Estrogen Binding Protein Activity in Morris Hepatoma 7777 Compared With Normal Rat Liver
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Estrogen binding protein activities were determined in the cytosol from adult male Buffalo rat liver and Morris hepatoma 7777. Estrogen receptors were prepared using the protamine sulfate precipitation technique of Chamness. The ability of various unlabeled steroids competing with \(^{3}H\)estradiol was examined to establish the binding specificity. Estradiol binding in Morris hepatoma 7777 cytosol was greatly decreased compared with that present in hepatic cytosol prepared from normal rat liver. The receptor concentration expressed as femtomoles per milligram of cytoplasmic protein was 31.1 ± 2.9 SD for normal rat liver and 0.41 ± 0.88 SD for the hepatoma. Gel filtration chromatography revealed the presence of an estrogen binder in hepatoma cytosol which was not present in either normal liver or in the protamine sulfate precipitates of hepatoma cytosol. The molecular weight, binding specificity, and precipitation of this protein by specific antiserum suggests that it is α-fetoprotein.

The liver is a steroid-responsive organ both in male and female animals. Moreover, in both sexes many biochemical events occur that are dependent, at least in part, upon steroid hormone action. These include the transport of hydrophobic materials by various plasma proteins synthesized by the liver, such as sex steroid-binding globulin (1), the production of important circulating substances such as renin substrate (2,3), and the production and secretion of various circulating proteins such as ceruloplasmin (4).

After the original discovery of estrogen receptor in the liver (5–12), many attempts have been made to define a possible role for steroid hormones, particularly estrogens, in the pathogenesis of various hepatic diseases. This is particularly true for diseases such as hepatic adenoma (13,14), focal nodular hyperplasia (15–18), hepatoma, and angiosarcoma (19–22), although the relationship between estrogens and focal nodular hyperplasia has been questioned recently (23). Each of these hepatic disorders has been associated, at least circumstantially, with the long-term use of steroidal agents such as estrogens, androgens, or prednisone for any of a variety of clinical indications.

The present study is a report on the identification and quantitation of estrogen receptors in cytosol obtained from normal rat liver and from the Morris hepatoma 7777. The latter is a well-differentiated trabecular carcinoma (24) and was originally induced by feeding N-2-fluorenylalmethylcarbamate (FPA) to Buffalo strain inbred rats. It is a fast growing "minimal deviation" tumor which grows well in both sexes, although it was maintained primarily in male rats in our laboratory.

Methods

Tissue

Livers from adult male Buffalo rats were used (250 g). The animals were killed by decapitation and the livers were removed, washed, and placed immediately in iced saline solution for later processing. Morris hepatomas 7777 in Buffalo rats were transplanted subcutaneously to Buffalo recipients. The tumors were removed for the
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preparation of cytosol when the tumor weight was judged to be equal to liver weight (~10 g).

Materials

Estrone (E1), estradiol (E2), estriol (E3), and 2-methoxyestradiol (2-O-Me-E2), were purchased from Steraloids, Wilton, N.H. Diethylstilbestrol (DES), testosterone, 5α-dihydrotestosterone (DHT), progesterone, bovine serum albumin (BSA), standards for gel filtration chromatography, and protamine sulfate were purchased from Sigma Chemical Company, St. Louis, Mo. Norit A and dextran C were obtained from Fisher Scientific Company, Pittsburgh, Pa. Protein A-Sepharose was obtained from Pharmacia Fine Chemicals, Piscataway, N.J.; rabbit antiserum to mouse α-fetoprotein and goat antiserum to rabbit IgG were purchased from Miles Laboratories, Elkhart, Ind. [2,4,6,7,16,173H]E2, 151 Ci/mmol, was obtained from New England Nuclear, Boston. Mass. The radiolabeled material used in these studies was assayed periodically for purity by thin-layer chromatography on silica gel G in ethyl acetate/hexane/ethyl alcohol (85:10:5), and was used only if purity as determined by radiolabel migration was 95%.

Preparation of Cytosol

Approximately 10 g of tissue was used for each assay. All procedures were performed at 0°C. The liver was minced and homogenized with a Brinkmann polytron (Brinkmann Instruments, Inc., Westbury, N.Y.) in 2 volumes of TED buffer (0.01 M Tris HCl, 1.5 mM ethylenediaminetetraacetate (EDTA), 0.01 mM dithiothreitol, pH 7.4). The resultant homogenate was centrifuged for 60 min at 105,000 g. The supernate was removed carefully to prevent lipid contamination and treated with Norit A and dextran C according to the technique of Chamness (8, 25). The final protein concentration in the cytosol samples prepared was 15–25 mg/ml. Immediately before use, the cytosol was diluted to a final protein concentration of 5 mg/ml.

