



Preparation and Performance Optimization of Two-Component Waterborne Polyurethane Locomotive Coating

Haonan Liu¹, Zhijie Bi¹, Zhong Wan², Xianming Wang², Yong Wan^{1,*}, Xiangxin Guo^{1,*} and Zhongyu Cai^{3,*}

- 1 School of Physics, Qingdao University, Qingdao 266071, China; liuhaonantongxue@126.com (H.L.); bizhijie@qdu.edu.cn (Z.B.)
- 2 State Key Laboratory of Marine Coatings, Marine Chemical Research Institute Co., LTD., Qingdao 266071, China; qdwanzhong@163.com (Z.W.); wxm133701@163.com (X.W.)
- 3 Chevron Science Center, Department of Chemistry, University of Pittsburgh, 219 Parkman Avenue, Pittsburgh, PA 15260, USA
- Correspondence: wanyongqd@hotmail.com (Y.W.); xxguo@qdu.edu.cn (X.G.); zhc35@pitt.edu (Z.C.)

Received: 22 November 2019; Accepted: 14 December 2019; Published: 18 December 2019



Abstract: This paper reports the effects of different formulas on the performance of waterborne polyurethane (WPU), including two-component WPU and curing agent, wetting dispersant, defoaming agent, and wetting agent. The optimization of rheological additives selection, through the optimization of coating physical properties and chemical properties, can make the film show uniform color and appearance without pinholes, bubbles, or wrinkles, and have a long probation period. Through the analysis of performance after a 1000-h quick ultraviolet (QUV) aging test, the light reduction rate is 23.19%, and the color difference is 1.9. As can be seen from the scanning electron microscope (SEM) image and the three-dimensional stereomicroscope, the film shows relatively uniform dispersion, good compactness, and smooth surface. The two-component WPU topcoat is found to have high gloss $87.1 (60^\circ)$ and high weather resistance, which provides a positive indication for the modulation and production of waterborne locomotive paint.

Keywords: two-component; waterborne polyurethane paint; locomotive topcoat

1. Introduction

Waterborne polyurethane (WPU) is environmentally friendly comparing to traditional solvent coatings, which contains low volatile organic compounds (VOC) and harmful air pollutants (HAPs) [1–3]. WPU reduces the risk of explosion and poisoning during the coating production process, and increases human health and safety [4]. Nowadays, environmental protection requirements on coatings are becoming more and more strict. Coatings are required to produce less pollution and consume less power [5]. As a water-based locomotive topcoat, WPU shows excellent mechanical properties, good compatibility, good moldability, and easy modification to meet the performance and application requirements [6,7]. WPU can be widely used in coatings, adhesives, wood processing, automotive manufacturing, medicine, and other fields [8–10]. In recent years, WPU modification and long-term reliability evaluation have attracted much attention. The reliability tests include QUV aging test, salt spray resistance test, temperature cycling test, damp heat test, accelerated weathering test, etc. [11–14]. Aznaret al. [15] used 50% WPU and acrylic compound formula to achieve 70 gloss. After accelerated aging test, the relative gloss change amount was lower than that of solvent-based acrylic and polyurethane paint. Gao et al. [16] analyzed the effects of sunlight, humidity, temperature,



and wind speed on aging performance in theoretical simulation experiments. The effects of sunlight radiation and temperature were 0.492, and the effect of humidity was 0.474, respectively. After ultraviolet irradiation, Zhu et al. [17] analyzed the infrared spectrum of polyurethane composite coatings and concluded that the failure mechanism was caused by the conversion of acetamide to primary amides, and the C–N bond was easily broken. Boubakriet al. [18] pointed out that the cross-linking density of thermoplastic polyurethane gradually increased, and there was competition between the chain fracture and cross-linking mechanism. Xia et al. [19] prepared a polyurethane coating by applying ultraviolet light curing, added fluoro-acrylic monomer and mercaptan, and achieved a luster of 86.9 ± 0.9 , which improved the hydrophobicity, mechanical properties, and yellowing properties of the coating. Haase et al. [20] found that the water-based coating turned yellow at 3024 h with fewer defects after plasma modified coating, while the solvent-based coating began to show defects at 1008 h after accelerated weathering.

However, water as a solvent shows several disadvantages, including poor dispersion ability, high surface tension, foaming, poor light and color retention, poor weather resistance, unstable performance of large areas of coating, easy to flow hanging, and other defects [21]. In order to obtain a reliable and stable coating, we refer to the tests performed by relevant experts and compare the tests with different formulas, focusing on the comparison of QUV aging reliability properties. For WPU modification, the appearance of the painting film on a large area of the locomotive, the mechanical properties, the trial period, and storage stability during the construction are comprehensively analyzed [22]. The comparison and analysis of a 1000-h QUV aging test show that the topcoat has a high gloss, and the gloss and color difference change little, which solves the problem of poor weather resistance of WPU [23,24]. These properties are closely related to chemical structure and microscopic morphology [25]. Finally, the optimized formulation of WPU to pcoat with high gloss and weather resistance is obtained. WPU has become one of the most important candidates in the development of rail transit locomotive coatings. It has been recognized and developed all over the world, and its industrial products have formed a large market scale at a very high speed.

2. Experimental Section

2.1. Materials

The formulations of raw materials are shown in Table 1.

