with ethanol (3x). The product was recrystallized from hot ethanol and the crystals washed with cold ethanol and Et₂O. The piperidine **3-122** was obtained as a tan crystalline solid

(0.087 g, 63%): $[\alpha]_D = +15$ (c = 0.29, DMSO); IR (neat) 3376, 2982, 2818, 2557, 2064, 1601, 1533, 1464, 1398, 1248, 1171, 1053, 978, 949, 879 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CD₃OD) δ 5.23 (d, *J* = 47.2 Hz, 1 H), 3.98 (t, *J* = 12.4 Hz, 1 H), 3.71 (t, *J* = 8.7 Hz, 1 H), 3.62 (dd, *J* = 15.0, 10.0 Hz, 2 H), 3.50 (dd, *J* = 39.7, 14.7 Hz, 1 H), 3.27 (s, 1 H), 2.95 (s, 3 H), 2.23 (d, *J* = 6.2 Hz, 3 H); ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ 85.6 (d, *J*_{CF} = 177.2 Hz), 54.2 (d, *J*_{CF} = 19.8 Hz), 51.6, 46.8 (d, *J*_{CF} = 18.2 Hz), 43.4, 22.4; ¹⁹F NMR (470 MHz, CD₃OD) δ -205.4; HRMS (ESI⁺): *m*/*z* calculated for C₆H₁₄N₂F (M+H) 133.1136, found 133.1136.

3-(4-((5-(Cyclohex-2-en-1-ylthio)-4-(pyridin-3-yl)-4H-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)methoxy)-2,6-

difluorophenyl)prop-2-yn-1-yl ((3S,4R)-3-fluoro-1-methylpiperidin-4-yl)carbamate (3-123).

To 3-(4-((5-(cyclohex-2-en-1-ylthio)-4-(pyridin-3-yl)-4H-1,2,4-triazol-3a solution of yl)methoxy)-2,6-difluorophenyl)prop-2-yn-1-ol (0.06 g, 0.13 mmol) in dry CH₂Cl₂ (2 mL) was added CDI (0.032 g, 0.20 mmol) and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 4 h. Et₃N (0.12 mL, 0.86 mmol) followed by (3S,4R)-3-fluoro-1-methylpiperidin-4-amine dihydrochloride (0.081 g, 0.40 mmol) were added and the reaction was stirred for about 2 d at room temperature. The reaction was concentrated directly onto C18-SiO₂ and the product was purified by chromatography on C18-SiO₂ (RP-ISCO, MeCN/H₂O: 5/95 to 95/5). Product 3-123 was obtained as a pale yellow foam (0.036 g, 44%): $[\alpha]_D = +20$ (c = 0.5135, MeOH); IR (CH₂Cl₂) 3227, 2941, 2793, 2242, 1717, 1635, 1504, 1445, 1254, 1150, 1046, 1028, 910, 831, 727 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.76 (dd, J = 4.8, 1.5 Hz, 1 H), 8.59 (d, J = 2.3 Hz, 1 H), 7.66 (ddd, J = 8.2, 2.6, 1.6 Hz, 1 H), 7.48 (dd, J = 8.1, 4.8 Hz, 1 H), 6.47 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2 H), 5.86 (ddt, J = 9.0, 3.7, 1.8 Hz, 1 H), 5.77-5.68 (m, 1 H), 5.29 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 1 H), 5.05 (s, 2 H), 4.91 (d, J = 1.5 Hz, 2 H), 4.68 (d, J = 49.6 Hz, 1 H), 4.57 (dd, J = 4.3, 2.0 Hz, 1 H), 3.76-3.57 (m, 1 H), 3.20-3.08 (m, 1 H), 2.86 (dd, J = 11.4, 2.8 Hz, 1 H), 2.27 (s, 3 H), 2.17 (dd, J = 38.1, 13.2

Hz, 1 H), 2.14 – 1.94 (m, 5 H), 1.93-1.73 (m, 2 H), 1.77-1.58 (m, 2 H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 163.9 (dd, J_{CF} = 253.6, 8.1 Hz), 158.6 (t, J_{CF} = 13.6 Hz), 154.9, 153.9, 151.4, 150.3, 147.9, 134.7, 132.5, 129.8, 125.3, 124.2, 98.9 (d, J_{CF} = 27.3 Hz), 95.1 (t, J_{CF} = 20.1 Hz), 92.2, 88.4 (d, J_{CF} = 176.2 Hz), 73.1, 60.4, 58.0 (d, J_{CF} = 18.6 Hz), 53.9, 53.4, 49.9 (d, J_{CF} = 17.9 Hz), 45.8, 44.1, 29.2, 27.2, 24.8, 19.1; ¹⁹F NMR (470 MHz, CDCl₃) δ -105, -201; HRMS (ESI⁺): m/z calculated for C₃₀H₃₂O₃N₆F₃S (M+H) 613.2203, found 613.2204; ELS purity (100%).



(S)-(-)-3-(4-((5-(Cyclohex-2-en-1-ylthio)-4-(pyridin-3-yl)-4H-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)methoxy)-2,5difluorophenyl)prop-2-yn-1-ol (3-124)^{xiv}. To the crude mixture of (S)-(5-(cyclohex-2-en-1ylthio)-4-(pyridin-3-yl)-4H-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)methyl methanesulfonate and (S)-3-(3-(chloromethyl)-5-(cyclohex-2-en-1-ylthio)-4H-1,2,4-triazol-4-yl)pyridine (0.13 g, 0.35 mmol) in DMF (5.5 mL) was added 2,5-difluoro-4-(3-hydroxyprop-1-yn-1-yl)phenol (0.070 g, 0.38 mmol) and Cs₂CO₃ (0.12 g, 0.38 mmol) and the reaction stirred at room temperature for 13 h. The reaction was diluted with EtOAc and organic layer washed with water. The aqueous was extracted with EtOAc (3x) and the combined organic layers dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, EtOAc/Hexanes:

^{xiv} Enantiomerically pure (*S*)-(5-(cyclohex-2-en-1-ylthio)-4-(pyridin-3-yl)-4*H*-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)methanol was obtained from Albany Molecular Research Inc. (AMRI): $[\alpha]_D = -164$ (c = 0.375, MeOH), which was converted to the mesylate and chloride mixture via the standard procedure used for the racemic compound.

0/100 to 100/0). Product **3-124** was obtained as a white solid (0.150 g, 95%): $[\alpha]_D = -116$ (c = 0.1975, CH₂Cl₂); m.p. 163-164 °C; IR (CH₂Cl₂) 3186, 2926, 2851, 1627, 1513, 1451, 1421, 1340, 1225, 1176, 1038, 1002, 847, 752, 708 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CD₃OD/CDCl₃) δ 8.74 (dd, *J* = 4.8, 0.9 Hz, 1 H), 8.67 (d, *J* = 2.2 Hz, 1 H), 7.98 (ddd, *J* = 8.2, 2.3, 1.5 Hz, 1 H), 7.65 (dd, *J* = 8.2, 4.9 Hz, 1 H), 7.16 (dd, *J* = 11.0, 6.6 Hz, 1 H), 7.06 (dd, *J* = 10.3, 7.1 Hz, 1 H), 5.90 (dtd, *J* = 9.8, 3.9, 1.4 Hz, 1 H), 5.68 (ddt, *J* = 9.7, 4.2, 2.2 Hz, 1 H), 5.25 (s, 2 H), 4.38 (s, 2 H), 4.37-4.35 (m, 1 H), 2.09-2.00 (m, 3 H), 1.96-1.89 (m, *J* = 3.5 Hz, 1 H), 1.78-1.63 (m, 2 H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CD₃OD/CDCl₃) δ 160.1 (dd, *J*_{CF} = 246.6, 2.8 Hz), 154.8, 152.4, 152.0, 149.2 (dd, *J*_{CF} = 241.9, 3.3 Hz), 148.8, 147.3 (dd, *J*_{CF} = 13.1, 9.9 Hz), 137.1, 133.6, 131.2, 126.0, 125.8, 120.5 (dd, *J*_{CF} = 21.4, 2.9 Hz), 105.5 (dd, *J*_{CF} = 20 Hz), 62.1, 51.0, 45.5, 30.1, 25.6, 19.8; ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CD₃OD/CDCl₃) δ -113.6 (d, *J* = 14.2 Hz), -140.2 (d, *J* = 14.7 Hz); HRMS (ESI⁺): *m*/z calculated for C₂₃H₂₁O₂N₄F₂S (M+H) 455.1348, found 455.1348; ELS purity (100%).



(*S*)-(-)-3-(4-((5-(Cyclohex-2-en-1-ylthio)-4-(pyridin-3-yl)-4*H*-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)methoxy)-2,5difluorophenyl)prop-2-yn-1-yl (1-methylpiperidin-4-yl)carbamate (3-125). To a solution of 3-124 (0.13 g, 0.28 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (3.1 mL) was added (0.067 g, 0.41 mmol) and the reaction stirred at room temperature for 4 h. 4-Amino-1-methylpiperidine (0.10 mL, 0.83 mmol) was

added and the reaction stirred at room temperature for 1.5 d. The reaction was diluted with CH₂Cl₂ and washed with water and brine. The combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The product was purified by chromatography on SiO_2 (ISCO, MeOH/CH₂Cl₂: 0/100 to 15/85) followed by filtration through a plug of basic Al₂O₃ (MeOH/CH₂Cl₂: 0/100 to 5/95) (2x). Product **3-125** was obtained as a white foam (0.148 g, 90%): $[\alpha]_D = -87$ (c = 0.2025, CH₂Cl₂); IR (neat) 3288, 2939, 2788, 1713, 1629, 1506, 1447, 1271, 1225, 1179, 1044, 1003, 727, 707 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.68 (dd, J = 4.8, 1.5 Hz, 1 H), 8.56 (d, J = 2.3 Hz, 1 H), 7.69 (ddd, J = 8.1, 2.5, 1.6 Hz, 1 H), 7.42 (ddd, J = 8.1, 1.6 Hz, 1 H), 7.42 (ddd, J = 8.1, 1.6 Hz, 1 H), 7.42 (ddd, J = 8.1, 1.6 Hz, 1 H), 7.42 (ddd, J = 8.1, 1.6 Hz, 1 Hz, 1 H), 7.52 (ddd, J = 8.1, 1.6 Hz, 1 Hz, 1 H), 7.52 (ddd, J = 4.8, 0.5 Hz, 1 H), 6.98 (dd, J = 10.7, 6.4 Hz, 1 H), 6.81 (dd, J = 9.7, 7.0 Hz, 1 H), 5.79 (dtd, J = 9.7, 3.8, 1.3 Hz, 1 H), 5.67-5.64 (m, 1 H), 5.26 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1 H), 5.08-5.03 (m, 2 H), 4.79 (s, 2 H), 4.48 (dd, J = 3.9, 1.6 Hz, 1 H), 3.46-3.39 (m, 1 H), 2.68 (d, J = 10.3 Hz, 2 H), 2.17 (s, 3 H), 2.04-1.89 (m, 6 H), 1.86 (dd, *J* = 12.7, 3.0 Hz, 2 H), 1.68-1.55 (m, *J* = 7.9, 7.7, 7.3, 4.1 Hz, 2 H), 1.45-1.38 (m, 2 H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 159.1 (dd, J_{CF} = 250.2, 2.0 Hz), 154.7, 153.9, 151.3, 150.3, 147.9 (dd, $J_{CF} = 244.3$, 3.3 Hz), 147.8, 146.3 (dd, $J_{CF} = 12.7$, 10.0 Hz), 134.9, 132.4, 129.6, 125.2, 124.1, 119.8 (dd, $J_{CF} = 21.5$, 2.1 Hz), 103.8 (dd, $J_{CF} = 18.2$, 8.3 Hz), 103.5 (d, $J_{CF} = 27.4 \text{ Hz}$), 88.8 (d, $J_{CF} = 3.5 \text{ Hz}$), 78.0 (d, $J_{CF} = 1.6 \text{ Hz}$), 61.1, 54.3, 52.8, 47.9, 46.1, 44.0, 32.3, 29.1, 24.7, 19.1; ¹⁹F NMR (470 MHz, CDCl₃) δ -111.3 (d, J = 14.0 Hz), -138.6 (d, J = 14.1 Hz); HRMS (ESI⁺): *m/z* calculated for C₃₀H₃₃O₃N₆F₂S (M+H) 595.2297, found 595.2298; ELS purity (100%).



(R)-(5-(cyclohex-2-en-1-ylthio)-4-(pyridin-3-yl)-4H-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)methyl

methanesulfonate. To a solution of (*R*)-(5-(cyclohex-2-en-1-ylthio)-4-(pyridin-3-yl)-4*H*-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)methanol^{xv} (0.14 g, 0.49 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (2.8 mL) at 0 °C was added DiPEA (0.16 mL, 0.94 mmol) and MsCl (63 μ L, 0.82 mmol) dropwise. The reaction was stirred at 0 °C and slowly warmed to room temperature over 70 min. The reaction was diluted with CH₂Cl₂, washed with sat. NaHCO₃, and sat. NH₄Cl, dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The crude triazole was carried on without purification or characterization: HRMS (ESI⁺): *m*/*z* calculated for C₁₅H₁₉O₃N₄S₂ (M+H) 367.0893, found 367.0890.

(R)-3-(4-((5-(Cyclohex-2-en-1-ylthio)-4-(pyridin-3-yl)-4H-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)methoxy)-2,5-

difluorophenyl)prop-2-yn-1-ol (**3-126**)^{xvi}. To a solution of crude (*R*)-(5-(cyclohex-2-en-1ylthio)-4-(pyridin-3-yl)-4*H*-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)methyl methanesulfonate (0.718 g, 1096 mmol) in DMF (31 mL) was added 2,5-difluoro-4-(3-hydroxyprop-1-yn-1-yl)phenol (0.433 g, 2.35 mmol) and Cs₂CO₃ (0.766 g, 2.35 mmol) and the reaction stirred for 20 h at room temperature. The reaction was then diluted with EtOAc and organic layer washed with water. The aqueous was extracted with EtOAc (3x) and the combined organic layers dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. Product **3-126** was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, EtOAc/Hexanes: 0/100 to 100/0). The product was obtained as a pale-yellow solid (0.725 g, 81%): $[\alpha]_D = +117$ (c = 0.63, CH₂Cl₂); HRMS (ESI⁺): *m*/*z* calculated for C₂₃H₂₁O₂N₄F₂S (M+H) 455.1348, found 455.1347.

^{xv} Enantiomerically pure (R)-(5-(cyclohex-2-en-1-ylthio)-4-(pyridin-3-yl)-4H-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)methanol was obtained from Albany Molecular Research Inc. (AMRI).

xvi Full characterization by Matthew LaPorte; described batch not biologically tested



(R)-3-(4-((5-(Cyclohex-2-en-1-ylthio)-4-(pyridin-3-yl)-4H-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)methoxy)-2,5difluorophenyl)prop-2-yn-1-yl (1-methylpiperidin-4-yl)carbamate (3-127) ^{xvii}. To a solution of 3-126 (0.62 g, 1.4 mmol) in dry CH₂Cl₂ (17.9 mL) was added CDI (0.33 g, 0.20 mmol) and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 3 h. 4-Amino-1-methylpiperidine (0.51 mL, 4.1 mmol) was added and the reaction was stirred for 19 h at room temperature. The reaction solution was concentrated directly onto C18-SiO₂ and the product was purified by chromatography on C18-SiO₂ (RP-ISCO, MeCN/H₂O: 5/95 to 95/5). Product 3-127 was obtained as a white foam (0.50 g, 61%): $[\alpha]_D = +84$ (c = 0.485, MeOH); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, $CDCl_3$ δ 8.77 (dd, J = 4.8, 1.5 Hz, 1 H), 8.63 (dd, J = 2.5, 0.7 Hz, 1 H), 7.73 (ddd, J = 8.2, 2.6, 1.5 Hz, 1 H), 7.49 (ddd, J = 8.2, 4.8, 0.8 Hz, 1 H), 7.09 (dd, J = 10.7, 6.4 Hz, 1 H), 6.87 (dd, J 9.8, 6.9 Hz, 1 H), 5.88 (dtd, J = 8.9, 3.7, 1.4 Hz, 1 H), 5.75 (ddd, J = 10.2, 4.5, 2.2 Hz, 1 H), 5.14-5.07 (m, 2 H), 4.88 (s, 2 H), 4.73 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1 H), 4.62-4.57 (m, 1 H), 3.58-3.47 (m, 1 H), 2.75 (d, J = 10.3 Hz, 2 H), 2.27 (s, 3 H), 2.14-1.99 (m, 5 H), 1.99-1.92 (m, 2 H), 1.83 (bs, 1 H), 1.79-1.62 (m, 2 H), 1.48 (qd, J = 11.1, 3.8 Hz, 2 H); ¹⁹F NMR (470 MHz, CDCl₃) δ -111.1 (d, $J_{CF} = 14.0 \text{ Hz}$), -138.5 (d, $J_{CF} = 14.0 \text{ Hz}$); HRMS (ESI⁺): m/z calculated for C₃₀H₃₃O₃N₆F₂S (M+H) 595.2297, found 595.2294; ELS purity (100%).

