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Dr. Thomas E. Starzl is Professor of Surgery and Director of the Transplantation Institute at the University of Pittsburgh School of Medicine. He is an internationally famed contributor in the field of transplantation and is currently pursuing studies on xenotransplantation, both in the experimental laboratory and in a recent clinical case. In this Residents' Edition of *Annals of Surgery*, he has provided an extraordinary commentary on the future of xenotransplantation. His article will predictably be widely read and acclaimed by surgical residents across the nation.

Dr. Starzl is a native of Iowa and attended Westminster College, where he was later selected for the Alumni Achievement Award. At Northwestern University, he received both a Ph.D. and a medical degree and was elected to Alpha Omega Alpha. He obtained his residency training at Johns Hopkins University, under the late Alfred Blalock, and also at the University of Miami. After he completed his surgical residency, he was appointed to the faculty in the Department of Surgery at Northwestern University. In 1964, he became Professor and Chairman of the Department of Surgery at the University of Colorado, where he rapidly built a distinguished clinical and investigative program in the field of transplantation. He is in large part responsible for the commonly held view that transplantation is medicine's miracle of the twentieth century.

The advent of cyclosporine greatly extended Dr. Starzl's clinical program, but the side effects were recognized and
attempts were made to prevent them. The macrolide antibiotic FK 506 was discovered in 1984, and Dr. Starzl rapidly assumed the leadership position in the study and clinical application of this agent, which has greatly extended allograft survival.

It is astonishing to review the enormous productivity of Thomas Starzl. He has authored 1470 scientific articles, has written 177 chapters in textbooks, is the author of four books of his own, and has made nearly 1000 scientific presentations at major national and international meetings.

As would be predicted from his many contributions, Thomas Starzl has received many national and international honors. He was the Founding President of the American Society of Transplant Surgeons and has served as Vice President and President of the International Transplantation Society. He was elected Colorado Man of the Year and received a similar award from the city of Pittsburgh. He received the Sheen Award of the American College of Surgeons, the Alumni Medal of Northwestern University, the Bigelow Medal of the Boston Surgical Society, and the William Beaumont Prize in Gastroenterology. The American Surgical Association bestowed upon him its prestigious and rarely awarded Medalion for Scientific Achievement. In 1986, he was appointed Distinguished Service Professor of Health Sciences at the University of Pittsburgh. He has been made an Honorary Fellow of the Royal College of Surgeons of England, the Royal College of Surgeons in Ireland, and the Royal College of Physicians and Surgeons of Glasgow. He is also an honorary member of the Asian Surgical Association and Élu associé étranger Académie Nationale de Médecine in France.

In his commentary in this Residents' Edition, Dr. Starzl carefully assesses the future of xenotransplantation by summarizing the clinical and investigative work previously done in this field and reviewing the work currently in progress. Convinced from the studies that he and his colleagues had conducted in the field of xenotransplantation, on June 28, 1992, he transplanted a baboon liver into a patient with liver failure due to type B hepatitis. One can scarcely imagine a more authoritative review of this important and timely subject. Moreover, the full meaning of Dr. Starzl's contributions are best understood by simultaneous reading of his recently published autobiography, The Puzzle People: Memoirs of a Transplant Surgeon. This masterwork is an objective appraisal of his many contributions to clinical investigative surgery, especially in the field of transplantation, and provides abundant evidence of the many reasons his name is already deeply carved into the stone of surgical history.

David C. Sabiston, Jr., M.D., Editor

The Future of Xenotransplantation

Xenotransplantation (heterotransplantation) exemplifies how history repeats itself. Attempts at whole organ transplantation were made between 1906 and 1923 using pig, sheep, goat, and subhuman primate kidney donors. The first of these efforts were in France and Germany, and in some cases, the blood vessels coming to and leaving these organs were sewn to recipient blood vessels in much the same way as today. None of the kidneys functioned for long, if at all, and the human recipients died anywhere from a few hours to 9 days later.