Num	ber	Component	wt.%
1		Deionized water, A.S. Watson Group Ltd., Hong Kong	15–17
	2	 Wetting and dispersing agent D1 Disper BYK-190, high molecular block copolymer of pigment affinity group, 40 wt.%, acid index: 10 mg KOH/g, solvent: water, BYK Additives & Instruments, Wesel, Germany Wetting and dispersing agent D2 BYK-P104S, polysiloxane copolymer, 50 wt.%, acid index: 150 mg KOH/g, solvent: xylene/di-isobutyl ketone, 1:1, BYK Additives & Instruments, Wesel, Germany 	0.5–2
Part A	3	Defoamer F1 TEGO Airex 902W, organic polyetherester, 99 wt.%, TEGO, Berlin, Germany	0.05–0.1
		Defoamer F2 BYK-028, mixture of hydrophobic solids and polysiloxane in polyglycol, 98 wt.%, BYK Additives & Instruments, Wesel, Germany	0.1–0.2
	4	DuPont-R706 Rutile, Titanium Dioxide, DuPont, Midland, MI, USA	22–24
	5	Hydroxy acrylate aqueous dispersion A1 Bayhydrol [®] 2470, 45 wt.%, acid index: 10 mg KOH/g, solvent: water, Covestro Polymers Company Ltd., Leverkusen, Germany Hydroxy acrylate aqueous dispersion A2 Bayhydrol [®] 2646, 50 wt.%, acid index: 10 mg KOH/g, solvent: water, Covestro Polymers Company Ltd., Leverkusen, Germany	54–55

Table 1. Raw material varieties, additions, and specifications.

Num	ıber	Component	wt.%
	6	Wetting leveling agent L1 BYK-378, polyether modified dimethylpolysiloxane, 100 wt.%, BYK Additives & Instruments, Wesel, Germany Wetting leveling agent L2 BYK-349, polyether modified siloxane, 100 wt.%, BYK Additives & Instruments, Wesel, Germany	0.1–0.6
	7	Flash inhibitor NALZIN [®] FA 179, organic zinc chelates, 100 wt.%, Elementis Chemical Co., Ltd., London, UK	0.2–0.5
	8	Rheology additive R BYK-425, modified urea polyurethane solution, 50 wt.%, solvent: water, BYK Additives & Instruments, Wesel, Germany	0.7–1.5
	9	Ultraviolet light absorber UV1 100F, nanoscale Titanium Dioxide, 40 wt.%, solvent: water, Beijing THK SCI Co., Ltd., Beijing, China Ultraviolet light absorber UV2 200F, nanoscale Zinc Oxide, 40 wt.%, solvent: water, Beijing THK SCI Co., Ltd., Beijing, China	0.2–0.6
Part B	10	Aqueous isocyanate curing agent B1Bayhydur [®] XP 2655, 100 wt.%, Covestro Polymers Company Limited, Leverkusen, Germany Aqueous isocyanate curing agent B2Bayhydur [®] XP 2487, 100 wt.%, Covestro Polymers Company Ltd., Leverkusen, Germany	16–18

Table 1. Cont.

2.2. Characterization Methods

The raw materials of deionized water, wetting and dispersing agent D1Disper BYK-190, wetting and dispersing agent D2 BYK-P104S, defoamer F1 TEGO Airex 902W, defoamerF2 BYK-028, and DuPont-R706 were added into the grinding tank according to the formula. Firstly, the basket sander (FTM-L, Shanghai Farfly Energy Technology Co., Ltd., Shanghai, China) was used to grind and disperse at the speed of 1500–2000 rpm until the fineness was no more than 20 μ m. Then hydroxy acrylate aqueous dispersion A1 Bayhydrol[®] 2470 or A2 Bayhydrol[®] 2646, wetting leveling agent L1 BYK-378, wetting leveling agent L2 BYK-349, flash inhibitor NALZIN® FA 179, Rheology additive R BYK-425, ultraviolet light absorber UV1 100F or UV2 200F were adjusted to the A component according to the formulation amount, which was dispersed uniformly by a dispersing machine (SFJ-400, Shanghai Modern Environmental Engineering Technology Co., Ltd., Shanghai, China) at 400–500 rpm, and packaged by a 100 mesh copper mesh. A component was used in combination with aqueous isocyanate curing agent B1Bayhydur[®] XP 2655 with the ratio of 6:1. The viscosity was adjusted by water in order to achieve the spraying conditions. According to the requirements of the testing standards, the test plate was first sanded with a sandpaper and then wiped with alcohol. The topcoat reached the required thickness by spraying. After the film was completely dried for 7 days, the film performance test was performed [26].

Paint film test: The fineness test used the hegman fineness gauge (QXD, Tianjin Jingkelian Material Testing Machine Co., Ltd., Tianjin, China). The measuring range was 0–50 µm. The test was repeated three times and the closest two values were taken to calculate the arithmetic mean, which was the final fineness. The international standard was adopted ISO1524:2013 [27]. Drying time test: Surface drying used the finger contact method. Inside drying used the filter press method. The international standard was adopted ISO 1517:1973 [28]. The bending performance test used a film elasticity tester (QTX, Shanghai Modern Environmental Engineering Technology Co., Ltd., Shanghai, China). The paint film was observed visually or used a 4× magnifying glass for damage such as texture, cracks, and peeling etc. The flexibility of the paint film was represented by the smallest shaft rod diameter that did not cause the paint film to break. The international standard was adopted ISO 1519:2011 [29]. The cross-cut test used a paint film scriber (Shanghai Modern Environment Engineering Technology Co., Ltd., Shanghai, China). The international standard was adopted ISO 2409:2013 [30]. The gloss test used a gloss meter (AG446, BYK, Wesel, Germany). The international standard was adopted ISO 2813:2014 [31].

QUV aging test: Xenon lamp aging machine (H. J. Unkel Limited, Shanghai, China) was used. The light source is a UVA-340 UV lamp, and its radiance is 0.89 W/m². One cycle applies a UV light time of 4 h, a room temperature spray of half an hour, and then keeping at 60 °C for 3.5 h. The test was performed for 1000 h. It was tested with international standard ISO 4892-2016 [32]. Samples were taken out at regular intervals for performance evaluation of the coating film.

