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Summary
FK 506 was given for immunosuppression in 14 liver recipients. The drug was used in the first 10 cases because the recipients under conventional immunosuppression had rejection, nephrotoxicity, or both. This salvage therapy was successful in 7 of the 10 attempts. 2 of the 10 patients in the original salvage group as well as 4 new patients underwent fresh orthotopic liver transplantation under FK 506 plus low-dose steroids from the outset. None of these 6 patients had rejection although 1 with preexisting cor pulmonale and coronary atherosclerosis died of a myocardial infarction. In addition, 2 of the 14 liver recipients were given cadaveric kidneys, either from the same donor or from a different donor, and a third was given a pancreas as well as a kidney from the liver donor. There were no rejections of the kidney and pancreas grafts, and serious side-effects were not encountered.

Introduction
Cyclosporin has been an essential factor in the expansion of transplantation services during the past decade.1 However, its nephrotoxicity and other limitations have stimulated a continuing search for alternative agents. We report here the first clinical trials in liver recipients of a new drug that is not related chemically to cyclosporin or to other standard immunosuppressants. FK 506, a macrolide produced by Streptomyces tsukubaensis, was discovered in 1984 in Japan during a search for new immunosuppressive and cancer chemotherapeutic agents. It was shown to be immunosuppressive in vitro by Kino et al2 and in rats by Ochiai et al3 and by numerous others (see ref 4) throughout 1987. A major divergence of opinion quickly surfaced about the potential clinical value of the agent. From our studies of heart, kidney, or liver transplantation in rats,8 dogs,10,11 and subhuman primates,10,12 we judged it worthy of a clinical trial. In contrast, workers at California University reported unacceptable toxicity including widespread arteritis.13 However, we14 and Ochiai et al15 have shown such vascular lesions to be present in non-immunosuppressed dogs after whole organ transplantation and in dogs treated with other agents including cyclosporin. Arteritis has not been a feature in baboons treated with FK 50616 or in formal toxicology studies.17 When examining its effects in man we did not feel justified in conducting potentially dangerous pharmacokinetic studies in normal volunteers, as is the usual practice in drug development. Instead the agent was given, in the first instance, to patients in desperate plight because their liver grafts were being rejected despite conventional immunosuppression.

Later, it was combined with low doses of steroids as primary antirejection treatment for high-risk recipients of livers, kidneys, and in one case a pancreas.

Methods
Liver Graft Rescue
The original hepatic diagnoses in these 10 patients were postnecrotic cirrhosis (6) and sclerosing cholangitis (4). Conventional treatment was deemed to have failed if there was acute or chronic graft rejection despite immunosuppression with cyclosporin and steroids with or without adjuvant antilymphocyte globulin (polyclonal or monoclonal) and/or azathioprine. In most patients, abnormal renal function had imposed limits on the amount of cyclosporin that could be given. Patient 3 had undergone a renal transplantation in December, 1988, but this kidney showed end-stage chronic rejection on biopsy. The hepatic grafts that were undergoing rejection had been in place for 12 days to 61 years. 4 of the 10 patients had already undergone retransplantation one to four times, and the starting point for the FK 506 study was based on the
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last graft. 9 of the 10 patients had abnormal tests of liver function and/or injury—jaundice in 7 (total bilirubin 37.6–359 μmol/l) and raised serum aminotransferases and canalicular enzymes (alkaline phosphatase and/or gamma-glutamyl transferase) in 9. Patients 5 and 10 were gravely ill with acute hepatic failure when FK 506 was started and they had already been listed with the national organ procurement network as candidates for emergency retransplantation. The diagnosis and characteristics of rejection were established by liver biopsy in all 10 patients; duct injury and cellular infiltration were prominent features, vascular injury and fibrosis being less common.

An additional patient who had undergone hepatic and renal transplantation in November, 1988, for polycystic liver and kidney disease was entered into the FK 506 rescue protocol on April 8, 1989, but was withdrawn a few days later when the diagnosis was revised to acute B virus hepatitis.

Fresh Liver Grafts

Patients 2 and 9, whose livers could not be salvaged with FK 506, received new grafts (their sixth and second liver, respectively) under FK 506 and low-dose steroids. This sixth liver replacement in patient 2 was performed under emergency conditions when occlusion of the arterial conduit to graft number 5 led to septic hepatic gangrene of the hilum, gram-negative bacteraemia, and septic shock. Patient 9 was jaundiced but in good condition at the time of retransplantation.