Chimpanzee Donor

The demonstration in 1962 and 1963 that renal allotransplantation was routinely feasible with azathioprine-prednisone therapy created an organ shortage crisis and reawakened interest in xenotransplantation. Cadaveric brain death was 5 years in the future, the number of living donors was limited, and renal dialysis programs as an option were few in number. Desperate potential kidney recipients were piling...
up faster than places could be found for them in the few existing dialysis facilities.

As the crisis deepened, xenotransplantation was re-explored. On February 16, 1963, Dr. Claude Hitchcock of the Hennepin County Hospital, in Minneapolis, transplanted the kidney of a baboon to a 65-year-old woman. The organ functioned for 4 days before its artery clotted. The case was not made public until it was learned later in 1963 of a far more encouraging experience by Dr. Keith Reemtsma of Tulane University using the closer-to-human chimpanzee donor. One of Reemtsma’s first six chimpanzee kidney grafts functioned for 9 months. The second longest survival time was 2 months, but in subsequent trials, neither of these longevity landmarks could be reached again. Reemtsma also transplanted the liver of a Rhesus monkey, and it was fiercely rejected.

Later, Cortesini of Rome and probably others who did not report their cases accumulated chimpanzee xenotransplantation experience that generally confirmed Reemtsma’s findings. Hardy attempted a chimpanzee-to-human heart transplant that failed intraoperatively, and liver transplantation with chimpanzee donors was attempted three times by us, 19 or more years ago, with a maximum survival time of 9 days. The histopathologic findings at autopsy were not distinguishable from those in hepatic allografts at comparable times. No further attempts at chimpanzee-to-human xenotransplantation have been made using modern immunosuppression (with cyclosporine or FK 506), and future attempts are not likely because of the endangered status of these animals.

The Baboon Donor

Beginning with Hitchcock’s case, much also was learned about baboon-to-human transplantation during this period. In December 1963 and January 1964, six additional patients were given baboon kidneys at the University of Colorado. All of the organs functioned promptly and maintained dialysis-free life for 10 to 60 days. However, the necessary doses of azathioprine and prednisone were very high, and eventually, the grafts were rejected. The rejection was midway in severity between that of the chimpanzee kidney and that of the Rhesus monkey kidney, but it was not qualitatively different than had been observed in allografts. The same events were recapitulated two decades later in the Baby Fae baboon-to-human heart transplantation, in spite of heavy cyclosporine-steroid immunosuppression. It was clear that the use of baboon organs would have to wait for better and possibly fundamentally different immunosuppression. The more distant phylogenetic separation of the baboon from the human compared with the chimpanzee-human relationship was reflected in the pathologic findings in these xenografts. Spotty necrosis or uneven regional infarctions developed in all of the baboon kidneys (and Baby Fae’s heart). It was unfortunate that there were not better humoral antibody studies in the early days. It already was known that anti-graft ABO isoglutaminins could cause hyperacute rejection, but the use of serologic techniques beyond this for the detection of preformed anti-graft antibodies had not yet been applied in transplantation. For example, the role of lymphocytotoxic antibodies as a cause for hyperacute humoral allograft rejection was not recognized until 1965.

Nevertheless, in the 1963 baboon cases, we showed that heterospecific antibodies bound to the grafts by showing titer declines in the patients’ sera with confirmatory electron microscopic studies. The pathologist K. A. Porter concluded that:

“in the resulting [xenograft] rejection process, cellular infiltration and peritubular capillary destruction are prominent early pathologic features, but by nine days the vascular necrotic element is marked. There is circumstantial evidence to suggest that, whereas the peritubular capillary damage is mediated by cell-bound antibody, the fibrinoid necrotic vascular lesions are caused by circulating antibody.”

