Thoracic Duct Drainage in Organ Transplantation: Will It Permit Better Immunosuppression?
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Better clinical immunosuppression may well depend on some completely new approach, such as the exploitation of suppressor cells in some as yet undefined way. There is also the straightforward possibility of better drugs, as exemplified by the exciting reports by Calne on the fungus extract, cyclosporin. A third possibility, which is the subject of this article, is more effective use of an older procedure, thoracic-duct drainage.

Historical Notes

The profoundly suppressive effect of this procedure on cell-mediated immunity, antibody responses, and homograft rejection were established in rats by Gowans.1-3 Woodruff soon demonstrated that synergism of thoracic-duct drainage with another lymphoid-depleting modality, antilymphocyte serum.4 In 1964, Franksson of Stockholm introduced thoracic-duct drainage into clinical transplantation, combining it with azathioprine and steroids.5 In all this pioneering work, lymphoid depletion was carried out in advance of transplantation, thus conditioning the host by removing small lymphocytes, which Gowans had shown easily and quickly crossed the interface between blood and lymph.6

In the 1960s, further important clinical trials were made, the largest being in Sweden under Franksson,6,7 in Boston by Murray and Tilney,8-10 and in Galveston by Fish and Sarles.11,12 Except for Franksson, these early investigators emphasized pretreatment. In contrast, Franksson provided little pretreatment and maintained the fistulas as long as possible after arrival of the renal homografts. Although the details varied, all of the foregoing reports concluded that there was benefit from thoracic-duct fistula. Since the claims were unequivocal, it was surprising that the trials were not taken up elsewhere and that they were even abandoned in the originating institutions. Reasons for nonacceptance of the procedure included nonreliability, expense, and annoyance. In half or more of the cases in Sweden and Boston, the fistulas could not be established or else failed within a few days. In some of the trials, failure to reinfuse the lymph after cell removal caused plasma volume problems.

Another reason for discouragement may have been negative clinical reports from France,13 Italy,14 Brazil,15 and Japan.16 The latter studies implied or openly concluded that there was no justification for further use of thoracic-duct fistula in human transplantation. However, the volumes and duration of lymph drainage in these studies were generally suboptimal.

The only workers to continue were those at Vanderbilt University in Nashville, Tenn. Walker17 and Johnson18 and their colleagues published striking reports in 1977 about 50 cadaveric kidney recipients who were considered medically and immunologically high risk and who were given badly matched kidneys. Thoracic-duct drainage was started about a month in advance of transplantation and continued for variable times afterwards. The graft survival 2-5 years later was almost 75%, nearly twice as good as in a control group.

From the Departments of Surgery and Medicine, Denver Veterans Administration Hospital and University of Colorado Medical Center, Denver, Colo.

Supported in part by research grants from the Veterans Administration Hospital, Denver, Colo.; by Grants AM-17280 and AM-07772 from the National Institutes of Health; and by Grants RR-00051 and RR-00069 from the General Clinical Research Centers Program of the Division of Research Resources, National Institutes of Health.

Reprint requests should be addressed to T. E. Starzl, Department of Surgery, Denver Veterans Administration Hospital, Denver, Colo.

© 1979 by Grune & Stratton, Inc.
0041-1345/79/1101-0060$01.00/0

Transplantation Proceedings, Vol. XI, No. 1 (March), 1979
THORACIC DUCT DRAINAGE

An influential paper, not concerned with transplantation, was recently published by Machleder and Paulus of Los Angeles, using human thoracic-duct drainage as the sole treatment of autoimmune diseases, such as rheumatoid arthritis and scleroderma.19 This superb study defined in humans the time curves for suppression of humoral and cell-mediated immunity originally described over a 5-day period in rats by McGregor and Gowans.2 The full effect in humans was not achieved until after 30 days.

LIVER TRANSPLANTATION

Machleder's article was given orally in Louisville, Ky., at the Society of University Surgeons in early February 1978. Within a few weeks, we faced seemingly insurmountable management problems with one of our liver recipients who had deteriorating liver function despite treatment with azathioprine and high doses of prednisone. At the same time, there was a massive wound infection and breakdown of his thoracoabdominal incision. Thoracic-duct fistula was started 1 month after transplantation and maintained for 71 days (Fig. 1). Prednisone was reduced from a high level to 10 mg/day. Liver function slowly returned to normal. Although the patient required respirator support for several weeks, he recovered fully, requiring only a final upward adjustment of maintenance steroids 2 months after discontinuance of the thoracic-duct fistula. A second liver patient was treated with thoracic-duct fistula, starting 13 days after transplantation, under worse circumstances, including the presence of enteric fistulas. She also recovered and has also returned home.

