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Although much is known about the role of serotonin (5-HT) in the pathophysiology of depression, little is known about the temporal and regional brain alterations in 5-HT as they relate to the treatment of depression and anxiety. This study aimed to evaluate the acute effects of the selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor (SSRI), citalopram, on neuronal activation elicited during an emotional task using functional MRI (fMRI) in healthy subjects. Eight healthy men completed the double-blind placebo-controlled crossover study of citalopram (20 mg infused over 30 min) and normal saline. Subjects performed the emotional task once before drug/placebo infusion (Faces 1) and twice during drug/placebo infusion, once early in the infusion (Faces 2) and once at the end of infusion (Faces 3).

A main effect of task was found in the L and R amygdala. A cluster in the right amygdala had increased activation for the Faces 2 task during the citalopram infusion, compared to the baseline Faces 1 task. An even greater bilateral amygdala response to citalopram was found at the end of infusion (Faces 3), when the citalopram concentrations approach their maxima, compared to the baseline Faces 1 task. This suggests that acute citalopram administration potentiates the amygdala response to emotional stimuli. An exploratory analysis was done using serotonin transporter genotype as a covariate. S allele carriers (2 s/s and 3 s/l) had a greater baseline
amygdala response than l/l (n=3) homozygotes. However l/l homozygotes had a greater response to citalopram, comparing the Faces 3 to the Faces 1 task.

This study generated the first *in vivo* human data regarding the regional effects of acute intravenous SSRI administration on affective task-related neuronal activation. An understanding of the regional effects of SSRIs may aid in understanding the mechanism by which these agents produce their therapeutic effects. By including 5-HTTLPR genotype in the analyses, we may account for some of the variability in response to citalopram and other SSRIs. These efforts contribute to the identification of biological mechanisms and pathways that mediate response to SSRIs, and contribute to our understanding of individual differences in complex behaviors and vulnerability to psychiatric illnesses.
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1. Pharmacokinetics and Pharmacodynamics of Psychotropics
1.1. Introduction

Currently available treatments for psychiatric illnesses are derivatives in one way or another of a serendipitous discovery, rather than the result of incorporating principles of pharmacology with fundamental knowledge of disease.\textsuperscript{12} Many of the current biological theories about the pathophysiology of psychiatric illnesses have developed from pharmacological studies of the mechanisms of action of available psychiatric medications. Because of this, the neuronal pathways through which these treatments alter mood or behavior are poorly understood. This body of work aims to begin to determine the way in which psychiatric medications modify brain circuitries related to mood and behavior. Specifically, this dissertation focuses on the pharmacodynamics of psychotropics, with an emphasis on the neuronal effects of the selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor, citalopram.

1.2. Pharmacodynamics

There is considerable individual variability in response to drugs. A goal of pharmacodynamics, the study of the processes that occur between the administration of a drug and the pharmacological response,\textsuperscript{13} is to understand and explain some of the this variability. Several mathematical models have been used to describe the relationship between drug concentration and response.
The most common model is known as the $E_{\text{max}}$ model.\textsuperscript{13}

$$E = \frac{(E_{\text{max}} \times C)}{(EC_{50} + C)}$$

where: $E$ is the intensity of the pharmacological effect, $E_{\text{max}}$ is the maximal pharmacological intensity, $C$ is the concentration of the drug at the time of the effect, and $EC_{50}$ is the concentration at which the effect is 50% of the $E_{\text{max}}$. Most of the models described in the following chapters have been developed using more sophisticated mathematical modeling, which is often necessary in order to describe complicated biological systems. The following section describes the use of pharmacokinetics, which is defined as the mathematical description of the concentration time profile, can be a useful link to understanding response and/or toxicity.

1.3. **Pharmacokinetics**

Pharmacokinetics involves the processes of absorption, distribution, metabolism, and excretion of drugs, and can be expressed mathematically to relate time and drug dose to the drug concentration. Therefore pharmacokinetics is important in the selection and administration of a drug, as well as its dose and dosage form. Pharmacokinetic parameters include drug concentration (typically measured in plasma or serum), volume of distribution, and clearance. Volume of distribution ($V_d$) is a measure of the apparent space in the body into which the drug distributes, thus it relates the amount of drug in the body to the concentration of the drug in the blood or plasma. Clearance (Cl) reflects the rate of elimination of the drug from the body. The rate of elimination of a drug from the body is often characterized by its half-life ($t_{1/2}$), which is a
derived parameter that depends on both the clearance and volume of distribution of the drug. Half-life describes the time required to eliminate half of the drug in the body. These descriptors of drug exposure can be determined by modeling drug concentration measurements.

Pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics are used to study factors that contribute to variability in drug response. Two well-known variables, sex and age, are discussed in the following sections. Other covariates, secondary variables that can affect the relationship between the dependent and independent variables of primary interest, include race, genetic polymorphisms, comorbid illness, and concomitant medications. Figure 1.1 highlights the sources of variability in the general patient population. The following section highlights the differences in pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics due to sex.

**Figure 1.1. Sources of variability in general patient populations.**

By: Katie Rose Zemaitis© 2007
1.4. Sex Differences in the Pharmacokinetics and Pharmacodynamics of Psychotropics

Historically, women of childbearing age have been excluded from pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic studies; therefore, psychotropics and most other medications have been developed without regard for potential sex differences. Women are clearly different from men in their clinical characteristics of psychiatric illness and their response to treatment. Depressive disorders are 2 to 3 times more common in women, and more often present with atypical symptoms. Schizophrenic women have a later disease onset and a better response to antipsychotics than schizophrenic men. Nearly twice as many women as men suffer from anxiety disorders, and anxiolytics are prescribed almost twice as often for women. Women with bipolar disorder are more likely to present with features that may predict poor prognosis, including depression and rapid cycling. Physiological factors can also affect the pharmacokinetics of psychotropics between women and men including differences in body weight and composition, metabolizing enzymes, and hormone concentrations. Ignoring these sex differences during drug development may lead to increased adverse reactions or an inadequate response in women or men. This section addresses known sex differences in the pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of psychotropics (antidepressants, antipsychotics, antianxiety agents, and antimania agents), as well as specific issues that face women with psychiatric illness. This review reflects the paucity of data and highlights the need for more research in this area.
1.4.1. Methods

A literature search was performed using several sources including: MEDLINE® searches (1966 through week 3 of March 2003) using the keywords: sex, gender, antidepressants, antipsychotics, anxiolytics, antimania and mood-stabilizing agents in various combinations; and a search of the 57th edition of the Physicians Desk Reference (2003) for each psychotropic. Although the data are limited, sex differences in pharmacokinetics have been shown for several antidepressants (Table 1.1), antipsychotics (Table 1.3), and anxiolytics (Table 1.5). There is also evidence for sex related pharmacodynamic differences, including response rates and adverse effects, for antidepressants (Table 1.2), antipsychotics (Table 1.4), and anxiolytics (Table 1.6). Data on the pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic sex differences in antimania agents are limited. These data are outlined in the text below.

1.4.2. Antidepressants

1.4.2.1. Sex-related Differences in Clinical Characteristics of Depression

There are marked sex differences in the epidemiology of depression between men and women. Women suffer from major depressive disorder (MDD) at least twice as often as men, and exhibit more atypical symptoms of depression, with greater somatization, increased suicide attempts, and comorbid anxiety. Men however tend to have more comorbid alcohol or drug abuse and completed suicides than women. Between 20 and 30% of women report elevated levels of depressive symptomatology during pregnancy and in the postpartum, and the
prevalence of depression is approximately 10% prepartum and 7% postpartum.\textsuperscript{36} Cyclic changes in mood, as evidenced by premenstrual dysphoric disorder (PMDD) and premenstrual syndrome (PMS), suggest that mood can fluctuate as hormone levels cycle.\textsuperscript{37, 38} Serotonin (5-hydroxytryptophan; 5-HT) levels parallel changes in estrogen, which may provide a rationale for pulse dosing of antidepressants\textsuperscript{6,39-42} or may actually exacerbate side effects in PMDD.\textsuperscript{43}

\subsection*{1.4.2.2. Pharmacokinetics of Antidepressants}

Physiological differences that may affect pharmacokinetics include average body weight, body water distribution, and the affinity and/or capacity of metabolizing enzymes for the administered drug. Concomitant medications may also affect the metabolizing pathways for various hormones. The resulting changes in hormone concentrations could contribute to attenuated responses, the occurrence of an adverse event, or have a neuroprotective effect.\textsuperscript{44-48} Non-metabolic factors that affect drug disposition include absorption, distribution (including protein binding) and elimination. This section reviews the sex differences in these pharmacokinetic parameters and their potential impact on antidepressant drug disposition, which are summarized in Table 1.1.
### Table 1.1. Sex differences in the pharmacokinetics of antidepressants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>ANTIDEPRESSANT</strong></th>
<th><strong>SEX DIFFERENCES</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>TCAs</strong></td>
<td><strong>Tricyclic Antidepressants</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clomipramine</td>
<td>Women have higher concentrations of desmethyliclopramide (DMC) and lower hydroxylation rates of clomipramine. Women have higher DMC levels and DMC/8-HDMC at 8 h.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desipramine</td>
<td>No effect of sex on desipramine clearance in adolescents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nortriptyline</td>
<td>Women have higher steady state nortriptyline levels, and different nortriptyline to hydroxynortriptyline ratios than men</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SSRIs</strong></td>
<td><strong>Selective Serotonin Reuptake Inhibitors</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citalopram</td>
<td>Three studies (n=32) showed 1.5 to 2 times higher AUC for women compared to men. Dose-corrected concentrations are higher in female adolescents compared to males.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluvoxamine</td>
<td>Girls (6 to 11 years) have 3 times higher AUC and C&lt;sub&gt;max&lt;/sub&gt; than boys. Sex difference does not persist in adolescence. Men have more pronounced concentration increase with dose doubling after 14 weeks of treatment than women (4.6 vs. 2.4 fold). Men have 40% to 50% lower plasma drug concentrations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sertraline</td>
<td>Desmethylertraline clearance decreases in older men but not in older women. Young women, elderly men, and elderly women have similar terminal elimination (t&lt;sub&gt;1/2&lt;/sub&gt; 32-37 h), which are greater than those in young men (22 h).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Others</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bupropion</td>
<td>Adolescent women have higher AUC, C&lt;sub&gt;max&lt;/sub&gt;, V&lt;sub&gt;d&lt;/sub&gt;, t&lt;sub&gt;1/2&lt;/sub&gt; of parent, and AUC of metabolite, but no difference in apparent clearance (Cl/F). Elderly women have a longer t&lt;sub&gt;1/2&lt;/sub&gt;, larger V&lt;sub&gt;d&lt;/sub&gt;, and lower Cl than young men.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mirtazapine</td>
<td>Women have a longer t&lt;sub&gt;1/2&lt;/sub&gt; (37 hours vs. 26 hours). Men have 50% lower plasma concentrations than women.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nefazodone</td>
<td>Women have higher C&lt;sub&gt;max&lt;/sub&gt; and AUC after first dose, but differences are not found with multiple dosing. Nefazodone and hydroxynefazodone levels 50% higher in elderly women compared to elderly men, young men, and young women. Doses may need to be increased in the 2&lt;sup&gt;nd&lt;/sup&gt; and 3&lt;sup&gt;rd&lt;/sup&gt; trimesters of pregnancy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trazodone</td>
<td>Elderly women have a longer t&lt;sub&gt;1/2&lt;/sub&gt; (7.6 h) compared to young women (5.9 h), secondary to increased V&lt;sub&gt;d&lt;/sub&gt;.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Venlafaxine</td>
<td>No sex differences reported.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table legend:* area under the curve (AUC), maximum concentration (C<sub>max</sub>), half-life (t<sub>1/2</sub>), volume of distribution (V<sub>d</sub>), clearance (Cl), bioavailability (F)
Most antidepressants are weak bases and therefore are more effectively absorbed under basic conditions. Women secrete less gastric acid resulting in a more basic environment, which could potentially lead to an enhanced absorption of antidepressants in the stomach. Women have a slower rate of gastric emptying than men thus increasing antidepressant absorption time. This increase persists even after menopause and is accentuated by exogenous estrogen and progesterone. Colonic transit times are also prolonged in women, giving the compounds more time to be absorbed in an environment where the pH favors absorption of weak bases. In spite of these differences, bioavailability has not been shown to be greater in women, although a larger area under the curve has been found for bupropion, citalopram, and fluvoxamine.

The volume of distribution affects the amount of drug exposure at the receptor. There are substantial differences in body composition between men and women that can affect the volume of distribution. In young women, adipose tissue comprises 33% of body weight, compared to 18% in young men. In elderly women, 48% of bodyweight is adipose tissue, compared to 36% in old men. Thus for lipophilic drugs, there is a much larger volume of distribution in women, which can result in a prolonged half-life and lower plasma concentrations. Both trazodone and bupropion have a larger volume of distribution in women because of greater body fat, which is further exaggerated in elderly women. An increase in the half-life of a drug could be due primarily to an increase in the volume of distribution as found for trazodone or bupropion, and/or a change in the clearance of the drug from the body. Sweet illustrated how the change in half-life of bupropion between elderly women and young men could be due to a combination of changes in both the volume of distribution and clearance. Additionally,
Kristensen found that women (30 to 39 years of age) had significantly lower protein binding of imipramine than men the same age and women of other age groups.\textsuperscript{68}

Drugs used to treat depression are metabolized by, inhibit, and/or induce a wide range of the cytochrome P450 (CYP) enzymes.\textsuperscript{69} Sex differences in CYP isozyme function that affect antidepressant clearance have been reported for CYP 3A4 (nefazodone, sertraline, mirtazipine) and CYP 1A2 (fluvoxamine). These differences may be confounded when a drug has a high clearance and/or is a co-substrate for both CYP 3A and the p-glycoprotein multi-drug resistance pump1 (MDR1).\textsuperscript{70} High clearance (or flow-limited clearance) drugs depend on the rate of blood flow into the eliminating organ (i.e. liver, kidney) and thus indirectly liver size. Women have a smaller liver and a lower liver blood flow rate compared to men, therefore observed sex differences may not be due to metabolic differences, but rather due to differences in blood flow. Similarly, the MDR1 pump provides cytosolic access for the compound to metabolizing enzymes. Thus if one is administered a drug which is a co-substrate for both the CYP 3A4 enzyme and MDR1 pump, an increase in the activity in the MDR1 pump could be mistaken for a decreased CYP 3A metabolizing capacity, and conversely a decrease in MDR1 activity could be mistaken for an increased CYP 3A metabolizing capacity.\textsuperscript{70} Women have only 30\% to 50\% of the hepatic expression of p-glycoprotein compared to men,\textsuperscript{70, 71} and differences have been reported for the 3A4 metabolized drugs nefazodone,\textsuperscript{60} sertraline,\textsuperscript{55} and citalopram.\textsuperscript{52} Ronfeld reported that the half-life of sertraline is shorter in young men than in young women.\textsuperscript{55} Reis and colleagues showed that adolescent men had a higher citalopram clearance compared to adolescent women, which is consistent with the possibility of the contribution of liver size (i.e., enzymatic capacity) and/or hepatic blood flow.\textsuperscript{52} In addition, sex differences in the disposition
of the predominately CYP 1A2 metabolized drug, fluvoxamine, have been demonstrated. In these studies, men had lower plasma levels of fluvoxamine than women,\textsuperscript{54} and the doubling of the fluvoxamine dose while at steady state resulted in a much more dramatic increase in fluvoxamine concentrations in women compared to men.\textsuperscript{53} Mirtazapine (CYP 3A4, 1A2 and 2D6 metabolized) was reported to have a longer half-life in women compared to men,\textsuperscript{32} and men had half the mirtazapine concentrations of similarly treated women.\textsuperscript{58, 59} Another study reported higher concentrations of desmethylclomipramine and lower hydroxylation rates of clomipramine in women compared to men.\textsuperscript{49} Women have also been found to have higher steady state nortriptyline levels, as well as different nortriptyline to hydroxynortriptyline ratios than men.\textsuperscript{51}

Furthermore antidepressants that inhibit CYP 3A may shift the metabolism of estrogen from CYP 3A to CYP 1A resulting in a decreased production of the highly genotoxic 16-\(\alpha\)-hydroxyestrone form, possibly resulting in a protective effect.\textsuperscript{45} Estrogen is a substrate for both CYP 3A4 and CYP 1A2, as well as an inhibitor of CYP 1A2, thus higher levels of endogenous or exogenous estrogens may impact antidepressant metabolism.\textsuperscript{72} The concomitant administration of CYP 1A2 metabolized antidepressants could result in higher plasma levels of either the antidepressant or estrogen and therefore a greater risk of adverse events.\textsuperscript{20, 73, 74} CYP 1A2 activity is decreased during the late luteal phase of the menstrual cycle, which could possibly affect fluvoxamine clearance.\textsuperscript{75, 76} Lower CYP 1A2 activities have been reported during pregnancy, evidenced by the increase in the half-life of caffeine.\textsuperscript{77} During pregnancy, higher estrogen and progesterone levels and may affect either CYP 3A4 (induction by progesterone) and CYP 1A2 (inhibition). These changes could affect the clearance of the CYP 3A4 (sertraline, citalopram, trazodone, and fluoxetine) and CYP 1A2 (fluvoxamine, amitriptyline, clomipramine
and imipramine) metabolized medications. Total body volume and protein binding are increased during pregnancy, as well as changes in clearance. One study suggests that nortriptyline doses may need to be increased during the 2\textsuperscript{nd} or 3\textsuperscript{rd} trimester.\textsuperscript{61}

1.4.2.3. Pharmacodynamics of Antidepressants

Sex differences in responses to various classes of antidepressants are summarized in Table 1.2.

Table 1.2. Sex differences in the pharmacodynamics of antidepressants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ANTIDEPRESSANT</th>
<th>SEX EFFECTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>TCAs</strong> Tricyclic antidepressants</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amitriptyline</td>
<td>Men respond better than women to TCAs\textsuperscript{78}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clomipramine</td>
<td>Women show more pronounced anti-obsessional effect in response to intravenous administration\textsuperscript{79}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imipramine</td>
<td>Women have a longer time to response\textsuperscript{20}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Women are more likely to withdraw from therapy on imipramine than on sertraline\textsuperscript{20}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SSRIs</strong> Selective Serotonin Reuptake Inhibitors</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citalopram</td>
<td>Response for treatment of alcohol dependence was greater in men (44% decrease) than in women (26% decrease)\textsuperscript{80}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluoxetine</td>
<td>Women of reproductive age (&lt;44 years) are more responsive than men\textsuperscript{81}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hemispheric asymmetry (EEG, perceptual) and treatment response in depressed women but not men\textsuperscript{82}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paroxetine</td>
<td>Number of symptoms in discontinuation syndrome in dysthymic patients associated with female sex and age at onset\textsuperscript{83}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sertraline</td>
<td>Women more likely to respond to sertraline than imipramine\textsuperscript{20}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sex associated with response for behavioral disturbances in Alzheimer’s disease\textsuperscript{84}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Changes in serotonin and norepinephrine activity in women may modify responses to antidepressants or the modes of administration of antidepressants that are effective.\textsuperscript{4-7} Most women with depression exhibit “atypical” reverse neurovegetative symptoms, which are
responsive to different agents than the typical symptomatology. Estrogens inhibit monoamine oxidase (MAO) activity, which may impact on the differential responses observed with MAOIs. Women who present with atypical depression tend to respond more readily to treatment with MAOIs than TCAs. Raskin published a post-hoc analysis of two large inpatient clinical trials evaluating response to antidepressants. The first study, comparing chlorpromazine and imipramine to placebo, found that imipramine was significantly more effective for men than placebo. Older women and men (>40 years) had a similar response to imipramine, however young men (<40 years) responded better than young women, whose response was not better than placebo. In a second study, comparing phenelzine and diazepam with placebo, a trend toward a better response to phenelzine treatment in young women was reported. A study of amitriptyline showed that men responded better than women did to TCAs. Davidson and Pelton reported on five randomized clinical trials comparing: (1) phenelzine and imipramine in inpatients; (2) phenelzine and imipramine in outpatients; (3) amitriptyline and bupropion in inpatients; (4) isocarboxazid at two different dose levels in inpatients; and (5) isocarboxazid and placebo in outpatients. These investigators did not find a difference in response across drugs for the entire population studied, but found that depressed women with panic attacks responded better to MAOIs than TCAs, and depressed men with panic attacks responded better to TCAs than to MAOIs. Quitkin and colleagues showed that phenelzine was significantly better than imipramine in the treatment of depression with atypical characteristics.

Possible differences in serotonergic activity between women and men may also contribute to differential responses to antidepressants. A study evaluating the response to imipramine and sertraline demonstrated that women were much more likely to have a favorable response to sertraline and imipramine; conversely, men were more likely to respond to imipramine.
menopausal women showed a similar response rate to both sertraline and imipramine in this study. In other studies, women of reproductive age were more responsive to treatment with fluoxetine than men,\textsuperscript{81} and the number of symptoms associated with discontinuation of paroxetine was greater in women.\textsuperscript{83}

Antidepressants are classified as pregnancy category C (some risk to the fetus),\textsuperscript{32} however failure to treat depression during this period can lead to a high risk of morbidity for the mother and infant.\textsuperscript{89-91} Antidepressant exposure may also impact the neural development of the child, which may not manifest itself until much later in life. Use of pregnancy databases such as Motherisk\textsuperscript{92} may help to provide a more specific evaluation of the risk to the fetus and the potential impact on neural development. Ericson reported on 986 women who had reported taking antidepressants during pregnancy.\textsuperscript{93} There was an excess of high parity births and a reduction in multiple births in the antidepressant exposed group, otherwise there were no differences in parturition compared to women not taking antidepressants. There were no other significant effects on pregnancy mediated by antidepressants. Kulin reported on a case-controlled assessment of exposure during pregnancy to sertraline, fluvoxamine and paroxetine.\textsuperscript{94} There did not appear to be an increase in the teratogenic risk based on the assessment of 267 women exposed to antidepressant. However, the relatively small numbers of exposures assessed may not detect an increased risk of teratogenicity.
The administration of exogenous estrogen and progesterone may have specific effects that modulate the response to antidepressants, as shown in Figure 1.2.69, 95

*E2 has direct effects on transcription factors and nerve growth factors that may mediate the therapeutic effects of antidepressants:*
- ↑ serotonin and NE activity4-7
- ↑ 5-HT2AR binding potential8
- ↑ serotonin receptors in rats9
- ↑ 5-HT2AR mRNA expression10
- ↑ 5-HT1AR promoter via nuclear factor-κB11

**Figure 1.2. Estrogen and Antidepressants.**

Long-term and rapid actions of estrogen (E2) in the brain (Adapted3, 96). E2 acts at the estrogen receptor (ER), which has effects on transcription factors (left) and second messenger pathways (right). Activation of adenylate cyclase (AC) results in the cAMP-dependent activation of protein kinase A (PKA), leading to the phosphorylation and activation of cAMP-responsive element binding protein (CREB). E2 can also activate the mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) pathway by the activation of the MAPK kinases B-Raf, MEK 1/2, and extracellular regulated kinases 1/2 (ERK 1/2). E2 can also activate phosphatidylinositol-3 kinase (PI3K) that activates phosphoinositide-dependent kinase (PDK 1/2) and the Akt/protein kinase B (AKT/PKB) pathway through phosphatidylinositol bisphosphate (PIP2). E2 can also activate phospholipase C (PLC), which cleaves PIP2 to generate inositol 1,4,5- triphosphate (IP3) and diacylglycerol (DAG), leading to the release of Ca++ and activation of protein kinase C (PKC) respectively. IP3 can also be dephosphorylated to inositol monophosphate (IP) and then dephosphorylated to free inositol by inositol monophosphatase (IMP).
Estrogens have some dopamine modulatory activity\textsuperscript{97} as well as up regulating serotonin (5-HT) and norepinephrine (NE) activity.\textsuperscript{4-7} Estrogen’s dopamine modulatory effects may be neuroprotective, whereas its actions on the serotonin and norepinephrine systems may regulate affect.\textsuperscript{97} As reviewed by Joffe and Cohen, estrogen may exert its antidepressant effects by modulating the serotonergic system, and/or by its direct effects on transcription factors and nerve growth factors that may mediate the therapeutic effects of antidepressants.\textsuperscript{98} A meta-analysis of the effect of hormone replacement therapy found that estrogen significantly reduced depressed mood.\textsuperscript{99}

Estrogen and other gonadal hormones may facilitate down-regulation of 5-HT\textsubscript{2} receptors associated with chronic administration of antidepressants. Exogenous estradiol and progesterone administration in postmenopausal women resulted in a time-delayed up-regulation of 5-HT\textsubscript{2AR} binding potential as measured using positron emission tomography (PET).\textsuperscript{8} Duman proposed a molecular theory of the antidepressant effects of 5-HT and NE systems, by which these systems regulate the cAMP-mediated signal transduction cascade.\textsuperscript{1} This cascade activates protein kinases that phosphorylate proteins including cAMP-response element-binding protein (CREB). Long-term use of both SSRIs and selective NE antidepressants has been shown to induce the expression of CREB in the hippocampus.\textsuperscript{100} Estradiol can also activate the cAMP cascade, inducing the expression of CREB, and subsequently activating specific target genes including brain derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF). BDNF is a nerve growth factor (NGF) involved in neurodevelopment and maintenance of the mature brain, and which itself has antidepressant actions. Long-term administration of antidepressants including SSRIs, MAOIs, and atypical and selective NE agents, and ECT, have been found to increase the expression of BDNF and its
receptor \( (trkB) \) in the hippocampus. Estradiol administration has also been shown to cause an up-regulation of the NGF receptor, trkA. Moreover, estradiol has been shown to increase serotonin receptors in rats. Using a genetic animal model for depression, 5-HT\(_{2A}\) receptor mRNA expression abnormalities found in rats were reversed in several affected brain areas by 17\( \beta \)-estradiol treatment. Estradiol can up-regulate the 5-HT\(_{1A}\) receptor promoter via a mechanism involving synergistic activation of nuclear factor-\( \kappa \)B and ER\(_{\alpha}\).11

A potential consequence of these changes in neurotransmitter systems is that older postmenopausal women who use estrogens typically report fewer depressive symptoms than nonusers, although large randomized, placebo-controlled trials have not been performed. A meta-analysis of the effect of hormone replacement therapy found that estrogen significantly reduced depressed mood. In a clinical trial of perimenopausal women treated with fluoxetine (20 mg/d), women treated adjunctively with estrogen replacement showed a greater improvement in HAM-D scores (40.1%) than the control group (17.0%). Cyclic changes in mood, as evidenced by premenstrual dysphoric disorder (PMDD) and premenstrual syndrome (PMS), suggest an effect on mood secondary to hormone fluctuation. As estrogen levels peak, serotonin levels in plasma also peak. This may provide rationale for pulse dosing of antidepressants, or may actually exacerbate side effects in PMDD.
1.4.3. Antipsychotics

1.4.3.1. Sex-related Differences in Clinical Characteristics of Psychotic Disorders

There is considerable heterogeneity in schizophrenia, which has lead to the characterization of five schizophrenic subtypes, as classified by the DSM-IV\textsuperscript{105}, including the paranoid, the disorganized, the catatonic, the undifferentiated, and the residual. Beratis and colleagues reported that the frequency of disease in men was more than three times greater than that of women for the residual and catatonic subtypes.\textsuperscript{106} The higher proportion of male schizophrenics in the residual subtype suggests that more men evolved into this subtype than women, whereas the men in the catatonic subtype appear to reflect an intrinsic characteristic of that subtype. In general, schizophrenic women have a later onset of illness, a better neuroleptic response, shorter hospital stays, and lower relapse rates than men.\textsuperscript{17, 21-26} Seventy-five percent of schizophrenic patients with late onset (>45 years) are women.\textsuperscript{107} One study of late paraphrenic patients demonstrated a high female to male ratio (42:5),\textsuperscript{108} which may be due to the decline in circulating estrogen and relative excess of dopamine receptors.\textsuperscript{23} It has also been suggested that psychosocial factors may delay the onset of schizophrenic symptoms in women, including better coping behavior strategies and social support.\textsuperscript{108} The disorganized subtype is the only group where female schizophrenics that have an earlier onset of illness compared to men.\textsuperscript{109} Neuroleptic-refractory chronic schizophrenic women are thought to be a severely ill subgroup of female schizophrenics with distinct onset of illness, course and treatment response characteristics. One study reported that these women had a similar age of onset to the men and longer duration of illness prior to clozapine initiation.\textsuperscript{21} Unlike most schizophrenic women,
these women did not have a better therapeutic response than men, and had similar pharmacologic indices including prolactin levels and homovanillic acid. Tardive dyskinesia, a manifestation of supersensitive dopamine receptors in the nigrostriatum, is more common in women (26.6%) than men (21.6%), and may be a consequence of estrogen withdrawal at menopause. Despite the aforementioned differences, symptoms of schizophrenia during acute psychotic episodes do not differ between men and women, either in type or severity.