Interdiction of Antibodies

The antibody (humoral) component of rejection has been the central issue of xenotransplantation since that time. The interrelation of all performed anti-allograft and anti-xenograft antibody syndromes was recognized from the outset, and in fact, xenograft models using disparate donor and recipient species have been used to evaluate treatment strategies that are designed to prevent the hyperacute rejection of ABO-incompatible allografts or allografts transplanted to sensitized human recipients. The justifiable assumption has been that the mechanism of antibody destruction of allografts and xenografts is by the same process. Techniques to prevent humoral rejection have been summarized elsewhere. They include plasmapheresis; antibody removal with a Staphylococcus A column; transplantation of serial grafts to reduce the antibody titer; infusion of the chelating agent citrate, which is an anticoagulant and an effective preventer of complement activation; and the use of prostaglandins and other inhibitors of the inflammatory response.

Of these approaches, it is interesting that one of the most promising, prostaglandin therapy, has received the least attention. Prostaglandin compounds can mitigate the xenograft rejection of hamster-to-rat, cat-to-dog, and pig-to-dog organs. Quagliata et al. published evidence in 1973 that prostaglandin had a specific effect on B cells and concluded that such drugs would be valuable for xenotransplantation.

Most importantly, it was shown recently that PGE, and a short course of high-dose steroids as part of FK 506-based drug cocktails permitted the transplantation of liver allografts to patients with lymphocytotoxic antibodies, with no increased risk of immediate antibody rejection. The long-term prognosis in these high-risk patients was converted to the same as that of crossmatch-negative recipients. This discovery was one of the prime justifications for the recent baboon-to-human liver xenotransplantation trial. Although prostaglandins are inherently but weakly immunosuppressive, their unique value for xenografting was via the antibody arm of the xenograft reaction, possibly by modifying the cytokine inflammatory response. In addition to their immunosuppressive effect, prostaglandins also reduce the nephrotoxicity of cyclosporine and FK 506. This latter property has made us...
recommend PGE₁—along with FK 506 plus prednisone and PGE₁—as one of the three constituents of our current immunosuppressive cocktail for all liver recipients.  

The baseline treatment for a recent human recipient of a baboon liver.

The Anti-metabolite Drugs

Although the duality of humoral and cellular mechanisms of xenograft rejection has become common knowledge, the antibody component has been refractory to treatment in many experimental models, even with the use of drug cocktails that include PGE₁. For example, prostaglandin was effective only during its constant infusion at high doses in the cat-to-dog experiments, and in the hamster-to-rat model, its efficacy is even more limited (personal unpublished observations). A hamster organ is confronted in the rat recipient by a moderate titer (1:16 to 1:32) of preformed heterospecific cytotoxic antibodies of the IgM class and, subsequently, by a rapidly gathering antibody storm. The antibodies destroy abdominally placed cardiac grafts within 3 days in untreated recipients, before there is a trace histopathologically of immunocyte infiltration. Hamster livers are rejected in 7 days by combined cellular and humoral mechanisms. The antibody component is reflected in the occlusive endotheliolitis of the entire graft vasculature.

FK 506 prevents T cell activation and cytokine secretion. By itself, in doses of 2 mg/kg/day, FK 506 prolonged heart xenograft survival by only 1 day. After liver transplantation, it allowed survival of half of the liver recipients for longer than 30 days (Table 1), but none survived as long as 100 days. Monotherapy with either of two experimental “antiproliferative” drugs that suppress purine (RS 61443) or pyrimidine (Brequinar) ribonucleotide synthesis tripled or quadrupled the survival times of hamster-to-rat xenografts but did not permit consistent chronic survival. However, when either of the two anti-metabolite drugs or the conventional anti-cancer drug cyclophosphamide was added to FK 506 for the first 2 postoperative weeks, indefinite survival under continued administration of FK 506 alone became routinely possible after either heart or liver transplantation. The finding that a single large dose of cyclophosphamide 10 days before transplantation permitted nearly 100% success after either heart or liver transplantation with daily administration of FK 506 was particularly noteworthy (Table 1).