In addition, four primary liver transplantsations were done under FK 506 and low-dose steroids. The first patient (no 11) was a hepatitis B virus carrier with postnecrotic cirrhosis, juvenile diabetes mellitus, and renal failure. He had such severe autonomic neuropathy that he could no longer eat. The next 3 patients had postnecrotic cirrhosis (case 14), Patient 12 had cor pulmonale, hepatic gangrene of the hilum, gram-negative bacteraemia, and septic shock. Patient 9 was jaundiced but in good condition at the time of retransplantation.

Fresh Kidney Transplantation

Liver recipients 1 and 3 had renal failure at the time of the switch from cyclosporin to FK 506. Twenty-seven and forty-seven days later, respectively, cadaver kidneys were transplanted under FK 506 and low-dose steroids. In addition patient 11, who was anuric, received a kidney from his liver and pancreas donor.

Pancreatico-duoden-o-jejunal Transplantation

In patient 11 the whole pancreas was placed intraperitoneally and arterialised with a Carrell patch on the right common iliac artery. An iliac vein graft from the cadaver donor was used to extend the portal vein for an outflow anastomosis to the anterior surface of the recipient right common iliac vein. The duodenum was closed in two layers and a 35 cm segment of cadaveric jejunum was brought as a Brooke jejunostomy to the right lower quadrant for collection of pancreatic and exocrine secretions in an ileostomy bag. The pancreas graft, which was transplanted last of the three organs from the common donor, had a cold ischaemic period of 24 h compared with 12 h for the liver and 18 h for the kidney.

Immunosuppression and Monitoring for Liver Salvage

In the early cases we examined the effect of FK 506 on the pharmacokinetics of cyclosporin and observed increases in cyclosporin blood levels with associated nephrotoxicity. The results discouraged us from trying combined therapy.

All patients received an initial intravenous bolus of 0.15 mg/kg FK 506 over 1 h. Plasma concentrations, measured by the two-step monoclonal enzyme immunoassay technique of Tamura et al., were highest (9.0–20.0 ng/ml) at the end of the 1 h infusion and fell exponentially thereafter (to 0.7–3.8 ng/ml after 12 h). When intravenous therapy was continued, 0.075 mg/kg was given every 12 h until oral doses were started: at the lower intravenous doses, peaks ranged from 3.3 to 20 ng/ml with 12 h troughs of 0.5 to 5.0 ng/ml.

TABLE I—LIVER RECIPIENTS GIVEN RESCUE TREATMENT:
FOLLOW-UP TO SEPT 14, 1989

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>Age/sex</th>
<th>Date of last liver graft</th>
<th>Date FK 506 started (1989)</th>
<th>Fate of liver graft</th>
<th>Serum creatinine (μmol/l)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>28/F</td>
<td>June 29, '88*</td>
<td>Feb 28</td>
<td>Salvaged</td>
<td>362</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>38/M</td>
<td>Jan 1, '89*</td>
<td>March 25</td>
<td>Replaced</td>
<td>195</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>30/F</td>
<td>Nov 16, '87*</td>
<td>April 4</td>
<td>July 27</td>
<td>195</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>42/M</td>
<td>April 30, '89</td>
<td>Feb 6</td>
<td>Salvaged</td>
<td>141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>38/F</td>
<td>Feb 12, 82</td>
<td>June 29</td>
<td>Salvaged</td>
<td>239</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>47/M</td>
<td>June 15, '86</td>
<td>Jan 7</td>
<td>Salvaged</td>
<td>239</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>18/F</td>
<td>July 18, '86</td>
<td>July 8</td>
<td>Salvaged</td>
<td>203</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>63/F</td>
<td>May 8, '89</td>
<td>July 30</td>
<td>Replacement</td>
<td>141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>37/M</td>
<td>July 10, '89</td>
<td>Feb 8</td>
<td>Replaced</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>45/F</td>
<td>July 29, '89</td>
<td>Aug 10</td>
<td>Salvaged</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Third (case 1), fifth (case 2), and second (case 3 and 7) liver grafts.
†Graft replaced because of arterial thrombosis and septic hepatic gangrene (case 2) or because the small bile ducts had already been destroyed (cases 8 and 9).