**NEUROCOGNITION**

Several studies have reported differences in neurocognition between male and female schizophrenics. In a study of patients with psychotic disorders, there were sex differences on multiple cognitive indices suggesting that men had a better level of cognitive functioning than women. There were no significant sex differences on the estimates of premorbid IQ, however the women showed a significantly greater estimated deterioration in full-scale, verbal, and performance IQ than did the men after a period of untreated psychosis. Women also tend to have a greater impairment on measures of conceptualization and attention.

### 1.4.3.2. Pharmacokinetics of Antipsychotics

Sex differences in CYP P450 isozyme function that affect antipsychotic clearance have been reported for CYP 3A4 (clozapine, pimozide, quetiapine, and ziprasidone) and CYP 1A2 (clozapine, olanzapine, pimozide, and ziprasidone). Other antipsychotics are metabolized by CYP 2D6 (clozapine, haloperidol, olanzapine, perphenazine, risperidone, and thioridazine). During pregnancy, the induction of CYP 3A4 and the inhibition of CYP 1A2 may also result in
changes in clearance of these drugs. Additionally CYP 1A2 activity is decreased during the late luteal phase of the menstrual cycle and could result in changes in clearance. A recent study showed that men required a higher olanzapine dose, 15.8 mg/day to reach the therapeutic concentration of 23.2 ng/mL, than women, who required only 8.6 mg/day; however, it is possible that this sex-dose difference could have been due to a disproportionate number of men who smoked, and therefore had greater CYP1A2 metabolism. It has recently been shown that elderly, nonsmoking women have a 60% higher concentration of olanzapine compared to young smoking men. Perry and colleagues developed a dosing nomogram for clozapine that included sex and dose-sex interaction as predictors of steady-state clozapine concentration, as shown in Table 1.3.

Table 1.3. Sex differences in the pharmacokinetics of antipsychotics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ANTIPSYCHOTIC</th>
<th>SEX DIFFERENCES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Phenothiazines</td>
<td>Sex differences were not addressed in the PDR for chlorpromazine, mesoridazine, prochlorperazine, or trifluoperazine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous</td>
<td>Sex differences were not addressed in the PDR for clozapine, haloperidol, loxapine, molindone, perphenazine, pimozide, thioridazine, thiothixene, or ziprasidone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clozapine</td>
<td>These equations were derived to predict clozapine steady-state plasma concentrations to serve as a clozapine-dosing guide for clinicians:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Men: clozapine (ng/mL) = 111 (smoke) + 0.464 (dose) + 145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Women: clozapine (ng/mL) = 111 (smoke) + 1.590 (dose) – 149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olanzapine</td>
<td>Clearance of olanzapine is approximately 30% lower in women than in men</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Men require a higher olanzapine dose, 15.8 mg/day to reach the therapeutic concentration of 23.2 ng/mL, than women, who require only 8.6 mg/day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Elderly, nonsmoking women have 60% higher concentrations compared to young smoking men</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quetiapine</td>
<td>No known sex differences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risperidone</td>
<td>Population PK analysis did not identify important differences in the disposition of risperidone due to sex</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1.4.3.3. Pharmacodynamics of Antipsychotics

Sex-related effects on the pharmacodynamics of antipsychotics are summarized in Table 1.4.

### Table 1.4. Sex differences in the pharmacodynamics of antipsychotics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antipsychotics</th>
<th>Sex Differences</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Phenothiazines</td>
<td>Sex differences were not addressed in the PDR for chlorpromazine, mesoridazine, prochlorperazine, quetiapine, or trifluoperazine.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous</td>
<td>Sex differences were not addressed in the PDR for clozapine, haloperidol, loxapine, molindone, pimozide, thioridazine, or thiothixene.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clozapine</td>
<td>Schizophrenic women responded better than men at 100mg/day of clozapine, but there were no sex differences at doses of 300 or 600mg/day. Differences in leptin levels were not seen in either the group treated with olanzapine or the group treated with clozapine, which could be due to an increase of leptin in the men that resulted in similar leptin levels.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olanzapine</td>
<td>Weight gain associated with olanzapine or risperidone treatment is positively correlated for male gender. No apparent differences in effectiveness and adverse effects.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perphenazine</td>
<td>Women treated with conventional antipsychotics (i.e. perphenazine or zuclopenthixol) have significantly higher leptin levels than men in the conventional antipsychotic group.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risperidone</td>
<td>Weight gain associated with olanzapine or risperidone treatment is positively correlated for male gender.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ziprasidone</td>
<td>No known sex differences.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In general, schizophrenic women have a better response to neuroleptics than men. Treatment response occurs sooner (12.1 vs. 42.1 weeks), the dose of neuroleptic required for treatment is lower, and the frequency of nonremission is lower (2% vs. 18%) in schizophrenic women compared to men. In one study schizophrenic women responded better than men at 100 mg/day of clozapine, but there were no sex differences at doses of 300 or 600 mg/day. Another complication is that patients with schizophrenia smoke more than the general population and more than other psychiatric patients. Medicated schizophrenics who smoke present more
symptoms of schizophrenia than non-smokers. In one study, male smokers were more impaired at baseline and up to 2 weeks following antipsychotic withdrawal than nonsmokers, while there were no differences in the female group.\textsuperscript{122}

\section*{ANTIPSYCHOTIC-INDUCED WEIGHT GAIN}

Weight gain is often an undesired effect of antipsychotic treatment, which often leads to noncompliance and the potential for morbidity. The newer agents, including clozapine and olanzapine, have the greatest potential to induce weight gain.\textsuperscript{123} The prevalence of obesity is higher in women who are treated with atypical antipsychotics compared to men, as reviewed by Russell and Mackell.\textsuperscript{124} In addition, women usually have higher levels of the hormone leptin, which is involved in the regulation of body weight. A study evaluating the effects of antipsychotics on leptin levels reported that women treated with conventional antipsychotics (i.e. perphenazine or zuclopenthixol) had significantly higher leptin levels than men in the conventional antipsychotic group.\textsuperscript{118} These differences were not seen in either the group treated with olanzapine or the group treated with clozapine, which could be due to an increase of leptin in the men that resulted in similar levels. One study of weight gain associated with olanzapine or risperidone treatment was positively correlated with male gender.\textsuperscript{119}

\section*{HYPERPROLACTINEMIA}

The inhibition of prolactin release by dopamine is blocked by some antipsychotics, which can lead to hyperprolactinemia resulting in menstrual disturbances and impotence. A study reported that antipsychotic-induced hyperprolactinemia was more frequent and occurred at a lower daily
dose of antipsychotics in women, suggesting a sex-related difference in the sensitivity of the hypothalamic-pituitary system to antipsychotics.\textsuperscript{125} Another study evaluating the neuroendocrine response to antipsychotics evaluated the influence of drug type and sex on thyrotropin-releasing hormone (TRH) stimulated secretion of prolactin and thyroid-stimulating hormone (TSH).\textsuperscript{126} Prolactin plasma levels were markedly elevated in both amisulpride and flupenthixol treatment groups, and this elevation was significantly more pronounced with amisulpride compared to flupenthixol in women but not in men. Additionally, the prolactin response to TRH was significantly blunted only in the male patients.
1.4.4. Anxiolytics

1.4.4.1. Sex-related Differences in Clinical Characteristics of Anxiety Disorders

Benzodiazepines are the most widely prescribed psychotropic drugs in the world. Benzodiazepines are indicated for their anxiolytic (alprazolam, clonazepam, clorazepate, cloradiazepoxide, diazepam, lorazepam, and oxazepam) and hypnotic (flurazepam, temazepam, and triazolam) properties by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA). Benzodiazepines are commonly used to treat anxiety disorders which include generalized anxiety disorder, panic disorder, social anxiety disorder, posttraumatic stress disorder, obsessive compulsive disorder (OCD), and severe phobias. It is estimated that women are prescribed benzodiazepines almost twice as often as men, which is consistent with the data showing that women have twice the prevalence of anxiety disorders. Specifically anxiolytic benzodiazepine users are significantly more often women than men, whereas hypnotic users are more likely to be male. Selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs), which are effective antidepressants, are also powerful antianxiety agents and therefore often used to treat some anxiety disorders. Sex differences in SSRIs are detailed earlier in the section on antidepressants, and therefore, are not covered here.

Menstrual cycle, pregnancy, or childbirth can be a precipitating or exacerbating factors in anxiety disorders, especially OCD. One study found that 42% of women with OCD had a premenstrual worsening of their symptoms, 21% described premenstrual dysphoria, and 29% reported postpartum exacerbation of OCD symptoms. Additionally, women with OCD may
be at increased risk for postpartum depression, which merits further evaluation to reduce maternal and infant morbidity.

1.4.4.2. Pharmacokinetics of Anxiolytics

Sex differences in the pharmacokinetics of anxiolytics are summarized in Table 1.5.

Table 1.5. Sex differences in the pharmacokinetics of anxiolytics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ANXIOLYTIC</th>
<th>SEX DIFFERENCES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Benzodiazepines</td>
<td>Sex differences were not addressed in the PDR for the pharmacokinetics or pharmacodynamics of alprazolam, diazepam, clorazepate, midazolam, triazolam$^{32}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alprazolam</td>
<td>Most studies have not found differences$^{130}$ although one study reported differences in $t_\text{1/2}$ and Cl between women and men$^{131}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diazepam</td>
<td>Women have a larger $V_d$ and a higher intrinsic Cl compared to men$^{130}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxazepam</td>
<td>Men have a higher Cl reflecting a higher activity of UDPGT$^{66}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triazolam</td>
<td>Older women (63 to 78 years) have a shorter $t_\text{1/2}$ (2.41 h) compared to older men (3.38 h)$^{18}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Women tend to have higher Cl (8.7 vs. 5.5 mL/kg/min) but no difference in $t_\text{1/2}$$^{132}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temazepam</td>
<td>Older women have a longer $t_\text{1/2}$ (18.4 h) compared to older men (9.9 h), and older women have a reduced Cl (0.74 mL/kg/min) compared to older men (1.41 mL/kg/min)$^{18}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Men have a higher Cl reflecting a higher activity of UDPGT$^{133}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buspirone</td>
<td>No significant sex differences have been reported$^{134, 135}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Many benzodiazepines (alprazolam, clonazepam, diazepam, midazolam, and triazolam) are metabolized by CYP 3A, which has known sex differences and therefore could affect the clearance of these drugs. Additionally CYP3A4 is induced during pregnancy, which could result in an increased clearance for benzodiazepines, though this has not been reported. A study of the pharmacokinetics of triazolam and temazepam found that older women (63 to 78 years) had a
shorter half-life for triazolam (2.41 h) compared to older men (3.38 h).\textsuperscript{18} Older women had a longer half-life for temazepam (18.4 h) compared to older men (9.9 h), and older women had a reduced clearance (0.74 mL/kg/min) compared to older men (1.41 mL/kg/min).\textsuperscript{18} The higher clearance of temazepam and oxazepam in men may reflect a higher rate of glucuronidation or a higher level of activity of the uridyldiphosphate glucuronyl transferase (UDPGT) enzyme system, which may result in higher concentrations in women.\textsuperscript{66, 133} Greenblatt and colleagues have shown that women have a larger volume of distribution for diazepam and a higher intrinsic clearance compared to men.\textsuperscript{130}

1.4.4.3. Pharmacodynamics of Anxiolytics

Sex-related differences in the pharmacodynamics of anxiolytics are summarized in Table 1.6.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ANXIOLYTIC</th>
<th>SEX DIFFERENCES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Clonazepam</td>
<td>No known sex differences\textsuperscript{32}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triazolam</td>
<td>No evidence for sex differences in pharmacodynamic effects or in the kinetic-dynamic relationship\textsuperscript{120}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Although women are prescribed anxiolytics more often than men and there are observed pharmacokinetic differences, most studies report that response rates are similar between men and women. Despite similar response rates, there are special considerations that need to be addressed when prescribing benzodiazepines to women of childbearing age.
EFFECTS IN PREGNANCY

Benzodiazepines may cause fetal malformations when taken during the first trimester of pregnancy. Administration of a benzodiazepine within the last weeks of pregnancy has resulted in central nervous system (CNS) depression in the newborn (Prod Info Halcion®, 1997), and children may be at risk for withdrawal symptoms during the neonatal period. Most benzodiazepines (alprazolam, diazepam, clonazepam, chlorazepate, lorazepam, and oxazepam) are considered pregnancy category D, which means that positive evidence of human fetal risk exists, but benefits in certain situations (i.e. life-threatening situations or serious diseases for which safer drugs cannot be used or are ineffective) may make use of the drug acceptable despite its risks. Other benzodiazepines (triazolam and temazepam) are considered pregnancy category X, in which studies in animals or humans have demonstrated fetal abnormalities or there is evidence of fetal risk based on human experience, or both, and the risk clearly outweighs any possible benefit.

LONG-TERM USE AND DEPENDENCE

Long-term use of benzodiazepines may be qualitatively different in women and men. Romach and colleagues studied the clinical characteristics of persistent users of alprazolam or lorazepam who wished to discontinue their medications. Male subjects were more numerous in this study, and differed from women in several aspects. These men had histories of alcohol and drug abuse/dependence more often than women, and were consuming more alcohol at the time of assessment. Most of the men had used other benzodiazepines for control of symptoms in the
past, used their drug for a shorter length of time, and had made more attempts to stop the use of the medication. Additionally men experienced symptoms including agitation, tension, and restlessness, upon discontinuation more frequently than women.
1.4.5. Antimania Medications

1.4.5.1. Sex-related Differences in Clinical Characteristics of Mania and Bipolar Disorders

Mania is characterized by excessive elation typically accompanied by dysphoria and/or psychotic features, and has symptoms of irritability, severe insomnia, hyperactivity, uncontrollable speech and activity, impaired judgment, and risky behaviors. Mania and bipolar disorder, the mixture of mania and depression, are treated with antipsychotics, anticonvulsants, and/or sedatives. Sex differences in antipsychotics and sedative-antianxiety agents are detailed in earlier sections and therefore will not be covered here. This section focuses on mood-stabilizing medications including lithium salts and certain anticonvulsants with mood-stabilizing properties.127

Although men and women have similar lifetime prevalence rates of bipolar disorders, sex differences exist in the phenomenology and course of illness. Women have higher rates of bipolar depression and type II bipolar disorder (depression with hypomania), a greater likelihood of having depression precede mania or hypomania, are more often hospitalized for mania, and have a rapid-cycling course more often than men.28, 29 Because women with bipolar disorder reportedly have more features that may predict a poor prognosis, including depression and rapid cycling, it is particularly important to study response to therapy with regard to sex.
1.4.5.2. Pharmacokinetics and Pharmacodynamics of Antimania Agents

Lithium is a first-line agent for both acute mania and maintenance therapy, despite inducing adverse effects in 35 to 93% of patients who take it.\textsuperscript{137} During pregnancy, a 30 to 50% increase in renal clearance of lithium has been reported.\textsuperscript{138} Antiepileptic drugs (phenytoin, phenobarbital, carbamazepine) also appear to be cleared faster during pregnancy.\textsuperscript{139} Viguera and colleagues studied the response to lithium maintenance therapy, and found that women had a similar response despite significantly lower serum concentrations of lithium.\textsuperscript{127} Other studies have also reported similar response rates in women and men.\textsuperscript{140} Despite similar treatment outcomes, women experience different side effects of lithium treatment. Women are more likely to gain weight during the first year of treatment (47\% vs. 18\%) and are subsequently more likely to develop clinical hypothyroidism (37\% vs. 9\%), whereas men are more likely to develop tremors (54\% vs. 26\%).\textsuperscript{137} Studies have shown that bipolar women are at high risk to have an affective episode during pregnancy and in the postpartum period.\textsuperscript{29} Both lithium and anticonvulsant mood stabilizers, including valproic acid, are associated with teratogenic risk. These drugs are considered pregnancy category D and should be used with great caution in pregnant women.

1.4.6. Summary

Women are clearly different from men in clinical characteristics of psychiatric illness and their response to treatment. Despite these differences, there has been little research done on the pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of psychotropics in women.\textsuperscript{14} As a result, funding agencies including the National Institutes of Health have expanded their opportunities for research on women’s health. Population (mixed effects) pharmacokinetic modeling techniques
may be useful in evaluating sex differences when concentration data are collected and dosing history and sample timings are known. Additional information on the teratogenicity of psychotropics is needed and could be collected using birth registries and long-term follow up as has been done for fluoxetine. As more data become available, clinicians should consider these pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic differences between women and men in prescribing psychotropics and evaluating their response.
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1.5. Population Pharmacokinetics in Geriatric Psychiatry

Although geriatric patients are the major recipients of drugs, taking an average of 5 or more medications each day,\textsuperscript{141} most research during drug development is conducted in healthy young adults. There is evidence that physiological changes during aging contribute to differences in both drug disposition and response in older individuals compared to young adults.\textsuperscript{142, 143} Geriatric patients are a heterogeneous population, which is evident in the highly variable drug concentrations and differences in dose-concentration-response relationships. Adverse drug events are common in older adults and are often preventable. A cohort study of Medicare enrollees (30,397 person-years) evaluated the incidence and severity of adverse drug events; of the 1523 events identified, 38.0\% were serious, life-threatening, or fatal, and 27.6\% were considered preventable.\textsuperscript{144} Another study found that 1 in 6 older patients (aged 70 years and older) admitted to a general ward experienced adverse drug reactions, 24\% of which were considered severe reactions.\textsuperscript{145} Safe and effective drug therapy in the elderly requires an understanding of both drug disposition and response in older individuals.

More than a decade ago, the Expert Working Group of the International Conference on Harmonisation of Technical Requirements for the Registration of Pharmaceuticals for Human Use (ICH) recognized the paucity of clinical trials in elderly individuals and identified the need for the explicit study of the geriatric population. The ICH developed guidelines for Dose-Response Information to Support Drug Registration (E4)\textsuperscript{146} and Studies in Support of Special Populations: Geriatrics (E7).\textsuperscript{147} The U.S. Food and Drug Administration Center for Drug Evaluation and Research has adopted these as Guidelines for Industry as part of the requirements
for drug registration. Unfortunately these documents do not address the heterogeneity in the elderly population and/or the approach to capturing these differences. One of the major issues of studying the elderly relates to the ability to study a large number of people in a minimally invasive way. Population pharmacokinetics provides a potential means of addressing this issue. Specifically, this section describes the use of population pharmacokinetics to evaluate the magnitude and consistency of drug exposure in the elderly.

Pharmacokinetic studies are typically done in a population of healthy adults, and involve intensive sampling of a small number of subjects. Most studies to date have studied young adults and then extrapolated the data to older adults. Older adults have decreased hepatic and/or renal function compared to younger adults as well as other physiological changes, and therefore direct comparisons cannot be made. Occasionally, younger adults with impaired renal function are used to predict the pharmacokinetics in the elderly, though this ignores other physiological changes that occur with aging. The goal of population pharmacokinetic modeling is to characterize and model sources of variability in drug concentration.

1.5.1. Classical Pharmacokinetic Modeling

Most of the classical pharmacokinetic modeling techniques include averaging or pooling the data. The averaging approach, as named, involves the calculation of the average value of the data at each sampling time and then a model is fitted to the mean data. Parameter values can then be determined from this mean profile. This approach requires complete data, as every subject contributes one data point (i.e. plasma concentration) at each time point. Although this method is simple, the model may blunt the concentration-time profile, resulting in poorly
estimated parameters. In addition, this approach confounds sources of variability. Pooled data approaches (e.g. naïve pooled method) use a similar method in which all data from all individuals are regarded as coming from a single individual.\textsuperscript{150} These models perform well when the variations between subjects are small, but like the averaging approaches, they confound different sources of variability.

In general, classical pharmacokinetic modeling requires intense sampling of a small number of subjects (6 to 12 subjects). Classical methods are limited if data are not complete. Additionally the small number of subjects may not be representative of the variability in drug exposure in the population. There are also limits to this approach if the drug exhibits nonlinear pharmacokinetics, which means that the drug exhibits different pharmacokinetic characteristics at different doses. This happens when, for example, the capacity to eliminate the drug has been saturated.\textsuperscript{151} Classical pharmacokinetic approaches use drug concentrations from an individual patient, and therefore it is difficult to discern different sources of variability. This limitation has lead to excluding populations of people that contribute to the variability, including women, minorities, patients with co-morbidities, and the very young and very old. Sometimes, individually determined pharmacokinetic characteristics are summarized as a mean and standard deviation, based on estimates determined using classical approaches, to attempt to reflect the variability between subjects. This, however, is subject to the limitations described above. In contrast to the classical approaches, population pharmacokinetics models drug concentrations from a population of patients, and is able to distinguish interindividual (between-subject) and intraindividual (within-subject) variability.
1.5.2. Population Pharmacokinetic Modeling

Population pharmacokinetics is the quantitative assessment of typical pharmacokinetic parameters, and the interindividual, intraindividual, and residual variability in drug concentration.\textsuperscript{152} Nonlinear mixed-effects modeling approaches analyze the data of a population of patients (i.e. all individuals at once), but take into account each individual uniquely.\textsuperscript{153} One advantage of population pharmacokinetics is that data can be used from many sparsely sampled individuals, which is often more feasible in the geriatric population than a small number of intensively sampled individuals typical of the classical studies.\textsuperscript{154-156} Additionally, taking measurements from a larger number of individuals informs the nature of the interindividual variability to a much better degree than taking many samples in few subjects. Population pharmacokinetic methods use the concept of mixed-effects models (mixed = random + fixed, or varying + constant). Fixed effects include model parameters (clearance and volume of distribution) as well as covariates (e.g. age, weight, sex, and race). Random effects include both inter- and intraindividual variability. This type of nonlinear mixed-effects modeling generally uses Bayesian statistics to obtain individual estimates of pharmacokinetic parameters.\textsuperscript{157} Covariate effects are then assessed using every data point contributed by all of the individuals, thus every individual’s data contributes to the identification of sources of variability. Population pharmacokinetics can ascertain where variability arises, which is important for geriatric patients who often exhibit highly variable drug concentrations. It also allows for different numbers of data points per individual to be incorporated into the model, and can incorporate all available data from various routes of administration to inform the model.\textsuperscript{158} Population pharmacokinetics can also be used to detect unanticipated drug interactions. These advantages of population pharmacokinetics make it well-suited to analyze sparse data collected
from older individuals. The advantages and limitations of both classical and population pharmacokinetic modeling are listed in Table 1.7.

**Table 1.7. Advantages and disadvantages of classical vs. population pharmacokinetic modeling**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Classical Pharmacokinetic Modeling</th>
<th>Population Pharmacokinetic Modeling</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intense data required</td>
<td>Can use sparse data in many subjects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Precisely timed data required</td>
<td>Data can be collected at different time points (i.e. collected during typical clinic visits)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complete data required</td>
<td>Different numbers of data points per individual can be incorporated into the model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uses averaging or pooling techniques to estimate mean parameters</td>
<td>Uses Bayesian statistics to obtain individual estimates of pharmacokinetic parameters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tends towards an upward bias in estimation of interindividual variability</td>
<td>Identifies random and fixed effects to identify sources of variability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data must be from same route of administration</td>
<td>Can use data from various routes of administration simultaneously</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confounded if different dosages exhibit different pharmacokinetic characteristics</td>
<td>Nonlinearities can be easily identified across a range of dosages</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Covariates identified using summary parameters</td>
<td>Covariate effects are assessed using the entire dataset</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairly easy and fast</td>
<td>Technically difficult and time intensive</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There are several software programs that can be used to model population pharmacokinetic data, the most well-known of these is NONMEM® (GloboMax, Ellicott City, MD); however the use of these programs remains technically difficult and often time intensive. There are newer software packages on the horizon, including System for Population Kinetics (SPK) from the University of Washington (https://spk.rfpk.washington.edu) and WinNonMix® (Pharsight Corporation, Mountain View, CA), which are more graphically driven and more user-friendly, although these are still in relatively early stages of development.
To illustrate the differences between classical and population pharmacokinetic analysis methods, data were generated and then analyzed using both classical and population pharmacokinetic techniques, as shown in Figure 1.3.
Figure 1.3. Classical and population pharmacokinetic methods. The classical pharmacokinetics methods shown are the naïve pooled method (A) and the individual nonlinear regression approach (B). The population pharmacokinetic method (nonlinear mixed-effects modeling) is shown with individual data and the population prediction (data points and solid line respectively; C) as well as with a single individual showing the individual (solid line) and population prediction (dotted line) pharmacokinetic profile (D).
Olanzapine concentrations were simulated using WinNonlin® 4.0.1 (Pharsight Corporation, Mountain View, CA), based on pharmacokinetic data adapted from Callaghan et al., as well as data from our laboratory. Specifically, individual sets of pharmacokinetic parameters were generated for 12 individuals based on the reported interindividual variability on the pharmacokinetic parameters in Callaghan et al. The first example uses a classical pharmacokinetic analysis approach, the naïve pooled method (panel 1.2 A). These data points enter the non-linear regression and least squares estimation as a single individual and the least squares estimator determines the best parameters for all of the data points together, thus unique patterns within individuals are ignored. No information on the variability across the individuals contributing to the analysis is provided by this approach. Another classical pharmacokinetic approach, the individual nonlinear regression approach (panel 1.2 B), estimates each individual’s pharmacokinetic parameters based on their own concentration measurements over time. This approach can be adapted to estimate population concentrations by summarizing the individually estimated pharmacokinetic parameters with means, medians, or modes and variances. However, these values tend to be upwardly biased and continue to require intense pharmacokinetic sampling to execute successfully. Population pharmacokinetics can be used to analyze the same data set, with only a sparse number of samples. A population model was determined by the nonlinear mixed-effects pharmacokinetic analysis in WinNonMix® 2.0.1 (Pharsight Corporation, Mountain View, CA) with sparse data (panel 1.2 C). Panel 1.2 D shows a single individual, with the individual predictions from the nonlinear mixed-effects population analysis as well as the population prediction. This illustrates the simultaneous nature of estimation of the pharmacokinetic characteristics of the population and the individual, all using Bayesian and related techniques.
1.5.3. Examples of Population Pharmacokinetic Studies

1.5.3.1. Pharmacokinetic Characterization and Covariate Analysis

Most of the research in the pharmacokinetics of the geriatric population lies outside the field of geriatric psychiatry. As noted above, population pharmacokinetic techniques allow us to determine pharmacokinetic parameters in a large population of patients even when the number of samples in each patient is very small. Krecic-Shepard et al. used sparse sampling to determine the clearance of sustained-release (SR) nifedipine. Clearance was determined in 226 patients with hypertension and/or coronary artery disease by a single concentration sample between 4 and 12 hours after drug administration using nonlinear mixed-effects modeling. This study was also designed to determine the demographic and clinical covariates that affect nifedipine clearance. Clearance was affected by race (slower in black subjects compared to white), sex (slower in men than in women), smoking status (slower in smokers compared to nonsmokers), and alcohol consumption (slower with alcohol use compared to no alcohol). This study had a large age range (36 to 96 years), although most patients were over 60 years, but did not identify age as a significant covariate on clearance.