We have performed thoracic-duct fistula on 9 liver recipients (Table 1), the two described above after a delay of 2-4 weeks, and 7 more at the time of liver transplantation. Seven of the 9 patients are alive with followups of 1½-6½ months. It has been impossible for us to achieve such consistency of results in the past. One of the two deaths was due to massive infection after a bowel
ula was started 1 day and maintained. Misonone was reduced mg/day. Liver function was normal. Although the support for several days, requiring only a portion of maintenance discontinuance of the second liver patient's duct fistula, started, under worse the presence of recovered and has thoracic-duct fistula (Table 1), the two delay of 2-4 weeks, of liver transplant patients are alive with months. It has been achieve such consistency One of the two deaths infection after a bowel perforation. Liver function was always normal. The other patient's homograft did not function well and developed multiple areas of necrosis after transplantation from a B donor to an A recipient. There may have been an element of hyperacute rejection because of the blood group violation. When thoracic-duct fistula was performed at the time of liver transplantation, the lymph drainage tended to be sluggish at first, reaching, in individual adults, a relatively stable level within a few days. These volumes varied from 3 (Fig. 2) to 7 or 8 liters (Fig. 1). It was interesting in these cases that the number of

Table 1. Liver Recipients Treated With Thoracic Duct Fistula

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Alive</th>
<th>Dead</th>
<th>Follow-Up (Months)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7 At transplantation</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2 (After 16 and 42 days)</td>
<td>1½, 1½, 2, 2½, 4½</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Delayed</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6 and 8½</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 2. Course after orthotopic liver transplantation for chronic aggressive hepatitis. Thoracic-duct drainage was started on the day of operation, and the cell-free lymph was reinforced intravenously thereafter. Note: (1) reduction of lymphocyte percent in peripheral blood during thoracic-duct fistula (however, the total lymphocyte count was not much affected because of the concomitant increases in total white count); (2) persistence and late resurgence of total thoracic-duct lymphocytes removed and of the T-cell component of these lymphocytes; (3) profound reduction of serum immunoglobulins while on thoracic-duct drainage.
lymphocytes removed declined at first but returned later in large numbers (Figs. 1 and 2) as if there were a lymphocyte-proliferative response to the homograft antigens. The percentage of T lymphocytes collected in the thoracic-duct lymph tended to remain high throughout the treatment period (Figs. 3 and 4). In all hepatic recipients (Figs. 1 and 2) as well as in renal recipients (Figs. 3 and 4) with thoracic-duct drainage, a remarkable reduction occurred in the serum concentration of the different immunoglobulin classes. This finding has important implications, to be discussed later, in carrying out renal transplantation in the face of antidonor cytotoxic antibodies.

In all but 1 of the 7 liver recipients still surviving, it was possible to reduce the prednisone doses to relatively low levels, below 5 mg/kg (Figs. 1 and 2). The fistulas were then discontinued after 1–2½ months. The azathioprine and steroid management (with or without antithymocyte globulin—ATG) were used as we have practiced in the past, with emphasis on reducing prednisone doses as rapidly as possible.

Fig. 3. Cadaveric renal transplantation without a definite rejection. The thoracic duct fistula was maintained for almost a month. Note that the number of lymphocytes removed from thoracic-duct lymph was actually greater at the end than at the beginning of thoracic-duct drainage. The depression of serum immunoglobulin is typical of thoracic-duct drainage, even though the cell-free lymph is reinfused.
...d later, in carrying out the face of antidonor... The fistulas were then 1-2½ months. The azathioprine management (with or without globulin—ATG) were practiced in the past, with t...nted per day was greater at the end of thoracic-duct drainage than at the beginning.