Protamine Sulfate Assay

Receptors were prepared using the protamine sulfate precipitation technique of Chamness et al. (8, 25), which precludes contamination due to binding of steroid to the moderate-affinity high-capacity male specific estrogen binder (12). Cytosol (0.2 ml) pretreated with dextran-coated charcoal and protamine sulfate (0.2 ml) solution (1.5 mg/ml in TED buffer) were combined in multiple replicate tubes and mixed briefly. After standing for 5 min at 0°C, the reaction tubes were centrifuged at 800 g for 10 min and the supernate was removed by suction. A 250-μl aliquot of radioactive steroid solution, with or without unlabeled hormone, was then added to each tube. After incubation for 18 h at 0°C, the supernate was removed and the precipitate in each tube was washed with three 2-ml aliquots of cold TED buffer. The precipitate, at the bottom of the reaction tube, was dropped in a scintillation vial with 2 ml of absolute ethanol and mixed for 1 h. After this time the bottoms of the test tubes were removed and 10 ml of Instagel (Packard Instrument Company, Inc., Downers Grove, Ill.) was added.

Binding Studies

Protamine sulfate precipitates of 200-μl aliquots of hepatic or hepatoma cytosol were prepared and incubated with several concentrations of [3H]E2 over a range of 0.15–3 nM in the absence (total binding) and presence (nonspecific binding) of 100-fold excess of unlabeled E2 for 18 h at 0°C. Specific binding was obtained by subtracting nonspecific binding from total binding. Scatchard analysis (26) was performed in the specific binding values. The slope and y-intercept of the apparent linear relationship were determined by use of the unweighted linear regression program of the TE 55 calculator (Texas Instruments, Houston, Tex.). In other studies to assess specificity of binding, a single saturating concentration (1.5 nM) of [3H]E2 was used in the presence and absence of 100-fold excess concentration of various unlabeled hormones, including E2, DES, E1, progesterone, testosterone, and DHT.

Gel Filtration Chromatography

Gel filtration chromatography of cytosolic proteins was carried out on Sephadex G-100 in Tris-EDTA buffer (0.01 M Tris HCl + 1.5 mM EDTA, pH 7.4). Cytosol (4 ml) from hepatoma was incubated with 2 nM [3H]E2 for 2 h before chromatography. A 400-μl aliquot was removed from each 2.5-ml fraction to determine the [3H]E2 content. Cytosol from normal male liver was chromatographed and [3H]E2 binding activity was detected after chromatography by incubating 200 μl of each fraction with 5 nM [3H]E2 for 2 h as described previously (12). The column (2.4 x 45 cm) was previously calibrated with blue dextran, BSA, ovalbumin, trypsinogen, and myoglobin and the molecular weights of the [3H]E2 binding proteins were estimated (27). In other studies on hepatoma, unlabeled cytosol was chromatomaphed and the [3H]E2 binding activity in each fraction was detected as described previously with unbound steroid being removed by dextran-charcoal treatment (12).

Immunoprecipitation Studies

Protein A-Sepharose was washed and coupled according to the manufacturer's recommendations to either rabbit antibodies against mouse α-fetoprotein (anti-AFP), or to goat antibodies against rabbit immunoglobulin G, the latter being used as a control preparation. Each preparation was stored as a slurry in TED buffer, 1 volume resin to 7 volumes buffer. Serum from normal and hepatoma-bearing animals, cytosol from normal male rat liver, liver of tumor-bearing hosts, and hepatoma were tested for estrogen binding activity by incubating aliquots (200 μl) of each with 5 nM [3H]E2 for 2 h at 0°C. Unbound steroid was removed with dextran-coated charcoal (12). Serum from host animals and hepatoma cytosol were diluted until
binding activity reached 50%-60% of the \(^{3}H\)E\(_{2}\) added, typically 1:500 for serum and 1:100 for cytosol. Normal rat and host liver cytosol were usually tested without dilution in order to detect low levels of immunoprecipitable estrogen binding. Normal rat serum had no detectable estrogen binding activity. The appropriate dilution of serum or cytosol was then treated with an amount of antibody and an equivalent volume of control serum or cytosol was then treated with an amount of antibody to immunoprecipitate AFP-Protein A-Sepharose slurry predetermined to result in maximum efficiency of precipitation, usually 100-fold unlabeled \(^{3}H\)E\(_{2}\). Specific binding was calculated by subtracting nonspecific binding from total binding. Each point is the average of triplicate determinations. Closed circles represent total binding, open circles nonspecific binding, triangles specific binding.

