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This dissertation considers the artistic patronage of Dalmau de Mur i de Cervelló (1376–1456), a high-ranking Catalan prelate little known outside Spain. As Bishop of Girona (1416–1419), Archbishop of Tarragona (1419–1431) and Archbishop of Zaragoza (1431–1456), Dalmau de Mur commissioned and acquired works of art, including illuminated manuscripts, panel paintings, sculpted altarpieces, metalwork and tapestries. Many of these objects survive, including two remarkable tapestries depicting the Passion of Christ that he bequeathed to Zaragoza Cathedral upon his death in 1456. Surviving primary documents, particularly Dalmau de Mur’s testament and the Cathedral inventory of 1521, show that his collection was still more significant.

A major part of the dissertation is a study of the style and iconography of the Passion of Christ tapestries at Zaragoza Cathedral. They were woven in the French northern counties of Flanders or Artois in the early fifteenth century. Technically, they are among the earliest surviving examples of tapestry that comprise silk, silver and gold threads. Furthermore, they are the only surviving Franco-Flemish tapestries to have been imported into an ecclesiastical collection in Spain. Dalmau de Mur’s acquisition marks the beginning of an important phase of the artistic exchange between northern and southern Europe that would culminate in the patronage of the “Catholic Kings” later in the fifteenth century.
The Zaragoza tapestries are also the oldest extant tapestries that represent the *Passion of Christ*. Consequently, they provide a rare insight into the treatment of *Passion* iconography in the rich and expensive medium of luxury tapestry during the early fifteenth century. An extensive iconographic survey reveals that the designers of the Zaragoza *Passion* tapestries were influenced by a select group of objects owned by the leading patrons of art in France—King Charles V and his brothers, the Dukes of Berry, Burgundy and Anjou. Stylistic criteria confirm that the designers of the Zaragoza tapestries were French or Flemish artists who either worked for the French royal court or knew the objects produced by French court artists.
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NOTE TO READER

Due to copyright restrictions, the author has only reproduced photographs of the two Passion tapestries in the collection of Zaragoza Cathedral. In most cases, bibliographic sources are cited that include figure numbers; however, in the instances when the author found a photograph of an illumination in a photo archive, she has provided only the manuscript and folio numbers. The reader should contact the author at kdimitroff@hotmail.com if specific references are needed when none is cited.

ABBREVIATIONS

The following is a list of abbreviations of the archives mentioned in the text:

ACA Archivo de la Corona de Aragón
ACCG Arxiu Capitular de Catedral de Girona
ACCV Archivo Capitular de la Catedral de Valencia
ACSZ Archivo Capitular de la Seo de Zaragoza
ADCT Arxiu Diocesà de la Catedral de Tarragona
ADG Arxiu Diocesà de Girona
ADZ Archivo Diocesano de Zaragoza
ADPZ Archivo Diputación Provincial de Zaragoza
AHPZ Archivo Histórico de Protocolos de Zaragoza
BCAH Biblioteca de Catalunya Arxiu Històric
INTRODUCTION: ARCHBISHOP DALMAU DE MUR AND ARTISTIC PATRONAGE IN MEDIEVAL SPAIN

In mid-September 1456, the executors of the Archbishop of Zaragoza, Dalmau de Mur (1431–1456), opened and read last will and testament. This document, which survives as a late sixteenth-century copy, reveals that by the time of his death Dalmau de Mur had amassed a considerable collection of luxury works of art that included tapestries, illuminated manuscripts and panel paintings. Additionally, Dalmau de Mur bequeathed an assortment of items—silver, rings, gems, books, candelabra, gold crosses, a gold chalice, and numerous liturgical vestments—to various religious institutions.

The objects recorded in Dalmau de Mur’s testament complement several other surviving works of art—not listed in his testament—that were commissioned and/or acquired while he served as Bishop of Girona (1416–1419), Archbishop of Tarragona (1419–1431) and Archbishop

---

1 Dalmau de Mur died in the days following September 12, 1456, since the wording in his testament on folio 454 (Adbeniente autem et post die duodecima mensis septembris anni) and folio 457 (Subsequenti autem die quartadecima dicti mensis septembris anno), is not totally clear on which day the archbishop actually died. The testament is housed in Zaragoza, Archivo de la Diputación Provincial de Zaragoza [hereafter ADZP], MS 164. Dalmau’s testament is fifty-eight pages long (29 folios), spanning from folio 431–459v (a second system of foliation also exists, ranging from folios 258–286v). Three pages of excerpts were published by Manuel Serrano y Sanz, “Documentos relativos a la pintura en Aragón durante el siglo XV,” Revista de Archivos, Bibliotecas y Museos 32 (January–June, 1915): 147–166 at 151–153, no. 13. A full transcription of the testament (with several errors) was published by Antonio Durán Gudiol and María Carmen Lacarra Ducay, “El Testamento de Don Dalmau de Mur y Cervelló, Arzobispo de Zaragoza (1431–1456): nuevas observaciones,” Aragonia Sacra 11 (1996): 49–62.

2 Currently, some of these works of art are found in collections in Spain, while it is unknown if the others survive. For those objects that remain untraced, I conducted an extensive search through photo archives (such as Archivo Mas at the Instituto Ametller in Barcelona), books and exhibition catalogs with the objective to identify objects once owned by Dalmau de Mur. I was successful in only one instance, finding a dalmatic that is described in Chapter III.
of Zaragoza (1431–1456). These include the large sculpted altarpieces for Tarragona Cathedral, Zaragoza Cathedral and the Archbishop’s Palace in Zaragoza, as well as the acquisition and commissioning of several illuminated manuscripts, not mentioned in his testament.

Surviving documentation sheds light on many of these commissions, offering valuable information such as the names of the artists contracted to do the work and how much they were paid. There also exists an inventory, taken in 1521, that lists the tapestries bequeathed to Zaragoza Cathedral by Archbishop de Mur and his successors. This document describes the subject matter and quantity of tapestries that each archbishop donated to Zaragoza Cathedral.

Dalmau de Mur i de Cervelló (1376–1456), also known as Dalmacio de Mur y Cervellón and Dalmatius de Muro,3 was born into an aristocratic Catalan family that had important ties to the religious and secular spheres of the late medieval kingdom of Aragón. For example, Pedro Martínez de Luna,4 an Aragonese noble, whose cousins married Dalmau de Mur’s uncle and brother, was a significant person in Dalmau’s life. Pedro Martínez de Luna became the well-known Anti-pope Benedict XIII (r.1394–1417), who was the anti-pope at the papal curia in Avignon and a great patron of the arts. He not only shaped Dalmau de Mur’s ecclesiastical career, but he also served as an exemplar of commissioning and collecting luxury works of art. An in-depth examination of Dalmau de Mur’s testament, the 1521 inventory from Zaragoza

3 In respect of the different cultural faces of Spain, the spellings of persons and places with Catalan names will remain in Catalan. Castilian equivalents will be provided, if needed, for clarification. By contrast, those persons and places from outside the autonomous community of Catalonia (typically from the kingdom of Castile and León or other parts of the kingdom of Aragón) will be given solely in Castilian; however, at times this approach becomes problematic. For instance, on the one hand there are instances when persons not native of Catalonia lived most of their lives in Catalonia, while on the other hand, there are examples of natives of Catalonia spending much of their lives outside of Catalonia, like Dalmau de Mur i de Cervelló. Because Catalonia was part of Aragón in the late Middle Ages, it can be argued that Castilian names for all should suffice, but because this dissertation mainly considers a patron from Catalonia, the Catalan language is preferred.

4 Chapter II explains in detail the Luna family’s important royal and religious connections. For instance, Pedro Martínez de Luna was related to the Queen of Aragón, María de Luna, who in mentioned in this chapter, see text corresponding to note 83.
Cathedral and his surviving works of art reveals that he was one of the leading Catalan prelates collecting and commissioning luxury works of art during the first half of the fifteenth century.

The primary objective of this dissertation is to introduce the person, Dalmau de Mur, as a significant ecclesiastical patron of the arts in late medieval Spain, to identify and analyze his collection, and to assess his role as a collector of luxury objects and as a patron of their production. I make a special study of two exceptionally large and imposing tapestries (each approximately H 4 x W 8 m. or H 13 ft. x W 27 ft.) that are preserved today in Zaragoza Cathedral (Figure 1 and Figure 2).

Figure 1. The Passion of Christ (first tapestry). Franco-Flanders, ca.1400–1410. Zaragoza, Museo de Tapices, Zaragoza Cathedral. © Institut Amatller d’Art Hispànic. Arxiu Mas.
The weavings have been dated to the first quarter of the fifteenth century and attributed on stylistic grounds to Arras. The tapestries were most probably woven in the French northern provinces of Flanders or Artois (in the Franco-Flanders region), since there is no documentary evidence as to the workshop of manufacture. The attribution comes from the following sources: Roger Adolf d’Hulst, *Tapisseries flamandes du XIVe au XVIIIe siècle* (Brussels: Éditions L’Arcade, 1960), 33–40, no. 5; Eduardo Torra de Arana, Antero Hombría Tortajada and Tomás Domingo Pérez, *Los tapices de la Seo de Zaragoza* (Zaragoza: Caja de Ahorros de la Inmaculada, 1985), 62–73, no. 1; Greet Ghyselen, “The Passion Tapestries of the Saragossa Cathedral and Pre-Eyckian Realism,” in *Flanders in a European Perspective: Manuscript Illumination around 1400 in Flanders and Abroad*, ed. Maurits Smeyers and Bert Cardon (Leuven: Peeters, 1995): 401–416. Also see note 6 below.

Without documentary evidence as to the workshop of manufacture, it is not possible to determine exactly where the tapestries were woven. The attribution comes from the following sources: Roger Adolf d’Hulst, *Tapisseries flamandes du XIVe au XVIIIe siècle* (Brussels: Éditions L’Arcade, 1960), 33–40, no. 5; Eduardo Torra de Arana, Antero Hombría Tortajada and Tomás Domingo Pérez, *Los tapices de la Seo de Zaragoza* (Zaragoza: Caja de Ahorros de la Inmaculada, 1985), 62–73, no. 1; Greet Ghyselen, “The Passion Tapestries of the Saragossa Cathedral and Pre-Eyckian Realism,” in *Flanders in a European Perspective: Manuscript Illumination around 1400 in Flanders and Abroad*, ed. Maurits Smeyers and Bert Cardon (Leuven: Peeters, 1995): 401–416. Also see note 6 below.

Use of the term “Franco-Flanders” remains problematic within the field of tapestry history. For decades scholars attempted to ascertain from which city a tapestry was woven based on inherent stylistic traits, proposing that each city maintained a distinct regional style (e.g., “style of Arras,” or “style of Tournai”). This may in fact be the case, but since the vast majority of tapestries lack any primary documentation to securely tie them to a specific city or workshop, scholars must be prudent with such attributions. Recognizing that much of the extant archival evidence does not link a tapestry with its original city of production, a broader terminology has been introduced by tapestry scholars, notably Adolph Salvatore Cavallo. In his monumental catalog of the medieval tapestries in the collection of the Metropolitan Museum of Art, *Medieval Tapestries in the Metropolitan Museum of Art* (1993), Cavallo utilizes the umbrella phrase “Southern Netherlands” to indicate the large region from which most tapestries were made during the end of the Middle Ages, including cities such as Arras, Bruges, Brussels, Ghent, Lille and Tournai. I, however, believe that the term “Southern Netherlands” dissociates (to a certain degree) the tapestry-producing
evidence that supports the attribution to an Arras workshop. The names of their designers and weavers remain unknown. The tapestries depict *The Story of the Passion of Christ* and are the oldest known tapestries of that subject to survive.\(^7\) Technically, they are among the earliest extant examples to incorporate precious materials—silk, silver and gold threads—that were added to the more usual, but still luxurious, dyed wool.\(^8\)

Both tapestries are in good condition.\(^9\) There are areas of reweaving in each tapestry, but they do not appear to compromise the integrity of the narrative or the compositional design.\(^10\)

region from its Burgundian history, since it was the Dukes of Burgundy who were among the greatest patrons of the industry. The term “Franco-Flanders” at least acknowledges that the county of Flanders was a fief of France and was under the rule of the Dukes of Burgundy from 1384 until 1477. Thus, I employ “Franco-Flanders” when referencing the region, for the lack of more suitable terminology. For more on this issue, see Walter Prevenier, Wim Blockmans, and Richard Vaughan, *The Burgundian Netherlands* (Cambridge/New York: Cambridge University Press, 1986), 9–46; Adolph Salvatore Cavallo, *Medieval Tapestries in the Metropolitan Museum of Art* (New York: Harry N. Abrams, 1993), 57–61; Lorne Campbell, *The Fifteenth Century Netherlandish Schools* (London/New Haven: National Gallery Publications/Yale University Press, 1998), 18–35; Thomas P. Campbell, *Tapestry in the Renaissance: Art and Magnificence* (New York/New Haven: Metropolitan Museum of Art/Yale University Press, 2002), 31–32, 47–48.

This statement is based on surviving Western European tapestries of *The Passion* that I have cataloged in order to determine the interest in and popularity of the subject in the tapestry medium. Emeritus Professor Guy Delmarcel (Katholieke Universiteit, Leuven), a leading scholar of Flemish tapestries, also confirms this statement. Professor Delmarcel met with me over the course of the 2004–2005 academic year to discuss the *Passion* tapestries at Zaragoza Cathedral. His willingness to share his vast knowledge of tapestries and his support of my doctoral thesis have been invaluable.

The following tapestries (contemporary in date to the creation of the Zaragoza *Passion* tapestries) contain different combinations of expensive materials, along with wool threads. The three tapestries that make up *Courtly Scenes* (Paris, ca.1400–1410 at Paris, Musée des arts décoratifs, inv. no. PE 601, 603, 604) use silk, silver and gold threads, see Elisabeth Taburet-Delahaye et al., *Paris 1400: les arts sous Charles VI* (Paris: Éditions de la Réunion des musées nationaux, 2004), 225–227, no. 135. Only silk and wool threads are found in the tapestry, *The Offering of the Heart* (Paris, ca.1400–1410 at Paris, Musée du Louvre, inv. no. OA 3131), see note 24 for bibliography. Wool with some metallic threads are observed in *The Annunciation* (Franco-Flemish region, ca.1410–1430 at New York, The Metropolitan Museum of Art, inv. no. 45.76), see note 556 where it is discussed in detail and bibliography is provided.

I viewed the tapestries first-hand on three separate trips to Zaragoza and made exhaustive but unsuccessful efforts to gain access to the condition reports on the two tapestries. Both tapestries were cleaned and conserved during the twentieth century. In 1956, the first tapestry of the set, which illustrates the *Passion* beginning with *Christ’s Entry into Jerusalem*, was shipped for cleaning and repairs to La Fundación Real Fábrica de Tapices in Madrid, [http://www.realfabricadetapices.com](http://www.realfabricadetapices.com) (20 April 2008), and then it was sent to La Fundación de Gremios in Madrid in 1995, the same year that the foundation closed. The second tapestry, which shows *The Crucifixion*, was cleaned and conserved at the De Wit Royal Manufactures of Tapestry in Mechelen, Belgium in 1997.
Their magnificent size and the incorporation of splendid materials made the cost of production extraordinarily high as well as the commission rare, in view of the fact that few patrons could afford such lavish works of art.

The Zaragoza Passion tapestries have 5–6 weft strings per centimeter, indicating the fineness of their quality and the technical skill of the weavers. It is estimated that a master weaver on average wove about one-half square meter of tapestry per month. The height of the tapestry determined the size of the loom—a tapestry measuring four meters in height would have been woven on a loom just over four meters wide. Tapestries often extended as far as ten meters in length, a size too large for a loom. As a result, tapestries were woven from left to right, not top to bottom, allowing for the length of the final tapestry to be enormous.

The Zaragoza Passion tapestries measure four meters in height, thus the loom was probably at least four meters wide, providing enough space for four weavers to work alongside each other (each weaver needed about a meter of space). If the four weavers together wove approximately two square meters per month it would have taken about sixteen months for each panel to be completed. This lengthy weaving process was in addition to the time necessary to commission and create the design template or model (petit patron in French, modello in Italian) for each of the tapestries, translate those designs into large-scale, colored or color-coded tapestry cartoons (patron in French, cartone in Italian), purchase the raw materials, dye the threads, make the threads of silk, silver and gold and finally, prepare the loom.

---

10 My observations of the areas of repair and reweaving remain approximate; they are not scientific evaluations. The tapestries remain continually on exhibition and thus conducting an in-depth analysis was difficult. They hang flush against the wall and the backsides of both are lined with linen, further restricting a thorough examination.

The total cost of a tapestry was determined by many factors, such as the quality of the design, the technical skills of the weavers, the number of tapestries in the set, their size and fineness, and the types of materials used. To fully appreciate the value of a tapestry it is necessary to explain the substantial increase in cost when sumptuous materials were added to the production. While a tapestry woven in wool alone was expensive, its cost increased significantly if the weaving included silk from Spain or Italy and/or silver- and gold-wrapped threads from Italy or Cyprus. Primary documentation from the sixteenth century, for instance, shows that a tapestry woven with some silk cost four times as much as a tapestry woven in only wool.\textsuperscript{12} If a tapestry included gold and/or silver metallic threads, the cost increased twenty-fold.\textsuperscript{13} The financial result could be staggering: in 1528, Henry VIII, King of England (r.1509–1547) purchased a large set of tapestries of \textit{The Story of David} for 1,500£, a price that equaled the cost of a battleship.\textsuperscript{14} Assuming that a comparable appraisal could be made in the late fourteenth and early fifteenth centuries for the cost increases of the inclusion of precious materials, it can be deduced that the price of the Zaragoza \textit{Passion} tapestries was extravagant because of their large size and their incorporation of silk, silver and gold threads.

In terms of tapestry design, several scholars have shown that famous panel painters—such as, Melchior Broederlam, Robert Campin, Jan van Eyck and Rogier van der Weyden—were responsible for the design process of tapestries during the fourteenth and fifteenth centuries, indicating that tapestry was possibly viewed on a cultural par with panel painting, but much more


expensive. In addition to panel paintings, the illuminated manuscripts of the period, which sometimes served as artistic models for tapestry designers, were painted by Northern artists—such as the Parement Master, Jean le Noir, Jean Bondol, Jacquemart André, the Pseudo-Jacquemart and the Limbourgs—who often traveled among the cities of Paris, Lille, Dijon, Bourges, Angers and other places where the French royal and ducal courts were based. It is probable that a similar patronage system can be assumed to have been in place for tapestry production in the late fourteenth century—royal court artists would have been commissioned to make the first design for a tapestry that could then be woven there or in another city.

The two Passion tapestries at Zaragoza are Dalmau de Mur’s major artistic acquisition and they form the heart of this dissertation—a study that examines the cultural and artistic contexts of the tapestries, not only from the point of view of their style and iconography, but also for the role they played as luxury imports. The tapestry weaving industry in the Franco-Flemish region was just beginning to flourish during the early fifteenth century. The acquisition of these weavings by Dalmau de Mur was extraordinary because they are among the earliest surviving

---

15 For an examination of the contribution of Robert Campin, Rogier van der Weyden and other well-known artists to the design of tapestry, see Elizabeth A. E. Cleland, “More than Woven Paintings: The Reappearance of Rogier van der Weyden’s Designs in Tapestry,” 2 vols. (PhD diss., Courtauld Institute of Art, University of London, 2002); Sophie Schneebalg-Pereleman and Alain Erlande-Brandenburg, La tapisserie des Pays-Bas (Brussels: Bibliothèque royale de Belgique, 2003), 70–71.

16 For example, in the late fourteenth century, it is known that The Apocalypse tapestry in Angers, was designed by Jean Bondol, the court painter to the King of France, Charles V, who lent the artist an Apocalypse manuscript from the royal library in order to create the designs for a tapestry being commissioned by his brother, Louis, Duke of Anjou. The tapestries were woven in Paris in the workshop of Robert Poinçon. George Henderson, “The Manuscripts Model of the Angers ‘Apocalypse’ Tapestries,” The Burlington Magazine 127, no. 985 (April 1985): 209-219; Francis Muel et al., La tenture de l’Apocalypse d’Angers, 2nd ed. (Paris: Ministère de la Culture/Inventaire Général des Monuments et Richesse Artistiques de la France, Région des Pays de la Loire, 1993), 26, 31.

17 Primary documents reveal that in the middle of the fifteenth century, Philippe le Bon, Duke of Burgundy (r.1419–1467), ordered from the Tournai-based weaver-merchants Robert Dary and Jean de l’Ortie an eight-piece tapestry set of The Story of Gideon that was woven with silk, silver and gold; however, an Arras-based painter, Bauduin (Baudouin) de Bailleul, was responsible for the tapestry designs (see note 69). Adolph Salvatore Cavallo, Medieval Tapestries in the Metropolitan Museum of Art (New York: Harry N. Abrams, 1993), 61; Guy Delmarcel, Flemish Tapestry, trans. Alastair Weir (London: Thames and Hudson, 1999), 28–29.
examples of the importation of luxury goods from the Franco-Flemish region to Spain. This trend was to grow in importance through the sixteenth century and was most notably exemplified in the Flemish and Netherlandish panel paintings, altarpieces, manuscripts and tapestries commissioned by the “Catholic Kings,” Fernando II, King of Aragón (r.1479–1516) and Isabel I, Queen of Castile (r.1474–1504).  

The broader context of the dissertation, therefore, explores the artistic interchange among Aragón, France and Flanders, and considers the dynamic role of Dalmau de Mur in ecclesiastical collecting practices in the kingdom of Aragón in the first half of the fifteenth century. The fundamental questions are: what is the artistic context of Dalmau de Mur’s Passion tapestries? What can be said about where and how he acquired them? What other works might be drawn into a similar pattern of production and collecting? Did these imports make an impact on local patronage and production in Spain?

1.1 SCHOLARSHIP AND HISTORIOGRAPHY

Most studies of late medieval patronage focus on major collectors in the great medieval courts or art-producing centers of the fifteenth century such as Paris, London, Milan and Prague. By contrast, one of the aims of this study is to draw attention to a relatively unknown collector and his international-scale acts of patronage.

---

Dalmau de Mur remains almost unknown outside of Spain. Only one article has been written on him in English. It concerns his commission of an altarpiece for the Archbishop’s Palace in Zaragoza Cathedral now located in the Cloisters Collection at the Metropolitan Museum of Art in New York. The leading scholar on Dalmau de Mur in Spain is María Carmen Lacarra Ducay. She has written two articles that survey Dalmau de Mur’s main artistic commissions, while a third article introduces the painters who worked for him. Moreover, Lacarra Ducay’s examination of the primary documents has resulted in several articles and a valuable book, supported by an appendix of archival materials, which is devoted to the main altarpiece of Zaragoza Cathedral commissioned by Dalmau de Mur but later completed under the patronage of his successors. Only briefly mentioning the Passion tapestries at Zaragoza, Lacarra Ducay has not dedicated any study to them.

Likewise, the existing literature that specifically examines the Passion tapestries at Zaragoza is sparse. Most of the publications briefly address the stylistic or iconographic aspects of the tapestries, but none of the scholarly literature devoted to the Zaragoza Passion tapestries

---


considers the role of the patron, Dalmau de Mur. The two most informative publications are entries in catalogs.²²

The first catalog entry, written by Roger d’Hulst, describes the order and subject of each scene. He stylistically relates the landscape elements of the Passion tapestries at Zaragoza to the following three tapestries: The Story of Jourdain de Blaye (Franco-Flemish region, ca.1380–1400);²³ The Offering of the Heart (Paris, ca.1400–1410);²⁴ and The Devonshire Hunting Tapestries (Franco-Flemish region, ca.1425–1450).²⁵ D’Hulst also identifies the choir tapestries of The Lives of Saints Piatus and Eleutherius (Arras, made in 1402) as being compositionally similar.²⁶ Based on these similarities, he concludes that the tapestries were woven in Arras, even

---


though no documentation confirms this hypothesis, and attributes them to the first quarter of the fifteenth century.

The second catalog entry concerning the Passion tapestries comes from the 1985 publication devoted to the tapestry collection at Zaragoza Cathedral. The authors primarily rely on the information provided by d’Hulst, and they include accurate and detailed technical descriptions. In a fashion similar to d’Hulst, the different episodes of The Passion are identified. The catalog entry also follows d’Hulst’s claim that the tapestries were woven during the first quarter of the fifteenth century in Arras.

To my knowledge, there exists only one article that is specifically dedicated to the Zaragoza Passion set—an article written in English that synthesizes the conclusions of a master’s thesis written in Flemish. This ten-page article aims to date the tapestry based on similar iconographic motifs found in other media; yet, many of the examples the author draws upon are from much later sources in the late fifteenth century that post-date the design and creation of the tapestries. In the end, Greet Ghyselen attributes the date of the Zaragoza Passion tapestries to ca.1410–1425 and concludes that the iconography reflects contemporary interest in what has been called “pre-Eyckian realism.” This generalized study, however, leaves many questions open, particularly since it lacks any contextual reference to Dalmau de Mur. Indeed, it is not certain that Dalmau de Mur was the original patron of the tapestries; rather, he likely

---

27 Eduardo Torra de Arana, Antero Hombria Tortajada and Tomás Domingo Pérez, Los tapices de la Seo de Zaragoza (Zaragoza: Caja de Ahorros de la Inmaculada, 1985), 62–73, no. 1.


29 The concept of pre-Eyckian realism was first introduced by Frédéric Lyna, “Les miniatures d’un ms. du ‘Ci Nous Dit’ et le réalisme préeyckien,” Scriptorium 1 (1946–1947): 106–118.
acquired them when he was an established, high-ranking prelate who profited from influential
ecclesiastical, political and familial connections.

The focus of this dissertation on the patronage of the Zaragoza tapestries signals a break
with previous literature in three important ways. First, not many studies exist that consider a
specific patron in relation to the commission and/or acquisition of late medieval tapestry. This is
because only a few among the handful of late fourteenth- and early fifteenth-century tapestries
that survive can be positively associated with a particular patron or even a specific location.30

The many edited and unedited inventories of medieval tapestry patrons in France reveal the
sizeable collections and the variety of subjects that were owned;31 but, with only a few surviving

30 A small number of studies of tapestry patronage exist for tapestries before the sixteenth century. Besides the
literature on The Apocalypse at Angers (see note 692), the most important studies that address the rôle of the patron
are by Laura Weigert (see note 26).

31 The following is a list of the edited inventories for French royal tapestry collectors, such as Charles V le Sage,
King of France (r.1364–1380): Jules Labarte, Inventaire du mobilier de Charles V, roi de France (Paris:
Imprimerie nationale, 1879); Danielle Gaborit-Chopin, ed., L’inventaire du trésor du dauphin futur Charles V,
1363: les débuts d’un grand collectionneur (Paris/Nogent-le-Roi: J. Laget 1996); Jean, Duke of Berry (r.1360–
1896); Philippe le Hardi, Duke of Burgundy (r.1364–1404): Bernard Prost and Henri Prost, Inventaires mobiliers
et extrait des comptes des ducs de bourgogne de la maison de Valois (1363–1477), 2 vols. (Paris: Ernest Leroux,
1902–1913); Ernest Petit, Ducs de Bourgogne de la maison de Valois, d’après des documents inédits: Philippe le
Hardi, Première partie, 1363–1380 (Paris: Alphonse Picard, 1909); Patrick M. de Winter, “The Patronage of
Philippe le Hardi, Duke of Burgundy, 1364–1404,” 5 vols. (PhD diss., New York University, 1976); Patrick M. de
Winter, La bibliothèque de Philippe le Hardi, duc de Bourgogne (1364–1404): étude sur les manuscrits à peintures
d’une collection princière à l’époque du “style gothique international” (Paris: Éditions du Centre national de la
recherche scientifique, 1985); Fabienne Joubert, “Les ‘tapisseries’ de Philippe le Hardi,” in Artistes, artisans et
Charles VI le Fol, King of France (r.1380–1422): Jules Guiffrey, “Inventaire des tapisseries du roi Charles VI
vendues par les Anglais de en 1422,” Bibliothèque de l’École de Chartes 48 (1887), 59–110, 396–444; Jenny
(London: Society of Antiquaries of London, 1993); Philippe Henwood, Les collections du trésor royal sous le règne
de Charles VI (1380–1422): l’inventaire de 1400 (Paris: Éditions du Comité des travaux historiques et scientifiques,
2004); Louis I, Duke of Orléans (r.1392–1407) and his wife, Valentine Visconti (1368–1408): Louis Jarry, Le
Compte de l’armée anglaise au siège d’Orléans 1428–1429 (Orléans 1892); Frances Marjorie Graves,
Inventaires et documents relatifs aux joyaux et tapisseries des princes d’Orléans-Valois, 1389–1481 (Paris: Ernest
Leroux, 1894); Louis I, Duke of Anjou (r.1360–1384): Gabriel-Eugène Ledos, “Fragment de l’inventaire des
of Burgundy (r.1404–1419): Léon Laborde, Les ducs de Bourgogne: études sur les lettres, les arts et l’industrie
pendant le XVe siècle et plus particulièrement dans les Pays-Bains et le duché de Bourgogne, 3 vols. (Paris: Plon
Frères, 1849–1852); Philippe le Bon, Duke of Burgundy (r.1419–1467): Léon Laborde, Les ducs de Bourgogne:
tapestries it is difficult to make secure correlations between the primary documents and the extant pieces. Second, since no publications have ever examined the role of Dalmau de Mur in relation to the tapestries at Zaragoza Cathedral, this dissertation takes into account the broader patterns of his collecting. In doing so, the study offers the most complete and current understanding to date of Dalmau de Mur as a collector of the arts and contextualizes the iconographic and stylistic elements of the Zaragoza Passion tapestries, whether or not Dalmau de Mur was the person who originally commissioned the tapestries. Third, the dissertation explores specific iconographic features in the Zaragoza tapestries that characterize the treatment of The Passion and relates these elements to the art produced in the French royal courts at the end of the fourteenth century.

The scholarship on late medieval tapestry and its patronage remains cursory in contrast to the numerous studies that involve tapestries from the Renaissance and Baroque periods. Most of the secondary literature devoted to tapestries woven in the Franco-Flemish region during the late fourteenth and early fifteenth centuries comprises exhibition catalogs or highly generalized monographs on the history of tapestry, with a few notable exceptions: the various publications on The Apocalypse tapestries at Angers; Adolph Cavallo’s catalog of the medieval tapestries at the Metropolitan Museum of Art in New York; Guy Delmarcel’s survey of Flemish tapestries; Thomas Campbell’s exhibition catalog for the 2002 tapestry show at the Metropolitan Museum of Art; the various publications on the letters, arts, and industry during the fifteenth century in the Pays-Bas and the Duchy of Burgundy; Jeffrey Chipps-Smith, “The Artistic Patronage of Philip the Good, Duke of Burgundy, 1419–1467,” 2 vols. (PhD diss., Columbia University, 1979).

32 For bibliography, see note 692.


of Art;\textsuperscript{35} and Laura Weigert’s research on the choir tapestries at Tournai Cathedral that illustrate \textit{The Lives of Saints Pius and Eleutherius}.\textsuperscript{36}

This dissertation contributes to the current art historical discourse on the production and patronage of Franco-Flemish tapestry during the late fourteenth and early fifteenth centuries. The analysis of artistic interchanges among the regions of France, Flanders and Aragón demonstrates the ways in which patrons in the South strove to follow in the footsteps of the foremost art collectors in the North.

No study exists that is dedicated to the development of \textit{Passion} iconography in tapestry during the fifteenth century or beyond.\textsuperscript{37} The authoritative publication that addresses \textit{Passion} iconography in late medieval art, by James Marrow, focuses on illuminations from late fifteenth and early sixteenth-century manuscripts from Germany and the Netherlands.\textsuperscript{38} Only one tapestry—a sixteenth-century weaving of \textit{Christ Carrying the Cross}—is mentioned and illustrated.\textsuperscript{39} This dissertation, thereby, breaks new ground in the survey and analysis of \textit{Passion}


\textsuperscript{38} James H. Marrow, \textit{Passion Iconography in Northern European Art of the Late Middle Ages and Early Renaissance: A Study of the Transformation of Sacred Metaphor into Descriptive Narrative} (Courtrai: Van Ghemmer, 1979).

\textsuperscript{39} Paris, Musée Jacquemart-André, no known inventory number. The tapestry is one of four weavings that show scenes of \textit{The Passion of Christ} and the set is known as the “Alba Passion.” Designed by Bernaert van Orley, they were probably woven in the Brussels workshop of Pieter de Pannemaker ca.1525–1528. Other panels in the set are located at the National Gallery of Art in Washington D.C. (\textit{Crucifixion}, inv. no. 1942.9.448; and \textit{Christ Praying in the Garden}, inv. no. 1942.9.447); and The Metropolitan Museum of Art in New York (\textit{Last Supper}, inv. no.
iconography. The iconographic and stylistic investigation was achieved by comparing the subjects depicted in the Zaragoza tapestries with similar subjects in various media from France and Flanders during the late fourteenth century up until the middle of the fifteenth century (described below).

Likewise, there is no comprehensive study of the patronage of tapestries in Spain during the late fourteenth and early sixteenth centuries; only two publications exist, to my knowledge, that focus on the patronage of tapestries in Spain in the fourteenth century. Both publications address the royal patronage of tapestry and do not consider the commissioning of tapestries by ecclesiastics. One of the publications is dedicated to the collection of Franco-Flemish tapestries owned by Pedro IV el Ceremonioso, King of Aragón (r.1336–1387). The other is a transcription of the inventory of moveable goods owned by Martín I el Humano, King of Aragón (r.1396–1410), which included Franco-Flemish tapestries.

By contrast, there is a large body of literature that relates to the collections of late fifteenth- and early sixteenth-century tapestries produced in the Flanders and exported to Spain. For instance, the several hundred surviving fifteenth- and sixteenth-century tapestries that belong to the Spanish Royal Collection, the Patrimonio nacional, are described in a three-volume


40 Marçal Olivar, Els Tapissos francesos del rei en Pere el Cerimoniós (Barcelona: Barbié, 1986).

Furthermore, the historiography of tapestry patronage and collecting in Spain from the late fifteenth century onwards includes numerous articles, exhibition catalogs and collection surveys of the notable ecclesiastical collections at the Cathedrals of Burgos, Córdoba, Palencia, Tarragona, Toledo, Zamora and Zaragoza. While these publications examine the tapestries in these collections, they fall short of exploring the circumstances concerning the patronage of the tapestries and their specific contexts of use.