MANAGEMENT OF THORACIC-DUCT FISTULAS

Since thoracic-duct drainage has had a high failure rate, some important details are worth mentioning. In our center, the incidence of successful thoracic-duct drainage has been 94%. The thoracic duct is cannulated in the left neck. Into the duct is inserted a Swan-Ganz catheter, after cutting off the balloon. Valves in the duct are passed by the judicious use of metal probes, and the catheter is placed into the superior mediastinum. Heparinized saline (1000 U heparin in 500 ml saline) is infused at about 20 ml/hr. Providing the infusion is continuous, this small amount of heparin is enough to prevent clotting of the lymph at the catheter tip. Furthermore, additional anticoagulant has not been necessary in the transfer packs. After centrifugation, the cell-free supernatant in the transfer packs of 400-ml capacity were kindly donated by the Fenwall Corporation, Deerfield, Ill., for these pilot studies. Special transfer packs have been designed for thoracic-duct drainage and will be commercially available by about November 1, 1978 for approximately $4.50 per pack.
THORACIC DUCT DRAINAGE

collecting bag is passed by a closed system into a second bag. About 99% of the removed cells are small lymphocytes. A day's collection of cell-free lymph is reinfused intravenously throughout the next day.

In our experience and that of the Vanderbilt surgeons,18 a narrow spectrum antimicrobial antibiotic must be given to prevent staphylococcal bacteremia. When a decision is made to discontinue the thoracic-duct fistula, the collection bags are elevated from their normal position near the floor, to above body level, and the heparin infusion is stopped. When drainage stops, the catheter is gently but firmly pulled out.

RENSAL TRANSPLANTATION

The same general approach of thoracic-duct fistula at the time of transplantation was carried out in 20 renal recipients treated from 2 to 5 months ago. Three received poorly matched parental kidneys, and the other 17 received poorly matched cadaveric transplants. Sixteen of the 20 organs are functioning at 2–5 months, including 3 of the 3 related grafts and 13 of the 17 cadaveric kidneys.

In Table 2 are given data on the 17 cadaveric cases, which were compared with the last 50 consecutive cadaveric transplantations performed at our center under standard azathioprine-prednisone or azathioprine-prednisone-ATG therapy. The extent of the histoincompatibility was extreme in both groups, with an average of almost 3 mismatches. The percentage of patients treated with ATG was about 60% in both groups.

The quantities of lymph and the numbers of lymphocytes removed during the first 3 weeks of thoracic duct drainage are summarized in Table 3. The volumes were large, averaging 3.4 liters/day.

At 2 months, 13 of the 17 cadaveric kidneys were (and for that matter still are) functioning (Table 4). There were no deaths within the first 2 months, and the creatinine was below 2 mg/100 ml in 10 of the 13 remaining kidneys. The prednisone doses in the first 2 months were not significantly different than in the retrospective controls (Table 5), nor was the incidence of rejection (Table 6), but the percentage of functioning kidneys was higher (77% versus 60%; Table 4). Perhaps something of the late fate of such patients may be inferred from the small number of late graft losses in the earlier studies from Sweden63 and the recent ones from Vanderbilt.17,18 As mentioned earlier, the graft survival rate at 2–5 years in the Vanderbilt series was 75%.

In Fig. 3 is shown the course of a cadaveric kidney recipient who had no rejection. Thoracic-duct drainage was discontinued after a month and the patient discharged on 25 mg of prednisone. The drainage volume was about 3000 ml lymph/day. Throughout the drainage, T and other lymphocytes persisted in the lymph. There was striking depression of immunoglobulins.

The renal recipient whose course is shown in Fig. 4 had a severe but reversible rejection. Consequently, his high volume fistula (which produced about 6 liters/day) was left for 2 months. The quantities of lymphocytes and their T-cell components did not diminish.

What has happened to the four patients who rejected their cadaveric kidneys? Or has been successfully retransplanted; two others, who still have their thoracic-duct fistulas in, are awaiting retransplantation and the family of a fourth patient requests discontinuation of the thoracic-duct fistula and treatment with dialysis. When a fi

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2. Cadaveric Kidney Transplantation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Thoracic-duct fistula</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of Cases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Controls</strong>*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Thoracic-Duct Drainage in 17 Cadaveric Kidney Recipients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Duration Days (Range)</th>
<th>Total Volume*</th>
<th>Total Lymphocytes Removed*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>23 Days—&gt; 2 months</td>
<td>38-106 Liters</td>
<td>16.8 ± 55.0 x 10⁶</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>25.9 ± 9.8 x 10⁶</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*In first 3 weeks.