TABLE II—LIVER HISTOLOGY BEFORE AND AFTER IN SALVAGE GROUP

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>Pre-FK</th>
<th>Day</th>
<th>Portal infiltrate</th>
<th>Duct damage</th>
<th>Duct loss</th>
<th>Fibrosis</th>
<th>Function</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1+</td>
<td>1+</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1+</td>
<td>1+</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1+</td>
<td>1+</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1+</td>
<td>1+</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1+</td>
<td>1+</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1+</td>
<td>1+</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1+</td>
<td>1+</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1+</td>
<td>1+</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1+</td>
<td>1+</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1+</td>
<td>1+</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Patient placed back on cyclosporin after 120 days because of shortage of intravenous FK 506. This last biopsy was 21 days later. Patient has persistent low-grade lobular hepatitis.
**TABLE III—FRESH TRANSPLANTATIONS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>Date started (1989)</th>
<th>Date of fresh transplantation</th>
<th>Organ transplanted</th>
<th>Graft function (Sept 10)</th>
<th>Total bilirubin (µmol/l)</th>
<th>Creatinine (µmol/l)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Feb 28</td>
<td>March 27</td>
<td>Kidney</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>5-1</td>
<td>106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>March 25</td>
<td>July 2</td>
<td>6th liver</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>10-2</td>
<td>195</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>April 4</td>
<td>May 21</td>
<td>2nd kidney</td>
<td>Removed</td>
<td>12-0</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Aug 2</td>
<td>Aug 28</td>
<td>2nd liver</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>44-5</td>
<td>106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Aug 17</td>
<td>Aug 21</td>
<td>Liver</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>20-5</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Aug 18</td>
<td>Aug 21</td>
<td>Kidney</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>18-8</td>
<td>106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Aug 24</td>
<td>Aug 21</td>
<td>Liver</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>6-8</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Sept 3</td>
<td>Sept 3</td>
<td>Liver</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>32-4</td>
<td>124</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Myocardial infarction.

**TABLE IV—COMPLAINTS DURING TREATMENT WITH FK506**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symptom</th>
<th>Intravenous</th>
<th>Oral</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nausea</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vomiting</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Headache</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burning feet</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flushing</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Transient.

Oral doses of FK 506 were 0-15 mg/kg every 12 h or 24 h. The absorption curves with oral administration showed only minor increases from trough to peak levels in stable patients; the maximum rise being observed after about 2 h. 12 h or 24 h plasma trough levels that were seemingly therapeutic ranged from 0-2 to as high as 6 or 7 ng/ml without a detectable association with toxicity. The oral doses were adjusted downward to provide trough levels of less than 3 ng/ml.

Prednisone doses were left temporarily at their previous level if these were 20 mg/day or less; were reduced to 20 mg if they had been higher, and were subsequently reduced further.

**Immunosuppression and Monitoring for Fresh Transplantations**

A first intravenous dose of 0-15 mg/kg FK 506 was given after revascularisation of the graft, followed by 0-075 mg/kg twice a day; we proceeded to oral therapy in the same way as with graft salvage. 6 patients received 200 mg methylprednisolone at the time of operation, the dose being reduced in 40 mg decrements to 20 mg/day. In 2 others the previous maintenance dose of intravenous or oral methylprednisolone was left unchanged at 20 mg/day.

**Results**

Tables I-III summarise patient details and results.

**Liver Graft Rescue**

**Grafts salvaged.**—All 10 patients had a sustained improvement in at least some liver functions. 7 of the 10 liver grafts are still in place and providing good to normal function after FK 506 treatment for one to more than six months. Only 1 of these 7 patients (case 10) has jaundice; her bilirubin has fallen from 301-0 to 73-5 µmol/l. The improvements in liver function were very prompt (fig 1). Objective evidence of benefit was obvious by the time the first liver biopsy specimens were obtained after the start of therapy (fig 2). Table II records all biopsy data. The classic findings of liver rejection were ameliorated or eliminated. The ability to halt the duct injury was particularly striking in those patients whose duct injury was not already irreparable.

**Graft losses.**—Patient 9 had severe rejection with prominent duct injury and patient 8 had no identifiable ducts in her graft before beginning treatment. Although there was diminution of other histopathological evidence of rejection (table II), the ducts were not restored nor was jaundice relieved in those 2 patients. Retransplantation was successful in patient 9. Patient 8 died on the operating table during attempted retransplantation. Patient 1 had improvement of liver function and histopathological findings for almost three months until development of regional hepatic gangrene. The liver was replaced. The conduit used to rererarterialise his fifth graft proved to have a
tight stricture which was thought to have completely obstructed the blood flow.

Renal function.—The previously placed renal homograft in patient 3 went on to complete failure. Renal function in the other 9 patients remained almost unchanged (table I). Several patients with preexisting hyperkalaemia remained hyperkalaemic and were successfully treated with fludrocortisone acetate. Patient 1, in whom hyperkalaemia was regarded as life-threatening, was treated by renal transplantation. 7 of the patients had arterial hypertension requiring medication before FK 506 was started: in 5 all antihypertensive drugs could be stopped, in 1 medication was reduced from two drugs to one, and in the 7th the regimen was unchanged.