The conclusion from these studies by Murase et al. was that prevention or mitigation of heterospecific antibody rejection by interdiction of the B cell proliferative response with a variety of anti-metabolite drugs for a surprisingly short period after transplantation or even beforehand was the essential first step to successful xenotransplantation. Thereafter, the potential of continuous therapy with T cell-directed immunosuppressants such as FK 506 was unmasked. Hasan et al. showed the same kind of extraordinary synergism between cyclosporine and cyclophosphamide. Such combination therapy was predicted to be clinically applicable as long as the humoral antibodies did not act so rapidly that they caused hyperacute rejection in a few minutes or hours. This condition was known from the earlier clinical experience to pertain in the baboon.

Table 1. Graft Survival

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Graft</th>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Treatment*</th>
<th>Without FK 506</th>
<th>With FK 506</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
<td>Survival &gt;30 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>FK 506</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>RS-61443</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>BQR</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Cyclophosphamide</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Cyclophosphamide</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>FK 506</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
<td>RS-61443</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>BQR</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11</td>
<td>Cyclophosphamide</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Cyclophosphamide</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* These experiments are a fraction of those performed. A full account of this work as well as the testing of numerous other compounds is provided in ref. 34.

+ Daily dose (mg/kg): FK 506, 2.0 x 6, 1.0 x 25 (heart) or 1.0 x 30 (liver), and 0.5 on alternate days thereafter; RS-61443, 20.0 x 15 (14) starting day before Tx (heart) or day of Tx (liver); BQR, 4.0 x 3 and 3.0 x 12 starting day before Tx (heart) or 3.0 x 14 starting day of Tx (liver); cyclophosphamide, 7.5 x 15 (14) starting day before Tx (heart) or day of Tx (liver), except groups 6 and 12, to which one dose of 80 mg was given 10 days before operation.

Animals alive at 30 days survived as long as FK 506 was continued out of 100 days no matter what the adjuvant induction drug.
to-human species combination. The choice of cyclophosphamide as the anti-metabolite drug for the eventual clinical cocktail in preference to several other agents with the same general "anti-proliferative" mechanism hinges on this drug's effectiveness, the fact that it was an accepted drug in the formulary, and the fact that we had previously used it extensively as a conventional immunosuppressant in the era preceding cyclosporine.

The Liver as a Xenograft

**Immunologic Advantages**

- In the research with hamster-to-rat xenotransplantation before the start of clinical trials, two organs were used for screening. One was the heart, which is considered immunologically "difficult" because of its rejection within 3 days in unmodified recipients. In contrast, hamster liver xenografts were not rejected by rats until 7 days after transplantation. As a general principle, livers have an immunologic advantage relative to other organs, including a greater ease of inducing their acceptance as allografts or xenografts after a limited course of immunosuppression or, in swine and some rat strain combinations, with no treatment at all. In addition, the hepatic allograft and xenograft are relatively resistant to the preformed antigrant antibodies that cause hyperacute rejection of the kidney and heart. Another quality of the liver is its unusual ability to induce a state of unresponsiveness to other tissues and organs transplanted concomitantly or subsequently from the donor or donor strain and even shield these organs from the hyperacute rejection caused by preformed xenospecific or xenospecific anti-donor antibodies. Thus, the liver was the organ predicted to have the best prognosis for clinical xenotransplantation. It also was an organ for which there is great need, because unlike the kidney, there is no alternative of artificial organ support, and unlike the heart, there is no realistic prospect of developing an artificial alternative.

**Metabolic Questions**

- In spite of its immunologic advantages, serious further questions were raised about liver xenografts. After hepatic transplantation, liver allografts continue to produce donor-phenotype proteins and other synthetic products, allowing this operation to be used to correct numerous liver-based inborn errors of metabolism. Because the same retention of donor specificity was expected after successful hepatic xenotransplantation, the consequence of successfully engrafting a liver xenograft could be the imposition on the recipient of an interspecies metabolic incompatibility. This would be equivalent to transplanting an inborn error of metabolism.