^{xvii} Full characterization by Matthew LaPorte.



3-(4-((5-(((R)-Cyclohex-2-en-1-yl)thio)-4-(pyridin-3-yl)-4H-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)methoxy)-2,5difluorophenyl)prop-2-yn-1-yl ((3S,4R)-3-fluoro-1-methylpiperidin-4-yl)carbamate (3-128). To a solution of **3-126** (0.050 g, 0.11 mmol) in dry CH₂Cl₂ (1.4 mL) was added CDI (0.027 g, 0.17 mmol) was added and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 3 h. Et₃N (0.085 mL, (0.61 mmol) and (3S,4R)-3-fluoro-1-methylpiperidin-4-amine dihydrochloride (0.056 g, 0.28) mmol) were added and the reaction was stirred for 19 h at room temperature. LCMS showed remaining starting material, additional Et₃N (0.017 mL, 0.12 mmol) and (3S,4R)-3-fluoro-1methylpiperidin-4-amine dihydrochloride (0.015 g, 0.074 mmol) were added and stirred for 28 h. The reaction solution was concentrated directly onto C18-SiO₂ and the product was purified by chromatography on C18-SiO₂ (RP-ISCO, MeCN/H₂O: 5/95 to 95/5). Product 3-128 was obtained as a pale yellow foam (0.047 g, 69%): $[\alpha]_D = +100$ (c = 0.4075, MeOH); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.78 (dd, J = 4.9, 1.5 Hz, 1 H), 8.63 (d, J = 2.5 Hz, 1 H), 7.73 (ddd, J = 8.1, 2.6, 1.5 Hz, 1 H), 7.49 (dd, J = 8.1, 4.8 Hz, 1 H), 7.10 (dd, J = 10.7, 6.4 Hz, 1 H), 6.88 (dd, J = 9.8, 6.8 Hz, 1 H), 5.92-5.85 (m, 1 H), 5.75 (ddd, *J* = 9.8, 4.1, 2.1 Hz, 1 H), 5.15-5.07 (m, 3 H), 4.90 (s, 2 H), 4.70 (d, J = 49.4 Hz, 1 H), 4.62-4.58 (m, 1 H), 3.76-3.61 (m, 1 H), 3.16 (t, J = 11.7 Hz, 1 H), 2.88 (d, J = 11.7 Hz, 1 H), 2.30 (s, 3 H), 2.20 (dd, J = 38.2, 13.1 Hz, 1 H), 2.14-1.99 (m, 5 H), 1.93-1.79 (m, 2 H), 1.79-1.65 (m, 2 H); ¹⁹F NMR (470 MHz, CDCl₃) δ -111.0 (d, J_{CF} = 14.1 Hz), -138.5 (d, $J_{CF} = 14.0$ Hz), -201.1; HRMS (ESI⁺): m/z calculated for C₃₀H₃₂O₃N₆F₃S (M+H) 613.2203, found 613.2202; ELS purity (100%).



4-Bromo-2-fluorophenyl pivalate. To a solution of 4-bromo-2-fluorophenol (0.500 g, 2.62 mmol) and Et₃N (0.405 mL, 2.88 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (5.1 mL) at 0 °C was added PivCl (0.354 mL, 2.88 mmol). The reaction was stirred at 0 °C for 50 min then diluted with Et₂O (10 mL) and filtered. The filtrate was concentrated and taken up in Et₂O (10 mL), filtered, and concentrated. The pivalate was obtained as a pink-brown oil (0.657 g, 91%) and carried on without further purification: IR (CHCl₃) 2976, 1761, 1595, 1493, 1481, 1261, 1187, 1092, 1064, 879, 779 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.32 (dd, *J* = 9.5, 2.2 Hz, 1 H), 7.26 (ddd, *J* = 8.6, 2.2, 1.5 Hz, 1 H), 6.99 (t, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 1 H), 1.37 (s, 9 H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 175.8, 154.1 (d, *J*_{CF} = 253.8 Hz), 138.0 (d, *J*_{CF} = 12.9 Hz), 127.7 (d, *J*_{CF} = 3.7 Hz), 125.1 (d, *J*_{CF} = 1.3 Hz), 120.3 (d, *J*_{CF} = 21.9 Hz), 118.6 (d, *J*_{CF} = 8.5 Hz), 39.3, 27.1; ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃) δ -125.5; HRMS (ESI⁺): *m/z* calculated for C₁₁H₁₃O₂BrF (M+H) 275.0078, found 275.0087.

2-Fluoro-4-(3-((tetrahydro-2*H***-pyran-2-yl)oxy)prop-1-yn-1-yl)phenyl pivalate.** To a solution of 2-(prop-2-yn-1-yloxy)tetrahydro-2*H*-pyran (0.33 g, 2.4 mmol) and 4-bromo-2-fluorophenyl pivalate (0.50 g, 1.8 mmol) in deoxygenated Et₃N (1.4 mL) was added Pd(PPh₃)₄ (0.11 g, 0.091 mmol) and CuI (0.035 g, 0.18 mmol). The reaction was sparged with argon for 2 min then stirred at reflux for 18 h. The reaction was concentrated, and the residue taken up in Et₂O, washed with sat. NH₄Cl and brine, filtered, and concentrated. The product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, EtOAc/hexanes: 0/100 to 10/90). The pivalate was obtained as a pale pink foam (0.32 g, 53%) and carried on without further characterization: ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.25-7.21 (m, 2 H), 7.04 (t, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 1 H), 4.87 (t, *J* = 3.4 Hz, 1 H), 4.47 (q, *J* = 16.3 Hz, 2 H),

3.88 (ddd, J = 11.5, 9.0, 2.7 Hz, 1 H), 3.57 (dtd, J = 11.1, 4.3, 1.4 Hz, 1 H), 1.87-1.74 (m, 2 H), 1.69-1.53 (m, 4 H), 1.37 (s, 9 H); ¹⁹F NMR (470 MHz, CDCl₃) δ -128.3; HRMS (ESI⁺): m/z calculated for C₁₉H₂₄O₄F (M+H) 335.1653, found 335.1671.

2-Fluoro-4-(3-((tetrahydro-2*H***-pyran-2-yl)oxy)prop-1-yn-1-yl)phenol.** To a solution of 2-fluoro-4-(3-((tetrahydro-2*H*-pyran-2-yl)oxy)prop-1-yn-1-yl)phenyl pivalate (0.320 g, 0.957 mmol) in THF (14 mL) and MeOH (14 mL) was added a solution of NaOH (1 M, 5.26 mL) dropwise and the reaction stirred at room temperature for 15 h. The reaction was concentrated and diluted with CH₂Cl₂. The solution was then neutralized to pH 7 with 2N HCl and the aqueous extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (2x). The combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The crude phenol was obtained as a brown oil (0.253 g) and carried on without further purification or characterization: ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.19-7.12 (m, 2 H), 6.92 (t, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 1 H), 4.89 (bs, 1 H), 4.46 (q, *J* = 12.3 Hz, 2 H), 3.89 (app td, *J* = 10.0, 2.3 Hz, 1 H), 3.58 (app dt, *J* = 10.3, 4.7 Hz, 1 H), 1.87-1.54 (m, 7 H); HRMS (ESI⁺): *m*/*z* calculated for C₁₄H₁₄O₃F (M-H) 249.0921, found 249.0907.

2-Fluoro-4-(3-hydroxyprop-1-yn-1-yl)phenol. To a solution of 2-fluoro-4-(3-((tetrahydro-2*H*-pyran-2-yl)oxy)prop-1-yn-1-yl)phenol (0.240 g, 0.957 mmol) in MeOH (5.9 mL) was added PPTS (0.120 g, 0.478 mmol) and the reaction stirred at room temperature for 2 d. The reaction was diluted with CH₂Cl₂ and washed with sat. NaHCO₃. The combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, EtOAc/Hexanes: 0/100 to 100/0). The phenol was obtained as a pale pink-brown solid (0.0944 g, 59%): IR (acetone) 3477, 3301, 2930, 2225, 1619, 1593, 1516, 1383, 1297, 1246, 1112, 1009, 981, 865, 812, 781 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, acetone-*d*₆) δ 7.14 (dd, *J* = 11.7, 1.9 Hz, 1 H), 7.08 (ddd, *J* = 8.3, 1.8, 0.9 Hz, 1 H), 6.97 (t, *J* = 8.7 Hz, 1 H), 4.39 (s, 2 H); ¹³C NMR

(125 MHz, acetone- d_6) δ 151.7 (d, $J_{CF} = 241.5$ Hz), 146.5 (d, $J_{CF} = 12.8$ Hz), 129.2 (d, $J_{CF} = 2.9$ Hz), 119.7 (d, $J_{CF} = 19.9$ Hz), 118.8 (d, $J_{CF} = 3.3$ Hz), 115.3 (d, $J_{CF} = 7.7$ Hz), 88.3, 83.8 (d, $J_{CF} = 2.8$ Hz), 51.0; ¹⁹F NMR (470 MHz, acetone- d_6) δ -137.8; HRMS (ESI⁻): m/z calculated for C₉H₆O₂F (M-H) 165.0346, found 165.0339.

3-(4-((5-((Cyclopentyl-d9)thio)-4-(pyridin-3-yl)-4H-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)methoxy)-3-

fluorophenyl)prop-2-yn-1-ol (3-129). To a solution of crude 3-(3-(chloromethyl)-5- $((cyclopentyl-d_9)thio)-4H-1,2,4-triazol-4-yl)$ pyridine (0.069 g, 0.28 mmol) in dry DMF (3.6 mL) was added 2-fluoro-4-(3-hydroxyprop-1-yn-1-yl)phenol (0.045 g, 0.27 mmol) and Cs₂CO₃ (0.089 g, 0.27 mmol) and the reaction stirred at room temperature for 14.5 h. The reaction was diluted with EtOAc and organic layer washed with water. The aqueous was extracted with EtOAc (3x) and the combined organic layers dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, EtOAc/Hexanes: 0/100 to 100/0). Product 3-129 was obtained as a white foam (0.089 g, 90%): IR (neat) 3230, 2902, 2857, 2405, 2225, 1508, 1485, 1397, 1298, 1268, 1222, 1124, 1039, 999, 841, 710 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃/CD₃OD) δ 8.70 (dd, J = 4.9, 1.4 Hz, 1 H), 8.58 (d, J = 2.5 Hz, 1 H), 7.79 (ddd, J = 8.2, 2.5, 1.5 Hz, 1 H), 7.52 (ddd, J = 8.1, 4.9, 0.7 Hz, 1 H), 7.09-7.04 (m, 2 H), 6.95 (t, J = 8.5 Hz, 1 H), 5.08 (s, 2 H), 4.34 (s, 2 H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃/CD₃OD,) δ 153.9 (d, J _{CF} = 164.6 Hz), 151.1, 150.9, 150.6, 147.7, 145.3 (d, $J_{CF} = 11.1$ Hz), 135.6, 129.9, 128.3 (d, $J_{CF} = 3.5$ Hz), 124.6, 119.5 (d, $J_{CF} = 19.5 \text{ Hz}$), 117.4 (d, $J_{CF} = 8.2 \text{ Hz}$), 115.5 (d, $J_{CF} = 1.3 \text{ Hz}$), 88.1, 83.0 (d, $J_{\rm CF} = 2.8$ Hz), 60.9, 50.6, 45.5 (t, $J_{\rm CD} = 23.1$ Hz), 33.2-32.4 (m, CD), 23.9-23.1 (m, CD); ¹⁹F NMR (470 MHz, CDCl₃/CD₃OD) δ -133.3; HRMS (ESI⁺): m/z calculated for C₂₂H₁₃²H₉O₂N₄FS (M+H) 434.2007, found 434.2004; ELS purity (100%).



3-(4-((5-((Cyclopentyl-d9)thio)-4-(pyridin-3-yl)-4H-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)methoxy)-3-

fluorophenyl)prop-2-yn-1-yl (4-methylcyclohexyl)carbamate (3-130). To a solution of 3-129 (0.062 g, 0.14 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (1.6 mL) was added CDI (0.035 g, 0.21 mmol) and the reaction stirred at room temperature for 3 h. 4-Amino-1-methylpiperidine (53 µL, 0.43 mmol) was added and the reaction stirred at room temperature for about 2 d. The reaction was diluted with CH_2Cl_2 and washed with water and brine. The combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, MeOH/CH₂Cl₂: 0/100 to 15/85) followed by filtration through a plug of basic Al₂O₃ (MeOH/CH₂Cl₂: 0/100 to 5/95). Product **3-130** was obtained as a white foam (0.070 g, 85%): IR (neat) 3258, 3052, 2941, 2788, 2227, 1714, 1511, 1447, 1300, 1268, 1220, 1044, 998, 733, 706 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.69 (t, J = 3.6 Hz, 1 H), 8.57 (t, J = 2.2 Hz, 1 H), 7.70 (dd, J = 8.1, 1.2 Hz, 1 H), 7.42 (dt, J = 7.8, 3.8 Hz, 1 H), 7.05-7.02 (m, 2 H), 6.96 (td, J = 8.4, 2.4 Hz, 1 H), 5.07 (d, J = 2.1 Hz, 1 H)3 H), 4.79 (s, 2 H), 3.45 (bs, 1 H), 2.69 (d, J = 9.6 Hz, 2 H), 2.19 (d, J = 3.1 Hz, 3 H), 2.01 (t, J = 10.8 Hz, 2 H), 1.88 (d, J = 12.4 Hz, 2 H), 1.43 (q, J = 11.5 Hz, 2 H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 154.5 (d, J_{CF} = 43.7 Hz), 152.9, 151.2, 150.6, 150.5, 147.9, 145.8 (d, J_{CF} = 10.9 Hz), 135.0, 129.8, 128.5 (d, $J_{CF} = 3.4$ Hz), 124.1, 119.7 (d, $J_{CF} = 19.8$ Hz), 116.5 (d, $J_{CF} = 8.4$ Hz), 115.4 (d, *J*_{CF} = 1.3 Hz), 84.5, 83.7, 61.0, 54.3, 52.9, 47.9, 46.1, 45.4 (t, *J*_{CD} = 24.1 Hz), 33.0-32.4 (m, CD), 32.4, 23.7-23.3 (m, CD); ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃) δ -133.2; HRMS (ESI⁺): *m/z* calculated for C₂₉H₂₅²H₉O₃N₆FS (M+H) 574.2957, found 574.2952; ELS purity (100%).



4-Bromo-2,6-difluorophenyl pivalate. To a solution of 4-bromo-2,6-difluorophenol (1.00 g, 4.78 mmol) and Et₃N (0.740 mL, 5.26 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (9.4 mL) at 0 °C was added PivCl (1.31 mL, 5.26 mmol). The reaction was stirred at 0 °C for 35 min then diluted with Et₂O (20 mL) and filtered. The filtrate was concentrated and taken up in Et₂O (20 mL), filtered, and concentrated. The crude pivalate was obtained as a tan liquid (1.42 g) and carried on without further purification: IR (neat) 2977, 1774, 1605, 1499, 1428, 1309, 1207, 1084, 1074, 1043, 1027, 866, 839, 765 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.16-7.10 (m, 2 H), 1.37 (s, 9 H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 185.3, 174.7, 155.3 (dd, J_{CF} = 254.2, 5.1 Hz), 127.2 (t, J_{CF} = 15.9 Hz), 117.7 (t, J_{CF} = 10.9 Hz), 116.1-115.8 (m), 77.4, 77.1, 76.8, 39.2, 38.5, 26.9; ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃) δ -124.5; HRMS (ESI⁺): *m*/z calculated for C₁₁H₁₂O₂BrF₂ (M+H) 292.9983, found 292.9993.