Performance Characterization: SEM was performed using a scanning electron microscope (SEM, Hitachi S-4800, Hitachi Company, Tokyo, Japan). The sample was adhered to the specimen holder with conductive adhesive, and gold spraying was performed. The observations were taken in SE mode. The stereomicroscope morphology was observed using a Digital Microscope (KH7700, Seika Corporation, Tokyo, Japan).

2.3. Major in Performance Test of Topcoat

The technical indicators and performance tests of WPU topcoat are shown in Table 2.

Projects	Technical Indicators	Test Results	Experiment Method
Fineness (µm)	≤20	15	ISO 1524:2013 [27]
Applicable period (h)	≥2	7	ISO 9514:2005 [33]
Surface drying time (h)	≤ 4	2.5	ISO 1517-1072 [28]
Inside drying time (h)	≤24	16	150 1517:1973 [26]
Paint film color and appearance	Uniform, no particles, pinholes, bubbles and wrinkles	Pass	Visual inspection
Bending performance (mm)	≤2	1	ISO 1519:2011 [29]
Cross-cut test level	≤1	0	ISO 2409:2013 [30]
Luster (60°)	≥85	90	ISO 2813:2014 [31]
Pencil hardness	≥HB	2HB	ISO 15184:2012 [34]
Heat resistance (150 °C 1 h)	Basically unchanged	Pass	ISO 3248:2016 [35]
Solvent resistance (butanone 50 times)	Basically unchanged	Pass	GB/T 23989:2009 [36]
Water resistance (24 h)	Basically unchanged	Pass	GB/T 1733:1993 [37]
Artificial accelerated aging test (1000-h level)	≤1	Pass	ISO 4892:2016 [32]
VOC content (g/L)	≤300	Pass	SRPS EN ISO 11890-2:2016 [38]

Table 2. Topcoat technical indicators and performance test.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Choice of Waterborne Resin and Curing Agent

In view of the technical difficulties of high weather resistance, high gloss, and high weather resistance of locomotive topcoat, two different waterborne hydroxy acrylic resins, hydroxy acrylate aqueous dispersion A1 Bayhydrol[®] 2470 and A2 Bayhydrol[®] 2646, are selected in this experiment to investigate the effect of different hydroxy acrylic resins on the properties of the paint film. Their performance parameters are compared in Table 3.

Table 3.	Performance	comparison	of two	waterborne	hvdroxy	acrvlic.	resins
Incie of	1 cirorinance	companioon	01 1110	materbollic	ny arony	aciyne	reonio

Hydroxy Acrylate Aqueous Dispersion	Solid Content [%]	Viscosity [mPa·s]	-OH Content [wt.%]	pH Value [–]
A1	45	2000	3.9	7.8
A2	50	1800	3.8	7.7

The curing agent selected in this study is aqueous isocyanate curing agent B1 Bayhydur[®] XP 2655 and B2 Bayhydur[®] XP 2487. By comparison, it is found that the gloss of paint film made by B1 was higher than that of B2, and thus the curing agent B1 was selected. It does not need a co-solvent and it is hydrophilic, as well as being easy to disperse evenly and stirred by hand. The paint film of the formulated paint shows high hardness and good chemical resistance. The effect of different waterborne hydroxy acrylic resins on the properties of the paint film is shown in Table 4.

Paint	Bending Performance (mm)	Luster (60°)	Impact Resistance (front/back) (kg·cm)	Cross-Cut Test (Level)	Pencil Hardness
A1 + B1	1	90	50/50	0	2H
A2 + B1	1	80	50/40	0	2H

Table 4. Performance comparison of two waterborne hydroxy acrylic resin paint films.

Comparing the two resins from Table 4, the coating gloss of the coating prepared from A1 + B1 is better than that of A2 + B1. Finally, the aqueous hydroxy acrylic resin A1 and the aqueous isocyanate curing agent B1 are used together.

3.2. Optimization of Additives

3.2.1. Selection and Effects of Wetting and Dispersing Agents

The wetting dispersant is helpful to disperse the color filler. The full wetting of the color filler can reduce the surface tension of the material and make the color paste form stable homogeneous particles. When grinded paste and emulsion are mixed, their compatibility and stability after mixing are the decisive factors of uniform non-settling and non-floating color [39].

In this study, wetting and dispersing agent D1Disper BYK-190 and D2 BYK-P104S are used to study the influence of different dosage on dispersion, storage stability, and the appearance of the coating film, as shown in Table 5.

Table 5. Effect of wetting and dispersing agent on slurry and coating film.

Properties	Null	Wetting and Dispersing Agent Content (wt.%)				
		0.5 D1	1 D1	1.5 D1	0.8 D1 + 0.4 D2	
Dispersion effect	Difficult to disperse	Ok	Ok	Ok	Ok	
Storage at room temperature (24 h)	Precipitate	Ok	Ok	Ok	Ok	
Coating appearance	Obvious flowering	Weak floating	Weak floating	Reduced gloss	Uniform smooth	

The results can be seen in Table 5. When the amount of wetting and dispersing agent is insufficient, the relatively low-density component moves upwards and the high-density component moves downwards. The paint film appears to be floating and the slurry settles. An excessive amount of wetting dispersant will cause the drawback of shrinkage holes to affect the gloss of the topcoat. Therefore, it is essential to coat the slurry with the proper amount of wetting and dispersing agent for the stability of the slurry and the smoothing of the coating. D2 additive contains polysiloxane copolymer, which is more effective in preventing floating. It contains silicone, which helps to prevent Bernard vortex and streaks, which improves the surface smoothness [40]. When the wetting and dispersing agent D1 with an amount of 0.8% and D2 with an amount of 0.4% are added, the surface of the paint film is most uniform and smooth.