Fresh Grafts

Livers.—The two livers inserted at retransplantation (patients 2 and 9) functioned well from the outset with no clinical evidence of rejection in the 11 and 21 weeks of follow-up. Postoperative biopsy showed no evidence of rejection. Patient 1 already had poor renal function and this did not become worse, and patient 9 had normal renal function throughout (tables I and III). The four primary liver grafts (patients 11-14) also performed flawlessly (fig 3). Biopsy specimens after 1 and 2 weeks showed no evidence of rejection. The recipients had no evidence of nephrotoxicity (table III). Patient 12, who had severe pulmonary hypertension, recovered smoothly until day 14 when she complained of abdominal pain and tenderness. Laparotomy showed no abnormality but she had a fatal myocardial infarction under anaesthesia. At necropsy there was extensive atherosclerosis of the aorta, and the left anterior descending coronary artery was occluded by plaque. There were typical findings of cor pulmonale including biventricular hypertrophy. The liver graft was normal except for a light mononuclear infiltrate in some of the portal areas, with scattered microabscesses and microgranulomas.

Kidneys.—The cadaveric renal transplants in liver patients 1 and 11 have functioned well for five and a half months and one month, respectively. Current serum creatinines are 106 and 97 µmol/l (table III). These patients are normotensive without antihypertensive therapy. The cadaveric kidney transplanted to liver patient 3 passed through a 2-week period of acute tubular necrosis before diuresis began. 30 days postoperatively, disruption of the end-to-side renal arterial anastomosis to the external iliac artery (because of a candida infection) necessitated graft nephrectomy and ligation of the iliac artery. The removed renal graft showed acute fibrin thrombi in some of the polar arterioles. These were attributed to seeding from the suture line infection. There was no evidence of cellular rejection or drug toxicity. Under immunosuppression with cyclosporin, azathioprine, and prednisone, the recipient of the other kidney from the same donor likewise had disruption of the
renal arterial suture line on the 11th postoperative day and died. In our programme this complication arises in about 1 in 1500 kidney transplantations, and in this instance was thought to have been caused by contamination from the shared donor.

Pancreatico-duodenal-jejunal graft.—The patient is insulin-free one month postoperatively. Biopsy specimens were taken from the jejunostomy bud on postoperative days twelve and twenty-one and were histopathologically normal except for small foci of granulation tissue in the lamina propria.

Side-effects

The first intravenous doses of FK 506 caused headaches in 4 patients, nausea, vomiting, or anorexia in 7, and flushing of the feet in 3 (table IV). The oral preparation was well tolerated, causing transient nausea or vomiting in only 2 patients. There were no correlation between symptoms and plasma concentrations of FK 506.

Discussion

The performance of FK 506, both for salvage and for primary immunosuppression in high-risk patients, was impressive. The drug was remarkably free from unwanted effects. One disadvantage of our study was the impossibility of ruling out nephrotoxicity, but the weight of evidence is that the drug is not nephrotoxic in therapeutic doses and that it does not cause hypertension. With other agents such as azathioprine and cyclosporin, a dose ceiling is imposed by it does not cause hypertension. With other agents such as cyclosporin, with which it is synergistic in agents such as cyclosporin, with which it is synergistic in.

FK 506 may have increased the toxicity of cyclosporin, possibly by raising its blood concentration. FK 506 was so potent and free of side-effects that the simplest expedient was to use it alone.
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Summary

The prevalence of antibodies against hepatitis C virus (HCV) was investigated in 96 patients with hepatocellular carcinoma, 106 patients with liver cirrhosis without evidence of cancer, and 177 controls without liver disease. 75% of patients with hepatocellular carcinoma had HCV antibodies (anti-HCV), a significantly higher proportion than that observed in patients with cirrhosis (53.6%), or controls (7.3%). The prevalence of anti-HCV was significantly higher in patients with alcoholic cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma (76%) than in patients with alcoholic cirrhosis alone (38.7%), whereas in patients with cryptogenic cirrhosis there was no significant difference between those with and without primary liver cell cancer (81.4% and 77.5%, respectively). These results indicate that HCV infection may have a role in the pathogenesis of hepatocellular carcinoma, even in patients with chronic liver disease apparently related to other agents such as alcohol, and that this recently identified hepatitis virus may be found in a large proportion of patients with cryptogenic cirrhosis.

Introduction

Hepatocellular carcinoma is a common neoplasm world wide, and epidemiological surveys from different areas have shown a relation between this neoplasm and several factors, especially infection with hepatitis B virus (HBV).

The oncogenic role of HBV in hepatocellular carcinoma is particularly important in countries with a high prevalence of HBV infection, whereas in low prevalence areas hepatocellular carcinoma is more commonly related to other factors, especially cirrhosis in patients who do not have hepatitis B surface antigen (HBsAg).

Whether cirrhosis
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