2,6-Difluoro-4-(3-((tetrahydro-2*H***-pyran-2-yl)oxy)prop-1-yn-1-yl)phenyl pivalate**. To a solution of 2-(prop-2-yn-1-yloxy)tetrahydro-2*H*-pyran (0.44 g, 3.1 mmol) and 4-bromo-2,6-difluorophenyl pivalate (0.70 g, 2.4 mmol) in deoxygenated Et₃N (1.85 mL) was added Pd(PPh₃)₄ (0.14 g, 0.12 mmol) and CuI (0.045 g, 0.24 mmol). The reaction was sparged with argon for 2 min then stirred at reflux 16 h. The reaction was filtered, concentrated, and the residue taken up in Et₂O. The combined organic layers were washed with sat. NH₄Cl and brine, filtered through a plug of SiO₂, and concentrated. The product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, EtOAc/hexanes: 0/100 to 10/90). The pivalate was obtained as a yellow oil (0.21

g, 25%) and carried on without further characterization: ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.04 (dd, J = 7.7, 4.5 Hz, 2 H), 4.86 (t, J = 3.4 Hz, 1 H), 4.54-4.38 (m, 2 H), 3.88 (ddd, J = 11.5, 9.1, 3.2 Hz, 1 H), 3.62-3.52 (m, 1 H), 1.90-1.73 (m, 2 H), 1.70-1.49 (m, 4 H), 1.24 (s, 9 H); ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃) δ -126.4; HRMS (ESI⁺): m/z calculated for C₁₉H₂₃O₄F₂ (M+H) 353.1559, found 353.1554.

2,6-Difluoro-4-(3-((tetrahydro-2*H***-pyran-2-yl)oxy)prop-1-yn-1-yl)phenol.** To a solution of 2,6-difluoro-4-(3-((tetrahydro-2*H*-pyran-2-yl)oxy)prop-1-yn-1-yl)phenyl pivalate (0.20 g, 0.57 mmol) in THF (8.1 mL) and MeOH (8.1 mL) was added a solution of NaOH (1 M, 3.1 mL) dropwise and the reaction was stirred at room temperature 16 h. The reaction was concentrated and diluted with CH₂Cl₂. The solution was then neutralized to pH 7 with 2 N HCl and the aqueous extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (2x). The combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The crude phenol was obtained as a yellow oil (0.18 g) and carried on without further purification or characterization: ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.06-6.96 (m, 2 H), 4.86 (t, *J* = 3.4 Hz, 1 H), 4.51-4.38 (m, 2 H), 3.88 (ddd, *J* = 11.7, 9.2, 3.0 Hz, 1 H), 3.61-3.54 (m, 1 H), 1.91-1.72 (m, 1 H), 1.71-1.48 (m, 7 H); ¹⁹F NMR (470 MHz, CDCl₃) δ -135.3; HRMS (ESI⁻): *m*/z calculated for C₁₄H₁₃O₃F₂ (M-H) 267.0827, found 267.0821.

2,6-Difluoro-4-(3-hydroxyprop-1-yn-1-yl)phenol. To a solution of crude 2,6-difluoro-4-(3-((tetrahydro-2*H*-pyran-2-yl)oxy)prop-1-yn-1-yl)phenol (0.15 g, 0.57 mmol) in MeOH (3.5 mL) was added PPTS (0.071 g, 0.28 mmol) and the reaction stirred at room temperature for 2 d. The reaction was diluted with CH₂Cl₂ and washed with sat. NaHCO₃. The combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, EtOAc/Hexanes: 0/100 to 100/0). The phenol was obtained as an off-white solid (0.029 g, 27%, 37% BRSM): IR (neat) 3323, 3092, 2949, 1601, 1524, 1433, 1337, 1241, 1199,

1034, 1014, 977, 857, 777 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, acetone- d_6) δ 7.08-6.98 (m, 2 H), 4.40 (s, 2 H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, acetone- d_6) δ 152.1 (dd, $J_{CF} = 242.7$, 7.6 Hz), 135.2 (t, $J_{CF} = 16.2$ Hz), 115.1-114.8 (m, CF), 113.2 (t, $J_{CF} = 10.7$ Hz), 88.6, 81.9 (t, $J_{CF} = 3.5$ Hz), 50.0; ¹⁹F NMR (470 MHz, acetone- d_6) δ -134.4; HRMS (ESF): m/z calculated for C₉H₅O₂F₂ (M-H) 183.0252, found 183.0240.

3-(4-((5-(Cyclohex-2-en-1-ylthio)-4-(pyridin-3-yl)-4H-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)methoxy)-3,5-

difluorophenyl)prop-2-yn-1-ol. To the crude mixture of (5-(cyclohex-2-en-1-ylthio)-4-(pyridin-3-yl)-4H-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)methyl methanesulfonate and 3-(3-(chloromethyl)-5-(cyclohex-2-en-1-ylthio)-4H-1,2,4-triazol-4-yl)pyridine (0.045 g, 0.12 mmol) in DMF (2.1 mL) was added 2,6difluoro-4-(3-hydroxyprop-1-yn-1-yl)phenol (0.025 g, 0.14 mmol) and Cs₂CO₃ (0.040 g, 0.12 mmol) and the reaction stirred at room temperature 16 h. The reaction was diluted with EtOAc and organic layer washed with water. The aqueous was extracted with EtOAc (3x) and the combined organic layers dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, EtOAc/Hexanes: 0/100 to 100/0). The triazole was obtained as a white solid (0.041 g, 75%) and carried on without further characterization: ¹H NMR (500 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 8.80 (dd, J = 4.8, 1.6 Hz, 1 H), 8.71 (d, J = 2.6 Hz, 1 H), 7.84 (ddd, J = 8.1, 2.6, 1.5Hz, 1 H), 7.52 (ddd, J = 8.1, 4.9, 0.8 Hz, 1 H), 6.96-6.90 (m, 2 H), 5.88 (ddt, J = 9.3, 3.9, 1.9 Hz, 1 H), 5.75 (ddd, J = 10.0, 4.4, 2.2 Hz, 1 H), 5.14-5.09 (m, 3 H), 4.56 (bs, 1 H), 4.46 (d, J = 6.0Hz, 2 H), 2.12-1.95 (m, 4 H), 1.82 (t, J = 6.2 Hz, 1 H), 1.78-1.63 (m, 2 H); ¹⁹F NMR (470 MHz, CDCl₃) δ -127.1; HRMS (ESI⁺): m/z calculated for C₂₃H₂₁O₂N₄F₂S (M+H) 455.1348, found 455.1346.

3-(4-((5-(Cyclohex-2-en-1-ylthio)-4-(pyridin-3-yl)-4H-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)methoxy)-3,5difluorophenyl)prop-2-yn-1-yl (1-methylpiperidin-4-yl)carbamate (3-131). To a solution of 3-(4-((5-(cyclohex-2-en-1-ylthio)-4-(pyridin-3-yl)-4H-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)methoxy)-3,5-

difluorophenyl)prop-2-yn-1-ol (0.042 g, 0.092 mmol) in dry CH₂Cl₂ (1.4 mL) was added CDI (0.022 g, 0.14 mmol) and the reaction stirred at room temperature under argon for 3 h. 4-Amino-1-methylpiperidine (0.035 mL, 0.28 mmol) was added and the reaction stirred for 24 h at room temperature. LCMS showed remaining starting material so additional 4-amino-1methylpiperidine (0.035 mL, 0.28 mmol) was added and the reaction stirred for an additional 24 h. The reaction was then diluted with CH₂Cl₂ and washed with brine. The combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The product was purified by chromatography on SiO₂ (ISCO, MeOH/ CH₂Cl₂: 0/100 to 15/85) then filtered through a plug of basic Al₂O₃ (MeOH/ CH₂Cl₂: 0/100 to 10/90). Product 3-131 was obtained as a tan foam (0. 0.044 g, 80%): IR (neat) 3258, 3034, 2939, 2791, 1714, 1509, 1485, 1447, 1428, 1351, 1271, 1234, 1212, 1045, 1035, 1000, 977, 858, 732, 707 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.77 (dd, J = 4.9, 1.5 Hz, 1 H), 8.68 (d, J = 2.5 Hz, 1 H), 7.82 (ddd, J = 8.2, 2.5, 1.5 Hz, 1 H), 7.50 (dd, J = 1.5 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H}), 7.50 (dd, J = 1.5 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H}), 7.50 (dd, J = 1.5 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H}), 7.50 (dd, J = 1.5 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H}), 7.50 (dd, J = 1.5 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H}), 7.50 (dd, J = 1.5 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H}), 7.50 (dd, J = 1.5 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H}), 7.50 (dd, J = 1.5 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H}), 7.50 (dd, J = 1.5 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H}), 7.50 (dd, J = 1.5 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H}), 7.50 (dd, J = 1.5 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H}), 7.50 (dd, J = 1.5 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H}), 7.50 (dd, J = 1.5 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H}), 7.50 (dd, J = 1.5 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H}), 7. 8.1, 4.8 Hz, 1 H), 6.96-6.88 (m, 2 H), 5.86 (dtd, J = 9.3, 3.7, 1.4 Hz, 1 H), 5.75-5.69 (m, 1 H), 5.10 (s, 2 H), 4.82 (s, 2 H), 4.56-4.50 (m, 1 H), 3.55-3.45 (m, 1 H), 2.73 (d, J = 11.6 Hz, 2 H), 2.24 (s, 3 H), 2.13-1.89 (m, 9 H), 1.72 (dddd, J = 13.7, 10.5, 7.0, 3.1 Hz, 1 H), 1.65 (dtd, J = 13.7, 10.5, 7.0, 3.1 13.8, 5.7, 3.4 Hz, 1 H), 1.47 (qd, J = 11.0, 3.8 Hz, 2 H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 155.4 $(dd, J_{CF} = 249.4, 6.2 \text{ Hz}), 154.7, 153.7, 151.2, 150.9, 148.0, 135.1, 134.4 (t, J_{CF} = 14.6 \text{ Hz}),$ 132.4, 129.9, 125.4, 124.2, 118.6 (t, $J_{CF} = 11.3$ Hz), 116.3-115.8 (m, CF), 85.4, 83.6-83.2 (m, CF), 64.6, 54.3, 52.7, 47.9, 46.1, 44.2, 32.4, 29.2, 24.8, 19.1; ¹⁹F NMR (470 MHz, CDCl₃) δ -127.1; HRMS (ESI⁺): *m/z* calculated for C₃₀H₃₃O₃N₆F₂S (M+H) 595.2297, found 595.2296; ELS purity (100%).

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. Bray, F.; Ferlay, J.; Soerjomataram, I.; Siegel, R. L.; Torre, L. A.; Jemal, A., Global Cancer Statistics 2018: GLOBOCAN Estimates of Incidence and Mortality Worldwide for 36 Cancers in 185 Countries. *CA-Cancer J. Clin.* **2018**, *68* (6), 394-424.

 Ferlay, J.; Ervik, M.; Lam, F.; Colombet, M.; Mery, L.; Piñeros, M.; Znaor,
 A.; Soerjomataram, I.; Bray, F. Global Cancer Observatory: Cancer Tomorrow. https://gco.iarc.fr/tomorrow (accessed February 14, 2019).

3. Adams, J., The Development of Proteasome Inhibitors as Anticancer Drugs. *Cancer cell* **2004**, *5* (5), 417-421.

4. Chapman, E.; Maksim, N.; de la Cruz, F.; La Clair, J., Inhibitors of the AAA+ Chaperone p97. *Molecules* **2015**, *20* (2), 3027-3049.

Moreau, P.; Masszi, T.; Grzasko, N.; Bahlis, N. J.; Hansson, M.; Pour, L.;
 Sandhu, I.; Ganly, P.; Baker, B. W.; Jackson, S. R.; Stoppa, A.-M.; Simpson, D. R.; Gimsing,
 P.; Palumbo, A.; Garderet, L.; Cavo, M.; Kumar, S.; Touzeau, C.; Buadi, F. K.; Laubach, J.
 P.; Berg, D. T.; Lin, J.; Di Bacco, A.; Hui, A.-M.; van de Velde, H.; Richardson, P. G., Oral
 Ixazomib, Lenalidomide, and Dexamethasone for Multiple Myeloma. *New Engl. J. Med.* 2016, *374* (17), 1621-1634.

6. Yamamoto, S.; Tomita, Y.; Nakamori, S.; Hoshida, Y.; Nagano, H.; Dono, K.; Umeshita, K.; Sakon, M.; Monden, M.; Aozasa, K., Elevated Expression of Valosin-Containing

Protein (p97) in Hepatocellular Carcinoma is Correlated with Increased Incidence of Tumor Recurrence. *J. Clin. Oncol.* **2003**, *21* (3), 447-452.

Yamamoto, S.; Tomita, Y.; Hoshida, Y.; Iizuka, N.; Monden, M.; Yamamoto,
 S.; Iuchi, K.; Aozasa, K., Expression Level of Valosin-Containing Protein (p97) is Correlated with Progression and Prognosis of Non-Small-Cell Lung Carcinoma. *Ann. Surg. Oncol.* 2004, *11* (7), 697-704.

Yamamoto, S.; Tomita, Y.; Hoshida, Y.; Sakon, M.; Kameyama, M.; Imaoka,
 S.; Sekimoto, M.; Nakamori, S.; Monden, M.; Aozasa, K., Expression of Valosin-Containing
 Protein in Colorectal Carcinomas as a Predictor for Disease Recurrence and Prognosis. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 2004, *10* (2), 651-657.

9. Yamamoto, S.; Tomita, Y.; Hoshida, Y.; Nagano, H.; Dono, K.; Umeshita, K.; Sakon, M.; Ishikawa, O.; Ohigashi, H.; Nakamori, S.; Monden, M.; Aozasa, K., Increased Expression of Valosin-Containing Protein (p97) is Associated with Lymph Node Metastasis and Prognosis of Pancreatic Ductal Adenocarcinoma. *Ann. Surg. Oncol.* **2004**, *11* (2), 165-172.

Yamamoto, S.; Tomita, Y.; Hoshida, Y.; Toyosawa, S.; Inohara, H.; Kishino,
 M.; Kogo, M.; Nakazawa, M.; Murakami, S.; Iizuka, N.; Kidogami, S.; Monden, M.; Kubo,
 T.; Ijuhin, N.; Aozasa, K., Expression Level of Valosin-Containing Protein (VCP) as a
 Prognostic Marker for Gingival Squamous Cell Carcinoma. *Ann. Oncol.* 2004, *15* (9), 1432-1438.

11. Yamamoto, S.; Tomita, Y.; Hoshida, Y.; Takiguchi, S.; Fujiwara, Y.; Yasuda, T.; Yano, M.; Nakamori, S.; Sakon, M.; Monden, M.; Aozasa, K., Expression Level of Valosin-Containing Protein is Strongly Associated with Progression and Prognosis of Gastric Carcinoma. *J. Clin. Oncol.* **2003**, *21* (13), 2537-2544.

12. Yamamoto, S.; Tomita, Y.; Hoshida, Y.; Iizuka, N.; Kidogami, S.; Miyata, H.; Takiguchi, S.; Fujiwara, Y.; Yasuda, T.; Yano, M.; Nakamori, S.; Sakon, M.; Monden, M.; Aozasa, K., Expression Level of Valosin-Containing Protein (p97) is Associated with Prognosis of Esophageal Carcinoma. *Clin. Cancer Res.* **2004**, *10* (16), 5558-65.