- We examined this possibility in the hamster-to-rat liver replacement model by studying clotting factors known to be synthesized in the liver as metabolic markers. Although hamsters and rats are both rodents, the phylogenetic distance by paleontologic and genetic evidence has been estimated at 15 to 40 million years. Results of clotting tests showed great disparity between the two species, the most striking being protein C, which was always present in normal hamsters but was undetectable in normal rats. In rats that had been transplanted with hamster livers, the coagulation profile of the rat recipient quickly changed to that of the hamster range of values. Neither bleeding nor clotting was observed clinically more than after rat liver allotransplantation.

- The replacement of other metabolic moieties was obvious; for example, circulating hamster albumin was found in rat recipients of hamster livers. These results and the results of other metabolic studies suggested that the donor-specific products of hepatic synthesis in significantly disparate species combinations such as hamster to rat did not present an insurmountable or even an important metabolic barrier to hepatic xenotransplantation.

**Beyond the Baboon**

- Generally speaking, the humoral antibody barrier becomes more extreme roughly in proportion to the degree of species disparity, so that with widely divergent species, humoral (hyperacute) rejection is expected within a few minutes. However, trial and error has been the only way to rise above speculation with any given animal-to-human combination, providing an example that "all triumphs in medicine are the forgotten sorrows of past days." Thus, the earlier failed xenotransplantation efforts yielded information about the extent of the human barrier to the baboon species that was the background for the 1992 trial.

- Because the pig is often mentioned as a possible clinical organ donor, it is important to recount an unreported attempt by Rene Kuss, the pioneer French transplant surgeon, at pig-to-human renal transplantation in the early 1960s under azathioprine and prednisone administration (personal conversation with Professor Kuss of Paris and Dr. Jacques Poisson of Nice, November 1990). The kidney functioned well for approximately 30 minutes, but then it underwent hyperacute rejection. The dominant finding was widespread thrombosis of the microvasculature, concentrated in the venules. Kuss' willingness to share this experience almost three decades later was important because this kind of vitally needed information would be difficult to obtain in the clinical research climate of today. As a donor, the pig will not be easy. Platt et al. have studied the details of the hyperacute rejection seen in discordant species combinations, with particular emphasis on the complement-mediated injury to the graft vascular endothelial cells. Efforts to definitively prevent the resulting destruction of the vasculature have been uniformly unsuccessful to date. Consequently, the use of genetic engineering to humanize the organ blood supply endothelium in pigs or other discordant species may be necessary before there is hope of clinical application. This strategy and others have been discussed in recent reviews and editorials.

**Cell Migration, Repopulation, and Chimerism**

- After breaking through the antibody barrier, the process of xenograft acceptance involves the cell migration and consequent systemic chimerism that were recently delineated in humans after hepatic and other allotransplantation. One hundred days after hamster-to-rat heart or liver xenotransplan-
For molecular detection of chimerism in the rat tissues after xenotransplantation of hamster hearts or livers, 1 μg of genomic DNA extracted from each tissue was polymerase chain reaction amplified for 30 cycles with hamster-specific oligonucleotides. One fifth of the volume of each reaction was size separated on an agarose gel, transferred on a nylon membrane (hybond-N+, Amersham, Arlington Heights, IL), and probed with a hamster hypoxantine phosphoribosyltransferase exon 9 probe. The experiments were performed by Drs. Noriko Murase and Luis Valdivia, and the polymerase chain reaction examinations were done by Drs. Massimo Trucco and Roberto Giorda. S, spleen; mL, mesenteric lymph node; cL, cervical lymph node; T, thymus; H, heart; Sk, skin. Rats 1, 17, 18, 46, 198, and 238 received hamster livers 104 to 141 days previously; rats 19, 24, and 31 received hearts 111 to 135 days earlier.