42 Namely, Paulina Junquera de Vega, Carmen Díaz Gallegos, and Herrero Carretero, *Catálogo de tapices del Patrimonio nacional*, 3 vols. (Madrid: Editorial Patrimonio Nacional, 1986–2000); in addition, there are several books and articles that discuss the tapestry collection of the Spanish Royal Crown, see note 95.

1.2 CHAPTERS, METHODOLOGY AND FINDINGS

This dissertation has two parts. The first part focuses on the patronage of Dalmau de Mur by examining the types of objects he commissioned and acquired. Chapter II reconstructs Dalmau de Mur’s biography within the historical, political and religious frameworks of his time. Significant religious events, such as the Papal Schism, heavily shaped Dalmau de Mur’s career as an ecclesiastic. Dalmau came from a prestigious noble family and the chapter demonstrates that he benefited from shrewd marriage alliances and political connections of relatives, especially from his relation to Pedro Martínez de Luna (Anti-pope Benedict XIII). Chapter III considers the artistic patronage of Dalmau de Mur by introducing his commissions and acquisitions through a chronological study. Beginning first with the period during which he served as Bishop of Girona, the chapter analyzes the works of art he acquired and commissioned while serving as Archbishop of Tarragona and then Zaragoza. The chapter introduces his major artistic contributions to the cathedrals of these cities, as well as discussing his personal collection of luxury objects.

The second part of the dissertation is dedicated to a detailed analysis of Dalmau de Mur’s two tapestries of *The Passion*. In the late fourteenth and early fifteenth centuries there are few surviving documented traditions within the tapestry industry against which to measure. To appreciate the artistic significance of the Zaragoza tapestries, it is necessary to analyze works of art that have stylistic and iconographic affinities in order to identify the types of visual models that may have influenced the designers of the tapestries. To achieve this, the second section of the dissertation devotes two chapters to investigating the issues of style and iconography.

---

44 One of the few cases concerns *The Apocalypse* tapestries in Angers where the primary documents reveal valuable information about the artistic model, the artists and cost of the tapestries (see Chapter V for a fuller account).
Besides the compositional arrangement of the weavings, the choice of subjects is examined because several scenes of *The Passion*—notably, *The Deposition*, *The Lamentation*, *The Entombment* and *The Resurrection*—have been excluded from the tapestries. By contrast, one scene that is not part of the standard biblical *Passion* narrative, *The Making of the Cross*, is included. This decision was surely an iconographic one rather than of space or composition.

Chapter IV explores the idea of space—how medieval tapestries were used to create and delimit a specific type of space. This chapter examines the 1521 tapestry inventory from Zaragoza Cathedral and discusses the possible original function and display of the Zaragoza *Passion* tapestries.

Chapter V presents selected works of art that serve as case studies in comparison to the Zaragoza *Passion* tapestries. These case studies emerged out of an iconographic investigation that began in Belgium at the *Studiecentrum Vlaamse Miniaturisten* at the Katholieke Universiteit in Leuven. The *Studiecentrum* houses an extensive archive of photographs concerning late fourteenth- and early fifteenth-century illuminated manuscripts from France and Flanders.

Guidelines were created as a part of this investigation to define what iconographic or stylistic aspects were required for a comparative work of art to be considered “similar” or “related.” For example, each episode of *The Passion* was described and special attention was paid to rare iconographic elements. The net was cast rather wide to include works of art of any medium from France and Flanders from around 1350 until 1450 that illustrated episodes of *The Passion*. After identifying works of art that were considered stylistically and/or iconographically similar to the Zaragoza *Passion* tapestries, they were cataloged and their images scanned into a “Compendium of *Passion* Images.” This was accomplished by designing a database that was created in FileMaker Pro. To date, the database includes nearly 800 images. Since the database
contains a scanned image of each object, the compendium functions as a visual “rolodex” that allows comparative study.

The great majority of the material collected in the database comprises manuscript illuminations. The types of manuscripts surveyed were predominantly books of hours because of their often extensive Passion cycles, but a variety of other types of books were included, such as apocalypses, bibles, bibles historiales, biblia pauperum (picture bibles), breviaries, Ci nous dit, Gratien’s Decretum, Li livres du Graunt Caam, missals, morality books, Pèlerinage de la vie humaine, Poésies de Robert de Blois, psalters, Speculum Humanae Salvationis, Spiegel van de menselijke behoudenis, Spiegel der Menscheliker Zalicheid, Travels of Sir John Mandeville and typological scenes of the Life of Christ.

In addition to this broad spectrum of illuminated manuscripts, other media were incorporated into the survey, including ivories (casket panels, roundels), paintings (on wood and silk), printed books, stained glass windows, reliquaries, altarpieces (ivory, wood or stone), tapestries and wall paintings. With the collection of so many contemporary works of art drawn from different media, the database has proved invaluable for comparing and contrasting the Zaragoza Passion tapestries, as well as for advancing the identification of possible sources used by the tapestry designers.

The principal result of the creation of the database has been the selection of five works of art that were studied for further analysis. The five case studies include: (1) The Apocalypse Tapestries (Paris, ca.1373-1380);45 (2) The Parement de Narbonne (Paris, ca.1375-1378);46

45 Angers, Château d’Angers. For bibliography, see note 692.

46 Paris, Musée du Louvre, inv. M.I. 1121. For bibliography, see note 539.
Each case study has strong artistic parallels to the Zaragoza Passion tapestries. By underscoring the iconographic and stylistic correspondences between the objects, this study reveals that The Passion tapestries at Zaragoza were certainly influenced by, if not based on, works of art made during the end of the fourteenth and beginning of the fifteenth centuries by the principal artists working in the French royal courts. In fact, four of the five selected case studies represent works of art that were commissioned by the leading artistic patrons of the Valois dynasty—Charles V, King of France (r.1364–1380) and his brothers, Jean, Duke of Berry (r.1360–1416) and Louis I, Duke of Anjou (r.1360–1384). One larger premise of the dissertation is that as a knowledgeable patron of art, Dalmau de Mur modeled his collecting and commissions after these great French royal collectors.

In addition to serving as an iconographic study tool to identify related works of art, the creation of the database has facilitated the analysis of important stylistic details such as hand gestures, stance, facial expressions, patterns and colors of costumes, types of shoes, hats, hairstyles, architectural elements, types and variations of foliage and other landscape elements. The database thus permitted the charting of datable costumes and hairstyles, along with specific iconographic features that were popular in the decades before and after 1400. This information, along with the examination of the five case studies, has led to the conclusion that the Zaragoza

47 Paris, Bibliothèque nationale de France, MS lat. 18014. For bibliography, see note 536.

48 Paris, Bibliothèque nationale de France, MS n.a. lat. 3093. For bibliography, see note 546.

49 New York, Metropolitan Museum of Art, inv. no. 45.76. For bibliography, see note 556.
Chapter V analyzes, in detail, the stylistic and iconographic treatments of each *Passion* scene depicted in the Zaragoza tapestries. While most of the works of art discussed concern the case studies, additional objects are also introduced. Nearly every episode of *The Passion* illustrated in the tapestries has iconographic similarities with other works of art. These artistic relationships are especially underscored by the study of the case studies; however, no contemporary models were found that corresponded with the scene of *The Making of the Cross* in the Zaragoza tapestries. One early fourteenth English manuscript was found that shows *The Making of the Cross*, as well as a few late fifteenth-century examples that post-date the creation of the Zaragoza tapestries. While some literary sources describe aspects of *The Making of the Cross*, such as the types of wood used,\(^5^0\) it nevertheless remains unclear what specific artistic models and textual sources the designers of the tapestries may have employed.

The concluding remarks in Chapter VI unite the two parts of the dissertation by drawing together the study of the artistic patronage of Dalmau de Mur with the stylistic and iconographic analyses of the Zaragoza *Passion* tapestries. Final commentary presents a more precise theory about the nature of the commission of the tapestries and Dalmau de Mur’s acquisition of them. In the end, through the examination of the artistic parallels of the Zaragoza tapestries with works of art created by artists at the French royal courts, Dalmau de Mur emerges as an sophisticated ecclesiastical patron of the arts in fifteenth-century Spain.

---

1.3 WEAVING VISUAL CAPITAL: THE FRANCO-FLEMISH TAPESTRY INDUSTRY AROUND 1400

By the late fourteenth century, tapestry played an essential role in the lives of those in the upper echelons of Western European society. Although prosperous merchants could afford tapestries, the nobility—kings, princes, dukes and counts—were the main force in the emergence of the industry. The tapestry industry in the Franco-Flemish region, which included the Flemish and northern French towns of Tournai and Arras, was fueled by the patronage of the members of the Valois dynasty, including the Dukes of Berry, Anjou, Burgundy and Orléans. This patronage, along with that of the King of France, was extensive—together they owned more than 400 tapestries that would have been displayed in their many castles and residences.

One of the most important events for the region, and its tapestry-producing cities, was the 1369 marriage between Philippe le Hardi, Duke of Burgundy (r.1364–1404), and Margaret III,...

---


52 For instance, the destinations of the itinerant courts of the Duke of Berry and the Dukes of Burgundy were recorded and provide insight into how often they traveled and where they spent their time; tapestries were certainly taken along for longer trips. For instance, in April 1345, when Philippe le Bon, Duke of Burgundy (r.1419–1467), and his wife, Isabella moved from Dijon to Arras and Lille, four carts were required to transport their tapestries. See Ernest Petit, Itinéraires de Philippe le Hardi et de Jean sans Peur, ducs de Bourgogne (1363–1419), d’après les comptes de dépenses de leur hôtel (Paris: Imprimerie nationale, 1888); Herman Vander Linden, Itinéraires de Philippe le Bon, duc de Bourgogne (1419–1467) et de Charles, comte de Charolais (1433–1467) (Brussels: Palais des académies, 1940); Françoise Lehoux, Jean de France, duc de Berri: sa vie, son action politique, 1340–1416, 4 vols. (Paris: A. et J. Picard, 1966–1968), vol. 3; Patrick M. de Winter, “Castles and Town Residences of Philip the Bold, Duke of Burgundy (1364–1404),” Artibus et Historiae 4, no. 8 (1983): 95–118; Richard Vaughan, Charles the Bold: The Last Valois Duke of Burgundy, 2nd ed. (Woodbridge/Rochester: Boydell Press, 2005), 56, 141–142.
Countess of Flanders (1350–1405). This union paved the way for Philippe le Hardi to inherit the counties of Flanders, Artois, Burgundy, Nevers and Rethel in 1384 upon the death of his father-in-law, Louis de Male. The county of Flanders remained under Burgundian rule until 1477. This event was pivotal because it allowed Philippe le Hardi to become one of the most powerful princes within the kingdom of France, as well as one of the greatest patrons of tapestry in medieval Europe, to be discussed below.

Besides the practical application of hanging tapestries on walls to insulate cold and drafty rooms of medieval castles and to provide privacy, they were also given as diplomatic gifts. Tapestries were so valuable that they were used as forms of payment, for example as collateral.

---

53 Burgundy included both the Duchy of Burgundy and the County of Burgundy (Franché-Comté).


55 There are several examples of tapestries being given as diplomatic gifts. For instance, in 1390, 1392 and 1397, during talks with the English in the midst of the Hundred Years War, Philippe le Hardi, Duke of Burgundy, sent gifts of tapestries to King Richard II of England, as well as to the Dukes of Gloucester, Lancaster and York. Richard II received tapestries representing *The Trinity*, *The Crucifixion*, *Calvary* and *The Death of the Virgin*; the Duke of Gloucester was given *L’histoire de Déduit et de Plaisance*, a hunting tapestry; the Duke of Lancaster was presented with *The Story of King Clovis* and *The Story of the Pharaoh and the Nation of Moses*; and the Duke of York received tapestries illustrating *The Story of Percival* and *The Story of Octavian*. Earlier, while negotiating the marriage of his daughter, Catherine of Burgundy (1378–1425), to Leopold IV, Duke of Austria (r.1386–1411), Philippe le Hardi included five sets of tapestries woven in Arras by Jacques Dourdin that showed scenes of battles, hunts and rustic activities for Catherine’s trousseau. Then, upon the marriage in 1387, Leopold received a tapestry of *Charlemagne’s Journey to Jerusalem*. Later, in 1416, Jean sans Peur, Duke of Burgundy (r.1404–1419), presented tapestries to the ambassadors of Henry V, King of England (r.1413–1422) and Sigismund, King of Hungary (r.1387–1437), later Holy Roman Emperor (r.1433–1437), upon a peace treaty signed in Lille. For more, see Patrick M. de Winter, “The Patronage of Philippe le Hardi, Duke of Burgundy, 1364–1404,” 5 vols. (PhD diss., New York University, 1976), vol. 1, 156; Guy Delmarcel, *Flemish Tapestry*, trans. Alastair Weir (London: Thames and Hudson, 1999), 28–29; Thomas P. Campbell, *Tapestry in the Renaissance: Art and Magnificence* (New York/New Haven: Metropolitan Museum of Art/Yale University Press, 2002), 15, 17; Richard Vaughan, *Philip the Bold: The Formation of the Burgundian State*, 2nd ed. (Woodbridge/Rochester: Boydell Press, 2005), 50, 84–85.

56 An example from sixteenth-century Italy involved Ferrante Gonzaga, Governor of Milan (1546–1555), who in need of cash used his tapestries as collateral for a loan to finance the building of walls around his house. His son, Cesare II Gonzaga, Count of Guastalla (r.1557–1575) pawned the remaining tapestries in 1567. Ferrante’s grandson, Ferrante II Gonzaga, Count and then Duke of Guastalla (r.1575–1632), wished to have the tapestries present at his wedding to Vittoria Doria in 1587 and was able to convince the Venetian bankers to loan them for the occasion. He was eventually able to buy them back. See Clifford M. Brown, Guy Delmarcel and Anna Maria
There is an instance when tapestries were exchanged as a part of a ransom. They functioned as propaganda and during military campaigns and were taken as war spoils. They signified the wealth and power of their owner, as well as conveying their patron’s dynastic claims, political aspirations and even their military prowess.

It is often assumed that the function of tapestry in ecclesiastical settings was quite different. In many ways this is true—religious tapestries certainly served as instructional objects and were venerated as devotional objects. For example, choir tapestries that illustrate the lives of saints functioned as an important element in the liturgical practices of the Christian church during the fifteenth and sixteenth centuries. By the end of the fifteenth century, Spanish


patrons were ordering smaller devotional tapestries (paños de devoción) from Flemish tapestry manufacturers. As one object of many in the ornamenta ecclesiae, ecclesiastical tapestries also functioned as a way to convey the wealth, glory and power of both the patron and the church.

1.3.1 The Passion in Medieval Tapestries

The subject of The Passion of Christ in medieval tapestries is surprisingly scarce; this is especially evident when compared to their visual counterparts in illuminated manuscripts. As discussed below, illustrated episodes of The Passion in tapestries from the late fifteenth century are found in only a few instances. The tapestries at Zaragoza, therefore, surface as the only early fifteenth-century example of the development of Passion iconography in the medium. A catalog of around 100 extant tapestries representing scenes of The Passion shows that the theme was to become more popular later in the fifteenth century and even more common by the middle of the sixteenth century. For instance, to my knowledge, only six Passion tapestries exist from before 1450 (this count includes the two from Zaragoza) and approximately fifteen survive from the period 1450–1500. It is not until the sixteenth century, from the period between 1500 and 1535 that at least eighty tapestries with Passion scenes survive. Certainly more tapestries survive from the late fifteenth and early sixteenth centuries when compared to the previous hundred years. Moreover, as will be shown below, there are only a few descriptions of Passion tapestries

---


62 I compiled a catalog of around 100 fifteenth- and sixteenth-century tapestries depicting the Passion at the beginning of my doctoral studies to illustrate the number of surviving Passion tapestries from different periods, as well as to map the development of iconographic and stylistic trends. The results of this catalog are briefly summarized here, but the catalog is not included in the dissertation.
in inventories from the late fourteenth century, further indicating that the subject became more prevalent in the medium of tapestry later in the fifteenth century.

1.3.2 Tapestry Patronage in Medieval France

The patronage of tapestries by prominent French royalty increased significantly in the second half of the fifteenth century. There are few known tapestry merchants: in Paris, Nicolas Bataille (active 1363–1408), Pierre de Beaumetz (active 1383–1418) and Jacques Dourdin (active 1385–1407), and in Arras, Jean Cosset (active 1384–1401). From at least 1376, Nicolas Bataille was also valet de chambre of Louis d’Anjou and Jean Cosset was Philippe le Hardi’s valet de chambre by 1385, followed the next year by Pierre de Beaumetz. These merchants supplied their French royal patrons with tapestries that formed sizeable collections. For instance, King Charles V owned almost 200 tapestries. Among these were forty-seven historiated tapestries and 119 armorial ones. Of the historiated tapestries, only one illustrated a subject from The Passion of Christ: “Le grand tappiz de la Passion Nostre Seigneur.”

---

63 Merchants acted as middlemen between the patrons and the tapestry workshops, supervising the project from beginning to end, drawing up contracts, supplying funds needed by the workshops to buy the raw materials and overseeing the weaving process. Thomas P. Campbell, *Tapestry in the Renaissance: Art and Magnificence* (New York/New Haven: Metropolitan Museum of Art/Yale University Press, 2002), 29–31.


65 Charles V owned tapestries with the following subjects: *The Life of Saint Denis; The Life of Saint Theseus; Saint Grael; The Story of the Seven Sciences and Saint Augustine; The Seven Mortal Sins; The Nine Heroes; The Seven Arts and The Ages of Man; The Twelve Months; The Fountain of Youth; Goodness and Beauty; Wild Men; The King of Ireland; The Story of Godefroy de Bouillon*, among others. See Jules Labarte, *Inventaire du mobilier de Charles V, roi de France* (Paris: Imprimerie nationale, 1879), 378–379, no. 3671–3703.

66 *The Oxford English Dictionary*, defines historiated as being, “Decorated with figures of men or animals.” *The Oxford English Dictionary Online, 2nd* ed., s.v., “historiated, ppl. a.,” [http://dictionary.oed.com](http://dictionary.oed.com) (20 April 2008). By contrast, the armorial tapestries illustrated, the arms of France and Burgundy, along with armorial motifs such as
The King’s three brothers also had impressive tapestry collections. For example, in 1416, upon his death, Jean de Berry owned at least twenty-seven historiated tapestries. Berry’s collection contained a tapestry of *The Apocalypse* (discussed in Chapter V), but no tapestry with episodes of *The Passion* is mentioned in his inventory. It is recorded, however, that in 1386 his brother, Philippe, Duke of Burgundy, ordered two tapestries—including one of *The Passion*—from Pierre de Beaumetz. These were based on cartoons of tapestries that Philippe had previously ordered and given to Jean de Berry: “... sur l’ouvrage et estoffe de deux tappiz sarrasinoiz, c’est assavoir l’un a l’histoire de la Passion N.S.J.C. [Notre Seigneur Jesus Christ] et l’autre de plusieurs ystoires de N.D. [Notre Dame] lesquels mondit seigneur lui a ordonnez fais pareilly a II autres grans tappiz que autrefois a fait mondit seingeur lesquels mondit seigneur donna naguères a monseiguer de Berry . . .” There may have been other instances when Jean de Berry received tapestries as gifts that are not reflected in his inventory.

68 Berry also owned tapestries with religious and historical subjects, including *The Coronation of the Virgin; The Death of the Virgin; The Madeleine; The Trinity; The Credo; The Pilgrimage; The Story of Fama (Fame); The Seven Vices; The Story of the Great Khan; The Nine Heroes; The Romance of the Rose: King Richard II; The Story of Godefroy de Bouillon; Begue de Belin; Charlemagne; and The Exchequer*. Bernard Prost, “Les tapisseries du duc de Berry,” *Archives historiques, artistiques et littéraires* 1 (1889–1890): 385–392.

66 The tapestry weavers owned the cartoons and were able, therefore, to weave several tapestry sets (multiple editions) from the same cartoons. The lasting value of these cartoons was recognized and recorded when the tapestry merchant, Pasquier Grenier (active 1447–1493), from the city of Tournai, stated in his testament that his tapestry cartoons were to be divided up among his children. Eugène Soile de Moriamé, *Les tapisseries de Tournai, les tapisseries et les hautelisseurs de cette ville: recherches et documents sur l’histoire, la fabrication et les produits des ateliers de Tournai* (Tournai/Lille: Vasseur-Delmée/L. Quarré, 1892), 316 [identical version published as an article in *Mémoires de la Société historique et littéraire de Tournai* 22 (1891): 1–460]. By contrast, when Philippe le Bon, Duke of Burgundy, commissioned an eight-piece set of tapestries illustrating *The Story of Gideon* in 1449 (see note 17), he purchased the cartoons from the designer, Bauduin de Bailleul, to preclude any reproduction of the designs. Fabienne Joubert, “Les ‘tapisseries’ de Philippe le Hardi,” in *Artistes, artisans et production artistique au Moyen Âge*, ed. Xavier Barral i Altet, 3 vols. (Paris: Picard, 1986–1990), vol. 3, 601–608 at 606; Thomas P. Campbell, *Tapestry in the Renaissance: Art and Magnificence* (New York/New Haven: Metropolitan Museum of Art/Yale University Press, 2002), 15, 18.
Another brother of King Charles V, Louis, Duke of Anjou, had an inventory taken in 1364 when he was just twenty-five years of age. His tapestry collection already comprised seventy-six historiated tapestries. Among these were two small panels of *The Crucifixion*. No other inventory was taken during his life or upon his death, but his collection grew substantially because each year between 1385 and 1402 when Jean Cosset, the tapestry merchant from Arras, delivered tapestry sets to the Duke.

Philippe le Hardi, Duke of Burgundy, yet another brother of the King of France, was by far the most ambitious collector of tapestry among the Valois princes—he owned over 200 tapestries that illustrated many subjects. Four of these tapestries represented *Passion* scenes.

---


71 Other subjects included *The Annunciation of the Virgin; The Judgment of Soloman; The Life of Saint Catherine; Saint George; Vespasian and Veronoca; Vespasian and Pilate; The Destruction of Troy; The Nine Heroes; The Story of Godefroy de Bouillon; Charlemagne; Pomme d’or;* and *Lancelot.*


74 Like those of his brothers, many of the subjects of his tapestries were religious, historical and rustic. One of Philippe’s most important commissions was a three-piece set of tapestries of *The Battle of Roosebeke* that measured 4.9 x 39.2 m. and was woven with threads of gold and silver from Cyprus. All together, the tapestries cost 2,600 francs. Fabrice Rey, “Les collections de tapisseries,” in *L’art à la cour de Bourgogne, les princes des fleurs de lis: le mécénat de Philippe le Hardi et de Jean sans Peur, 1364–1419*, ed. Stephen N. Fliegel, Sophie Jugie, and
Many of Philippe’s tapestries were enriched with silk and/or gold threads, including *The Passion* and *The Resurrection*. Although the two large tapestries of *The Entombment* did not include silk or gold threads, they were large, measuring 3.15 x 7 m. each. Since Philippe’s tapestry collection included only four tapestries with *Passion* imagery, this supports the idea that while there was an interest in the subject, it was certainly not as widely popular as tapestries with themes from courtly literature, images of chivalrous heroes, scenes of rustic activities and representations of recent battle victories.⁷⁵

Finally, the tapestry collection of the Valois prince, Louis, Duke of Orléans (r.1392–1407), and his wife, Valentina Visconti (1368–1408), included at least fifty-one historiated tapestries.⁷⁶ This was in addition to the 34 *chambres* (or suites) that comprised a minimum of 106 wall tapestries.⁷⁷ As with the collections of Charles V and Louis d’Anjou, the inventory of Louis d’Orléans does not mention a single tapestry that shows imagery of *The Passion*.

Of the 400 plus tapestries from the collections of Charles V, Jean de Berry, Louis d’Anjou, Philippe le Hardi and Louis d’Orléans, only two survive: Louis d’Anjou’s *The Apocalypse* tapestries conserved at the Château d’Angers and Jean de Berry’s *The Nine Heroes*.

---


⁷⁷ On average, each *chambre* had four to six wall tapestries in addition to bed covers, bench covers, dossals (altar canopies) and ceiling coverings.
tapestries at the Cloisters Collection in the Metropolitan Museum of Art. The fact that so few survive explains, in part, why art historians have largely neglected the subject.\textsuperscript{78} There is still much that can be discovered about the collecting patterns of these princely patrons of tapestry simply from studying the wealth of information that survives in the edited inventories.

\subsection{1.3.3 Tapestry Patronage in Medieval Spain}

The scholarship dedicated to the early patronage of tapestry in Aragón is incomplete and much remains to be investigated. From the two publications that exist,\textsuperscript{79} it is evident that at least two kings of Aragón owned collections of tapestries that were woven in the North. The collection of King Pedro IV (r.1336–1387) numbered around seventeen tapestries.\textsuperscript{80} It would appear that none survive.

From the collection of King Martín I (r.1396–1410), at least one tapestry with gold and silver threads survived until the early twentieth century.\textsuperscript{81} This King of Aragón owned thirteen historiated tapestries and seven antependia.\textsuperscript{82} In an article written in 1905, a tapestry with the

\footnotesize{\textsuperscript{78} For an exceptional study of lost tapestries, see Susan Groag Bell, \textit{The Lost Tapestries of the City of Ladies: Christine de Pizan’s Renaissance Legacy} (Berkeley/Los Angeles/London: University of California Press, 2004).}

\footnotesize{\textsuperscript{79} Mentioned above, see notes 40 and 41.}

\footnotesize{\textsuperscript{80} Marçal Olivar, \textit{Els Tapissos francesos del rei en Pere el Cerimoniós} (Barcelona: Barbié, 1986).}

\footnotesize{\textsuperscript{81} Jaume Massó Torrents, “Inventari dels bens mobles del Rey Martí d’Aragó,” \textit{Revue hispanique} 12 (1905): 413–590.}

\footnotesize{\textsuperscript{82} The subjects of the historiated tapestries included \textit{The Crucifixion}; \textit{The Story of the Sepluchre}; \textit{The Story of Saint John}; \textit{The Story of Saint George}; \textit{The Story of Saint Anthony}; and \textit{The Story of the Emperor} [name of Emperor not specified]. Jaume Massó Torrents, “Inventari dels bens mobles del Rey Martí d’Aragó,” \textit{Revue hispanique} 12 (1905): 413–590 at 554, 557. An antependium is defined by the \textit{Oxford English Dictionary} as, “A veil or covering for the front of the altar, used in Roman Catholic and some Anglican churches; sometimes identified with a frontal, which may be an ornamental panel,” while a frontal is described as a, “A movable covering for the front of an altar, generally of embroidered cloth, silk, etc., but sometimes of metal.” \textit{The Oxford English Dictionary Online}, 2nd ed., s.v., “antependium,” and “frontal, n.” \texttt{http://dictionary.oed.com} (20 April 2008).}
arms of King Martin I and his wife, Maria de Luna (1358–1407), was photographed and described as probably belonging to the royal couple.\(^{83}\) The tapestry is actually described in King Martin I’s inventory: “Item, a tapestry altar frontal with gold [threads], with figures and four coats of arms: two royal [ones] and two of Lady Queen María, finished with green fringe.”\(^{84}\)

During a portion of the years 1903–1904, it was on view at a tapestry exhibition at the Victoria & Albert Museum in London. At that point in time, it was known that the tapestry had formerly belonged to the Guilhou collection; its location today is unknown.

This narrow and long tapestry likely served as an altar frontal as described in the inventory.\(^{85}\) It illustrates a landscape scene with Saint John the Baptist standing on a riverbank, flanked by Saint Martin of Tours at the left and Saint Hugh of Grenoble at the right. Saint John holds a scroll that says “Ecce agnus dei.” On either side of Saint Martin are two coats of arms that represent the devices of Aragón (or, four pales gules).\(^{86}\) Two coats of arms that illustrate the Luna devices (gules, an inverted crescent checky or and sable)\(^{87}\) are on either side of Saint Hugh. The commission of the tapestry can be dated, therefore, sometime from 1372, when

\(^{83}\) The tapestry is illustrated by Albert van de Put and William George Thomson, “A Tapestry of Martin of Aragon and Maria de Luna,” *The Burlington Magazine for Connoisseurs* 7, no. 26 (May, 1905): 141–143. Maria de Luna was related to Pedro Martinez de Luna, the Anti-pope Benedict XIII, see note 4.


\(^{85}\) The weaving was measured as 82 x 230 cm.


Martín I married María de Luna, until 1407, when she died. He remarried again in 1409 and died the following year. No other tapestries from the collection of King Martín I appear to have survived.

As mentioned above, many of the Dukes of Burgundy gave gifts of tapestries to foreign rulers. For instance, in 1397, the Duke of Burgundy, Philippe le Hardi, gave King Martín I two tapestries representing The Story of Saint Anthony. The tapestries appear in the King’s inventory taken in 1410: “Item, two large tapestries of The Story of Saint Anthony various figures and colors.” It is also unknown if these two tapestries are extant.

The formation of the substantial Spanish royal tapestry collection as it remains today is a result of the passionate patronage of the monarchy from the middle of the fifteenth century until the mid-sixteenth century. Among the great collectors of tapestry was Alfonso V el Magnánimo, King of Aragón (r.1416–1458). In 1451, he sent three representatives to Flanders on a mission to buy tapestries. They were mainly based in Bruges, where they purchased at least forty tapestries, including a set of The Story of King Ahasuerus for the king.

---


90 Barcelona, ACA, Real Cancillería, Registros, número 2326, folio 126: “Item dos drap des ras grans on es la Istorie de Sent Anthoni de diverses figures e colors.” Jaume Massó Torrents, “Inventari dels bens mobles del Rey Martí d’Aragó,” Revue hispanique 12 (1905): 413–590 at 157, no. 1674; also see note 84.

91 During the second half of the fifteenth century, Bruges became an important city for the tapestry trade. Little is known about tapestry patronage there during the fifteenth century, but by the 1480s the city’s role in the tapestry trade had diminished significantly. Unlike Brussels, Tourna and Lille, Bruges was not a city renowned for producing high-quality, historiated tapestries. The purchases of tapestries by King Alfonso V’s agents illustrates that by the middle of the fifteenth century, historiated tapestries were being traded and sold on the market and therefore were not solely commissioned on an individual basis. Guy Delmarcel and Erik Duverger, Bruges et la tapisserie (Bruges/Mouscron: Louis de Poortere, 1987), 47; Thomas P. Campbell, Tapestry in the Renaissance: Art and Magnificence (New York/New Haven: Metropolitan Museum of Art/Yale University Press, 2002), 36–37.
The royal patronage of tapestry from Flanders continued to develop during the reign of the Catholic Kings. By the time of Isabel I’s death, she had collected over 370 tapestries.92 In 1497, Fernando II and Isabel I’s son, Juan of Aragón and Castile, Infante of Spain (1478–1497), married Archduchess Margaret of Austria (1480–1530).93 Through this connection, Spanish patronage of tapestries from the North flourished. Margaret of Austria later became Regent of the Netherlands (1507–1515) and guardian to Charles V (1500–1558), the future King of Castile and Aragón (r.1516–1556) and Holy Roman Emperor (r.1530–1556), one of the celebrated tapestry collectors of the sixteenth century.94 The patronage of tapestry by Charles V was one of the ways he demonstrated his authority and power as a Habsburg emperor. His numerous commissions, along with other members of the Spanish monarchy, form a significant part of the Spanish Crown’s tapestry collection.95

This introduction to the great quantities and variety of subjects of tapestries commissioned in France and Aragón at the end of the fourteenth century and in Spain during the fifteenth and sixteenth centuries has demonstrated that the Passion tapestries bequeathed to Zaragoza Cathedral in 1456 upon the death of the Archbishop, Dalmau de Mur, are a rare surviving example of the early collection of tapestries during the early fifteenth century. As

92 For bibliography, see note 18.

93 Margaret of Austria was the daughter of Maximilian I of Habsburg, Holy Roman Emperor (r.1508–1519) and Marie, Duchess of Burgundy (r.1477–1482).

94 Charles V was the son of Philippe I le Beau, King of Castile (r.1504–1506) and Juana I la Loca, Queen of Castile (r.1505–1555). As King of Spain, he was known as Charles I. Charles V had many titles, the most important among them include: Duke of Burgundy (r.1506–1556); King of Naples and Sicily (r.1516–1554); Archduke of Austria, (r.1519–1521); and King of the Romans (r.1519–1530).

such, Dalmau de Mur’s commission marks the beginning of an important phase in the cultural interaction between Spain and the North.
2.0 THE STATE OF AFFAIRS IN THE KINGDOM OF ARAGÓN:
AN INTRODUCTION TO THE FAMILY OF DALMAU DE MUR AND SIGNIFICANT RELIGIOUS AND HISTORICAL EVENTS

Dalmau de Mur is not a well-known figure today outside of Spain, but an examination of his commissions and acquisitions of altarpieces, panel paintings, illuminated manuscripts and tapestries confirms that he was one of the leading Catalan ecclesiastical patrons of the arts during the first half of the fifteenth century in the kingdom of Aragón. This chapter introduces the noble Catalan family of Dalmau de Mur to illustrate their significant social standing in the late medieval kingdom of Aragón. During his lifetime, Dalmau de Mur was able to cultivate and benefit from vital ecclesiastical and political connections, many of which were based upon an intricate network of marriage alliances within his family. Consequently, Dalmau had the opportunity to become an important figure in both the sacred and secular spheres of Catalonia, Aragón and beyond.
2.1 THE KINGDOM OF ARAGÓN

The kingdom of Aragón was united with Catalonia in 1164, when Alfonso II, Count of Barcelona (r.1162–1196), inherited the Aragonese throne. The kingdom was further expanded under Jaime I el Conquistador, King of Aragón (r.1213–1276), who conquered Mallorca in 1229 and Valencia in 1239. Eventually, Mallorca became a separate kingdom in 1276 under King Jaime I’s son, Jaime II, King of Mallorca (r.1276–1311); however, in 1343, Pedro IV el Ceremonioso, King of Aragón (r.1336–1387), reclaimed the kingdom of Mallorca from Jaime III el Temerario (r.1324-1349).