13. Yamamoto, S.; Tomita, Y.; Nakamori, S.; Hoshida, Y.; Iizuka, N.; Okami, J.; Nagano, H.; Dono, K.; Umeshita, K.; Sakon, M.; Ishikawa, O.; Ohigashi, H.; Aozasa, K.; Monden, M., Valosin-Containing Protein (p97) and Ki-67 Expression is a Useful Marker in Detecting Malignant Behavior of Pancreatic Endocrine Neoplasms. *Oncology* **2004**, *66* (6), 468-475.

Yamamoto, S.; Tomita, Y.; Uruno, T.; Hoshida, Y.; Qiu, Y.; Iizuka, N.;
Nakamichi, I.; Miyauchi, A.; Aozasa, K., Increased Expression of Valosin-Containing Protein
(p97) is Correlated with Disease Recurrence in Follicular Thyroid Cancer. *Ann. Surg. Oncol.*2005, *12* (11), 925-934.

15. Tsujimoto, Y.; Tomita, Y.; Hoshida, Y.; Kono, T.; Oka, T.; Yamamoto, S.; Nonomura, N.; Okuyama, A.; Aozasa, K., Elevated Expression of Valosin-Containing Protein (p97) is Associated with Poor Prognosis of Prostate Cancer. *Clin. Cancer Res.* **2004**, *10* (9), 3007-12.

I6. Johansson, H.; Jorgensen, T. B.; Gloriam, D. E.; Brauner-Osborne, H.;
Pedersen, D. S., 3-Substituted 2-phenyl-indoles: privileged structures for medicinal chemistry. *RSC Advances* 2013, *3* (3), 945-960.

Yamanaka, K.; Sasagawa, Y.; Ogura, T., Recent Advances in p97/VCP/Cdc48
 Cellular Functions. *BBA-Mol. Cell Res.* 2012, *1823* (1), 130-137.

 Meyer, H.; Bug, M.; Bremer, S., Emerging Functions of the VCP/p97 AAA-ATPase in the Ubiquitin System. *Nature cell biology* 2012, *14* (2), 117-23.

19. Wright, C. M.; Chovatiya, R. J.; Jameson, N. E.; Turner, D. M.; Zhu, G.; Werner, S.; Huryn, D. M.; Pipas, J. M.; Day, B. W.; Wipf, P.; Brodsky, J. L., Pyrimidinone-Peptoid Hybrid Molecules with Distinct Effects on Molecular Chaperone Function and Cell Proliferation. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* **2008**, *16* (6), 3291-301.

20. Ballar, P.; Pabuccuoglu, A.; Kose, F. A., Different p97/VCP Complexes Function in Retrotranslocation Step of Mammalian ER-Associated Degradation (ERAD). *Int. J. Biochem. Cell Biol.* **2011**, *43* (4), 613-621.

21. Kothe, M.; Ye, Y.; Wagner, J. S.; De Luca, H. E.; Kern, E.; Rapoport, T. A.; Lencer, W. I., Role of p97 AAA-ATPase in the Retrotranslocation of the Cholera Toxin A1 Chain, a Non-Ubiquitinated Substrate. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2005**, *280* (30), 28127-28132.

22. Lan, B.; Chai, S.; Wang, P.; Wang, K., VCP/p97/Cdc48, A Linking of Protein Homeostasis and Cancer Therapy. *Curr. Mol. Med.* **2017**, *17* (9), 608-618.

23. Tresse, E.; Salomons, F. A.; Vesa, J.; Bott, L. C.; Kimonis, V.; Yao, T.-P.; Dantuma, N. P.; Taylor, J. P., VCP/p97 is Essential for Maturation of Ubiquitin-Containing Autophagosomes and this Function is Impaired by Mutations that Cause IBMPFD. *Autophagy* **2010**, *6* (2), 217-227.

24. Chou, T.-F.; Brown, S. J.; Minond, D.; Nordin, B. E.; Li, K.; Jones, A. C.; Chase, P.; Porubsky, P. R.; Stoltz, B. M.; Schoenen, F. J.; Patricelli, M. P.; Hodder, P.; Rosen, H.; Deshaies, R. J., Reversible Inhibitor of p97, DBeQ, Impairs Both Ubiquitin-Dependent and Autophagic Protein Clearance Pathways. *P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2011**, *108* (12), 4834-4839.

Anderson, Daniel J.; Le Moigne, R.; Djakovic, S.; Kumar, B.; Rice, J.; Wong,
S.; Wang, J.; Yao, B.; Valle, E.; Kiss von Soly, S.; Madriaga, A.; Soriano, F.; Menon, M.K.; Wu, Zhi Y.; Kampmann, M.; Chen, Y.; Weissman, Jonathan S.; Aftab, Blake T.; Yakes,
F. M.; Shawver, L.; Zhou, H.-J.; Wustrow, D.; Rolfe, M., Targeting the AAA ATPase p97 as
an Approach to Treat Cancer through Disruption of Protein Homeostasis. *Cancer cell* 2015, 28
(5), 653-665.

26. Ye, Y.; Tang, W. K.; Zhang, T.; Xia, D., A Mighty "Protein Extractor" of the Cell: Structure and Function of the p97/CDC48 ATPase. *Front. Mol. Biosci.* **2017**, *4* (39).

27. Vaz, B.; Halder, S.; Ramadan, K., Role of p97/VCP (Cdc48) in Genome Stability. *Front. Genet.* **2013**, *4*.

28. Raman, M.; Havens, Courtney G.; Walter, Johannes C.; Harper, J. W., A Genome-Wide Screen Identifies p97 as an Essential Regulator of DNA Damage-Dependent CDT1 Destruction. *Mol. Cell* **2011**, *44* (1), 72-84.

29. Ramadan, K.; Bruderer, R.; Spiga, F. M.; Popp, O.; Baur, T.; Gotta, M.; Meyer, H. H., Cdc48/p97 Promotes Reformation of the Nucleus by Extracting the Kinase Aurora B from Chromatin. *Nature* **2007**, *450*, 1258.

30. Dobrynin, G.; Popp, O.; Romer, T.; Bremer, S.; Schmitz, M. H. A.; Gerlich, D.
W.; Meyer, H., Cdc48/p97–Ufd1–Npl4 Antagonizes Aurora B During Chromosome Segregation
in HeLa Cells. J. Cell Sci. 2011, 124 (9), 1571-1580.

Nalbandian, A.; Donkervoort, S.; Dec, E.; Badadani, M.; Katheria, V.; Rana,
P.; Nguyen, C.; Mukherjee, J.; Caiozzo, V.; Martin, B.; Watts, G. D.; Vesa, J.; Smith, C.;
Kimonis, V. E., The Multiple Faces of Valosin-Containing Protein-Associated Diseases:

Inclusion Body Myopathy with Paget's Disease of Bone, Frontotemporal Dementia, and Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis. *J. Mol. Biol.* **2011**, *45* (3), 522.

32. Ritson, G. P.; Custer, S. K.; Freibaum, B. D.; Guinto, J. B.; Geffel, D.; Moore, J.; Tang, W.; Winton, M. J.; Neumann, M.; Trojanowski, J. Q.; Lee, V. M. Y.; Forman, M. S.; Taylor, J. P., TDP-43 Mediates Degeneration in a Novel Drosophila Model of Disease Caused by Mutations in VCP/p97. *J. Neurosci.* **2010**, *30* (22), 7729-7739.

33. Valle, C. W.; Min, T.; Bodas, M.; Mazur, S.; Begum, S.; Tang, D.; Vij, N., Critical Role of VCP/p97 in the Pathogenesis and Progression of Non-Small Cell Lung Carcinoma. *PLoS ONE* **2011**, *6* (12), 1-12.

34. Deshaies, R. J., Proteotoxic Crisis, the Ubiquitin-Proteasome System, and Cancer Therapy. *BMC Biol.* **2014**, *12* (1), 1-14.

35. Parzych, K.; Saavedra-García, P.; Valbuena, G. N.; Al-Sadah, H. A.; Robinson, M. E.; Penfold, L.; Kuzeva, D. M.; Ruiz-Tellez, A.; Loaiza, S.; Holzmann, V.; Caputo, V.; Johnson, D. C.; Kaiser, M. F.; Karadimitris, A.; Lam, E. W. F.; Chevet, E.; Feldhahn, N.; Keun, H. C.; Auner, H. W., The Coordinated Action of VCP/p97 and GCN2 Regulates Cancer Cell Metabolism and Proteostasis During Nutrient Limitation. *Oncogene* **2019**.

36. Tang, W. K.; Odzorig, T.; Jin, W.; Xia, D., Structural Basis of p97 Inhibition by the Site-Selective Anticancer Compound CB-5083. *Mol. Pharmacol.* **2019**, *95* (3), 286-293.

37. Banerjee, S.; Bartesaghi, A.; Merk, A.; Rao, P.; Bulfer, S. L.; Yan, Y.; Green, N.; Mroczkowski, B.; Neitz, R. J.; Wipf, P.; Falconieri, V.; Deshaies, R. J.; Milne, J. L. S.; Huryn, D.; Arkin, M.; Subramaniam, S., 2.3 Å Resolution Cryo-EM Structure of Human p97 and Mechanism of Allosteric Inhibition. *Science* **2016**, *351* (6275), 871-875.

38. Xia, D.; Tang, W. K.; Ye, Y., Structure and Function of the AAA + ATPase p97/Cdc48p. *Gene* **2016**, *583* (1), 64-77.

39. Hänzelmann, P.; Schindelin, H., Structural Basis of ATP Hydrolysis and Intersubunit Signaling in the AAA+ ATPase p97. *Structure* **2016**, *24* (1), 127-139.

Schuller, J. M.; Beck, F.; Lössl, P.; Heck, A. J. R.; Förster, F., Nucleotide-Dependent Conformational Changes of the AAA+ ATPase p97 Revisited. *FEBS Lett.* 2016, *590* (5), 595-604.

41. Bodnar, N. O.; Rapoport, T. A., Molecular Mechanism of Substrate Processing by the Cdc48 ATPase Complex. *Cell* **2017**, *169* (4), 722-735.e9.

42. Hough, R.; Pratt, G.; Rechsteiner, M., Purification of Two High Molecular Weight Proteases from Rabbit Reticulocyte Lysate. *J. Biol. Chem.* **1987**, *262* (17), 8303-13.

43. Waxman, L.; Fagan, J. M.; Goldberg, A. L., Demonstration of Two Distinct High Molecular Weight Proteases in Rabbit Reticulocytes, One of which Degrades Ubiquitin Conjugates. *J. Biol. Chem.* **1987**, *262* (6), 2451-2457.

44. Adams, J., The Proteasome: a Suitable Antineoplastic Target. *Nat. Rev. Cancer*2004, 4, 349.

45. Voorhees, P. M.; Dees, E. C.; O'Neil, B.; Orlowski, R. Z., The Proteasome as a Target for Cancer Therapy. *Clin. Cancer Res.* **2003**, *9* (17), 6316-6325.

46. Goldberg, A. L., Development of Proteasome Inhibitors as Research Tools and Cancer Drugs. *J. Cell Biol.* **2012**, *199* (4), 583-588.

47. Teicher, B. A.; Tomaszewski, J. E., Proteasome inhibitors. *Biochem. Pharmacol.* **2015**, *96* (1), 1-9.

48. Deshaies, R. J., Proteotoxic crisis, the ubiquitin-proteasome system, and cancer therapy. *BMC biology* **2014**, *12*, 94.

49. Dougall, I. G.; Unitt, J., Evaluation of the Biological Activity of Compounds: Techniques and Mechanism of Action Studies. In *The Practice of Medicinal Chemistry (Fourth Edition)*, Wermuth, C. G.; Aldous, D.; Raboisson, P.; Rognan, D., Eds. Academic Press: San Diego, 2015; pp 15-43.

50. Chou, T.-F.; Bulfer, S. L.; Weihl, C. C.; Li, K.; Lis, L. G.; Walters, M. A.; Schoenen, F. J.; Lin, H. J.; Deshaies, R. J.; Arkin, M. R., Specific Inhibition of p97/VCP ATPase and Kinetic Analysis Demonstrate Interaction between D1 and D2 ATPase Domains. *J. Mol. Biol.* **2014**, *426* (15), 2886-2899.

51. Chou, T.-F.; Li, K.; Frankowski, K. J.; Schoenen, F. J.; Deshaies, R. J., Structure–Activity Relationship Study Reveals ML240 and ML241 as Potent and Selective Inhibitors of p97 ATPase. *ChemMedChem* **2013**, *8* (2), 297-312.

52. Zhou, H.-J.; Wang, J.; Yao, B.; Wong, S.; Djakovic, S.; Kumar, B.; Rice, J.; Valle, E.; Soriano, F.; Menon, M.-K.; Madriaga, A.; Kiss von Soly, S.; Kumar, A.; Parlati, F.; Yakes, F. M.; Shawver, L.; Le Moigne, R.; Anderson, D. J.; Rolfe, M.; Wustrow, D., Discovery of a First-in-Class, Potent, Selective, and Orally Bioavailable Inhibitor of the p97 AAA ATPase (CB-5083). *J. Med. Chem.* **2015**, *58* (24), 9480-9497.

Alverez, C.; Arkin, M. R.; Bulfer, S. L.; Colombo, R.; Kovaliov, M.; LaPorte, M. G.; Lim, C.; Liang, M.; Moore, W. J.; Neitz, R. J.; Yan, Y.; Yue, Z.; Huryn, D. M.; Wipf, P., Structure–Activity Study of Bioisosteric Trifluoromethyl and Pentafluorosulfanyl Indole Inhibitors of the AAA ATPase p97. *ACS Med. Chem. Lett.* 2015, *6* (12), 1225-1230.

54. Alverez, C.; Bulfer, S. L.; Chakrasali, R.; Chimenti, M. S.; Deshaies, R. J.; Green, N.; Kelly, M.; LaPorte, M. G.; Lewis, T. S.; Liang, M.; Moore, W. J.; Neitz, R. J.; Peshkov, V. A.; Walters, M. A.; Zhang, F.; Arkin, M. R.; Wipf, P.; Huryn, D. M., Allosteric Indole Amide Inhibitors of p97: Identification of a Novel Probe of the Ubiquitin Pathway. *ACS Med. Chem. Lett.* **2016**, *7* (2), 182-187.

55. Burnett, J. C.; Lim, C.; Peyser, B. D.; Samankumara, L. P.; Kovaliov, M.; Colombo, R.; Bulfer, S. L.; LaPorte, M. G.; Hermone, A. R.; McGrath, C. F.; Arkin, M. R.; Gussio, R.; Huryn, D. M.; Wipf, P., A Threonine Turnstile Defines a Dynamic Amphiphilic Binding Motif in the AAA ATPase p97 Allosteric Binding Site. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2017**, *15* (19), 4096-4114.

LaPorte, M. G.; Burnett, J. C.; Colombo, R.; Bulfer, S. L.; Alverez, C.; Chou, T.-F.; Neitz, R. J.; Green, N.; Moore, W. J.; Yue, Z.; Li, S.; Arkin, M. R.; Wipf, P.; Huryn, D. M., Optimization of Phenyl Indole Inhibitors of the AAA+ ATPase p97. ACS Med. Chem. Lett. 2018, 9 (11), 1075-1081.

57. Huryn, D. M.; Wipf, P.; Laporte, M. G. C., Raffaele ; Kovaliov, M.; Lim, C.; Alverez, C. N.; Yue, Z.; Samankumara, L. P.; Chatterley, A. J.; Yan, Y.; Liang, M.; Green, N. J.; Baldwin, E. T.; Moore, W. J.; Arkin, M.; Neilz, J. R.; Ang, K.-h.; Bryant, C.; Bulfer, S. Phenyl Indole Allosteric Inhibitors of p97 ATPase. WO2017070320 A1, April 27, 2017, 2017.

58. Huryn, D. M.; Wipf, P.; LaPorte, M. G. Modulators of p97 AAA ATPase Activity. WO/2018/209083, November 15, 2018, 2018.

59. Dantuma, N. P.; Lindsten, K.; Glas, R.; Jellne, M.; Masucci, M. G., Short-Lived Green Fluorescent Proteins for Quantifying Ubiquitin/Proteasome-Dependent Proteolysis in Living Cells. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **2000**, *18* (5), 538-43.