Peer Review

This background provided our personal knowledge base for xenotransplantation. Convinced from our own work by November 1991 that baboon-to-human liver grafting could be performed successfully, we notified officials at the National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases of the National Institutes of Health (Drs. Jay Hoofnagle and Philip Gordon), the Food and Drug Administration (Drs. Ron Lieberman and Gregory Burke), and the head of the Department of Health and Human Services (Dr. Louis Sullivan) of this conclusion. The next 8 months were spent in discussions with members of these government agencies, the University of Pittsburgh Institutional Review Board, a variety of ethics committees and, toward the end, members of Congress who have had a special interest in health care problems. In March 1992, an outside peer review board of six members from centers in the United States and Europe (chaired by Dr. Keith Reemtsma of Columbia University, New York) met in Pitts-
burgh with the University Institutional Review Board to evaluate the evidence, the proposal to go forward clinically, and the informed consent. They recommended unanimously that the trial proceed, providing that certain nonsubstantive changes were made or that ancillary experimental data be acquired. These recommendations or suggestions were followed.

A baboon-to-human liver transplantation was scheduled on June 28, 1992. Consensus was reached with all parties consulted. At 5:00 A.M., Jeffrey Romoff (President of the University Medical Center), Professor Luigi Fassati of Milan (one of our European collaborators), and I flew to Washington to address a joint meeting of the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases of the National Institutes of Health and the New York Academy of Sciences. There, at the end of an account of the supporting research, I announced that:

“the decision was made to proceed with the baboon-to-human liver transplantation that will take place there after our return this afternoon. There is no more appropriate forum to make these plans known in advance and to
Fig. 4. Transhepatic cholangiogram on postoperative day 6, several minutes after the injection of 5 mL dye. Although the initial reading was normal, with no obvious obstruction at the anastomosis (lower arrow), note the fullness of the duct system and the irregularity of the sludge-filled peripheral ducts (upper arrows). At autopsy 10 days later, the duct system was found to be filled with inspissated sludge.

provide their justifications as I have tried to do than at this remarkable meeting of scientists."

We returned immediately to Pittsburgh, where the operation began.

Baboon-to-Human Liver Transplantation

The donor and recipient operations were performed in Pittsburgh on June 28, 1992, by Drs. Andreas Tzakis, John Fung, and Satoru Todo, with subsequent intensive care provided by Drs. Ignazio R. Marino and Howard R. Doyle. The recipient was a 35-year-old man with end-stage chronic active hepatitis caused by B virus that is thought incapable of infecting the baboon liver. Although he also was a carrier of the human immune deficiency virus (HIV), he was immune competent as judged by normal responsiveness of his lymphocytes to phytohemagglutinin, concavalin A, baboon lymphocyte stimulation, and third-party lymphocyte stimulation.

The four-drug immunosuppressive cocktail of FK 506, prednisone, PGE1, and cyclophosphamide (Fig. 3) was remarkably effective in preventing the fierce cellular rejection seen in previous baboon-to-human renal or heart xenografts under the administration of azathioprine and prednisone or cyclosporine and prednisone. Most importantly, the deadly occlusive endotheliolitis of xenospecific humoral rejection was completely avoided. Preformed lymphocytotoxic anti-baboon antibodies of class IgM that were present in low titer preoperatively in the recipient serum did not increase after transplantation, and no circulating IgG antibodies ever were measurable. A postperfusion biopsy of the baboon liver from a 53-pound donor showed neutrophils in the sinusoids, but at a time when there was no clinical evidence of hyperacute rejection. Diffuse IgM and IgG antibodies shown in the xenograft biopsy specimen with immunofluorescence at 12 days had largely disappeared by 24 days.

Minor periportal cellular infiltrates were seen in the 12-, 24-, and 65-day biopsy specimens, but the patient had continuously good liver function, except for jaundice, which recurred after 8 weeks. Findings of a diagnostic cholangiogram obtained on postoperative day 61 initially were interpreted as normal (Fig. 4). However, the procedure precipitated a septic crisis, including disseminated intravascular coagulation and acute hyperbilirubinemia, followed by death on day 70 that resulted from a subarachnoid hemorrhage that was thought to have come from aspergillus erosion of a cerebral artery. At autopsy, the xenograft showed only minimal findings of rejection. The principal abnormalities were intrahepatic biliary sludge, rupture of the ducts, and consequent bile leakage into the tissue (Fig. 5). There was virtually no elevation in the results of tests of hepatocyte injury, nor was there any diminution of synthetic function up to the morning of death.