Territorial acquisitions beyond the Iberian Peninsula reached as far as Italy. For instance, earlier, in 1282, Pedro III el Grande, King of Aragón (r.1276–1285), invaded the island of Sicily, seizing the throne from Charles I, Duke of Anjou (r.1266–1282). In 1326, Jaime II el Justo, King of Aragón (r.1267–1327), invited the kingdom of Sardinia, which had been granted to him by Pope Boniface VIII (r.1294–1303) in 1297. Subsequently, a viceroy governed the kingdom of Sardinia in the name of the King of Aragón. Later, in 1443, Alfonso V el Magnánimo, King of Aragón (r.1416–1458), captured Angevin-ruled Naples and reigned as Alfonso I (r.1442–1458). The kingdom of Aragón, during the lifetime of Dalmau de Mur (1376–


98 Not to be confused with Jaime II, King of Mallorca (r.1276–1311).
1456), therefore, encompassed the regions of Aragón, Catalonia, Valencia, Mallorca, Sicily, Sardinia, Corsica and Naples.

In addition to these vast territorial expansions and conquests, the kingdom of Aragón was threatened by civil war in 1410 when a two-year interregnum began upon the death of Martín I el Humano, King of Aragón (r.1396–1410), who left no heir.\textsuperscript{99} Moreover, significant religious and political events were taking place abroad, particularly in France and Italy, including the Hundred Years’ War between France and England (1337–1453); the papal schism (1378–1415); the turbulent reign of Charles VI le Fol, King of France (1380–1422); the split of the Valois dynasty into the Burgundian and Armagnac factions; and the competing territorial claims to Naples made by the house of Anjou and the kingdom of Aragón (See Appendix A: Chronological Table: Significant Ecclesiastical and Secular Events before and during Dalmau de Mur’s Lifetime, 1376–1456).

All of these events set the stage for a complex landscape within which Dalmau de Mur’s life and ecclesiastical career must be situated. The impact of the papal schism and the kingdom of Aragón’s intimate involvement with it are significant and will be discussed in detail below. From the art historical perspective, the installation of the papal curia in Avignon (before and during the papal schism) endowed the city with a magnificent flourishing of the arts, bringing together artists from the courts of France, Italy and Spain, among others.\textsuperscript{100}

\textsuperscript{99} The interregnum began on May 31, 1410 and ended on June 28, 1412.


He was born in 1375 in the city of Cervera (in central Catalonia).\footnote{Located in the county of Segarra in the diocese of Lleida. Diccionari d’història eclesiàstica de Catalunya, 3 vols. (Barcelona: Generalitat de Catalunya/Claret, 1998–2001), s.v., “Mur i de Cervelló, Dalmau de.” By contrast, Ribera identified the city in which Dalmau was born as Albi, see Enrique Ribera, La baronia y los barones de Albi (Lleida: Instituto de Estudios Ilerdenses, 1944), 6.} His parents, Lluís de Mur (d.1408) and Beatriu Alemany de Cervelló i de Queralt (dates unknown), were Baron and Baroness of Mur, Albi and Cervià.\footnote{Lluís de Mur’s death date comes from the Gran Enciclopèdia Catalana, 2nd ed., 27 vols. (Barcelona: Enciclopèdia Catalana, 1988), s.v., “Mur.” Beatriu Alemany de Cervelló i de Queralt was one of ten children of Ramon Alemany de Cervelló i de Cardona (Ramón Alamán de Cervelló, d.1405) and Beatriu de Queralt i de...} Lluís de Mur was...
connected with the Aragonese royalty. For example, in 1392, he accompanied the Infante Martín, Duke of Montblanc (the future Martín I el Humano, King of Aragón) to Sicily. Dalmau de Mur’s testament mentions his father, Lluís de Mur (Ludovicus de Muro), in relation to a donation of money to the Cathedral of Lleida. Lluís de Mur, in his own testament, gave a gift of 10,000 sueldos jaqueses to the chapel of Guerau de Requesens, Bishop of Lleida (r.1387–1399), for the remission of his sins. To complement this beneficence, Dalmau de Mur offered 25 libre jaccensis to be given in the name of his father.

Lluís de Mur and Beatriu had five children, several of whom had powerful ties that were advanced because of astute marriage alliances. The following synopsis of the lives of Dalmau’s siblings (and their children) aims to demonstrate that the aristocratic members of the de Mur family made and cultivated impressive political and religious alliances. Moreover, an analysis of the extended family elucidates the issue of inheritance in relation to Dalmau de Mur. The inheritance of the worldly goods left by Dalmau de Mur is evident in his testament, where he identifies his nephew (his niece’s husband) as the primary heir, in addition to making specific bequests to named persons and institutions. Before introducing Dalmau’s four siblings, it should be noted that the only known and secure birth date of any of the five de Mur children is

---

Rocamberti (n.d.). The *Gran Enciclopèdia Catalana* states that Beatriu Alemany de Cervelló possibly married a second time to Roger Montcada, Baron of Aiton (d.1413), but this is incorrect. Roger married Beatriu de Milany, not Beatriu Alemany de Cervelló. *Gran Enciclopèdia Catalana*, 2nd ed., 27 vols. (Barcelona: Enciclopèdia Catalana, 1988), s.v., “Cervelló,” “Montcada,” and “Queralt.” Mur is located in the county of Pallars Jussà, while Albi and Cervià are in the county of Garrigues. Both the counties of Pallars Jussà and Garrigues are located in the diocese of Lleida.

104 Zaragoza, ADPZ, MS 164, folio 440v.

105 Zaragoza, ADPZ, MS 164, folio 440v: “Item cum nobilis genitor noster dominus Ludovicus de Muro in suo ultimo testamento statuisset quod in sede illerdensis institueret unum beneficium perpetuum in capella domini Geraldii illerdensis episcopus assignassetque dicto beneficio decem mille solidos jaccensis ex quibus emergentur viginti et quinque libre jaccensis ad sustentationem beneficiati qui ipsi beneficio deserviret ad laudem ominpotentis Dei . . .”

106 See Chapter I and Chapter IV an examination of his testament dated March 4, 1454.
that of Dalmau. My extensive research on the de Mur family, which was conducted at the Biblioteca de Catalunya Arxiu Històric in Barcelona, presents a different birth order of the five children than has been suggested in other sources (see Table 1).  

Table 1. Reconstruction of the de Mur Family Tree

The barony of Mur was inherited by Hug Pere de Mur i de Cervelló (d. after 1430), probably the first- or second-born child of Lluís and Beatrìu. Very little is known about Hug, but as Baron of Mur, he likely held a prominent position, such as a knight. It is documented that he was involved with military engagements lead by King Alfonso V. In April 1424, a marriage

107 I thank Reis Fontanals Jaumà, who generously offered her assistance with my research on Dalmau de Mur and his family. The birth order I challenge is implied by the Gran Enciclopèdia Catalana, 2nd ed., 27 vols. (Barcelona: Enciclopèdia Catalana, 1988), s.v., “Mur,” where the five children are presented in the following order: Hug Pere, Lluís, Dalmau, Aldonça and Acard.

108 Dies after June 17, 1430, the year his testament was written, see Barcelona, Biblioteca de Catalunya Arxiu Històric (hereafter, BCAH), 12851 9–VI–2; earlier testament is dated June 21, 1429, Barcelona, BCAH, 17853 Perg. XIX.

109 My conclusion is based on a royal document, Barcelona, BCAH, 17853 Perg. XIX; discussed below, note 113.
contract was drawn up between Hug and Blanca de Maça de Liçana (Maza de Lizana; dates unknown). Together Hug and Blanca had two daughters, Brianda Pere de Mur (Petri de Mur; ca.1426–d. after 1487) and Isabel de Mur (ca.1429–d. after 1474). Isabel is named in Dalmau’s testament to receive silk garments, Turkish bedcovers and a red carpet.

Just before Isabel’s birth, Hug officially expressed his wishes concerning the inheritance of the barony of Mur since it was unknown at the time if he would have a male heir. A document dated June 21, 1429, recorded by Ramon Battle (proto-notary of the Royal Chancellery), was drawn up when Hug was stationed in King Alfonso V’s royal encampment. In this document, Hug states that the barony of Mur would be given to the male descendent of his first-born daughter, Brianda, if the child at the time in his wife’s womb were not a boy. It was Isabel who was the child born later that year and thus the barony of Mur was eventually given as part of Brianda’s dowry upon her marriage to Nicolau Carròs d’Arborea (Nicolás Carròz de Arborea, Carroç de Arborea; b.1426–d.1479). Nicolau held many titles—most

10 Blanca is the daughter of Pere Maça de Liçana and the niece of Isabel Maça (widow Eiximén Pérez de Arenós), brother of Pere Maça de Liçana. Barcelona, BCAH, 11880 9–II–1; 15002 Perg. VI. The marriage contract between Hug and Blanca survives in numerous copies at Barcelona, BCAH, 11880 9–II–1; 11881 9–II–1; 11913 9–II–1; 11918 9–II–1; 11919 9–II–1; 11983 9–VII–1; 15002 Perg. VI; 15017 Perg. VI; 15048 Perg. VI.

11 Brianda was misidentified as Dalmau’s sister instead of his niece by Enrique Ribera, La baronia y los barones de Albi (Lleida: Instituto de Estudios Ilerdenses, 1944), 6. I calculated Brianda’s birth year from a document (Barcelona, BCAH, 15919 9–IV–4) dated February 10, 1446 that identifies Brianda as 20 years of age, while her sister Isabel is recorded as being 17 years old. The year of Brianda’s death is based on her testament dated February 15, 1487, Barcelona, BCAH, 12661 9–III–1. Isabel de Mur is referred to as Isabel d’Urrea i de Mur in Barcelona, BCAH, 10213 10–III–2 and 10214 10–III–2. Both documents concern a papal indulgence and absolution in favor of Isabel and her father, Hug de Mur (Dalmau’s brother) in the year 1474 for having donated money for the campaign against the Ottoman Turks (probably for the crusades renewed by Pope Sixtus IV, r.1471–1484).

12 Zaragoza, ADPZ, MS 164, folio 450v: “Item vull que sia data dona Ysabel de Urrea e de Mur ma neboda la capa vert de saya de Milà que ha lo capell forrat de cetí carmesí e la clotxa morada de saya ab son caperó e la alquella turquesca am una catiffa vermella de dues . . .”

13 Barcelona, BCAH, 17853 Perg. XIX.

14 Nicolau was the son of Francesc d’Arborea (d.1426) and Beatriu de Mur, but I have been unable to determine his mother’s relationship to Dalmau, whose mother had the same name. Beatriu de Mur is not listed as one of the ten siblings of Dalmau’s father, Lluís de Mur, so perhaps she is one of his siblings’ children, thus, she would be
notably, King Juan II named him Royal Chancellor and Viceroy to the kingdom of Sardinia in 1460, a position he maintained until his death in 1479. As recorded in Dalmau de Mur’s testament, Nicolau Carròs de Arborea (identified as “my nephew”) is named as the primary heir. In his testament, Dalmau left to Nicolau black silk curtains that were embroidered with double crowns, in addition to two large Castilian carpets with the coat of arms of the de Mur family. Brianda was to be given a scarlet cape, which had yet to be used, as well as a green cloak of silk from Milan and its hood. Nicolau and Brianda had three children: Dalmau Carròs d’Arborea i Mur (d.1478), Beatriu Carròs d’Arborea i Mur (d.1499) and Estefanía Carròs d’Arborea i Mur (d. after 1512).


115 Nicolau Carròs d’Arborea was also Lord of the Castle of La Fava, Baron of Posada (titled created for him in 1431), Lord of Mandas, Lord of Las Encontradas, he was butler to Juana de Aragón, Infanta of the kingdom of Aragón (1454–1517), who in 1476 married Fernando I, King of Naples (r.1458–1494). *Gran Enciclopèdia Catalana*, 2nd ed., 27 vols. (Barcelona: Enciclopèdia Catalana, 1988), s.v., “Carròs.” It is erroneously stated that he was Count of Eivissa (Ibiza) by Enrique Ribera, *La baronia y los barones de Albi* (Lleida: Instituto de Estudios Ilerdenses, 1944), 6.

116 Zaragoza, ADPZ, MS 164, folios 448, 439v, 449v and 450–450v. Nicolau is identified as the universal heir on folio 448.

117 Zaragoza, ADPZ, MS 164, folios 450–450v: “*Per mi designat scrit en la forma siguient primo vull que sia donat a Nicolau Carròz mon nebot les cortines de cetí negre sembrades a les corones dobles e les dues grans catiffes o affombres castellanes on són les armes de Mur.*”

118 Zaragoza, ADPZ, MS 164, folios 450v: “*Item vull que sia dat a dona Brianda ma neboda la cap de grana la qual encara no ha servit e la clotxa de saya de Milà vert ab sos caperons.*”

119 Dalmau Carròs d’Arborea i de Mur’s year of death comes from *Gran Enciclopèdia Catalana*, 2nd ed., 27 vols. (Barcelona: Enciclopèdia Catalana, 1988), s.v., “Carròs.” By contrast, I have established that Estanía Carròs d’Arborea i de Mur died sometime after October 10, 1512 when she was involved in a lawsuit (Barcelona, BCAH, 7205 Arm. 79 núm. 75). She also granted power of attorney to two lawyers on August 8, 1500 when she was trying to recover money from the Governor of Valencia (Barcelona, BCAH, 15784 I–IV–2).
The other possible first-born child of Lluís and Beatriu is Acard de Mur i de Cervelló (d.1415). In April 1399, Acard was knighted at the coronation ceremonies of King Martín I el Humano in Zaragoza. Acard was involved with the military expedition to Sardinia in 1409 and three years later, he was chosen by the Catalan parliament to serve as Captain-General. In 1413, Fernando I, King of Aragón and Sicily (r.1412–1416), appointed Acard as Governor of Cagliari and Gallura, a post he maintained until his death in 1415.

Acard inherited the baronies of Albi and Cervià from his father. In 1403, at the Castle of Cardona, he married Elfa de Cardona i de Luna (d.1420). Elfa de Cardona i de Luna is the daughter of Hug II, Count of Cardona (d.1400), and Beatriu de Luna i de Xèrica. Elfa’s sister, Aldonça de Cardona i de Luna, married Dalmau’s uncle Guereau. Elfa and Aldonça were the cousins of Pedro Martínez de Luna, Anti-pope Benedict XIII. Acard and Elfa had three...

---


121 In 1396, when Martín I succeeded his brother Juan I el Cazador (r.1387–1396) as King of Aragón, Martín I was Lord of Sicily. Due to a politically unstable situation he was forced to remain in Sicily until 1397 and it was his wife, María de Luna (1358–1407), who returned to Aragón to claim the throne on his behalf. Martín I’s election to the throne was also challenged by Mathieu, Count of Foix (r.1391–1398) because of the lineage of his wife, Juana of Aragón (daughter of King Juan I). In the end, Mathieu’s attempt failed. Martín I’s coronation ceremonies did not occur until 1399, upon his return from Sicily. See Bonifacio Palacios Martín, La coronación de los reyes de Aragón, 1204–1410: aportación al estudio de las estructuras políticas medievales (Valencia: Anubar, 1975), 272–273; Gran Enciclopèdia Catalana, 2nd ed., 27 vols. (Barcelona: Enciclopèdia Catalana, 1988), s.v., “Mur i de Cervelló, Acard de.” Henry John Chaytor, A History of Aragon and Catalonia (London: Methuen, 1933), 197–200; also available at the Library of Iberian Resources online: http://libro.uca.edu/chaytor/achistory.htm (20 April 2008).


123 Cagliari is a province and Gallura is a region on the island of Sardinia. Gran Enciclopèdia Catalana, 2nd ed., 27 vols. (Barcelona: Enciclopèdia Catalana, 1988), s.v., “Mur i de Cervelló, Acard de.”


125 For more on the Luna family connection, see note 161.
children. The first, a son, Acard Pere de Mur i de Cardona (d.1410), was followed by two daughters, Violant Lluïsa de Mur i de Cardona (d.1467) and Valentina de Mur i de Cardona (dates unknown). Due to the premature death of the male child, the baronies of Albi and Cervià were passed down to Violant. In 1418, Violant married Ponç de Perellós (Pons de Perillos; d. ca.1437), Lord of Tous, and together they had one daughter, Elfa de Perellós i de Mur (d.1495). At some point, Violant married Frederic d’Aragó i de Sicília, Count of Luna (1402–1438). Frederic was the illegitimate son of the Infante, Martín I el Joven, King of Sicily (1374–1409, r.1390–1409), and his mistress, Tarsia Rizzari (dates unknown). The Infante Martín I died in 1409, leaving his father, King Martín I el Humano, with no living heirs to whom to pass the throne of Aragón. He attempted to make his illegitimate grandson, Frederic, his

126 Of the three children, only two children (Acard and Violant) are identified by Enrique Ribera, *La baronia y los barones de Albi* (Lleida: Instituto de Estudios Ilerdenses, 1944), 12–13; while only Violant and Valentina are mentioned in the entry in *Gran Enciclopèdia Catalana*, 2nd ed., 27 vols. (Barcelona: Enciclopèdia Catalana, 1988), s.v., “Mur i de Cervelló, Acard de.”


129 Ponç was the son of Ponç de Perellós (d.1416) and Maria van Steenhoont, who met at the French court, where he served as a chamberlain to Violant(e)/Yolande de Bar. Violant de Bar was first married to Juan I el Cazador, King of Aragón, r.1387–1396 and then married Louis II d’Anjou, King of Naples, r.1384–1417. During the interregnum in Aragón, Queen Violante and Louis II’s son, Louis III d’Anjou who was one of the claimants to the throne upon the death of King Martín I (Queen Violant’s uncle) at the Compromise of Caspe (see note 158). According to Enrique Ribera, *La baronia y los barones de Albi* (Lleida: Instituto de Estudios Ilerdenses, 1944), 13, the marriage took place in 1418. Ponç was Lord of Tous, which is located is in the county of Ribera Alta, a province of Valencia. *Gran Enciclopèdia Catalana*, 2nd ed., 27 vols. (Barcelona: Enciclopèdia Catalana, 1988), s.v., “Perellós,” and s.v., “Perellós i van Steenhout, Ponç de.”

130 It is stated that the union occurred in 1426, though this seems unlikely, since she is still married to Ponç; however, the two of the three entries *Gran Enciclopèdia Catalana* say that the union was a secret marriage. The 1426 date and the secret marriage comes from *Gran Enciclopèdia Catalana*, 2nd ed., 27 vols. (Barcelona: Enciclopèdia Catalana, 1988), s.v., “Mur.” The secret marriage is mentioned s.v., “Aragó i de Sicília, Frederic d’,” but not s.v., “Perellós i van Steenhout, Ponç de.”

131 Martín I el Joven not to be confused with his father, King Martín I el Humano (r.1396–1410). The Infante Martín I el Joven died in 1409, the year before his father, King Martín I el Humano died. Since there was no living legitimate successor to the Aragonese throne upon the death of King Martín I, a two-year interregnum ensued. *Gran Enciclopèdia Catalana*, 2nd ed., 27 vols. (Barcelona: Enciclopèdia Catalana, 1988), s.v., “Aragó i de Sicília, Frederic d’.”
successor, but the endeavor failed. Upon King Martín I’s death in 1410, a two-year interregnum began and Frederic was one of the many claimants to the throne. The official election of the new king to the throne of Aragón did not occur until 1412 at the Compromise of Caspe; yet, in the end, Frederic was not chosen as king. The relationship between Violant and Frederic ended and it is unclear what happened to her between their separation and her death in 1467.

By contrast, more details are recorded about her younger sister, Valentina, who ended up running away to Castile with her sister Violant’s second husband, Frederic. After Frederic died in 1438, Valentina married Carlos de Guevara (dates unknown), Lord of Escalante. Sometime after the death of Carlos, Valentina entered the royal convent of Santa Clara of Tordesillas with the permission of the King of Castile and León.

132 Anti-pope Benedict XIII and Blanche I de Navarre, Queen of Sicily (r.1402–1409) and Queen regent of Navarre (r.1425–1441), were also in support. Blanche was the second wife of Frederic’s father, the Infante Martín el Joven. Benedict XIII supported the advancement of Frederic until King Martín I died at which point he switched his allegiance to Fernando de Trastámara, Infante of Castile, who would in return support Benedict XIII’s place as the legitimate pope. Gran Enciclopèdia Catalana, 2nd ed., 27 vols. (Barcelona: Enciclopèdia Catalana, 1988), s.v., “Aragó i de Sicília, Frederic d’”; and s.v., “Benet XIII;” Luis Suárez Fernández, Benedicto XIII: antipapa o Papa? 1328–1423 (Barcelona: Ariel, 2002), 260–264; Simon Barton, A History of Spain (Basingstoke, Hampshire/New York: Palgrave Macmillan, 2004), 85.

133 The other candidates included Jaume II, Count of Urgell; Louis III, Duke of Anjou (also known as Lluís, Duke of Calàbria); Fernando de Trastámara, Infante of Castile; Alfonso of Aragón and Foix (also known as Alfonso, Duke of Gandía), who died in 1412 and was then replaced by his son, who shared the same name, yet he was challenged by his uncle, Juan de Prades and Foix. In the end, Fernando de Trastámara was crowned as Fernando I, King of Aragon (r.1412–1416). Pilar Farrés i Busquets, Cent dates clau de la història de Catalunya (Barcelona: Edicions 62, 1997), 46–47.

134 Pilar Farrés i Busquets, Cent dates clau de la història de Catalunya (Barcelona: Edicions 62, 1997), 46–47.


138 Located in the province of Valldolid in Castile and León, the convent was first the royal palace of Alfonso XI, King of Castile and León (r.1311–1350). In 1363, Pedro I, King of Castile and León (r.1350–1366) donated the building to the Sisters of Saint Clare (the Poor Clares) for use as a convent. Narciso Feliu de la Peña y Farell, Anales de Cataluña, 3 vols. (1709; Barcelona: Editorial Base, 1999), vol. 2, 447; and Gran Enciclopèdia Catalana,
Perhaps the third-born child was Dalmau (b.1375; discussed below). He was probably born after Hug and Acard, but possibly born before his brother, Lluís de Mur i de Cervelló (d. before 1454), who, like Dalmau, also devoted his life to religious service. Lluís de Mur is mentioned as deceased in Dalmau de Mur’s testament written in 1454. His name is found twice in the document (folios 443v and 449): in the first instance he is referred to as, “nobilem religiosum dominum Ludovicum de Muro fratrem nostrum,” while in the second instance, he is simply called, “mon frare.” Both references are in relation to some houses on the Plaça Santa Anna in Barcelona that Lluís had bequeathed to Dalmau. In his testament, Dalmau requests that his executors sell the houses in Barcelona and the funds given to the primary heir, Nicolau Carròs.

Lluís was a member of the military-religious order, the Knights Hospitallers. Similar to the Knights Templars, the Hospitallers were defenders of the Holy Land and the order was officially sanctioned and protected by the papacy since 1113. It is not known when Lluís de

---

139 Gran Enciclopèdia Catalana, 2nd ed., 27 vols. (Barcelona: Enciclopèdia Catalana, 1988), s.v., “Mur;” and “Tordesillas;” Carmen García-Frías Checa, Convento de Santa Clara, Tordesillas (Madrid: Patrimonio Nacional, 1999), 11, 26. Many of the royal, ecclesiastical, papal and administration documents of Santa Clara have been published. The majority concern privileges, mercies, thanks, exemptions, donations, sales and testaments, but Valentina is not mentioned in those that have been published, see Margarita González Cristóbal, Monasterio de Santa Clara de Tordesillas, 1316–1936 (Madrid: Patrimonio Nacional, 1987). To enter the convent, Valentina would have needed the permission of the king. Based on the date range, this is likely to have been either Juan II, King of Castile and León (r.1406–1454) or Enrique IV el Impotente, King of Castile and León (r.1454–1474).

140 The origins of the order begin in Jerusalem around the 1070s at which time they were called the Hospitallers of Saint John of Jerusalem. Over time with the conquest and acquisition of various lands, the order was also known as the Hospitallers of Rhodes (1306–1522) and then later called the Knights of Malta (1530–1798). For more on the origins, history and organization of the order, see Anthony Luttrell, The Hospitallers in Cyprus, Rhodes, Greece and the West, 1291–1440: Collected Studies (London: Variorum Reprints, 1978); Helen Nicholson, The Knights Hospitaller (Woodbridge/Rochester: Boydell and Brewer, 2001), 1–17, 43–97. For a survey of the history of the Knights in Spain during the Middle Ages, see Carlos Barquero Goñi, Los caballeros hospitalarios en España durante la Edad Media, siglos XII–XV (Burgos: Editorial La Olmeda, 2003).

Mur joined the order, but at some point he was promoted to Commander of Tortosa. In 1430, he was appointed seneschal of Antoni de Fluvia, Grand Master of the Knights Hospitaller in Rhodes (1421–1437). Since the order of the Knights Hospitallers was based on vows of poverty, chastity and obedience, Lluís would have (supposedly) remained celibate during his lifetime and should therefore have left no heirs.

Finally, Dalmau’s only sister, Aldonça de Mur i de Cervelló (dates unknown), could have been born first, last or somewhere in between. Before 1416, Aldonça married Bernat Galceran II de Pinós-Fenollet (b. before 1396–1443), a nobleman. Bernat, known as el Cavaller, was Viscount of Illa and Canet, Lord of Pinós, Bagà, Mataplana, la Portella, Lluçà, Milany and Vall de Toses. Together, Aldonça and Bernat had three children: Galceran VII de Pinós-Fenollet i

---

142 A commander (a bailiwick) of an estate was in charge of a property (known as a commandery) that comprised a house with a chapel, hall, kitchen, dormitory and supporting farm buildings. Sometimes they included a cloister or operated a hospice. Often the commander was in charge of recruitment and training of members, in addition to collecting donations for the order. The commandery was the central part of contemplative life within the order. Upper members of the order typically elected the commander, but there are instances when the anti-popes—namely, Benedict XIII (r.1394–1417) and John XXIII (r.1410–1415)—assumed control by directly conferring the title of commander within France, Spain and Catalonia. See Gran Enciclopèdia Catalana, 2nd ed., 27 vols. (Barcelona: Enciclopèdia Catalana, 1988), s.v., “Mur;” Helen Nicholson, The Knights Hospitaller (Woodbridge/Rochester: Boydell and Brewer, 2001), 49, 77–80.

143 The title of Grand Master held great significance within the political realm and functioned as a major figure within Christendom. Fluvia had been stationed in Rhodes since 1411. He was first in charge of the order’s finances (known as the draper) and in 1421 he was promoted to the position of Master of the Knights of the Hospitallers in Rhodes. While in command, he is credited for constructing new city walls and the tower of Saint George. He also founded an infirmary for the Order and a chapel in the convent-church of Saint John. See Anthony Luttrell, The Hospitallers in Cyprus, Rhodes, Greece and the West, 1291–1440: Collected Studies (London: Variorum Reprints, 1978), I–311, XIII–389; Gran Enciclopèdia Catalana, 2nd ed., 27 vols. (Barcelona: Enciclopèdia Catalana, 1988), s.v., “Fluvia, Antoni de,” and s.v., “Mur;” Borja de Riquer i Pernanyer et al., ed., Història, política, societat i cultura dels Països catalans, 13 vols. (Barcelona: Enciclopèdia Catalana, 1995–1999), vol. 3, 178–180; Helen Nicholson, The Knights Hospitaller (Woodbridge/Rochester: Boydell and Brewer, 2001), 70, 90.

144 The vows of celibacy were not always obeyed; see Anthony Luttrell, The Hospitallers in Cyprus, Rhodes, Greece and the West, 1291–1440: Collected Studies (London: Variorum Reprints, 1978), I–279.


de Mur (d.1470); Joan de Pinós-Fenollet i de Mur (d. after 1465), who was a member of the
Knights Hospitallers, like his uncle, Lluís; and Francesc Galceran de Pinós-Fenollet i de Mur
(ca.1416–1457).\textsuperscript{147}

With this brief introduction to Dalmau’s siblings, it is apparent that the political and
religious connections forged through prestigious marriage alliances allowed the de Mur family to
buttress its power and authority. In addition to the prominent positions held by members of the
family, valuable political associations were further achieved through their uncle (brother of their
mother, Beatriu Alemany de Cervelló), Guerau Alemany de Cervelló (1370–1418), who was
Governor-General of Catalonia (1404–1418).\textsuperscript{148} In 1398, Guerau, as an ambassador of King
Martín I of Aragón, visited Anti-pope Benedict XIII and King Charles VI of France. In 1401, he
visited the kingdom of Castile to gain alliance with the anti-pope, Benedict XIII, with whom the
kingdom of Aragón was intimately aligned; and later he was sent as an Aragonese ambassador to
the Council of Pisa in 1409.\textsuperscript{149} He was married to Aldonça de Cardona i de Luna (d.1445), sister
to Elfa de Cardona i de Mur who was married to Acard de Mur, Dalmau de Mur’s brother; both
Aldonça and Elfa were the cousins of Pedro Martínez de Luna, Anti-Pope Benedict XIII.\textsuperscript{150}
Together Guerau and Aldonça had two children (hence, Dalmau’s cousins): Gueray Alemany de
Cervelló i Cardona (d.1489) and Beatriu de Cervelló i Cardona (d.1474).\textsuperscript{151}

\textsuperscript{147} Gran Enciclopèdia Catalana, 2\textsuperscript{nd} ed., 27 vols. (Barcelona: Enciclopèdia Catalana, 1988), s.v., “Pinós,” s.v.,
“Pinós-Fenollet i de Mur, Galceran de,” and s.v., “Pinós-Fenollet i de Mur, Francesc Galceran de.”

\textsuperscript{148} Guerau Alemany de Cervelló was Lord of Baronies of Querol, Montagut, Subirats i Sant Martí and Sarroca. Gran
Enciclopèdia Catalana, 2\textsuperscript{nd} ed., 27 vols. (Barcelona: Enciclopèdia Catalana, 1988), s.v., “Cervelló,” and s.v.,
“Cervelló I de Queralt, Guerau Alemany de.” See note 103.

\textsuperscript{149} Gran Enciclopèdia Catalana, 2\textsuperscript{nd} ed., 27 vols. (Barcelona: Enciclopèdia Catalana, 1988), s.v., “Cervelló.”

\textsuperscript{150} Gran Enciclopèdia Catalana, 2\textsuperscript{nd} ed., 27 vols. (Barcelona: Enciclopèdia Catalana, 1988), s.v., “Cervelló.” Also
see notes 125 and 161.

\textsuperscript{151} Gran Enciclopèdia Catalana, 2\textsuperscript{nd} ed., 27 vols. (Barcelona: Enciclopèdia Catalana, 1988), s.v., “Cervelló.”
The Governor-General was a prominent and powerful position within the administrative structure of Catalonia, known as the Generalitat de Catalunya. As Governor-General, Guerau reported directly to the King of Aragón and he also participated in the judicial process that took place in parliament (the corts in Catalan, cortes in Castilian). It should be noted, however, that the parliament was a very powerful governmental body and, in effect, limited the authority of the monarchy—the King of Aragón did not have carte blanche concerning certain matters, especially the financing of military campaigns. The king, however, was the only one who could summon a parliamentary session and in the event of his absence, certain powers, mostly military in nature, were delegated to the Governor-General.

In 1410, when Guerau had only served two years as Governor-General, King Martín I died without a legitimate heir to succeed him, resulting in a two-year interregnum. During this period the kingdom of Aragón was in danger of civil war because of different factions, both within and outside of the realm, vying for power. Guerau appeared before the parliament, on

152 Under the reign of Pedro IV el Ceremonioso, King of Aragón (r.1336–1387), the structure of the government was reorganized into four branches, one of which was a parliament. Moreover, within the kingdom of Aragón, there existed a separate parliament for Aragón, Catalonia and Valencia. The Catalanian Parliament (corts) was not a permanent institution, but that changed in 1359 when parliament became one branch of the Government of Catalonia, the Generalitat de Catalunya (also referred to as the Disputació del General). The corts had representatives from three branches (braç), one royal, one military and one religious, and normally met every three years. In addition to the representatives, the corts was presided over by the King and the Governor-General. For more, see Francesco Cesare Casula, “L’ordinamento della Corona d’Aragona nei secc. XIV e XV,” in La corona de Aragón en el Mediterráneo: un legado común para España e Italia, 1282–1492 (Madrid: Ministerio de cultura, Dirección general de bellas artes y archivos, 1988): 15–21 at 17–20; Borja de Riquer i Permanyer et al., ed., Historia, política, societat i cultura dels Països catalans, 13 vols. (Barcelona: Enciclopèdia Catalana, 1995–1999), vol. 3, 296–303; Pilar Farrès i Busquets, Cent dats clau de la història de Catalunya (Barcelona: Edicions 62, 1997), 42–43; Francesc Xavier Hernández, Història de Catalunya: història i memòria, 2nd ed. (Barcelona: Pòrtic, 1998), 94–95; Simon Barton, A History of Spain (Basingstoke, Hampshire/New York: Palgrave Macmillan, 2004), 77–80.

153 For more, see Peter Rycraft, “The Role of the Catalan Corts in the Later Middle Ages,” English Historical Review 89, no. 351 (April, 1974): 241–269; and see above note 152.

154 For more, see Peter Rycraft, “The Role of the Catalan Corts in the Later Middle Ages,” English Historical Review 89, no. 351 (April, 1974): 241–269 at 245.
September 30, 1410 to present a speech. He passionately argued that King Martin I had made him Governor-General for life and, therefore, in the absence of a reigning king he could act as proxy for the king and claim presidency of the parliament. At this point, the question of authority hung in the balance between the Governor-General (as surrogate king) and the parliament.

The parliament denied Guerau’s claim and it thus became apparent that Guerau played a far less important role in the government—it was the parliament, not the Governor-General, which was to hold the significant authority and position of the king. As a result, the members of the parliament were no longer to be elected on the basis of an executive order made by the Governor-General; instead, they would be selected by a parliamentary resolution. Over the next two years, things worked out so that the Catalan parliament resolved the matter of succession, which eventually resulted in the election of Fernando I, King of Aragón (r.1412–1416), at the Compromise of Caspe in 1412.