3.2.2. Effect of Defoamer on Slurry and Coating Film

The bubbles are mainly attributed to the processes of grinding, dispersing, and stirring, roller coating or spraying, and construction on porous substrate, as well as the side reaction between isocyanate group and water in curing agent [41]. To solve this problem, defoamer F2 BYK-028 and F1 TEGO Airex 902W are selected to investigate the influence of different dosage and proportion on the grinding process and the appearance of the coating film, as shown in Table 6. With the increase of F1, bubbles generated in the grinding process significantly decrease, but the coating film is always concave. Finally, the appearance of coating film is improved by the slightly weaker defoaming agent F2. When the amount of defoaming agent is less sufficient, pinholes, dark bubbles, uneven surface, and other defects will arise. However, it can reduce the surface tension of the coating. When the amount added is excessive, the surface tension difference in the system will increase, leading to shrinkage

cavity. The surface tension of antifoaming agent is smaller than that of the coating, and it should have good dispersibility in the coating system and incompatibility with the coating system, but it cannot produce a negative effect [42]. When the final defoamers F2 BYK-028 and F1 TEGO Airex902W are used with the amount of 0.15%, the paint film state is smooth and even, as shown in Table 6.

Properties			Defoamer Content (w	t.%)	
	Null	0.05 F1	0.1 F1	0.2 F1	0.15 (F1 + F2)
Grinding process	Grinding A lot of bubbles ex process		A small amount of bubbles, disappeared after standing for 24 h	A small amount of bubbles, disappeared after standing for 24 h	A small amount of bubbles, disappeared after standing for 24 h
Coating appearance	More pinholes, dark bubbles, etc.	Uneven and defective	Individual depression	More shrinkage holes on the surface	Smooth

Table 6. Effect of defoamer on slurry and	coating film.
---	---------------

3.2.3. Effect of Wetting Leveling Agent on the Coating Film

In this study, wetting leveling agents L1 BYK-378 and L2 BYK-349 are used to control the appearance of paint film through different addition amounts, as shown in Table 7.

Table 7.	Effect of	wetting	leveling	agent o	on coati	ng film.
			A	- A		0

Properties		Wetting Leveling Agent (wt.%)						
	Null	0.3 L1	0.3 L2	0.15 L1 + 0.15 L2	0.6 L1	0.6 L2	0.3 L1 + 0.3 L2	
Coating appearance	Roughness	Individual shrinkage holes	Light orange peel	Smooth	Shrinkage holes	Fisheye defect	Shrinkage holes and fisheye defect	

According to our study, increasing the surface aid L2 reduces the surface tension and improves the wettability of the substrate. However, the additive does not improve the smoothness of the coating surface. Through the secondary surface additive L1, the surface tension is lowered to a high degree and the foam is stabilized. The surface smoothness is increased, and the compatibility is excellent [43]. When the surface additives L1 with an amount of 0.15% and L2 with an amount of 0.15% are added, the appearance of the coating film is most improved.

3.2.4. Effect of Rheological Additives on the Coating Film

Rheology additive R (BYK 425) is added to the formulation, whose amounts are 0, 1%, 2%, 3%, and 4% for analysis and comparison, as shown in Table 8.

Rheology Additive R Content (wt.%)	Viscosity/KU	Effects
0	53	floating color, placed on the bottom of the sinking and spray sag
1	59.8	placed with less floating color and sinking
2	69.2	Ok
3	75.7	uneven film and wrinkles
4	94	paint film has more pinholes

Table 8. Effect of rheological additives on coating film.

As can be seen from Table 8, as the rheological additive R BYK-425 increases, the viscosity of the system increases. Additive R is used to control the water-based and water-soluble resin systems. It endows WPU with the pseudoplastic flow to improve the storage viscosity and anti-sag of the system. The mechanism of action is that the hydrogen bond in the urea modifier generates a reticular structure to enhance the rheological property, so that the system is in a gelatinous state with larger viscosity. The network structure formed by the additive R in the latex paint prevents the recombination of the

7 of 13

pigment particles and prevents floating coloration and sedimentation. However, as the amount of addition increases, the appearance of the coating film may also be disadvantageous such as unevenness and wrinkles [44]. Finally, by comparison, it is found that when the additive R is added in an amount of 2%, the sedimentation floating color is improved, and the surface state is flat. The color is improved with the surface state being flat.

3.3. Effect of Topcoat n–NCO/n–OH on Performance

In the preparation of the two-component WPU, the n–NCO/n–OH ratio plays a significant role in the film properties. During the preparation process, the side reaction between isocyanate root and water eventually generates urea polymer, which can improve the degree of polymerization of film-forming material and the performance of paint film. Therefore, the amount of curing agent should be excessive, which can not only guarantee the complete curing reaction with the hydroxyl group, but can also cause a side reaction with water. However, the main reaction will be affected if the amount of curing agent is too high, which will affect the characteristics of the film. The side reaction will also produce carbon dioxide, which will lead to pinholes, bubbles, and other defects in the appearance of the film, resulting in poor performance of the film [45]. The influence of different ratios of n–NCO/n–OH on the performance of paint film is shown in Table 9.