Fig. 5. Xenograft biopsy at 65 days, 5 days before death. There was absence of rejection. The dominant finding was cholestasis. (A, top) A bile lake occupies the central part of the field. Note the absence of most of the epithelium. (B, bottom) Intrahepatic ducts showing discontinuity of epithelium at sites of rupture. H&E, original magnification x250.
The primary explanation for the fatal outcome was unrecognized partial biliary obstruction with bacterial translocation through the compromised ducts. Ironically, the repeated failure to diagnose this same complication impeded the early development of liver allotransplantation more than any other single factor. Then, as in the current case, the elevated levels of alkaline phosphatase and other cannulicular enzymes and, ultimately, the jaundice caused by biliary stasis were systematically ascribed to rejection and treated as such in spite of the lack of histopathologic support for this diagnosis. In future trials, biliary stasis should be preventable by stenting the biliary anastomosis with an exteriorized catheter that also can be used to perform cholangiography postoperatively or to irrigate at will.

Aside from stimulating improvements in surgical management, the candid acknowledgement that failure in this case was fundamentally technical and, thus, avoidable allows easy interpretation of the other observations that were generally encouraging and supportive of further cautious trials. With a cocktail that included nonmyelotoxic quantities of Cytoxan (cyclophosphamide), the doses of conventional immunosuppressive agents were not remarkably different from those used for allotransplantation. How much this patient's HIV carrier status contributed to the ease and completeness with which the xenograft rejection was controlled and to the complex terminal sepsis is open to speculation. However, because the patient was immunocompetent at the outset, the principal immunologic depression postoperatively was clearly iatrogenic, not derivative from HIV.

In addition, the recipient appeared to have started the transformation to the same state of mixed chimerism observed in rats after their receipt of hamster livers. Thirty-five days after the transplantation, baboon DNA blood chimerism was identified in the patient's blood with baboon chorionic gonadotropin B subunit genes. At autopsy, chimerism was shown unequivocally in the lungs, heart, skin, lymph nodes, and numerous other host tissues. The extent of the chimerism was far greater than that seen in the hamster-to-rat model and has emphasized the degree of donor-recipient cellular intimacy that can be expected with successful xenotransplantation (Fig. 6).

An additional question largely answered by this single case was whether elaboration by a liver xenograft of donor phenotype proteins and other synthetic products would in essence impose on the recipient as serious or lethal incompatibility of metabolism. As had been predicted from the hamster-to-guinea pig experience, the serum protein pattern of the recipient was rapidly "baboonized," including the albumin, C3 complement, and other moieties involved in either immune reactions or blood coagulation. The fall of the patient's serum uric acid level postoperatively to the nearly undetectable level that is normal for the baboon was another particularly dramatic demonstration of the recreation by the xenograft of its own chemical environment with no apparent adverse effects. Such observations support the conclusion from the hamster-to-rat experiments that donor-specific products of hepatic synthesis do not present a fundamental barrier to liver xenotransplantation.

Death at 70 days occurred too soon to allow a conclusion that the transplanted baboon liver could successfully resist infection with hepatitis B virus. However, there was no evidence of the hepatitis B virus surface or core antigen in the transplant at autopsy, after a time in which infection of allografts has been frequently recorded.

Aftermath

On Friday, September 11, 1992, 5 days after the patient's death, a meeting organized by Dr. Keith Reemtsma of New York City was convened under the sponsorship of the New York Academy of Medicine. A clinicopathologic analysis of this crucial case was provided by the xenotransplantation teams from the University of Pittsburgh and Columbia University, with discussion by other experts from four European and numerous American centers. The consensus of the participants was that cautious further xenotransplantations were justified with baboon donors, but with emphasis on the