155 The text of Guerau’s speech survives, see Ricard Albert and Joan Gassiot, *Parlaments à les corts catalanes* (Barcelona: Els nostres classics, 1928), 92–94.


157 The expectation was that this new electoral system would remain in place not only during but beyond the interregnum. This turned out not to be the case, however, for in 1411 King Fernando I discharged all members of the parliament (left in the care of their clerks) until a new and different organizational arrangement was set in place. Peter Rycraft, “The Role of the Catalan Corts in the Later Middle Ages,” *English Historical Review* 89, no. 351 (April, 1974): 241–269 at 247, 251–251; Pilar Farrés i Busquets, *Cent dates clau de la història de Catalunya* (Barcelona: Edicions 62, 1997), 42–43.

The events of the interregnum and the newly defined role of Guerau Alemany de Cervelló occurred early in the ecclesiastical career of Dalmau de Mur, but it is not evident if the upheaval and subsequent changes affected him. Furthermore, during the papal schism (1378–1415; discussed below), Aragón had chosen to align itself with Avignon rather than with Rome.

Dalmau de Mur’s early career also coincided with the papal reign in Avignon of the Aragonese noble, Pedro Martínez de Luna (1328–1422/23), known as Anti-pope Benedict XIII (r.1394–1417). Dalmau de Mur was actually related to Pedro Martínez de Luna through the two marriage alliances mentioned above. As noted above, Dalmau de Mur’s brother, Acard, and uncle, Guerau, married the daughters of Hug II, Count of Cardona and Beatriu de Luna i de Xèrica, the latter of whom was the sister of Pedro Martínez de Luna’s father, Juan Martínez de Luna. The Luna family had strong political and ecclesiastical connections. For instance, Maria de Luna (1358–1407) became Queen of Aragón when her husband since 1372, Martín I, became King of Aragón (r.1396–1410). There were also three Luna men who served at one of the most important archdioceses in the kingdom of Aragón, Zaragoza Cathedral: Jimeno

---

159 I mention this because although Dalmau de Mur, by comparison, was an incredibly successful and affluent ecclesiastic, he was never appointed, for instance, as a cardinal or as a papal legate.

160 The exact date Benedict XIII’s death remains a mystery, though it has been narrowed down to either November 29, 1422 or May 23, 1423. Luis Suárez Fernández, Benedicto XIII: antipapa o Papa? 1328–1423 (Barcelona: Ariel, 2002), 307. The term “anti-pope” refers to those popes during the Great Schism and after who are not recognized by the Curia Romana. During Dalmau de Mur’s early career he pledged allegiance to Anti-pope Benedict XIII whom he considered to be the truly ordained pope. Thus it seems appropriate to use “Anti-pope” to avoid confusion—later, Roman Popes often took the same name as an earlier anti-pope, such as the name Benedict XIII, chosen by Pietro Francesco Orsini, who reigned from 1724 to 1730)—and to also acknowledge that in the midst of the Schism, the reigning pope was not regarded as anything other than the legitimate pope.

161 The daughters of Hug II and Beatriu were Elfa de Cardona i de Luna and Aldonça de Cardona i de Luna and were the cousins of Pedro Martínez de Luna, Anti-Pope Benedict XIII. Also see text corresponding to note 125.

Martínez de Luna, Bishop of Zaragoza (r.1296–1317); Pedro Lopéz de Luna, Bishop and then first Archbishop of Zaragoza from 1318 (r.1317–1345); and Lope Fernández de Luna, Archbishop of Zaragoza (r.1351–1380, d.1382).\textsuperscript{163}

Through his association with the Luna family, Dalmau de Mur certainly profited: Anti-pope Benedict XIII sponsored him in several prestigious promotions. Moreover, Dalmau’s interaction with Benedict XIII went beyond an ecclesiastical allegiance. For instance, Benedict XIII’s artistic patronage was impressive—he owned a wealth of expensive rings, metalwork and liturgical vestments, and by the time of his death, he had collected over eleven hundred manuscripts, including the famous \emph{Girona Bible}.\textsuperscript{164} This is in addition to his generous donations of money for building projects at Zaragoza Cathedral, Valderrobres Castle, and the Cistercian Monastery of Santa María de Piedra, as well as gifts of expensive reliquary busts to Zaragoza Cathedral.\textsuperscript{165} His patronage proved influential on the formation of Dalmau’s own collection of luxury objects, as will be examined in the next chapter. Certainly, the kingdom of Aragón, including its royal and religious communities, greatly benefited from having an Aragonese on the papal throne in nearby Avignon.

By introducing Dalmau de Mur’s family,\textsuperscript{166} it is evident that he was not the primary heir to the baronies of Mur, Albi or Cervià and thereby the ecclesiastical path of his life was probably

\textsuperscript{163} Both Pedro Lopéz de Luna and Lope Fernández de Luna are further discussed in Chapter III.

\textsuperscript{164} Benedict XIII’s collection of manuscripts, including the \emph{Girona Bible}, is examined in Chapter III.

\textsuperscript{165} For more on the artistic patronage of Anti-pope Benedict XIII, see José Antonio Parrilla, José Antonio Muñiz and Camilo Caride, \emph{Benedicto XIII: la vida y el tiempo del Papa Luna} (Zaragoza: Caja de Ahorros de la Inmaculada de Aragón, 1987); María Carmen Lacarra Ducay, “Benedicto XIII y el arte,” \emph{Academia: Boletín de la Real Academia de Bellas Artes de San Fernando} 80 (1995): 213–234. Also see note 371.

\textsuperscript{166} The surviving documents that mention Dalmau de Mur only provide a sketchy outline of his life and early ecclesiastical career; whereas later documentation from the fifteenth century is more abundant. Furthermore, many of these primary sources have been either recorded or summarized by later authors, resulting in secondary documents that often cite incorrect dates and present different, if not contradictory, histories.
paved early in his childhood. He was almost certainly educated at the University of Lleida.167 By 1399, he had served as the rector of the parish church in Valls.168 According to Ramón Gaya Massot, Dalmau was a canon at Lleida Cathedral in 1405 and then served as a canon at Girona Cathedral beginning in 1409.169 During the Crown of Aragón’s interregnum (1410–1412), Dalmau, like his uncle Guerau, supported the candidacy of Jaume d’Urgell.170 On April 18, 1411, Anti-pope Benedict XIII appointed Dalmau de Mur as Chancellor of the Estudi General de Lleida—a post he was entitled to hold for life, but Dalmau only served until January 1416.171 


169 Ramón Gaya Massot, *Cancilleres y rectores del estudio general de Lérida* (Lleida: La Editoria Leridana, 1951), 30. This information differs from what is published in *Gran Enciclopèdia Catalana*, 2nd ed., 27 vols. (Barcelona: Enciclopèdia Catalana, 1988), s.v., “Mur i de Cervelló, Dalmau de;” and *Diccionari d’història eclesiàstica de Catalunya*, 3 vols. (Barcelona: Generalitat de Catalunya/Claret, 1998–2001), s.v., “Mur i de Cervelló, Dalmau de.” Both of the latter sources state that Dalmau was canon at Girona by 1399 and do not mention that he was ever canon at Lleida or archdeacon of Riborçà (see below in main text).

170 Jaume d’Urgell was Count of Urgell (from the house of Barcelona) from 1408–1413. He was one of the claimants to the crown of Aragón during the interregnum but was not chosen as king at the Compromise of Caspe. In 1413, the County of Barcelona appropriated the county of Urgell when Jaume d’Urgell revolted against King Fernando I. He was ultimately was captured and he died in 1433 while in prison. Joseph F. O’Callaghan, *A History of Medieval Spain* (Ithaca: Cornell University Press, 1975), 542–546; *Gran Enciclopèdia Catalana*, 2nd ed., 27 vols. (Barcelona: Enciclopèdia Catalana, 1988), s.v., “Mur i de Cervelló, Dalmau de.” Also see note 133.

171 The Chancellor of the Estudi General was the Chancellor of the University of General Studies. In 1300, Jaime II el Justo, King of Aragón (r.1291–1327) granted the city of Lleida the right to establish the Estudi General, which was modeled after the University of Bologna. The University of Lleida is recognized as the oldest university in Catalonia (its creation was followed by Perpignan in 1350 and Huesca in 1354). Dalmau was Chancellor of the Estudi General of Lleida from 1419 until 1431, but he was not Chancellor of the Estudi General of Zaragoza, which was not founded until 1474 (after his death), as cited in *Diccionari d’història eclesiàstica de Catalunya*, 3 vols. (Barcelona: Generalitat de Catalunya/Claret, 1998–2001), s.v., “Mur i de Cervelló, Dalmau de;” Lluís Monjas Manso, “La Reforma eclesiástica i religiosa de les diòcesis de la Tarraconense al llarg de la Baixa Edat Mitjana (a través dels qüestionaris de visites pastortals),” (PhD diss., Universitat Pompeu Fabra, Institut Universitari d’Història Jaume Vicens i Vives, 2005), 280. For more on the foundation and the organizational system of the Estudi General of Lleida, see Borja de Riquer i Permanyer et al., ed., *Història, política, societat i cultura dels Països catalans*, 13 vols. (Barcelona: Enciclopèdia Catalana, 1995–1999), vol. 3, 350–355; Joan J. Busquets, *Història de Lleida*, 9 vols. (Lleida: Pagès editors, 2004), vol. 3, *Baixa edat mitjana*, 135–155; Simon Burton, *A History of Spain* (Basingstoke, Hampshire/New York: Palgrave Macmillan, 2004), 83. For a chronological list all the chancellors from 1300 to 1699, see Ramón Gaya Massot, *Cancilleres y rectores del estudio general de Lérida* (Lleida: La Editoria Leridana, 1951).
papal bull, issued by Benedict XIII on June 19, 1414 appointed Dalmau Archdeacon of Ribagorça.172

As Dalmau climbed the church hierarchy, he made strong political alliances. For example, in 1415, he accompanied Ferdinand I, King of Aragón (r.1412–1416), on a mission to see Anti-pope Benedict XIII in Perpignan; in 1416, he was a participant at the Council of Constance (1414–1418); and he served as ambassador to Rome in 1418 and possibly Paris (date unknown) for King Alfonso V.173 These are the decades (1400–1420) during which Dalmau de Mur commissioned his many important works of art that are examined in detail in Chapter III.

172 The episcopal see of Ribagorça/Ribagorza (also known as Roda), located in the province of Huesca in Aragón, was closed and its canons transferred in 1149 to the diocese of Lleida, a suffragan of the metropolitan see of Tarragona during the twelfth century. After 1318, when the diocese of Tarragona was split up, Huesca then became a suffragan of Zaragoza. It is not clear then if Dalmau served as an archdeacon for the canons in Ribagorça, or if he was based in Huesca or Zaragoza. Jaime Villanueva, Viage literario a las iglesias de España, 22 vols. (Madrid: Real Academia de la historia, 1803–1852), vol. 16 (1851), 103, 106; Ramón Gaya Massot, Cancilleres y rectores del estudio general de Lérida (Lleida: La Editoria Leridana, 1951), 30; Gran Enciclopèdia Catalana, 2nd ed., 27 vols. (Barcelona: Enciclopèdia Catalana, 1988), s.v., “Roda, bisbat de (o de bisbat de Ribagorza).”

173 The specific dates of these ambassadorial visits remain unknown, though they occurred sometime after 1416, when Alfonso V became King of Aragón, and before 1456, when Dalmau de Mur died. Several secondary sources state that Dalmau served as ambassador to Paris though I have not found any supporting primary documentation that confirms this claim. Whether or not he visited Paris, he was certainly aware of the types and styles of works of art commissioned in France and many of his own acquisitions and commissions reflect the French influence. For more on Dalmau’s trips to Perpignan, Constance, Rome and Paris, see Francisco Antonio González and Juan Tejada y Ramiro, Colección de cánones de la Iglesia española, 7 vols. (Madrid: Santa Coloma y Peña, 1851) vol. 3, parte segunda, concilios del siglo IX en adelante, 695; Enrique Ribera, La baronia y los barones de Albi (Lleida: Instituto de Estudios Ilerdenses, 1944), 7; Narciso Felu de la Peña y Farell, Anales de Cataluña, 3 vols. (1709; Barcelona: Editorial Base, 1999), vol. 2, 432; Gran Enciclopèdia Catalana, 2nd ed., 27 vols. (Barcelona: Enciclopèdia Catalana, 1988), s.v., “Mur i de Cervelló, Dalmau de;” Diccionari d’història eclesiàstica de Catalunya, 3 vols. (Barcelona: Generalitat de Catalunya/Claret, 1998–2001), s.v., “Mur i de Cervelló, Dalmau de.” Also see the Girona Bible (note 311).
2.3 THE PAPAL SCHISM (1378–1417)

The papal schism was set in motion in 1378 upon the death of Pope Gregory XI (r.1370–1378), who had recently relocated the papal curia from Avignon back to Rome. The cardinals in Rome quickly elected Pope Urban VI (r.1378–1415); yet soon thereafter, many of the cardinals who initially voted for him had strong sentiments against him. Those same disgruntled cardinals eventually left Rome for the nearby town of Anagni where many were persuaded that Urban VI’s election was not legitimate. Subsequently, they held a conclave in Fondi and elected Anti-pope Clement VII (r.1378–1394). This double election of popes ignited a major feud within the church. It resulted with Anti-pope Clement VII returning the papacy once again to Avignon, while Pope Urban VI remained in Rome. The following narrative of events of the papal schism aims to identify the most important figures involved in relation to artistic patronage in France and Aragón during Dalmau de Mur’s lifetime.

During the years of the papal schism, the political climate of the church was highly charged, with monarchs and ecclesiastics across Europe supporting either Avignon or Rome—alliances that were often promised and then later broken. Many countries maintained steadfast loyalty to Rome. For instance, England, Ireland, Flanders, the kingdoms of Hungary, Poland, Norway, Sweden and Denmark, Corsica and parts of northern Italy (north of Rome) continuously backed Rome. On the other side, Scotland, Navarre, France, Aragón, Castile and León, and

---

areas of southern Italy (south of Rome, including Naples and Savoy) supported Avignon, but in time, even their support began to wane. The allegiance of the Holy Roman Empire and the kingdom of Portugal remained undecided, since they changed sides more than once.

The kingdom of Aragón remained loyal to the papal curia in Avignon almost until the end, since Aragón’s affiliation was reinforced by the election of Pedro Martínez de la Luna, a nobleman from Aragón who took the name Benedict XIII (r.1394–1417). Bound to Avignon, the Kings of Aragón had a strong ally in Anti-pope Benedict XIII, who would sanction their larger political ambitions, especially foreign conquests and crusades.

During Anti-pope Clement VII’s reign (1378–1394), Pedro Martínez de la Luna, the future Benedict XIII, was chosen in 1379 as papal legate to Portugal, Aragón, Castile and León in order to secure the obedience of those kingdoms. Then in 1393, he was made papal legate to France, Flanders and England with the hope of bringing peace to the three regions, in addition to finding a way to reunite the papacy. Luna spent much of his time in Paris and became genuinely engrossed with the ecclesiastical and political affairs at the University of Paris, whose outspoken clergymen strongly advocated the end of the papal schism.

Once Luna was elected pope as Benedict XIII, on September 28, 1394, (r.1394–1417), he almost immediately declared that he would do whatever necessary to unify the two divisions of the papal curia; yet, it soon became apparent that Benedict XIII had no intention to abdicate. What is more, as the calls to end the schism grew louder, particularly in France under the reign

178 Luna was elected on September 28, 1394 according to Jean Favier, *Les papes d’Avignon* (Paris: Fayard, 2006), while the date September 24, 1394 is given by Begoña Pereira Pagán, *El papa Luna Benedicto XIII* (Madrid: Alderabán, 1999), 289.
of King Charles VI (r.1380–1422), Benedict XIII became even more headstrong. Consequently, the French advocated the via cessionis—the simultaneous abdication of both popes with the intention that the two Colleges of Cardinals (Rome and Avignon) would come together and uniformly elect a new pope.\textsuperscript{180}

In an attempt to influence the newly elected Benedict XIII to relinquish papal power, Philippe le Hardi, Duke of Burgundy (r.1364–1404); Jean, Duke of Berry (r.1360–1416); and Louis I, Duke of Orléans (r.1392–1407) together departed Paris on May 22, 1395 on a diplomatic mission to Avignon.\textsuperscript{181} They arrived there with offerings of sumptuous gifts to entice Benedict XIII to step down, yet, after several weeks of such lavish acts of diplomacy had failed, they returned to Paris on June 10, 1395.\textsuperscript{182} Before their departure, however, they warned Benedict XIII that if he continued to disregard the French requests, there would be dire consequences.

Three years later, in 1398, those threats presented by the three French princes manifested: France completely withdrew its allegiance to Benedict XIII.\textsuperscript{183} All French clergymen were

\textsuperscript{179} For instance, Philippe le Hardi, Duke of Burgundy faced a particularly difficult situation, when in 1384 he acquired Flanders, which backed Urban VI in Rome, whereas his other territories in France supported the Anti-pope Clement VII in Avignon. Because of this, Philippe wanted the issue of the schism to be resolved quickly and warned Clement VII that if he did not abdicate, France might no longer pledge allegiance to him and consequently all financial support would be severed. Richard Vaughan, \textit{Philip the Bold: The Formation of the Burgundian State}, 2nd ed. (Woodbridge/Rochester: Boydell Press, 2005), 46.


\textsuperscript{183} Due to the frequent and erratic mental incapacity of Charles VI, King France (r.1380–1422), Philippe le Hardi, along with Jean de Berry and Louis d’Orléans were, to all intents and purposes, in charge of the French government. Through the next two years, Philippe le Hardi also maintained communication with his ally, Alfonso V, King of
ordered to leave Avignon and the financial support from the French benefices was severed. Benedict XIII, nevertheless, remained resolute in his position.

In response to the continuing determination of Benedict XIII to remain pope, the Duke of Burgundy, Philippe le Hardi, seeing no alternative, marshaled his forces to take Avignon\textsuperscript{184} and its pope by military force. The French commander charged with the mission was Geoffroy Le Meingre (called Boucicaut).\textsuperscript{185}

With the support of the Cardinals of Avignon, Boucicaut captured the city in 1398, but he was unsuccessful in taking possession of the papal palace.\textsuperscript{186} Even with the deployment of official envoys from France and Aragón, Benedict XIII stayed his ground; subsequently, he remained captive in the palace for the next five years. In May 1400, the besieged pope received a promise of protection from Louis, Duke of Orléans, who was outraged with the Duke of Aragón, about the schism. See Françoise Autrand, Jean de Berry: l’art et le pouvoir (Paris: Fayard, 2000), 199; Luis Suárez Fernández, Benedicto XIII: antipapa o Papa? 1328–1423 (Barcelona: Ariel, 2002), 167–171; Richard Vaughan, Philip the Bold: The Formation of the Burgundian State, 2\textsuperscript{nd} ed. (Woodbridge/Rochester: Boydell Press, 2005), 109 citing Martin de Alpertils, Chronica actitatorum temporibus domini Benedicti XIII, ed. Franz Ehrle (Paderborn: F. Schöningh, 1906), 268–304.

\textsuperscript{184} Since 1251, the county of Avignon had been politically under the control of Charles I, Count of Anjou (r.1246–1285), who in 1266 became King of Naples and Sicily (r.1266–1282), thereby connecting Avignon to Italy. The association between Avignon and Italy was further strengthened when Alphonse, Count of Poitiers (r.1241–1271) donated the Comtat Venaissin (the region that fully surrounded the separate county of Avignon) to the Holy See in 1271. Comtat Venaissin was now papal territory and was, therefore, a political enclave within France. Avignon, however, remained under the jurisdiction of the King of Naples and the Count of Anjou. Avignon was thus an enclave within the papal-controlled Comtat Venaissin—an enclave within an enclave. These valuable geo-political ties were one of the reasons why Pope Clement V chose Avignon to be the new location of the papal curia in 1309 (see note 174). The ecclesiastical and political significance of Avignon was further secured on June 9, 1348, when the city of Avignon was sold for 80,000 florins to Pope Clement VI by Giovanna d’Angiò (Jeanne I d’Anjou), Queen of Naples and Countess of Provence (r.1343–1382). Avignon was now joined with the larger papal enclave of Comtat Venaissin. Both Avignon and Comtat Venaissin remained under papal authority until 1791 when the region was finally annexed to France. Jean Favier, Les papes d’Avignon (Paris: Fayard, 2006), 68, 288, 417–420, 510–513.

\textsuperscript{185} Geoffroy was brother to the illustrious Jean II le Meingre (called Boucicaut), Maréchal de France (r.1391–1421) who owned the famous book of hours made by the so-called Boucicaut Master (Paris, Musée Jacquemart-Andre, MS 2). Jean Favier, Les papes d’Avignon (Paris: Fayard, 2006), 617–619, 678–679.

Burgundy’s tactics. Five months later, King Charles VI agreed to ensure the personal safety of Benedict XIII. Finally in 1402, a deal was made between Benedict XIII and Louis II, Count of Provence, Duke of Anjou and titular King of Naples (r. 1389–1417). Louis II d’Anjou provided financial assistance, in addition to the re-alliance of Provence to Avignon, in return for Benedict XIII’s support of a re-conquest of Naples.¹⁸⁷ This brokering eventually paved the way for Benedict XIII to escape from the besieged papal palace with the aid of Louis d’Orléans on the night of March 11/12, 1403.¹⁸⁸

A few months later, on May 28, 1403, a significant event took place while Philippe le Hardi and Jean de Berry were away from court in Paris. In their absence, Louis d’Orléans essentially gained control of King Charles VI.¹⁸⁹ At the same time, and thereby strengthening Louis d’Orléans’ position, a growing minority of French ecclesiastics argued that France’s earlier retraction of obedience to Benedict XIII was illegal. Taking advantage of the moment, Louis d’Orléans was able to convince the King to reinstate France’s full allegiance to Avignon. With this major shift, the pendulum appeared to be swinging back in Benedict XIII’s favor.

Once freed from Avignon and now sustaining the obedience of Scotland, Navarre, France, Aragón, Castile and León, Benedict XIII nonetheless faced mounting political pressures from Rome to abdicate.¹⁹⁰ To make matters worse, in 1407, the Duke of Burgundy, Jean sans

---
¹⁹⁰ The newly elected Roman, Pope Gregory XII (r. 1406–1415), wrote to Anti-pope Benedict XIII suggesting that both men resign in order for a new pope to be ordained. It was agreed that they would meet in Savona in September 1407. Anti-pope Benedict XIII showed up, but Gregory XII failed to appear thereby further prolonging the stand-off between the two sides.
Peur, assassinated Benedict XIII’s most loyal and greatest defender, Louis d’Orléans. The murder of the King of France’s brother resulted in more political instability in France. The Duke of Burgundy, Jean sans Peur, in response to the critics, tried to justify his actions. In March 1408, he commissioned the theologian Jean Petit, to read aloud before the French royal court a justification entitled, *Justification du duc de Bourgogne* (also known as the *Apologie du tyrannicide*). The text explained why the Duke of Burgundy had killed Louis d’Orléans: “My thesis is the following syllogism: The Major: It is permissible and meritorious to kill a tyrant. The Minor: The Duke of Orléans was a tyrant. The Conclusion: Therefore the Duke of Burgundy did well to kill him.” One of the main crimes that Louis d’Orléans committed, according to the Duke of Burgundy, was that he schemed with Anti-Pope Benedict XIII: “He [Louis d’Orléans] plotted with Pope Benedict XIII the substitution of himself for his brother King Charles VI on the throne of France. To this end he won Benedict over by supporting him, and attributed all sorts of crimes to the King in the hope of persuading the Pope to pronounce

---

191 After Louis d’Orléans managed to usurp power from his brother King Charles VI in 1403 and upon the death of his uncle, Philippe le Hardi in 1404, Louis now vied for power with Philippe’s son, Jean sans Peur, Duke of Burgundy (r.1404–1419). Both sought to bring down the King and assume positions of power through gaining the guardianship of the Dauphin (the future Charles VII, King of France, r.1422–1461). The conflict was so heated that Jean de Berry intervened in order to avert civil war; however, in the end, the Duke of Burgundy, Jean sans Peur, had Louis d’Orléans murdered in 1407, claiming it was a justifiable act of tyrannicide (see note 328). This was the decisive split of the Valois princes into two factions, the Armagnacs (the houses of Orléans, Berry and Anjou) and the Burgundians. For years, the Burgundian Jean sans Peur held the reins of royal authority, yet his popularity fluctuated many times over, which was aided by the psychologically unstable King Charles VI who allegiance repeatedly swung back and forth between the Armagnacs and the Burgundians. The tense political current continued for over a decade until 1419, when the Dauphin had Jean sans Peur killed. Richard Vaughan, *John the Fearless: The Growth of Burgundian Power*, 2nd ed. (Woodbridge/Rochester: Boydell Press, 2005), 29–66, 69–74.


him and his children unfit to hold or succeed to the throne of France.” The text was so elaborate and detailed that it took four hours for Jean Petit to read it before the court. After its presentation the text was disseminated in manuscript form (some of them illuminated) across Europe. Anti-pope Benedict XIII responded by excommunicating the King of France and claiming the French church defunct.

From this point on, the support of the French increasingly diminished. Even in 1408, with all these remarkable turns of events, Benedict XIII was still resolute to conduct his papal duties and even celebrated a General Council in Perpignan with his supporters. Unable to return to Avignon, he was invited by Martín I, King of Aragón, to reside at the Palais des Rois de Majorique in Perpignan (then part of the kingdom of Aragón).

The fervor to end the schism reached a peak in 1409, when King Charles VI called the Council of Pisa for the purpose of resolving the factions of the papacy. The council’s pleas for both Pope Gregory XII and Anti-pope Benedict XIII to simultaneously abdicate were ineffective. Essentially backed into a corner, the cardinals gathered in Pisa where they announced the deposition of the two popes on June 5, 1409—a decision that was, not surprisingly, disregarded by both popes. In Pisa, the cardinals subsequently elected Alexander V

---


195 For instance, Chantilly, Musée Condée, MS 878.


as the official pope. Ironically, instead of negating the contending claims of the two factions, the actions of the Cardinals led to a situation in which all three men—Gregory XII, Benedict XIII and Alexander V—claimed to be the legitimate pope. France, displeased with the outcome, declared total neutrality and recognized none of the popes.

By 1409 and no longer feeling secure in Perpignan, Benedict XIII’s curia became itinerant and in 1411, he took up residence in his castle at Peníscola (north of Valencia). France had withdrawn its support from Benedict XIII for a second time in 1408 and by December 1415, the majority of his adherents—Scotland, Navarre, Castile and León—had also deserted him. Only the kingdom of Aragón remained loyal.

These tumultuous times set the stage for the beginning of Dalmau de Mur’s ecclesiastical career. The schism ended in 1417 at the Council of Constance and Benedict XIII, ignoring the decree of his deposition at the Council of Pisa in 1409, carried on his papal duties until his death. These events, occurring from 1415 until his death in 1422/23, are considered in the following chapter.

---

200 Alexander V was elected on June 26, but his coronation ceremony did not take place until on July 7, 1409. Jean Favier, Les papes d’Avignon (Paris: Fayard, 2006), 709.
3.0 CONSTRUCTING A LEGACY: DALMAU DE MUR’S ARTISTIC PATRONAGE
(1415–1456)

The figure below is inserted so that there is an item in the sample List of Figures. An in-depth analysis of Dalmau de Mur’s patronage of art and various acquisitions\(^\text{201}\) reveals that he was a knowledgeable art collector who modeled himself after the great royal and ecclesiastical collectors in France. Dalmau’s artistic patronage and acquisitions fulfilled two broad functions. First, it illuminates his desire to insert the cathedrals of Girona, Tarragona and Zaragoza into pan-European circuits of power, display and devotion. In doing so, Dalmau was able to link the ecclesiastical territories of Catalonia and Aragón with the cultural centers in France, Flanders, England, Italy and the Empire. Second, his commissions and acquisitions left a lasting trace of his illustrious style at the cathedrals where he served.

In drawing together what would become a noteworthy art collection—spread across the provinces of Catalonia and Aragón—Dalmau de Mur was certainly aware of contemporary French collecting patterns, particularly those established by members of the French royalty as well as French ecclesiastics. It is not certain if Dalmau de Mur went to Paris as an ambassador of Alfonso V, King of Aragón (r.1416–1458), since there are no known primary documents substantiating this, although secondary sources state that he did; however, if Dalmau did visit

\(^\text{201}\) See Appendix C: “Catalog of Works of Art Commissioned and Acquired by Dalmau de Mur.”
Paris, it would have been between the years 1416 and 1456. It is quite plausible, though, that on one of his ambassadorial trips to Rome in 1418 and perhaps Paris, he would have met a number of major collectors within the royal and/or religious spheres.

While in Paris, he may have been introduced to one of the Dukes of Burgundy, such as Jean sans Peur or Philippe le Bon, or maybe even King Charles VI and the Dauphin (the future King Charles VII)—many of whom inherited the glorious art collections of their predecessors, such as Charles V le Sage, King of France (r.1364–1380); Jean, Duke of Berry (r.1360–1416); Philippe le Hardi, Duke of Burgundy (r.1364–1404). Within ecclesiastical circles, Dalmau de Mur likely would have been acquainted with the Bishop of Paris or the Archbishop of Sens, who resided at the Hôtel de Sens in Paris. The wealth and patronage of elite prelates in France was considerable. For example, the impressive patronage of choir tapestries by individual

---

202 The first date is when Alfonso V became King of Aragón and the latter is the year Dalmau died (see notes 173 and 311.


204 The Bishops of Paris during the period in which Dalmau de Mur may have visited Paris were: Gérard de Montaigu (r.1409–1420); Jean Courtecuisse (r.1421–1422); Jean de La Roche-Taillée (r.1422–1423); Jean de Nanton (r.1423–1426); Jacques du Châtelier (r.1427–1438); Denis du Moulin (r.1439–1447); and Guillaume Chartier (r.1447–1472). The Archbishops of Sens during the period in which Dalmau de Mur may have visited Paris were: Jean de Montaigu (r.1407–1415); Henri de Savoisy (r.1418–1422); Jean de Nanton (r.1423–1432); and Louis de Melun (r.1433–1474). Among these high-ranking prelates, Gérard de Montaigu, Jacques du Châtelier and Denis du Moulin owned richly illuminated manuscripts. For example, Gérard de Montaigu owned a breviary made in Paris ca.1410–1410 in the style of the Boucicaut Master (Bibliothèque de l’Arsenal, MS 345 (a.c. 246), while a single leaf from the manuscript also survives (Paris, Musée du Moyen-Âge, Thermes de Cluny, inv. no. Cl.11315). Jacques du Châtelier commissioned a missal made in Paris by the Master of the Duke of Bedford (Bibliothèque de l’Arsenal, MS 621) that was unfinished when he died; his successor, Denis du Moulin, paid for the completion of the manuscript and had his coat of arms added. Louis de Mas Latrie, *Trésor de chronologie, d’histoire et de géographie pour l’étude et l’emploi des documents du Moyen-Âge* (Paris: Librairie Victor Palmé, 1889), 1462–1463, 1489–1490; Pius Bonifacius Gams, *Series episcoporum ecclesiae catholicae* (Graz: Akademische Druck-u. Verlaganstalt, 1957), 597, 630; Eleanor P. Spencer, “The Master of the Duke of Bedford: The Salisbury Breviary,” *The Burlington Magazine* 108, no. 765 (December, 1966): 607–612 at 612.

205 Recent research on the patronage of high-ranking ecclesiastics illustrates the types of luxury objects they were commissioning as well as the immensity of their collections, see Laura Weigert, *Weaving Sacred Stories: French Choir Tapestries and the Performance of Clerical Identity* (Ithaca/London: Cornell University Press, 2004);
clergyman demonstrates great interest and investment in the role and function they played upon the ecclesiastical stage. While at least twenty-five sets of choir tapestries in France have been lost, around twenty-six survive from the fifteenth and sixteenth centuries. Among these surviving choir tapestries are a few that were commissioned by prelates—as opposed to the Cathedral Chapter. These include the tapestry set of *The Life of Saint Pierre* (Franco-Flemish region, ca.1460) given to Beauvais Cathedral by its bishop, Guillaume de Hellande (r.1444–1462), as well as the choir tapestries showing the *Life of Gervasius and Protasius* (Franco-Flemish region, 1509), given in 1509 to Le Mans Cathedral by one of its canons, Martin Guerande.

It is well recognized that in Europe from the late fourteenth century to about 1500, the leading art collectors were members of the French Valois dynasty who were particularly fond of commissioning lavish illuminated manuscripts and large, historiated tapestries woven with expensive materials. As a result, European rulers, both secular and ecclesiastic, fashioned themselves after these erudite patrons of art. That Dalmau de Mur would have modeled himself after such esteemed connoisseurs reflects a larger phenomenon of ecclesiastical patronage and collecting in fifteenth-century Europe. Dalmau’s emulation of illustrious ecclesiastical patrons was one way in which he expressed his wealth, status and learned sophistication.

---


This chapter clusters and presents the works of art that Dalmau de Mur commissioned and acquired during three distinct stages of his ecclesiastical career: first the years when Dalmau served as Bishop of Girona (1415–1419), then during his tenure as Archbishop of Tarragona (1419–1431), and finally as Archbishop of Zaragoza (1431–1456). Abundant documentation survives, allowing establishment of the sequence in which he commissioned and acquired works of art can. Several works of art and other precious objects are mentioned in his testament dated 1454.\(^{208}\) Although the vast majority of these objects remain untraced, they will be examined in this chapter together with those that survive.

### 3.1 BISHOP OF GIRONA

Dalmau de Mur knew well the see of Girona,\(^ {209}\) having served as a canon there from 1409.\(^ {210}\) Benedict XIII appointed him Bishop of Girona on December 9, 1415\(^ {211}\)—an appointment that

\(^{208}\) Zaragoza, ADPZ, MS 164. See note 1.


