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Poll

DO YOU HAVE A LIBRARY PUBLISHING ADVISORY BOARD?
Question 1:
WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR PUBLICATION ADVISORY BOARD?
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Penn State</th>
<th>Atla</th>
<th>Pitt</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Provide input and oversight of the Penn State Libraries Open Publishing program</td>
<td>• Contribute expertise derived from local and external editorial responsibilities and scholarly activity</td>
<td>• Provide strategic guidance and expertise for the ULS digital publishing program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Provide feedback and advice about the services offered by the program</td>
<td>• Shape the strategic direction of the Atla Open Press</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Provide feedback and vote on proposals submitted for publication</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Act as advocates for the program</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Question 2: WHAT IS THE COMPOSITION OF YOUR PUBLICATION ADVISORY BOARD?
Penn State: 5

- 2 librarians from PSU Commonwealth Campus libraries
- 1 librarian from another USA University (Florida State University)
- 1 librarian outside the USA (University of Cape Town, South Africa)
- Open Publishing Program Coordinator, PSU libraries, (ex-officio, non-voting member)

Atla: 6

- 4 editors in chief of Atla’s primary publications
  - 3-year terms linked to their appointment as EIC
- 2 at-large members
  - 1 member of Atla
  - 1 non-member who has expertise in open access publishing and/or scholarly communication
- Appointed by Executive Director, serve 3-year terms

Pitt: ~11

- 3 University Library System representatives
  - Director of ULS
  - Associate University Librarian for Digital Scholarship and Creation
  - Director of Office of Scholarly Communication and Publishing
- Up to 8 appointed members
  - 4 from Pitt
  - 4 external, from library, publishing, and open access communities
- Selected by ULS director, serve 3-year terms
Question 3:
WHAT ARE THE ACTIVITIES OF YOUR PUBLICATION ADVISORY BOARD?
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Penn State</th>
<th>Atla</th>
<th>Pitt</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Meet bi-monthly about proposals</td>
<td>• Raise awareness about the merits and methods of open access</td>
<td>• Advise on strategic directions and partnerships for the program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Consultation with potential editors</td>
<td>publishing in the area of theology and religious studies</td>
<td>• Assist in the development of program policies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Provide input on new services</td>
<td>• Develop programmatic opportunities, promotion, and marketing</td>
<td>• Support ongoing evaluation of the program and publishing partnerships</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Give feedback on external publishing partnerships</td>
<td>• Assess activities and outputs</td>
<td>• Provide guidance on evaluation criteria for and selection of new titles and publishing partners</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Advocate for the program and open access publishing</td>
<td>• Facilitates collaboration and cohesion among Atla Open Press imprints.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Question 4:

WHAT ARE THE PROS AND CONS OF YOUR PUBLICATIONS ADVISORY BOARD SETUP?
Pros:
• Provides additional review before a publication is approved.
• Appeals to authors and editors.
• Credibility.
• More perspectives lead to better feedback and input.

Cons:
• “Pile on” problem in reviewing and accepting publications.
• Extra step to some decisions that should be easy to implement but demand a vote.
• Scheduling.
Atla’s View

Pros

• Diversity of perspectives
• Collaboration and coordination of marketing, cross-promotion, and content recruitment across outputs of journals and books

Cons

• Scheduling
• Making sure each person has a voice
• Time and attention to review documents/make decisions -- would be simpler sometimes to just handle internally
Pitt’s view

**Pros**

- Diversity of perspectives adds new views to our program and our publications
- Credibility
- Editorial experience – better feedback for our journal editors

**Cons**

- Engagement is often difficult
- Equity of voices and power dynamics internal vs. external
- “Pile on” problem
Work Session
Applications for your programs

Do you have a board of your own?

• Share your answers to our questions in the open Google doc.
  • Advisory Board Info Sharing
• Let us know if we can publicly share this info in a report, article, etc.
• Share your experiences in chat for discussion

Thinking about creating a board?

• Make a copy of our template to think through your program and the potential board setups that would work for you.
  • Advisory Board Worksheet
• Share questions, feedback, etc. in chat for discussion
Discussion

Consider questions about pros and cons of publishing boards. What are the things you’d want to know from your peers about Advisory Boards?

Do you have experiences to share? We can unmute you to speak. If you don’t want to speak, feel free to share in Chat.

• Discuss and share with your colleagues in the chat box.
• If you’d like to speak, raise your hand in Zoom.
• If you have a question, ask in the Q&A box.
Thank you for attending!
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