60. Polucci, P.; Magnaghi, P.; Angiolini, M.; Asa, D.; Avanzi, N.; Badari, A.; Bertrand, J.; Casale, E.; Cauteruccio, S.; Cirla, A.; Cozzi, L.; Galvani, A.; Jackson, P. K.; Liu, Y.; Magnuson, S.; Malgesini, B.; Nuvoloni, S.; Orrenius, C.; Sirtori, F. R.; Riceputi, L.; Rizzi, S.; Trucchi, B.; O'Brien, T.; Isacchi, A.; Donati, D.; D'Alessio, R., Alkylsulfanyl-1,2,4triazoles, a New Class of Allosteric Valosine Containing Protein Inhibitors. Synthesis and Structure–Activity Relationships. *J. Med. Chem.* **2013**, *56* (2), 437-450.

61. Pöhler, R.; Krahn, J. H.; van den Boom, J.; Dobrynin, G.; Kaschani, F.; Eggenweiler, H.-M.; Zenke, F. T.; Kaiser, M.; Meyer, H., A Non-Competitive Inhibitor of VCP/p97 and VPS4 Reveals Conserved Allosteric Circuits in Type I and II AAA ATPases. *Angew. Chem. Int. Edit.* **2018**, *57* (6), 1576-1580.

Magnaghi, P.; D'Alessio, R.; Valsasina, B.; Avanzi, N.; Rizzi, S.; Asa, D.;
Gasparri, F.; Cozzi, L.; Cucchi, U.; Orrenius, C.; Polucci, P.; Ballinari, D.; Perrera, C.;
Leone, A.; Cervi, G.; Casale, E.; Xiao, Y.; Wong, C.; Anderson, D. J.; Galvani, A.; Donati,
D.; O'Brien, T.; Jackson, P. K.; Isacchi, A., Covalent and Allosteric Inhibitors of the ATPase
VCP/p97 Induce Cancer Cell Death. *Nat. Chem. Biol.* 2013, *9* (9), 548-56.

63. Huryn, D. M.; Wipf, P.; Laporte, M. G. Modulators of p97 AAA ATPase Activity. WO2017197080 A1, 2017/11/16, 2017.

64. *Instant JChem*, 1.30.0; ChemAxon: <u>http://www.chemaxon.com</u>, 2017.

65. Farber, T. M., Enzymatic S-De-Ethylation and the Mechanism of S-Dealkylation.*P. Soc. Exp. Biol. Med.* **1975**, *149* (1), 13-18.

66. Usmani, K. A.; Karoly, E. D.; Hodgson, E.; Rose, R. L., In Vitro Sulfoxidation of Thioether Compounds by Human Cytochrome P450 and Flavin-Containing Monooxygenase

Isoforms with Particular Reference to the CYP2C Subfamily. *Drug Metab. Dispos.* **2004**, *32* (3), 333-339.

67. Ortiz de Montellano, P. R.; De Voss, J. J., Substrate Oxidation by Cytochrome P450 Enzymes. In *Cytochrome P450: Structure, Mechanism, and Biochemistry*, Ortiz de Montellano, P. R., Ed. Springer US: Boston, MA, 2005; pp 183-245.

68. Rydberg, P.; Ryde, U.; Olsen, L., Sulfoxide, Sulfur, and Nitrogen Oxidation and Dealkylation by Cytochrome P450. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* **2008**, *4* (8), 1369-1377.

69. Shah, P.; Westwell, A. D., The Role of Fluorine in Medicinal Chemistry. J. *Enzym. Inhib. Med. Chem.* **2007**, *22* (5), 527-540.

70. Hagmann, W. K., The Many Roles for Fluorine in Medicinal Chemistry. J. Med. Chem. 2008, 51 (15), 4359-4369.

71. Guengerich, F. P., Mechanisms of Cytochrome P450 Substrate Oxidation: MiniReview. J. Biochem. Mol. Toxic. 2007, 21 (4), 163-168.

72. Eswaramoorthy, S.; Bonanno, J. B.; Burley, S. K.; Swaminathan, S., Mechanism of Action of a Flavin-Containing Monooxygenase. *P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2006, *103* (26), 9832-9837.

73. Wiberg, K. B., The Deuterium Isotope Effect. *Chem. Rev.* **1955**, *55* (4), 713-743.

74. Walker, M. A., Improvement in Aqueous Solubility Achieved Via Small Molecular Changes. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **2017**, *27* (23), 5100-5108.

75. Raska, I.; Toropov, A., Comparison of QSPR Models of Octanol/Water Partition Coefficient for Vitamins and Non Vitamins. *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* **2006**, *41* (11), 1271-1278.

76. Koleva, Y. K.; Cronin, M. T. D.; Madden, J. C.; Schwöbel, J. A. H., Modelling Acute Oral Mammalian Toxicity. 1. Definition of a Quantifiable Baseline Effect. *Toxicol. In Vitro* **2011**, *25* (7), 1281-1293.

Segura-Cabrera, A.; Tripathi, R.; Zhang, X.; Gui, L.; Chou, T.-F.; Komurov,
K., A Structure- and Chemical Genomics-Based Approach for Repositioning of Drugs Against
VCP/p97 ATPase. *Sci. Rep.* 2017, *7*, 44912.

78. Wan, P.; Yates, K., Photoredox Chemistry of Nitrobenzyl Alcohols in Aqueous Solution. Acid and Base Catalysis of Reaction. *Can. J. Chem.* **1986**, *64* (10), 2076-2086.

79. Currie, M. G.; Senanayake, C. H.; Jerussi, T. P.; Rubin, P. Composition Containing a Nefazonoid Such as Nefazodone and a Serotonin-Inhibitor Such as Fluoxetine. WO02069951 (A3), 2003-03-06, 2003.

80. Shigeta, M.; Watanabe, J.; Konishi, G.-i., Phenoxide-Mediated Sonogashira Coupling of Trimethylsilylalkynes and Aryliodides: Practical Synthesis of Phenolic-Hydroxy-Substituted Diarylethynes and 1,4-Diarylbutadiynes. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2013**, *54* (13), 1761-1764.

81. Chou, T.-F.; Deshaies, R. J., Quantitative Cell-Based Protein Degradation Assays
to Identify and Classify Drugs That Target the Ubiquitin-Proteasome System. *J. Biol. Chem.*2011, 286 (19), 16546-16554.

82. Makarov, I. S.; Brocklehurst, C. E.; Karaghiosoff, K.; Koch, G.; Knochel, P., Synthesis of Bicyclo[1.1.1]pentane Bioisosteres of Internal Alkynes and para-Disubstituted Benzenes from [1.1.1]Propellane. *Angew. Chem. Int. Edit.* **2017**, *56* (41), 12774-12777.

83. Testa, B.; Clement, B., Biotransformation Reactions and their Enzymes. In *The Practice of Medicinal Chemistry (Fourth Edition)*, Wermuth, C. G.; Aldous, D.; Raboisson, P.; Rognan, D., Eds. Academic Press: San Diego, 2015; pp 561-584.

84. Macherey, A.-C.; Dansette, P. M., Biotransformations Leading to Toxic Metabolites: Chemical Aspects. In *The Practice of Medicinal Chemistry (Fourth Edition)*, Wermuth, C. G.; Aldous, D.; Raboisson, P.; Rognan, D., Eds. Academic Press: San Diego, 2015; pp 585-614.

85. Giam, C. S.; Kikukawa, K.; Trujillo, D. A., A Convenient Preparation of Alkyland Arylsulfonylpyridines. *Org. Prep. Proced. Int.* **1981**, *13* (2), 137-140.

86. Champagne, P. A.; Desroches, J.; Paquin, J.-F., Organic Fluorine as a Hydrogen-Bond Acceptor: Recent Examples and Applications. *Synthesis* **2015**, *47* (03), 306-322.

87. Kerns, E. H.; Di, L., *Drug-like Properties: Concepts, Structure Design and Methods: from ADME to Toxicity Optimization.* 1 ed.; Academic Press: New York, 2008; p 549.

Smith, R. V.; Rosazza, J. P., Microbial Models of Mammalian Metabolism. J.
 Nat. Prod. 1983, 46 (1), 79-91.

89. Hansch, C.; Leo, A.; Taft, R. W., A Survey of Hammett Substituent Constants and Resonance and Field Parameters. *Chem. Rev.* **1991**, *91* (2), 165-195.

90. Talele, T. T., Natural-Products-Inspired Use of the gem-Dimethyl Group in Medicinal Chemistry. *J. Med. Chem.* **2018**, *61* (6), 2166-2210.

91. Foster, A. B., Deuterium Isotope Effects in Studies of Drug Metabolism. *Trends Pharmacol. Sci.* **1984**, *5*, 524-527.

92. Sharma, R.; Strelevitz, T. J.; Gao, H.; Clark, A. J.; Schildknegt, K.; Obach, R.S.; Ripp, S. L.; Spracklin, D. K.; Tremaine, L. M.; Vaz, A. D. N., Deuterium Isotope Effects on

Drug Pharmacokinetics. I. System-Dependent Effects of Specific Deuteration with Aldehyde Oxidase Cleared Drugs. *Drug Metab. Dispos.* **2012**, *40* (3), 625-634.

93. Sun, H.; Piotrowski, D. W.; Orr, S. T. M.; Warmus, J. S.; Wolford, A. C.; Coffey, S. B.; Futatsugi, K.; Zhang, Y.; Vaz, A. D. N., Deuterium isotope effects in drug pharmacokinetics II: Substrate-dependence of the reaction mechanism influences outcome for cytochrome P450 cleared drugs. *PLOS ONE* **2018**, *13* (11), e0206279.

94. Reddy, V. P., General Aspects of Organofluorine Compounds. In *Organofluorine Compounds in Biology and Medicine*, Reddy, V. P., Ed. Elsevier: Amsterdam, 2015; pp 1-27.

Shao, Y.; Molnar, L. F.; Jung, Y.; Kussmann, J.; Ochsenfeld, C.; Brown, S. T.;
Gilbert, A. T. B.; Slipchenko, L. V.; Levchenko, S. V.; O'Neill, D. P.; DiStasio Jr, R. A.;
Lochan, R. C.; Wang, T.; Beran, G. J. O.; Besley, N. A.; Herbert, J. M.; Yeh Lin, C.; Van
Voorhis, T.; Hung Chien, S.; Sodt, A.; Steele, R. P.; Rassolov, V. A.; Maslen, P. E.;
Korambath, P. P.; Adamson, R. D.; Austin, B.; Baker, J.; Byrd, E. F. C.; Dachsel, H.;
Doerksen, R. J.; Dreuw, A.; Dunietz, B. D.; Dutoi, A. D.; Furlani, T. R.; Gwaltney, S. R.;
Heyden, A.; Hirata, S.; Hsu, C.-P.; Kedziora, G.; Khalliulin, R. Z.; Klunzinger, P.; Lee, A.
M.; Lee, M. S.; Liang, W.; Lotan, I.; Nair, N.; Peters, B.; Proynov, E. I.; Pieniazek, P. A.;
Min Rhee, Y.; Ritchie, J.; Rosta, E.; David Sherrill, C.; Simmonett, A. C.; Subotnik, J. E.;
Lee Woodcock Iii, H.; Zhang, W.; Bell, A. T.; Chakraborty, A. K.; Chipman, D. M.; Keil, F.
J.; Warshel, A.; Hehre, W. J.; Schaefer Iii, H. F.; Kong, J.; Krylov, A. I.; Gill, P. M. W.;
Head-Gordon, M., Advances in Methods and Algorithms in a Modern Quantum Chemistry
Program Package. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 2006, 8 (27), 3172-3191.

96. *Spartan Student*, 7.2.6; Wavefunction, Inc: Irvine, CA, 2018.

97. Wategaonkar, S.; Bhattacherjee, A., N–H···S Interaction Continues to be an Enigma: Experimental and Computational Investigations of Hydrogen-Bonded Complexes of Benzimidazole with Thioethers. *J. Phys. Chem. A* **2018**, *122* (17), 4313-4321.

98. Biswal, H. S., Hydrogen Bonds Involving Sulfur: New Insights from ab Initio Calculations and Gas Phase Laser Spectroscopy. In *Noncovalent Forces. Challenges and Advances in Computational Chemistry and Physics*, Scheiner, S., Ed. Springer: Cham, 2015; Vol. 19, pp 15-45.

99. Boyd, R. J.; Szabo, J. P., Molecular orbital structures of sulfones. *Can. J. Chem.* **1982**, *60* (6), 730-734.

100. Arakawa, Y.; Sasaki, Y.; Tsuji, H., Supramolecular hydrogen-bonded liquid crystals based on 4-n-alkylthiobenzoic acids and 4,4'-bipyridine: Their mesomorphic behavior with comparative study including alkyl and alkoxy counterparts. *J. Mol. Liq.* **2019**, *280*, 153-159. 101. Hampson, G. C.; Farmer, R. H.; Sutton, L. E.; Sidgwick, N. V., The Determination of the Valency Angles of the Oxygen and Sulphur Atoms and the Methylene and Sulphoxy Groups, from Electric Diploe Moments. *P. Roy. Soc. A- Math. Phy.* **1933**, *143* (848), 147-168.

Batsanov, S. S., Van der Waals Radii of Elements. *Inorg. Mater.*+ 2001, *37* (9),
871-885.

Ilardi, E. A.; Vitaku, E.; Njardarson, J. T., Data-Mining for Sulfur and Fluorine:
An Evaluation of Pharmaceuticals To Reveal Opportunities for Drug Design and Discovery. *J. Med. Chem.* 2014, *57* (7), 2832-2842.

Brasted, R. C., Sulfur. In *Encyclopædia Britannica*, Encyclopædia Britannica,Inc.: 2019.

105. Feng, M.; Tang, B.; Liang, S. H.; Jiang, X., Sulfur Containing Scaffolds in Drugs: Synthesis and Application in Medicinal Chemistry. *Curr. Top. Med. Chem.* **2016**, *16* (11), 1200-1216.

106. Favini, G.; Buemi, G.; Raimondi, M., Molecular Conformation of Cyclenes. I. Cyclohexene, Cycloheptene, *cis-* and *trans-*Cyclooctene, *cis-* and *trans-*Cyclononene. *J. Mol. Struct.* **1968**, *2* (2), 137-148.

107. Kasahara, Y.; Suzuki, Y.; Kabasawa, A.; Minami, H.; Matsuzawa, H.; Iwahashi, M., CH/ π Interaction between Benzene and Hydrocarbons Having Six Carbon Atoms in Their Binary Liquid Mixtures. *J. Oleo Sci.* **2010**, *59* (1), 21-29.

108. Harbeson, S. L.; Tung, R. D., Deuterium in Drug Discovery and Development. In *Annual Reports in Medicinal Chemistry*, Macor, J. E., Ed. Academic Press: 2011; Vol. 46, pp 403-417.

109. Timmins, G. S., Deuterated drugs: where are we now? *Expert Opin. Ther. Pat.*2014, 24 (10), 1067-1075.

Gant, T. G., Using Deuterium in Drug Discovery: Leaving the Label in the Drug.*J. Med. Chem.* 2014, *57* (9), 3595-3611.

111. DeWitt, S. H.; Maryanoff, B. E., Deuterated Drug Molecules: Focus on FDA-Approved Deutetrabenazine. *Biochemistry* **2018**, *57* (5), 472-473.

112. Heo, Y.-A.; Scott, L. J., Deutetrabenazine: A Review in Chorea Associated with Huntington's Disease. *Drugs* **2017**, *77* (17), 1857-1864.

113. Wakioka, M.; Nakamura, Y.; Hihara, Y.; Ozawa, F.; Sakaki, S., Factors Controlling the Reactivity of Heteroarenes in Direct Arylation with Arylpalladium Acetate Complexes. *Organometallics* **2013**, *32* (15), 4423-4430.

Arienti, K. L.; Breitenbucher, J. G.; Buzard, D. J.; Edwards, J. P.; Hack, M. D.;
Khatuya, H.; Kindrachuk, D. E.; Lee, A.; Venable, J. D. Benzoimidazole compounds. March 31, 2005, 2005.