Table 4. Results in First 2 Months After Cadaveric Renal Transplantation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kidneys Functioning at 2 Months</th>
<th>Serum Creatinine &gt; 2 mg/100 ml</th>
<th>Patients Dead at 2 Months</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>17 Thoracic-duct fistula</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 Controls</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Of the 4 patients who lost their grafts, 1 had a successful cadaver retransplantation 4 weeks after the original transplant with continuing thoracic-duct drainage.

†Two of these five patients died with normal renal function.

kidney-fails with this approach of thoracic-duct fistula and simultaneous transplantation, the initial period of thoracic-duct drainage in a failed attempt can be viewed as pretreatment for the second kidney and apparently with little risk as reflected by the zero mortality. With the unpredictability of organ supply, the practicality of this strategy is evident in cadaveric transplantation. The early work of Dumont et al. and Singh et al. have indicated that thoracic-duct drainage at the time of kidney transplantation is as effective as prior treatment, but this clinically important point of timing must be reexamined in the experimental laboratory.

RENAL TRANSPLANTATION AGAINST CYTOTOXIC ANTIBODIES

Even now, in special cases, pretreatment may be advisable or even obligatory. A similar observation was made by Niblack et al. of Vanderbilt who reported that after a month or longer of thoracic-duct drainage, 3 of 5 kidneys were successfully transplanted across positive cytotoxic crossmatches with function of these 3 kidneys more than 2 years. If this observation were reproduced, the large reservoir of untransplantable renal patients that have come to plague every major center would become accessible to treatment. Because of the very drastic reductions in serum immunoglobulins caused by thoracic-duct drainage, the possibility has seemed logical.

We have carried out transplantation in two patients whose sera killed 100% of cells in our test panel as well as the lymphocytes of their donors. The first recipient was given a kidney after 4 weeks of thoracic-duct drainage. The organ did not undergo hyperacute rejection but functioned poorly and had to be removed 19 days later because it was consuming platelets at an alarming degree. In the meanwhile, after 7 weeks of thoracic-duct fistula, a second kidney was placed and, this time, the

Table 5. Mean Prednisone Dose (Mg/Day) at Weekly Intervals During First 8 Weeks After Renal Transplantation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time in Weeks</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>17 Thoracic-duct fistulas</td>
<td>117 ± 34</td>
<td>93 ± 55</td>
<td>74 ± 53</td>
<td>54 ± 47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(12)</td>
<td>(17)</td>
<td>(16)</td>
<td>(13)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 Controls</td>
<td>138 ± 21</td>
<td>89 ± 42</td>
<td>77 ± 42</td>
<td>60 ± 44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(47)</td>
<td>(44)</td>
<td>(42)</td>
<td>(33)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Number of cases at each time is in parentheses.
organ functioned adequately. A second patient was given a kidney across a positive crossmatch, and the organ has functioned perfectly. Although these follow-ups are of short duration, they are highly encouraging, since the predictable disaster of hyperacute rejection under these conditions has been avoided.

PANCREAS TRANSPLANTATION

Finally, a partial pancreas (neck, body, and tail) and kidney transplantation was performed in an adult with thoracic-duct drainage. Both first organs were rejected, as was a second kidney placed 13 days after institution of thoracic-duct drainage. However, a second pancreas transplanted across a strongly positive crossmatch after 17 days of thoracic-duct drainage has functioned perfectly for more than 2 months despite very minimal immunosuppression, consisting now of 10 mg/day of prednisone and little (12.5 mg/day) or no Imuran (Fig. 5). The patient, whose daily insulin requirements were 40–60 U now requires no replacement therapy.

SUMMARY

It is possible that thoracic-duct drainage, a major but neglected immunosuppressive adjunct, can have an important impact on organ transplantation. If thoracic-duct drainage is started at the time of transplantation, the
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practicality of its use in cadaveric cases is greatly enhanced. With kidney transplantation, the penalty of not having pretreatment for the first organ is compensated by the automatic presence of pretreatment if rejection is not controlled and retransplantation becomes necessary. The advantage of adding thoracic-duct drainage to conventional immunosuppression may greatly enhance the expectations for the transplantation of extra-

renal organs, such as the liver, pancreas, heart, and lung. There is evidence that pretreatment with thoracic-duct drainage of patients with cytotoxic antibodies may permit successful renal transplantation under these otherwise essentially hopeless conditions. Exploration of the neglected but potentially valuable tool of thoracic-duct drainage seems to us to be highly justified in other centers.
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