\(^{210}\) Ramón Gaya Massot, *Cancilleres y rectores del estudio general de Lérida* (Lleida: La Editoria Leridana, 1951), 30.

\(^{211}\) Dalmau was elected for the bishopric of Girona on December 9, 1415, but did not take possession of the seat until January 1, 1416. Jaime Villanueva, *Viaje literario a las iglesias de España*, 22 vols. (Madrid: Real Academia de la historia, 1803–1852), vol. 14 (1850), 29; Ramón Gaya Massot, *Cancilleres y rectores del estudio general de Lérida* (Lleida: La Editoria leridana, 1951): 29. Documents show that on December 18, 1415 and January 3, 1416, Dalmau de Mur named his officials to serve him at Girona, see Girona, Arxiu Diocesà de Girona (hereafter ADG), MS D-181, folios 152, 153v–154.
was recognized by the kingdom of Aragón, Benedict XIII’s sole remaining adherent. The timing proved auspicious for Dalmau because less than a month later, on January 6, 1416, King Fernando I (r.1412–1416) finally announced Aragón’s complete withdrawal of obedience to Benedict XIII. After only six weeks in office, the newly appointed Bishop de Mur resurrected a much earlier debate over plans for reconstructing the central nave of the Cathedral.

3.1.1 Girona Cathedral

Girona Cathedral was built upon the site of the city’s Roman forum. The building of the Christian church began in the early eleventh century (ca.1010) and the structure was consecrated...


214 Some scholars believe that the cathedral was built upon an Islamic mosque; however, it is likely that this idea is more legend than fact. Girona was under Muslim rule for just over seventy years (invaded around ca.717). In 785, Charlemagne and his troops captured the city. The legend—based on a French chronicle by Turpin, Archbishop of Reims (r.748–795, d. ca.800), known as the Pseudo-Turpin—tells that the Carolingian pacification of the Muslims was due to a miraculous event: during the battle, bloody raindrops fell from the heavens and in the sky hovered an illuminated cross driving out the frightened Muslims. The story goes on to say that after his conquest of Girona, Charlemagne (known in Catalan as Carlemany) commanded a Christian church to be built over the mosque;
in 1038. The Romanesque church also included two towers (ca.1038–1117) and cloister (ca.1180–1210), one of the towers and the cloister survive.\(^{215}\) The decision to tear down much of the Romanesque church in order to make a larger church is documented in the so-called *Llibre Vert* (or *Llibre Verd*).\(^{216}\) There, it is recorded that on April 29, 1312, new construction was to begin with an endowment of 12,000 *sous* left by Guillem Jofre (Gausfred) who specifically provided for the rebuilding of the choir in his testament dated August 12, 1292.\(^{217}\) Another surviving document dated September 23, 1313 expressly illustrates the desire to enlarge the choir.\(^{218}\) The need was so pressing that the Bishop of Girona, Guillem de Vilamari (r.1312–1318), decided to redistribute all the income from vacant benefices for the five following years. A new Gothic-style plan was created for the cathedral that was similar to those of Barcelona and Narbonne. It consisted of a central nave flanked by two side aisles that terminated in an ambulatory with nine radiating chapels. By 1347, the new Gothic choir was consecrated.

However, there is no archeological evidence to support this claim. The veneration of Saint Charlemagne is still evident in the cathedral. For instance, the stone episcopal chair is called the “Throne of Charlemagne,” while the Romanesque bell tower is known as the “Tower of Charlemagne.” Cyril Meredith Jones, ed., *Historia Karoli Magni et Rotholandi ou, Chronique du Pseudo-Turpin: textes revus et publiés d’après 49 manuscrits* (Paris: E. Droz, 1936); Paul Freedman, “Cowardice, Heroism and the Legendary Origins of Catalonia,” *Past and Present* 121 (November, 1988): 3–28 at 13; Marc Sureda i Jubany, *Girona Cathedral* (Madrid: Ediciones Aldeasa, 2005), 7. The idea that the cathedral was built upon a mosque is found in Stephen Brindle, “Girona, §1: Cathedral,” *Grove Art Online* (26 October 2006).


\(^{216}\) Girona, ACCG, MS 7, folio 99.


It was probably Pere Capmagre (ca.1349–1359), master stonemason of Girona Cathedral, who proposed to alter the three-aisle plan to a single nave. The idea was ambitious: to build a nave with four vaults alone that would span almost 23 meters in width and 35 meters in height.

The construction of the new plan with a single nave continued under successive master stonemasons, Dionís de Lovaina (1360–1362) and Pere Sacoma (1368–1439). Building came to a halt in 1386 when an official meeting was called to determine the technical competency of the single-nave plan. At the assembly there was such dissent among the architects, the cathedral chapter and the bishop about how to proceed with the cathedral’s construction that the single-nave project was abandoned and nearly all construction ceased that year.

It was not until thirty years later, in January 1416, when Bishop de Mur summoned a two-day congregation (known as a junta) to reopen the debate about the plans for the completion of the cathedral.

---


of the nave. Dalmau wanted the construction of the cathedral to be reinstated, but as in 1386, many members of the cathedral chapter were gravely concerned about the feasibility of the single-nave proposal. On a single piece of parchment, notaries dutifully recorded the events that took place between January 1416 and March 1417.223

The summoning of architects for counsel by a cathedral chapter regarding the commencement of a new construction project or rectifying a structural problem was common practice in Spain. The meeting of architects was known as a junta. The architects were not jointly in charge of choosing the design, but rather they provided their opinions to the chapter who was responsible for the final decision.224 Dalmau gathered together the following eleven master stonemasons, stonemasons and masters of works (hereafter simply referred to collectively as architects): Pascasi de Xulbe (d’Eixulbi) and his son, Joan de Xulbe (d’Eixulbi) (Cathedral of Tortosa); Pere de Vallfogona225 (Cathedral of Tarragona); Guillem de Mota (Cathedral of Tarragona); Bartomeu Gual (Cathedral of Barcelona); Antoni Canet (Barcelona and Cathedral of Urgell); Guillem Abiell (church of Santa Maria del Pi and church of Saint Jaume in Barcelona; church of Santa Maria del Mont Carmel; monastery of Montsió; and the Hospital de la Santa Creu in Barcelona); Arnau de Valleres (Cathedral of Manresa); Antoni Antigó (church in

---


225 Harvey identified him as Pere Johan de Vallfogona (also known as Pere Joan). Dalmau de Mur contracted him in 1426 to sculpt the main altarpiece at Tarragona (discussed in text below; see note 275). John Hooper Harvey, The Cathedrals of Spain (London: B. T. Batsford, 1957), 166.
Each architect was asked to respond the following three issues:

1. **First** if the construction of the said cathedral is more superb with one nave, which was begun earlier, could it be continued with the intention of being solid and clear without doubt; (2) **Item** presuming that the said construction of one nave clearly and securely was not able or was not desired to be continued: if the construction of the recently continued three naves is congruent and sufficient and in such a way that it merits being continued, or, if it deserves to be halted or altered into another form and in the case that it has to be made into another form, to what height should it be erected, specifically so that it not falter; and (3) **Item** which form or continuation of the said construction would be most compatible and most proportional at the head [east end] of the said cathedral already begun, made and finished.

Two days (January 23–24, 1416) were required to read and write down the final conclusions of the eleven architects. The matter at hand was so solemn that the masters of works and stonemasons had to answer the questions under oath. To the first question, all eleven architects agreed that the building of a single-nave would be completely safe and secure on the foundations of the older construction, although Guillem de Mota’s response, read by the notary, expresses concern with the architectural durability of a single-nave plan:

That the deponent [Guillem de Mota] is of the opinion that the construction of the Cathedral of Girona, begun as one nave, could be made well and have a transept, but the depositor having seen old buildings—large buildings—such as the said construction of the one nave, collapse because of earthquake and great

---

226 More research is needed on these eleven architects to determine if any of them had worked in France or were trained by French architects.

227 Girona, ACCG: “**Primo** si la obra de la dita seu pus altament a una nau antigament comensada se porà continuar ab intención que fos ferma, quita, e sens tot dúbhsan; **Item** posat que la dita obra a una nau quitàment e segura nos posquès o nos volguès continuar, si la obra derrermament de les tres naus continuada és cògrua e sufficient, e tal qui merescha ésser continuada o si mereix ésser cessada o mudada en altra forma; e al cas que s’haja mudar en altra forma, a quanta altura deu ésser pujada, specificant-ho per manera que no puiça desviar; **Item** qual forma o continuació de les dites obres seria pus compatible e més proporcionable al cap de la dita seu ja comensat, fet e acabat.” See note 223.

228 Girona, ACCG: “...**dels dits mestres e pedrers haja de deposar ab sagrament del vertader...” See note 223.
gusts of wind, for which reason, the depositor doubts whether the said construction of one nave is durable and without danger.\(^{229}\)

In spite of this concern, none of the architects claimed that a single-nave plan would be structurally unsafe.

In response to the second question, eight architects\(^{230}\) believed that the return to a three-aisle plan would be “congruent and sufficient.” The majority, however, of these eight declared that some major architectural changes (such as demolishing the old vaults and capitals, or altering the springers and voussoirs) would be required in order for the three-aisle plan to be carried out successfully.

The final question set aside structural issues and instead concerned aesthetics. Those in favor of the single-nave plan included Antoni Canet, Antoni Antigó, Guillem Sagrera and Joan de Guingamps, while the remaining seven architects argued against it. Each of the four architects in support of the single-nave claimed that it was an aesthetically outstanding design. For example, the notary read the view of Antoni Antigó:

That there is no doubt that without comparison, the construction of the one nave will always be more beautiful and more compatible and proportional at the head [east end] of the said cathedral already built than the construction of the three naves that will always prove to be improperly made.\(^{231}\)

\(^{229}\) Girona, ACCG: “Que el deposant és de intenció que la obra de la seu de Girona, comensada a una nau, se poria bé fer e e la croerada tendría bé, mas veu el deposant per los obres antigues, que les obres grosses, a[ñ]xi com la seria dita obra de una nau, per terratrèmol e gran fortuna de vent se a[ñ]sseuen e, a[ñ]xi mateix, ha el deposant en dubte que la dita obra de una nau, per terratrèmol o grans vents fos durable e sens perill.” See note 223.

\(^{230}\) Pascasi de Xulbe, Joan de Xulbe, Pere de Vallfogona, Guillem de Mota, Bartomeu Gual, Antoni Canet, Guillem Abiell and Arnau de Valleres.

\(^{231}\) Girona, ACCG: “Que no és nagun dupte que sens naguna comparació la obra de una nau tostems serà pus bella e pus compatible e proporcionable al cap de la dita seu ja fet, que no la obra de tres naus qui tostems serà pus bella e pus compatible e proporcionable al cap de la dita seu ja fet, que no la obra de tres naus qui tostems se mostrarà no degudament feta.” See note 223.
By contrast, the majority of the architects maintained the supremacy of the three-nave plan, as expressed by Arnau de Valleres:

That the construction of the three naves, if it were made in the form of the said plan would be, without comparison, more compatible and proportional at the head [east end] of the said cathedral already made and begun, more so than would be the construction of one nave; for clearly, the construction of one would shows its head [east end] so small and malformed that the raising or making of the head [east end] would always be demanded.232

On September 28, 1416, all of the architects’ responses were presented to the Chapter of Girona Cathedral, but no decision was made until the following spring. On March 8, 1417, the opinion of Guillem Bofill, the current master of works at Cathedral of Girona (1417–1421) was requested.233 In the end, Bofill concurred with the judgment of the minority who promoted the single-nave plan, making it still only five votes to seven. A week later, the Cathedral Chapter convened a plenary session. Even though the majority of the architects were against it, the canons chose the plan of the single-nave design that they believed was more distinctive and impressive than the more standard three-aisled plan. As a result, the wide, single-aisled nave at Girona Cathedral measures 23 meters wide, 55 meters long and 34 meters high—an audacious architectural feat—believed to be the widest Gothic pointed vault ever to have been built in Christendom.234 Between the immense buttresses, which support the four vaults, are two lateral

232 Girona, ACCG: “Que la obra de tres naus si era feta en la forma per el deposant prop dita, seria sens comparació pus compatible e proporcionable al cap de la dita seu ja fet e comensat; més que no seria la obra de una nau, car la obra de una mostraria lo cap tant petit e tant disforme que tostems cridaríe que lo cap li fes alçar o exequar.” See note 223.

233 This session is recorded in the same document, beneath the text of the earlier convocations; see note 223.

chapels embedded within each bay. High above the chapels is a narrow triforium as well as a clerestory that consists of four bays each with a single, large stained-glass window.

As promised to the architects who presented their opinions during the January 1416 meeting, two of them were selected by Bishop de Mur to supervise the building project. Dalmau elected Antoni Canet as master stonemason (at Girona from 1417 until 1426) who was to co-direct the project with the current master of works at Girona, Guillem Bofill. Additionally, three more architects from the group—Pascasi de Xulbe, Joan de Xulbe and Pere de Vallfogona (all of whom were against the single-nave design)—were chosen along with eight other assistants, sculptors and stonemasons to help complete the construction and decoration of the cathedral.

An intensive building phase was in motion by 1420 and the vaulting of the first two bays (west of the high altar) were likely finished around 1427. After 1440, work had greatly slowed down, in part due to the Catalan Civil war (1462–1472) and it was not until the next century when Bishop Benet de Tocco (r.1572–1583) revitalized the building project. During the episcopacy of Francesc Arévalo de Zuazo (r.1598–1611), the fourth and final vault was completed.

---

235 Girona, ACCG: “Item que après que hagen deposat, lo senyor bisbe de Girona e son honorable capítol elegesquen dos dels dits mestres per fer e pintar un patró de la continuació de la dita obra migenençant lo qual la dita obra sia prosseguida ...,” see note 223.


The sheer architectural immensity and visual astonishment of Girona Cathedral’s enormous nave has been captured by John Harvey who remarks: “Even the visitor who has studied plans, drawings and photographs has difficulty taking in the physical possibility of what has been done. For, once the box-like coro is avoided and the east end seen, it is realized that the gigantic nave stands in relation to a full-sized normal cathedral as a hanger to an airship.”

Although the imposing nave took nearly two hundred years to finish, Dalmau de Mur’s swift and decisive move to complete the controversial single-nave design is a lasting testament to the striking choices he made as an emerging ecclesiastic and patron of the arts.

As Dalmau de Mur continued to ascend through the church hierarchy, there still remained unresolved issues concerning the papal schism, which had officially ended with the events at the Council of Constance (1414–1418), due to the kingdom of Aragón’s unbroken allegiance to Anti-pope Benedict XIII, who still refused to abdicate. At one point, Popes Gregory XII (Rome) and John XXIII (Bologna/Pisa) agreed to abdicate in 1415; yet, Anti-pope Benedict XIII, stubborn as ever, continued to exercise papal rights. The King of Aragón had officially broken all allegiance to Benedict XIII in January 1416 and, thereafter, backed Rome and Pope Martin V (r.1417–1431), upon his election at the Council of Constance. Then on July 26, 1417, Benedict XIII was declared a heretic and schismatic at the Council of Constance and was excommunicated. Essentially exiled in Peníscola, Benedict XIII had no support except for a


few individuals who remained loyal to him until January 1418. From around 1418, until his death in November 1422 or May 1423, Benedict XIII was mostly silent. On November 26, 1422, Benedict XIII did appoint four new cardinals in order to ensure that his papal legacy continued.

Sometime in 1418, King Alfonso V and several prelates of the kingdom of Aragón gathered in Lleida and elected Dalmau de Mur, Bishop of Girona, as ambassador of the kingdom of Aragón to the papal curia in Rome. Dalmau’s mission to Rome was auspicious—Pope Martin V appointed him Archbishop of Tarragona the following year, on July 1, 1419.

---

243 This included only three cardinals (two Aragonese and one Portuguese) and some officials. Jean Favier, Les papes d’Avignon (Paris: Fayard, 2006), 723. According to one account that does not align with this fact, Alfonso V, King of Aragón, continued negotiations with Benedict XIII in 1418. The King offered Benedict XIII clemency if he would abdicate and recognize Pope Clement V, but Benedict XIII refused. See Narciso Feliu de la Peña y Farell, Anales de Cataluña, 3 vols. (1709; Barcelona: Editorial Base, 1999), vol. 2, 432.

244 It remains unclear when Benedict XIII died exactly, but it was either November 29, 1422 or May 23, 1423. Luis Suárez Fernández, Benedicto XIII: antipapa o Papa? 1328–1423 (Barcelona: Ariel, 2002), 307.


3.2 ARCHBISHOP OF TARRAGONA (1419–1431)

As Archbishop of Tarragona, Dalmau de Mur had many opportunities to participate in the politics of both the church and the Aragonese royalty. For example, in 1422, he was appointed Chancellor of the Crown of Catalonia-Aragón (essentially he was the chancellor of the King)—a prestigious position that he held until 1439. In 1423, King Alfonso V sent Dalmau to Valladolid in Castile on a diplomatic mission to request from Juan II, King of Castile and León (r.1406–1454), the release of King Alfonso V’s brother, Enrique of Aragón, Duke of Alburquerque (r.1400–1445), who had been taken prisoner in 1422. Dalmau de Mur negotiated with King Juan II and his primary advisor, Álvaro de Luna y Jarana (1390–1453), Constable of Castile, and grand-nephew of Anti-pope Benedict XIII. Álvaro de Luna played an exceptionally prominent role in the politics of Castile, mostly as the favored advisor of King

248 The episcopal see of Tarragona was a bishopric beginning in the third century. Tarragona became a metropolitan see in 516, yet upon the division of the diocese of Tarragona by a papal bull of 1318 issued by Pope John XXII (r.1316–1334) its suffragans included Girona, Vic, Urgell, Barcelona, Lleida, Tortosa and Valencia. Before the split, Zaragoza, along with Huesca, Pamplona, Tarazona and Calahorra, had been suffragans of Tarragona, but Zaragoza was made into a metropolitan see thereby making Huesca, Pamplona, Tarazona and Calahorra suffragans of Zaragoza (see note 336). For more information on the history of the see of Tarragona see, Quintín Aldea Vaquero, Tomás Marín Martínez and José Vives Gatell, ed. Diccionario de historia eclesiástica de España, 5 vols. (Madrid: Instituto Enrique Flórez, Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas, 1972–1987), s.v., “Geográfica eclesiástica,” s.v., “Tarragona, Archidiócesis de;” Diccionari d’història eclesiàstica de Catalunya, 3 vols. (Barcelona: Generalitat de Catalunya/Claret, 1998–2001), s.v., “Terraonga, arquebisbat de.”


250 Tensions had grown so high that Alfonso V was threatening to invade Castile if his brother was not released. Matters were complicated by the fact that Alfonso V was married to María de Castilla, King Juan II’s oldest sister. Jerónimo Zurita, Anales de Aragón: edición de Angel Canellas López, 8 vols. (1610; Zaragoza: Institución Fernando el Católico, 2003), vol. 5, book XIII, chapter 24; Narcís Feliu de la Peña y Farell, Anales de Cataluña, 3 vols. (1709; Barcelona: Editorial Base, 1999), vol. 2, 441; Jaime Villanueva, Viage literario a las iglesias de España, 22 vols. (Madrid: Real Academia de la historia, 1803–1852), vol. 20 (1851), 12–15 at 12; Joseph F. O’Callaghan, A History of Medieval Spain (Ithaca: Cornell University Press, 1975), 553–555.

Juan II of Castile. As a close relative of Anti-pope Benedict XIII, he was ushered into the Castilian court as a page in 1410, where he eventually became an influential counselor to the King of Castile. He is often viewed as King Juan II’s loyal servant, while other opinions of him cast him as a manipulative and devious person who was fulfilling his own agenda. The initial negotiations in 1423 between Álvaro de Luna and Dalmau de Mur failed, but Dalmau was sent on a second mission in the same year to Villarreal and eventually Enrique of Aragón was released.

On December 9, 1424, Dalmau convened a Provincial Council in the city of Tarragona. In 1426, Dalmau de Mur presided over the parliament (corts) of Catalonia, held in Tortosa, since King Alfonso V was in Naples.

During his archiepiscopacy at Tarragona, Dalmau de Mur had a seal that he presumably used for official purposes. Based on a photograph of this seal, it is difficult to discern all of its details, particularly its inscription, but it does clearly present a central figure standing in a contrapposto pose. At the bottom there appears to be another figure holding a cross-staff and

---


256 No other seals were found that correspond to Dalmau de Mur’s tenure at Girona Cathedral or Zaragoza Cathedral. For the Tarragona seal, see Martí de Riquer, *Herladica Catalana des l’any 1150 al 1550*, 2 vols. (Barcelona: Edicions dels Quaderns Crema, 1983), vol. 2, 539 fig. 222.
wearing what appears to be a mitre. This lower figure, flanked by the coat of arms of Dalmau de Mur, is likely the archbishop. Since the seal was discovered in a catalog that does not provide any facts about it, it remains unknown what type of document it is attached to, where it is located today and how it may have been used originally.257

As Archbishop of Tarragona, Dalmau had the right to reside in the Castle of Selva del Camp.258 The castle had been the residence of the Archbishops of Tarragona since the early thirteenth century and a papal bull issued in 1412 by Anti-pope Benedict XIII permanently secured its legacy to the archbishopric of Tarragona.259 It has been remarked that the Archbishops of Tarragona, especially Dalmau de Mur and his three successors, lived at the Castle of Selva del Camp much like lords of a castle.260 This impression is perhaps partially reflected by an inventory of goods taken at the castle in 1446 that records the presence of furniture and objects such as candelabras, lances and even a tapestry: “cloth of Arras with the devices of Crudilliis, of Cumbis [and] of Aleynano.”261 It is unknown if these objects survive, but part of the castle’s fortified walls and towers, notably the Torre Baró, remain standing today.


258 Located in the county of Baix Camp in Tarragona province.


260 Dalmau’s successors included Gonçal Ferrandis d’Híxar (r.1431–1433); Cardinal Domènec Ram i Lanaja (r.1434–1445); and Pero de Urrea (r.1445–1489). For more on the castle, see Pere Català i Roca, “Castell de la Selva del Camp,” in El Castells catalans, ed. Pere Català i Roca, 6 vols., 2nd ed. (Barcelona: Rafael Dalmau, 1993), vol. 4, 167–173 at 170n11.

261 “Item IV. draps d’arras ab senyals de Crudilliis, de Cumbis, de Aleynano.” The tapestry remains untraced. I searched various dictionaries and encyclopedias in search of these family names but found nothing. The inventory is reproduced in Pere Català i Roca, “Castell de la Selva del Camp,” in El Castells catalans, ed. Pere Català i Roca, 6 vols., 2nd ed. (Barcelona: Rafael Dalmau, 1993), vol. 4, 167–173 at 172–173n11.
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3.2.1 Tarragona Cathedral

Contrary to the bold architectural changes at Girona Cathedral that Dalmau de Mur sponsored, the plan of the already constructed Tarragona Cathedral is more conservative: the church features a Latin cross basilica with a nave and two side aisles.262 Flanking the central semi-circular apse are two smaller semi-circle apses in echelon. Construction of Tarragona Cathedral was underway by 1171 and dedication occurred in 1331.263 Although Dalmau de Mur did not make substantive changes to the fabric of the building, he did commission the construction of its main altarpiece, discussed below.

Stylistically, the cathedral exhibits Romanesque, mudéjar and Gothic characteristics. The notable Romanesque cloister, which is located north of the high altar at the east end, was


263 The first mention of the rebuilt cathedral is found in the 1167 testament of Pere de Queralt who donated 1000 sous to the construction of a church dedicated to Santa Thecla (*adecclesiam Sancte Telce faciendam*). The second reference is from the 1171 testament of Archbishop Hug de Cervelló—a distant relative of Dalmau de Mur’s mother, Beatrúci Alemany de Cervelló i de Queralt—in which he provides 500 sous for the building of the church. See *Gran Enciclopèdia Catalana*, 2nd ed., 27 vols. (Barcelona: Enciclopèdia Catalana, 1988), s.v., “Cervelló;” Antoni Pladevall i Font, ed., *Catalunya romànica XXI: el Tarragonès, el Baix Camp, l’Alt Camp, el Priorat, la Conca de Barbera* (Barcelona: Enciclopèdia Catalana, 1995), 123. On a nearby site was an earlier fifth-century Visigothic church, which no longer survives, as it was destroyed during the Islamic reign. The current location of the cathedral is where there stood a Roman temple to Jupiter that was later covered by a mosque. John Hooper Harvey, *The Cathedrals of Spain* (London: B. T. Batsford, 1957), 163; *Diccionari d’història eclesiàstica de Catalunya*, 3 vols. (Barcelona: Generalitat de Catalunya/Claret, 1998–2001), s.v., “Tarragona, catedral de.”
built between 1194/95 and 1220. The arcade of the cloister comprises twin-sets of capitals that sit atop slender columns. The intricately carved column capitals are ornamented with abstract foliage designs and several are historiated. In addition, *mudéjar* elements are observed in the delicately carved screens of the oculi that pierce the cloister’s arcade.

Even though the style of Tarragona Cathedral was updated and changed during the successive building campaigns from the twelfth to the fourteenth century, the cathedral’s overall design still reflects a strong stylistic unity. The overall plan essentially illustrates the transition from large, heavy Romanesque-style buildings to the early development of the Gothic in Catalonia. For instance, pointed arches and slender Gothic rib-vaults are combined with thick, massive masonry walls and enormous Romanesque piers. Likewise, the central part of the west façade, which was built between ca.1272–1277 and 1289 by Maestro Bartomeu (Bartolomé) and later completed by Jaume Cascalls (Castayls, active 1341–1377) in 1375, is mostly Gothic in style—a large rose window accompanies a three-portal façade.

---


265 Probably the most famous of the historiated carvings are two humorous scenes with a cat and mice that are found on the abicus of one of the capitals. The first scene shows a large cat chasing a group of mice, while the second illustrates a funeral procession with the mice triumphantly carrying a litter on which lies the corpse of the the conquered cat. For a description and diagram of all subjects found on the capitals, see Antoni Pladevall i Font, ed., *Catalunya romànica XXI: el Tarragonès, el Baix Camp, l’Alt Camp, el Priorat, la Conca de Barberà* (Barcelona: Enciclопèdia Catalana, 1995), 145–161.


The unity of the façade is interrupted with the significantly diminutive two side portals that have rounded arches and are recessed by the projection of the central section. The Gothic characteristics of the central portal include dramatically cut archivolts (though not sculpted) and deeply carved jamb figures representing the apostles and prophets, as well as a trumeau figure of the Virgin Mary. The figures of the apostles and prophets were carved by Jaume Cascalls, who was previously charged with creating the tomb sculptures (1366–1373/74) at the royal pantheon in Poblet.270 The tympanum is filled with a glass window, also seen at Reims Cathedral façade (begun ca.1252),271 instead of the more common sculptural treatment. The large rose window272 sits directly above the central portal, while two smaller ocular windows are carved above the two side portals.

Although Tarragona Cathedral retains many of its massive Romanesque traits, it reveals the strong interest in integrating aspects of the Gothic style into the fabric of the church. Harvey concludes that, “The interior, in spite of its heavy and archaic design, has a grandeur and majesty unparalleled by any other Spanish church of its time . . .”273
3.2.1.1 Main Altarpiece

The magnificent main altarpiece in painted alabaster with a subtle iridescent glaze stands tall at the east end, behind the main altar, and serves as another example of the Gothic style at Tarragona Cathedral.\textsuperscript{274} On March 8, 1426, Dalmau de Mur hired the sculptor Pere Joan (active 1418–1458) to carve the altarpiece.\textsuperscript{275} It was not until April 5, 1429 that the altarpiece’s first stone, which was decorated with a large golden letter in the shape of a “T,” an attribute of Santa Thecla, was laid.\textsuperscript{276} The altarpiece was completed by around 1433. Santa Thecla is the patron


\textsuperscript{275} Harvey identified him as Pere Johan de Vallfogona (also known as Pere Joan). He was one of the master stonemasons included in the assembly of January 1416 that Dalmau de Mur convened in Girona (see note 225). John Hooper Harvey, \textit{The Cathedrals of Spain} (London: B. T. Batsford, 1957), 166. The contract of hire is recorded in the \textit{Actas Capitulares} housed at the Arxiu Diocesà de la Catedral de Tarragona (hereafter ADCT). See Emma Liaño, “Pere Johan entre el ‘exemplum’ medieval y la estética clásica: el retablo mayor de la catedral de Tarragona,” \textit{Goya: Revista de Arte} 282 (May–June, 2001): 137–144 at 138.

The saint of Tarragona Cathedral and several scenes of the altarpiece are dedicated to her life and martyrdom.

Although Tarragona Cathedral still remains dedicated to Santa María, by 1117 Santa Thecla had become the Cathedral’s titular saint and by the middle of the thirteenth century a chapel had been dedicated to her. Devotion to Santa Thecla was further strengthened during the archiepiscopacy of Ximeno Martínez de Luna y d’Alagón (r.1317–1327), when Jaime II, King of Aragón (r.1285–1327), sent ambassadors to Antioch in 1319 to meet with the King of Armenia in order to request a relic of Santa Thecla’s arm. In 1321, the ambassadors returned and a solemn procession commemorated the arrival of the reliquary with the sacred arm of the Saint. Santa Thecla’s feast day is celebrated on September 23.

The lower scenes of the altarpiece are dedicated to the events of the lives of Saint Martin of Tours and Santa Thecla. The central themes include The Life and Passion of Christ and

---


278 The silver reliquary that houses Santa Thecla’s arm (minus a thumb) was sent wrapped in a gold cloth. The reliquary is in the shape of an arm and hand. It remains unclear if the reliquary dates from the early fourteenth century or if it is a re-used reliquary that is much older. I was unable to view the reliquary during my visit to the Cathedral, since it is only brought on on Santa Thecla’s feast day. Joanne Stiltingo et al., Acta sanctorum: septembris, 8 vols. (1746–1762; Brussels: Impression Anastaltique Culture et Civilisation, 1970), vol. 6, 563–568 at 564.

279 The reliquary is only displayed once a year on the feast day. See the official website of the festival at: http://santatecla.tarragona.cat/ (20 April 2008).

280 Saint Martin of Tours was very popular in Catalonia during the Middle Ages. He served as patron saint to King Martín I and later, in Rome, Pope Martin V chose the name Martin because he was nominated as pope on the saint’s feast day, November 11. Gran Enciclopèdia Catalana, 2nd ed., 27 vols. (Barcelona: Enciclopèdia Catalana, 1988), s.v., “Marti de Tours, sant.” The connection between Saint Martin and Santa Thecla, however, is not obvious. The two are connected in one instance in the Golden Legend. Jacobus de Voragine recounts the story told by Sulpicius Severus (ca.363–ca.420/25), who wrote the earliest biography on Saint Martin. In his Dialogues (vol. 2, chap. 13), Severus recounts that once, when Martin was alone in his cell praying, Severus, who was close by, overheard voices and conversations. Perplexed, Severus later asked Martin about the voices, who replied: “I will tell you, but I ask you not to tell anybody else. Agnes, Thecla and Mary came to talk with me.” Jocabus de Voragine, The Golden
Pentecost. The stone predella twice displays Dalmau’s coat of arms (gules, a wall with five merlons or), and others are being held by two of the four angels that sit above the columns of the two doorways flanking the central altarpiece.

One scene that is particularly interesting among the twelve images of The Life of Christ and The Passion of Christ is the episode of Christ Carrying the Cross, located in the middle register immediately to the right of the Virgin and Child statue that stands in the center. Iconographically it is related to the same subject in the Passion tapestries at Zaragoza, which will be examined in greater detail in Chapter V. No other scenes in the Tarragona altarpiece correspond with the Passion imagery of the Zaragoza tapestries.

Many of the stylistic characteristics of the so-called “International Gothic Style” for instance, the use of sinuous lines, a strong interest in naturalism, a fascination with detail and the...
dramatic use of light and shadow to create a sense of depth—can be identified in the Tarragona altarpiece. The scenes are also framed within architectural niches that have delicately carved canopies, yet there is no suggestion of landscape elements. At the time of Archbishop de Mur’s commission of the main altarpiece by Pere Joan, the International Gothic Style was just beginning to emerge in Spain. The evolution of the style can be identified in further commissions he made while serving as Archbishop of Zaragoza.

3.2.2 Manuscripts

During the 1420s, Dalmau de Mur commissioned and acquired several illuminated manuscripts and the richly decorated manuscripts he owned illustrate his patronage of these luxury objects. Dalmau continued to collect manuscripts after he left Tarragona Cathedral for Zaragoza Cathedral in 1431 (discussed in section 3.3.6).

---

284 For instance, painters of the International Gothic style in Spain include Lluís Borrassà (active 1360–1426) and Bernart Martorell (active 1400–1452). See La pintura gótica hispanoflamenca: Bartolomé Bermejo y su época (Barcelona/Bilbao: Museu Nacional d’Art de Catalunya/Museo de Bellas Artes de Bilbao, 2003).
3.2.2.1 Girona Bible

One of Dalmau de Mur’s most impressive acquisitions, which unmistakably illustrates that he was an avid connoisseur who was aware of eminent French manuscript collectors, was his purchase of the Girona Bible (also known as the Gerona Bible and the Bible of Charles V).285

An unknown artist (called the Girona Master) executed the bible in Bologna at the end of the thirteenth century.286 Earlier scholarship argued that it was a fourteenth-century copy.287 The Girona Bible is one of several surviving manuscripts that reveal the latest trends in Byzantine (Palaeologan) art and its impact on Italian artists—a period referred to as the “Second Style” of


Bolognese manuscript illumination. In terms of possible models for the Girona bible, several Byzantine manuscripts have been identified, including three Palaeologan Gospel Books.

Recent scholarship has suggested that Jean Cholet, Cardinal of Beauvais (r.1281–1292), commissioned the Girona Bible around 1281–1289. Cholet’s first testament was drawn up on November 27, 1289 and included a gift to the Abbey of Saint-Lucien: “Bibliam meam maiorem . . . alienari prohibeo,” (I forbid giving away my great bible). This bible is possibly the Girona Bible.