Another feature of population pharmacokinetic techniques is the ability to identify factors that contribute to the variability in pharmacokinetic parameters. A study by Kang et al. evaluated the effects of age and sex on the clearance of SR racemic verapamil. Patients with heart disease (n=186) contributed both sparse and extensive verapamil concentration measurements, which provided the basis for the pharmacokinetic analyses using a nonlinear mixed-effects modeling approach. This study found that sex significantly affected the steady-state clearance of
verapamil SR, with women having a faster clearance, and therefore a lower exposure, compared to men. Estimates of clearance were also affected by race (faster in black subjects compared to white subjects) and smoking status (faster in smokers compared with nonsmokers).

It is well established that renal function declines with age, which may impact the pharmacokinetics of renally excreted drugs. Population pharmacokinetics can be used to evaluate the impact of renal function on drug exposure, which is particularly important in the elderly population. Population pharmacokinetics were used to evaluate the antibiotic cefotaxime and its active metabolite, desacetylcefotaxime, which are both excreted primarily through renal elimination. Cefotaxime (1000 mg) was infused three times a day in 25 elderly individuals (age 66 to 93 years). Cefotaxime clearance increased with body weight and serum protein concentration, and decreased with age and serum creatinine concentration. This example also highlights the use of population pharmacokinetics in simultaneous parent drug (cefotaxime) and metabolite (desacetylcefotaxime) modeling.

1.5.3.2. Identification of Drug Interactions

Population pharmacokinetics can also be used to detect pharmacokinetic drug interactions between combinations of drugs that are commonly combined for treatment purposes. Combination treatments are the major therapeutic strategy for human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) infection; therefore, it is important to identify patient characteristics that contribute to interindividual variability in the pharmacokinetics of each drug as well as the combination of drugs, which may relate to drug exposure and clinical response. Population pharmacokinetics of combined nevirapine, zidovudine, and didanosine were evaluated in 175 patients infected with
HIV as part of the AIDS Clinical Trials Group Protocol 241\textsuperscript{163}. Patients were randomized to receive either a double combination of zidovudine and didanosine, or a triple combination of nevirapine, zidovudine, and didanosine. Approximately 3.5 samples per subject were measured during the 44 weeks of treatment. Pharmacokinetic analyses determined that sex significantly correlated with nevirapine clearance. Body weight and age were correlates of zidovudine clearance and body weight was a correlate of volume of distribution. Body weight was a significant correlate of both clearance and volume of distribution at steady state for didanosine. Bioavailability of zidovudine was reduced to 67.7\% during the triple combination (with nevirapine) compared to the double combination (without nevirapine); no differences in bioavailability were found for didanosine. This demonstrates the ability to capture the covariate effects of multiple drugs simultaneously, in addition to whether or not a drug interaction is present.

1.5.3.3. Therapeutic Drug Monitoring

Population pharmacokinetic approaches have been used to optimize the dose of phenytoin in patients with epilepsy, and the population data were then used to determine the variability in concentration resulting from a particular dosage\textsuperscript{164}. These elements were combined to produce a nomogram that reflects the regions of possible elimination rates given a particular dosage regimen and the resulting pseudo-steady-state concentration. Then if a new target concentration is desired, the dosage can be determined graphically from the nomogram. Subsequent to these analyses, Sheiner and Grasela evaluated the performance of NONMEM\textsuperscript{®} in determining phenytoin exposure given routine clinical pharmacokinetic data, and found that reasonably good predictions of exposure could be determined using this type of sparse data\textsuperscript{165}. Killilea and
colleagues determined that Bayesian regression of sparse samples could accurately predict exposure, but that these samples needed to be taken over multiple occasions to robustly predict exposure. Other investigators have utilized these approaches for therapeutic drug monitoring of phenytoin exposure under both steady-state and non-steady-state conditions. These investigators concluded that the programs worked in a reasonably unbiased fashion and provided the best estimates when samples were taken beyond an initial five-day window of treatment.

1.5.3.4. Determining Consistency of Drug Exposure

In addition to pharmacokinetic differences, patient adherence contributes to variability in clinical outcome. Population pharmacokinetics can be used to determine the relationship between intraindividual variability in drug concentrations and its association with patient response. Brundage and colleagues showed that intraindividual variability of efavirenz concentrations is a predictor of virologic response to antiretroviral therapy. Concentrations were obtained for 50 children as part of the Pediatric AIDS Clinical Trials Group Study 382, a concentration-controlled trial of efavirenz in combination with other antiretroviral medications. Nonlinear mixed-effects modeling was used to determine individual pharmacokinetic parameters of efavirenz from 24-hour concentration-time profiles at weeks 2 and 6. Pharmacokinetic parameters were used to predict trough concentrations during one year of therapy (one sample per visit, up to 12 visits). Inconsistencies in drug exposure can be detected by evaluating the differences between the observed (i.e. measured in the laboratory) and predicted (i.e. generated by the pharmacokinetic model) concentrations, which yields an integrated pharmacokinetic adherence measure (IPAM). The discrepancy between observed and predicted concentration was expressed as the ratio of the observed concentration ($C_{\text{obs}}$) to the predicted concentration ($C_{\text{pred}}$),
or $C_{\text{obs}}/C_{\text{pred}}$. The IPAM score was defined as the fraction of available ratios that $C_{\text{obs}}$ was in the range of $\pm 50\%$ the $C_{\text{pred}}$. A high IPAM score reflects a high concentration predictability, or low intraindividual concentration variability. Only 8 of the 33 children (24%) in the high-predictability group experienced viral rebound as measured by plasma HIV-1 RNA levels (>400 copies/mL), compared with 9 of the 17 children (53%) in the low-predictability group. Children with a low IPAM score also had a significantly shorter time to their first viral rebound.

1.5.4. Population Pharmacokinetic Examples in Geriatric Psychiatry

1.5.4.1. Pharmacokinetic Characterization and Covariate Analysis

Psychiatry is lacking basic pharmacokinetic data let alone sophisticated population pharmacokinetic evaluations. Recently our research group has used population pharmacokinetic techniques to evaluate the disposition of psychiatric drugs in the elderly. We designed a study to determine whether the disposition of citalopram could be captured using only 1 to 2 blood samples per subject. Nonlinear mixed-effects modeling was used to evaluate the data collected in two studies of bipolar and elderly depression respectively. In the first study, patients with bipolar depression were treated with citalopram for an initial 8-week response phase and then a 16-week continuation phase for responders. Plasma samples were obtained at baseline, week 1, week 8, and the end of the study. A total of 45 patients in this study provided 85 citalopram samples. The second study was a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study of citalopram for the treatment of geriatric depression. Older depressed patients (75 years and older) were treated with citalopram or placebo for 8 weeks. Plasma samples were obtained at baseline, week 4, and week 8 (or at study termination). Sixty-six
patients provided 116 concentration samples in this study, for a total of 199 plasma citalopram concentrations from 106 patients for both studies. The pharmacokinetic characteristics of citalopram were well-captured, while taking only one or two blood samples per patient. In this analysis, the covariates age and weight had a significant effect on the clearance and volume of distribution of citalopram. Clearance decreased with increasing age and increased with increasing body weight. This sparse sampling design was adequate to support population pharmacokinetic analyses in a clinically treated population including older adults.

A similar analysis was done for the Maintenance Therapies in Late-Life Depression trial. Older adults (69 years and older) with major depressive disorder were treated with paroxetine. A total of 171 patients provided 1970 paroxetine concentrations and a nonlinear mixed-effects model was developed with these sparse samples. Weight and cytochrome P450 (CYP) 2D6 genotype had a significant effect on the maximal elimination velocity (i.e., the rate of metabolism of the drug) and sex had an effect on the volume of distribution. Race, significant in the initial analysis as a covariate affecting rate of metabolism, was no longer significant when the CYP2D6 predicted phenotype (by genotype) was considered.

DeVane and colleagues have used similar methods to evaluate the population pharmacokinetics of alprazolam. Two blood samples collected at a random time during two different dose intervals were collected in 94 psychiatric inpatients receiving alprazolam. Mixed-effects modeling determined mean alprazolam clearance (0.05 L/h/kg), volume of distribution (0.7 L/kg) and absorption rate constant (1.1 h⁻¹). Clearance was affected by sex (increased by 59% in
women compared to men), age (decreased by 23% in patients older than 60 years), and disease (decreased by 26% in patients with multiple organ disease).

The population pharmacokinetics of lithium were characterized by Gaillot and colleagues, using intense sampling on three different occasions after single and multiple dosages, as well as sparse sampling on two occasions.\textsuperscript{177} The aim of this study was to examine whether or not a universal dosage for lithium was possible \textit{a priori}. Average pharmacokinetics as well as the interindividual variability were determined for the population. The total number of patients in this analysis (n=24) is not typically sufficient to adequately determine interindividual variability, but the investigators determined that any given fixed dosage would result in too large a proportion of patients falling either above or below the defined therapeutic range for this drug, and therefore no single dose could be recommended \textit{a priori}. In another study, nonlinear mixed-effects modeling was applied using sparse therapeutic drug monitoring data to determine the population pharmacokinetics as well as important covariates affecting lithium disposition.\textsuperscript{178} Concentration measurements were taken 12 hours after the dose in 79 psychiatric inpatients. Steady state lithium concentrations were reasonably well predicted by this model. Lean body weight and serum creatinine were determined to be the best predictors of lithium clearance.

Other investigators utilized population pharmacokinetic approaches, using NONMEM\textsuperscript{®}, to evaluate the disposition of lithium in Japanese patients.\textsuperscript{179} These investigators evaluated a number of potential covariates affecting disposition using 303 samples from 90 patients. They determined that age, total body weight, and serum creatinine affected the clearance of lithium. In addition, the interindividual variability of elimination was approximately 25%, in this
population. These approaches provide utility in the area of therapeutic drug monitoring where concentrations can be reasonably well-predicted from dose, and then further refined after initial measurements.

There is a paucity of data regarding the pharmacokinetics of the antipsychotics, with most studies involving a small number of healthy subjects. Callahan et al. reported a population pharmacokinetic analysis of olanzapine in 1711 patients with schizophrenia during phase II and phase III clinical trials. Smoking and sex were identified as important factors contributing to clearance and volume of distribution, though the actual data and model were not reported. In another study, by Kimko and colleagues, data from one phase I and one phase II clinical trial of quetiapine in patients with schizophrenia were analyzed with nonlinear mixed-effects modeling to develop a pharmacokinetic-pharmacodynamic (PK-PD) model. This PK-PD model was used to simulate the results of a phase III trial of quetiapine. Simulation results were compared with those in the actual trial to evaluate how well the simulations were able to predict the outcome. The actual trials results fell within the predicted response scores (± 1 standard error) for all doses (75, 150, 300, 600, or 750 mg/d) except the placebo group.

The Clinical Antipsychotic Trials of Intervention Effectiveness (CATIE) was a multi-site National Institute of Mental Health (NIMH) funded research project that evaluated the clinical effectiveness of atypical antipsychotics in the treatment of schizophrenia and Alzheimer's disease. This was the first systematic evaluation of the response to antipsychotics. An ancillary study to the CATIE trial, Atypical Antipsychotics: Determinants of Concentration, was designed to reliably capture the concentration exposure of antipsychotics using mixed-effects
population pharmacokinetic methodologies. The CATIE Alzheimer’s disease trial contributed 795 concentrations in 260 patients (230 concentrations of olanzapine, 223 of quetiapine, 210 of risperidone, and 132 of citalopram). The CATIE schizophrenia trial contributed 5660 samples in 1212 patients (1528 concentrations of olanzapine, 1137 of quetiapine, 1274 of risperidone, 681 of ziprasidone, 641 of perphenazine, 216 of clozapine, 69 of aripiprazole, 18 of fluphenazine, and 96 of combination therapy). Our research group is in the process of constructing separate population pharmacokinetic models for each drug, and specific covariates are being evaluated as potential contributors to variability in drug exposure. This study will allow us for the first time to evaluate the pharmacokinetics of the antipsychotics, as well as the factors that contribute to variability in exposure within a psychiatric population.

Another NIMH funded multi-site study Depression: The Search for Treatment-Relevant Phenotypes (SPECTRUM) is aimed to define treatment-relevant phenotypes of depression in order to aid practicing clinicians in achieving durable recovery from major depression. The SPECTRUM study assesses the impact of the SSRI, escitalopram, and/or interpersonal psychotherapy on patients with depression. This study will provide up to five escitalopram concentration samples per patient in approximately 228 patients with depression. Additionally this study employs the use of electronic medication event monitoring (MEMS™) in order to monitor pharmacotherapy adherence, which is defined as the subject taking the medication at the prescribed time, and to provide the input profile for the pharmacokinetic modeling. This will further enhance the understanding of patterns of drug exposure.
1.5.4.2. Identification of Drug Interactions

Population pharmacokinetics can also be used to determine the impact of exposure to a concomitant medication on the pharmacokinetics of another drug, which is particularly important for elderly individuals who regularly take multiple medications. A drug interaction between alprazolam and imipramine was identified with a population pharmacokinetic approach.\textsuperscript{185} Alprazolam (4 mg/d) was found to decrease the clearance of imipramine by 20%, using a traditional pharmacokinetic approach. However mixed-effects modeling determined that the interaction is dependent on the simultaneous concentration of alprazolam, which could not have been determined using the traditional pharmacokinetic study design.

1.5.4.3. Determining Consistency of Exposure and Clinical Trial Simulation

Continuing Pharmacotherapy in Agitation and Dementia (CPAD) is a study that used population pharmacokinetic analyses to assess the consistency of risperidone exposure in older patients with dementia-related agitation and/or delusions.\textsuperscript{186} Risperidone was administered for 12 weeks and plasma samples obtained at baseline and weeks 1, 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, and 12. The observed concentrations ($C_{obs}$) of risperidone formed the basis for applying a Bayesian pharmacokinetic (mixed-effects modeling) approach to determine the ideal predicted concentration for an individual given a previously established population pharmacokinetic model, dosage history, and timing of the concentration sample. The predicted risperidone concentrations from the model ($C_{pred}$) were compared with the observed concentrations ($C_{obs}$) in the form of the ratio, $C_{pred}/C_{obs}$. If the model overestimates the measured concentration, this ratio increases. Assuming that the pharmacokinetic model is adequate, a higher ratio suggests that the patient has not received or
absorbed as much drug as prescribed. If the measured concentration is larger relative to the predicted concentration, the $C_{\text{pred}}/C_{\text{obs}}$ ratio decreases, which suggests that the patient is receiving or absorbing more of the drug than was prescribed. In this study, the central tendencies of the $C_{\text{pred}}/C_{\text{obs}}$ ratios across groups were not significantly different; however the modeled $C_{\text{pred}}/C_{\text{obs}}$ ratios for risperidone had a much higher within-subject variance in the inpatients than in the community care patients (117.03% vs. 72.35%).

The CATIE and SPECTRUM studies have been simulated by our research group to assess the feasibility of accurately and precisely identifying the consistency of concentration exposure and to evaluate the impact of electronic monitoring on measuring consistency of exposure to pharmacotherapy.\textsuperscript{187} These studies were simulated separately using nonlinear mixed-effects pharmacokinetic modeling. The first step simulated datasets of “virtual patients,” each with a unique virtual concentration-time profile under the sampling conditions of each study. Virtual patient characteristics were generated using Monte Carlo (i.e. random number generating) simulation methods. In this study, two versions of the dosing history and sample times were simulated. The true dosage history and sample times were simulated using MEMS™ data, and the other simulation was done with incorrectly-reported dosage history and sample times. The second step estimated the concentrations and pharmacokinetic parameters from the datasets of the virtual patients; first using accurate dosage history and sample times from MEMS™ data, and then with inaccurate dosage history and sample times. The $C_{\text{pred}}/C_{\text{obs}}$ ratio was calculated for each concentration observation. In these simulations, the use of electronic monitoring improved the identification of atypical exposure by population pharmacokinetics both for selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors and atypical antipsychotics.\textsuperscript{187} Erratic exposure patterns were
detected with population pharmacokinetic techniques in the absence of MEMS™ data. The $C_{\text{pred}}/C_{\text{obs}}$ ratio increased with decreasing adherence (or increasingly erratic exposure) when exact dosage history was not accurately known. These simulations demonstrated the usefulness of the combination of population pharmacokinetics with electronic monitoring, as a robust method for accurately and precisely capturing both magnitude and consistency of pharmacotherapy exposure.

1.5.5. Summary

As reflected from the examples discussed in this section, most population pharmacokinetic studies have been done in the adult population, but have recently expanded to include children and elderly individuals. These techniques are particularly relevant for the very young and the very old because of the use of sparse sampling strategies. Population pharmacokinetic techniques contribute to a greater understanding of drug disposition and response in older individuals, by modeling drug concentrations from a population of patients and characterizing the degree of variability in drug exposure for this population. Another advantage is the ability to identify factors that contribute to the variability in pharmacokinetics, including age, sex, race, weight, renal function, and concomitant medications. Population pharmacokinetics can also be used to measure patient adherence and evaluate its effect on clinical outcome. As shown with the examples from the CATIE and SPECTRUM studies, population pharmacokinetics can be used to design and simulate data for large clinical trials, which can decrease the time and resources spent and therefore defray costs. The following section is an example of the use of population pharmacokinetic methodologies to identify contributors to variability in olanzapine pharmacokinetics using data from the CATIE trials.
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1.6. Population Pharmacokinetics of Olanzapine

1.6.1. Introduction

The Clinical Antipsychotic Trials of Intervention Effectiveness (CATIE) was the first systematic evaluation of the clinical response to atypical antipsychotics in the treatment of Alzheimer's disease and schizophrenia. In the schizophrenia trial (CATIE-SZ), olanzapine was the most effective antipsychotic studied in terms of the rates of discontinuation before 18 months for any cause (64%), compared to perphenazine (75%), quetiapine (82%), risperidone (74%), and ziprasidone (79%). However, olanzapine was associated with greater weight gain and increases in measures of glucose and lipid metabolism. In the Alzheimer’s disease trial (CATIE-AD), there were no significant differences among treatments. The median time to discontinuation of treatment for any reason was similar for olanzapine (8.1 weeks), risperidone (7.4 weeks), quetiapine (5.3 weeks), and placebo (8.0 weeks).

The CATIE trials reported overall high rates of discontinuation due to lack of efficacy and/or intolerable side effects for all antipsychotics. One reason for the high rates of discontinuation may relate to the wide variability in the pharmacokinetics of these drugs, which often results in differences in the pharmacodynamics, both in the response to a drug and the incidence of adverse effects. For example, if a patient clears a drug faster than average, they will experience lower drug levels and may not respond as well at the same dose. Conversely, if a patient clears the drug slower than average, they will have higher drug levels and may be at a higher risk of experiencing adverse effects. Therefore, in order to limit the variability in response to a drug, it is necessary to limit or control for the variability in the pharmacokinetics. This is particularly
important in an older population such as patients with Alzheimer’s disease, where the variability is often greater.\(^{170}\)

Population pharmacokinetic methodologies provide a means of estimating the magnitude of drug exposure in a large number of patients in a minimally invasive way, using sparse sampling.\(^ {190}\) These methodologies also allow one to identify factors that contribute to variability in drug exposure as well as detect potential pharmacokinetic drug interactions.\(^ {190}\) Limited data on the pharmacokinetics of olanzapine has been published.\(^ {159}\) Most studies were conducted in a small number of subjects, and other studies elude to population analyses but do not report actual values or the magnitude of effect of the contributors to variability.\(^ {159, 192, 193}\) The CATIE trials afforded a unique opportunity to study a large number of subjects treated with antipsychotics. This ancillary study to the CATIE trials aimed to capture the magnitude and variability of concentration exposure of antipsychotics using mixed-effects population pharmacokinetic methodologies. This allowed us, for the first time, to evaluate the pharmacokinetics of olanzapine, as well as identify factors that contribute to variability in exposure, in large populations of patients with schizophrenia and Alzheimer’s disease.
1.6.2. Methods

1.6.2.1. Participants and Interventions

A detailed description of the study design and methods has been published for CATIE-SZ\textsuperscript{194} and CATIE-AD.\textsuperscript{195} Patients with Alzheimer's disease and schizophrenia were recruited from multiple U.S. sites between January 2001 and December 2004. Patients were treated with oral olanzapine (2.5 to 20 mg/day taken once a day for AD and 7.5 to 30 mg/day taken once or twice daily for SZ, with the exception of one patient in the SZ trial who received up to 80 mg/day). Demographic information was collected at study visits, including height, weight, age, sex, smoking status, and concomitant medications. Race was self-reported and included the following categories: American Indian, Asian alone, Black/African American, Native Hawaiian, White alone, and two or more races. Subjects also reported whether they were of Hispanic ethnicity, as a separate category from race. Plasma samples were collected during the study visits. Each subject provided between one and six plasma samples for determination of olanzapine concentrations. Data was excluded for missing (or incorrect) dose, time of dose, sample, or time of sample.

1.6.2.2. Analytical Procedures

Plasma levels of olanzapine were determined using liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS-MS).\textsuperscript{196} Briefly, 0.5 mL of plasma was alkalized with 0.5 mL of saturated aqueous solution of sodium carbonate and extracted by a liquid-liquid extraction method (15%
methylene chloride in pentane). The organic extract was dried and reconstituted in mobile phase and an aliquot was injected into the LC-MS-MS system. The compounds were separated on a phenyl-hexyl (5 micron 50 x 4.6 mm) column by isocratic elution using a mobile phase containing aqueous 78 µM ammonium acetate, methanol and acetonitrile (5:45:50). The analytes were ionized in the mass spectrometer in a TurboIon source with positive ion atmospheric pressure electrospray ionization and detected with multiple reaction-monitoring modes. The ion transitions monitored were m/z 313 → 256 for olanzapine and m/z 327 → 270 for the internal standard (LY 170222). These transition ions were selected based on predominant fragmentation pathways of olanzapine and internal standard and their intensity as observed in their product ion mass spectra. The olanzapine standard was linear over the range of 0.1 ng/mL to 100 ng/mL when 0.5 mL of plasma was used for the analysis ($r^2 > 0.999$). The intra- and inter-assay variations were less than 15% for the spiked standard curve and quality control samples. The variations for the long-term patient quality control samples were <10%.

### 1.6.2.3. Population Pharmacokinetic Analysis

The population pharmacokinetic analysis included the development of a structural base model, which defines the pharmacokinetic parameters and describes the plasma concentration-time profile for olanzapine. The final model was then developed by testing the effects of subject-specific covariates including age, height, weight, sex, race, and smoking status, on pharmacokinetic parameter estimates. Non-linear mixed effects modeling was used for the population pharmacokinetic analysis using NONMEM® (Version 5, Level 1.1; GloboMax, Ellicott City, MD). One and two compartment models were evaluated. Pharmacokinetic
parameters, including clearance (Cl) and volume of distribution (Vd), as well as interindividual (between-subject) and intraindividual (within-subject) variability were estimated. Continuous covariates (e.g., age, height, weight) and discrete covariates (e.g., sex, race, smoking status) were introduced into each parameter in a stepwise fashion. Concomitant medications that had an incidence of approximately 1% or greater were also individually tested as discrete covariates to identify potential pharmacokinetic drug interactions with olanzapine.

1.6.2.4. Statistical Analyses

The developed models were evaluated using both statistical and graphical methods. The likelihood ratio test was used to discriminate between alternative models. This test is based on the property that the ratio of the NONMEM objective function values (-2 log-likelihood) are asymptotically \( \chi^2 \) distributed. An objective function decrease of 3.84 units was considered statistically significant (\( \chi^2, \text{df}=1, p<0.05 \)). Likewise, a covariate was retained in the model if it decreased the objective function value by 3.84 units. Covariate influence on interindividual variability and goodness-of-fit was also examined.

Post-processing of NONMEM outputs was performed using Prism\textsuperscript{®} (version 4.03; GraphPad Software, Inc., San Diego, CA).\textsuperscript{199} Linear regression was used to determine the magnitude of contribution to the variability of clearance for significant covariates. Unpaired t-tests were performed for each significant covariate. ANOVA was used to compare clearance for each of the race categories, and Bonferroni’s multiple comparison test was used to correct for multiple comparisons. Data are reported as mean ± SD; p-values <0.05 were considered statistically
significant. All plots were generated using Prism; horizontal lines represent the median for each dataset.

### 1.6.3. Results

Patients with Alzheimer's disease (n=117) and schizophrenia (n=406) provided 1527 plasma olanzapine concentrations (200 samples from CATIE-AD and 1327 from CATIE-SZ) for the population pharmacokinetic analyses. Patient demographics are summarized in Table 1.8.

#### Table 1.8. CATIE patient demographics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>All patients (n=523)</th>
<th>Schizophrenia (n=406)</th>
<th>Alzheimer’s Disease (n=117)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age</strong> –median year ± SD range</td>
<td>45 ± 18 (18 to 103)</td>
<td>42 ± 7.9 (18 to 65)</td>
<td>78 ± 10.9 (54 to 103)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sex</strong> –no. (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>332 (63)</td>
<td>289 (71)</td>
<td>43 (37)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>191 (37)</td>
<td>117 (29)</td>
<td>74 (63)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Race</strong> –no. (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>346 (66)</td>
<td>253 (62)</td>
<td>93 (80)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black/African American</td>
<td>149 (28)</td>
<td>131 (32)</td>
<td>18 (15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>19 (4)</td>
<td>14 (3)</td>
<td>5 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Indian</td>
<td>5 (1)</td>
<td>4 (1)</td>
<td>1 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two or more races</td>
<td>4 (1)</td>
<td>4 (1)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Smoking status</strong> –no. (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active smoker</td>
<td>274 (52)</td>
<td>267 (66)</td>
<td>7 (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-smoker*</td>
<td>249 (48)</td>
<td>139 (34)</td>
<td>110 (94)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*includes inactive (past) smokers

The population pharmacokinetic model adequately described the olanzapine pharmacokinetics in this population of patients with Alzheimer’s disease and schizophrenia. A one-compartment pharmacokinetic model with additive error best described the data.
Pharmacokinetic parameters are summarized in Table 1.9. The population mean clearance and volume of distribution were 16.1 L/h and 2150 L, respectively. The absorption constant $K_a$ was fixed at 0.5 h$^{-1}$ based on previous literature reports.\textsuperscript{159}

Elimination of olanzapine varied nearly 10-fold (range 6.66 to 67.96 L/h). Smoking status, sex, and race accounted for 26%, 12%, and 7% of the variability, respectively (p<0.0001 for each parameter; table 1.10).