**Figure 1.** Specific binding of \(^{3}H\)E\(_{2}\) by cytosol of the normal male rat liver. Aliquots (200 \(\mu\)m) of whole cytosol (5 mg/ml) precipitated with protamine sulfate were incubated with six different concentrations of \(^{3}H\)E\(_{2}\) (0.15–3 nM) for 18 h at 0°C in the presence and absence of 100-fold unlabeled \(^{3}H\)E\(_{2}\). Specific binding was calculated by subtracting nonspecific binding from total binding. Each point is the average of triplicate determinations. Closed circles represent total binding, open circles nonspecific binding, triangles specific binding.

**Figure 2.** Scatchard plot analysis of specific \(^{3}H\)E\(_{2}\) binding in protamine sulfate precipitates of whole rat male liver cytosol. Aliquots (200 \(\mu\)l) of whole cytosol were precipitated with protamine sulfate and were incubated with six different concentrations of \(^{3}H\)E\(_{2}\) (0.15–3 nM) for 18 h at 0°C in the presence and absence of 100-fold unlabeled \(^{3}H\)E\(_{2}\), in the presence or absence of \(^{3}H\)E\(_{2}\). Specific binding was calculated by subtracting nonspecific binding from total binding and was expressed as femtomoles per milligram of cytosol protein. p < 0.001.

**Figure 3.** Specific binding of \(^{3}H\)E\(_{2}\) by cytosol from adult male rats and Morris hepatoma 7777. Aliquots (200 \(\mu\)l) of whole cytosol (5 mg/ml) were precipitated with protamine sulfate and were incubated with 1.5 mM of \(^{3}H\)E\(_{2}\) in the presence or absence of 100-fold unlabeled \(^{3}H\)E\(_{2}\). Specific binding was calculated by subtracting nonspecific binding from total binding and was expressed as femtomoles per milligram of cytosol protein.

**Auxiliary Methods**

Protein concentration of cytosol was determined by the method of Lowry (28) using BSA as a standard. Radioactivity content of samples was determined in a Packard Tri-carb liquid scintillation spectrometer (Packard Instrument Co., Inc., Downers Grove, Ill.). Multiple results were expressed as mean ± SD and statistical analysis was performed using Student's nonpaired t-test.

**Results**

A typical binding curve obtained using the protamine sulfate technique for isolating cytoplasmic estradiol receptors from normal adult male rat liver is shown in Figure 1. Binding is saturable and of limited capacity. Figure 2 demonstrates a plot of the specific binding data using the method of Scatchard for analysis. A single class of binding (receptor) molecules for estradiol with a uniform affinity was found (r = 0.99). In this particular experiment, the binding capacity was determined to be 35.8 fmol E\(_{2}/mg\) protein and the K\(_{d}\) was determined to be 4.42 \(\times\) 10\(^{-10}\) M. Next, the level of estrogen receptors was assessed in several normal livers and in hepatoma tissue as described in Figures 1 and 2. Figure 3 shows the specific binding of normal male rat liver (six samples) and that of Morris hepatoma 7777 (six samples). In four of the hepatomas the estrogen receptors were not found, that is, no specific binding was detectable. Two other hepatomas contained small amounts of estrogen receptor (0.26 and 2.2 fmol/mg protein), much less than that detected in the normal liver. Other samples of hepatoma cytosol have been tested for retention on heparin-Sepharose, an affinity resin for steroid receptors; no estrogen binding activity was retained by this resin.
To establish that the binding of the labeled estrogen in these studies was specific, the ability of various unlabeled steroids to compete with labeled estradiol was determined. The data shown in Figure 4 demonstrate that the binding was specific for estrogens. Thus, $[^{3}H]E_2$ binding was not inhibited by testosterone, DHT, and progesterone.

While protamine sulfate precipitation of hepatoma cytosol resulted in the detection of little or no estrogen receptor, estrogen binding studies in whole hepatoma cytosol revealed the presence of a high-capacity estrogen binder. Binding studies show that this protein has a $K_d$ for $E_2$ of $5 \times 10^{-8}$ M, indicating moderate affinity, and has a very high $E_2$ binding capacity, 20 pmol/mg cytosol protein (data not shown).

When whole cytosol from normal liver and hepatoma were tested for estrogen binder content using gel filtration chromatography, the results were strikingly different for these two tissues. As shown in Figure 5, normal rat liver has two $[^{3}H]E_2$ binding species. The first, eluting in the void volume of the column, has been characterized extensively as the hepatic estrogen receptor (12). The second, eluting from the column at a position consistent with a molecular weight of 25,000 is the hepatic male specific estrogen binder (MEB) described previously (12). Protamine sulfate precipitates the receptor but not the MEB (12). In contrast, the hepatoma contains neither the receptor nor the MEB, but instead contains a unique estrogen binder which elutes as a species of ~70,000 molecular weight. Further analysis of this material pooled from fractions 34-36 after Sephadex G-100 chromatography reveals that unla-
Table 1. Immunoprecipitation of \(^{3}H\)E\(_2\) Binding Activity in Hepatoma, Serum, and Normal Liver