---


290 In 1281, Pope Martin IV (r.1281–1285) elected Jean Cholet as a cardinal and it is likely that the commission of the bible occurred shortly after this date, see Massimo Medica, “La Bibbia di Gerona e il suo committente: una proposta per il Cardinale Jean Cholet,” Arte medievale 2, no. 2 (2003): 65–85 at 74–83.

291 The full sentence reads: “[133] Libros theologicos glosatos et Bibliam meam maiorem ecclesie Beati Luciani Belvacensis do lego: quos alienari prohibeo.” Cholet was granted two separate licentiae testandi. The first was granted on December 1281, 1281 by Pope Martin IV (r.1281–1285) and the second by Pope Nicholas IV (r.1288–1292) on February 9, 1290. For the published text of Cholet’s entire testament, see Agostino Paravicini Begliani, I Testamenti dei cardinali del Duecento (Rome: Presso la Società alla biblioteca vallicelliana, 1980), no. 35, 50–55, no. XV, 250–267 at 264.

292 This is the argument put forward by Massimo Medica, “La Bibbia di Gerona e il suo committente: una proposta per il Cardinale Jean Cholet,” Arte medievale 2, no. 2 (2003): 65–85 at 65. This attribution must remain tentative, since the bible is not, unfortunately, identified by its secundo folio: “… incipit in primo colondello secundi folii || ille et finit in eodem Grandia Grandia || …,” as it is described in the 1423 inventory taken in Peníscola (Barcelona, Biblioteca de Catalunya, MS 233, folio 8), see note 308.
Although it is not absolutely certain that the Girona Bible was among those manuscripts given to the Abbey of Saint-Lucien in Beauvais, it seems most plausible. This hypothesis is further strengthened by the fact that since the middle of the fourteenth century there certainly was a Bolognese bible in the collection of the Abbey of Saint-Lucien de Beauvais. King Charles V purchased the bible from the Abbey of Saint-Lucien de Beauvais at an unknown date. Charles V, however, lent the bible to the Bishop of Beauvais, Jean de Dormans (r.1360–1368; cardinal, 1368–1373), on condition that the bible would be returned to the King. This information was recorded by his maître d’hôtel, Gilles Malet, in the 1373 inventory taken of the manuscripts that were housed in the royal library at the Palais du Louvre: “Une très belle Bible, bien escripte et ystoriée, que le Roy presta pieça à l’evesque de Biauvez [Jean de Dormans], laquelle fu rendue au Roy après le trespass[ment] deduit evesque [Nov. 7, 1373]; couverte de soie, à une chemise, et fu de Saint-Lucien de Biauvaiz, de qui le Roy l’achetée.” Later, in


294 It is likely that the bible was purchased after Charles was coronated King of France in 1364, but before the time Jean de Dormans was elected as cardinal in 1368 which is referred to as l’évêque in the inventory of Charles V in 1373. The word cardinal, however, was added (probably later) beneath the description, thereby backing up the claim that the bishop mentioned is Jean de Dormans. Many scholars have erroneously stated that the bible was purchased by Charles in 1373, which is the year of his note in the bible and the year of Jean de Dormans’ death, not the year of purchase. Léopold Delisle, Recherche sur la librarie de Charles V, roi de France, 1337–1380, 2 vols. (1907; Amsterdam: G. Th. van Heusden, 1967), vol. 1, 142n1. Also see note 298.

295 In addition to being appointed as a bishop and later a cardinal of Beauvais, Jean de Dormans also founded, in 1367, the Collège de Beauvais in Paris (opened in 1370) and he served as Chancellor of France (1358–1359 and 1361–1372) during the reigns of King Jean II le Bon (r.1350–1364) and King Charles V le Sage. Louis de Mas Latrie, Trésor de chronologie, d’histoire et de géographie pour l’étude et l’emploi des documents du Moyen-Âge (Paris: Librairie Victor Palmé, 1889), 1387–1388 at 1388; Dictionnaire de biographie française, 20 vols. (Paris: Letouzey et Ané, 1933–2007), s.v., “Dormans (Jean de);” Léopold Delisle, Recherche sur la librarie de Charles V, roi de France, 1337–1380, 2 vols. (1907; Amsterdam: G. Th. van Heusden, 1967), vol. 2, 3, no. 2.

296 Paris, Bibliothèque nationale de France, MS fr. 2700. The old French verb presta (prester) meant: “donner à condition qu’on rendra,” see Jean-Baptiste de La Curne de Sainte-Palaye, Dictionnaire historique de l’ancien langage françois ou Glossaire de la langue française depuis son origine jusqu’au siècle de Louis XIV, 10 vols. (Niort, 1875–1882), s.v., “prester.” Pieça in old French referred to something long ago or in the distant past: “Un composé de deux mots piece, a ou ha du verbe avoir pour il y a long temps,” see Jean-Baptiste de La Curne de Sainte-Palaye, Dictionnaire historique de l’ancien langage françois ou Glossaire de la langue française depuis son
1378, King Charles V recorded (in his own hand) on folio 493v of the bible the following note:

_Ceste Bible est à nous / Charles le Vᵉ de notre / nom roy de France, et / l’achetames de Saint / Lucien de Biauvez l’an / mil CCC LXXVIII. Escrit / de notre main: CHARLES._"^{297}

After the death of Charles V, the bible was delivered on November 6, 1383 to the King’s bibliophile brother, Jean, Duke of Berry. After that point, the _Girona Bible_ is not mentioned until it appears in an inventory, made in Avignon sometime before September 1394, recording the books owned by Pedro de Luna (future Anti-pope Benedict XIII). Although it is not known how or when Luna acquired the _Girona Bible_, there are two plausible explanations.

First and most probable is that before September 1394, Jean de Berry gave the bible directly to Pedro de Luna. The presentation of the bible could have possibly occurred either in Paris, where Luna had served as a papal legate beginning in 1393, or in Avignon, where Berry

---


^{299} It is certain that the inventory was taken before September 1394 because it identifies the owner of the 196 books as Pedro de Luna and not by his pontifical name, Benedict XIII that he assumed upon his election as pope on September 18, 1394. The inventory is conserved in Rome, Archivum secretum apostolicum vaticanum, Registri Avignonesi 231, folio of 1383, see Léopold Delisle, _Recherches sur la librairie de Charles V, roi de France: 1337–1380_, 2 vols (1907; Amsterdam: G. Th. van Heusden, 1967), vol. 1, 142–143, no. 1, vol. 2, 3* no. 2, 223* no. 1 bis, 1 ter, 330*; Daniel Williman, _Bibliothèques ecclésiastiques au temps de la papauté d’Avignon_, 2 vols. (Paris: Éditions du centre national de la recherche scientifique, 1980), vol. 1, 73, no. 394.7, part B; Marie-Henriette Jullien de Pommerol and Jacques Monfrin, _La bibliothèque pontificale à Avignon et à Peñíscola pendant le grand schisme d’Occident et sa dispersion: inventaires et concordances_, 2 vols. (Rome: École française de Rome, 1991), vol. 1, xii–xiii, xvii, 12–15, 96–97.
had been making frequent trips as early as 1371. This theory is further supported by the fact that Jean de Berry gave a late fourteenth-century Latin bible to Benedict XIII’s predecessor, Anti-pope Clement VII.

A second, though less plausible explanation involves the right of papal spoils (jus spolii). Jus spolii was the common practice by which the popes of Avignon (from John XXII until Benedict XIII) laid claim to the “movable goods” (spolia sive bona mobilia) of deceased clerics. Under this premise, sometime between acquiring the bible in November 1383 and before its appearance in the Avignon inventory taken in September 1394, Jean de Berry could have given the Girona Bible to a clergyman, likely French, whose movable property was later seized upon his death by the pope. A search of the published accounts of papal spoils in Avignon has not identified a bible that corresponds with any of the descriptors (for instance, the secundo folio) of the Girona Bible. Furthermore, under this explanation, upon receipt of

---

300 The dates of Jean de Berry’s offering of the bible to Pedro de Luna would have to be between November 6, 1383 (when Berry received the bible from the collection of King Charles V) and September 18, 1394 (when Luna was elected as pope). Jean de Berry made several trips to Avignon during the years 1371, 1372, 1382, 1384, 1385, 1386, 1391, 1392, 1395; however, only the trips in 1384, 1385, 1386, 1391 and 1392 fall into the bracketed dates. Moreover, to complicate matters, from 1379 on Luna was traveling between France and the Iberian Peninsula as a papal legate. And then later, in 1393, he was appointed papal legate to France and Flanders and England. It has been noted that beginning in 1393, Luna spent much of his time in Paris. Françoise Lehoux, Jean de France, duc de Berri: sa vie, son action politique: 1340–1416, 4 vols. (Paris: A. et J. Picard, 1966–1968), vol. 3; Luis Suárez Fernández, Benedicto XIII: antipapa o Papa? 1328–1423 (Barcelona: Ariel, 2002), 134.


303 Daniel Williman’s analysis and publications (see above note 302) of approximately 1200 cases concerning the confiscation of moveable goods under the right of papal spoil reveals that all types of moveable goods were collected including money, silver, rings, gems, books, silverware, utensils, vestments, shoes, horses, cattle, grain, salt and wine. In the instances when a book is mentioned, it is rarely described in detail beyond identifying the manuscript as a bible or missal.
the bible at the papal curia it would have been recorded in a papal inventory, but no such listing has been found.  

No matter how the *Girona Bible* entered the library of Pedro de Luna (Anti-pope Benedict XIII), it next appears in an inventory taken around 1408–1409 that identifies the books that formed his portative library.  

After 1403, when Benedict XIII escaped from the besieged Palais des Papes in Avignon, he had to have a traveling library since he was constantly moving among cities like Provence, Nice, Monaco, Perpignan, Barcelona and Savona.  

The *Girona Bible* formed part of this itinerant collection. In 1411, Benedict XIII settled permanently at his castle in Peníscola (north of Valencia). By this date, a portion of his book collection, which was not part of the portative one, had already been relocated from Avignon to Peníscola.

The final reference to the *Girona Bible* in a papal inventory, which lists the books housed in the *Libraria major* at Peníscola, was taken after Benedict XIII’s death in 1422/23. Soon

---

304 Luna’s inventory from 1393 was not a papal inventory; it was copied after he became pope in the papal inventory kept at Avignon. Marie-Henriette Jullien de Pommerol and Jacques Monfrin, *La bibliothèque pontificale à Avignon et à Peñiscola pendant le grand schisme d’Occident et sa dispersion: inventaires et concordances*, 2 vols. (Rome: École française de Rome, 1991), vol. 1, 15.

305 The majority of Pope Benedict XIII’s books remained behind unscathed at Avignon after his escape; however, many books, including the *Girona Bible*, formed his portative library, which is housed in Rome, Biblioteca Apostolica Vatican, Barberini lat. 3180, folio 1, no. 4: “Item Biblia magna de litera bononiensi cum armis regis Francie cum postibus coperta de sirico livido cum seratoribus de auro,” Marie-Henriette Jullien de Pommerol and Jacques Monfrin, *La bibliothèque pontificale à Avignon et à Peñiscola pendant le grand schisme d’Occident et sa dispersion: inventaires et concordances*, 2 vols. (Rome: École française de Rome, 1991), vol. 1, 97–98, 143, 145.


308 Barcelona, Biblioteca de Catalunya, MS 233, folio 8: “Item alia pulcra biblia scripta in pergamo et istoriata per libros cum tabulis copertis de setino livido Foleyro de tersanello rubeo cum quatuor clausoris de auro ad arma Regis Francie, et incipt in primo colonello secundi folii || ille et finit in eodem Grandia || cum suo scogio de corto ad arma dicti domini Benedicti etiam penedt in uno clavo in dicta libraria.” Martí de Barcelona, “La biblioteca
thereafter, about eleven hundred of his manuscripts were sold in Valencia. Among those sold was the *Girona Bible*, as verified by a note later added next to the bible’s description in the 1423 inventory. It was, therefore, during or after 1423, while he was Archbishop of Tarragona, that Dalmau de Mur acquired the manuscript, which was most likely purchased by or for him at the Valencia sale. In addition to the purchase of the *Girona Bible*, he also acquired a copy of Flavius Josephus’ *Antiquitates Judaicae* and possibly another Bolognese bible, similar in date and style to the *Girona Bible*. This second Bolognese bible may have been acquired at another time, and he later bequeathed it to Tarragona Cathedral (discussed below). Little is known about Dalmau’s copy of the *Antiquitates Judaicae*. It is not known if it still exists.


310 The addition reads: “*Fuit missa Valenciam ad vendendum,*” Barcelona, Biblioteca de Catalunya, MS 233, folio 8. This note is undated.


312 San Lorenzo de El Escorial, Biblioteca de El Escorial, MS a.1.5.

313 Listed in the following five inventories from Avignon and Peníscola: (1) Rome, Archivum secretum apostolicum vaticanum, Registri Avignonesi 231, folio unknown, falls between 88–95 (inventory of Cardinal Pedro de Luna taken before 1394); (2) Rome, Archivum secretum apostolicum vaticanum, Collectione 469, folio 184v (inventory
Dalmau possessed the *Girona Bible*, together with the second Bolognese bible, until his death. In his testament dated 1454, Dalmau stated that the bible, decorated with the arms of the King of France on its golden clasps, was to be given to Girona Cathedral: “*Legamus preterea ac dimittimus ecclesiae Gerundensi bibliam nostram maiorem cum gaffetis sive clausuris auri ubi sunt arma regis francie.*”\(^{314}\)

We can only speculate as to why Dalmau chose to bequeath the bible to Girona Cathedral. Perhaps he wanted Girona Cathedral to continue the memory of his artistic patronage, since it could easily be transported from Zaragoza to Girona upon his death. On October 11, 1456, the notary P. Begudà records that the Chapter of Girona signed a receipt for Canon Pere Verdruna upon the delivery of Dalmau de Mur’s bible that bears the arms of the King of France.\(^{315}\) The bible is also mentioned in a fifteenth-century inventory from Girona Cathedral.\(^{316}\)

\(^{314}\) Zaragoza, ADPZ, MS 164, folio 443. According to Jenny Stratford, armorial clasps such as these are common in inventories from the middle of the fourteenth century, but few survive. The silver-gilt champlevé enamelled clasps from the *Girona Bible* are one of the few extant examples. Jenny Stratford, *The Bedford Inventories: The Worldly Goods of John, Duke of Bedford, Regent of France (1389–1435)* (London: The Society of Antiquaries of London, 1993), 94, plate LXIVa.

Dalmau de Mur’s interest in this sumptuously decorated bible, with its history of admirable bibliophiles as owners, confirms that he was aware of its impressive past and its great artistic value. In his decision to purchase the bible, Dalmau emulated many of the great collectors of the late fourteenth and early fifteenth centuries, both royal and ecclesiastical, and especially those from France.317

3.2.2.2 Bible of Dalmau de Mur

In addition to the Girona Bible and the Antiquitates Judaicae, Dalmau de Mur acquired another late thirteenth-century Bolognese bible, hereafter called the Bible of Dalmau de Mur (San Lorenzo de El Escorial, Biblioteca de El Escorial, MS a.l.5.), which is similar in style to the Girona Bible.318 Unlike the bible at Girona Cathedral, this second bible made in Bologna did not carry with it the same impressive French royal provenance. To date, it is not known how or when Dalmau acquired this bible; however, at some point after having it in his possession, Dalmau had his coat of arms illuminated on folio 2.319 The first known documentation of the bible is found in Dalmau’s testament, in which he identifies it as the “bible with silver clasps”

316 Girona, ADG, MS D–196, folio 58: “Quæ Biblia est magnæ formæ scripta in pergamenis, cum pulcherrima littera et diversis historiis: supra habet cohoptortorium panni sirici lividi, et duo tanchatoria cum quibus clauditur; sunt auri fini, cum armis regis Franciæ et etiam gremimentum dels Giradors est auri fini” (see above note 315 for bibliographic references).

317 See note 205 for bibliographic references in regards to the ecclesiastical collections of important prelates in France.

318 Most scholars classify the two together and many have suggested that this second bible, owned by Dalmau, was also illuminated by Bernardino di Bichignoli da Modena.

and bequeaths it to Tarragona Cathedral: “Legamus etiam ecclesie Terraconensi aliam bibliam nostram que habet clausuras de argento scilicet cum quinque platonibus argenti in qualibet tabula cohoptertorium.”

Only recently has the importance of this richly decorated bible been seriously examined. Stylistically, Joaquín Yarza Luaces argues that the Bible of Dalmau de Mur is somewhat older than the Girona Bible. He goes on to explain that while there are many parallels to the style of illumination in the bible at Girona Cathedral, there are noticeable differences in both style and approach. Several potential models have been identified, including two that also possibly influenced the illuminator(s) of the Girona Bible. The bible stayed at Tarragona Cathedral until 1662, when Archbishop Francesc de Rojas (r.1653–1663) presented it to Felipe IV, King of Spain (r.1605–1665), at which point it entered the royal library at the Escorial.

320 Zaragoza, ADPZ, MS 164, folio 443.
322 For instance, the interest in antiquity is evident in the Girona bible with classical, full-length nudes, while the Dalmau’s bible instead uses uses medallions with portrait busts and profiles. *Medieval Catalonia* (Barcelona: Lunwerg/Generalitat de Catalunya, 1992), 286–287, no. 3.30 at 286.
323 Potential models include a gradual (Madrid, Biblioteca Nacional, Vitr. 21–8) and a copy of Gratian’s *Decretals* (Rome, Biblioteca Apostolica Vatican, MS Pal. Lat. 629). Models that possibly influenced the Girona Bible include two psalters (Bologna, Biblioteca Universiataria, Cod. 346 and Paris, Bibliothèque nationale de France, Smith-Lesouef, MS 21).
In 1424, Dalmau commissioned the rector of Riudoms, Joan (Juan) Font, to copy the eight books of John of Salisbury’s mid-twelfth-century text, *Policraticus*, into one volume. This political treatise defined the conception of kingship and drew upon patristic literature from the early Middle Ages to support its claims. John argues that with any form of rulership (royal or ecclesiastical), tyranny can develop: “Tyranny exists not only in the case of princes, but everyone is a tyrant who abuses power that has been granted to him from above over those who are subjected to him.”

John of Salisbury describes the tyrannical behavior of ecclesiastics in Book Eight of *Policraticus*. He comments at great length on tyranny and the types of tyrannical behavior. Ultimately John sanctions tyrannicide—the killing of a tyrant for the common good. The justification for an act of tyrannicide had been invoked earlier in the century, in 1408, when Jean Petit read before the French court the *Justification du duc de Bourgogne*, which was a

---

325 Valencia, Archivo Capitular de la Catedral de Valencia (hereafter ACCV), MS 297. The colophon states (folio 156): “Iste liber Policratii Iohannis Saleberriensis est Reverendissimi in christo patris et domini domini Dalmacii de Muro digna dei providentia archiepiscopi Tarraconensis. Qui fuit completus in vigilia beati luche evangeliste per Ioa[nem] font Rectorem ecclesie de Rivolesminorum familiarem eiusdem domini Anno MCCCC vicesimo quarto Deo gratias.” At this point, no model has been found, thus more research on this manuscript in comparison to other surviving *Policraticus* manuscripts is needed to determine if the possible models used were French or Catalan (Spanish). For more, see Jesús Domínguez Bordona, *Manuscritos con pinturas: notas para un inventario de los conservados en colecciones públicas y particulares de España*, 2 vols. (Madrid: Blass, 1933), vol. 2, 241, no. 1925; Elías Olmos Canalda, *Catálogo de los códices de la Catedral de Valencia*, 2nd ed. (Valencia/Madrid: Consejo superior de investigaciones científicas/Instituto Nicolás Antonio, 1943), 47–48, no. 50; María Carmen Lacarra Ducay, “Un gran mecenas en Aragón: D. Dalmacio de Mur y Cervellón (1431–1456),” *Seminario de Arte Aragones* 33 (1981): 149–159 at 153.


theological rationalization for the assassination of Louis, Duke of Orléans, by the Duke of Burgundy, Jean sans Peur. In fact, Salisbury’s *Policraticus* was mentioned during Petit’s reading as an authoritative text.

With this in view, why did Dalmau de Mur have a copy of the *Policraticus* manuscript made? How was it intended to be received by Dalmau’s contemporaries—as a definitive political statement on tyrannical rulers, or perhaps even a judgment against tyrannical popes? Many believed that tyrannicide had been committed when Louis d’Orléans was murdered. It should not be forgotten that Louis was a devoted supporter and good friend of Anti-pope Benedict XIII. Now that the obstinate Benedict XIII was deceased and the kingdom of Aragón had aligned with the Roman papacy, perhaps Dalmau desired to symbolically create a political and ecclesiastical allegiance to Rome and Pope Martin V (r.1417–1431). Martin V was elected pope at the Council of Constance at which Anti-pope Benedict XIII was declared schismatic and excommunicated. Even though the papal schism was officially over, the kingdom of Aragón continued to struggle in its allegiance. The situation of instability continued after Benedict XIII’s death, when another Aragonese Anti-pope, Clement VIII (r.1423–1429, abdicated) was elected by Benedict XIII’s four devoted cardinals.

328 Jean sans Peur publically admitted to having murdered his uncle, in part, because his popularity was rising in Paris. In his quest for royal control he believed that he would gain overwhelming public approval by explaining his position. Louis d’Orléans was despised by many Parisians for the high taxes that he imposed, so Jean thought it opportune to take advantage of the anti-Louis sentiment and explain, in an exceptionally convincing way, why he had committed tyrannicide. The document was so exhaustive that Jehan Petit required four hours to read it in its entirety. The malleable King Charles VI pardoned Jean sans Peur the following day. It comes as no surprise that just four months later, the tide had once again shifted and the pardon previously given to Jean sans Peur was rescinded. Richard Vaughan, *John the Fearless: The Growth of Burgundian Power*, 2nd ed. (Woodbridge/Rochester: Boydell Press, 2005), 69–74. See also notes 191 and 192.


330 Anti-pope Clement VIII was known as Gil Sanchez Muñoz y Carbón before he was appointed pope by three of the four cardinals whom Anti-pope Benedict XIII nominated in his own right in November 1422, shortly before his death. The fourth cardinal, Jean Carrier, in absence of Clement VIII’s election, did not affirm this appointment and
While Aragón never officially declared obedience to Anti-pope Clement VIII, King Alfonso V definitely flirted with the possibility, since he sought a papal sanction for his claim to Naples.\(^{331}\) Even with the King of Aragón’s desire to negotiate deals concerning his military campaign to conquer Naples, the majority of bishops and archbishops throughout the kingdom of Aragón remained obedient to Pope Martin V and Rome.

With these changing political tides, perhaps Dalmau viewed Anti-pope Benedict XIII’s (and now Anti-pope Clement VIII’s) prolongation of the papal schism as a tyrannical act. In retrospect, John of Salisbury’s vivid description of a tyrant recalls the mulish character of Benedict XIII: “[For] the end of tyrants is confusion, leading to destruction if they persist in malice, to pardon [them] if they repent and return to the way of righteousness.”\(^{332}\)

So framed, Dalmau de Mur’s commission of the *Policraticus* in 1424 can be interpreted as a political message to Martin V, the pope in Rome, that Dalmau was a devoted supporter. The decision of the Archbishop to order a copy of *Policraticus* can thus be understood as an attempt to disassociate himself from the behavior of several anti-popes that further fueled the political and religious turmoil across Europe.\(^{333}\)


\(^{331}\) Alfonso V managed to secure the obedience of Siena to Anti-pope Clement VIII in 1423, but by 1428 when his allegiance to Clement VIII was no longer pertinent, he asked him to abdicate and recognize Pope Martin V. Clement VIII abdicated the following year (1429).


\(^{333}\) Alfonso V eventually conquered Naples in 1442/43. The situation in Naples before Alfonso’s conquest was complicated. Queen Giovanna II (Joan or Joanna in English) of Naples (r.1414–1435) had stopped supporting Pope Martin V and in retaliation, the pope sent Louis III d’Anjou to invade Naples and reign as titular king. In response, Giovanna called upon Alfonso for aid. In 1421, having no heir, she adopted Alfonso V and granted him the right
Furthermore, the relations in France between the Armagnacs and the Burgundians continued to break down, and the unending Hundred Years War with England only made matters worse. The situation was certainly not improved with King Charles VI’s loyalty vacillating between the two sides. The event that now divided the two factions was the decision in 1419 of the Dauphin (the future King Charles VII) to have the Burgundian Duke, Jean sans Peur, murdered in retaliation for the assassination of Louis d’Orléans twelve years before. While the Dauphin made no public explanation for his actions, it was obvious that he believed that a tyrannical act had occurred when his uncle, Louis d’Orléans, was brutally murdered by the Duke of Burgundy.

In 1422, the Dauphin ascended to the throne as Charles VII, King of France (r.1422–1461). Dalmau’s decision in 1424 to commission a copy of the *Policraticus* text also reflects his recognition of and allegiance to the new reigning monarch of France. Certainly, the figure of Charles VII represented not only the rightful sovereign of France, but also the continuation of the long lineage of sophisticated and extravagant patrons of the arts. Dalmau’s commission of *Policraticus* serves as yet another instance when he expresses his knowledge of the visual arts, as well as his political astuteness. Furthermore, it is also conceivable that Dalmau’s choice of

---

Policraticus as a subject served as a reminder to rulers (both royal and ecclesiastical) that in the end, tyrannical behavior would be judged by God.

3.3 ARCHBISHOP OF ZARAGOZA (1431–1456)

On March 11, 1431, a new pope was elected, Eugene IV (r.1431–1447). Later that year, on November 3, 1431, Pope Eugene IV transferred Dalmau de Mur to the metropolitan see of Zaragoza, located outside of Catalonia in the region of Aragón and raised to an Archbishopric under Pope John XXII in 1318. This was a significant advancement in the ecclesiastical career of Dalmau, since Zaragoza Cathedral (known as La Seo) was one of the most powerful cathedrals in the kingdom of Aragón, chiefly because of its close relationship with the royal

---

335 Most of Pope Eugene IV’s reign was filled with major upheavals and factions against him, notably because of his decision to close the Council of Florence (began as the Council of Basel in 1431, but later moved to Florence in 1439) when the authority of the council was dominant over that of the pope’s. After that point, his legitimacy was in constant jeopardy. In the end, he managed to win major support and regain the papal reigns. This change in sentiment was mostly due to his willingness to back the King of Aragón’s claim to Naples in 1442. After ten years in exile, he returned to Rome the following year.


337 The term “Seo” in Castilian (“Seu” in Catalan) means see or cathedral. The Cathedral of Zaragoza is therefore commonly referred to simply as La Seo.
court. During this period, the Aragonese court was itinerant, traveling among the primary royal palaces located in Barcelona, Valencia and Zaragoza.

After the 1118 re-conquest of the city of Zaragoza, the Aragonese court was established in the fortified palace, the aljafería, built in the latter half of the eleventh century by the Muslims. Like many medieval buildings, the aljafería was subsequently reconstructed over the years. The building strongly reflects a mudéjar style in the additions made between 1373 and 1393. The royal court based in the aljafería is northwest of Zaragoza Cathedral, just outside the medieval city wall. The position of Zaragoza Cathedral within the kingdom of Aragón was preeminent: La Seo was where all the Kings of Aragón had been crowned since 1204. The tradition began under Ramón de Castrocol, Bishop of Zaragoza (r.1201–1216). A ceremonial procession would begin at the aljafería and end at La Seo. In addition to coronation ceremonies, other important royal events such as christenings, weddings and funerals took place at Zaragoza Cathedral. For instance, in February 1453, while he was Archbishop of Zaragoza, Dalmau de Mur baptized the Infante Fernando II de Aragón, later known as el Católico after his ascension as

---

338 Although these were the primary courts, there were also royal palaces in Lleida, Huesca, Tarragona, Tortosa and Mallorca. For more see, Francesca Español i Bertran, Els Escenaris del rei art i monarquia a la Corona d’Aragó (Manresa/Barcelona: Fundació Caixa Manresa/Angle Editorial, 2001), 9–63, 75–105, 146.

339 Pascual Madoz, Diccionario geográfico-estadístico-histórico de Aragón: Zaragoza (1845–1850; Valladolid and Zaragoza: Ambito Ediciones and Diputación general de Aragón, 1985), 387–388; Antonio Beltrán Martínez, ed. La Aljafería (Zaragoza: Cortes de Aragón, 1998). Over 400 primary documents concerning the Aljafería have been published (one hundred of these documents span from the twelfth to the fifteenth centuries), see Pedro I. Sobradiel Valenzuela, La arquitectura de la Aljafería: estudio histórico documental (Aragón: Gobierno de Aragón, 1998), 345–466.


341 Until 1516 when Carlos I de España (Holy Roman Emperor Charles V) was crowned King of Spain (r.1516 – 1556).

342 For more on the coronation ceremonies at Zaragoza, see Bonifacio Palacios Martín, La coronación de los reyes de Aragón, 1204–1410: aportación al estudio de las estructuras políticas medievales (Valencia: Anubar, 1975), 229–284.
King of Aragón (r. 1479–1516).\textsuperscript{343} The royal baptism of the Infante demonstrates Dalmau de Mur’s intimate connection with the kingdom of Aragón; there is little doubt that Dalmau’s promotion to Archbishop of Zaragoza was the highlight of his career.\textsuperscript{344}

As Archbishop of Zaragoza, Dalmau made substantial reforms to church regulations. For example, in 1435 he provided that the bishop or archbishop was no longer obliged to make a pastoral visit; instead he could choose a representative in his place.\textsuperscript{345} These changes were eventually adopted by other archdioceses throughout the kingdom of Aragón.\textsuperscript{346} Also, Dalmau de Mur made an important change in the visitation priorities of the archbishop in which the visitation to the people (\textit{visitatio hominum}) took second place to the visitation of property holdings (\textit{visitatio rerum}).\textsuperscript{347} Thus the land, buildings and the various objects inside (for example, the reliquaries, chalices, liturgical vestments, etc.) were meticulously surveyed and


\textsuperscript{344} Much documentation survives in Zaragoza, Archivo Diocesano de Zaragoza (hereafter ADZ) where there are documents concerning the diocese, clergymen and episcopal curia proceedings during Dalmau de Mur’s tenure. The following are pertinent: \textit{Registros de Actos Comunes y Órdenes de} 1428, 1430, 1431, 1432; \textit{Registros de Actos Comunes y Órdenes de} 1433, 1434, 1435, 1436; \textit{Registros de Actos Comunes y Órdenes de} 1437, 1439, 1440; \textit{Registros de Actos Comunes y Órdenes de} 1441, 1442, 1443; \textit{Registros de Actos Comunes y Órdenes de} 1444, 1445, 1446, 1447; \textit{Registros de Actos Comunes y Órdenes de} 1449, 1450, 1451, 1452, 1453; \textit{Registros de Actos Comunes y Órdenes de} 1454, 1455, 1456. Some of these documents have been published, see Pilar Pueyo Colomina, “‘Litterae Acaptandi:’ concedidas por el arzobispo de Zaragoza Dalmau de Mur en los años 1433–1440,” in \textit{De l’esclavitud a la eslaus i Lliberts a l’Edat Mitjana}, ed. María Teresa Ferrer i Mallol and Josefina Mutgé i Vives (Barcelona: Consell superior d’investigaciones científiques/Institució milà i Fontanals, 2000), 401–430.

\textsuperscript{345} Lluís Monjas Manso, “La Reforma eclesiàstica i religiosa de les diòcesis de la Tarraconense al llarg de la Baixa Edat Mitjana (a través dels qüestionaris de visites pastorals),” (PhD diss., Universitat Pompeu Fabra, Institut Universitari d'Història Jaume Vicens i Vives, 2005), 281, 284.

\textsuperscript{346} For an in-depth study of pastoral visits in the late Middle Ages in the kingdom of Aragón, see Lluís Monjas Manso, “La Reforma eclesiàstica i religiosa de les diòcesis de la Tarraconense al llarg de la Baixa Edat Mitjana (a través dels qüestionaris de visites pastorals),” (PhD diss., Universitat Pompeu Fabra, Institut Universitari d'Història Jaume Vicens i Vives, 2005), 279–286, 423.

recorded. This practice reveals Dalmau de Mur’s profound interest in precious art objects in liturgical collections.

3.3.1 Valderrobres Castle

Close ties with the Aragonese monarchy are also evident in Archbishop de Mur’s continuation of the construction of the Castle of Valderrobres—owned by the Bishopric (and later Archbishopric) of Zaragoza since 1307.\textsuperscript{348} The immense castle was an edifice that played a prominent role in both ecclesiastical and royal spheres in Aragón during late the Middle Ages.\textsuperscript{349} For instance, Valderrobres Castle was a residence for the Bishops and later Archbishops of Zaragoza, but it was also often inhabited by members of the Aragonese royalty, like Queen Eleanor de Alburquerque (1374–1435), wife of King Fernando I, and Queen María de Castilla (1401–1458), wife of King Alfonso V.\textsuperscript{350}

Valderrobres Castle also came to assume an important position in Aragonese politics. For example, in 1429, with the raging war against Castile, the three parliaments (corts) of Aragón, Catalonia and Valencia were held simultaneously in order to reach a consensus regarding military and financial strategies; hence, the situation required that the convocations of the three regions take place in geographical proximity to one other. Tortosa and San Mateo

\textsuperscript{348} Due to a dispute concerning ownership of the land between the church and the feudal lords, an agreement was made that recognized Valderrobres as property of the bishopric of Zaragoza. Valderrobres is located in the county of Teruel in the diocese of Zaragoza. See Manuel Siurana Roglán, \textit{Guía interpretiva de la Iglesia y del Castillo de Valderrobres} (Alcañiz: Centro de Estudios Bajoaragoneses, 2003), 13–38; Carmelo López Esteruelas and Manuel Siurana Roglán, \textit{Valderrobres: paso a paso} (Valderrobres: Ayuntamiento de Valderrobres, 2005), 40.

\textsuperscript{349} Each floor averages 1000 square meters and the main façade measures 39 meters wide, see Manuel Siurana Roglán, \textit{Guía interpretiva de la Iglesia y del Castillo de Valderrobres} (Alcañiz: Centro de Estudios Bajoaragoneses, 2003), 86, 122.