115. Kramer, S., J.; Fabre, L., F. Indolylalkyl derivatives of pyrimidinylpiperazine and metabolites thereof for treatment of anxiety, depression, and sexual dysfunction. November 12, 2009, 2009.

116. Nencini, A.; Castaldo, C.; Comery, T. A.; Dunlop, J.; Genesio, E.; Ghiron, C.;
Haydar, S.; Maccari, L.; Micco, I.; Turlizzi, E.; Zanaletti, R.; Zhang, J., Design and Synthesis of a Hybrid Series of Potent and Selective Agonists of α7 Nicotinic Acetylcholine Receptor. *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* 2014, *78*, 401-418.

117. Kolczewski, S.; Narquizan, R.; Pinard, E. Glyt1 receptor antagonists. February 25, 2010, 2010.

Ackermann, J. C.; Amrein, K. C.; Hunziker, D. C.; Kuhn, B. C.; Mayweg, A.,
V.; CH; Neidhart, W. F.; Takahashi, T. Imidazolone and imidazolidinone derivatives as 11bhsd1 inhibitors for diabetes. April 24, 2008, 2008.

119. Liu, Y.; Hei, Y.; Shu, Q.; Dong, J.; Gao, Y.; Fu, H.; Zheng, X.; Yang, G., VCP/p97, Down-Regulated by microRNA-129-5p, Could Regulate the Progression of Hepatocellular Carcinoma. *PLoS ONE* **2012**, *7* (4), e35800.

120. Yoshinaga, M.; Ishizaka, T.; Wakasugi, D.; Shirokawa, S.-i.; Hattori, N.; Kashiwa, S.; Kuwada, T.; Shimazaki, Y. Fused azole derivative. October 3, 2013, 2013.

121. Amat, M.; Gómez-Esqué, A.; Escolano, C.; Santos, M. M. M.; Molins, E.; Bosch, J., Enantioselective Formal Synthesis of (+)-Dihydrocorynantheine and (-)-Dihydrocorynantheol. *J. Org. Chem.* **2009**, *74* (3), 1205-1211.

122. Feng, J.-B.; Gong, J.-L.; Wu, X.-F., The First Zinc-Catalyzed Oxidation of Sulfides to Sulfones Using H₂O₂ as Green Oxidant. *RSC Adv.* **2014**, *4* (55), 29273-29275.

Sturino, C. F.; O'Neill, G.; Lachance, N.; Boyd, M.; Berthelette, C.; Labelle,
M.; Li, L.; Roy, B.; Scheigetz, J.; Tsou, N.; Aubin, Y.; Bateman, K. P.; Chauret, N.; Day, S.
H.; Lévesque, J.-F.; Seto, C.; Silva, J. H.; Trimble, L. A.; Carriere, M.-C.; Denis, D.; Greig,
G.; Kargman, S.; Lamontagne, S.; Mathieu, M.-C.; Sawyer, N.; Slipetz, D.; Abraham, W. M.;
Jones, T.; McAuliffe, M.; Piechuta, H.; Nicoll-Griffith, D. A.; Wang, Z.; Zamboni, R.;
Young, R. N.; Metters, K. M., Discovery of a Potent and Selective Prostaglandin D2 Receptor
Antagonist, [(3R)-4-(4-Chloro- benzyl)-7-fluoro-5-(methylsulfonyl)-1,2,3,4tetrahydrocyclopenta[b]indol-3-yl]-acetic Acid (MK-0524). *J. Med. Chem.* 2007, *50* (4), 794-806.

124. Curtis, N., Roy; Gancia, E.; Ladduwahetty, T.; Maxey, R., James; Merchant,
K., John; Mitchinson, A. Arylsulfonyl benzofused heterocycles as 5-ht2a antagonists. September
28, 2006, 2006.

125. Groebke Zbinden, K.; Norcross, R.; Pflieger, P. Substituted benzamides. June 23, 2011, 2011.

126. Wu, X.; Chen, S.-S.; Hu, Y.; Gong, L.-Z., Gold-Catalyzed [1,5]-Hydride Shift onto Unactivated Alkynes to Trigger an Intermolecular Diels–Alder Reaction. *Org. Lett.* **2014**, *16* (14), 3820-3823.

127. Ishida, T.; Kobayashi, R.; Yamada, T., Novel Method of Tetramic Acid Synthesis: Silver-Catalyzed Carbon Dioxide Incorporation into Propargylic Amine and Intramolecular Rearrangement. *Org. Lett.* **2014**, *16* (9), 2430-2433.
128. Morriello, G., J.; Devita, R., J.; Mills, S., G.; Moyes, C., R.; Lin, P. Antihypercholesterolemic compounds. May 20, 2010, 2010.

129. Shen, W.; Zhang, A.; Fan, J.; Zheng, X. Phosphorus containing quinazoline compounds and methods of use. January 6, 2011, 2011.

130. Saito, N.; Sugimura, Y.; Sato, Y., A Facile Construction of Bi- or Tricyclic
Skeletons by Nickel-Catalyzed Stereoselective Cyclization of Alkynylcycloalkanone. *Org. Lett.* **2010**, *12* (15), 3494-3497.

131. Martin, T.; Ulrich, W.-R.; Bär, T.; Stadlwieser, J.; Wollin, S.-L.; Zech, K.; Sommerhoff, C., P. Tryptase-inhibitors September 26, 2002, 2002.

Hirner, J. J.; Faizi, D. J.; Blum, S. A., Alkoxyboration: Ring-Closing Addition of B–O σ Bonds across Alkynes. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136 (12), 4740-4745.

133. Keinan, E. Synthetic binding pairs comprising cucurbituril derivatives and polyammonium compouds and uses thereof. March 17, 2005, 2005.

134. Hunt, K., W.; Rizzi, J., P.; Cook, A.; Thomas, A. A. Spiro[chroman-4, 4'imidazol]ones as beta-secretase inhibitors. June 16, 2011, 2011.

135. Fell, S., Christopher, Martin; Hickey, D., Mary, Bernadette; Leach, C., Andrew; Liddle, j.; Pinto, I., Leo; Smith, S., Allan Pyridone, pyridazone and triazone derivatives as lp-pla2 inhibitors. May 22, 2003, 2003.

136. El Kadiri, M. Y.; Framery, E.; Andrioletti, B., Functionalization of BINOL and Application in the Homo- and Heterogeneous Enantioselective Epoxidation of α , β -Unsaturated Ketones. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2012**, *53* (47), 6335-6338.

Baindur, N.; Gaul, M. D.; Kreutter, K. D.; Baumann, C. A.; Kim, A. J.; Xu, G.;
Tuman, R. W.; Johnson, D. L. Alkylquinoline and alkylquinazoline kinase modulators.
December 14, 2006, 2006.

138. Jessen, H. J.; Schulz, T.; Balzarini, J.; Meier, C., Bioreversible Protection of Nucleoside Diphosphates. *Angew. Chem. Int. Edit.* **2008**, *47* (45), 8719-8722.

139. Carson, M.; LeMahieu, R. A.; C., N. W. Substituted 4-acetyl-3-hydroxyphenoxy alkanoic acids. 1986.

Kelly, C. B.; Mercadante, M. A.; Hamlin, T. A.; Fletcher, M. H.; Leadbeater, N.
E., Oxidation of α-Trifluoromethyl Alcohols Using a Recyclable Oxoammonium Salt. *J. Org. Chem.* 2012, 77 (18), 8131-8141.

141. Cloninger, M. J.; Whitlock, H. W., A Synthetic Receptor which Uses Multiple Edge–Face Interactions to Bind Aromatic Guests. *J. Org. Chem.* **1998**, *63* (18), 6153-6159.

142. Nag, S.; Lehmann, L.; Kettschau, G.; Toth, M.; Heinrich, T.; Thiele, A.; Varrone, A.; Halldin, C., Development of a Novel Fluorine-18 Labeled Deuterated Fluororasagiline ([¹⁸F]Fluororasagiline-D₂) Radioligand for PET Studies of Monoamino Oxidase B (MAO-B). *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* **2013**, *21* (21), 6634-41.

143. Jarosinski, M. A.; Reddy, P. S.; Anderson, W. K., Synthesis, Chemical Reactivity, and Antitumor Evaluation of Congeners of Carmethizole Hydrochloride, an Experimental Acylated Vinylogous Carbinolamine Tumor Inhibitor. *J. Med. Chem.* **1993**, *36* (23), 3618-3627.

144. Chen, W.; Luo, J.; Liu, L.; Fan, Y. Thio-1,2,4-Triazole Derivatives and Method for Preparing the Same. December 18, 2014, 2014.

306

Banka, A.; Catalano, J., G.; Chong, P., Yoke; Fang, J.; Garrido, D., Maria;
Maynard, A.; Miller, J.; Patterson, D.; Peat, A., James; Powers, J.; Price, D., J.; Roberts, C.;
Tai, V.; Youngman, M. Pyrazolylpyridine antiviral agents April 28, 2011, 2011.

Frey, R. R.; Curtin, M. L.; Albert, D. H.; Glaser, K. B.; Pease, L. J.; Soni, N.
B.; Bouska, J. J.; Reuter, D.; Stewart, K. D.; Marcotte, P.; Bukofzer, G.; Li, J.; Davidsen, S.
K.; Michaelides, M. R., 7-Aminopyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidines as Potent Multitargeted Receptor
Tyrosine Kinase Inhibitors. *J. Med. Chem.* 2008, *51* (13), 3777-3787.

147. Sirivolu, V. R.; Vernekar, S. K. V.; Marchand, C.; Naumova, A.; Chergui, A.; Renaud, A.; Stephen, A. G.; Chen, F.; Sham, Y. Y.; Pommier, Y.; Wang, Z., 5-Arylidenethioxothiazolidinones as Inhibitors of Tyrosyl–DNA Phosphodiesterase I. *J. Med. Chem.* **2012**, *55* (20), 8671-8684.

148. Hammouda, H. A.; Ishikawa, N., Preparation of Fluorinated Imidazole Derivatives Using Hexafluoro-1,2-epoxypropane. *B. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* **1978**, *51* (10), 3091-3092.

149. Liang, C.; Gao, S.; Li, Z. Kinase inhibitor compounds. March 20, 2008, 2008.

150. Jeong, L. S.; Nicklaus, M. C.; George, C.; Marquez, V. E., Unanticipated Retention of Configuration in the DAST Fluorination of Deoxy-4'-thiopyrimidine Nucleosides with "Up" Hydroxyl Groups. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1994**, *35* (41), 7569-7572.

Torii, T.; Onishi, T.; Izawa, K.; Maruyama, T., A Concise Synthesis of 3'-αFluoro-2',3'-Dideoxyguanosine (FddG) via 3'-α-Selective Fluorination of 8,2'Thioanhydronucleoside. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2006, 47 (34), 6139-6141.

152. Bhandari, M. R.; Yousufuddin, M.; Lovely, C. J., Diversity-Oriented Approach to Pyrrole-Imidazole Alkaloid Frameworks. *Org. Lett.* **2011**, *13* (6), 1382-1385.

307

153. Stumpf, A.; Reynolds, M.; Sutherlin, D.; Babu, S.; Bappert, E.; Spindler, F.; Welch, M.; Gaudino, J., Kilogram-Scale Asymmetric Ruthenium-Catalyzed Hydrogenation of a Tetrasubstituted Fluoroenamide. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2011**, *353* (18), 3367-3372.

CNA-599-11, 1H, acetone, 400 MHz









CNA-599-60, 1H, CDCl3, 400 MHz



CNA-599-60, 13C, CDC13, 400 MHz



CNA-599-75, 1H, CDCl3, 400 MHz



CNA-599-75, 13C, CDC13, 400 MHz



CNA-599-85, 1H, CDCl3, 400 MHz



CNA-599-85, 13C, CDC13, 400 MHz



CNA-637-8, 1H, CDCl3/MeOD, 400 MHz



CNA-637-8, 13C, CDCl3/MeOD, 400 MHz



CNA-617-71, 1H, CDCl3, 400 MHz







CNA-637-33, 1H, CDCl3, 400 MHz





CNA-637-32, 1H, CDCl3/MeOD, 600 MHz



2.23 3.34 132.23	77 130.77 129.53 128.49 119.59 111.68 116.84 116.31 116.31	 77.37 76.95	54.29 50.86 50.69 49.14 48.71 48.71 48.77 48.73 44.98 32.40 32.40	 NAME EXPNO PROCNO Date_ Time INSTRUM PROBHD PULPROG TD SOLVENT NS DS SWH FIDRES AQ RG DW DE TE D1 D1 D11 TD0	CNA-637-32 3 1 20150312 0.42 spect 5 mm PABBO BB- zgpg30 65536 CDC13 256 4 36057.691 Hz 0.550197 Hz 0.9088159 sec 203 13.867 usec 6.50 usec 298.3 K 2.0000000 sec 0.0300000 sec 1
				NUC1 P1 PL1 PL1W SFO1 CPDPRG2 NUC2 PCPD2 PL2 PL12 PL12 PL13 PL2W PL12W PL12W PL12W PL13W SFO2 SI SF WDW SSB LB GB CB	CHANNEL f1 ===== 13C 11.50 usec 0.00 dB 97.46119690 W 151.0637542 MHz CHANNEL f2 ===== waltz16 1H 70.00 usec -2.00 dB 14.19 dB 120.00 dB 19.70630455 W 0.47381112 W 0.00000000 W 600.7124028 MHz 32768 151.0492641 MHz EM 0 1.00 Hz 0 1.40



CNA-617-17, CDCl3, 13C, 500 MHz













CNA-617-38, 1H, CDC13, 500 MHz



CNA-617-38, 13C, CDCl3, 500 MHz



CNA-617-38, 19F, CDCl3, 500 MHz



2

1

18.28

spect

CDC13

16

203

298.3 K

19F 5.00 usec

65536

ΕM

0.30 Hz

1.00

1

5.333 usec

6.50 usec

4

CNA-617-34, 1H, CDC13, 500 MHz



CNA-617-34, 13C, CDCl3, 500 MHz



CNA-617-35, CDCl3, 1H, 500 MHz



CNA-617-35, CDC13, 13C, 500 MHz



CNA-617-36, 1H, CDC13, 500 MHz






CNA-617-45, 13C, CDCl3, 500 MHz



CNA-617-81, 1H, CDCl3, 400 MHz



CNA-617-81, 13C, CDC13, 400 MHz



CNA-695-61, 1H, acetone-d6, 300 MHz



CNA-695-61, 13C, acetone-d6, 600 MHz



CNA-695-651, 19F, acetone-d6, 600 MHz



-80 -100 -120 -140 -160 -180 -200 ppm











CNA-710-83/95, 1H, CDCl3, 600 MHz

 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0









CNA-695-31, 1H, CDCl3, 500 MHz









CNA-695-29, 13C, CDCl3, 400 MHz





CNA-695-60, 13C, acetone-d6, 600 MHz



CNA-675-56, 1H, CDC13, 500 MHz



 888

 1111

 1111

 1111

 1111

 1111

 1111

 1111

 1111

 1111

 1111

 1111

 1111

 1111

 1111

 1111

 1111

 1111

 1111

 1111

 1111

 1111

 1111

 1111

 1111

 1111

 1111

 1111

 1111

 1111

 1111

 1111

 1111

 1111

 1111

 1111

 1111

 1111

 1111

 1111

 1111

 1111

 1111

 1111

 1111

 1111

 1111

 1111

 1111

 1111

 11111

 11111

 <







9

1.00

.36

1.01



1.98

2.02

1.