Smokers cleared olanzapine 55% faster than non/past-smokers (p<0.0001, unpaired t-test; figure 1.4). Men cleared olanzapine 38% faster than women (p<0.0001, unpaired t-test; figure 1.5).
Patients who identified themselves as Black or African American cleared olanzapine 26% faster than other races (ANOVA overall p<0.05; figure 1.6). Olanzapine clearance was significantly higher in Black/African American patients compared to White patients (ANOVA mean difference 6.141 L/h, p<0.001) and Asian patients (mean difference 7.738 L/h, p<0.05), and was also higher than American Indian patients and those who identified with two or more races (mean differences 4.514 L/h and 7.995 L/h respectively, p>0.05) though these did not reach significance likely due to small sample sizes, table 1.11. Figure 1.7 illustrates the combined effect of sex, race, and smoking status, by comparing Black/African American men who smoke with non-Black/African American women who do not smoke (35.70 ± 10.70 L/h vs. 16.70 ± 4.662 L/h, p<0.0001 unpaired t-test). Hispanic ethnicity did not have an effect on olanzapine clearance.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bonferroni’s Multiple Comparison Test</th>
<th>Mean difference</th>
<th>P value</th>
<th>95% CI of difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Black vs. White</td>
<td>6.141</td>
<td><em>&lt; 0.001</em></td>
<td>3.216 to 9.067</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black vs. Asian</td>
<td>7.738</td>
<td><em>&lt; 0.05</em></td>
<td>0.4649 to 15.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black vs. American Indian</td>
<td>4.514</td>
<td>&gt; 0.05</td>
<td>-9.060 to 18.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black vs. Two or more races</td>
<td>7.995</td>
<td>&gt; 0.05</td>
<td>-7.133 to 23.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White vs. Asian</td>
<td>1.597</td>
<td>&gt; 0.05</td>
<td>-5.439 to 8.632</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White vs. American Indian</td>
<td>-1.627</td>
<td>&gt; 0.05</td>
<td>-15.08 to 11.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White vs. Two or more races</td>
<td>1.854</td>
<td>&gt; 0.05</td>
<td>-13.16 to 16.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian vs. American Indian</td>
<td>-3.224</td>
<td>&gt; 0.05</td>
<td>-18.23 to 11.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian vs. Two or more races</td>
<td>0.257</td>
<td>&gt; 0.05</td>
<td>-16.17 to 16.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Indian vs. Two or more races</td>
<td>3.481</td>
<td>&gt; 0.05</td>
<td>-16.55 to 23.51</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

None of the 41 concomitant medications tested had an effect on olanzapine clearance. None of the covariates (including concomitant medications) had an effect on the volume of distribution of olanzapine.
Figure 1.4. Olanzapine clearance by smoking status
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Figure 1.5. Olanzapine clearance by sex
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Figure 1.6. Olanzapine clearance by race

Figure 1.7. Combined effect of smoking, sex, and race on olanzapine clearance
1.6.4. Discussion

Dose-adjusted steady-state concentrations of olanzapine vary 26-fold in patients treated with standard doses of olanzapine. This pharmacokinetic variability likely contributes to the wide variability in response to olanzapine. This study showed that olanzapine clearance varies nearly 10-fold and is impacted by sex, race, and smoking.

CYP1A2 is the major enzyme responsible for metabolizing olanzapine, with minor pathways including CYP2D6 and flavin-monooxygenase FMO3. Polyaromatic hydrocarbons in cigarette smoke are known to induce the liver enzyme cytochrome P450 (CYP) 1A2. Therefore, it is not surprising that clearance of olanzapine is accelerated in patients who smoke, which has been previously reported. This is a potentially serious problem due to the fact that many patients with schizophrenia smoke. In this population, 66% of patients with schizophrenia were active smokers. Due to faster clearance, it may be necessary to increase the dose in patients who smoke. Conversely, doses may need to be decreased following smoking cessation.

Sex differences in pharmacokinetics have been reported for many psychotropic medications. Differences in olanzapine clearance due to sex have been previously reported. In this study, men cleared olanzapine 38% faster than women. Estrogen is a known inhibitor of CYP1A2, which could explain the slower olanzapine clearance found in women. Other possible mechanisms include sex differences in blood flow and liver size, as well as differences in expression of metabolizing enzymes and transporters.
This is the first study to find racial differences in olanzapine clearance. Patients who identified themselves as Black or African American cleared olanzapine faster than patients of other races. There are many possible factors underlying these racial differences, potentially arising from both the sociologic and possible biologic realms. One possible explanation for racial differences in pharmacokinetics is the known genotypic differences in metabolizing enzymes. Genetic polymorphisms found in the CYP1A2 gene, but there are limited data on the effects of CYP1A2 genetics on drug metabolism. However, more than 80 allelic variants have been identified for the CYP2D6 gene among different racial populations, which results in variable enzymatic activity. Feng and colleagues found that race was a significant predictor of paroxetine clearance, but was no longer significant when CYP2D6 genotype was incorporated in the model, which suggests that race is acting a surrogate for CYP2D6 genotype. The average paroxetine clearance was 27.4 L/h for the African American population compared to only 21.9 L/h for the Caucasian population, which may relate to the 3-fold higher frequency of the *4 null allele in Caucasians compared to African Americans.

Another possible explanation is that there are differences in adherence, or drug intake rates across the different racial populations. A consistently lower intake of the drug would result in an increased estimate of clearance due to lower drug concentrations. Additionally, if patients were inconsistently taking the medication, this would contribute to variability in apparent elimination across occasions. There is also greater genetic diversity in African populations than in either European or Asian populations, which leads to considerable heterogeneity in African populations. Other potential reasons for the racial differences found in this study may arise, as the race covariate is collected primarily as a social category, not a biological category.
the multifactorial nature of race, this finding requires further study. Therefore conclusions regarding dosage should not be made on the basis of race.

In summary, sex, race, and smoking status impact olanzapine clearance and therefore impact drug exposure. Differences in olanzapine exposure due to sex, race, and smoking status may account for some of the variability in response to olanzapine.
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1.7. Summary

As more data become available, clinicians should consider these pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic differences between women and men, young and elderly, different racial backgrounds, and/or smoking status, in prescribing psychotropics and evaluating their response. Population pharmacokinetic techniques contribute to a greater understanding of drug disposition and response, by modeling drug concentrations from a population of patients and characterizing the degree of variability in drug exposure for this population. This allows us to identify factors that contribute to the variability in pharmacokinetics, including age, sex, race, weight, smoking, and concomitant medications. As shown with olanzapine, differences due to sex, race, and smoking status, which contribute to variability in exposure to olanzapine, may account for some of the variability in response. However, characterizing the variability in the pharmacokinetics of a drug is only one step to a better understanding of how and why psychotropics work differently in each individual. The following chapter describes an approach to elucidating the neural pathways that produce changes in mood and behavior in order to better understand the pharmacodynamics of a particular drug or class of drugs, as well as to learn more about the pathophysiology of psychiatric illnesses.
2. Introduction to Citalopram Pharmacodynamics
2.1. The Serotonergic System

Serotonin, 5-hydroxytryptamine (5-HT), is a monoaminergic neurotransmitter known to mediate mood and emotion, as well as a host of other basic functions including sleep and appetite. Serotonergic neurons project to most regions of the brain, with primary targets including the amygdala, hippocampus, hypothalamus, substantia nigra, caudate, putamen, nucleus accumbens, and multiple cortical areas. There is a great deal of evidence that dysregulation of the serotonin system is involved in the pathophysiology of depression, anxiety, and other psychiatric illnesses. In fact, many regions implicated in depression are regions regulated by serotonin including the amygdala, hypothalamus, caudate, as well as the frontal and cingulate cortices, as reviewed by Staley et al.

2.2. Selective Serotonin Reuptake Inhibitors

Selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs), the second most commonly prescribed class of drugs, are the first line therapy for the treatment of depression and anxiety. SSRIs act at the serotonin transporter (5-HTT) to block the reuptake of serotonin, thus increasing serotonin concentration in the synapse. Due to their actions at the serotonin transporter, SSRIs can also be used to measure serotonin function in the brain of both healthy and depressed patients. One common measure of serotonin function is an increase in hormones in response to SSRIs. Serotonergic neurons stimulate the secretion of several hormones including adrenocorticotropic hormone (ACTH) and prolactin from the pituitary. Studies have shown that intravenous (IV) administration of the SSRI, citalopram, increases plasma prolactin and cortisol levels in a dose-
related manner. Additionally, depressed patients have a significantly blunted prolactin secretion after administration of citalopram when compared to healthy subjects. The mechanism underlying the secretion of cortisol after administration of an SSRI has not yet been elucidated; however it is possible that direct effects of the SSRI on the pituitary mediate the release of ACTH, which results in a release of cortisol. Alternatively, SSRIs may have a direct effect on the adrenal glands. This neuroendocrine response to SSRIs has often been used as a probe for brain serotonin function; however, this measure does not indicate which regions of the brain are activated by SSRIs. In fact, while much is known about the action of SSRIs at the cellular level, it is still largely unknown how the effects of these agents on functional interactions between distinct brain regions alter mood and behavior.

2.3. Citalopram

The SSRI, citalopram, is a particularly useful probe of the serotonin system due to its high affinity for the serotonin transporter. Citalopram is approved by the U. S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for the treatment of depression, and is also commonly used in the treatment of other psychiatric illnesses, including obsessive compulsive disorder and panic disorder. Citalopram is commercially available as an oral tablet (Celexa®), but is also available in an intravenous formulation under an investigational new drug (IND) application. Citalopram, the only SSRI available in IV formulation, is well-tolerated at doses up to 40 mg. Like other SSRIs, citalopram is believed to exert its pharmacological effects by blocking serotonin (5-HT) reuptake at the serotonin transporter (5-HTT). Citalopram is particularly selective for 5-HTT, having negligible effects on other transporters including
dopamine and norepinephrine transporters, and little to no affinity for other neurotransmitter receptors such as the gamma amino butyric acid (GABA), opioid, and muscarinic receptors. Citalopram exists as a racemic mixture, and studies have shown that the S-enantiomer is significantly more potent in inhibiting 5-HT reuptake than the racemate. Because of its selectivity and tolerability, IV citalopram can be used as a probe for in vivo assessments of serotonin function.

Neuroimaging techniques have been used to detect drug-induced changes at the neuronal level. Positron emission tomography (PET) studies have shown that citalopram alters cerebral glucose metabolism, as measured by changes in the radiotracer \(^{18}\text{F}\)-2-deoxy-2-fluoro-D-glucose (\(^{18}\text{F}\)-FDG), in areas of the brain thought to be involved in the pathophysiology of depression and anxiety. One such study in healthy men and women showed that IV citalopram decreased cerebral glucose metabolism in the right (R) anterior cingulate gyrus, R superior and R middle frontal gyrus, R parietal cortex (precuneus), R superior occipital gyrus, left (L) thalamus, and R cerebellum, while it increased glucose metabolism in the L superior temporal gyrus and L occipital cortex. In another PET study, patients with geriatric depression demonstrated greater left-hemisphere cortical decreases after administration of IV citalopram than elderly comparison subjects, while the control subjects demonstrated greater right-hemisphere cortical decreases than the patients. The depressed patients also demonstrated greater metabolic increases in the R putamen and L occipital cortex after IV citalopram compared to the elderly control subjects. These results support the hypothesis of serotonin dysregulation and potential compensatory responses in depressed patients. The regions identified overlap with areas thought to be
important in the pathophysiology of depression and anxiety and may indicate regions important for treatment response.

2.4. Functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging

Neuroimaging techniques acquire substantial data sets, reflecting the acquisition of many hundreds of repeated measures of brain structure or function within a single subject in a short period of time. One such technique, functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI), can be used to non-invasively measure both spatial and temporal drug-induced changes in task-related neuronal activation, by detecting changes in the blood oxygenation level dependent (BOLD) signal. The BOLD signal, an indicator of neuronal activation, is generated by increases in local cerebral blood flow and the subsequent increase in the percentage of oxygenated hemoglobin. An increase in oxygenated hemoglobin, which is less paramagnetic than deoxygenated hemoglobin, can be measured using T2*-weighted magnetic resonance imaging, which is the basis of fMRI. This method uses blood oxygenation as an endogenous contrast with hemodynamic specificity similar to nuclear tracer techniques (i.e. PET) without the exposure to radioactivity. Compared to PET, fMRI also has better spatial (4 mm³ voxels and smaller compared to 8 mm³ voxels in PET) and temporal resolution, on the order of a few seconds compared to 40-60 seconds or even minutes. Additionally, fMRI is unique due to its ability to detect functional interactions between brain regions while subjects are performing tasks. The efficiency of fMRI allows for the ability to investigate the specificity of a drug or gene effect by examining its influence on multiple functional systems (e.g., prefrontal, striatal, limbic) in a
single subject. The ability to rapidly assay differences in brain with power and sensitivity places neuroimaging at the forefront of available tools for the \textit{in vivo} study of psychotropics.

Advances in fMRI allow researchers to study regional brain activity while subjects are performing sensory, motor, cognitive, or affective tasks using rapid sequential imaging. Studies designed to determine the networks in the brain responsible for mood and cognition have found that performance of cognitive or emotional processing tasks results in increased blood flow in several distinct areas of the prefrontal cortex associated with these tasks, and corresponding decreased blood flow in other areas requiring deactivation to facilitate task performance.\textsuperscript{228} Tasks have been designed to activate more specific regions of the brain involved in the regulation of mood and behavior, including the amygdala, a brain region critical in mediating emotional arousal. PET studies found that the amygdala is the only structure in which regional blood flow and glucose metabolism consistently correlate positively with depression severity, and metabolism in the amygdala decreases toward normal during antidepressant drug treatment.\textsuperscript{228} The amygdala is known to play an important role in the recognition of certain facial emotions, particularly fear. Functional MRI tasks have been designed to engage the amygdala through the cognitive evaluation of angry and fearful human faces.\textsuperscript{229}

Using fMRI, some psychoactive drugs have been shown to produce regionally specific patterns of neuronal activation during cognitive and affective tasks.\textsuperscript{230, 231} One study found that oral dextroamphetamine (0.25 mg/kg body weight), a nonspecific monoaminergic agonist, induced a significant increase in the BOLD signal of the R amygdala in response to the fearful faces task.\textsuperscript{231} Functional MRI has been used to evaluate the effects of the oral SSRIs, fluoxetine\textsuperscript{232} and
paroxetine\textsuperscript{,233} on neuronal motor pathways, and one study found that chronic fluoxetine
treatment decreased amygdala activation.\textsuperscript{234} Studies evaluating the acute effects of SSRIs during
cognitive or affective tasks are limited. One study found that IV citalopram (7.5 mg)
pretreatment decreased the R amygdala response to aversive faces in a single-blind study of 12
healthy men.\textsuperscript{235}

\section*{2.5. Serotonin Transporter Genetics}

The serotonin transporter (5-HTT) regulates the magnitude and duration of serotonergic
responses by modulating the levels of 5-HT in the synapse.\textsuperscript{236} Dysregulation of 5-HTT has been
associated with several psychiatric disorders including anxiety\textsuperscript{237-241} and depression.\textsuperscript{242, 243} A
common polymorphism exists in the transcriptional control region upstream of the 5-HTT coding
sequence, which in humans is encoded by a single gene (SLC6A4) on chromosome 17q11.2.\textsuperscript{244} Insertion or deletion of a 44 base-pair segment in this 5-HTT gene-linked polymorphic region (5-
HTTLPR) results in long (\textit{l}) and short (\textit{s}) variants, and these genotypes are distributed according
to Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium: 32\% \textit{l}/\textit{l}, 49\% \textit{l}/\textit{s}, and 19\% \textit{s}/\textit{s}.\textsuperscript{245} The \textit{s} allele is associated with
decreased transcriptional efficiency of the 5-HTT gene promoter and a decrease in 5-HTT
expression and 5-HT uptake.\textsuperscript{244, 245} The \textit{s} allele is also differentially associated with anxiety-
related behavioral traits in healthy subjects; those carrying the \textit{s} allele have been shown to be
slightly more likely to have abnormal levels of anxiety\textsuperscript{245-248} and develop conditioned fear
responses,\textsuperscript{249} resulting in an increased incidence of affective illnesses,\textsuperscript{250} especially in the context
of environmental stress,\textsuperscript{251, 252} when compared to those homozygous for the \textit{l} allele. Hariri \textit{et al.}
showed that individuals with one or two copies of the \textit{s} allele exhibit greater amygdala neuronal
activation in response to fearful stimuli compared with individuals homozygous for the \( l \) allele, as measured by change in BOLD fMRI signal.\(^{253}\) There have been multiple replications of the association between the \( s \) allele and relatively increased amygdala reactivity in both healthy volunteers \(^{254-259}\) and patients with mood disorders.\(^{260, 261}\) Additionally, the \( s \) allele has been linked with reduced functional coupling between the amygdala and medial prefrontal cortex.\(^{262}\) As the magnitude of amygdala reactivity (as well as its functional coupling with medial prefrontal cortex) is associated with temperamental anxiety, these imaging genetics findings suggest that the 5-HTTLPR \( s \) allele may be associated with increased risk for depression upon exposure to environmental stressors because of its mediation of exaggerated corticolimbic reactivity to potential threat.\(^{263}\)

The 5-HTTLPR polymorphism may also predict variability in response to treatment, specifically the neuronal response to SSRIs. Because SSRIs, including citalopram, act directly on the 5-HTT, genetic differences in the transporter may result in variability in the therapeutic response to these drugs. One study in patients with late-life depression showed a decrease in depressive symptoms during acute treatment with paroxetine, as evidenced by mean reductions from baseline on the 17-item Hamilton Rating Scale for Depression, which was significantly more rapid for patients with the \( l/l \) genotype than for those with either \( s/l \) or \( s/s \) genotype.\(^{264}\) A similar study found that patients with the \( s/s \) genotype carried 3 times more risk of non-remission for a major depressive episode (\( >7 \) on the 21-item Hamilton Depression Rating Scale) after 12 weeks of treatment with oral citalopram than patients with \( l/l \) or \( l/s \) genotype.\(^{265}\)
2.6. Summary

Although much is known about the role of 5-HT in the pathophysiology of depression, little is known about the temporal and regional brain alterations in 5-HT as they relate to the treatment of depression and anxiety. IV citalopram can be used as an *in vivo* probe of 5-HT function, due to its selectivity and tolerability. Functional MRI can been used to non-invasively measure both spatial and temporal drug-induced changes in task-related neuronal activation, by studying regional brain activity while subjects are performing sensory, motor, cognitive or affective tasks. Using fMRI, some psychoactive drugs have been shown to produce regionally specific patterns of neuronal activation during cognitive and affective tasks. Additionally, 5-HTT genotype can predict task-related neuronal activation as measured by fMRI.

In the following study, we aimed to evaluate the effects of IV citalopram on neuronal activation elicited during an emotional task using fMRI in healthy subjects. We hypothesized that acute IV citalopram administration will oppose the task-related increase in neuronal activity in the amygdala, as measured by fMRI, and that this opposition will be blunted in subjects with at least one *s* allele for the 5-HTTLPR compared to subjects who are homozygous for the *l* allele. This study will generate *in vivo* human data regarding the regional effects of acute SSRI administration on affective task-related neuronal activation. Functional MRI will allow us to better understand the actions of SSRIs at the neuronal level in real-time, and may help to elucidate the functional interactions between distinct brain regions involved in the actions of SSRIs. An understanding of the regional effects of SSRIs will aid in predicting patient response to these agents. By including 5-HTTLPR genotype in the analyses, we may account for some of the variability in response to citalopram and conceivably other SSRIs. These efforts will
contribute to the identification of biological mechanisms and pathways that mediate response to SSRIs as well as may contribute to individual differences in complex behaviors and vulnerability to psychiatric illnesses.
3. Methods
3.1. **Specific Aims**

**Specific Aim 1.** To evaluate the effects of IV citalopram on task-related neuronal activation elicited during an affective task using fMRI in healthy subjects. This study is a double-blind placebo-controlled randomized crossover of IV citalopram (20 mg infused over 30 min) and normal saline (0.9% sodium chloride solution) during two fMRI scans. During each scan, subjects will complete a series of affective tasks, known to robustly activate the amygdala, and sensorimotor control tasks. We predict that task-related neuronal activation in the amygdala will be decreased during citalopram infusion compared to placebo.

**Specific Aim 2.** To evaluate the impact of a polymorphism in the promoter region of the serotonin transporter gene (5-HTTLPR) on task-related neuronal activation during IV citalopram administration. Subjects will be prospectively genotyped for the 5-HTTLPR and changes in neuronal activation will be compared between subjects who have at least one copy of the s allele (s/s or s/l) and subjects homozygous for the l (l/l) allele. We predict that changes in neuronal activation in response to acute administration of citalopram will be blunted in subjects who carry the s allele for the 5-HTTLPR.
3.2. Drug Information

Parenteral citalopram was administered under IND #48,032 of the Food and Drug Administration (FDA), held by one of the listed investigators, Dr. Bruce G. Pollock. This protocol was submitted to the FDA as part of this IND. The recommended starting dose of oral citalopram is 20 mg daily with a maximum dose of 60 mg/day. Although 40 mg is well tolerated in both oral and IV formulations, 20 mg was chosen due to the potential for nausea and vomiting that may compromise the safety of the subject. In addition, BOLD is a sensitive response measure which may lose specificity for regional activation at doses higher than 20 mg.

3.3. Design and Overview

This study is a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled crossover of IV citalopram (20 mg infused over 30 min) and normal saline (0.9% sodium chloride solution) during two one-hour fMRI scans while subjects complete affective and sensorimotor tasks. An unblinded investigational pharmacist at the University of Pittsburgh Medical Center randomized each subject to receive either citalopram or placebo on their first visit and the opposite treatment on the following visit, as shown in Table 3.1. Visits were separated by a minimum washout period of two weeks. All subjects gave informed consent before undergoing any research procedures. Eight subjects, three homozygous for the \( l \) allele (\( l/l \)) and five with at least one \( s \) allele (\( s/l \) or \( s/s \)), were recruited to participate in the study.
Table 3.1. Treatment randomization

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject number</th>
<th>5-HTT genotype</th>
<th>Visit 1</th>
<th>Visit 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>L/L</td>
<td>citalopram</td>
<td>placebo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>L/L</td>
<td>placebo</td>
<td>citalopram</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>L/L</td>
<td>placebo</td>
<td>citalopram</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>S/S</td>
<td>citalopram</td>
<td>placebo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>S/S</td>
<td>placebo</td>
<td>citalopram</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>S/L</td>
<td>citalopram</td>
<td>placebo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>S/L</td>
<td>citalopram</td>
<td>placebo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>S/L</td>
<td>placebo</td>
<td>citalopram</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.4. Screening Visit

This study recruited healthy, right-handed, non-smoking Caucasian men between the ages of 18 and 60 years. These selection criteria were designed to minimize between-subject variation and possible age-related and ethnic differences in fMRI response. Subjects were recruited from established research studies being conducted at the University of Pittsburgh by these investigators, as well as through advertisements. All subjects signed a University of Pittsburgh Institutional Review Board (IRB) approved consent form prior to any research procedures (Appendix B).

The screening visit for the study was conducted at the General Clinical Research Center (GCRC) of the University of Pittsburgh Medical Center (UPMC). Screening included a complete medical history, physical examination (including height, weight, blood pressure and heart rate), biochemical and hematological laboratory screen (albumin, BUN, calcium, CBC, chloride, creatinine serum, glucose, hematocrit, hemoglobin, serum phosphorus, potassium, prothrombin
time, partial thromboplastin time, AST/ALT, and sodium), blood alcohol, serum cotinine, and urine drug screen, within 28 days of the first study day. Subjects provided a 10 mL blood sample, which was used to sequence the 5-HTTLPR. An electrocardiogram (ECG) was done to rule out subjects with cardiac electrophysiological abnormalities, particularly bradycardia (heart rate less than 50 beats per minute), which may increase the risk of cardiac side effects associated with SSRIs. The modified Structural Clinical Interview for Diagnosis of DSM-IV Disorders (SCID) was conducted by a trained interviewer to screen subjects for psychiatric illness. In addition to the SCID, subjects completed the Beck Depression Inventory, which is a 21-item self-report rating inventory measuring characteristic attitudes and symptoms of depression.

When a clinically significant, unanticipated disease or condition was identified during the conduct of screening, the participant was informed of the discovery by the investigators, and the participant was referred appropriately.

Subjects were excluded for past or current psychiatric disorder, neurological disorder (including stroke, brain tumor, epilepsy, significant head injury, Alzheimer’s, Parkinson’s or Huntington’s disease) or an uncontrolled medical disorder. Subjects were also excluded for having a positive alcohol or cotinine level on the screening visit or study visit. Subjects taking known cytochrome P450 enzyme-inducing or enzyme-inhibiting agents within one month of the study, or any chronic medications (including over the counter drugs) within one week of the study were also excluded. Subjects were excluded if they have ever had an adverse reaction to oral citalopram or any other SSRI. Subjects who have a contraindication to MRI, including a pacemaker, defibrillator or other medical implant, bullets, shrapnel, or other metal objects, or claustrophobia were not eligible.
3.5. Study Visits

Subjects refrained from the use of over the counter and prescription drugs and grapefruit juice for one week prior to the first study visit and refrained from alcohol and caffeine for 48 hours prior to each study visit. Subjects were admitted to the General Clinical Research Center (GCRC) the morning of the study day and completed a baseline BDI. A baseline electrocardiogram was recorded. Vital signs (blood pressure and heart rate) were also measured at baseline, after the infusion, and before discharge. A urine sample for drug screening was obtained and intravenous catheters were placed in each forearm, one for drug/placebo infusion and the other for multiple blood sampling. Subjects were escorted to the Magnetic Resonance Research Center (MRRC) where they completed an MR safety questionnaire, which was reviewed orally with MRRC staff prior to each scan to ensure their safety while in the magnet. Subjects were in the scanner for one hour as detailed in Figure 3.1.

Figure 3.1. Study design
During the first 15 minutes a series of structural scans was acquired, which were used to align the functional MRI acquisition and for cross-registration of the functional scans for the group analyses. Immediately after the structural scan, the subjects performed tasks during the 45 minute functional scanning. The infusion began at time 0 min, after the structural scan and the baseline FACES 1 functional scan. Either citalopram (20 mg in 0.9% saline) or placebo (0.9% saline) was infused for 30 minutes while subjects were asked to perform the tasks. Subjects rested for one minute between tasks while investigators prepared the next task and gave the subjects instructions. Blood samples (10 mL) were taken between tasks to determine drug concentrations at baseline (0 min), during the infusion (6, 15, and 21 min), at the end of infusion (30 min), at the end of the scan (36 min), and after the scan (45, 60, 90, 150, and 360 min). Before discharge, the subjects had an ECG and completed the BDI and a side effect questionnaire. One week following each study visit, the subjects were called by one of the listed investigators and completed the BDI and side effect questionnaire. The subjects were paid a total of $225 for participating in the study, which included $100 for each of the two study visits, and $25 for the screening visit.

3.6. fMRI Tasks

A blocked design was used for the fMRI tasks. The first block of tasks (FACES) included an emotion task (faces) and a sensorimotor task (shapes). During the FACES task, subjects were asked to match one of two faces to a target face, all expressing the same emotion (angry, fearful, surprised, or neutral). An example of each emotional stimuli is shown in Figure 3.2. Two hundred eighty-eight different images were used from the NimStim Face Stimulus Set.
For each block (e.g. FACES 1), there were four sub-blocks each containing six stimuli of random emotion (angry, fearful, surprised, and neutral). The identity of both faces was always different and an equal number of male and female faces were presented. Developed by Hariri, this task is known to elicit a robust amygdala response.229,253

**Figure 3.2** Emotional stimuli

![Emotional stimuli](image-url)

A. Angry
B. Fearful
C. Surprised
D. Neutral
As a sensorimotor control task (shapes), subjects were asked to match one of two geometric shapes with a simultaneously presented target shape, Figure 3.3. Six different sets of geometric forms were used in the control condition. Between images, subjects were instructed to fixate on a black cross-hair in the middle of the screen.

**Figure 3.3.** Sensorimotor stimuli

As shown in Figure 3.1, FACES involved 9 experimental blocks: 5 blocks of the shapes task (control) interleaved with 4 blocks of the faces task (experimental). Each block contained 6 trials, lasting 5 seconds each. Before each block, a brief instruction (“match emotion” or “match form”) was presented for 2 seconds. During the task, subjects responded with button presses, which allowed us to determine accuracy and reaction time. A complete FACES block takes approximately 6 minutes and was completed once before the infusion and twice during the infusion.

In the second block, the sensorimotor control task (TAP) was done to acquire a baseline fMRI BOLD signal for each subject and to compare the time course of the hemodynamic response function across the entire scanning session. Subjects were instructed to press both buttons, with their index fingers, every time they see the word TAP appear on the screen. The stimulus appeared every 12 seconds and remained on the screen for 1 second. In the interim, subjects were instructed to fixate on a white cross-hair in the middle of the screen. This task takes 5 minutes and was completed twice during the infusion and once after the infusion.
3.7. Scanning Procedures

All fMRI scans were conducted at the University of Pittsburgh Medical Center Magnetic Resonance Research Center (MRRC) on a 1.5 Tesla Signa MR Scanner (General Electric Medical Systems, Milwaukee, WI). Structural MRIs were performed prior to the functional scans to align the functional MRI acquisition and to cross-register the functional scans for the group analyses. The structural scans were acquired as T1-weighted images, and aligned within the anterior commissure-posterior commissure line. A high resolution anatomical image was acquired for each subject using a volumetric three-dimensional Spoiled Gradient Recalled Acquisition sequence. Within plane structural images were acquired as 37 oblique axial slices (3.8 mm thick), with an in-plane resolution of 0.9375 mm², and a field of view of 24 cm.