\textsuperscript{350} Manuel Siurana Roglán, \textit{Guía interpretiva de la Iglesia y del Castillo de Valderrobres} (Alcañiz: Centro de Estudios Bajoaragoneses, 2003), 82.
hosted the convocations of Catalonia and Valencia, respectively, while Valderrobres and its castle was the site chosen for that of Aragón.\textsuperscript{351}

The Castle of Valderrobres sits high atop a mountain in the province of Teruel in Aragón. An Islamic fort likely served as the foundation of the castle, which was built during the reconquest years of the region (1169–1170) by King Alfonso II (r.1162–1196) and was subsequently used as a military stronghold. From that time until its official transfer to the bishopric of Zaragoza in 1307, the castle was controlled by various feudal lords, while much of the surrounding territory was in the hands of Knights of the Order of Calatrava. Beginning under Pedro López de Luna,\textsuperscript{352} Bishop and then first Archbishop of Zaragoza from 1318 (r.1317–1345), a major construction phase of the castle was begun. In addition, Luna also built an adjacent church where his coat of arms is found on the apex of the tribunal arch. This was the first of three major phases of the building of Valderrobres Castle.\textsuperscript{353}

\textsuperscript{351} Manuel Siurana Roglán, \textit{Guía interpretiva de la Iglesia y del Castillo de Valderrobres} (Alcañiz: Centro de Estudios Bajoaragoneses, 2003), 104.

\textsuperscript{352} Not to be confused with Pedro Martínez de Luna, Anti-pope Benedict XIII to whom Archbishop Luna was related. Pedro López de Luna y Ximénez de Urrea was the son of the Count of Luna, Lope Ferrer(h) de Luna. \textit{Gran Enciclopèdia Catalana}, 2\textsuperscript{nd} ed., 27 vols. (Barcelona: Enciclopèdia Catalana, 1988), s.v., “Luna y Ximenes de Urrea, Pero Lopes de."

\textsuperscript{353} In addition to the presence of the prelates’ coats of arms, which symbolically stamp their contribution into the fabric and history of the building, the distinct building phases can be differentiated by the use of individual marks made by the stonemasons that can help reconstruct the chronology of construction. Many of the stonemasons marks at Valderrobres castle and church have been cataloged, see Manuel Siurana Roglán, \textit{Guía interpretiva de la Iglesia y del Castillo de Valderrobres} (Alcañiz: Centro de Estudios Bajoaragoneses, 2003), 19–20 79–80, 94. Furthermore, the presence of so many individual masons’ marks reveals clues about the workers themselves. It has been observed that marks made in stone ashlar blocks were often used to tally the number of stones cut by a particular mason at the end of each day. It appears this system was employed during the construction of San Isidoro in León and possibly the same practice was in place during the three distinct phases of construction at Valderrobres, as identified in the various groups of stonemasons’ marks. For more on the study and chronological implications of stonemasons’ marks and the dating of buildings, see Therese Martin, “Reading the Walls: Masons’ Marks and the Archaeology of Architecture at San Isidoro, León,” in \textit{Church, State, Vellum and Stone: Essays on Medieval Spain in Honor of John Williams}, ed. Therese Martin and Julie A. Harris (Leiden/Boston: Brill, 2005): 373–412; Jennifer S. Alexander, “Masons’ Marks and Stone Bonding,” in \textit{The Archaeology of Cathedrals}, ed. Tim Tatton-Brown and Julian Munby (Oxford: Oxford University Committee for Archaeology, 1996): 219–236.
During the second stage, the work continued on both the church and the castle by Archbishop García Fernández de Heredia (r. 1391–1411). Archbishop Fernández de Heredia, who began to build the ground floor and first story of the castle, was assassinated during the fierce and tumultuous years of the interregnum (1410–1412). Ultimately, the money to finish the construction started by Archbishop Fernández de Heredia was provided by Anti-pope Benedict XIII. The coats of arms of both men adorn interior and exterior elements of the castle and the church. For instance, they are found carved over doorways, in the spandrels of arches, on the cornices of capitals and on corbels.

The third and final building phase did not start until 1435, when Archbishop de Mur visited the castle and decided to erect a second story, only parts of which remain today. Unfortunately, the castle is rather dilapidated, while other areas show heavy reconstruction. The area that concerns Dalmau's contribution to the building is the second story that originally included two long galleries. These galleries are no longer extant, the second story has no roof, and many of the rooms have no floor. In the two rooms of the second story identified as the “olivera” on the floor plan, Dalmau’s coat of arms can still be seen on at least two corbels of the ceiling beams in the rooms. These heraldic markings remain the only visual indicators linking Dalmau de Mur with the construction of Valderrobres Castle.


355 Included in this was a work force of fifty-nine Muslim slaves; see Manuel Siurana Roglán, *Guía interpretiva de la Iglesia y del Castillo de Valderrobres* (Alcañiz: Centro de Estudios Bajoaragoneses, 2003), 82.


3.3.2 Zaragoza Cathedral

While archeological evidence shows that there were earlier settlements in what was to become Zaragoza, it was the Roman Emperor Augustus (r.27 BCE–14 CE), established the colony of Caesar Augusta (Çaragoça/Zaragoza) in 24 BCE. Zaragoza Cathedral’s foundations are constructed upon the remains of a Roman forum built during the reign of the Roman Emperor Tiberius Claudius Nero (r.14 CE–37 CE). Parts of these Roman foundations were photographed during the 1992 excavation of the cathedral.358

After the Muslim invasion of Zaragoza in 714, the architectural remains of the Roman forum first served as the foundation for the aljama mezquita, the central mosque of the city, which was known as the Mezquita Blanca (White Mosque).359 A color-coded floor plan presents the eleventh-century mosque layout in relation to the current plan. It is clear that the location of the mihrab in the qibla wall is oriented in an easterly direction (the niche can be seen on the right side of the floor plan).360

The Muslim-ruled city of Zaragoza was re-conquered by Alfonso I el Batallador, King of Aragón and Navarre (r.1104–1134) on December 18, 1118. It was not long thereafter that the Christians appropriated the mosque for religious use.361 Ultimately, the mosque was

358 Parts of the foundation of the Roman forum can be seen today at the Museo del Foro de Caesaraugusto. José Antonio Hernández Vera and Juan José Bienes Calvo, “La excavación arqueológica de la Catedral del Salvador,” in La Seo de Zaragoza, ed. Juan Carlos Lozano López (Zaragoza: Diputación General de Aragón, 1998), 23–46.

359 José Enrique Pasamar Lázaro, La Catedral de San Salvador de Zaragoza, 2nd ed. (Zaragoza: Letra Artes Gráficas, 2000), 3. The Muslims built the other major mudéjar monument, the Aljafería palace, which still stands, in the eleventh century.

360 For more on the mosque and reconstructed floorplans, see José Antonio Hernández Vera, Bernarbé Cabañero Suviza and Juan José Bienes Calvo, “La mezquita Aljama de Zaragoza,” in La Seo de Zaragoza, ed. Juan Carlos Lozano López (Zaragoza: Diputación General de Aragón, 1998), 69–84.

361 The architectural history is complex and many documents survive to illustrate the various building phases. See the various essays in La Seo de Zaragoza, ed. Juan Carlos Lozano López (Zaragoza: Diputación General de Aragón,
reconsecrated as a cathedral in 1121 by King Alfonso I and dedicated to *El Salvador en su Epifanía* (The Savior in His Epiphany).\(^{362}\) Around 1140, major parts of the mosque were destroyed in order to create a wide nave to fulfill the cathedral’s liturgical function as evident in a reconstructed ground plan that shows the layout of the church by 1300, as well as the plan as it is seen today.\(^{363}\) When compared with the plan that also shows the mosque floor plan with its mirhab that faces towards Mecca, it becomes evident that the apse of the Christian church is not oriented on the typical east-west axis. Instead, the apse is oriented towards the northeast.

Subsequent building phases include a Romanesque period from about 1150 that laid down a basilica-style plan with three naves, a transept and a semi-circular apse flanked by two chapels.\(^{364}\) Then, after Zaragoza Cathedral was named a metropolitan see in 1318 by Pope John XXII, Gothic and *mudéjar* architectural elements begin to appear as the building underwent even greater expansions.\(^{365}\)

During the archiepiscopacy of García Fernández de Heredia (r.1383–1411), Anti-pope Benedict XIII bestowed upon the archbishop financial resources to further embellish the

---


\(^{365}\) This especially includes what is called the *parroquieta* (small parish church) and its external mudéjar wall. See María Carmen Lacarra Ducay, “Iglesia catedral de San Salvador o La Seo,” in *Las Catedrales de Aragón*, ed. Domingo J. Buesa Conde (Zaragoza: Caja de Ahorros de Zaragoza, Aragón y Rioja, 1987): 307–353 at 310–312.
cathedral with various projects that were executed during the years 1403–1412. The most impressive of these was a magnificent dome, constructed in the shape of a pontifical tiara that partially collapsed in 1417. It was not rebuilt until the time of archbishop Alfonso de Aragón (1478–1520).

Archbishop Dalmau de Mur’s major surviving accomplishments did not concern structural changes, but rather additions such as the main altarpiece, the choir and choir screen (begun in 1453; later destroyed), to be discussed below. Zaragoza Cathedral underwent changes from the second half of the fifteenth century up until the eighteenth century. This includes the addition, begun in 1490, of two outer aisles to the existing three, while from 1546 until 1559, two more bays were added to the west end, effectively creating a large almost square floor plan consisting of five aisles with side chapels. During the seventeenth and eighteenth centuries, a baroque tower and a Neo-Classical façade were constructed. The Cathedral of Zaragoza still contains elements of these various architectural styles—Romanesque, mudéjar, Gothic, Renaissance, Baroque and Neo-Classical.

---


367 Francisco Abbad-Jaime de Aragón Ríos, La Seo y el Pilar de Zaragoza (Madrid: Plus-Ultra, 1948), 13–93 at 16; Wifredo Rincón García, La Seo de Zaragoza (Leon: Editorial Everest, 2000), 6, 47.
3.3.2.1 Main Altarpiece

The large, imposing main altarpiece of Zaragoza Cathedral can be viewed today, though the original bright pigments of polychrome are fading away. Dalmau de Mur was so impressed with Pere Joan’s carvings in Tarragona that he requested that the sculptor relocate to Zaragoza. By 1434, the carving of the alabaster sculptures for the main altarpiece was underway, ultimately taking about eleven years to complete. The altarpiece comprises a predella surmounted by the large central portion. María Carmen Lacarra Ducay has dedicated numerous studies to the main altarpiece. Her book includes a lengthy documentary appendix that reproduces several of the contracts between Dalmau de Mur and Pere Joan, in addition to discussing the figures and their stories and identifying the types of flora and fauna.

The predella is divided into two registers. The bottom is divided into seven niches: four niches exhibit Dalmau de Mur’s coat of arms held by angels while the other three are the devices of the Chapter of Zaragoza Cathedral (the Lamb of God with the standard of the Resurrection terminating in a cross); the upper portion of the predella is decorated with four carved scenes of the lives of Saints Lawrence, Valero, Bishop of Zaragoza (290–315), and Vincent. These scenes

368 For illustrations, see María Carmen Lacarra Ducay, Rafael Conde y Delgado de Molina and Javier Delgado Echeverría, El retablo Mayor de San Salvador de Zaragoza (Zaragoza: Librería General/Gobierno de Aragón Departamento de Cultura y Turismo, 2000).


370 María Carmen Lacarra Ducay, Rafael Conde y Delgado de Molina and Javier Delgado Echeverría, El retablo Mayor de San Salvador de Zaragoza (Zaragoza: Librería General/Gobierno de Aragón Departamento de Cultura y Turismo, 2000).
are alternated with three sculpture busts of the same three saints.\textsuperscript{371} The carving of the predella was complete by around 1439.

Pere Joan sculpted the principal scenes of the main body of the altarpiece. The lower half illustrates episodes from \textit{The Epiphany}, \textit{The Transfiguration} and \textit{The Ascension}, while the upper half of the altarpiece is ornately filled with delicate spires and several figures of saints. The majority of the alabaster-carved work by Pere Joan on the central portion of the altarpiece was complete by 1445.\textsuperscript{372} In 1457, the year after Dalmau de Mur’s death, Francesc Gomar was contracted to work on the two lateral doors (at the bottom) that flank the main altarpiece where he carved figures of the four evangelists. His work was complete by 1460. Some areas of the main altarpiece were re-worked until the end of the century by different sculptors, including Master Ans (1467–1477) and Gil Morlanes the elder and Master Megas (1479–1488).

\textbf{3.3.2.2 Choir and Dalmau de Mur’s Tomb}

The choir stalls located in the center of La Seo were commenced in 1444, the year in which the names of the woodcarvers Francesc Gomar (active 1443–1492/93) and his brother, Anthon Gomar (active 1440–1453), are recorded.\textsuperscript{373} According to a document from December 1444, \textsuperscript{374}

\textsuperscript{371} Pedro de Luna (Anti-pope Benedict XIII) gave Zaragoza Cathedral the reliquary busts of Saints Lawrence, Valero and Vincent in 1397 according the inscriptions on the sculptures. All three busts have been attributed to an unknown workshop of artists working in Avignon. The busts contained the relics of the saints: the jaw and teeth of Saint Lawrence, Saint Valero’s head and small unknown parts of Saint Vincent. For more see, María del Mar Agudo Romeo, ed., \textit{El espejo de nuestra historia: la diócesis de Zaragoza a través de los siglos} (Zaragoza: Ayuntaminto de Zaragoza, 1991), 120–121, 344; and see note 454.


\textsuperscript{373} The choir stalls remain today in the central nave of Zaragoza Cathedral. The documents are housed in Zaragoza, Archivo histórico de Protocolos (hereafter AHPZ), which are organized by the names of the notaries and then the dates, for example: Alfonso Francés, \textit{Protocolo}, 1445. These archival documents have been transcribed, see R.
Anthon Gomar was the main sculptor responsible for the choir stalls and was referred to as the “maestre de ymagineria.” Work on the stalls continued through March 1448, when Anthon, now called a “obrero del coro,” was paid 144 sueldos jaqueses for the time he spent in Navarre obtaining the oak wood required for the construction of the choir. In 1449, many payments were made for materials needed for the choir, including bricks for the floor, the painting of the panels and the purchase of more planks of wood. It is believed that the choir stalls were completed by July 1453, when Anthon Gomar is recorded working on the choir stalls in the Chapel of Castelnuovo—the Castle in Naples first built by the Dukes of Anjou and then appropriated by King Alfonso V after his conquest in 1442.


Mahoma Almedini was paid a total of 1,120 sueldos jaqueses in four payments: Zaragoza, AHPZ, Alfonso Francés, Protocolo de la Seo, February 20, April 4, April 13 and May 29, 1449, folios 13, 23, 26 and 47 respectively, see R. Steven Janke, “The Retable of Don Dalmau de Mur y Cervelló from the Archbishop’s Palace at Saragossa: A Documented Work by Francí Gomar and Tomás Giner,” Metropolitan Museum Journal 18 (1984): 65–83 at 73n24.


Eighty-six planks of wood were delivered by Juan de Gurrea in 1450: Zaragoza, AHPZ, Alfonso Francés, Protocolo de la Seo, February 8, 1450, folio 9v, see R. Steven Janke, “The Retable of Don Dalmau de Mur y Cervelló from the Archbishop’s Palace at Saragossa: A Documented Work by Francí Gomar and Tomás Giner,” Metropolitan Museum Journal 18 (1984): 65–83 at 74n27.

The sculptures of the choir stalls comprise intricately carved figures and animals, delicate architectural components such as tracery and spires, and decorative foliage and floral patterns. Although the choir’s imagery does not form an overt narrative program, it has been suggested that it symbolically represents the creation of the world as described in Genesis.\textsuperscript{380}

Throughout the choir, in the canopies of each seat, angels along with various other figures support Dalmau de Mur’s coat of arms that alternate with those of the Chapter of La Seo, similar to the predella on the main altarpiece. The exterior of the choir is filled with ornately carved stone and wood niches that create miniature chapels.

Located in the center of the choir on the floor is the brass tomb slab of Dalmau de Mur.\textsuperscript{381} The placement of the tomb in the center of the choir stalls was precisely stipulated in his testament.\textsuperscript{382} He also specified that the tomb was to be prominently positioned and his funeral was to be modest:

\begin{quote}
. . . we choose a tomb for ourselves in the choir of our church of Zaragoza and in a middle place between the entrance of the said choir and the choir [\textit{finistollum}] where the portionary canons and other clerics meet
\end{quote}


\textsuperscript{381} The tomb slab measures 175 x 82 cm. and is 1 cm. thick. It is briefly mentioned by María Carmen Lacarra Ducay, Rafael Conde y Delgado de Molina and Javier Delgado Echeverría, \textit{El retablo Mayor de San Salvador de Zaragoza} (Zaragoza: Librería General/Gobierno de Aragón Departamento de Cultura y Turismo, 2000), 31, fig. 12, 33, where they state that perhaps it was created by the sculptor Francí Gomar.

\textsuperscript{382} Zaragoza, ADPZ, MS 164, folios 438v–439. The entitlement to choose one’s place of burial was considered an “inalienable right,” according to Julian Gardner, \textit{The Tomb and the Tiara: Curial Tomb Sculpture in Rome and Avignon in the Later Middle Ages} (Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1992), 20, citing Antoine Bernard, \textit{La sépulture en droit canonique du décret de Gratien au concile de Trente} (Paris, F. Loviton, 1933), n.p. Dalmau de Mur’s request to be interred in the center of the choir also reveals a sense of self-importance (or, \textit{superbia})—the greatness of the patron is reflected in the inconvenient location of the tomb in the sacred space of the choir, see Paul Binski, \textit{Medieval Death: Ritual and Representation} (London: British Museum Press, 1996), 189.
for prayer; that is in that place where we have ordered the said tomb to be made and inscribed. And we want that the funeral service over our body not done pompously, but rather decently and honestly, as is customarily done for an archbishop, and this is to be well seen to by our said executors . . .

The placement of the tomb illustrates Dalmau de Mur’s aspiration to remain a permanent figure within La Seo and its history.

A brass slab with an incised portrait of Dalmau de Mur covers the tomb of the archbishop. This full-length funerary portrait of Dalmau is oriented so that his feet point in the direction of the main altar, thus if he were to stand up, he would be facing it. Unfortunately, the brass tomb slab has been severely worn down over the centuries, making it difficult to fully discern all the imagery and its iconography. María Carmen Lacarra Ducay has suggested that Francesc Gomar possibly designed the tomb, since he was still working under the Archbishop’s patronage in 1456.

Nevertheless, it is obvious that the Archbishop is illustrated recumbent wearing his archiepiscopal vestments, including a mitre with a trefoil finial, almuce, chasuble, dalmatic, pallium and gloves that are possibly decorated with a design. His arms are crossed at the wrist and his left hand rests on a staff terminating in a cross flory to which is attached a narrow curling banner (the cloth that is just visible crosses over the staff at the level of his shoulder). His head rests on a patterned square pillow that is adorned with tassels at the four corners. There is a linear design above his head on either side of the figure that suggests an elaborately carved bier.

There also appear to be two protecting angels, one on either side of the pillow.

---

383 Zaragoza, ADPZ, MS 164, folios 438v–439: “. . . eligimus inquam nobis sepulturam in choro ecclesie nostre Cesaraugustane in loco intus medio inter introitum dicti chori et finistollum ad quem canonici portionarii et alii clerici conveniunt ad officiandum in illo videlicet loco ubi dictam sepulturam iam fecimus operari et signari. Et volumus quod fiant exequie corpori nostro non pompose sed alias decenter et honeste secundum quod pro archiepiscopo est fieri consuetum et dictis nostris manumissoribus et executoribus fuerit bene visum . . .”

384 María Carmen Lacarra Ducay, Rafael Conde y Delgado de Molina and Javier Delgado Echeverría, El retablo Mayor de San Salvador de Zaragoza (Zaragoza: Librería General/Gobierno de Aragón Departamento de Cultura y Turismo, 2000), 31.
At the top, seven small arches repeat the composition of those at the bottom, yet here, they enclose clerics conducting specific funerary tasks. In the center, a mitred bishop holds a crosier in his left hand, while with his right hand he blesses a winding-sheet held by the clerics in the niches on either side of him. Two more clerics in the following two niches are depicted, but it is unclear what actions they may be performing. Lastly, two clerics on either end swing censers. An inscription runs around the outer edge of the plate (now mostly illegible), interrupted at the corners and at the center top and bottom by depictions of the de Mur shield. Seven small arches at the foot of the tomb contain groups of either two or three figures of clerics that are robed and hooded, though their details are barely discernable. Flanking the Archbishop to the left and right are incised images of six pairs of hooded clerics, who stand in niches.

The imagery of the bishop officiating and blessing the winding-sheet represents the funeral rites, known as the *exequias*, and is commonly found mostly in Catalonian art. Its origins can be traced, however, to Italian funerary sculpture dating from the end of the thirteenth and early fourteenth centuries. Robert Bartalini explores the illustration of the “*Celebrazione delle esequie*” in early fourteenth-century Italian tombs (specifically from Naples) carved by Tino di Camaino (ca. 1280–1337). The tomb sculpture represents a bishop accompanied by several clerics performing a funeral ceremony as they hold books in their hands (no winding-sheet is seen). By the first half of the fourteenth century, the Italian designs eventually influenced the iconography of tomb sculptures in Catalonia and Languedoc.  

---


Probably the most celebrated tomb that presents a winding-sheet within its iconographic cycle is the monumental carved tomb of Archbishop Lope Fernández de Luna, Archbishop of Zaragoza (r.1351–1380, d.1382), located in La Seo.\(^{387}\) Another example comes from the Monastery of Santa María de Bellpuig de les Avellanes: the monumental tomb of Ermengol VII, Count of Urgell (r.1154–1184), which was carved around 1320–1340, and is now housed at the Cloisters Collection in New York.\(^{388}\) There are also several carved funerary monuments with winding-sheet iconography to be found at the Cathedral of Lleida, including fragments of the sepulcher of Ponç de Vilamur (carved by Guillem Seguer, ca.1335–1350).\(^{389}\) Fragments from the tomb of Ferrer Colom, Bishop of Lleida (r.1334–1340), also represent figures holding a winding-sheet.\(^{390}\) A later example is observed at Girona Cathedral in the tomb of the bishop, Arnau de Mont-rodon (r.1336–1348) that was likely created around 1390–1394 and has been attributed to the sculptor Pere ça Anglda.\(^{391}\)

In addition to the particular iconography of the bishop blessing the winding-sheet observed on Dalmau de Mur’s tomb, the type of funerary monument and its material also reflect


the Archbishop’s interest in propagating his memory. His tomb stands out as simple in style and unadorned when compared to the elaborate monumental stone carvings that include an effigy, such as the tomb carved by Antoni Canet in 1409–1412 for the Bishop of Barcelona, Ramon d’Escales (r.1386–1398).392 Similarly decorative is the celebrated tomb of one of Dalmau de Mur’s Catalan contemporaries, Bernat de Pau, Bishop of Girona (r.1436–1457). Bernat de Pau’s four-tiered sepulcher is framed within an elaborate arch decorated with angels, figures and the bishop’s devices.393

These ornate and large tombs underscore the simplicity of Dalmau’s funerary monument. Certainly the Archbishop could have commissioned a more elaborately sculpted monumental tomb—he had the financial means, the artistic connections and the authority to do so, but in his decision to be placed in the choir he was aiming for a location that was situated in the heart of the cathedral, close to the minds (and thoughts) of the clergy who could commit Dalmau to their memory on a daily basis.394 Finally, the placement of Dalmau’s tomb on the choir floor required a tomb that was flat so as to minimize the risk of stumbling clergymen. In addition to the linear


393 The tomb is placed in the Chapel of Saint Paul (also called the Chapel of the Bishop) in Girona Cathedral and was carved by an anonymous sculptor. For more see, Luis Batlle y Prats, “El sepulcro de Bernat de Pau, obispo de Girona (1436–1457),” Annales de l’Institut d’Estudis Gironins 33 (1994): 453–480; Marc Sureda i Jubany, Girona Cathedral (Madrid: Ediciones Aldeasa, 2005), 28–30.

394 This idea recalls a later example, the donation in 1509 by Martin Guerande, Canon of Le Mans Cathedral, of a set of choir tapestries showing the Life of Gervasius and Protasius (patron saints of the cathedral), see note 207. His image is included in a scene where is shown kneeling next to the relics of the two saints with the aim to remind the clergy to pray for his soul’s salvation and to keep his memory alive. See Laura Weigert, Weaving Sacred Stories: French Choir Tapestries and the Performance of Clerical Identity (Ithaca/London: Cornell University Press, 2004), 53–80.
and two-dimensional design of the tomb, the choice of brass as the material also echoes ideas of permanence and eternal fortitude.\textsuperscript{395}

In the end, whether or not the remembrance of Archbishop de Mur has continued, his tomb is situated in the center of the choir stalls that he commissioned. The choir stalls were completed in 1453, three years before his death. Although Anthon Gomar had departed for Naples, Francesc Gomar remained in Zaragoza. Francesc had been contracted again by Archbishop de Mur in 1453 to construct a wooden choir screen that no longer survives. Documents reveal that Francesc Gomar carved the choir screen between March 1453 and November 1456 and was paid the large sum of 12,000 \textit{sueldos jaqueses}, indicating that it was

large and carved with a high degree of sophisticated workmanship. It is not known where this wooden choir screen would have been placed. It could have possibly been installed at the end of the choir stalls that face towards the main altarpiece, where today there is a seventeenth- or eighteenth-century metal rod screen, or perhaps it was positioned closer to the main altarpiece.

3.3.3 Archbishop’s Palace

While serving in Zaragoza, Dalmau must have resided at the Archbishop’s Palace, which was originally constructed sometime before the fourteenth century as a residence for the Kings of Aragón, and is situated immediately across from La Seo. Similar to Zaragoza’s aljafería royal residence, the presence of mudéjar aspects at the Archbishop’s Palace indicates construction was underway around 1350. The building now only has traces of its medieval mudéjar style, since it was significantly modified in the sixteenth century and then again in the eighteenth century. The only remaining mudéjar elements—a gypsum window and a wooden crossbar—were discovered in the 1970s when the building was undergoing restoration. The wooden crossbar terminates in a lion’s head and is decorated with Dalmau’s coat of arms.


The construction of the palace continued in the fifteenth century under the patronage of Dalmau de Mur. Several documents survive that shed light on Dalmau’s role in the building and the enlargement of both the Archbishop’s Palace and the adjacent Palacio de la Diputación del reino (Delegation of the Kingdom’s Palace). A document from 1443 reveals that construction began at the Palacio de la Diputación as ordered by Dalmau de Mur.\(^{401}\) It is also believed, based on surviving documents and the wooden crossbar with Dalmau de Mur’s coat of arms, that the Archbishop was responsible for the construction of the grand salon.\(^{402}\) Dalmau’s 1454 testament describes houses he purchased from Juan de Barbastro in the Plaza de la Diputación (located next to the Archbishop’s Palace and the Palacio de la Diputación) that were to be used to augment the buildings of both the Archbishop’s Palace and the Palacio de la Diputación.\(^{403}\) This task was to be carried out by Dalmau’s successors.\(^{404}\)

Other documents reveal that a chapel in the Archbishop’s Palace was under construction by 1445, since in December of that year, Juan de Leredo, a stonemason, was paid 352 sueldos jaqueses and 10 dineros by Archbishop de Mur’s proctor, Simón Tirado, for work he and his team had executed on the chapel’s main portal.\(^{405}\) A year later, in 1446, two Muslim workers,


\(^{403}\) Zaragoza, ADPZ, MS 164, folios 444–444v.


Hamet el Cavero (a potter from Teruel) and Hamet el Hali (a blacksmith from Zaragoza), were hired to make 4,250 tiles for the chapel.\textsuperscript{406}

\subsection*{3.3.3.1 Archbishop’s Palace Altarpiece}

Around 1456, Dalmau commissioned the sculptor of La Seo’s choir stalls and choir screen, Francesc Gomar, to begin sculpting a new altarpiece for the chapel in the Archbishop’s Palace. Extant records illustrate that Francesc Gomar received payments over the years 1456, 1457 and 1458, totaling 3,300 \textit{sueldos jaqueses} for the carving of the altarpiece for the Archbishop’s Palace chapel.\textsuperscript{407}

The well-preserved sculpted altarpiece was carved in alabaster, not wood. At some point, it was removed from its original setting and subsequently purchased by J. P. Morgan. The altarpiece was given to the Cloisters Collection at the Metropolitan Museum of Art in New York where is still housed.\textsuperscript{408} The central theme of the altarpiece is the Pentecost, which is flanked by


\textsuperscript{407} Zaragoza, AHPZ, Juan Marco, \textit{Protocolo}, June 18, 1456, unfoliated; Juan Marco, \textit{Protocolo}, date missing, but between December 25, 1457 and January 18, 1458, unfoliated; and Juan Marco, \textit{Protocolo}, June 5, 1458, unfoliated. In the last two documents, the executors of Dalmau’s testament delivered the payments to Francí Gomar. R. Steven Janke, “The Retable of Don Dalmau de Mur y Cervelló from the Archbishop’s Palace at Saragossa: A Documented Work by Francí Gomar and Tomás Giner,” \textit{Metropolitan Museum Journal} 18 (1984): 65–83 at 75n35–37, 81–82, documents 6, 9, 10.

scenes from the lives of Saint Martin and Santa Thecla—\(^{409}\) the same subjects of the main altarpiece in Tarragona sculpted by Pere Joan.

Although the altarpiece at the Archbishop’s Palace has a subject matter similar to that of the Tarragona altarpiece, the primary difference between the two concerns their styles. Whereas the Tarragona altarpiece expressed the manner of the International Style, the altarpiece by Francesc Gomar at Zaragoza shows an interest in developing a newer style, one that illustrates a greater awareness of realism.

This is most apparent when comparing the scenes of The Pentecost from both altarpieces, as well as the scenes of Santa Thecla’s Ordeal by Fire and The Conversion of Santa Thecla. The realism of the style is found in the recession of space as a three-dimensional reality—Francesc Gomar created a notable plasticity of the figures and the space they occupy. Furthermore, the architectural frameworks that help define and clarify the narrative are also deeply cut. The interest in realism is also observed in the lifelike nature of the beards and hair of the figures, such as the two gracefully modeled male figures (located on the left and right corners of the predella) that support Dalmau de Mur’s coat of arms. Both figures wear long flowing robes that fold into soft Z-shapes at the base. By contrast, Pere Joan’s earlier altarpiece at Tarragona creates a sense of depth by vertically overlapping the figures, who are carved in much more shallow relief. The overall effect of the Francesc Gomar’s altarpiece at Zaragoza, when compared to Pere Joan’s piece at Tarragona, is that it is carved with a greater degree of realism.

Finally, in addition to carving the alabaster altarpiece now at the Cloisters, Francesc Gomar was hired to carve another altarpiece for the Archbishop’s Palace, but this time in wood. It is not clear if this wooden altarpiece was to complement the alabaster one now at the Cloisters; Steven Janke has suggested that the Cloisters altarpiece perhaps functioned as a large predella to

\(^{409}\) See note 280 for more on Saint Martin of Tours and his connection with Spain and Santa Thecla.
the wooden one.\textsuperscript{410} A surviving record among the so-called \textit{papeles sueltos} (loose papers) from 1458 reveals that Francesc Gomar contracted with Tomás Giner to paint and gild the altarpiece. Only two panel paintings from the altarpiece survive (discussed below, section 3.3.7). Furthermore, Francesc Gomar received two payments in March 1459 for creating and completing the wooden altarpiece for the Archbishop’s Palace. In the first installment, Francesc received 450 \textit{sueldos jaqueses} and then seven days later, 300 \textit{sueldos jaqueses}.\textsuperscript{411} In the end, Francesc Gomar was paid 3,660 \textit{sueldos jaqueses} for this wooden altarpiece.\textsuperscript{412}

\section*{3.3.4 Panel Paintings}

As mentioned above, Francesc Gomar was contracted to work with Tomás Giner (active 1458–1480), who was responsible for the painting and gilding of the wooden altarpiece for the Archbishop’s Palace. In 1459, Tomás Giner was also referred to as La Seo’s “painter of the high altar,”\textsuperscript{413} thus he played a significant role in decorating and completing several of Dalmau de Mur’s final projects.


The panels that Tomás Giner gilded and painted for the wooden altarpiece at the Archbishop’s Palace were at some point taken apart and separated. Two of these panels survive and are housed at the Archbishop’s Palace in Zaragoza. Since the panels have been detached from their original setting, scholars have attempted to identify the original order of the scenes and to examine the iconography.

Steven Janke has proposed that one of the two extant panels originally formed the center and right panels of the upper register of the altarpiece. This panel painting presents the figures of Saint Martin of Tours and Santa Thecla standing side-by-side. While Saint Martin has no attribute to identify him other than his mitre and bishop’s attire, Santa Thecla is crowned and carries a golden palm in her left hand, while in her right she holds her attribute, a large golden letter “T.”

Dalmau de Mur’s coat of arms is painted on the orphrey of Saint Martin, indicating a visual link with the panels he commissioned for the wooden altarpiece of the Archbishop’s Palace. This connection strongly suggests that Dalmau de Mur felt a significant association with the saint. Saint Martin was traditionally associated with the French monarchy, beginning with King Clovis in the sixth century, and like many saints, Martin is known for his piousness, charitable gifts and generosity; exchanging his cloak with a beggar is the topos by which he is most commonly depicted. Moreover, when comparing the faces of Santa Thecla and Saint Martin, it is obvious that Martin’s portrait is much more life-like than the generic portrait of Santa Thecla, which is less descriptive. Saint Martin’s face is treated with great attention to coloration and fine lines—maybe this is more than just a portrait of Saint Martin, but also serves

---

414 Zaragoza, Palacio del Arzobispo, no inventory number.
415 For a color illustration, see *La pintura gótica hispano flamenca: Bartolomé Bermejo y su época* (Barcelona/Bilbao: Museu Nacional d'Art de Catalunya/Museo de Bellas Artes de Bilbao, 2003), no. 26.
as a portrait of Dalmau de Mur? Whether or not this is the case, Dalmau de Mur’s identification with the saint was perhaps intended to remind viewers of the Archbishop’s own virtues of piety and generosity.