Properties	<i>n</i> (–NCO/–OH)								
	1:1	1.2:1	1.4:1	1.5:1	1.6:1	1.8:1	2:1		
Exterior	Smooth	Smooth	Smooth	Smooth	Smooth	Smooth	Smooth		
Gloss (20°)	78.4	81.3	81	79.7	78.8	76.6	71.8		
$Gloss(60^{\circ})$	87.4	90.2	89.4	89.1	88.4	88.9	88.1		
Gloss (85°)	98	98	96.9	96.3	94.6	96.2	96		
pencil hardness	<hb< td=""><td>HB</td><td>2H</td><td>2H</td><td><hb< td=""><td><hb< td=""><td><hb< td=""></hb<></td></hb<></td></hb<></td></hb<>	HB	2H	2H	<hb< td=""><td><hb< td=""><td><hb< td=""></hb<></td></hb<></td></hb<>	<hb< td=""><td><hb< td=""></hb<></td></hb<>	<hb< td=""></hb<>		
impact resistance (front/back) (kg·cm)	40/40	50/40	50/50	50/50	50/50	50/40	50/40		
bending performance (mm)	1	1	1	1	1	1	1		
cross-cut test (level)	1	0	0	0	0	1	1		

Table 9. Effect of different ratios of *n*(–NCO/–OH) on the properties of paint film.

It can be seen from Table 9 that when the ratio of n–NCO/n–OH is less than 1.4, the degree of crosslinking of the film formation is small, and the gloss is lowered. When it is more than 1.5, the basic structure of the resin is broken. The smooth state of paint film is not good, which affects the gloss performance. A ratio that is too high or too low will affect the properties of hardness, back impact, and adhesion. In summary, the final choice of n–NCO/n–OH ratio is 1.4–1.5.

3.4. Weather Resistance of Topcoat

The microstructure of the coating can effectively absorb ultraviolet rays in sunlight. After absorbing the light energy, chemical bonds are broken and free radicals are generated. The aging process is accompanied by photooxidation reaction, among which the existence of singlet oxygen, ozone, and ground oxygen is the key to the occurrence of this process, and alkyl hydrogen peroxide and free radicals are important substances for the continuous photooxidation and photodegradation. UV absorbent is a kind of additive which can dissolve or disperse in water, or dissolve in water with the help of a solvent. It is necessary to add UV absorbent in a waterborne coating system due to its good emulsifying ability and good light retention [23]. Liu et al. [11] analyzed artificial aging tests on alkyd and polyurethane systems. After 720 h, SEM coating surface morphology was analyzed and obvious defects appeared. Rashvand et al. [46] conducted an artificial aging test for polyurethane coating. The nanometer ZnO was added to the system to improve the performance. After 720 h, the color difference reached 10 and the light degradation rate reached 100%. By comparing and optimizing the polyurethane system, we have made a great improvement.

In this study, waterborne polyurethane is used as the film forming material. Under the quick ultraviolet aging test, the formulation has been discussed and studied in the following experimental groups. The No. 1[#] sample is a mixture of hydroxy acrylate aqueous dispersion A1 and hydroxyacrylate aqueous dispersion A2, and the resin content is 60%. The No. 2[#] sample uses 60% hydroxy acrylate aqueous dispersion A1. The No. 3[#] sample uses 55% hydroxyacrylate aqueous dispersion A1. The No. 3[#] sample uses 55% hydroxyacrylate aqueous dispersion A1. The No. 4[#], 5[#], 6[#], 7[#], and 8[#] samples are hydroxy acrylate aqueous dispersion A1 with 55% resin content, and then added with additives of 0.3% UV1, 0.5% UV1, 0.3% UV2, 0.5% UV2, and 0.5% (UV1 + UV2), respectively (UV1 and UV2 are ultraviolet light absorber UV 100F and 200F). Their dry film thickness and gloss are shown in Table 10.

Properties	Formulation									
	1#	2#	3#	4#	5#	6#	7#	8#		
Film thickness (µm)	56–63	59–62	53–61	50–55	60–61	56–61	53–63	51–61		
Luster (20°)	85.6	82.6	80.7	81.6	83.7	73.1	14.7	69.7		
Luster (60°)	92.1	90.2	88.4	88.7	90.4	87.1	51.7	86.4		
Luster (85°)	101	101	101	101	101	101	95.7	100		

Table 10. Thickness and gloss of sample $1^{\#}-8^{\#}$ coatings.

As can be seen from the data, when the thickness of each sample is the same, ultraviolet light absorber UV1 100F has almost no influence on the gloss, while ultraviolet light absorber UV2 200F has a great influence on the gloss. As can be seen from the data, when the thickness of each sample is the same, the additive J has almost no effect on the gloss. When the additive amount of additive UV2 reaches 0.5%, the 60° gloss has decreased by 41.5% compared to the control group without UV absorbent. It has a great effect on gloss. The QUV aging test is then performed to verify the coating properties.

As can be seen from Figure 1 and Table 11, sample No. 1[#] has the highest original luster, but also has the highest light reduction rate after aging experiment. The performance of sample No. 2[#] is better than that of sample No. 3[#], indicating that when the resin content is low, the performance becomes worse after QUV aging test, and the chemical bond is seriously damaged. The formula of additive UV1 has little effect on the gloss, but the weather resistance is almost not improved. The additive UV2 has a great influence on the reduction of gloss, but the performance of gloss retention is stable. Under the condition of 60° gloss test, the luminous reduction rate of No. 7[#] sample is only 10.25%.



Figure 1. (a) The change of gloss with time in $1^{\#}-8^{\#}$ sample aging test under 20° gloss angle; (b) the change of gloss with time in $1^{\#}-8^{\#}$ sample aging test under 60° gloss angle; (c) the change of gloss with time in $1^{\#}-8^{\#}$ sample aging test under 85° gloss angle.

Gloss Reduction Rate	Formulation								
Gloss Reduction Rate	1#	2#	3#	4#	5#	6#	7#	8#	
20°/%	88.08	66.10	78.83	78.31	85.42	73.51	36.05	75.04	
60°/%	50.16	27.27	37.44	37.77	47.56	23.19	10.25	35.42	
85°/%	38.61	19.70	31.68	31.58	40.20	9.21	9.09	20.90	

Table 11. The rate of light reduction after 1000-h aging test.