Blood oxygenation level-dependent (BOLD) functional images were acquired using a reverse spiral sequence covering 28 axial slices (3.8 mm thick) encompassing the entire cerebrum and the majority of the cerebellum (TR/TE = 2000/35 ms, FOV = 24 cm, matrix = 64 x 64). Scanning parameters were selected to optimize BOLD signal while maintaining enough slices to acquire whole brain data. Stimulus presentation was performed using E-prime (Psychology Software Tools, Inc., Pittsburgh, PA) on the standard MRRC computer, which also collected accuracy and reaction time data. The stimuli were projected on a screen positioned above the subject’s chest and were seen by the subject through a series of mirrors. The stimuli subtended approximately 30° of the visual field. Before the collection of fMRI data for each subject, we acquired and visually inspected localizer scans for artifacts (e.g. ghosting) as well as good signal
across the entire volume of acquisition, including the medial temporal lobes. All subjects included in these analyses were cleared of such problems.

3.8. Analytical Procedures

Blood samples were collected from an indwelling forearm catheter contralateral to the infusion catheter, into appropriately labeled vacutainers and centrifuged at 4°C at 1700 g (2300-2500 RPM). Plasma or serum were decanted, transferred to appropriately labeled polypropylene tubes and stored at -80°C. Assays were performed in the Geriatric Psychopharmacology Laboratory. Citalopram concentrations were determined using a high-performance liquid chromatographic technique previously described. The limit of quantitation using ultraviolet (UV) detection is 5 ng/mL, and coefficients of variation are 2.9% at 15 ng/mL and 1.8% at 220 ng/mL.

3.9. Genotyping

Coded blood samples were genotyped for the serotonin transporter polymorphism (5-HTTLPR). The presence of s and l alleles was determined using polymerase chain reaction amplification followed by electrophoresis, in the laboratory of Dr. Robert E. Ferrell. A polymorphism found in the l allele of approximately 15% of Caucasian subjects (Xu and Goldman, unpublished), which results in an A to G substitution, was also analyzed. One l/l homozygote had an A/G allele, but because it was only one subject, it could not be considered in the analysis.
3.10. **Functional Magnetic Resonance Image Analysis**

3.10.1. **Data Preprocessing**

Whole-brain image analysis was completed using the general linear model of SPM2 ([http://www.fil.ion.ucl.ac.uk/spm](http://www.fil.ion.ucl.ac.uk/spm)). Images for each subject were realigned to the first volume in the time series to correct for head motion, spatially normalized into a standard stereotactic space (Montreal Neurological Institute template) using a 12-parameter affine model and smoothed to minimize noise and residual difference in gyral anatomy with a Gaussian filter, set at 6 mm full width at half maximum. Voxel-wise signal intensities were ratio normalized to the whole-brain global mean.

3.10.2. **Region of Interest Analysis**

Predetermined condition effects at each voxel were calculated using a t-statistic, producing a statistical image for the contrast of the face-processing (emotional task) vs. shapes (sensorimotor task) for each subject. To assess the main effects of task-specific regional responses all six runs from both visits for each individual subject were averaged together using a one-sample t-test. These individual effects of task were then averaged across all eight subjects to examine task-specific activity at a group level.

Region of interest (ROI) analyses were used to compare neuronal activation between baseline (Faces 1 task) and during citalopram or placebo treatments (Faces 2 and 3) within specific
regions known to be engaged by this task. An automated approach was used to define specific ROIs exhibiting an effect of interest.\textsuperscript{272, 273} The primary ROI, the amygdala, was used to test our hypotheses regarding the effects of citalopram and the role of genotype on the effects of citalopram. A paired-t test was used to assess changes across the scanning session (i.e. Faces 3 vs. Faces 1) within a single visit for each subject to examine effects of drug administration or habituation. The relationship between citalopram concentrations and amygdala reactivity was determined using linear regression analyses of the single-subject amygdala BOLD values and corresponding drug concentrations at the respective time of each scan. Genotype effects were explored using an analysis of variance (ANOVA) comparing amygdala reactivity between genotype groups (l/l homozygotes vs. s allele carriers) using the baseline task (Faces 1) on the first visit to prevent bias due to habituation to task. As a result of the \textit{a priori} interest in the differential response of the amygdala, second-level analyses (i.e. linear regression or paired t-test) were completed using a mask including all voxels in the amygdala. A statistical threshold of $p < 0.05$, uncorrected, and at least 10 contiguous voxels, were used for all statistical analyses.

### 3.11. Pharmacokinetic Analysis

Given the timing of the fMRI tasks, an optimal sampling strategy was determined using the D-optimal sampling algorithm in Adapt II (release 4). This model was informed using IV citalopram data from 379 subjects (unpublished). Citalopram kinetics were modeled using a two-stage population approach with a three-compartment continuous infusion model using individual nonlinear regression in WinNonlin\textsuperscript{®} 4.0.1 (Pharsight Corporation, Mountain View, CA). Modeling was done for total citalopram concentrations. Given the known rate of infusion
(0.67 mg/min), the measured concentrations were used to estimate the following pharmacokinetic parameters: volume of distribution of the central compartment \( (V_1) \), the rate constant for the return of drug from compartment 2 to compartment 1 \( (K_{21}) \), the rate constant for the return of drug from compartment 3 to compartment 1 \( (K_{31}) \), and the macro-constants for the tri-exponential decay alpha \( (\alpha) \), beta \( (\beta) \) and gamma \( (\gamma) \). Average concentrations associated with each scan were calculated based on the individual fitted parameters for the 3-compartment citalopram pharmacokinetic model. Average concentrations during each of the scans were estimated and used in a linear regression with BOLD response measurements for each individual. Post-processing of WinNonlin estimates were plotted using Prism® (version 4.03; GraphPad Software, Inc., San Diego, CA).\(^{199}\)
4. The Effects of Citalopram on Neuronal Activity
4.1. Demographics

All subjects provided written informed consent prior to any research procedures. Twenty-one subjects were screened for this study. Two subjects were excluded for past or current psychiatric illness. Eight subjects were excluded due to an abnormal ECG at screening. One subject was excluded due to elevated liver enzymes. Ten subjects were enrolled in the study. Of these, one subject withdrew because of claustrophobia in the scanner, and one subject withdrew due to bradycardia upon admission for the first study visit. Eight healthy men completed the study between November 2005 and February 2007. The mean age of the subjects was 28 years (range 19 to 50 years). Their mean height was 182.35 cm (range 170 to 194 cm) and mean weight was 81.2 kg (range 58.7 to 97.5 kg); height and weight data was not available for one subject (n=7). The average level of education was 16 years (range 13 to 23 years).
### 4.2. Adverse Effects

Subjects completed the Citalopram Symptom Checklist (Appendix D) before discharge on each study visit, and by phone one week after study visit. Subjects rated their symptoms on a 4-point scale (0 = not at all, 1 = a little, 2 = some, 3 = a lot). Subjects reported the following symptoms after citalopram and placebo at discharge on the day of the study visit (Table 4.1) and one week after each visit (Table 4.2). All data are shown as median (range).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Citalopram</th>
<th>Placebo</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Loss of appetite</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tired</td>
<td>0 (0 to 2)</td>
<td>0 (0 to 1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lightheadedness/Feeling</td>
<td>0 (0 to 1)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nausea</td>
<td>0 (0 to 2)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vomiting (yes/no)</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>none</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Headache</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tense/Nervous/On edge/</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restless</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difficulty concentrating</td>
<td>0 (0 to 1)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shaky/Tremors</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart racing</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweating</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diarrhea</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short tempered/Irritable</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Happy</td>
<td>0 (0 to 3)</td>
<td>0.5 (0 to 2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energetic</td>
<td>0 (0 to 2)</td>
<td>0.5 (0 to 2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low energy/Fatigued</td>
<td>0 (0 to 1)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dry mouth</td>
<td>0 (0 to 2)</td>
<td>0 (0 to 1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Subjects reported mild or no side effects for both drug and placebo visits. Wilcoxon matched-pairs tests were performed for each symptom using Prism; no significant differences were found between drug and placebo visits.
Table 4.2.  Citalopram symptoms one-week after study visit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Citalopram</th>
<th>Placebo</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Loss of appetite</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tired</td>
<td>0 (0 to 1)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lightheadedness/Feeling faint</td>
<td>0 (0 to 2)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nausea</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vomiting (yes/no)</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>none</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Headache</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tense/Nervous/On edge/Restless</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difficulty concentrating</td>
<td>0 (0 to 1)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shaky/Tremors</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart racing</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweating</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diarrhea</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short tempered/Irritable</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Happy</td>
<td>0 (0 to 3)</td>
<td>0 (0 to 2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energetic</td>
<td>0 (0 to 2)</td>
<td>0 (0 to 2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low energy/Fatigued</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dry mouth</td>
<td>0 (0 to 1)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Two subjects were lost to follow up and did not contribute to the data in this table. There were no significant differences at follow-up between drug and placebo. All subjects scored less than 10 on the Beck Depression Inventory at every evaluation.
4.3. **Main Effects of Task**

The emotional paradigm, the faces task, is known to elicit a robust amygdala response.\textsuperscript{229, 253} The following figures represent the statistical difference between the faces (emotional) and shapes (control) tasks. Figure 4.1 shows a group map including all scans of all subjects (46 scans) of the region of interest analysis of neuronal activation statistically greater in the faces task than in the shapes task in the right (R) and left (L) amygdala. Table 4.3 shows the statistics at the voxel level, as well as cluster size, for both R and L amygdala. Cluster size reflects the number of contiguous voxels activated.

**Figure 4.1. Group map of main effect of task in R and L amygdala (faces > shapes)**

Sagittal view (top left), coronal view (top right), axial view (bottom left), and T score (bottom right), for all figures.
Table 4.3 Main effect of task in the amygdala (faces > shapes)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Coordinates x,y,z (mm)</th>
<th>Cluster size kE</th>
<th>T</th>
<th>Voxels-level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Puncorr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R amygdala</td>
<td>22 -2 -20</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>16.10</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L amygdala</td>
<td>-24 -4 -18</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>8.38</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

These data show that the Faces task activated the bilateral amygdala, which will be used to test the effect of drug and genotype in all further analyses. Figure 4.2 shows activation in the orbitofrontal cortex (BA11), a region also known to be activated during the faces task. Table 4.4 shows the statistics for an activated cluster in BA11.

Figure 4.2. Group map of main effect of task in BA11 (faces > shapes)

Table 4.4 Main effect of task in BA11 (faces > shapes)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Coordinates x,y,z (mm)</th>
<th>Cluster size kE</th>
<th>T</th>
<th>Voxels-level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Puncorr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BA11</td>
<td>-4 46 -22</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>4.53</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 4.3 shows activation in the left and right inferior prefrontal gyrus (BA47). BA47 is modulated by the amygdala, and therefore this effect is likely an indirect effect of amygdala activation. Table 4.5 shows the statistics for activated clusters in L and R BA47.

Table 4.5. Main effect of task in BA47 (faces > shapes)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Coordinates x,y,z (mm)</th>
<th>Cluster size (kE)</th>
<th>T</th>
<th>Voxel-level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P_uncorr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L BA47</td>
<td>-32 26 4</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>9.10</td>
<td>&lt;0.001 0.076</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R BA47</td>
<td>30 34 -18</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>5.43</td>
<td>&lt;0.001 0.793</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4.4 shows activation in the subgenual cortex (BA 25), which like BA47, is modulated by the amygdala. Table 4.6 shows the statistics for an activated cluster in BA25.
Figure 4.4. Group map of main effect of task in BA25 (faces > shapes).

Table 4.6 Main effect of task in BA25 (faces > shapes)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Coordinates x,y,z (mm)</th>
<th>Cluster size (kE)</th>
<th>T</th>
<th>Voxel-level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Puncorr P_FWE-corr P_FDR-corr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BA25</td>
<td>6 18 -18</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>4.06</td>
<td>0.002 0.944 0.991</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.4. Effects of Citalopram on Task-related Neuronal Activity

The first specific aim of this study was to evaluate the effects of IV citalopram on task-related neuronal activation elicited during an affective task using fMRI. As shown in figure 3.1, subjects performed the task once before drug/placebo infusion (Faces 1) and twice during drug/placebo infusion, once early in the infusion (Faces 2) and once at the end of infusion (Faces 3). Figure 4.5 shows a cluster in the right amygdala that has increased activation for the Faces 2 task during the citalopram infusion, compared to the baseline Faces 1 task. Table 4.7 shows the statistics of the activated cluster.
Figure 4.5. Acute citalopram increases R amygdala activation (Faces 2 > Faces 1)

Table 4.7  Acute citalopram increases R amygdala activation (Faces 2 > Faces 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Coordinates x,y,z (mm)</th>
<th>Cluster size $k_E$</th>
<th>$T$</th>
<th>Voxel-level $P_{uncorr}$</th>
<th>$P_{FWE-corr}$</th>
<th>$P_{FDR-corr}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R amygdala</td>
<td>22 -4 -20</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>3.01</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>0.817</td>
<td>0.829</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 4.6 shows an even greater response to citalopram, at the end of infusion (Faces 3), when the citalopram concentrations approach their maxima. Table 4.8 shows the statistics for the clusters with highest activation. There were no regions in the amygdala that decreased during Faces 2 or Faces 3 compared to Faces 1.

**Figure 4.6.** Acute citalopram increases bilateral amygdala activation (Faces 3 > Faces 1).

**Table 4.8** Acute citalopram increases bilateral amygdala activation (Faces 3 > Faces 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Coordinates x,y,z (mm)</th>
<th>Cluster size $k_E$</th>
<th>$T$</th>
<th>Voxel-level</th>
<th>$P_{uncorr}$</th>
<th>$P_{FWE-corr}$</th>
<th>$P_{FDR-corr}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>L amygdala</td>
<td>-24 -6 -22</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>6.05</td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.235</td>
<td>0.273</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R amygdala</td>
<td>22 4 -16</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>3.39</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.750</td>
<td>0.273</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The following analyses reflect changes in amygdala activation during the placebo condition. Figure 4.7 shows two regions in the L amygdala that decrease in Faces 2 compared to Faces 1 during the placebo condition. One subject was excluded in this analysis due to movement (n=7). A decrease in amygdala activation may be the result of habituation to the task, where the amygdala reacts less over time because the stimulus is less novel. Table 4.9 shows the statistics for the activated clusters.

**Figure 4.7. L amygdala activation decreases during early placebo condition (Faces 2 < Faces 1).**

**Table 4.9. L amygdala activation decreases during early placebo condition (Faces 2 < Faces 1).**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Coordinates x,y,z (mm)</th>
<th>Cluster size $k_E$</th>
<th>$T$</th>
<th>Voxel-level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$P_{\text{uncorr}}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L amygdala</td>
<td>-30 2 -24</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>3.90</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L amygdala</td>
<td>-20 -6 -8</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>3.87</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 4.8 shows a small region in the right amygdala that had increased activation during the Faces 3 task compared to the baseline task (Faces 1) during the placebo condition. Table 4.10 shows the statistics for this cluster. One subject was excluded in this analysis due to an artifact in the scan (n=7).

Figure 4.8. R amygdala activation increases during late placebo condition (Faces 3 > Faces 1).

Table 4.10. R amygdala activation increases during late placebo condition (Faces 3 > Faces 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Coordinates x,y,z (mm)</th>
<th>Cluster size $k_E$</th>
<th>T</th>
<th>Voxel-level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R amygdala</td>
<td>22 -8 -12</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2.47</td>
<td>$P_{uncorr}$ $P_{FWE-corr}$ $P_{FDR-corr}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>0.924</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.5. Serotonin Transporter Polymorphic Modulation of Citalopram Response

4.5.1. Effect of 5-HTT Genotype on Baseline Amygdala Reactivity

This study also aimed to determine whether 5-HTTLPR genotype predicts the BOLD fMRI response following IV citalopram administration. An exploratory analysis was done to determine the effect of 5-HTT genotype on baseline amygdala reactivity, as well as its effect on citalopram modulation of amygdala reactivity. Figure 4.9 shows that s allele carriers have a greater baseline amygdala response compared to l/l homozygotes, which replicates data from Hariri et al. as well as other studies.253, 274 The data used in this analysis were from the first scan (Faces 1) of each subject’s first visit, in order to prevent bias due to habituation. Table 4.11 shows the statistics for the clusters of highest activation in the left and right amygdala.
Figure 4.9. S allele carriers have a greater baseline amygdala response compared to l/l homozygotes.

Table 4.11  S allele carriers have a greater baseline amygdala response compared to l/l homozygotes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Coordinates x,y,z (mm)</th>
<th>Cluster size kE</th>
<th>T</th>
<th>Voxel-level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P_uncorr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L amygdala</td>
<td>-26 2 -16</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>3.94</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R amygdala</td>
<td>30 2 -20</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3.86</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4.10 shows the fitted response data for baseline activation in the left amygdala for l/l homozygotes (n=3) and s allele carriers (n=5). Figure 4.11 shows the fitted response data for baseline activation in the right amygdala for the same subjects. S allele carriers (s/s and s/l) had greater baseline amygdala activation in both the left and right amygdala.
Figure 4.10. Baseline L amygdala activity by genotype.

Figure 4.11. Baseline R amygdala activity by genotype.
4.5.2. **Effect of 5-HTT Genotype on Citalopram Modulation of Amygdala Reactivity**

An exploratory analysis was done to visualize the effect of 5-HTT genotype on citalopram modulation of amygdala reactivity. SPM2, the software used to analyze the fMRI data, cannot execute a direct drug*genotype interaction, therefore separate analyses were done on each genotype using a paired t-test to compare citalopram effects (Faces 3 > Faces 1). Figure 4.12 shows the effects of citalopram on amygdala reactivity in l/l homozygotes (n=3). A robust effect was found in both the left and right amygdala. Table 4.12 shows the statistics on these clusters.

**Figure 4.12.** Citalopram effect on amygdala reactivity (Faces 3 > Faces 1) in l/l homozygotes.
Table 4.12. Citalopram effect on amygdala reactivity (Faces 3 > Faces 1) in l/l homozygotes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Coordinates x,y,z (mm)</th>
<th>Cluster size (k_E)</th>
<th>T</th>
<th>Voxel-level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P_ancorr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L amygdala</td>
<td>-18 -2  -26</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>15.57</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R amygdala</td>
<td>16 0  -16</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>11.01</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4.13 shows the effects of citalopram on amygdala reactivity in the s allele carriers (n=5). Only the left amygdala shows an effect in this group, which appears to be relatively smaller and weaker than the effect seen in the l/l homozygotes. Table 4.13 shows the statistics for this cluster.

Figure 4.13. Citalopram effect on amygdala reactivity (Faces 3 > Faces 1) in s allele carriers.
Table 4.13. Citalopram effect on amygdala reactivity (Faces 3 > Faces 1) in s allele carriers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Coordinates x,y,z (mm)</th>
<th>Cluster size $k_E$</th>
<th>T</th>
<th>Voxel-level $P_{\text{uncorr}}$</th>
<th>$P_{\text{FWE-corr}}$</th>
<th>$P_{\text{FDR-corr}}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>L amygdala</td>
<td>-26 -6 -26</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>5.32</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.982</td>
<td>0.698</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.6. Discussion

The amygdala is believed to play a key role in processing emotionally salient, threat-relevant, events that require further online processing by cortical regions. Emotional disorders such as depression and anxiety have been associated with hyperactivity of the amygdala, but it is unknown whether antidepressant treatment directly affects amygdala responses to emotionally significant information.

This study investigated the acute effects of IV citalopram and found that acute exposure to citalopram increased the amygdala response to emotional stimuli. Based on these data, it seems that the immediate effects of citalopram, which involve blocking the serotonin transporter and thus increasing the available synaptic serotonin, act to potentiate amygdala activity. There are reports that SSRIs are actually anxiogenic, and that only after several days or weeks on the medications that the true anxiolytic and antidepressant effects are seen. Other studies, however, find almost immediate therapeutic benefit from SSRIs.

Del-Ben and colleagues studied IV citalopram (7.5 mg infused over 7.5 min) in twelve healthy men in a single-blind placebo controlled crossover. The right amygdala/amygdaloid complex
response to covert recognition of aversive compared to neutral faces was attenuated by citalopram. This group had actually predicted that citalopram would increase the amygdala response similar to our findings. Our results may differ for several reasons. This group gave a sub-therapeutic dose and scanned quickly after drug administration. It is possible that not enough drug reached the brain in time to produce an effect, and the effects seen may be due to habituation instead of drug effects. Additionally, the washout in this study was a minimum of 3 days, which is not enough time for citalopram to be eliminated, considering its 36-hour half-life.

Harmer et al. studied the chronic effects of 7 days of oral citalopram administration on amygdala responses to masked presentations of fearful and happy facial expressions in never-depressed volunteers using fMRI. A double-blind, between-groups design was used with subjects randomized to citalopram (20 mg/day) or placebo. Subjects receiving citalopram showed decreased amygdala responses to masked presentations of threat compared with those receiving placebo. Citalopram also reduced responses within the hippocampus and medial prefrontal cortex specifically during the fear-relevant stimuli. These neural differences were accompanied by decreased recognition of fearful facial expressions assessed after the scan. By contrast, there was no effect of citalopram on the neural or behavioral response to the happy facial expressions. These results using chronic (7 days) oral citalopram are the opposite of our data with acute administration (single IV dose). It may be that the initial increase in serotonin, which acts to potentiate amygdala reactivity, is the stimulus needed to start a negative feedback that ultimately results in a down-regulation of the system. Future studies should focus on the acute versus chronic effects of SSRIs. Future analyses of our data will include a direct drug*genotype interaction using a software package capable of such analysis.
5. Pharmacokinetic/Pharmacodynamic Modeling of Citalopram
5.1. Citalopram Pharmacokinetics

Blood samples were collected at 0, 6, 15, 21, 30, 36, 35, 60, 90, 150, and 360 minutes after the start of infusion. Seventy-three samples were collected (15 missing samples) for the eight subjects. Citalopram kinetics were modeled using a two-stage population approach with a three-compartment continuous infusion model using individual nonlinear regression in WinNonlin® 4.0.1 (Pharsight Corporation, Mountain View, CA). The nonlinear regression was carried out with uniform weighting on the data values, since the maximum to minimum concentrations were within a 2-3 fold range. The model successfully converged for 7 of the 8 subjects. One individual was not able to be estimated due to missing blood samples, which led to insufficient data for the nonlinear regression and undefined parameter estimates. The two-compartment model previously described,219 did not adequately capture the concentrations measured during the infusion. In addition, the Akaike's Information Criterion (AIC) was lower for 7 of 8 individuals using the 3 compartment model structure. Therefore, the three compartment model structure was selected.

The measured concentrations were used to estimate the following pharmacokinetic parameters: volume of distribution of the central compartment ($V_1$), the rate constant for the return of drug from compartment 2 to compartment 1 ($K_{21}$), the rate constant for the return of drug from compartment 3 to compartment 1 ($K_{31}$), and the macro-constants for the tri-exponential decay alpha ($\alpha$), beta ($\beta$) and gamma ($\gamma$). Average concentrations associated with each scan were calculated based on the individual fitted parameters for the 3-compartment citalopram pharmacokinetic model. A summary of the parameters was determined using the geometric
mean as a population descriptor with the standard deviations calculated for each parameter to reflect the population variability associated with that parameter, as shown in table 5.1. Figure 5.1 shows the population prediction curve generated for all individuals using the mean parameters. Individual pharmacokinetic data and individual predictions are shown in figure 5.2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pharmacokinetic parameter</th>
<th>( V_1 )</th>
<th>( k_{21} )</th>
<th>( k_{31} )</th>
<th>( \alpha )</th>
<th>( \beta )</th>
<th>( \gamma )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Geometric mean</td>
<td>25.49878</td>
<td>0.020513</td>
<td>0.082882</td>
<td>2.05949</td>
<td>0.036281</td>
<td>2.43E-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard deviation</td>
<td>21.69853</td>
<td>0.02973</td>
<td>0.068761</td>
<td>1.693856</td>
<td>0.035713</td>
<td>0.000423</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 5.1. Citalopram pharmacokinetics. Solid line is the population predicted concentration.
Figure 5.2. Individual pharmacokinetic profiles
5.2. Correlation Between Citalopram Concentration and Amygdala Activity

A simple regression with correlation was performed in SPM2, using the average citalopram concentration calculated for each subject, during each scan, correlated with fMRI BOLD signal change representing the effect of citalopram (Faces 3 > Faces 1 during citalopram visit). Right amygdala was correlated with citalopram concentration as shown in Figure 5.3. Table 5.2 shows the statistics for this region of activation.

**Figure 5.3.** Right amygdala activation correlates with citalopram concentration.

![Image of brain scan showing activation in the right amygdala](image)

**Table 5.2.** Right amygdala activation correlates with citalopram concentration statistics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Coordinates x,y,z (mm)</th>
<th>Cluster size $k_E$</th>
<th>T</th>
<th>Voxel-level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R amygdala</td>
<td>22 2 -16</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>3.64</td>
<td>$P_{uncorr}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 5.4 shows a linear regression of local maximum activation data extracted from the linear regression performed in SPM2 in the previous figure regressed with the individual citalopram concentrations ($r^2 = 0.4112$, slope $= 0.04073 \pm 0.01118$, F = 13.27, p = 0.0017).

![Figure 5.4. Linear regression of right amygdala activation (local maximum) with citalopram concentration.](image)

Figure 5.5 shows a linear regression of average cluster activation data extracted from the linear regression performed in SPM2 regressed with the individual citalopram ($r^2 = 0.2711$, slope $= 0.03464 \pm 0.01303$, F = 7.065, p = 0.0155)
Figure 5.5. Linear regression of right amygdala activation (cluster average) with citalopram concentration.

5.3. Discussion

A 3-compartment model adequately described the citalopram pharmacokinetics. Citalopram concentrations were highly correlated with fMRI BOLD response in the right amygdala. This is evidence that the differences found in amygdala reactivity are truly reflective of drug-induced changes in the neuronal response to emotional stimuli. Future studies should utilize different doses as well as different timing of the tasks to better elucidate the time course of action.
6. Conclusions
The amygdala is believed to play a key role in processing emotionally salient events, and hyperactivity of the amygdala has been associated with psychiatric disorders, including depression and anxiety. Until recently, the role of the amygdala in the effects of antidepressant treatment has been unknown. This study is the first to show that acute IV administration of the SSRI, citalopram, increases the amygdala response to emotional stimuli. Citalopram increased amygdala reactivity at two time points during the infusion, and citalopram concentrations were highly correlated with fMRI BOLD response in the right amygdala. This is evidence that the differences found in amygdala reactivity are truly reflective of drug-induced changes in the neuronal response to emotional stimuli. Conversely, administration of chronic (7 days) oral citalopram, resulted in a decrease in amygdala reactivity. These findings suggest that the immediate effects of citalopram, which involve blocking the serotonin transporter and thus increasing the available synaptic serotonin, act to potentiate amygdala activity, which then in turn may be the stimulus needed to start a negative feedback that ultimately results in a down-regulation of the system.

The development of novel psychoactive drugs requires a better understanding not only of their mechanism of action, but their sites and time-courses of action. Future studies should focus on the acute versus chronic effects of SSRIs. Ideally the same individual would undergo a similar drug paradigm before and after a single dose of citalopram, and then again after several weeks of treatment. Future studies should also utilize different doses as well as different timing of the tasks to better elucidate the time course of action. Additionally, while it is important to study these drug effects in healthy individuals in order to understand the functional interactions in a healthy brain, it is also necessary to study populations of patients with disorders such as
depression and anxiety in order to elucidate the disruptions in brain circuitry as well as the alterations with treatment.