8.26

3.02 2.18 6.34



				NAME EXPNO PROCNO Date_ Time INSTRUM PROBHD SOLVENT NS DS SWH FIDRES AQ RG DW DE TE	CNA-695-7 4 1 20151217 1.24 spect 5 mm PADUL 13C 2g30 65536 CDC13 16 2 8223.685 Hz 0.125483 Hz 3.9846387 sec 71.8 60.800 usec 6.50 usec 296.0 K
				D1 TD0 ======= (NUC1 P1 PL1 PL1W SF01 SI SF WDW SSB LB GB PC	2.00000000 sec 1 CHANNEL f1 ======= 1H 9.31 usec -3.90 dB 21.64248466 W 400.2324716 MHz 32768 400.2300096 MHz EM 0 0.30 Hz 0 1.00
 8 7	6 5	4 3	2 1	ppm	











F₃C⁻



	F ₃ C ⁻	~NLo~
CNA-695-26, 19F, CDC13, 500 MHz		
73.31		2-63
		2-00 Vag
	NAM EXP PRO Dat Tim INS PRO PUL TD SOL NS DS SWH FID AQ RG DW DE TE D1 D11 D12 TD0 SUL SSUL SSWH FID AQ RG DW DE TE D1 D12 TD0 PIL SSUL SSUL SSUL SSUL SSUL SSUL SSUL SS	E CNA-695-26 NO 1 CNO 1 e_ 20160120 e 18.30 TRUM spect BHD 5 mm PABBO BB/ PROG zgfhigqn.2 131072 VENT CDC13 16 4 113636.367 Hz RES 0.866977 Hz 0.5767668 sec 203 4.400 usec 6.50 usec 299.2 K 1.0000000 sec 0.0300000 sec 0.0300000 sec 1 ===== CHANNEL f1 ======= 1 470.5735434 MHz 1 9F 5.00 usec
	PI SI SF WDW SSB LB GB PC	5.00 usec 65536 470.6206054 MHz EM 0 0.30 Hz 0 1.00

0 –20 –40 –60 –80 –100 –120 –140 –160 –180 –200 ppm

CNA-695-27, 1H 76/199999 88/19999 88/19999 88/19999 88/19999 88/19999 88/19999 88/19999 88/19999 88/19999 88/19999 88/19999 19/1999 10/1999 1	I, CDC13, 400 MHz 949 949 949 949 949 949 949 949 949 94	L6.660 L6.655 L6.616 L6.601 L6.594 L6.594	<pre>4.915 4.915 3.481 3.458 3.458</pre>			
					NAME EXPNO PROCNO Date_ Time INSTRUM PROBHD PULPROG TD SOLVENT NS DS SWH FIDRES AQ RG DW DE TE D1 TD0 NUC1 P1 PL1 PL1 PL1 PL1 PL1 PL1 PL1 SF01 SI SF WDW SSB LB GB PC	CNA-695-27 1 20160120 19.40 spect 5 mm PADUL 13C 2g30 65536 CDC13 16 2 8223.685 Hz 0.125483 Hz 3.9846387 sec 57 60.800 usec 295.7 K 2.00000000 sec 1 CHANNEL f1 ===================================
6 0.99 6	6 7 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2 :00	4 .00	2	1 ppm	





CNA-710-10, 1H, CDCl3, 300 MHz

	157.41 153.66 153.53 153.53 151.44 151.44 151.44 151.44 151.44 151.44 151.44 151.44 151.44 131.33 142.77 132.42 132.42	125.54	86.82 84.69 77.58 77.58 77.16 76.74	59.98 54.75 54.03 46.22 43.88	29.33 24.91 21.94 19.25		
$ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \\$	>					NAME EXPNO PROCNO Date_ Time INSTRUM PROBHD 5 PULPROG TD SOLVENT NS SWH FIDRES AQ RG DW DE TE D1 D11 TD0 ======= C SFO1 NUC1 P1 SI SF WDW SSB LB GB	CNA-710-10 2 1 20160331 23.02 spect mm QNP 1H/1 zgpg30 65536 CDC13 512 4 18028.846 Hz 0.275098 Hz 1.8175818 sec 256 27.733 usec 6.50 usec -928.0 K 2.00000000 sec 0.03000000 sec 1 CHANNEL f1 ======= 75.5004428 MHz 13C 12.00 usec 32768 75.4928866 MHz EM 0 1.00 Hz 0
nandersen, Restauren i siden om eine skiel de bisket siden som en sidersen av			ng handelan dijek projekt			in pile	
200 180	160 140	120 100	0 80	60 40	20 pr	om	




	<pre> 8.739 8.736 8.736 8.724 8.724 8.595 7.671 </pre>	7.669 7.655 7.651 7.651 7.649 7.449 7.441 7.441	L7.292 L7.291 L6.651 L6.633 L6.633 L6.611 L6.611 L6.611	L5.846 4.5052 4.5052 4.559 233 233	2.481 2.481 2.481 2.451 2.451 2.451 2.457 2.457 2.457 2.457 2.347 2.262 2.262 2.030	L2.012 L2.007 L2.003 L1.995 L1.678	
N N	2-67			r		NAME EXPNO PROCNO Date_ Time INSTRUM PROBHD PULPROG TD SOLVENT NS DS SWH FIDRES AQ RG DW DE TE D1 TD0	CNA-695-66 1 20160302 22.24 spect 5 mm PADUL 13C 2g30 65536 CDC13 16 2 8223.685 Hz 0.125483 Hz 3.9846387 sec 71.8 60.800 usec 6.50 usec 295.4 K 2.00000000 sec 1
						NUC1 P1 PL1 PL1W SF01 SI SF WDW SSB LB GB PC	CHANNEL f1 ====== 1H 9.31 usec -3.90 dB 21.64248466 W 400.2324716 MHz 32768 400.2300123 MHz EM 0 0.30 Hz 0 1.00
	0.96 0.96	7 8 7 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	1.00 1.00 1.03 1.95 2.03 9	4 3	1 11.86 3.28 4.39 5 2.27 2.27 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	ppm	



CNA-695-68, 1H, CDCl3, 400 MHz

[8.730 [8.726	8.718 8.715 8.593 8.588 8.588 8.588 7.7.668	7.648 7.648 7.643 7.643 7.454	-7.270 -7.260 -7.249	L6.657 L6.598 L6.598 L5.839		3.593 3.497 3.482 3.468 3.468 3.468	<pre></pre>	L2.267 L2.267 L2.003 L1.998	L1.994 L1.668 L1.657	
N N N	2-68		`	ſ					NAME EXPNO PROCNO Date_ Time INSTRUM PROBHD PULPROG TD SOLVENT NS DS SWH FIDRES AQ RG DW	CNA-695-68 5 1 20160302 14.29 spect 5 mm PADUL 13C zg30 65536 CDC13 16 2 8223.685 Hz 0.125483 Hz 3.9846387 sec 64 60.800 usec
									DE TE D1 TD0 ======= NUC1 P1 PL1 PL1W SF01 SI SF WDW SSB LB GB PC	6.50 usec 295.5 K 2.00000000 sec 1 CHANNEL f1 ======= 1H 9.31 usec -3.90 dB 21.64248466 W 400.2324716 MHz 32768 400.2300122 MHz EM 0 0.30 Hz 0 1.00
	1 .00 0.96	2 8 1.00 1.32 1.00 1.321.32 1	5.00	0.09 0.03	1.95 0.98 0.98	2.46 4.43	2.15 2.16 2.16 2.16 2.16 2.16	1	ppm	



CNA-695-67, 1H, acetone-d6, 600 MHz



CNA-695-67, 13C, acetone-d6, 600 MHz





CNA-695-70, 13C, acetone-d6, 600 MHz











Q

NAME EXPNO PROCNO Date_ Time INSTRUM PROBHD PULPROG TD SOLVENT NS DS	CNA-752-6 2 1 20161027 11.40 spect 5 mm PABBO BB- zgfhigqn.2 131072 CDC13 16 4	
SWH FIDRES AQ RG DW DE TE D1 D11 D12 TD0	89285.711 0.681196 0.7340532 203 5.600 6.50 89.9 1.00000000 0.0300000 0.0300000 1	Hz Hz sec usec K sec sec sec
SF01 NUC1 P1 SI SF WDW SSB LB GB PC	CHANNEL f1 ==== 376.4607164 19F 14.20 65536 376.4983662 EM 0 0.30 0 1.00	MHZ USEC MHZ HZ

--107.04

CNA-710-27, 1H, CDCl3, 400 MHz

NAME EXPNO PROCNO	CNA-710-27	
Date_ Time INSTRUM	20161007 15.58 spect	
PROBHD PULPROG TD	5 mm PABBO BB- zgfhigqn.2 131072	
SOLVENT NS	CDC13 16	
SWH FIDRES	89285.711 0.681196 0.7340532	Hz Hz
RG DW	203 5.600	usec
TE D1	8.30 88.7 1.00000000	K sec
D11 D12 TD0	0.03000000 0.00002000 1	sec sec
========	CHANNEL f1 ====	===== MU <i>न</i>
NUC1	378.4607184 19F	мнг
SI	65536	Mue
SF WDW SSB	576.4963662 EM	MHZ
LB GB	0.30	Ηz
PC	1.00	

HO

CNA-752-55, 1H, CDCl3/MeOD, 500 MHz

 8.10

 8.10

 8.10

 8.10

 8.10

 8.10

 8.10

 8.10

 8.10

 8.10

 8.10

 8.10

 8.10

 8.10

 8.10

 8.10

 8.10

 8.10

 11.10

 11.10

 11.10

 11.10

 11.10

 11.10

 11.10

 11.10

 11.10

 11.10

 11.10

 11.10

 11.10

 11.10

 11.10

 11.10

 11.10

 11.10

 11.10

 11.10

 11.10

 11.10

 11.10

 11.10

 11.10

 11.10

 11.10

 11.10

 11.10

 11.10

 11.10

 11.10

 11.10

					Current Da NAME	ata Parameters CNA-752-55
					EXPNO PROCNO	1
					F2 – Acqu:	isition Parameters
					Date_ Time	20170102 14.56
					INSTRUM	spect
					PROBHD 5	o mm PABBO BB/
					TD	65536
					SOLVENT	MeOD
					DS	2
					SWH	10000.000 Hz
					FIDRES	0.152588 Hz 3.2767999 sec
					RĜ	32
					DW	50.000 usec
					TE	297.4 K
					D1 TD0	1.00000000 sec 1
					======= (SFO1 NUC1	CHANNEL f1 ====== 500.1630887 MHz 1H
					PI PLW1	11.50 usec 18.00000000 W
					F2 - Proce	essing parameters
1				1	SI SF	65536 500 1600094 мнг
					WDW	EM
					SSB (I.B) 0 30 Hz
	1 1 1 1				GB ()
			A		PC	1.00
•••••	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	6 5 4	·····	·····	nm	
.			•	- · · ·	·P111	
000	0.0			5.0		

		-133.44 -133.48 -157.71 -157.76		2-76
		\vee \vee	Current NAME EXPNO PROCNO	Data Parameters CNA-752-54 3 1
-133.44 -133.48 -133.48 -157.71			F2 - Acc Date_ Time INSTRUM PROBHD PULPROG TD SOLVENT NS DS	quisition Parameters 20170102 15.52 spect 5 mm PABBO BB/ zgfhigqn.2 131072 MeOD 16 4
			SWH FIDRES AQ RG DW DE TE D1 D1 D12 TD0	113636.367 Hz 0.866977 Hz 0.5767168 sec 203 4.400 usec 6.50 usec 297.7 K 1.00000000 sec 0.0300000 sec 0.00002000 sec 1
			======= SF01 NUC1 P1 PLW1	= CHANNEL f1 ====== 470.5735434 MHz 19F 5.00 usec 10.00000000 W
ppm ppm			====== SFO2 NUC2 CPDPRG[2 PCPD2 PLW2 PLW12	CHANNEL f2 ====== 500.1620006 MHz 1H 2 waltz16 80.00 usec 18.0000000 W 0.37195000 W
			F2 - Pro SI SF WDW SSB LB	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
-20 -40 -60	-80 -100 -120	-140 -160	GB PC -180 ppm	0 1.00

CNA-752-58, 19F, CDCl3, 500 MHz

-20

-40

-80

-100

-60

	Current NAME EXPNO PROCNO	Data Parameters CNA-752-58 3 1
	F2 - Acq Date_ Time INSTRUM PROBHD PULPROG TD SOLVENT NS DS SWH FIDRES AQ RG DW DE TE D1 D11 D12 TD0	uisition Parameters 20170102 16.00 spect 5 mm PABBO BB/ zgfhigqn.2 131072 CDC13 16 4 113636.367 Hz 0.866977 Hz 0.5767168 sec 203 4.400 usec 6.50 usec 297.6 K 1.0000000 sec 0.0300000 sec 1
	======= SF01 NUC1 P1 PLW1	CHANNEL f1 ====== 470.5735434 MHz 19F 5.00 usec 10.00000000 W
	SFO2 NUC2 CPDPRG[2 PCPD2 PLW2 PLW12	CHANNEL f2 ====== 500.1620006 MHz 1H waltz16 80.00 usec 18.0000000 W 0.37195000 W
	F2 - Pro SI SF WDW SSB LB GB PC	cessing parameters 65536 470.6206054 MHz EM 0 0.30 Hz 0 1 00
-180	ppm	1.00

--116.88

-120

-140

-160

CNA-710-28_752-2, 1H, CDC13, 400 MHz

	Berline Constraints (Constraint) Berline Constraint) Berline Constraints (Constraint) Berline Constraint) Berline Constraints (Constraint) Berline Constraint) Berline Constraints (Constraint) Berline	L7.282 L7.282 L6.641 L6.641 L6.620 L6.590 L6.584		L 3.275 3.261 3.261 2.486 2.471 2.457 2.275 2.030	L2.022 (2.017 L2.013 L2.008 L1.996 L1.683		
∕ v					NAM EXE PRC Dat Tin INS PRC PUI TD SOI SOI SSI SSW FII AQ RG DW DE TE D1 TDC	4E (PNO CCNO Ce ne STRUM DBHD 5 LPROG LVENT H DRES	CNA-710-28_752-2 200 1 20161006 20.54 spect 5 mm PADUL 13C 2g30 65536 CDC13 16 2 8223.685 Hz 0.125483 Hz 3.9846387 sec 80.6 60.800 usec 6.50 usec 298.2 K 2.00000000 sec 1
					=== NUC P1 PL1 SFC SI SF WDV SSE LB GB GB PC	(C1 L W D1 V B	CHANNEL f1 ======= 1H 9.31 usec -3.90 dB 21.64248466 W 400.2324716 MHz 32768 400.2300122 MHz EM 0 0.30 Hz 0 1.00
	1.05 2.03 2.03 2.03 2.03 2.03 2.03 2.03 2.03	1.04 2.06 1.03 1.03	3	10.20 3.37 2.22 2	1 ppm		

CNA-710-28, 13C, CDCl3, 400 MHz

CNA-710-28, 19F, CDCl3, 500 MHz

CNA-710-33, 1H, CDCl3, 400 MHz





	.628 .615 .615 .610 .581 .581 .577 .055 .034 .866 .502	.495 .498 .720 .720 .053 .053 .053 .053 .053 .934 .913 .504 .504 .475	. 456 . 428 . 428
			Current Data Parameters NAME CNA-752-78_g EXPNO 1 PROCNO 1
			F2 - Acquisition Parameters Date_ 20170202 Time 11.41 INSTRUM spect PROBHD 5 mm PABBO BB/ PULPROG zg30 TD 65536 SOLVENT CDC13 NS 16 DS 2 SWH 10000.000 Hz FIDRES 0.152588 Hz AQ 3.2767999 sec RG 32 DW 50.000 usec DE 6.50 usec TE 297.1 K D1 1.00000000 sec TD0 1
			CHANNEL f1 SF01 500.1630887 MHz NUC1 1H P1 11.50 usec PLW1 18.00000000 W F2 - Processing parameters SI SF 500.1600000 MHz WDW EM SSB 0 LB 0.30 Hz GB 0 PC 1.00
7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	6 5 6 5.08 5.08 5.08 5.08	1 2 1 1 3 0 0 1 1 1 3 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	ppm