The color difference calculation was performed based on CIE $L^*a^*b^*$ 1976 color difference equation:

$$\Delta E^* = \left[\left(L_2^* - L_1^* \right)^2 + \left(a_2^* - a_1^* \right)^2 + \left(b_2^* - b_1^* \right)^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
(1)

where L^* characterizes the lightness value and varies between 100 (white) and 0 (black); a^* and b^* are the chromaticity coordinates; a^* indicates the red and green terms; a positive value of Δa indicates that the sample is redder than the standard, and a negative value indicates that the sample is greener than the standard; b^* indicates yellow and blue terms; and a positive value of Δb indicates that the sample is yellower than the standard, and a negative value indicates that the sample is bluer than the standard [46]. Here, a colorimeter (SP60, X-rite, Grand Rapids, MI, USA) was used for measurements.

As can be seen from Table 12, the whole area turns black and yellow. Compared with unadded UV absorbers, UV absorbents have a certain role in weather resistance. Sample 1[#] has the largest variation in color difference to WPU system, and sample 6[#] has the smallest variation in color difference.

Aberration				Formu	lation			
Abellation -	1#	2#	3#	4#	5#	6#	7#	8#
ΔL	-2.3	-1.6	-2	-2.4	-1.5	-0.2	-1.1	-1.0
Δa	-0.1	-0.2	-0.2	-0.4	-0.1	-0.2	0	-0.1
Δb	1.6	1.7	1.6	0.4	1.8	1.9	2.0	1.9
ΔE	2.8	2.4	2.6	2.4	2.3	1.9	2.3	2.2

Table 12. Variation of color difference after 1000-h aging test.

This rating is based on the ISO 4892:2016 [32] aging rating method. Light loss and discoloration are measured with a gloss meter and a colorimeter, and the rest are visually inspected.

From the comprehensive rating from Table 13, No. $2^{\#}$, $6^{\#}$, and $7^{\#}$ can reach the primary level in comprehensive rating. The No. $2^{\#}$ sample added 60% resin, which is high cost, and can easily cause coating porosity, blister, and other problems in the large-area process. Sample No. $7^{\#}$ shows the smallest gloss change in the group. It has stable light retention performance. But the gloss is very low, which cannot meet the high light requirements of locomotives. It can be further developed and applied on matte paint. To summarize, the best performance is No. $6^{\#}$, which can meet the requirements of high gloss and weather resistance on the locomotive. Moreover, from SEM images (Figure 2a–c), the sample $6^{\#}$ paint film shows uniform dispersion and good compactness without any agglomeration. From the stereo microscope morphology (Figure 2d), the maximum height difference of the surface is 12.146 µm, and the coating is smooth.

Properties	Formulation									
	1#	2#	3#	4#	5#	6#	7#	8#		
Comprehensive level	3	1	2	2	2	1	1	2		
Loss of light	4	2	3	3	3	2	2	3		
Discoloration	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1		
Powdering	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Pan-gold	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Spot	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Contamination	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Cracking	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Foaming	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Mildew	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Peeling off	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Rustv	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		

Table 13. Topcoat comprehensive aging performance rating.



Figure 2. (a) SEM surface topography at 2×10^3 to 20μ m; (b) SEM surface topography at 5×10^3 to 10μ m; (c) SEM surface topography at 1×10^4 to 5μ m; (d) Three-dimensional topography map by a volumetric microscope.

3.5. Determination of the Trial Period of the Topcoat

As can be seen from Figure 3, the viscosity changes slightly in 520 min. Samples are made by spraying paint within 90, 160, 320, and 500 min. It can be seen from Table 14 that the luster was almost unchanged for 320 min and slightly decreased for 500 min. The change of viscosity and gloss is comprehensively considered, which meets the requirement of probation period.



Figure 3. Time dependence of the viscosity of the topcoat during the trial period.

Time (min)	Gloss						
	20 °	60 °	85 °				
0	82.9	90.5	102				
90	81.4	90.4	99.4				
160	81.4	89.9	101				
320	81	89.6	100				
500	71.2	85.3	97				

Table 14. The gloss of the topcoat during the trial period changes with time.