An exploratory analysis using serotonin transporter genotype as a covariate found that while $s$ allele carriers ($s/s$ and $s/l$) had a greater baseline amygdala response, $l/l$ homozygotes had a greater response to citalopram. This is not surprising as subjects with the $l/l$ genotype have a greater number of serotonin transporters, which is the site of action of SSRIs. Therefore genetic differences in the serotonin transporter may account for some of the variability in response to SSRIs. In the future, this data set will be used to analyze a direct drug*genotype interaction, and additional subjects will be recruited to increase the power to detect genetic differences. Future studies will also investigate other genes related to the serotonin system, including $5\text{-HT}_{1A}$, $5\text{-HT}_{2A}$, and BDNF.

Neuroimaging technologies, because of their unique ability to capture the structural and functional integrity of distributed neural circuitries within individuals, provide a powerful approach in identifying regional effects of either drugs or genes. This study was able to find a robust drug effect after analyzing only eight individuals. These results are likely due to the use of a homogenous patient population, thus controlling for age, race, sex and other external variables. Additionally, this was the smallest sample size to reproduce the effect of serotonin transporter genotype on baseline amygdala reactivity. While the genetic findings are preliminary, due to the small sample size (3 $l/l$ and 5 $s$ allele carriers), it is important to note the effects of genotype on citalopram modulation of amygdala reactivity.
Multidisciplinary research capitalizing on such neuroimaging based integration will contribute to the identification of predictive markers and biological pathways for neuropsychiatric disease vulnerability as well as the generation of novel targets for therapeutic intervention. Such knowledge will contribute to our understanding of the mechanism of action of current treatments as well as the development of novel therapeutics, tailored to individual neurobiologies, which will be more effective in combating the enormous personal and public health burden associated with common psychiatric disorders.
APPENDIX A

Abbreviations
### Abbreviations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5-HT</td>
<td>5-hydroxytryptophan, serotonin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-HTT</td>
<td>serotonin transporter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-HTTLPR</td>
<td>5-HTT gene-linked polymorphic region</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AC</td>
<td>adenylate cyclase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACTH</td>
<td>adrenocorticotropic hormone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIC</td>
<td>Akaike's Information Criterion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIDS</td>
<td>acquired immune deficiency syndrome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALT</td>
<td>alanine aminotransferase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANOVA</td>
<td>analysis of variance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AST</td>
<td>aspartate aminotransferase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUC</td>
<td>area under the curve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BA</td>
<td>Brodmann area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BDI</td>
<td>Beck Depression Inventory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BDNF</td>
<td>brain derived neurotrophic factor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BOLD</td>
<td>blood oxygenation level dependent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BUN</td>
<td>blood urea nitrogen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CATIE</td>
<td>Clinical Antipsychotic Trials of Intervention Effectiveness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBC</td>
<td>complete blood count</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl</td>
<td>clearance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C&lt;sub&gt;max&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>maximum concentration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNS</td>
<td>central nervous system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C&lt;sub&gt;obs&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>observed concentration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPAD</td>
<td>Continuing Pharmacotherapy in Agitation and Dementia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C&lt;sub&gt;pred&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>predicted concentration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CREB</td>
<td>cAMP-responsive element binding protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYP</td>
<td>cytochrome P450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DAG</td>
<td>diacylglycerol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMC</td>
<td>desmethylclomipramine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DSM-IV</td>
<td>Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, 4&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt; edition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>estrogens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECG</td>
<td>electrocardiogram</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECT</td>
<td>electroconvulsive therapy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EEG</td>
<td>electroencephalography</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ER</td>
<td>estrogen receptor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERK</td>
<td>extracellular regulated kinases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>bioavailability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FDA</td>
<td>Food and Drug Administration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FDR</td>
<td>false discovery rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FMO</td>
<td>flavin-monooxygenase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fMRI</td>
<td>functional magnetic resonance imaging</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FWE</td>
<td>family wise error</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GABA</td>
<td>gamma amino butyric acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCRC</td>
<td>General Clinical Research Center</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abbreviation</td>
<td>Definition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h</td>
<td>hour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HAM-D</td>
<td>Hamilton Depression Rating Scale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIV</td>
<td>human immunodeficiency virus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HRF</td>
<td>hemodynamic response function</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMP</td>
<td>inositol monophosphatase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IND</td>
<td>investigational new drug</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IP</td>
<td>inositol monophosphate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IP(_3)</td>
<td>inositol 1,4,5- trisphosphate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPAM</td>
<td>integrated pharmacokinetic adherence measure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRB</td>
<td>institutional review board</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>intravenous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K(_a)</td>
<td>absorption constant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(k_E)</td>
<td>cluster size</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAO</td>
<td>monoamine oxidase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAOI</td>
<td>monoamine oxidase inhibitor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAPK</td>
<td>mitogen-activated protein kinase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDD</td>
<td>major depressive disorder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDR1</td>
<td>multi-drug resistance pump1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEMS</td>
<td>electronic medication event monitoring</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>min</td>
<td>minutes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRI</td>
<td>magnetic resonance imaging</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRRC</td>
<td>Magnetic Resonance Research Center</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NE</td>
<td>norepinephrine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGF</td>
<td>nerve growth factor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIMH</td>
<td>National Institute of Mental Health</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OCD</td>
<td>obsessive compulsive disorder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PD</td>
<td>pharmacodynamics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDK</td>
<td>phosphinositide-dependent kinase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDR</td>
<td>Physician’s Desk Reference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PET</td>
<td>positron emission tomography</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PI3K</td>
<td>phosphatidylinositol-3 kinase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PIP(_2)</td>
<td>phosphatidylinositol bisphosphate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PK</td>
<td>pharmacokinetics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PKA</td>
<td>protein kinase A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PKB</td>
<td>protein kinase B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PKC</td>
<td>protein kinase C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLC</td>
<td>phospholipase C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMDD</td>
<td>premenstrual dysphoric disorder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMS</td>
<td>premenstrual syndrome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNA</td>
<td>ribonucleic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROI</td>
<td>region of interest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCID</td>
<td>Structural Clinical Interview for Diagnosis of DSM-IV Disorders</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>standard deviation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPECTRUM</td>
<td>Depression: The Search for Treatment-Relevant Phenotypes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SR</td>
<td>sustained release</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSRI</td>
<td>selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
$t_{1/2}$  half-life
TCA  tricyclic antidepressant
TRH  thyrotropin-releasing hormone
TSH  thyroid-stimulating hormone
UDPGT  uridyl diphosphate glucuronyl transferase
UPMC  University of Pittsburgh Medical Center
UV  ultraviolet
$V_d$  volume of distribution
APPENDIX B

Pharmacodynamics of IV Citalopram Using Functional MRI
IRB Protocol and Consent Forms
A. Principal Investigator:  Kristin L. Bigos, BS  
Co-Investigators:  Bruce G. Pollock, MD, PhD, Robert R. Bies, PharmD, PhD, Howard J. Aizenstein, MD, PhD, Robert R. Ferrell, PhD, Ahmad R. Hariri, PhD  

B. Protocol Title:  Pharmacodynamics of IV Citalopram using Functional MRI  

C. Hypothesis and Specific Aims  
This study aims to evaluate the effects of intravenous (IV) citalopram on neuronal activation elicited during an affective task using functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) in healthy subjects. A second specific aim is to evaluate the impact of a polymorphism in the promoter region of the serotonin transporter gene (5-HTTLPR) on task-related neuronal activation during IV citalopram administration. We hypothesize that acute IV citalopram administration will oppose the task-related increase in neuronal activity in the amygdala, as measured by fMRI, and that this opposition will be blunted in subjects who carry the s allele for the 5-HTTLPR.  

D. Background Information and Significance  
The Serotonergic System. Serotonin, 5-hydroxytryptamine (5-HT), is a monoaminergic neurotransmitter known to mediate mood and emotion and a host of other basic functions including sleep and appetite. Serotonergic neurons project to most regions of the brain, with primary targets including the amygdala, hippocampus, hypothalamus, substantia nigra, caudate, putamen, nucleus accumbens, and multiple cortical areas. There is a great deal of evidence that dysregulation of the serotonin system is involved in the pathophysiology of depression and other psychiatric illnesses. In fact, many regions implicated in depression are regions regulated by serotonin including the amygdala, hypothalamus, caudate, as well as the frontal and cingulate cortices, as reviewed by Staley et al. The most common treatments for depression are selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs), which act at the serotonin transporter (5-HTT) to block the reuptake of serotonin, thus increasing serotonin concentration in the synapse. Because of these actions, SSRIs can also be used to measure serotonin function in the brain of both healthy and depressed patients.  
Citalopram. The SSRI, citalopram, is approved by the FDA for the treatment of depression, and is also commonly used in the treatment of other psychiatric illnesses, including obsessive compulsive disorder and panic disorder. Citalopram is commercially available as an oral tablet (Celexa®), but is also available in an intravenous formulation under an IND. Citalopram, the only SSRI available in IV formulation, is well-tolerated at doses up to 40 mg. Like other SSRIs, citalopram is believed to exert its pharmacological effects by blocking 5-HT reuptake at the serotonin transporter, and has negligible effects on other transporters including dopamine and noradrenaline transporters, and little to no affinity for other neurotransmitter receptors such as the gamma amino butyric acid (GABA), opioid, and muscarinic receptors. Because of its selectivity and tolerability, IV citalopram, can be used as a probe for in vivo assessments of serotonin function. Positron emission tomography (PET) studies have shown that citalopram alters cerebral glucose metabolism, as measured by changes in the radiotracer [18F]-FDG, in areas of the brain thought to be involved in the pathophysiology of depression and anxiety. One such study in healthy men and women showed that IV citalopram decreased cerebral glucose metabolism in the right (R) anterior cingulate gyrus, R superior and R middle frontal gyrus, R parietal cortex (precuneus), R superior occipital gyrus, left (L) thalamus, and R cerebellum, while it increased glucose metabolism in the L superior temporal gyrus and L occipital cortex. The regions
identified overlap with areas thought to be important in the pathophysiology of depression and may indicate regions important for treatment response; however PET has low spatial resolution and therefore may not be sensitive enough to detect effects on many smaller subcortical structures.

**Functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging.** When compared to PET, fMRI has better spatial and temporal resolution, and can be used to non-invasively measure drug-induced changes in task-related neuronal activation. fMRI allows researchers to study regional brain activity while subjects are performing sensory, motor, cognitive, or affective tasks using rapid sequential imaging. Tasks have been designed to activate specific regions of the brain involved in the regulation of mood and behavior, including the amygdala. Regional blood flow and glucose metabolism in the amygdala consistently correlate positively with depression severity, and metabolism in the amygdala decreases toward normal during antidepressant drug treatment.\(^{228}\)

It is known that the amygdala plays an important role in the recognition of certain facial emotions, particularly fear, and one task has been designed to engage the amygdala through the cognitive evaluation of angry and fearful human faces.\(^{229}\) Using fMRI, researchers have been able to show that some psychoactive drugs cause regionally specific patterns of neuronal activation during cognitive and affective tasks.\(^{230, 231}\) One such study found that oral dextroamphetamine (0.25 mg/kg), a nonspecific monoaminergic agonist, induced a significant increase in the blood oxygenation level dependent (BOLD) signal of the R amygdala in response to the fearful faces task.\(^{231}\) fMRI has been used to evaluate the effects of the oral SSRIs, fluoxetine\(^{232}\) and paroxetine,\(^{233}\) on neuronal motor pathways, and one study found that chronic fluoxetine treatment decreased amygdala activation.\(^{234}\) However no studies have evaluated the acute effects of SSRIs on cognitive or affective tasks. A pilot study evaluated the effects of IV citalopram (7.5 mg over 7.5 min) on fMRI response in a single-blind crossover design in 12 healthy young men; however the investigators did not employ a task.\(^{276}\) Compared to placebo infusion, neuronal activity was increased in the anterior cingulate gyrus, caudate, R posterior orbitofrontal cortex, R amygdala, and R brainstem extending into the hypothalamus, while neuronal activity was decreased in the R hippocampus and R precuneus. To date there have been no studies which evaluate the acute effects of SSRIs on task-related neuronal activation related to mood or cognition. This study is designed to determine the effects of the SSRI, citalopram, on affective task-related neuronal activation.

**Serotonin Transporter Genetics.** The serotonin transporter (5-HTT) regulates the magnitude and duration of serotonergic responses by modulating the levels of 5-HT in the synapse.\(^{236}\) Dysregulation of 5-HTT has been associated with several psychiatric disorders including depression\(^{242, 243}\) and anxiety.\(^{237-241}\) A polymorphism exists in the transcriptional control region upstream of the 5-HTT coding sequence.\(^{244}\) Insertion or deletion of a 44 base-pair segment in this 5-HTT gene-linked polymorphic region (5-HTTLPR) results in long (l) and short (s) variants. The s allele is associated with decreased transcriptional efficiency of the 5-HTT gene promoter and a decrease in 5-HTT expression and 5-HT uptake.\(^{244, 245}\) The s allele is also differentially associated with anxiety-related behavioral traits in healthy subjects; those carrying the s allele have been shown to be slightly more likely to have abnormal levels of anxiety\(^{245}\) and develop conditioned fear responses,\(^{249}\) resulting in an increased incidence of affective illnesses,\(^{250}\) when compared to those homozygous for the l allele. Studies have found that individuals with one or two copies of the s allele exhibit greater amygdala neuronal activation in response to fearful stimuli compared with individuals homozygous for the l allele, as measured by change in BOLD fMRI signal.\(^{253, 274}\) Therefore 5-HTTLPR genotype can predict task-related neuronal activation as measured by fMRI. This study aims to determine whether the 5-HTTLPR polymorphism can predict the objective BOLD fMRI response to IV citalopram.
SIGNIFICANCE. The development of novel psychoactive drugs requires a better understanding not only of their mechanism of action, but their sites and time-courses of action as well. Antidepressants are the second most commonly prescribed class of medications in the United States. While much is known about their mechanism at the cellular level, it is still largely unknown how their effects on functional interactions between distinct brain regions alter mood and behavior. Further elucidation of these mechanisms through functional studies will be useful in explaining the variability in patient response. This study will generate the first in vivo human data regarding the regional effects of acute SSRI administration on affective task-related neuronal activation. Functional MRI will allow us to better understand the actions of SSRIs at the neuronal level in real-time, and may shed light onto the functional interactions between distinct brain regions involved in the actions of SSRIs. An understanding of the regional effects of SSRIs will aid in predicting patient response to these agents. By including 5-HTTLPR genotype in the analyses, we may account for some of the variability in response to citalopram.

E. Progress Report and Preliminary Studies

Data on the safety of IV citalopram is included in the section above. There are no data using fMRI in the evaluation of IV citalopram.

F. Research Design and Methods

DRUG INFORMATION. Parenteral citalopram will be administered under IND #48,032 of the FDA, held by one of the listed investigators, Dr. Bruce G. Pollock. Once approved, this protocol will be submitted to the FDA as part of this IND. The recommended starting dose of oral citalopram is 20 mg with a maximum dose of 60 mg/day. Although 40 mg is well tolerated in both oral and IV formulations, 20 mg was chosen due to the potential for nausea and vomiting that may compromise the safety of the subject. In addition, BOLD is a sensitive response measure which may lose specificity for regional activation at doses higher than 20 mg.

DESIGN AND OVERVIEW. This study is a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled crossover of IV citalopram (20 mg infused over 30 min) and normal saline during two one-hour fMRI scans while subjects complete affective and sensorimotor tasks. An unblinded investigational pharmacist at the University of Pittsburgh Medical Center will randomize each subject to receive either citalopram or placebo on their first visit and the opposite treatment on the following visit. Visits will be separated by a minimum washout period of two weeks. All subjects will give informed consent before undergoing any research procedures. Sixteen subjects, eight homozygous for the \( l \) allele (\( l/l \)) and eight with at least one \( s \) allele (\( s/l \) or \( s/s \)), will be recruited to participate in the study.

SCREENING VISIT. Subjects will be recruited from established research studies being conducted at the University of Pittsburgh by the investigators. Through their initial participation, subjects completed behavioral measures and provided a genetic sample. The subjects’ samples have been genotyped for the 5-HTTLPR. Subjects will also be recruited in the community through advertisements. This study will recruit healthy, right-handed, non-smoking Caucasian men between the ages of 18 and 60 years. These selection criteria are designed to minimize between-subject variation and possible age-related and ethnic differences in fMRI response. As part of this study, subjects will provide a 10 mL blood sample, which will be used to sequence the 5-HTTLPRT to ensure that other polymorphisms in the 5-HTT gene, in particular the A to G substitution in the \( l \) allele, are characterized. The screening visit for the study will be conducted at the General Clinical Research Center (GCRC) of the University of Pittsburgh Medical Center (UPMC). Screening will include a complete medical history, physical examination (including height, weight, blood pressure and heart rate), biochemical and
hematological laboratory screen (albumin, BUN, calcium, CBC, chloride, serum creatinine, glucose, hematocrit, hemoglobin, serum phosphorus, potassium, prothrombin time, partial thromboplastin time, AST/ALT, and sodium), blood alcohol, serum cotinine, and urine drug screen, within 28 days of the first study day. An electrocardiogram (ECG) will be done to rule out subjects with cardiac electrophysiological problems, in particular bradycardia (heart rate less than 50 beats per minute), which may increase the risk of cardiac side effects associated with SSRIs. The modified Structural Clinical Interview for Diagnosis (SCID) of DSM-IV Disorders will be conducted by a trained interviewer to screen subjects for psychiatric illness. In addition to the SCID, subjects will complete the Beck Depression Inventory, which is a 21-item self-report rating inventory measuring characteristic attitudes and symptoms of depression (Beck et al., 1961). If a clinically significant, unanticipated disease or condition is identified during the conduct of screening, the participant will be informed of the discovery by the investigators, and if requested, the participant will be referred appropriately. If a subject has a BDI score of 10 or greater at any time, they will be removed from the study and referred to a psychiatrist for assessment and follow-up. If the subject endorses the suicide item on the BDI, they will be immediately referred to the emergency department at Western Psychiatric Institute and Clinic. If the subject scores a 10 or higher, but does not endorse the suicide item, we will offer a referral and follow-up with the subject the next day.

STUDY VISITS. Subjects will refrain from the use of over the counter and prescription drugs and grapefruit juice for one week prior to the first study visit and refrain from alcohol and caffeine for 48 hours prior to each study visit. Subjects will be admitted to the GCRC the morning of the study day and will complete a baseline BDI. A baseline electrocardiogram will be done; any subject with an abnormal ECG will be removed from the study and referred for follow-up care with a physician. Vital signs (blood pressure and heart rate) will be also measured at baseline, after the infusion, and before discharge. A urine sample for drug screen will be obtained and intravenous catheters will be placed in each forearm, one for drug/placebo infusion and the other for multiple blood sampling. Subjects will be escorted to the MRRC where they will complete an MR safety questionnaire, which will be reviewed orally with MRRC staff prior to each scan to ensure their safety while in the magnet. In subjects with a questionable history of metallic fragments, an X-ray study of the suspected body area will be performed prior to the MRI to rule out such. Subjects will be in the scanner for one hour as detailed in the figure below.

During the first 15 minutes a series of structural scans will be acquired, which will be used to align the functional MRI acquisition and for cross-registration of the functional scans for the group analyses. Immediately after the structural scan, the subjects will perform tasks during the 45 minute functional scanning. A blocked design will be used for the fMRI tasks. The first block of tasks (FACES) includes an emotion task (faces) and a sensorimotor task (shapes). During the faces task, subjects will be asked to match the facial expression (either angry or afraid) of
one of two faces to that expressed by a simultaneously presented target expression. The faces task is known to elicit a robust amygdala response.\textsuperscript{229, 253} Twelve different images will be used, six per block, all derived from a standard set of pictures of facial affect. The identity of all three faces is always different and an equal number of male and female faces will be presented. As a sensorimotor control task (shapes), subjects will be asked to match one of two geometric shapes with a simultaneously presented target shape. Six different sets of geometric forms will be used in the control condition. Between images, subjects will be instructed to fixate on a black cross-hair in the middle of the screen. As shown in the figure, FACES, involves 9 experimental blocks: 5 blocks of the shapes task (control) interleaved with 4 blocks of the faces task (experimental). Each block contains 6 trials, lasting 5 seconds each. Before each block, a brief instruction (“match emotion” or “match form”) will be presented for 2 seconds. During the task, subjects will respond with button presses, which will allow us to determine accuracy and reaction time. A complete FACES block takes approximately 8 minutes and will be completed once before the infusion and twice during the infusion. In the second block, the sensorimotor control task (TAP) will be done to acquire a baseline fMRI BOLD signal for each subject and to compare the time course of the hemodynamic response function across the entire scanning session. Subjects will be instructed to press both buttons, with their index fingers, every time they see the word TAP appear on the screen. The stimulus will appear every 12 seconds and will remain on the screen for 1 second. In the interim, subjects will be instructed to fixate on a white cross-hair in the middle of the screen. This task takes 5 minutes and will be completed twice during the infusion and once after the infusion.

As indicated in the figure, the infusion will begin at time 0 min, after the structural scan and the baseline FACES 1 functional scan. Either citalopram (20 mg in 0.9% saline) or placebo (0.9% saline) will be infused for 30 min while subjects are asked to perform the tasks. Subjects will rest for one minute between tasks while investigators prepare the next task and give the subjects instructions. Blood samples (10 mL) will be taken between tasks to determine drug and metabolite concentrations at baseline (0 min), during the infusion (6, 15, and 21 min), at the end of infusion (30 min), at the end of the scan (36 min), and 45, 60, 90, 150, and 360 min. Additional blood samples (5 mL) will be taken to determine cortisol and prolactin concentrations at 0, 15, 30, 45, 60, 90, and 150 min.

Before discharge, subjects will have an ECG and complete the BDI and side effect questionnaire. If a subject has an abnormal ECG, they will be evaluated by the admitting physician, Dr. Aizenstein, and referred for follow-up care. If a subject has ECG changes after drug administration, the adverse event will be reported according to IRB and FDA regulations.

One week following each study visit, the subjects will be called by one of the listed investigators and complete the BDI and side effect questionnaire.

**SCANNING PROCEDURES.** All fMRI scans will be conducted at the University of Pittsburgh Medical Center Magnetic Resonance Research Center (MRRC) on a 1.5 Tesla Signa MR Scanner (General Electric Medical Systems, Milwaukee, WI). During the screening visit, subjects will be given the opportunity to become acclimated to the study conditions in a simulation scanner. Structural MRI will be performed prior to the functional scans to align the functional MRI acquisition and to cross-register the functional scans for the group analyses. The structural scans will be acquired as T1-weighted images, and aligned within the anterior cortex-posterior cortex line. A high resolution anatomical image will be acquired for each subject using a volumetric three-dimensional Spoiled Gradient Recalled Acquisition sequence. Low resolution anatomical images will be acquired as 36 oblique axial slices with a slice thickness of 3.8 mm, an in-plane resolution of 0.9375 mm\(^2\), and a field of view of 240 mm\(^2\). Functional scanning will be performed using a one-shot spiral pulse sequence, with \(T_E = 35\) msec and \(T_R = 2000\) msec. Twenty-six oblique axial slices will be acquired as T2*-weighted
images with a slice thickness of 3.8 mm, an in-plane resolution of 64 x 64 (with 3.75 mm² pixels), and a field of view of 240 mm². Stimulus presentation will be performed using E-prime (Psychology Software Tools, Inc., Pittsburgh, PA) on the standard MRRC computer, which will also collect accuracy and reaction time data. The stimuli will be projected on a screen positioned above the subject’s chest and will be seen by the subject through a series of mirrors. The stimuli subtend approximately 30º of the visual field.

**ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES.** Blood samples will be collected from an indwelling forearm catheter contralateral to the infusion catheter, into appropriately labeled vacutainers and centrifuged. Plasma or serum will be decanted, transferred to appropriately labeled polypropylene tubes and stored at -80°C. Assays will be performed in the laboratory of Dr. Pollock. Citalopram concentrations will be determined using a high-performance liquid chromatographic technique previously described. The limit of quantitation using ultraviolet (UV) detection is 5 ng/mL, and coefficients of variations are 2.9% at 15 ng/mL and 1.8% at 220 ng/mL. Both the R(-) and S(+) enantiomers of citalopram will be measured separately, as well as its metabolites demethylcitalopram and didemethylcitalopram. The limit of quantitation for each enantiomer is 10 ng/mL by UV detection. Serum cortisol and prolactin will be quantified using an enzyme-linked immunoassay (Diagnostic Systems Labs; Webster, TX). Prolactin measures are linear from 2 to 180 ng/mL with a coefficient of variation of 1.2 to 10.7%. Cortisol measures are linear from 0.5 to 60 µg/mL with a coefficient of variation of 1.0 to 7.4%.

**GENOTYPING.** Coded blood samples have been genotyped for the serotonin transporter polymorphism (5-HTTLPR) as part of participation in previous studies by these investigators. The presence of s and l alleles was determined using polymerase chain reaction amplification followed by electrophoresis. As part of this study, samples will be sequenced in the laboratory of Dr. Ferrell, to ensure that other polymorphisms in the 5-HTT gene are characterized. A polymorphism found in the l allele of approximately 15% of Caucasian subjects (Xu and Goldman, unpublished), which results in an A to G substitution, will be taken into consideration when comparing s and l genotypes. Research subjects recruited from the community will be genotyped for the 5-HTTLPR. During the screening visit, a 10 mL sample will be taken for genotyping. This sampled will be genotyped and stored using only their subject number (without identifiers) in the laboratory of Dr. Ferrell. Their genotype information will only be shared with the co-investigators listed on this protocol.

**G. Biostatistical Design and Analysis**

**SAMPLE SIZE ANALYSIS.** In a previous fMRI study using the FACES task, the percent change in BOLD fMRI signal had a standard deviation of 0.187% in subjects with the l (l/l) allele (n=14) and 0.299% in subjects with at least one s (s/s or s/l) allele (n=14). The pooled standard deviation for both groups (all genotypes) was 0.245%. We believe that although we are proposing a crossover study, the within-subject variability in signal change would not be greater than the intersubject variability observed previously, and therefore the intersubject variability will provide a more conservative sample size estimate. Based on the proposed sample size of 16 subjects, we have an 80% power, with a type-I error of 5% (α=0.05), to detect a difference of 0.260% BOLD fMRI signal change using a t-test. A previous fMRI study using this task resulted in a signal difference much larger than 0.260%, between drug and placebo.

**IMAGE ANALYSIS: DATA PREPROCESSING.** fMRI data will be corrected for movement using a 6-parameter linear algorithm, and spatially smoothed using an 8 mm full width-half maximum Gaussian filter. A linear detrending algorithm will be performed using only the data within 3 standard deviations (SD) of the mean to estimate the linear trend. An outlier-correction
algorithm will also be performed to remove data that are more than 7 SD from the mean. Global normalization will be performed multiplicatively to give each subject a mean intensity of 3000.

**REGION OF INTEREST ANALYSIS.** The anatomical regions of interest (ROI) were selected from those known to be altered by citalopram and/or involved in perceptual face processing. An automated approach will be used to define the primary ROI, the amygdala, as previously described. Secondary regions of interest will be mapped separately using Talairach coordinates (x, y, z; mm) determined by PET studies of citalopram. The primary ROI will be used to test our hypotheses regarding the effects of citalopram and the role of genotype on the effects of citalopram. A set of mean fMRI signal values will be calculated for each subject for each task (faces and shapes) within each block (FACES 1, 2, and 3). This difference between faces and shapes for each block will be compared using an analysis of variance (ANOVA) to test for a significant interaction of treatment by task, i.e. whether citalopram alters the amygdala BOLD response to an emotional task. Similarly, we will use an ANOVA to test for a significant interaction of treatment*task*genotype, i.e. if patients who carry the s allele have a different response to citalopram during task. If significant differences are identified, a t-test will be used to compare each combination of block, treatment, and genotype. A similar analysis will be conducted for each additional ROI. A set of mean fMRI signal values will be calculated for each subject for each task, within each block, during each treatment. The analysis for significant differences in activations will be performed using an ANOVA, and post-hoc t-tests will be used to compare each combination when indicated.