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Preparation</th>
<th>Dilution</th>
<th>(^{3}H)E(_2) binding activity (%)</th>
<th>Control antiserum</th>
<th>AFP-antiserum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hepatoma cytosol</td>
<td>1:100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>17.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Host liver cytosol</td>
<td>Undiluted</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>73.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Host serum</td>
<td>1:500</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>23.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal liver cytosol</td>
<td>Undiluted</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>98.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(\text{AFP} = \alpha\text{-fetoprotein.} \) \(^{\ast}\) Values for control serum-treated samples were set at 100\%; these values were within ± 10% of values of \(^{3}H\)E\(_2\) binding in samples that were not treated with any Protein A-antibody resin. but were instead diluted with an appropriate volume of buffer.

Discussion

The tumor-inducing potential of hormones in endocrine-related organs of experimental animals has been well demonstrated. Ever since Eisenfeld et al. (5,11) initially reported the presence of specific estradiol binding sites in the hepatic cytosol of adult female and male rats, and the subsequent demonstration of the presence of a molecule described as an androgen receptor in the same tissue by Milin et al. (30), many research teams (31–34) have attempted to determine the relationship between hepatic steroid binding and the development of benign and malignant hepatic neoplasms.

In 1973, Baum et al. (13) suggested a relationship between benign hepatic tumors and focal nodular hyperplasia and estrogen use in women who had a history of prior oral contraceptive use. In contrast to the usual relatively benign nature of contraceptive-(estrogenic) induced tumors, liver tumors associated with the use of anabolic steroids are usually malignant, especially when the androgen content of the hormonal preparation is high (35–37).

At the present time, anabolic, androgenic, and estrogenic hormones are used widely for a variety of clinical indications. Because of this widespread use, numerous experiments have been performed to define the role of steroids, especially estrogens, in the pathogenesis of liver cell tumors. As a result of such studies, some authors have suggested that estrogens are carcinogenic (31,33,34). For example, in animals given various preparations of estrogens and progestational agents, the liver tumor occurrence rate can be varied from 0% to 60% depending on the dose, agents given, and the time of exposure (34).

Other authors have suggested that estrogens promote the carcinogenic effects of various procarcinogens but that they alone are not intrinsically carcinogenic (38–41). With such a hypothesis in mind, Wanless et al. (42) recently studied the diethylnitrosamine-pretreated rat model. In these experiments it was shown that estrogens promote the development of hepatic neoplasm by a mechanism which involves increasing the mitogenic activity of the hepatocyte nucleus. It should be noted, however, that the dosage of estrogen used in these experiments was 200-fold greater than that used clinically.

On the other hand, the biological importance of the estrogens in the process of malignant transformation of hepatic tumors has been questioned by Mishkin et al. (43). These authors show that administration of estrogen and tamoxifen in combination results in a reversal of acetyaminofluorine-induced hepatic hypertrophy, nodular proliferation, and malignant transformation. These chemically induced nodules before estrogen treatment displayed decreased estrogen receptor levels as compared with normal liver; however, the effects of the subsequent estrogen treatment on receptor levels were not elucidated. It is generally conceded that hormone receptors are necessary for the maintenance of hormone
dependency. At this time, however we are uncertain as to which factors control receptor levels, and further, what role these receptors play in the disease process.

Therefore, it may be premature to explain the relationship, if one exists, between the estrogen binding capacity of normal liver and experimental tumor or experimental estrogen-induced tumors. It is possible that the estrogen receptor is essential for participation in the early events of tumor formation, but that in later stages the estrogen, through its receptor, no longer exerts an influence on the cell. The present study clearly establishes that the Morris hepatic tumor does not have an increased estrogen receptor capacity or increased affinity for estrogens compared with normal rat liver. In contrast, the data clearly document reduced (p < 0.001) or no receptor binding activity by the tumor as compared with normal tissues. The lack of receptors in the transplantable Morris hepatoma 7777 may represent an endpoint of dedifferentiation in this tissue. In fact, estrogen receptors have been detected in human adenoma, but at a level significantly lower than in normal tissue from the same liver (44). Further studies are necessary to confirm such a temporal relationship.

The estrogen binder that is present in hepatoma cytosol but not in protamine sulfate precipitates or normal liver is interesting. This estrogen binder has properties that suggested that it may be AFP. Its molecular weight is comparable to AFP (45,46) and its specificity is such that DES does not compete for E2 binding, unlike the classic estrogen receptor (47). We have confirmed its identity by its selective immunoprecipitation by antiserum to AFP. These studies, however, also point to a necessary caution: estrogen binding in unfractionated liver cytosol, particularly in abnormal tissue, does not necessarily indicate the presence of an estrogen receptor. It is essential to establish the presence of receptor by criteria other than simply its ability to bind estrogen.
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