4. Conclusions

In this study, hydroxy acrylate aqueous dispersion A1 Bayhydrol[®] 2470 and aqueous isocyanate curing agent B1 Bayhydur[®] XP 2655 were selected and used together. The ratio of *n*–NCO/*n*–OH was selected to be 1.4–1.5, the amount of wetting and dispersing agent D1Disper BYK-190 was 0.8%, the wet dispersant wetting and dispersing agent D2 BYK-P104S was 0.4%, the defoamer F1 TEGO Airex 902W and F2 BYK-028 were 0.15%, and the wetting leveling agents L1 BYK-378 and L2 BYK-349 were both 0.15%. When the rheology additive R BYK-425 is 2%, the prepared two-component WPU locomotive topcoat shows a smooth surface. According to the study on weather resistance of the topcoat, it is concluded that by adding 0.3% ultraviolet light absorber UV2 200F, the first level of artificial accelerated aging resistance is achieved, and a WPU locomotive topcoat with high gloss and high weather resistance requirements of the 4 h probation period. The coating test was carried out in Tangshan Vehicle Factory, which met the requirements of perfect performance. The 20° high gloss and longer aging stability need to be further studied and discussed.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, Y.W. and H.L.; methodology, H.L.; software, H.L.; validation, Y.W., H.L. and X.G.; formal analysis, Z.W.; investigation, X.W.; resources, X.G.; data curation, Z.C.; writing—original draft preparation, H.L.; writing—review and editing, Z.B.; visualization, Y.W.; supervision, Y.W.; project administration, X.G.; funding acquisition, X.G. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC, No. 11144007), the Natural Science Foundation of Shandong Province (ZR2016AM27) and the Taishan Scholars Program of Shandong Province, China.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Kim, H.J.; Pant, H.R.; Choi, N.J.; Kim, C.S. Composite electrospun fly ash/polyurethane fibers for absorption of volatile organic compounds from air. *Chem. Eng. J.* **2013**, 230, 244–250. [CrossRef]
- Chang, Y.M.; Hu, W.H.; Fang, W.B.; Chen, S.S.; Chang, C.T.; Ching, H.W. A study on dynamic volatile organic compound emission characterization of water-based paints. *J. Air Waste Manag. Assoc.* 2011, *61*, 35–45. [CrossRef]
- Asif, A.; Shi, W.; Shen, X.; Nie, K. Physical and thermal properties of UV curable waterborne polyurethane dispersions incorporating hyperbranched aliphatic polyester of varying generation number. *Polymer* 2005, 46, 11066–11078. [CrossRef]
- Nifuku, M.; Tsujita, H.; Fujino, K.; Takaichi, K.; Barre, C.; Paya, E.; Hatori, M.; Fujiwara, S.; Horiguchi, S.; Sochet, I. Ignitability assessment of shredder dusts of refrigerator and the prevention of the dust explosion. *J. Loss Prev. Process Ind.* 2006, 19, 181–186. [CrossRef]
- 5. Hu, J.W.; Chen, S.G.; Zhang, M.Q.; Li, M.W.; Rong, M.Z. Low carbon black filled polyurethane composite as candidate for wide spectrum gas-sensing element. *Mater. Lett.* **2004**, *58*, 3606–3609. [CrossRef]
- Król, P.; Lechowicz, J.B.; Król, B. Modelling the surface free energy parameters of polyurethane coats—Part 1. Solvent-based coats obtained from linear polyurethane elastomers. *Colloid Polym. Sci.* 2013, 291, 1031–1047. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- Zhai, L.; Wang, Y.; Peng, F.; Xiong, Z.; Liu, R.; Yuan, J.; Lan, Y. Synthesis of TiO₂-SiO₂/waterborne polyurethane hybrid with amino-siloxane terminated via a sol-gel process. *Mater. Lett.* 2012, *89*, 81–85. [CrossRef]
- 8. Fuensanta, M.; Jofre-Reche, J.A.; Rodríguez-Llansola, F.; Costa, V.; Martín-Martínez, J.M. Structure and adhesion properties before and after hydrolytic ageing of polyurethane urea adhesives made with mixtures of waterborne polyurethane dispersions. *Int. J. Adhes. Adhes.* **2018**, *85*, 165–176. [CrossRef]
- 9. Ren, L.F.; Guo, X.D.; Zhao, Y.X.; Qiang, T.T. Synthesis and properties of waterborne polyurethane incorporated with phenolic acid grafted oligochitosan. *Prog. Org. Coat.* **2019**, *135*, 410–416. [CrossRef]
- 10. Wen, J.T.; Sun, Z.; Fan, H.J.; Chen, Y.; Yan, J. Synthesis and characterization of a novel fluorinated waterborne polyurethane. *Prog. Org. Coat.* **2019**, *131*, 291–300. [CrossRef]
- 11. Liu, P. Artificial aging test analysis of alkyd and polyurethane coating system. *Adv. Mater. Res.* **2012**, *496*, 116–120. [CrossRef]
- Palimi, M.J.; Rostami, M.; Mahdavian, M.; Ramezanzadeh, B. Application of EIS and salt spray tests for investigation of the anticorrosion properties of polyurethane-based nanocomposites containing Cr₂O₃ nanoparticles modified with 3-amino propyl trimethoxysilane. *Prog. Org. Coat.* 2014, 77, 1935–1945. [CrossRef]
- Fu, H.; Gao, H.; Wu, G.; Wang, Y.; Fan, Y.; Ma, J. Preparation and tunable temperature sensitivity of biodegradable polyurethane nanoassemblies from diisocyanate and poly (ethylene glycol). *Soft Matter* 2011, 7, 3546–3552. [CrossRef]
- 14. Yan, X.X.; Xu, G.Y.; Wu, Y.; Wu, Z.H. Lifetime prediction of EPU/Al low infrared emissivity coatings in damp heat. *Appl. Mech. Mater.* **2013**, 442, 104–109. [CrossRef]
- 15. Aznar, A.C.; Pardini, O.R.; Amalvy, J.I. Glossy topcoat exterior paint formulations using water-based polyurethane/acrylic hybrid binders. *Prog. Org. Coat.* **2006**, *55*, 43–49. [CrossRef]
- 16. Gao, J.; Li, C.; Lv, Z.; Wang, R.; Wu, D.; Li, X. Correlation between the surface aging of acrylic polyurethane coatings and environmental factors. *Prog. Org. Coat.* **2019**, *132*, 362–369. [CrossRef]
- 17. Zhu, Y.; Xiong, J.; Tang, Y.; Zuo, Y. EIS study on failure process of two polyurethane composite coatings. *Prog. Org. Coat.* **2010**, *69*, 7–11. [CrossRef]
- Boubakri, A.; Guermazi, N.; Elleuch, K.; Ayedi, H.F. Study of UV-aging of thermoplastic polyurethane material. *Mater. Sci. Eng.A* 2010, 527, 1649–1654. [CrossRef]
- 19. Xia, W.; Zhu, N.Q.; Hou, R.J.; Zhong, W.J.; Chen, M.Q. Preparation and characterization of fluorinated hydrophobic UV-crosslinkable thiol-ene polyurethane coatings. *Coatings* **2017**, *7*, 117. [CrossRef]
- 20. Haase, J.; Leung, L.; Evans, P. Plasma pre-treatments to improve the weather resistance of polyurethane coatings on black spruce wood. *Coatings* **2018**, *9*, 8. [CrossRef]
- Kim, B.K.; Seo, J.W.; Jeong, H.M. Properties of waterborne polyurethane/nanosilica composite. *Macromol. Res.* 2003, 11, 198–201. [CrossRef]
- 22. Fusaro, R.M.; Johnson, J.A. Protection against long ultraviolet and/or visible light with topical dihydroxyacetone. *Dermatology* **1975**, *150*, 346–351. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Ge, Y.H.; Kang, J.Y.; Zhou, J.H.; Shi, L.W. Theoretical investigation on thermal aging mechanism and the aging effect on mechanical properties of HTPB-IPDI polyurethane. *Comput. Mater. Sci.* 2016, 115, 92–98. [CrossRef]
- 24. Lin, R.Q.; Zhang, Y.; Li, H.; Yue, S.Y.; Zhou, C. Tailoring the morphology and properties of waterborne polyurethanes by incorporation of acrylic monomers. *Prog. Org. Coat.* **2019**, *135*, 65–73. [CrossRef]
- 25. Peters, C.A.; Ellwood, K.R.; Srivastava, Y.; Nichols, M.E.; Greenfield, M.L. Ultraviolet light absorber mobility in crosslinked coatings: Experiments and modeling. *Prog. Org. Coat.* 2007, *58*, 272–281. [CrossRef]
- 26. Zhang, J.M.; Naruhito, H.; Akio, T. Optimization of preparation process to produce polyurethane foam made by oilseed rape straw based polyol. *Polym. Degrad. Stab.* **2019**, *166*, 31–39. [CrossRef]
- 27. ISO. ISO 1524:2013-Paints, Varnishes and Printing Inks—Determination of Fineness of Grind; ISO: London, UK, 2013.
- 28. ISO. ISO 1517:1973-Paints and Varnishes—Surface-Drying Test—Ballotini Method; ISO: London, UK, 1973.
- 29. ISO. ISO 1519:2011-Paints and Varnishes—Bend Test (Cylindrical Mandrel); ISO: London, UK, 2011.
- 30. ISO. ISO 2409:2013-Paints and Varnishes-Cross-Cut Test; ISO: London, UK, 2013.
- 31. ISO. ISO 2813:2014-Paints and Varnishes—Determination of Gloss Value at 20 Degrees, 60 Degrees and 85 Degrees; ISO: London, UK, 2014.