**EXPLORATORY ANALYSIS.** An exploratory analysis will be used to identify regions of the brain that have different activation during the citalopram and placebo treatments, which have not been previously described. In this analysis, we will conduct an ANOVA to compare the interaction of block by treatment. This will generate an F-map summarizing the effect of treatment on response to faces across blocks for each voxel, i.e. a brain image in which each of the 64x64x26 voxels is an F-value representing the effect for that particular location in the brain. The F-map will then be thresholded with an alpha of 0.01. To correct for multiple comparisons, we will only consider those voxels which are significant for eight contiguous voxels. In examining these group differences, all data must first be normalized into a standard brain coordinate system, such as Talairach space, in order to take into account the variability in brain shape and size across subjects.

**CONTROL TASK ANALYSIS.** The sensorimotor control task (TAP) will be done to acquire a baseline fMRI BOLD signal for each subject and to compare the time course of the hemodynamic response function (HRF) across the entire scanning session. To test whether citalopram alters the overall HRF, we will use this task as a covariate and therefore control for systematic differences in the BOLD signal. This would be necessary if, for example, citalopram changed the coupling of neural activation.

**PHARMACOKINETIC ANALYSIS.** An optimal sampling strategy given the timing of fMRI tasks was determined using the D-optimal sampling algorithm in Adapt II (release 4). This model was informed using IV citalopram data from 379 subjects (unpublished). Citalopram kinetics will be modeled using a mixed-effect population approach with a two-compartment continuous infusion model, as previously described. Given the known rate of infusion (0.67 mg/min), we can use the concentrations measured to estimate the following pharmacokinetic parameters: volume of distribution of the central compartment (Vc), volume of the peripheral compartment (Vp), intercompartmental clearance (Clint), and systemic clearance (Cl). Acute exposure will be determined by the plasma area under the concentration time curve from 0 to 360 min (AUC360). Modeling will be done for total citalopram concentrations and for both the R and S enantiomer separately. Pharmacokinetic parameters will be individually correlated with BOLD fMRI...
response as an exploratory analysis to determine whether any kinetic parameters or acute exposure (AUC\textsubscript{360}) predict BOLD fMRI response to citalopram.

HORMONE ANALYSIS. Area under the curve (AUC) from 0 to 150 min for cortisol and prolactin has previously been used to measure the neuroendocrine response to IV citalopram.\textsuperscript{219} We propose to estimate AUC from 0 to 150 min for cortisol and prolactin, and correlate these values with the percent BOLD fMRI signal change during citalopram treatment. Previous literature using fMRI, without a task, found significant correlations between hormone AUCs and neuronal activation in several brain regions after IV citalopram (7.5 mg/7.5 min).\textsuperscript{276}

H. Human Subjects

1. Subject population

Subjects will be recruited from established research studies being conducted at the University of Pittsburgh by the investigators, including the Functional Genomics Imaging Study (FIGS) and Neuroimaging Markers of Vulnerability to Depression. Through their initial participation, subjects completed behavioral measures and provided a genetic sample, which has been genotyped for the 5-HTTLPR. Subjects will also be recruited in the community through advertisements, which will be posted throughout the university and UPMC.

There are well-characterized differences in fMRI response between men and women, and between young and elderly subjects; therefore this pilot study will be conducted in healthy non-smoking men (age 18 to 60 years), in order to limit variability due to sex or age and to increase the probability of detecting a treatment effect. Similarly, there are known differences between subjects of different racial and ethnic origins; therefore this study will be restricted to the study of Caucasian (white) subjects in order to maximize the probability of detecting a main effect of 5-HTT genotype. Additionally, there are no human data on the effects of IV citalopram on task-related neuronal activation; therefore it is appropriate to limit the study population to generate pilot data to power a larger analysis.

Additionally, the extraordinary cost of MRI limits the number of subjects that can be scanned. In order to maintain a balanced design that will have the power to detect a difference between responses of individuals of different genotypes, it is necessary to recruit the same number of individuals of each genotype. If patients were recruited without regard to genotype, it is very likely that the number of individuals of each genotype would be skewed. This would lead to an unbalanced sample and a loss of power in the analysis. Therefore sixteen subjects (8 l/l and 8 s/l or s/s) will be selected to participate in this study.

Subjects will be excluded if they have a past or current psychiatric disorder, neurological disorder (including stroke, brain tumor, epilepsy, significant head injury, Alzheimer’s, Parkinson’s or Huntington’s disease) or an uncontrolled medical disorder. Subjects will be excluded for having a positive alcohol or cotinine level on the screening visit. Subjects will be excluded for having a positive drug screen on a screening or study visit. Subjects taking known cytochrome P450 enzyme-inducing or enzyme-inhibiting agents within one month of the study, and/or any chronic medications (including over the counter drugs) within one week of the study will also be excluded. Subjects will also be excluded if they have ever had an adverse reaction to oral citalopram or any other SSRI. Subjects who have a contraindication to MRI, including a pacemaker, defibrillator or other medical implant, bullets, shrapnel, or other metal objects, or claustrophobia will not be eligible.
The safety and effectiveness of citalopram have not been established in pediatric patients; therefore children under the age of 21 will not be recruited for this study. Celexa® (oral citalopram) is not approved for use in treating any indications in the pediatric population.

2. Targeted/Planned Enrollment Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ethnic Category</th>
<th>Females</th>
<th>Males</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic or Latino</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non Hispanic or Latino</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethnic Category Totals</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Racial Categories</th>
<th>Females</th>
<th>Males</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>American Indian</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native Hawaiian or other</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pacific Islander</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black or African American</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Racial Categories: Total of all Subjects</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Sources of Research Material

All specimens and data collected are for research purposes only. Medical and psychiatric information will be obtained for the purposes of screening for healthy subjects. Blood samples will be obtained for the purpose of measuring drug and hormone concentrations, genotype analyses, and medical screening. Total blood collected in this study is 325 mL, which is less than a normal blood donation (480 mL). Urine will be collected for screening for drugs of abuse.

4. Recruitment Methods and Consent Procedures

Subjects will be recruited from research studies being conducted by these investigators, including the Functional Imaging Genomics Study (FIGS; IRB#0403005) and Neuroimaging Markers of Vulnerability to Depression (IRB#011170). These subjects have given their consent to be contacted for future research studies. Subjects will be informed of this new research study by one of the listed investigators and given the option of participating. The recruitment letter is included in Appendix 3. Subjects interested in this study will be asked to provide written consent using a University of Pittsburgh Institutional Review Board (IRB) approved consent form. It may be necessary to recruit additional subjects that have not formerly participated in a study. In the event that additional subjects must be recruited, advertisements will be posted throughout the university and the University of Pittsburgh Medical Center (UPMC), and potential subjects will also be appropriately consented and screened for this study. The advertisement is included in Appendix 4. We will also include an advertisement on the Office of Clinical Research website.

The study will be explained to the subjects by Kristin Bigos (PI) and given a chance to ask questions. Then Howard Aizenstein, a co-investigator and admitting physician for this study, will be called. The subject will have a chance to discuss the study with Dr. Aizenstein over the phone, and have any questions answered by the physician. Ms. Bigos will sign the consent form and Dr. Aizenstein will sign the consent form at a later time.
5. Potential Risks

This research study is considered moderate risk. Citalopram is not FDA-approved for parenteral use, therefore the probability and magnitude of harm or discomfort anticipated in this research study is greater than encountered in daily life. However, the planned research activities do not pose a significant likelihood of a serious adverse event to involved research subjects. Common adverse events (occurring in 10-25% of people) of chronic oral citalopram include nausea, dry mouth, somnolence, insomnia, and increased sweating. (Celexa [package insert]. St. Louis, MO: Forest Pharmaceuticals Inc.; 2004) Infrequent adverse events (occurring in 1-10% of people) include diarrhea, tremor, fatigue, ejaculation disorder, upper respiratory infection, rhinitis, anxiety, anorexia, abdominal pain, agitation, impotence, and decreased libido. Recently the risk of suicide has been suggested to be associated with chronic use of SSRIs. (Celexa [package insert]. St. Louis, MO: Forest Pharmaceutical Inc.; 2004) It is possible, however unlikely, that acute IV citalopram will increase the risk of suicide in these patients.

No serious or life-threatening side effects have been reported during the use of IV citalopram. Based upon our experience to date with these procedures, the following adverse events are expected during the study day. Likely adverse events (occurring in more than 25% of people) include lightheadedness and feeling tired. Common adverse events (10% to 25%) include nausea, loss of appetite, difficulty concentrating, low energy/fatigue, and headache. Infrequent adverse events (1% to 10%) include vomiting, shaky, heart racing, sweating, dry mouth, and hunger. Rare adverse events (<1%) include diarrhea, discomfort in the chest, EKG (electrocardiogram) changes, stiff neck, and increase in blood pressure. When they occur, these side effects usually last 30 to 90 minutes and usually resolve by the end of the appointment. Citalopram can also cause symptoms during the next 24 hours. These side effects can last for several hours. Common adverse events (10% to 25%) include lightheadedness, nausea, diarrhea, loss of appetite, difficulty concentrating, low energy/fatigue, and headache. Infrequent adverse events (1% to 10%) include dry mouth, shaky, trouble sleeping, heart racing, short-tempered, and sweating.

There are risks associated with needle insertion for catheter placement, blood draws, and blood loss. Common side effects (occurring in 1% to 25% of people) include bruising, mild bleeding, or soreness, similar to the effects of any type of needle insertion. There is a rare (<1%) risk of infection associated with catheter placement in your vein. Blood loss can result in a temporary feeling of lightheadedness or dizziness. There is no risk of anemia in a healthy person with the amounts of blood drawn in this study. Drinking fluids is encouraged after the study to help replace fluid lost due to blood draws, and your body should replace the blood lost over a few days following the study. There is a rare risk (<1%) of fainting due to the blood draws.

The risk associated with genotyping for polymorphisms is primarily the loss of confidentiality. There is a possibility that if the results of the research study involving the genetic material provided by the subject were to become generally known, this information could impact future insurability, employability, or reproduction plans, or have a negative impact on family relationships, and/or result in paternity suits or stigmatization. There is also potential for discomfort or anxiety during the psychiatric interview.

The X-ray study to rule out the presence of metallic fragments prior to the MRI procedure will involve a maximum radiation exposure of 0.3 rems to the involved area of the body. For comparison, this is a small fraction of the maximum single organ radiation exposure (50 rems) permitted, per year, to radiation workers by federal regulation. There is no minimal amount of radiation exposure that is recognized as being totally free of the risk of causing genetic
mutations or cancer. However, the risk associated with the radiation exposure received from this X-ray procedure is considered to be low and comparable to everyday risks.

6. Risk Management Procedures

To minimize risk, all research procedures on both study days will be conducted at the UPMC GCRC and MRRC, and citalopram will be administered under the supervision of a physician. All patient records generated in this research study including genetic information will be stored in a locked file cabinet. Patient identity on these records will be indicated by a case number rather than by patient name. The information linking these case numbers with the subjects’ names will be kept separate from the research records. All records will be handled in a confidential manner consistent with other hospital medical records. Data will be collected on standard forms and double-key verification will be used to minimize errors. Data will be stored in a secure, limited-access database and backed-up on a regular basis. A Clinical Database Manager will maintain the database throughout the study, and there will be a monthly review of the data by the investigators and peripheral support personnel. All patient identity on these records will be indicated by a case number rather than by patient name. The information linking these case numbers with the subjects’ names will be kept separate from the research records. All records will be handled in a confidential manner consistent with other hospital medical records. Data will be collected on standard forms and double-key verification will be used to minimize errors. Data will be stored in a secure, limited-access database and backed-up on a regular basis. A Clinical Database Manager will maintain the database throughout the study, and there will be a monthly review of the data by the investigators and peripheral support personnel. All blood samples, including genetic samples, will be coded using an alphanumeric coding scheme that is free of patient identifiers; with the identity of the subject known only to named co-investigators. Removing patient identifiers from biological and genetic samples will minimize the risks associated with loss of confidentiality. DNA will be used only for the analysis of genetic polymorphisms potentially related to the synthesis, metabolism and/or transport of drugs and/or endogenous compounds. DNA will not be used to diagnose medical or psychiatric conditions. Because these polymorphisms are of no proven clinical significance, no genotype information will be given to the subjects; genotype will simply be used as a covariate in the analysis of the data. Coded blood samples and genetic material will be stored for a minimum of 5 years after the completion of the study. Information linking these code numbers to the corresponding subjects’ identities will be kept in a separate, secure location. If a subject chooses to withdraw from the study, their genetic sample will be destroyed. All blood samples and genetic material will be under the control of the principal investigator of this research project. Samples will not be given to other investigators. However, patients may give permission to be recontacted to obtain consent to use their samples for other research projects. The future testing to be completed on the subjects’ samples would be limited to the assessment of genetic polymorphisms. No other genetic testing (e.g. associated with diagnosis) will be completed.

Data and safety monitoring will be conducted by the investigators listed on this protocol. The investigators will ensure the maintenance of confidentiality and report adverse events in compliance with IRB policies. Adverse events will be reported to the IRB according to chapter 3 of the IRB manual (sections 3.4 and 3.5) and reported to the FDA in accordance with IND regulations. The accrued, unblinded data will be reviewed on an ongoing basis to determine whether a change in the risk to benefit ratio has taken place. The investigators will meet formally when 50% of the patients have been recruited for this study and every six months after. The summary reports of these reviews will be submitted to the IRB at the time of annual renewal.

7. Evaluation of Risk/Benefit Ratio

The subjects will receive no direct benefit from participating in this research study. The results of this study will contribute to our knowledge and understanding of the neuronal effects of IV citalopram and the effects of the serotonin transporter polymorphism. The safety of IV citalopram has been well documented; therefore the risks are reasonable compared to the anticipated benefits to the advancement of mental health research. A better understanding of the regional effects of SSRIs would benefit mental health research from drug development to
clinical research. By evaluating the genetics of the serotonin transporter we may explain some of the variability in response to citalopram.

8. Costs and Payments

The MRRC pilot imaging program allocated this study 20 hours in the MR scanner. The other 12 hours of scan time as well as all other research costs will be supported by the NIH grant MH65416. The study will pay for the research only costs. All procedures required for the purposes of the study are not considered standard of care. Neither the subject nor the insurance provider will be charged for the costs of any of the procedures performed for the purpose of this research study. The subjects will be paid a total of $225 for participating in the study, which includes $100 for each of the two study visits, and $25 for the screening visit.

I. Justification for Utilization of GCRC Resources

The principal investigator, Kristin L. Bigos, is a graduate student at the University of Pittsburgh and this protocol will serve as her doctoral dissertation. This research study is supported by a NIH career development award (K24) of Dr. Bruce G. Pollock, co-advisor of Ms. Bigos and co-investigator of this study. This pilot study will be used to justify the use of fMRI in the study of psychotropic drugs in patients with psychiatric illness and may lead to larger NIH clinical trials.

J. Study Size and GCRC Resources

1. Number of Research Subjects: 16 subjects

2. Total number of Inpatient Days: 0 days

3. Total number of Outpatient Days:
   - 30 screening visits (maximum), 32 study visits; Total of 62 outpatient days

4. Estimated GCRC Inpatient Ancillary Cost of the Study: $0

5. Estimated GCRC Outpatient Ancillary Cost of the Study: $2331

6. Description of other GCRC Resources Requested:
   - A GCRC nurse will accompany the subjects to the MRRC for monitoring and blood sampling.

K. Research Needs to be Provided by the Investigator’s Lab

The investigator's lab will provide the drug and blood collection tubes.

L. Funding Support

This research study is supported by a NIMH career development award MH65416 and an NRSA F31 MH076420. Twenty hours of scan time have been allocated for this study by the MRRC as part of the Pilot Imaging Program. The additional 12 hours of scan time as well as all other research costs (including salary support, drug and analysis costs, subject payments, etc.) will be supported by the NIH grant MH65416.
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April 26, 2005

Institutional Review Board
University of Pittsburgh
3500 5th Ave
Pittsburgh PA, 15213

To Whom It May Concern:

I support Kristin L. Bigos as the Principal Investigator of the study “Pharmacodynamics of IV Citalopram using Functional MRI”. I am a co-investigator for this study as well as a co-advisor for Ms. Bigos, who is a doctoral candidate in the Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences. This protocol will serve as her doctoral dissertation.

Sincerely,

(Original copy is signed and dated)

Bruce G. Pollock, MD, PhD
Professor of Psychiatry, Pharmacology, and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Protocol Appendix 2  Qualifications of Investigators

Kristin L. Bigos, BS, (PI) is a Doctoral Candidate in the Clinical Pharmaceutical Scientist PhD Program at the University of Pittsburgh. She has worked at the Pharmacodynamic Research Center at the School of Pharmacy since 1999 on multiple studies of the pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of psychotropics.

Bruce G. Pollock, MD, PhD, is a Professor of Psychiatry, Pharmacology, and Pharmaceutical Sciences at the University of Pittsburgh. Dr. Pollock is the director of the Geriatric Psychopharmacology Program at the Western Psychiatric Institute and Clinic. His research involves population pharmacokinetic measures of drug exposure and treatment adherence, pharmacogenetics of antidepressant response to SSRI pharmacotherapy in late-life, and the pharmacologic management of behavioral disturbances in patients with Alzheimer’s dementia.

Robert R. Bies, MD, PhD, is an Assistant Professor of Pharmaceutical Sciences and Psychiatry at the University of Pittsburgh. His work involves mathematical modeling of disease progress, pharmacokinetics, and pharmacodynamics using both classical and Bayesian approaches.

Howard J. Aizenstein, MD, PhD, is an Assistant Professor of Psychiatry at the University of Pittsburgh School of Medicine. Dr. Aizenstein’s research uses functional MRI informed by neural network modeling to predict treatment response variability in late-life depression.

Robert E. Ferrell, PhD, is a Professor of Human Genetics in the Graduate School of Public Health at the University of Pittsburgh. Dr. Ferrell has more than 25 years experience in conducting research on the influence of genetic variation on normal and disease phenotypes in humans.

Ahmad Hariri, PhD, is an Assistant Professor of Psychiatry and the Director of the Developmental Imaging Genomics Program at the University of Pittsburgh. Dr. Hariri has pioneered the use of non-invasive functional neuroimaging in the study of genetically driven variation in brain function and behavior. He has also conducted fMRI studies of affect regulation with a focus on the dynamic interactions of the prefrontal cortex and amygdala.
April 19, 2007

«First» «M» «Last»
«Street_Address»
«City», «State» «zip»

Dear Mr./Ms. «Last»,

You previously participated in a research study with us entitled the “Functional Imaging Genomics Study”* at the University of Pittsburgh. Through this study, you gave us permission to contact you for future studies.

We are contacting you to see if you would be willing to participate in a study of how antidepressant medications change brain function. This study involves a short screening visit and two study visits (approximately 8 hours each study visit). The study visits include brain scans that take pictures of your brain using magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) to test where an antidepressant, called citalopram, works in the brain. This study will also examine genetic (inherited) differences in areas of the brain associated with mood and feeling.

If we do not hear from you within two weeks, we will call you to invite you to participate in this study. If you do not wish further contact with an investigator, or would like more information about this study, please call Kristin Bigos at 412-648-9436 or 412-648-8430.

Sincerely,

Ahmad R. Hariri, PhD

*or “Neuroimaging Markers of Vulnerability to Depression” depending on which study the subject participated in
Clinical Research Study

- Are you a healthy Caucasian (white) man between the ages of 18 and 60?

- You may be eligible for a research study being conducted by the University of Pittsburgh Schools of Pharmacy and Medicine.

- The purpose of this study is to understand how antidepressant medications change brain function using brain scans (MRIs).

- The study involves short screening visit to determine if you are physically and mentally healthy.

- If you are healthy, you will complete 2 study visits lasting approximately 8 hours each. During these visits, you will receive either an antidepressant (citalopram) or placebo, during an MRI.

- You will be compensated $225 for your time.

Please contact Kristin Bigos at (412) 648-9436 for more information.
CONSENT TO ACT AS A PARTICIPANT IN A RESEARCH STUDY

Title: Pharmacodynamics of IV Citalopram using Functional MRI

Principal Investigator: Kristin L. Bigos, B.S.
Doctoral Candidate, Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences
University of Pittsburgh School of Pharmacy
806 Salk Hall, 3501 Terrace Street, Pittsburgh, PA 15261
(412) 648-9436

Co-Investigators:
Bruce G. Pollock, M.D., Ph.D.
Professor of Psychiatry, Pharmacology,
and Pharmaceutical Sciences
University of Pittsburgh School of Medicine
E833 WPIC, 3811 O’Hara Street
Pittsburgh, PA 15213
(412) 246-6274

Robert R. Bies, Pharm.D., Ph.D.
Assistant Professor of Pharmaceutical
Sciences and Psychiatry
University of Pittsburgh School of
Pharmacy
805 Salk Hall, 3501 Terrace Street
Pittsburgh, PA 15261
(412) 648-8430

Robert R. Ferrell, Ph.D.
Professor of Human Genetics
University of Pittsburgh
Graduate School of Public Health
A304 Crabtree Hall, 130 DeSoto Street
Pittsburgh, PA 15261
(412) 624-3018

Ahmad R. Hariri, Ph.D.
Assistant Professor of Psychiatry
University of Pittsburgh School of Medicine
E729 WPIC, 3811 O’Hara Street
Pittsburgh, PA 15213
(412) 246-5879

Howard J. Aizenstein, M.D., Ph.D.
Assistant Professor of Psychiatry
University of Pittsburgh School of Medicine
E721 WPIC, 3811 O’Hara Street
Pittsburgh, PA 15213
(412) 586-9237

Source of Support: National Institute of Mental Health (NIMH) MH65416 and MH076420
What is the purpose of this research study?

The purpose of this study is to understand how antidepressant medications change brain function using brain scans. These brain scans will take pictures of your brain using magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) to test where an antidepressant, called citalopram, works in the brain. This study will also examine genetic (inherited) differences in areas of the brain associated with mood and feeling.

Who is being asked to participate in this research study?

You are being asked to participate in this study because you are a healthy man between the ages of 18 and 60 years. If you choose not participate in this study, your participation in previous research studies will not be affected. This study is being performed on 16 men.

What will my participation in this research study involve?

If you decide to participate in this study, you will undergo the following research procedures that are not part of your standard medical care.

Screening Procedures

Procedures used to determine whether or not you are eligible to participate in a research study are called “screening procedures.” For this research study, you will undergo the following screening procedures at the General Clinical Research Center (GCRC) at UPMC Montefiore Hospital.

A study psychiatrist or a study clinician will perform a clinical evaluation using a structured research assessment. You will be asked to provide information regarding any past or present psychiatric symptoms you are having, drug and alcohol use, any significant past or present medical illnesses, and any medications you have been taking (including all over-the-counter and alternative prescriptions). You will also be asked to complete the Beck Depression Inventory (BDI), which is a questionnaire about your feelings and emotions. This questionnaire is used to evaluate the symptoms of depression. The psychiatric evaluation will last up to one hour.

A physical exam will be performed, which will last approximately one hour. You will have an electrocardiogram (ECG), which is a test used to evaluate the rhythm and electrical activity of the heart, to make sure you don’t have any problems with your heart. If a clinically significant, unexpected disease or condition is uncovered during these screening procedures, the research staff will refer you for appropriate follow-up care. This condition may or may not affect your participation in this study. If you have an abnormal ECG you will not be permitted to continue in the study.

Approximately two (2) teaspoons of blood will be drawn from a vein in your arm for routine laboratory tests, for example to examine your blood counts (number of red blood cells), by a trained nurse. Your blood sample will also be used to see whether variation in certain genes (e.g. 148
inherited traits) may explain the differences in response to the study drug. You will provide a urine sample to be tested for drugs of abuse. All screening procedures including the physical exam, blood and urine samples, and the interview will take approximately two hours.

**Experimental Procedures:**
If you are eligible and agree to participate in this study, you will undergo the experimental procedures described below. You will complete two study visits separated by at least two weeks. You can expect to spend approximately 8 hours total completing the procedures (taking into account breaks, wait times etc.).

You will not take any over-the-counter, prescription medications, or grapefruit juice, for one week prior to the start of each study day or during the study day without the knowledge of the study investigators. You will not have any beverages that contain alcohol or caffeine for 48 hours before each treatment day. Examples of caffeine containing beverages include coffee, tea, colas (e.g. Pepsi and Coke), and Mountain Dew.

You will be admitted to the GCRC on the morning of each study visit. You will have an ECG and a nurse will take your blood pressure and pulse. You will be asked to complete the BDI questionnaire about your feelings and emotions. You will give a urine sample to screen for the use of street drugs. A positive test result for either cocaine or heroin will end your participation in the study. A trained nurse will place a plastic tube in a vein in each of your arms so that the study drug or placebo can be infused during the study and to get blood samples during the study. If you are uncertain about whether or not you have metal fragments in your body, you will be asked to undergo an X-ray of that area of your body to be certain that such metal fragments are not present before you undergo the MRI scan. You will be asked to sign a separate consent form for this X-ray study.

You will be taken to the Magnetic Resonance Research Center (MRRC) at the UPMC Presbyterian University Hospital, B-Wing on the 8th floor. You will complete a safety questionnaire, which will be reviewed with the MRRC staff. A magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) system will be used to make an image of your brain. The MRI will take place at the MRRC. MRI is widely used in routine clinical practice. MRI works on the principle of magnetism in atoms. The MRI device uses a strong magnet and radiowaves to obtain a picture of the brain anatomy. Because of the powerful magnet, you will be instructed to remove all jewelry and other metal-containing objects before entering the scan room. In the scan room you will lie on a narrow bed with a plastic-encased metal coil close to your head. The bed slides into a small tunnel about 6 feet long. During the scan you may hear loud, knocking or banging sounds. You will be in the tunnel for about one hour. You will be asked to lie very still during the scan. While in the tunnel, you will perform tasks, which involves looking at shapes and faces and pressing a button with your index finger. The technician running the MRI scan will be able to hear you at all times. If you need to stop you can simply say so and the scan will be stopped.

During the MRI, you will receive an infusion of either placebo (normal saline solution) or the drug citalopram (20 mg) through the tube in the vein of one of your arms. In the pill form,
Citalopram (Celexa®) is approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for the treatment of depression. In intravenous (IV) form, citalopram is an “investigational” drug. This means that the IV form is not approved for general use by the FDA. Citalopram stimulates the function of serotonin (a naturally occurring chemical in the brain) and the release of hormones into the blood, such as prolactin. Hormone levels in the blood after taking citalopram are thought to be related to the amount of serotonin in the brain.

Small amounts of blood will be taken through the tube in the vein of your other arm at multiples times throughout the scan to measure drug and hormone (prolactin and cortisol) levels. Because they are taken through the tube in your vein, they do not require additional needle sticks. These small amounts of blood will be taken six times during each scan. After the scan, you will return to the GCRC to be monitored and you will be offered lunch. Five more blood samples will be taken over approximately five hours. After the last sample, the tubes will be removed from your arms. A total of about 22 tablespoons of blood (less than a standard Red Cross blood donation) will be removed from your body during the screening visit and the two study visits.

After each of the study days, prior to being discharged, you will have an ECG and a nurse will take your blood pressure and pulse. You will complete the BDI questionnaire about your feelings and emotions, and another questionnaire about your physical feelings. You will be asked the question, “Since you received the study medication, have you noticed anything unusual?” During the week after each of the treatment days, you will receive a phone call from one of the study investigators and the same question will be asked. You will also be asked to complete the questionnaires about your emotional and physical feelings over the phone. We are asking you questions about your feelings and emotions to make sure you are not feeling depressed or having thoughts about suicide.