CNA-752-78, 19F, CDCl3, 500 MHz



CNA-752-66, 1H, CDC13, 500 MH 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	6.847 6.847 6.832 6.832 6.813 5.157 5.157 5.142 4.811	3.450 2.720 2.720 2.701 2.007 2.007 2.007 1.896 1.484 1.484 1.484 1.484 1.484 1.415 1.415	
$\begin{array}{c} & & & \\ & &$			Current Data Parameters NAME CNA-752-66 EXPNO 1 PROCNO 1 F2 - Acquisition Parameters Date_ 20170118 Time 17.08 INSTRUM spect PROBHD 5 mm PABBO BB/ PULPROG 2g30 TD 65536 SOLVENT CDC13 NS 16 DS 2 SWH 10000.000 Hz FIDRES 0.152588 Hz AQ 3.2767999 sec RG 32 DW 50.000 usec DE 6.50 usec TE 297.0 K D1 1.00000000 sec TD0 1
9 8 7 9 8 7	6 5 4	3 2 1 pp	NUC1 1H P1 11.50 usec PLW1 18.00000000 W F2 - Processing parameters SI 65536 SF 500.1600129 MHz WDW EM SSB 0 LB 0.30 Hz GB 0 PC 1.00





CNA-752-60, 1H, CDCl3, 400 MHz





CNA-752-60, 19F, CDCl3, 400 MHz





CNA-752-59, 1H, CDCl3, 300 MHz











CNA-752-61, 13C, CDC13, 400 MHz





NAME	CNA-752-61
EXPNO	3
PROCNO	1
Date_	20170117
Time	19.35
INSTRUM	spect
PROBHD	5 mm PABBO BB-
PULPROG	zgfhigqn.2
TD	131072
SOLVENT	CDC13
NS	16
DS	4
SWH	89285.711 Hz
FIDRES	0.681196 Hz
AQ	0.7340532 sec
RG	203
DW	5.600 usec
DE	6.50 usec
TE	90.0 K
D1	1.00000000 sec
D11	0.03000000 sec
D12	0.00002000 sec
TD0	1
=======	CHANNEL f1 =======
SF01	376.4607164 MHz
NUC1	19F
P1	14.20 usec
SI	65536
SF	376.4983662 MHz
WDW	EM
SSB	0
LB	0.30 Hz
GB	0
PC	1.00



--105.13

CNA-752-63, 1H, CDCl3, 400 MHz





CNA-752-63, 19F, CDCl3, 400 MHz



CNA-752-62, 1H, CDCl3/MeOD, 400 MHz



CNA-752-62, 13C, CDCl3/MeOD, 400 MHz











CNA-695-43, 1H, acetone-d6, 400 MHz



61 61 61



CNA-695-65, 1H, acetone-d6, 600 MHz



CNA-695-65, 13C, acetone-d6, 600 MHz



CNA-695-84, 1H, CDCl3, 500 MHz





CNA-695-84, 19F, CDCl3, 400 MHz










CNA-752-26, 19F, CDCl3, 500 MHz

-20

-40

-60

-80

-100

-107.09



CNA-710-57, 1H, CDC13, 400 MHz







CNA-710-50, 13C, CDCl3, 400 MHz



CNA-710-49, 1H, CDCl3, 400 MHz













000m0n0H0H00004mm00V





























4014700014070007



0 7 0 1

 \sim

миннососовопп













CNA-710-22, 19F, CDC13, 400 MHz

-63.00



NAME EXPNO PROCNO Date_ Time INSTRUM PROBHD PULPROG TD SOLVENT NS DS SWH FIDRES AQ RG DW DE TE D1 D1 D12 TD0	CNA-710-22 2 1 20160414 11.34 spect 5 mm PABBO BB- zgfhigqn.2 131072 CDC13 16 4 89285.711 0.681196 0.7340532 203 5.600 6.50 96.4 1.0000000 0.0300000 0.00002000	Hz Hz sec usec usec K sec sec sec
SF01 NUC1 P1 SI SF WDW SSB LB GB PC	CHANNEL f1 ==== 376.4607164 19F 14.20 65536 376.4983662 EM 0 0.30 0 1.00	MHz usec MHz Hz
























MeO ₂ S	3-104 F	
NAME EXPNO PROCNO Date_ Time INSTRUM PROBHD PULPROG TD SOLVENT NS DS SWH FIDRES AQ RG DW DE TE D1 D11 D12 TD0	CNA-653-62 1 20150824 16.36 spect 5 mm PABBO BB/ zgfhigqn.2 131072 CDC13 16 4 113636.367 0.866977 0.5767668 203 4.400 6.50 296.8 1.0000000 0.0300000 0.00002000 1	Hz Hz sec usec K sec sec sec
SF01 NUC1 P1 SI SF WDW SSB LB GB PC	CHANNEL f1 ==== 470.5735434 19F 5.00 65536 470.6206054 EM 0 0.30 0 1.00	==== MHz MHz Hz

-168.69

-120 -140

-160

-180

–200 ppm

-100

CNA-653-62, 19F, CDCl3, 500 MHz

Т

0

-20

-40

-60

-80

	CNA-781-33,	1H,	CDC13,	400	MHz
--	-------------	-----	--------	-----	-----







CNA-781-33, 19F, CDC13, 400 MHz

-20

-40

-60

-80

-100

-120

-111.98





CNA-781-26, 19F, CDCl3, 400 MHz

°∕_N~	F N N - N 3-108	S S
NAME EXPNO PROCNO Date_ Time INSTRUM PROBHD PULPROG TD SOLVENT NS DS SWH FIDRES AQ RG DW DE	CNA-781-26 3 1 20170511 14.05 spect 5 mm PABBO BB- zgfhigqn.2 131072 CDC13 6 4 89285.711 0.681196 0.7340532 203 5.600 6.50	Hz Hz sec usec usec
TE D1 D11 D12 TD0	88.4 1.00000000 0.0300000 0.00002000 1	K sec sec sec
SF01 NUC1 P1 SI SF WDW SSB LB GB PC	CHANNEL f1 ==== 376.4607164 19F 14.20 65536 376.4983662 EM 0.30 0.30 1.00	MHz usec MHz Hz



-111.93



CNA-781-7, 1H, CDCl3, 500 MHz

000000000000000000000000000000000000	・ユ ウ
ちょしのしているちょうのつののののやのののものでものですののもののもののでものでものです。	$> \circ \infty$
トレののフレム4440000000000000000004444000000000000	r 4 M
	• • •
・ てててててててろろろををををををちらののののクレムムムムムと8888	$+ \leftarrow + \leftarrow +$
אורר ר	







CNA-781-35, 1H, CDCl3, 500 MHz







CNA-781-35

20170525

11.57

1

1

2 1 ppm



8

9

Ť,

86

olo





5

2.03







3







CNA-781-27, 1H, CDCl3, 500 MHz



C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	
	3-111
	Current Data Parameters NAME CNA-781-27 EXPNO 2 PROCNO 1
	F2 - Acquisition Parameters Date_ 20170511 Time 12.22 INSTRUM spect PROBHD 5 mm PABBO BB/ PULPROG zg30 TD 65536 SOLVENT CDC13 NS 16 DS 2 SWH 10000.000 Hz FIDRES 0.152588 Hz AQ 3.2767999 sec RG 71.8 DW 50.000 usec DE 6.50 usec TD0 1 ====== CHANNEL f1 ======= SF01 500.1630887 MHz NUC1 1H P1 11.50 usec PLW1 18.00000000 W F2 - Processing parameters SI 65536 SF S00.1600127 MHz WDW TM
	WDW EA SSB 0 LB 0.30 Hz GB 0 PC 1.00
	1
9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1 ppm	n N

9 2.02 1.00 1.00 1.05 1.05 1.13 1.13 0.97 0.97 0.97 8







-20

-40

-60



GΒ

-PC

ppm

0

1.00



-100

-80

-120

-140

-160

-180

-138.75 -138.78



CNA-781-6, 1H, CDCl3, 500 MHz









CNA-781-3, 19F, CDCl3, 500 MHz

-20

-40

-60

-80

-100

-120

-140

-160



-138.51 -138.54

CNA-781-37, 1H, CDCl3, 500 MHz

3-114

					3-114
				Current D NAME EXPNO PROCNO	ata Parameters CNA-781-37 1 1
				F2 - Acqu Date_ Time INSTRUM PROBHD PULPROG TD SOLVENT NS DS SWH FIDRES AQ RG DW DE TE D1 TD0	isition Parameters 20170607 20.11 spect 5 mm PABBO BB/ zg30 65536 CDC13 16 2 10000.000 Hz 0.152588 Hz 3.2767999 sec 32 50.000 usec 6.50 usec 298.8 K 1.0000000 sec 1
	1			SF01 NUC1 P1 PLW1 F2 - Proc SI SF	CHANNEL f1 ====== 500.1630887 MHz 1H 11.50 usec 18.00000000 W essing parameters 65536 500.1600128 MHz
				WDW SSB LB GB PC	0 0.30 Hz 0 1.00
8 9 0. <u>38</u>	2.04 2.04 2.04 2.04 2.04	5 5 4 [0][0][0][0][0][0][0][0][0][0][0][0][0][2.11) 2.11 2.11 2.11 2.11 2.11 2.11 2.11	н. т	





Current Data Parameters NAME CNA-781-37 2 EXPNO PROCNO 1 F2 - Acquisition Parameters 20170607 Date_ Time 20.13 INSTRUM spect PROBHD 5 mm PABBO BB/ PULPROG zgfhigqn.2 TD 131072 CDC13 SOLVENT NS 16 DS 4 SWH 113636.367 Hz 0.866977 Hz FIDRES AQ 0.5767168 sec 203 RG 4.400 usec DW DE 6.50 usec ΤE 298.7 K 1.00000000 sec D1 D11 0.03000000 sec D12 0.00002000 sec TD0 1 ====== CHANNEL f1 ======= 470.5735434 MHz SF01 NUC1 19F Ρ1 5.00 usec PLW1 10.0000000 W ====== CHANNEL f2 ======= SFO2 500.1620006 MHz NUC2 1Н CPDPRG[2 waltz16 PCPD2 80.00 usec PLW2 18.0000000 W PLW12 0.37195000 W F2 - Processing parameters SI 65536 SF 470.6206054 MHz WDW ΕM

-SSB

LΒ

GB

-PC

ppm

0

0

0.30 Hz

1.00

CNA-781-37, 19F, CDCl3, 500 MHz

-20

-40

-60

-80

-100

-120

-140

-160

-180

-105.29

CNA-752-85, 1H, CDCl3, 400 MHz





CNA-752-85, 13C, CDCl3, 400 MHz





$\begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\$		160.14 154.69 154.69 151.39 154.69 146.69 146.65 146.65 146.55 146.55 146.55 146.55 146.55 146.55 155.20	123.72 119.86 119.86 119.70 119.70 103.65 103.59	$ \begin{array}{c} 103.51 \\ 103.44 \\ 88.45 \\ 77.39 \\ 77.13 \\ 76.88 \\ \end{array} $	61.10 61.10 61.10 61.10 61.10 45.24 45.26 322.96 322.26 32.26	23.56	
$\begin{array}{c} H & F_{2} - Acquisition Parameters \\ P_{1} + F_{2} + F_{3} + F_{4} \\ P_{1} + F_{5} \\ N_{3} + I_{5} \\ N_{5} \\ $	° S N Lo~		Y ¥		17 1 1 1 17 17 1 7	Y Current NAME EXPNO PROCNO	Data Parameters CNA-752-90 2 1
	H 3-116					F2 - Ac Date_ Time INSTRUM PROBHD PULPROG TD SOLVENT NS DS SWH FIDRES AQ RG DW DE TE D1 D11 TD0	<pre>quisition Parameters</pre>
						====== SF01 NUC1 P1 PLW1	= CHANNEL f1 ====== 125.7779086 MHz 13C 10.50 usec 110.00000000 W
F2 - Processing parameters SI 32768 SF 125.7653320 MHz WDW EM						====== SFO2 NUC2 CPDPRG[PCPD2 PLW2 PLW2 PLW12 PLW13	= CHANNEL f2 ====== 500.1620006 MHz 1H 2 waltz16 80.00 usec 18.0000000 W 0.37195000 W 0.23805000 W
	و مع و معان بال عن الله عنه الله من الله عنه ا					F2 - Pr SI SF WDW	ocessing parameters 32768 125.7653320 MHz EM
LB 1.00 Hz GB 0 1.40		,		l	,	IB GB PC	0 1.00 Hz 0 1.40

CNA-752-90, 13C, CDC13, 500 MHz



CNA-781-36, 1H, CDCl3, 500 MHz

	Current Data Parameters NAME CNA-781-36 EXPNO 5 PROCNO 1
$\begin{array}{c} H \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \end{array} \\ $	F2 - Acquisition Parameters Date_ 20170608 Time 12.40 INSTRUM spect PROBHD 5 mm PABBO BB/ PULPROG zg30 TD 65536 SOLVENT CDC13 NS 16 DS 2 SWH 10000.000 Hz FIDRES 0.152588 Hz AQ 3.2767999 sec RG 71.8 DW 50.000 usec DE 6.50 usec TE 298.7 K D1 1.00000000 sec TD0 1
	====== CHANNEL f1 ====== SF01 500.1630887 MHz NUC1 1H P1 11.50 usec PLW1 18.00000000 W F2 - Processing parameters 65536 SF 500.1600134 MHz WDW EM SSB 0 LB 0.30 Hz GB 0 PC 1.00



CNA-781-36, 13C, CDC13, 500 MHz

	F 0 1	-s							Date_ Time INSTRUM PROBHD PULPROG TD SOLVENT NS DS SWH FIDRES AQ RG DW DE TE D1 D1 D11 TD0	20170607 20.48 spect 5 mm PABBO BB/ zgpg30 65536 CDC13 256 2 29761.904 Hz 0.454131 Hz 1.1010048 sec 203 16.800 usec 6.50 usec 299.9 K 2.00000000 sec 0.03000000 sec 1
									====== SF01 NUC1 P1 PLW1 ======= SF02 NUC2 CPDPRG[2 PCPD2 PLW2 PLW2 PLW12 PLW13 F2 - Pro SI SF WDW SSB LB	CHANNEL f1 ====== 125.7779086 MHz 13C 10.50 usec 110.0000000 W CHANNEL f2 ====== 500.1620006 MHz 1H waltz16 80.00 usec 18.0000000 W 0.37195000 W 0.23805000 W cessing parameters 32768 125.7653320 MHz EM 0 1.00 Hz
18	i0 160	140	120	100	80	60	40	20	GB ₽C ppm	0 1.40
CNA-781-36, 19F, CDCl3, 500 MHz



CNA-781-49, 1H, CDCl3, 400 MHz



CNA-781-49, 13C, CDCl3, 400 MHz





CNA-781-11, 1H, MeOD/CDCl3, 400 MHz





CNA-781-11, 13C, MeOD/CDCl3, 400 MHz





-40

-60

-80

-100

-113.56



CNA-781-12, 1H, CDCl3, 500 MHz











. . .



CNA-781-52, 19F, CDCl3, 500 MHz

-20

-40

-60

CNA-781-53, 1H, CDCl3, 500 MHz



-40

-60

-80

-100

-120

-140

-160

-180

-200

ppm

3-128 Current Data Parameters NAME CNA-781-53 3 EXPNO PROCNO 1 F2 - Acquisition Parameters 20170807 Date_ Time 13.37 INSTRUM spect PROBHD 5 mm PABBO BB/ PULPROG zgfhigqn.2 TD 131072 CDC13 SOLVENT NS 64 DS 4 113636.367 Hz SWH 0.866977 Hz FIDRES AQ 0.5767168 sec 203 RG 4.400 usec DW DE 6.50 usec ΤE 296.7 K 1.00000000 sec D1 D11 0.03000000 sec D12 0.00002000 sec TD0 1 ====== CHANNEL f1 ======= 470.5735434 MHz SF01 NUC1 19F Ρ1 5.00 usec PLW1 10.0000000 W ====== CHANNEL f2 ======= SFO2 500.1620006 MHz NUC2 1Н waltz16 CPDPRG[2 PCPD2 80.00 usec PLW2 18.0000000 W PLW12 0.37195000 W F2 - Processing parameters SI

65536 SF 470.6206054 MHz WDW ΕM SSB 0 LB 0.30 Hz GB 0 -PC 1.00

-138.50-138.53

-201.09

-111.01





-40

-60

-80

-100

-120

-140

-160

-180

ppm



--133.27









ppm

--133.20



CNA-781-20, 1H, CDCl3, 500 MHz



CNA-781-20, 13C, CDCl3, 400 MHz