- 32. ISO. ISO 4892-3:2016-Plastics—Methods of Exposure to Laboratory Light Sources—Part 3: Fluorescent UV Lamps; ISO: London, UK, 2016.
- 33. ISO. ISO 9514:2005-Paints and Varnishes—Determination of the Pot Life of Multicomponent Coating Systems—Preparation and Conditioning of Samples and Guidelines for Testing; ISO: London, UK, 2005.
- 34. ISO. ISO 15184:2012-Paints and Varnishes—Determination of Film Hardness by Pencil Test; ISO: London, UK, 2012.
- 35. ISO. ISO 3248:2016-Paints and Varnishes—Determination of the Effect of Heat; ISO: London, UK, 2016.
- 36. State Technical Supervision Bureau. *GB/T* 23989:2009-Determination for the Solvent Resistance of Coatings by Solvent Rubs; State Technical Supervision Bureau: Beijing, China, 2009. (In Chinese)
- 37. State Technical Supervision Bureau. *GB/T* 1733:1993-Determination of Resistance to Water of Films; State Technical Supervision Bureau: Beijing, China, 1993.
- 38. ISS. SRPS EN ISO 11890-2:2016-Paints and Varnishes—Determination of Volatile Organic Compound (VOC) Content—Part 2: Gas-Chromatographic Method; ISS: Beograd, Serbia, 2016.
- 39. Wallqvist, V.; Claesson, P.M.; Swerin, A.; Schoelkopf, J.; Gane, P.A. Influence of Wetting and Dispersing Agents on the Interaction between Talc and Hydrophobic Particles. *Langmuir* **2009**, *25*, 6909–6915. [CrossRef]
- 40. Chen, C.; Han, J.Y.; Sun, F. Gradient polymer networks formed by photopolymerization with self-floating polysiloxane-containing nanogel. *Polym. Adv. Technol.* **2016**, *28*, 312–318. [CrossRef]
- 41. Music, D.K.; Bodziak, W.J. Evaluation of the air bubbles present in polyurethane shoe outsoles as applicable in footwear impression comparisons. *Forensic Sci.* **1988**, *33*, 1185–1197. [CrossRef]
- 42. Reinhardt, R.A.; Heilen, W.; Walhorn, E.; Silber, S.; Adams, J.W. Defoamer selection in waterborne coatings. *J. Coat. Technol.* **1998**, *70*, 157–160. [CrossRef]
- 43. Zhang, Y.; Cheng, J. Effect of heat treatment on gradient wetting of (Meth)acrylate copolymer film surfaces. *Appl. Mech. Mater.* **2014**, 703, 187–190. [CrossRef]
- 44. Jiang, J.; Li, C.; Lombardi, J.; Colby, R.H.; Rigas, B.; Rafailovich, M.H.; Sokolov, J.C. The effect of physiologically relevant additives on the rheological properties of concentrated Pluronic copolymer gels. *Polymer* **2008**, *49*, 3561–3567. [CrossRef]
- 45. Dutta, S.; Karak, N. Effect of the NCO/OH ratio on the properties of MesuaFerrea, L. seed oil-odified polyurethane resins. *Polym. Int.* 2006, *55*, 49–56. [CrossRef]
- 46. Rashvand, M.; Ranjbar, Z. Degradation and stabilization of an aromatic polyurethane coating during an artificial aging test via FTIR spectroscopy. *Mater. Corros.* **2014**, *63*, 1–8.



© 2019 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).