The investigators of this study will have access to the data and blood samples that you provided as part of your participation in FIGS. Your data from FIGS (e.g. questionnaires, laboratory tests, medical histories, assessments, etc.) will be combined in a database for use in this study.

Your genetic sample will be stored in Dr. Robert Ferrell’s lab at the University of Pittsburgh, Graduate School of Public Health. If you previously participated in FIGS or Neuroimaging Markers of Vulnerability to Depression studies, the investigators listed on the first page of this form will have access to the data and blood samples that were previously provided. Your sample will be labeled by a study code, which will not contain any personal identifiers. Access to the samples is strictly limited to Dr. Ferrell and his staff. Ms. Bigos, the Principal Investigator (PI) of this study, will assume overall responsibility for the samples. You may request that your sample be destroyed. To do so, you must send your request in writing to Ms. Bigos at the address listed on the front page of this form. Your sample will be used for the analysis of genetic (inherited) differences in areas of the brain associated with mood and feeling. At this time, the genetic testing to be conducted cannot yet be interpreted or applied to determine ways to prevent or treat mood or anxiety disorders. Therefore, the results of the genetic analyses will not be provided to you, nor will they be placed in your medical record.
Your research records and any information resulting from the analysis of your genetic material will be stored in the computerized database and identified by a study code. A master list linking you code number with your name will be kept in a secured and locked location separate from this information. Hard copies of your research records will be kept in locked filing cabinets in locked offices.

The use of your research records, biological sample and genetic material will be under control of the Principal Investigator (PI) of this research project, Kristin Bigos. Ms. Bigos may release your research records, biological sample or genetic material to other qualified investigators for other studies related to mood and/or anxiety disorders. These items will be always provided in de-identified form, i.e. with all personal identifiers removed (e.g. name, social security number, birth date, etc.).

**What are the possible risks, side effects, and discomforts of this study?**

As with any experimental procedure, there may be adverse events or side effects that are currently unknown, and certain of these unknown risks could be permanent, severe, or life-threatening.

**Risks of the Study Drug**

Citalopram is widely used in the U.S. and other countries to treat depression and generally causes few problems. No serious or life-threatening side effects have been reported during the use of IV citalopram. Based upon our experience to date with these procedures, we expect that you may experience the following side effects during the test:

- **Likely adverse events** (more than 25%, or more than 25 out of 100 persons): lightheadedness, and feeling tired.

- **Common adverse events** (10% to 25%, or from 10 to 25 out of 100 persons): nausea, loss of appetite, difficulty concentrating, low energy/fatigue, and headache.

- **Infrequent adverse events** (1% to 10%, or from 1 to 10 out of 100 persons): vomiting, shaky, heart racing, sweating, dry mouth, and hungry.

- **Rare adverse events** (<1%, or less than 1 in 100 persons): diarrhea, discomfort in the chest, ECG (electrocardiogram) changes, stiff neck, and increase in blood pressure.

A physician and emergency drugs and equipment will be readily available should you experience any adverse reactions from administration of the study drug. When they occur, these side effects usually last 30 to 90 minutes and usually resolve by the end of the appointment. Citalopram can also cause symptoms during the next 24 hours. These side effects can last for several hours and include:
Likely adverse events (more than 25%, or more than 25 out of 100 persons): none.

Common adverse events (10% to 25% or from 10 to 25 out of 100 persons): lightheadedness, nausea, diarrhea, loss of appetite, difficulty concentrating, low energy/fatigue, and headache.

Infrequent adverse events (1% to 10% or from 1 to 10 out of 100 persons): dry mouth, shaky, trouble sleeping, heart racing, short-tempered, and sweating.

Rare adverse events (<1%, or less than 1 in 100 persons): none.

If at any time you have a question about the study, please call the principal investigator, Kristin Bigos, at 412-480-3933. After leaving the hospital, if you feel sick, or experience any of the above side effects, Dr. Aizenstein can be reached at 412-867-8121 (24-hour emergency number). Recently the risk of suicide has been suggested to be associated with chronic use of antidepressants. It is possible, however unlikely, that a single dose of IV citalopram will cause you to become depressed or suicidal. If you become sad or depressed, or have thoughts of suicide, please call Dr. Aizenstein at the number listed above. If you become depressed, you will be removed from the study and referred to a psychiatrist. If at any time, you have thoughts of suicide, you will be referred to the emergency department at Western Psychiatric Institute and Clinic.

RISKS OF THE MRI

There are risks associated with exposure of magnetic waves during MR imaging in a 1.5T scanner. There is a potential risk of heart rhythm disturbances in patients who have previous heart rhythm abnormalities or in patients who have certain types of heart pacemakers. There is the potential risk related to the machine itself attracting metal. Therefore, if you have metal within your body (e.g. pacemakers) you will be excluded from the study. Subjects with dental fillings can be studied without risks. Some people become claustrophobic (highly fearful of the small space) while in the scanning machine. People with claustrophobia may find this procedure uncomfortable as it involves having one's head confined to a relatively small space. If you experience such a sensation, the staff will stop the procedure immediately and quickly remove you from the scanner. You may experience muscle aches and fatigue from lying still for the MRI scan.

RISKS OF THE BLOOD TESTS

There are risks associated with needle insertion for catheter (small plastic tube) placement and blood draws and blood loss. There is a rare [occurs in less than 1% of people (less than 1 out of 100 people)] risk of infection associated with catheter placement in your vein. Common side effects [occurs in 1% to 25% of people (1 to 25 out of 100 people)] include bruising, mild bleeding, or soreness, similar to the effects of any type of needle insertion. Blood loss can result in a temporary feeling of lightheadedness or dizziness. There is no risk of anemia in a healthy person with the amounts of blood drawn in this study. Drinking fluids is encouraged after the study to help replace fluid lost due to blood draws, and your body should replace the blood lost
over a few days following the study. There is a rare risk [occurs in less than 1% of people (less than 1 out of 100 people)] of fainting due to the blood draws.

**Risks of Genetic Testing**

There is a possible risk that if the results of the research studies involving genetic material were to become generally known, this information could impact future insurability, employability, reproductive plans, or have a negative impact on family relationships.

**Other Risks**

Some of the questions in the initial interviews may be painful or uncomfortable for you to answer, and you may refuse to answer any specific questions or to discontinue the interview at any time.

There is a potential for a breach of confidentiality, which could impact future insurability, employability, or reproductive plans, or could have a negative impact on family relationships, and/or result in paternity suits or stigmatization.

*What are the possible benefits of my participation in this research study?*

You will not receive any direct benefit as a result of your participation. However, information obtained may improve our knowledge and treatment of mood and anxiety disorders and this knowledge may benefit patients with these disorders in the future.

*If I agree to take part in this research study, will I be told of any new risks that may be found during the course of the study?*

The personal results of this research study will not be provided to you because the data cannot yet be interpreted or applied in a clinically relevant or meaningful manner. You will be promptly notified if, during the course of this research study, any new information develops, which may cause you to change your mind about continuing to participate.

*Will I or my insurance provider be charged for my participation in this research study?*

Neither you, nor your insurance provider, will be charged for the costs of any of the procedures performed for the purpose of this research study (i.e., the Screening Procedures or Experimental Procedures described above). The study will pay for the research only costs.

*Will I be paid if I take part in this research study?*

You will receive up to $225 for completion of this study. This includes $25 for completion of the screening procedures, and $100 for the completion of each of the two MRI study visits. If you do not complete all of the study procedures, you will be paid only for those that you have
completed (e.g. if you complete screening procedures, but are not eligible for the study you will receive $25 total for your participation). In addition, any parking fees related to your participation in this study will be paid for by the study.

Who will pay if I am injured as a result of my participation in this research study?

University of Pittsburgh researchers and their associates who provide services at the University of Pittsburgh Medical Center (UPMC) recognize the importance of your voluntary participation in their research studies. These individuals and their staffs will make reasonable efforts to minimize, control, and treat injuries that may arise as a result of this research. If you believe that you are injured as a result of the research procedures being performed, please contact immediately the Principal Investigator listed on the first page of this form.

Emergency medical treatment for injuries solely and directly related to your participation in this research study will be provided to you by the hospitals of the UPMC. It is possible that the UPMC may bill your insurance provider for the costs of this emergency treatment, but none of these costs will be charged directly to you. If your research-related injury requires medical care beyond this emergency treatment, you will be responsible for the costs of this follow-up care unless otherwise specifically stated below. There is no plan for monetary compensation. You do not, however, waive any legal rights by signing this form.

Who will know about my participation in this research study?

Any information about you obtained from or for this research study will be kept as confidential (private) as possible. Your biological samples will be stored in a secure laboratory in a locked freezer. This sample will be labeled with a study code, not your name. Your research records and any information resulting from the analysis of your genetic material will also be identified by your study code. Your records will be stored in locked file cabinets and all data will be kept in properly secured computer databases. A master list linking you study code number with your name will be kept in a secured and locked location separate from your sample and study data. You will not be identified by name in any publication of the research results unless you sign a separate consent form giving your permission (release).

Will this research involve the use or disclosure of my medical record information?

This research study will not involve the recording of current and/or future identifiable information from your hospital and/or physician’s office records.

Who will have access to my identifiable information related to my participation in this research study?

In addition to the investigators listed on the first page of this authorization (consent) form and their research staff, the following individuals will or may have access to identifiable information
(which may include your identifiable medical record information) related to your participation in this research study:

Authorized representatives of the University of Pittsburgh Research Conduct and Compliance Office may review your identifiable research information (which may include your identifiable medical record information) for the purpose of monitoring the appropriate conduct of this research study.

In unusual cases, the investigators may be required to release identifiable information (which may include your identifiable medical record information) related to your participation in this research study in response to an order from a court of law. If the investigators learn that you or someone with whom you are involved is in serious danger or potential harm, they will need to inform, as required by Pennsylvania law, the appropriate agencies (e.g. Allegheny County Office of Children Youth and Families, local authorities).

Authorized representatives of the National Institute of Mental Health (NIMH) may review and/or obtain identifiable information (which may include your identifiable medical record information) related to your participation in this research study for the purpose of monitoring the accuracy of the research data. While the NIMH understands the importance of maintaining the confidentiality of your identifiable research and medical record information, the University of Pittsburgh and UPMC cannot guarantee the confidentiality of this information after it has been obtained by the NIMH.

Authorized representatives of the U.S. Food and Drug Administration may review and/or obtain identifiable information (which may include your identifiable medical record information) related to your participation for regulatory oversight of the radiotracers used in this research study. While the U.S. Food and Drug Administration understands the importance of maintaining the confidentiality of your identifiable research and medical record information, the University of Pittsburgh and UPMC cannot guarantee the confidentiality of this information after it has been obtained by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration.

Authorized representatives of UPMC hospitals or other affiliated health care providers may have access to identifiable information (which may include your identifiable medical record information) related to your participation in this research study for the purpose of (1) fulfilling orders, made by the investigators, for hospital and health care services (e.g., laboratory tests, diagnostic procedures) associated with research study participation; (2) addressing correct payment for tests and procedures ordered by the investigators; and/or (3) for internal hospital operations (i.e. quality assurance).

For how long will the investigators be permitted to use and disclose identifiable information related to my participation in this research study?

The investigators may continue to use and disclose, for the purposes described above, identifiable information (which may include your identifiable medical information) related to
your participation in this research study indefinitely. Per University policy, researchers are required to maintain research records for a period of at least five (5) years, after which these records may be destroyed.

**May I have access to my medical record information that results from my participation in this research study?**

This research study will result in identifiable information that will be placed into your medical records held at the University of Pittsburgh Medical Center. The nature of the identifiable information resulting from your participation in this research study that will be recorded in your medical record includes only laboratory tests from the screening visit.

**Is my participation in this research study voluntary?**

Your participation in this research study to include the use and disclosure of your identifiable information for the purposes described above, is completely voluntary. (Note, however, that if you do not provide your consent for the use and disclosure of your identifiable information for the purposes described above, you will not be allowed, in general, to participate in the research study.) Whether or not you provide your consent for participation in this research study will have no effect on your current or future relationship with the University of Pittsburgh. Whether or not you provide your consent for participation in this research study will have no effect on your current or future medical care at a UPMC hospital or affiliated health care provider or your current or future relationship with a health care insurance provider.

**May I withdraw, at a future date, my consent for participation in this research study?**

You may withdraw, at any time, your consent for participation in this research study, to include the use and disclosure of your identifiable information for the purposes described above. (Note, however, that if you withdraw your consent for the use and disclosure of your identifiable information for the purposes described above, you will also be withdrawn, in general, from further participation in this research study.) Any identifiable research or medical record information recorded for, or resulting from, your participation in this research study prior to the date that you formally withdrew your consent may continue to be used and disclosed by the investigators for the purposes described above.

To formally withdraw your consent for participation in this research study you should provide a written and dated notice of this decision to the principal investigator of this research study at the address listed on the first page of this form.

Your decision to withdraw your consent for participation in this research study will have no effect on your current or future relationship with the University of Pittsburgh. Your decision to withdraw your consent for participation in this research study will have no effect on your current or future medical care at a UPMC hospital or affiliated health care provider or your current or future relationship with a health care insurance provider.
VOLUNTARY CONSENT

All of the above has been explained to me and all of my current questions have been answered. I understand that I am encouraged to ask questions about any aspect of this research study during the course of the study, and that such future questions will be answered by the researchers listed on the first page of this form. Any questions I have about my rights as a research participant will be answered by the Human Subject Protection Advocate at the University of Pittsburgh IRB Office (1-866-212-2668).

By signing this form, I agree to participate in this research study. A copy of this consent form will be given to me.

Participant’s Name (print)

Participant’s Signature Date/Time

CERTIFICATION OF INFORMED CONSENT

I certify that I have explained the nature and purpose of this research study to the above-named individual(s), and I have discussed the potential benefits and possible risks of study participation. Any questions the individual(s) have about this study have been answered, and we will always be available to address future questions as they arise.

Physician Investigator’s Signature Date/Time
ADDENDUM CONSENT FORM: ADDITIONAL X-RAY EXAM FOR MRI STUDY

Title: Pharmacodynamics of IV Citalopram Using Functional MRI

Principal Investigator: Kristin L. Bigos, B.S.
Doctoral Candidate, Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences
University of Pittsburgh School of Pharmacy
806 Salk Hall, 3501 Terrace Street, Pittsburgh, PA 15261
(412) 648-9436

Co-Investigators:
Bruce G. Pollock, M.D., Ph.D.
Professor of Psychiatry, Pharmacology, and Pharmaceutical Sciences
University of Pittsburgh School of Medicine
E833 WPIC, 3811 O’Hara Street
Pittsburgh, PA 15213
(412) 246-6274

Robert R. Ferrell, Ph.D.
Professor of Human Genetics
University of Pittsburgh
Graduate School of Public Health
A304 Crabtree Hall, 130 DeSoto Street
Pittsburgh, PA 15261
(412) 624-3018

Robert R. Bies, Pharm.D., Ph.D.
Assistant Professor of Pharmaceutical Sciences and Psychiatry
University of Pittsburgh School of Pharmacy
805 Salk Hall, 3501 Terrace Street
Pittsburgh, PA 15261
(412) 648-8430

Ahmad R. Hariri, Ph.D.
Assistant Professor of Psychiatry
University of Pittsburgh School of Medicine
E729 WPIC, 3811 O’Hara Street
Pittsburgh, PA 15213
(412) 246-5879

Howard J. Aizenstein, M.D., Ph.D.
Assistant Professor of Psychiatry
University of Pittsburgh School of Medicine
E721 WPIC, 3811 O’Hara Street
Pittsburgh, PA 15213
(412) 586-9237

Source of Support: National Institute of Mental Health (NIMH) MH65416 and MH076420
DESCRIPTION

You have consented to participate in a research study entitled “Pharmacodynamics of IV Citalopram using Functional MRI.” As addressed in the consent form for this research study, you have agreed to undergo a brain imaging procedure called Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI). The MRI device uses a strong magnet to obtain a picture of the brain. Because of the powerful magnet, metal objects within your body could move, and this movement could result in your injury. Based on the medical or occupational history that you have provided, there is a possibility that some foreign metal object(s) may be present in your body or around your eyes. In order to determine whether any foreign metal exists within your body, you will need to have an additional X-ray exam prior to receiving the MRI study.

The additional X-ray exam involves exposure to radiation. The maximum amount of radiation exposure that you will receive from the additional X-ray exam is approximately 0.3 rem (a unit of radiation exposure) to the area of the body evaluated with minimal exposure of other areas of your body. For comparison, the amount of radiation exposure you will receive from this X-ray exam is a small fraction (about 1-2 %) of the annual radiation exposure (20 rems) permitted to the most sensitive organs of radiation workers by federal regulations. There is no minimal level of radiation exposure that is recognized as being totally free of the risk of causing genetic mutations (abnormal cells) or cancer. However, the risk associated with the amount of radiation exposure that you will receive from this additional X-ray exam is considered to be low and comparable to everyday risks.

COSTS AND PAYMENTS

There are no costs related to participation in the additional X-ray exam. You will not be paid to participate in the additional X-ray exam.
I understand that, in order for me to have the MRI study and continue to participate in the main research study, I will need to have the additional X-ray exam. I understand that I may refuse to participate in the additional X-ray exam, which will also result in my withdrawal from the main research study. As addressed in the consent form for the main research study, I understand that such refusal to participate in the additional X-ray exam will have no effect on my current or future medical care or any other benefits to which I am otherwise entitled.

VOLUNTARY CONSENT

I certify that I have read the proceeding, or it has been read to me, and I understand its contents. Any questions that I have pertaining to this additional X-ray exam and/or the main research study have been, and will continue to be, answered by the investigators listed on the first page of the consent form for the main research study at the telephone numbers given. Any questions I have about my rights as a research participant will be answered by the Human Subject Protection Advocate at the University of Pittsburgh IRB Office (866-212-2668).

By signing this form, I agree to participate in this additional X-ray exam. A copy of this signed addendum will be given to me.

Participant’s Name (print)

__________________________________  __________________
Participant’s Signature    Date/Time

CERTIFICATION OF INFORMED CONSENT

I certify that I have explained the nature and purpose of this additional X-ray exam to the above-named individual(s), and I have discussed the potential benefits and possible risks of study participation. Any questions the individual(s) have about this additional X-ray exam have been answered, and we will always be available to address future questions as they arise.

___________________________________  ____________________
Physician Investigator’s Signature   Date/Time
APPENDIX C

Pharmacodynamics of IV Citalopram Using Functional MRI
Flowsheet
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protocol Time</th>
<th>Clock Time</th>
<th>Drug/Placebo Infusion</th>
<th>MRI</th>
<th>Blood Samples</th>
<th>Monitoring</th>
<th>Vitals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Admission</td>
<td></td>
<td>Subject admitted to GCRC. Collect urine for drug screen________</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No drugs or GF juice for 1 wk. No EtOH or caffeine for 48 h________</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ECG _______</td>
<td>BP _______</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Insert IV catheters in each forearm________</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BDI _______</td>
<td>HR _______</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Subject escorted to the MRRC. Negative drug screen________</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MR safety__</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-23 min</td>
<td></td>
<td>Structural scan________</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8 min</td>
<td></td>
<td>FACES 1________</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 min</td>
<td>Start infusion</td>
<td>10 ml purple top________</td>
<td>5 ml red top________</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 min</td>
<td>TAP________</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 min</td>
<td></td>
<td>10 ml purple top________</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 min</td>
<td></td>
<td>FACES 2________</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 min</td>
<td></td>
<td>10 ml purple top________</td>
<td>5 ml red top________</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 min</td>
<td>TAP________</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 min</td>
<td></td>
<td>10 ml purple top________</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22 min</td>
<td>FACES 3________</td>
<td>10 ml purple top________</td>
<td>5 ml red top________</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 min</td>
<td>Stop infusion</td>
<td>10 ml purple top________</td>
<td>5 ml red top________</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 min</td>
<td>TAP________</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36 min</td>
<td></td>
<td>10 ml purple top________</td>
<td></td>
<td>BP _______</td>
<td>HR _______</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45 min</td>
<td></td>
<td>10 ml purple top________</td>
<td>5 ml red top________</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60 min</td>
<td></td>
<td>10 ml purple top________</td>
<td>5 ml red top________</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Subject escorted back to GCRC and served LUNCH________</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90 min</td>
<td></td>
<td>10 ml purple top________</td>
<td>5 ml red top________</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150 min</td>
<td></td>
<td>10 ml purple top________</td>
<td>5 ml red top________</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>360 min</td>
<td></td>
<td>10 ml purple top________</td>
<td></td>
<td>ECG _______</td>
<td>BDI _______</td>
<td>BP _______</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
APPENDIX D

Citalopram Symptom Checklist
## Citalopram Symptom Checklist

SN _____________       Date ___________

**Since the last questionnaire:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Not at all</th>
<th>A little</th>
<th>Some</th>
<th>A lot</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Loss of appetite</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Tired</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Lightheadedness / Feeling faint</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Nausea</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Vomiting</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td></td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Headache</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Tense / Nervous / On edge / Restless</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Difficulty concentrating</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Shaky / Tremors</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Heart racing</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Sweating</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Diarrhea</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. Short tempered / Irritable</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. Happy</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15. Energetic</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16. Low energy / Fatigued</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17. Dry mouth</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18. Other ______________________</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
APPENDIX E

Functional MRI Run Sheet
**PHARMACODYNAMICS OF IV CITALOPRAM USING FUNCTIONAL MRI**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Exam No.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**SCANNING SEQUENCES FOR fMRI**

NB - Right and Left Glove Box are needed for the tap task. For the faces task only the right glove box is needed.

**Series 1,2,3 – Localizers**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plane</th>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>PSD</th>
<th>TE</th>
<th>TR</th>
<th>FOV</th>
<th>Slice/Gap</th>
<th>#sli</th>
<th>Matrix</th>
<th>NEX</th>
<th>Freq Dir</th>
<th>Auto Shim</th>
<th>Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cor</td>
<td>2D</td>
<td>SE</td>
<td>Min</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>24 X 24</td>
<td>5/1</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>256 x 128</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>SI</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>00:57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ax Obl</td>
<td>2D</td>
<td>SE</td>
<td>Min</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>24 x 24</td>
<td>5/1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>256 x 128</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>AP</td>
<td>SI</td>
<td>00:57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sag Obl</td>
<td>2D</td>
<td>SE</td>
<td>Min</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>24 x 24</td>
<td>5/1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>256 x 192</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>SI</td>
<td>SI</td>
<td>00:57</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Series 4 – In Plane Structural – position the 27th slice on the AC-PC line (11th from the bottom)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plane</th>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>PSD</th>
<th>TE</th>
<th>TR</th>
<th>FOV</th>
<th>Slice/Gap</th>
<th>#sli</th>
<th>Matrix</th>
<th>NEX</th>
<th>Freq Dir</th>
<th>Auto Shim</th>
<th>Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ax Obl</td>
<td>2D</td>
<td>SE</td>
<td>MF</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>24 x 24</td>
<td>3.8/0</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>256 x 192</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>AP</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>3:28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Series 5 – Coronal 3D SPGR**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plane</th>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>PSD</th>
<th>TE</th>
<th>TR</th>
<th>Flip</th>
<th>FOV</th>
<th>Slice/Gap</th>
<th>#sli</th>
<th>Matrix</th>
<th>NEX</th>
<th>Freq Dir</th>
<th>Options</th>
<th>Auto Shim</th>
<th>Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Coronal</td>
<td>3D</td>
<td>SPGR</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>24 x 18</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>256 x 192</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>AP</td>
<td>3/4 FOV</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>7:44</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For Faces Task remove 7 slices from the top and 2 slices from the bottom (9 slices total) of the in-plane structural for 28 slices total.

For the Tap Task remove 11 slices from the structural such that brain coverage is maximized.
### Series 6 – Axial spiral fMRI – Faces #1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plane</th>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>PSD</th>
<th>TE</th>
<th>TR</th>
<th>Flip</th>
<th>FOV</th>
<th>Slice/Gap</th>
<th>#sli</th>
<th>Matrix</th>
<th>NEX</th>
<th>Freq Dir</th>
<th>Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Axial</td>
<td>2D</td>
<td>splx91_1</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>3.8/0</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>64 x 64</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>RL</td>
<td>6:34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CV’s - # interleaves= 1, #temporal frames= 195, do field map= 1, #disdaqs= 2, recon size=64, reverse spiral= 1
3D acquisition= 0, phase encodes for 3D= 1

### Series 7 – Forward spiral fMRI – Tap Task #1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plane</th>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>PSD</th>
<th>TE</th>
<th>TR</th>
<th>Flip</th>
<th>FOV</th>
<th>Slice/Gap</th>
<th>#sli</th>
<th>Matrix</th>
<th>NEX</th>
<th>Freq Dir</th>
<th>Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ax Obl</td>
<td>2D</td>
<td>splxcnv4_1</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>3.8/0</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>64 x 64</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>RL</td>
<td>6:30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CV’s - # interleaves= 1, #temporal frames= 193, do field map= 1, #disdaqs= 2, recon size=64, reverse spiral= 0
3D acquisition= 0, phase encodes for 3D= 1

### Series 8 – Axial spiral fMRI - Faces #2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plane</th>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>PSD</th>
<th>TE</th>
<th>TR</th>
<th>Flip</th>
<th>FOV</th>
<th>Slice/Gap</th>
<th>#sli</th>
<th>Matrix</th>
<th>NEX</th>
<th>Freq Dir</th>
<th>Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Axial</td>
<td>2D</td>
<td>splx91_1</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>3.8/0</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>64 x 64</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>RL</td>
<td>6:34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CV’s - # interleaves= 1, #temporal frames= 195 do field map= 1, #disdaqs= 2, recon size=64, reverse spiral= 1
3D acquisition= 0, phase encodes for 3D= 1

### Series 9 – Forward spiral fMRI – Tap Task #2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plane</th>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>PSD</th>
<th>TE</th>
<th>TR</th>
<th>Flip</th>
<th>FOV</th>
<th>Slice/Gap</th>
<th>#sli</th>
<th>Matrix</th>
<th>NEX</th>
<th>Freq Dir</th>
<th>Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ax Obl</td>
<td>2D</td>
<td>splxcnv4_1</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>3.8/0</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>64 x 64</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>RL</td>
<td>6:30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CV’s - # interleaves= 1, #temporal frames= 193 do field map= 1, #disdaqs= 2, recon size=64, reverse spiral= 0
3D acquisition= 0, phase encodes for 3D= 1
Series 10 – Axial spiral fMRI - Faces #3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plane</th>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>PSD</th>
<th>TE</th>
<th>TR</th>
<th>Flip</th>
<th>FOV</th>
<th>Slice/Gap</th>
<th>#sli</th>
<th>Matrix</th>
<th>NEX</th>
<th>Freq Dir</th>
<th>Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Axial</td>
<td>2D</td>
<td>splx91_1</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>3.8/0</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>64 x 64</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>RL</td>
<td>(1) 6:34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CV’s - # interleaves= 1, #temporal frames= 195, do field map= 1, #disdaqs= 2, recon size=64, reverse spiral= 1
3D acquisition= 0, phase encodes for 3D= 1

Series 11 – Forward spiral fMRI – Tap Task #3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plane</th>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>PSD</th>
<th>TE</th>
<th>TR</th>
<th>Flip</th>
<th>FOV</th>
<th>Slice/Gap</th>
<th>#sli</th>
<th>Matrix</th>
<th>NEX</th>
<th>Freq Dir</th>
<th>Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ax Obl</td>
<td>2D</td>
<td>splxcnv4_1</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>3.8/0</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>64 x 64</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>RL</td>
<td>6:30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CV’s - # interleaves= 1, #temporal frames= 193, do field map= 1, #disdaqs= 2, recon size=64, reverse spiral= 0
3D acquisition= 0, phase encodes for 3D= 1
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