The other surviving panel painting from the Archbishop’s Palace altarpiece is compositionally arranged in the same way as the first: the panel shows two standing saints standing next to one another. Saint Augustine is shown as a bishop and Saint Lawrence holds a grill. From the contracts, it can be deduced that the lost panels represented the images of Saints Vincent and Valero in addition to a panel with scenes from The Passion of Christ, possibly with The Crucifixion.

As already observed, one way that Archbishop de Mur chose to communicate and to preserve his reputation as a notable patron of the arts was by incorporating his coat of arms into many of the works of art that he commissioned. One truly spectacular example is a panel painting by Blasco de Grañén (active 1422–1459). The image shows an enthroned Virgin and Child and is generally known as the Virgen del arzobispo Mur (or, María, reina de los cielos). A document exists stating that Blasco de Grañén would paint the panel for 5,000 sueldos jaqueses. The painting dates to around 1437–1439 and presents a lavishly dressed Virgin—her deep sapphire brocade is lined with ermine and hemmed with gold—set against a gold-

---


419 María Carmen Lacarra Ducay, Arte gótico en el Museo de Zaragoza (Zaragoza: Dirección General de Acción Cultural, 2003), 42.
embossed backdrop. The Virgin’s golden halo and gold hem (painted with jewels) are also
embossed. In her right hand, the Virgin holds a branch of white lilies, while her left hand
supports the Christ-child who sits on her lap. He is shown blessing with his right hand, and
holds an orb with a cross that includes the flag of the Resurrection in his left hand.

The Virgin and Christ-child sit on a majestic pink throne, beneath a dais, while eleven
angels surround them.420 Two of the angels stand atop the dais, while eight others play various
types of musical instruments. At the bottom of the painting, in the center, an angel supports a
shield emblazoned with Dalmau’s coat of arms and crested by a gold cross.

This panel painting originally formed the central portion of a larger altarpiece for the
church of Santa María de Albalate in Teruel (located in the diocese of Zaragoza). Little is
known about the original context of use other than that the altarpiece was made for Santa María
de Albalate and the only known connection between Dalmau de Mur and the church is that in
1432, shortly after becoming Archbishop of Zaragoza, Dalmau’s vicar-general convened a synod
in Teruel.421 It is also not clear when the altarpiece was taken apart, nor how long it remained at
the church of Santa María de Albalate.422

Lastly, it is certain that Dalmau owned four other panel paintings that are now lost. This
information comes from his testament where he identifies the Monastery of Santa Fe in the

---

420 There exists another panel painting by Blasco de Grañén created around 1435–1445 that has a similar
compositional arrangement to the one owned by Dalmau de Mur. Instead of eleven angels, this other painting
includes six angels (four play musical instruments, while the other two hold inscribed scrolls). It is owned by the
Spanish bank, Ibercaja, in Zaragoza and it measures 214 x 109 cm. See Aragón, reino y corona (Zaragoza:

421 María Carmen Lacarra Ducay, Arte gótico en el Museo de Zaragoza (Zaragoza: Dirección General de Acción
Cultural, 2003), 39–42.

422 It was discovered in 1921 in the church of San José and then given to the Museo de Zaragoza. María Carmen
Lacarra Ducay, Arte gótico en el Museo de Zaragoza (Zaragoza: Dirección General de Acción Cultural, 2003), 39–
42.
diocese of Zaragoza as the recipient of four panel paintings, one of which had an image of the pietà with the image of the Virgin Mary, decorated with gold, pearls and precious stones.423

### 3.3.5 Manuscripts

During the years 1432 and 1433, Joan Font copied and illuminated a second manuscript for Dalmau de Mur.424 The manuscript contains two texts, the *De institutis coenobiorum* (*Instituta cenobiorum*) and the *Collationes* (*Liber collationum*),425 by John Cassian (Joan Cassià; Juan de Casiano; Jo(h)annes Cassianus, ca.360–435), a fifth-century monastic theologian. *De institutis coenobiorum* describes Cassian’s accounts with the Desert Fathers, whereas his *Collationes* discusses Christian redemption.426 Cassian’s works have been negatively influenced and interpreted by the writings of Saint Prosper of Aquitaine who wrote that Cassian’s teachings (referred to as Semipelagian) challenged Augustine’s idea that an individual requires divine grace to reach salvation.427

---

423 Zaragoza, ADPZ, MS 164, folio 442v: “Legamus insuper monasterio Sancte Fidis nostre diocesis tabulas nostras in quarum una est depicta pietas seu imago Virginis Marie matris domini nostri Iesuchristi que sunt ornate auro perlis et lapidibus preciosis.”

424 The first manuscript, *Policraticus* (Valencia, ACCV, MS 297), was made while Dalmau de Mur was Archbishop of Tarragona. See note 325.


426 For the Latin texts, see Michael Petschenig, ed. *De institutis coenobiorum; De incarnatione contra Nestorium* (Vienna: Verlag der Österreichischen Akademie der Wissenschaften, 2004); Michael Petschenig, ed. *Collationes XXIII* (Vienna: Verlag der Österreichischen Akademie der Wissenschaften, 2004).

427 For a reexamination of John Cassian’s theological texts and their influence, see Augustine Casiday, *Tradition and Theology in St. John Cassian* (Oxford/New York: Oxford University Press, 2007). For more on Cassian’s works in
Dalmau de Mur’s copy of Cassian’s two texts is sumptuously decorated with champie initials; a gold letter set against a party-colored background of mauve and blue, or, a mauve or blue letter set against a gold background. The first folio is also distinctive because of the various ornamental aspects. Most striking are two historiated initials on the first page, each presenting a portrait of a figure. Most remarkable is the initial “D” at the top of the first column that shows a figure dressed as an archbishop—undoubtedly a portrait of Dalmau de Mur. In this representation, Dalmau is mitred and is shown dressed in a yellow and red cope with a golden pallium worn over a white alb. He also wears white gloves and in his left hand he holds a gilded crosier, while he blesses with a raised right hand.

The second historiated initial is the letter “V” and is located in the middle of the second column. Illustrated is a young cleric who is tonsured and wears a black hooded habit. In his hands he holds up a manuscript—he either represents the author, John Cassian, or perhaps he signifies the scribe, Joan Font. At the bottom of the page, Dalmau de Mur’s coat of arms is found surmounted by a gold cross. The five golden merlons of the Mur devices are set against a red ground with fine black hatch marks.

Also of importance is the presence of two colophons; since there are two distinct texts, each has its own. The first, on folio 50 reads, “Font me scripsit anno MCCCCXXXIII,” while the colophon at the end of the manuscript, on folio 217v, is significantly longer: “Explicit liber collationum beati Cassiani . . . domini Dalmatii de Muro Archiepiscopi Cesaraugustani, scriptus per me Johannem Font presbiterum rectorem ecclesie Riviulmorum campi et diocesis Tarracone familiarem domini, XIII die mensis Julii eiusdem. Anno a nativitate Domini MCXXX secundo.

DEO GRACIAS.” In addition, to mark the beginning of the second text, on folio 51, Dalmau’s devices are reproduced a second time, again at the bottom of the page.

In addition to the Cassian manuscript, Dalmau owned several other (possibly illuminated) manuscripts that are listed in his testament. These include two pontificals (one large, the other portable) bequeathed to Zaragoza Cathedral; a breviary and diurnal that Dalmau gave to his chamberlain, Domingo Betrián (previously owned by Alfonso de Vilaplana); and two volumes of Lo Cartoixà that Dalmau gave to the monastery of Santa Fe. Lo Cartoixà is a translation by the Catalan poet Joan Roïç (Roig/Rois) de Corella (d.1497) of the Vita Christi attributed to Ludolph of Saxony (ca.1300–1377/78). The whereabouts of these manuscripts remain unknown, as do their dates of commission or acquisition, but the presence of these manuscripts in the Archbishop’s testament reveals that his collection of books was more substantial than previously recognized.

Even more, at least three of the manuscripts that Dalmau owned are not mentioned in his will—these include the texts he had copied by Joan Font (the Policraticus referred to above and the single bound copies of De institutis coenobiorum and Collationes), as well as his purchase of the Antiquitates Judaicae that was sold at the sale in Valencia upon the death of Anti-pope

428 Zaragoza, ADPZ, MS 164, folio 442: “Item duo pontificalia nostra sive libros pontificalia nuncupatus quos de presenti habemus unum maius et aliud portatile.”

429 Zaragoza, ADPZ, MS 164, folio 451: “Item vull que sia donat a Domingo Betrián mon cambrer lo mongill de burell forrat de dossos e lo manto de taula negre forrat de corderines de Navarra negres e lo breviari e diurnal que foren de Alfonso de Vilaplana.”

430 Zaragoza, ADPZ, MS 164, folio 443: “Item librum nostrum nuncupatum lo Cartoixà que sunt duo volumina.” Lo Cartoixà was a translation by the Catalan poet Joan Roïç (Roig/Rois) de Corella (d.1497) of the Vita Christi attributed to Ludolph of Saxony (ca.1300–1377/78). Lo Cartoixà comprised four volumes, yet the reference to the text in Dalmau’s testament only states two volumes—perhaps the four volumes were made into two.

431 Several late fifteenth- and early sixteenth-century printed editions of the text exist and many of them are cataloged at PhiloBiblon’s Bibliografia de Textos Catalans Antics [BITECA], see http://sunsite.berkeley.edu/Philobiblon/phhmbi.html (20 April 2008).
Benedict XIII, also discussed above. In all, Dalmau de Mur’s known manuscript collection numbered eleven, and perhaps others survive that have not been associated with him.

### 3.3.6 Metalwork and Liturgical Vestments

In addition to his collection of illuminated manuscripts and panel paintings, Dalmau de Mur owned reliquaries, metalwork and various liturgical vestments. Upon his death on September 12, 1456, several precious objects were dispersed as stipulated in his testament. Dalmau de Mur’s testament included a *licentiae testandi* from Pope Eugene IV—a license provided by the pope that gives a prelate the right to have a testament. Of the many important works of art described in the testament were several pieces of metalwork and liturgical vestments. It appears that all but one of these objects is lost.

To Zaragoza Cathedral, the Archbishop gave a gold reliquary with a silver-gilt base that was decorated with pearls and gems, depicting the Nativity of Christ; a large gold chalice with the coat of arms of Dalmau de Mur and emblem in enamel work; two silver-gilt candelabra, which Dalmau de Mur had made in Zaragoza, in addition to four large brass candelabra that

---


434 Zaragoza, ADPZ, MS 164, folio 441v: “*Legamus deinde atque dimittimus per executores huiusmodi nostri testamenti traddi et liberari mandamus ecclesie nostre Cesaraugustane reliquarium nostrum aureum erectum supra pedem argentum deauratum ubi est signata Nativitas Christi ornatum margaritis et gemis sive perlis.*”

435 Zaragoza, ADPZ, MS 164, folio 441v: “*Item etiam legamus eidem ecclesie calicem nostrum maiorem de aura tum in quo sunt arma sive signa nostra esmaltata.*”
came from the Archbishop’s Palace, to be used for the services of the high altar. To the church of Santa Maria la Mayor in Zaragoza (today known as the Basílica del Pilar de Zaragoza), Dalmau donated a gold cross with a silver base that was decorated with pearls and precious stones.

In addition, the Archbishop left numerous liturgical vestments to Zaragoza Cathedral. For example, he bequeathed a pallum with the image of San Valero (the fourth-century Bishop of Zaragoza, referred to above), which he also had made in Zaragoza, and was to be dedicated to the service of the Cathedral. He also gave several liturgical vestments to the Basílica del Pilar in Zaragoza. The Cistercian Monastery of Santa Fe received a silk gremial ornamented with pearls and the coat of arms of Dalmau de Mur and depicted the image of the Virgin Mary. Of these liturgical vestments, only a fragment of a dalmatic adorned with the coat of arms of

---

436 Zaragoza, ADPZ, MS 164, folio 442: “Item etiam et duo candelabra sive cerofferaria nostra maiora argentea deaurata que Cesarauguste fecimus fabricari neconon quatuor candelabra nostra magna metalli de lauto que de presenti habemus in domo nostra que deserviant altari maiori dicte ecclesie.”

437 Zaragoza, ADPZ, MS 164, folio 442–442v: “Item legamus dimittimus et dari mandamus ecclesie Beate Marie maioris civitatis Cesaraugustae crucem nostram auream erectam super pedem argenteum deauratum margaritis lapidibusque preciosis.”

438 Zaragoza, ADPZ, MS 164, folio 441v: “Legamus insuper eidem ecclesie nostre Cesaraugustane vestimenta nostra sive capellam rubei coloris panni de Spanya sicillet [sic] casullam dalmaticas pallium gremiale cum omnibus alis suis apparamentis et pertinentis eiusdem panni et camissiis et amittis. Item capellam sive vestimenta nostra belluti bullutati viridi coloris brodati auro in camperio inter texto videlicet casullam duas dalmaticas cum tribus camissiis et amittis manipulis stolis et alis suis apparamentis eiusdem panni.”

439 Zaragoza, ADPZ, MS 164, folio 442: “Item palium nostrum de raso ubi est figurata imago Beati Valerii cum imaginibus quibusdam alis que nos fecimus fieri Cesarauguste que omnia offerimus et dedicamus servitio dicte ecclesie et illa eidem traddantur in continenti post obitum nostrum.”

440 Zaragoza, ADPZ, MS 164, folio 442v: “Item vestimenta nostra sive capellam de damasquino albo scilicet cappam cassullam duas dalmaticas cum suis camissiis amittis pallio stolis manipulis et alis suis apparamentis eiusdem panni.”

Dalmau de Mur survived until at least the end of the nineteenth century when a photograph of it appeared in a book on embroidery;\footnote{At the time of publication, the fragment was in the collection a Señor Escozura, see Louis de Farcy, \textit{La broderie du XIe siècle jusqu'à nos jours d'après des spécimens authentiques et les anciens inventaires} (Angers: Belhomme, 1890), 129, fig. 57.} no other pieces are known to have survived.

In another section of the archbishop’s testament there is a list of various types of textiles (both rugs and clothing) to be distributed among various individuals, including the heir to the will, Nicolau Carròs and his wife, Brianda, who was Dalmau’s niece.\footnote{Of the individuals to receive clothing are Dalmau’s Vicar-General, doctor, chamberlain, almonner and an official, see Zaragoza, ADPZ, MS 164, folios 450–451.} It is interesting to note that Dalmau made provision for two of his slaves.\footnote{In studying excerpts of published testaments and inventories of Spanish ecclesiastics, I found only one other reference to a prelate who gives his slave his freedom—in this case a Saracen slave who also was to receive money. Dated March 10, 1410, the testament of Francisco Riquer y Bastero, Bishop of Huseca (1385–1393), Vic (1393–1400) and Segorbe-Albarracín (1400–1409), states: “Concede la liberación a Antonio, su esclavo sarraceno, y le asigna los derechos sobre 30 florines, la cama donde dormía y sus telas . . .” For more, see José María Madurell Marimón, “Testamentos E Inventarios Episcopales,” \textit{Analecta sacra tarragonensia} 34 (1961): 103–140 at 111.} Dalmau’s testament states that Juan Agostí was to be granted his freedom.\footnote{Zaragoza, ADPZ, MS 164, folio 451v: “Item vull que Johan Agostí m’esclau sia franchs.”} An unnamed slave, along with a pack-mule, however, was to be given to the Monastery of Santa María de l’Estany.\footnote{Zaragoza, ADPZ, MS 164, folio 450v: “Item vull que sia donat al Abbat del Estany l’esclau negre e una adzembla dels que tro baran en casa.” The Augustinian Monastery of Santa María de l’Estany is located in the county of Bages in the diocese of Barcelona.} Finally, Dalmau bequeathed sums of money to various institutions and individuals.\footnote{Zaragoza, ADPZ, MS 164, folios 443–443v, 445–445v, 451–451v, 452.}

Only one liturgical object survives that is not listed in the archbishop’s testament, though there is no documentation that firmly links it to Dalmau de Mur. In the collection at Zaragoza Cathedral is a wood-gilt crosier that María Carmen Lacarra Ducay has associated with Dalmau de Mur. She has attributed its production to Francesc Gomar, who he began working for Dalmau
de Mur in 1444. The crosier is carved with scrolling foliage. At its base there is a small red-painted diamond shape outlined in black that possibly represents a coat of arms, which does not appear to be the de Mur devices, and were perhaps added later.

3.3.7 Summary

This chapter aims to illustrate that Dalmau de Mur—from nearly the beginning of his ecclesiastical career until the end of his life—unabashedly expressed his powerful position, as both a man of God and as a remarkable patron of the arts. Looking to the distinguished royal and ecclesiastical patrons in France, Dalmau aspired to become a venerable and munificent patron of the arts who would be remembered in perpetuity. The most outstanding of Dalmau’s many commissions were also likely be his most extravagant investment—the two exceptionally valuable, large tapestries that depict the *Passion of Christ*; these survive today in the treasury of Zaragoza Cathedral. A detailed study of these tapestries follows in Chapters IV and V.

---

448 Zarazogza, Zaragoza Cathedral Sacristy. For the catalog entry, including a color illustration, see *Aragón, reino y corona* (Zaragoza: Gobierno de Aragón/Ibercaja, 2000), 443, no. 269.
4.0 SACRED SPACE: THE ZARAGOZA PASSION TAPESTRIES

This chapter begins by introducing the tapestry collection at Zaragoza Cathedral. The most significant documents related to Dalmau de Mur—his testament drawn up in 1454 and the 1521 tapestry inventory from Zaragoza Cathedral—reveal that Dalmau de Mur owned tapestries. The 1521 inventory also brings to light the collections of Archbishop de Mur’s two successors. This is followed by an investigation of the possible original function and placement of the Passion tapestries at Zaragoza Cathedral. Medieval tapestries played an important part in creating a specific type of space—in both secular and ecclesiastical settings—since during the late Middle Ages they functioned on various levels, depending on their placement. Finally, like other types of religious art, medieval tapestries with religious subjects, served as devotional aids, memory devices and exemplars.

4.1 COLLECTING OF TAPESTRIES AT ZARAGOZA CATHEDRAL

Dalmau de Mur’s Passion tapestries (Figure 1 and Figure 2) survive today in the Museo de Tapices de la Seo, which is located adjacent to the Cathedral of Zaragoza. They represent only two of the seventy or so fifteenth- and sixteenth-century Franco-Flemish and Flemish tapestries
that form the Cathedral’s collection. While the vast majority of the collection comprises tapestries with religious subjects, such as *The Exaltation of the Holy Cross*, *The Story of John the Baptist* and *The Story of David and Bathsheba*, there are also several series dedicated to such allegorical and mythological subjects as *The Months*, *The War of Troy* and *The Story of Achilles*.

Some of the tapestries in the collection of Zaragoza Cathedral are recorded in an extant inventory of the sacristy, made in 1521. No cathedral inventory contemporary with Dalmau de Mur’s archiepiscopacy (1431–1456) survives. The 1521 inventory states that Archbishop de Mur, along with his two successors, donated a total of thirty-two tapestries to Zaragoza Cathedral. Of these tapestries, Dalmau de Mur gave eight.

The itemized list of tapestries described in the 1521 inventory begins under the heading: “Tapestries that were from the donation of the Reverend Father and Señor Archbishop Don Dalmao.” There is also a notation stating that in 1513 visitors had seen the tapestries in the

---


450 There does exist an earlier document called the “Libro de Gestis” (1475–1500). Folio 122 records that on July 23, 1499, the collection of Zaragoza Cathedral included tapestries: “panyos de raz,” [tapestries], see text corresponding to note 462 for an explanation of the terminology. No other information is provided, so the patron’s names, subjects and quantity of these tapestries remain unknown. Eduardo Torra de Arana, Antero Hombría Tortajada and Tomás Domingo Pérez, *Los tapices de la Seo de Zaragoza* (Zaragoza: Caja de Ahorros de la Inmaculada, 1985), 322.


452 Zaragoza, ACSZ, *Inventario de 1521*, folio 110: “Paños de Raz que fueron de la secución del Rdo. Padre y S. Arçobispo Don Dalmao.” All translations from the 1521 inventory are mine.
Dalmau’s donation of five tapestries included: (1) The Noli me tangere; (2) The Adoration of the Three Kings; (3) Saints Valero, Vincent and Lawrence; (4) The Nativity (with shepherds); and (5) The Crucifixion (with thieves). This list of Dalmau de Mur’s tapestry donation is described over several folios, while the final three tapestries are mentioned under the heading: “Large tapestries of the above mentioned Señor [Don Dalmao].” The first entry describes the two tapestries representing The Passion of Christ: “Foremost are two tapestries with large historiated figures of The Mystery of the Passion.” Following this description is the mention of the last tapestry given by Dalmau de Mur, a Crucifixion with the images of Saint Catherine and Saint James. Among the eight tapestries that Dalmau de Mur donated to Zaragoza Cathedral, only the two tapestries of The Passion survive.

The other document that sheds light on Dalmau de Mur’s tapestry collection is his testament deposited by the notary Juan de Pitiellas on March 5, 1454 (two years before the death of Dalmau on September 12, 1456). Dalmau de Mur’s testament, which spans thirty folios, lists his worldly goods—silver, rings, gems, tapestries and manuscripts, such as the Girona Bible (Girona, ACCG, MS 10) as well as the bible given to Tarragona Cathedral (San Lorenzo de El

---

453 Zaragoza, ACSZ, Inventario de 1521, folio 110: “Item los antedichos visitadores en la sacristia en el año 1513 los paños infrascritos.” It is unlikely, however, that the “visitors” would have been allowed to go into the sacristy where only priests could enter, unless of course, the visitors were priests. Alternatively, perhaps the area referred to as the “sacristy” included the multi-storied space that is described today as the sacristy, which comprises other areas, in addition to the sacristy proper.

454 The lives of these three saints are the central theme of the upper half of the predella of Zaragoza Cathedral’s main altarpiece commissioned by Dalmau de Mur. San Valero, a celebrated martyr, who was Bishop of Zaragoza, r.290–315. See note 371.

455 According to the inventory, this tapestry included the coat of arms of Dalmau de Mur.

456 Zaragoza, ACSZ, Inventario de 1521, folio 113: “Paños grandes de raz del susodicho señor.”

457 Zaragoza, ACSZ, Inventario de 1521, folio 113: “ Primerament dos drapos de raz figurados grandes istoriados del misterio de la passion.”

Many of the items mentioned in his testament are also examined in Chapter III. While the circumstances of Dalmau de Mur’s bequest of tapestries to Zaragoza Cathedral are not clarified by his testament, there are two instances in which the testament mentions his tapestry holdings. The subjects of the tapestries are neither described nor are their numbers specified. The first mention of tapestries reads: “... all our goods moveable and immoveable and items of gems, books, silver, rings, tapestries and even the (?) tapestries of the silken thread and with those various things of good quality and all other good things such as ornaments and domestic utensils ...”

The second instance is nearly identical to the first: “... all our goods moveable and immoveable and items of silver, rings, books, tapestries and even the (?) tapestries of the silken thread in this sense and with those various things of good quality and our jeweled ornaments and domestic utensils ...”

To fully understand the meaning of these references to the tapestries, it is necessary to make clear that the term “paños de ras” (alternately, “pannos” or “panni”) was medieval Spanish for tapestry. Paños de ras was used precisely in reference to the expensive “Arras-style” weavings—the high quality, historiated tapestries woven during the late fourteenth and early fifteenth centuries in the Northern towns of Arras and Tournai. By contrast, the term

---

459 The bible remained in the collection of Tarragona Cathedral until 1662, when it entered the royal library of El Escorial upon its donation to Felipe IV, King of Spain (r.1605–1665). See section 3.2.4.

460 Zaragoza, ADPZ, MS 164, folio 436v: “... bona nostra mobilia et immobilia res jocalia libros argentum annulos pannos de ras necnon de tapiçeria ac de sircio lino alqueto et alterius cuiuscumque speciei ceteraque omnia bona nostra et ornamenta ac utensilia domus ...” The only word in both passages that could not be found in dictionaries was the Arabic word “alqueto”—it is likely to be a noun and a descriptor of the object that was tapestry-woven. For instance, on folio 450v, the testament refers to a bedcover as an “alquella”.

461 Zaragoza, ADPZ, MS 164, folio 447v: “... bona nostra mobilia et immobilia res argentum annuli libri panni de ras necnon de tapiçeria ac de sircio lino alqueto et cuiuslibet alterius speciei ceteraque omnia bona nostra jocalia ornamenta et domus utensilia ....” See above note 460 concerning the word “alqueto.”

462 The word “arras” in English served as a synonym for tapestry: “1. A rich tapestry fabric, in which figures and scenes are woven in colours. Also cloth of arras.” The English usage of the term is found as early as 1397 and continues until about 1823. See The Oxford English Dictionary Online, 2nd ed., s.v., “arras,”
“tapiçeria” is less precise; perhaps it refers to lesser-quality tapestry, as well as to other types of tapestry upholstery, such as bed covers, bench covers and drapery for the ceiling.463

From his lengthy testament, Dalmau de Mur emerges as a wealthy ecclesiastic who had acquired a sizeable estate. Dalmau de Mur’s two Passion tapestries are among the earliest surviving Franco-Flemish tapestries from the fifteenth century to be found in a Spanish collection.464 The acquisition of these Northern tapestries by Dalmau de Mur was among the first such acquisitions by an ecclesiastic in Spain; his interest in the consumption of Flemish tapestry was pioneering in Spain. In fact, in Zaragoza, the 1521 inventory also records tapestry donations by Dalmau’s two successors, Juan de Aragón (1460–1475) and Alfonso de Aragón (1478–1520), who followed his collecting model.465 Of the thirty-two tapestries mentioned in the 1521 inventory, Juan de Aragón donated five and Alfonso de Aragón nineteen.466 As mentioned above, these figures mean that Dalmau de Mur donated eight tapestries.467


463 French inventories often describe “chambres de tapisserie” to include these other types of tapestry-woven items, in addition to the historiated tapestries hung on the walls. For examples, see Eugène Soile de Moriamè, Les tapisseries de Tournai, les tapissiers et les hautelisseurs de cette ville: recherches et documents sur l’histoire, la fabrication et les produits des ateliers de Tournai (Tournai/Lille: Vasseur-Delmé/L. Quarré, 1892), 378–38, letter 0, no. 11, letter P, no. 11bis; Joseph Roman, Inventaires et documents relatifs aux joyaux et tapisseries des princes d’Orléans-Valois, 1389–1481 (Paris: Ernest Leroux, 1894), 147–153.

464 As mentioned in the introduction of the dissertation, the one known surviving late fourteenth century tapestry belonged to Martín I el Humano, King of Aragón (r.1396–1410). The tapestry, showing Saints John the Baptist, Martin of Tours and Hugh of Grenoble, included the royal arms of Martín I and those of his wife, María de Luna (1358–1407). The tapestry was described and reproduced in an article from 1905, however, its current location is not known. See text corresponding with note 81.

465 Juan de Aragón was the illegitimate son of Juan II el Grande, King of Aragón (r.1458–1479). He was also the step-brother of Fernando II el Católico, King Consort and Regent of Castile and León (r.1507–1516) and King of Aragón (r.1479–1516). Alfonso de Aragón was the illegitimate son of King Fernando II el Católico.

466 These totals include wall hangings, antependia, and dossals all of which were tapestry-woven and are often collectively referred to as “chambres de tapisserie” in medieval inventories; see note 463.

467 A total of thirty-two tapestries are recorded in the 1521 inventory, however, only nine survive today in the Cathedral of Zaragoza’s collection. The extant tapestries include Dalmau de Mur’s two Passion weavings as well as
Recorded among the tapestries belonging to Alfonso de Aragón is a tapestry that has the following description: “Item is notably a single, medium-sized tapestry containing much silk and gold with The Story of the Passion and with the thieves near the cross.”\textsuperscript{468} This single panel, which no longer appears to be extant,\textsuperscript{469} should not be confused with Dalmau’s two large Passion tapestries that had been cited earlier in the same 1521 inventory.

The patronage of tapestries from the Franco-Flemish region by these eminent Archbishops of Zaragoza—Dalmau de Mur, Juan de Aragón and Alfonso de Aragón—would seem to follow in the footsteps of the leading French royal collectors of tapestry, including Charles V le Sage, King of France (r.1364–1380); Jean, Duke of Berry (r.1360–1416); Philippe le Hardi, Duke of Burgundy (r.1364–1404); Charles VI le Fol, King of France (r.1380–1422); Louis I, Duke of Orléans (r.1392–1407) and his wife, Valentine Visconti (1368–1408); Louis I, Duke of Anjou (r.1360–1384); Jean sans Peur, Duke of Burgundy (r.1404–1419); and Philippe le Bon, Duke of Burgundy (r.1419–1467). The inventories of these notable royal collectors reveal their strong interest in the commissioning of tapestry from the second half of the fourteenth century that continued throughout the fifteenth century.\textsuperscript{470} Europe’s ruling dynasties—like the powerful families in Italy such as the Counts and Dukes of Savoy, Counts and Dukes of Milan and the Marquises of Mantua and Ferrara, as well as the popes in Rome—also became ardently

\begin{footnotesize}
\begin{itemize}
\item seven tapestries donated by Alfonso de Aragón, including The Story of Esther and Ahasuerus (3 weavings), The Story of Saint John the Baptist (3 weavings) and The Story of the Virgin (1 weaving).
\item Zaragoza, ACSZ, Inventario de 1521, exact folio number unknown, between 113–118: “Item un paño mediano rico con mucha seda y oro y con la hystoria de la passion y con los ladrones cerca de la cruz es paño notabilissimo.”
\item This tapestry is no longer found among the other tapestries in the collection at Zaragoza Cathedral.
\item See note 31 for a list of the edited inventories of these medieval tapestry collections in France.
\end{itemize}
\end{footnotesize}
involved with commissioning tapestries from the North. The tapestry collection at Zaragoza Cathedral, and particularly the two *Passion* tapestries, illustrate that high-ranking prelates in Spain were participating in the elite patronage and acquisition of luxury tapestries from the Franco-Flemish region. What is not known, is whether Dalmau de Mur commissioned the tapestries specifically for Zaragoza or acquired them after manufacture. I argue in Chapter V that the latter is more likely because the date of the weaving of the *Passion* tapestries may have been about 1400–1410—a date earlier than previously claimed and too early in Dalmau’s career for him to have commissioned them.

### 4.2 CREATING SACRED SPACE AT ZARAGOZA CATHEDRAL

The original function and placement of the *Passion* tapestries bequeathed to Zaragoza Cathedral by Dalmau de Mur remains unknown. There are no surviving textual accounts from the

---


472 Despite numerous requests, I was not permitted access to the Archivo Diocesano de Zaragoza (ADZ). My hope was to study the liturgical documents, such as missals, that could possibly provide clues as to what rôles the *Passion* tapestries played in the liturgical celebrations at Zaragoza Cathedral. Furthermore, since it remains unclear if Dalmau de Mur originally commissioned the tapestries and if they were made for use at Zaragoza Cathedral, the study of liturgical practices at Zaragoza was not imperative.
fifteenth century that would shed light on their use. It is also not evident if the tapestries, which were part of Dalmau de Mur’s collection, were ever hung in the Archbishop’s Palace. This seems doubtful for two reasons. First, in his testament, Dalmau de Mur identified objects that came from the house, “habemus in domo nostra,” such as the two silver-gilt candelabra and the four large brass candelabra that he donated to Zaragoza Cathedral. It seems possible then that had the Passion tapestries been housed in the Archbishop’s Palace, it would have been stated in his testament. Second, the tapestries contain extremely precious materials and are in very good condition. The tapestries, therefore, were most likely displayed only during important religious feast days in the Cathedral and were kept rolled-up in storage during the rest of the year.

There is no obvious physical evidence inside Zaragoza Cathedral, such as hooks that might indicate where the tapestries were displayed.

The Passion tapestries were probably exhibited during Easter week—perhaps they were hung on or before Palm Sunday for liturgical celebrations corresponding to Christ’s Entry into Jerusalem, the first scene illustrated in the tapestries. Otherwise, they could have also been displayed later in the week for the liturgical festivities of Maundy Thursday or Good Friday.  

473 Zaragoza, ADZP, MS 164, folios 442.

474 In their place, painted cloths or even the full-scale tapestry cartoons were possibly hung. Documentation survives from Angers Cathedral revealing that two tapestry sets, one of The Story of Saint Maurice and the other of The Story of Saint Maurille, were hung in the choir on their respective feast days, but during the rest of the year they were replaced with the painted tapestry cartoons from which they were woven. Diane Wolfthal, The Beginnings of Netherlandish Canvas Painting: 1400–1530 (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1989); Adolph S. Cavallo, Medieval Tapestries in the Metropolitan Museum of Art (New York: Metropolitan Museum of Art/Harry N. Abrams, 1993), 31; Thomas P. Campbell, Tapestry in the Renaissance: Art and Magnificence (New York/New Haven: Metropolitan Museum of Art/Yale University Press: 2002), 26, 43.

475 For instance, the Passion of Christ tapestries made for Venice Cathedral (Venice, ca.1420–1430, woven by Flemish weavers working in Venice, while the design is attributed to Niccolò di Pietro, ca.1408–1427) were exhibited on Maundy Thursday and Good Friday. During the fifteenth century, they were most probably exhibited in the choir and later in the sacristy. In the seventeenth century, documents show that they were delivered to the Cathedral on Ash Wednesday from the Monastery of Santa Lucia, where they were stored for the remainder of the year. From this, scholars have wondered if perhaps they were exhibited during then entire period of Lent, see Monica Stucky-Schürer, Die Passionstüppiche von San Marco in Venedig: Ihr Verhältnis zur Bildwirkerei in Paris und Arras im 14. und 15. Jahrhundert (Bern: Stämpfli, 1972); Thomas P. Campbell, Tapestry in the Renaissance:
Their use during the period of Lent is also a possibility, but studies have shown that painted cloths with colorless imagery, such as grisaille, were used during Lent.\textsuperscript{476} For example, the ink-on-silk painting known as the \textit{Parement de Narbonne} (Paris, ca.1375–1378 by Parement Master, identified as possibly Jean d’Orléans or André Beanuveveu), discussed fully in Chapter V, used the grisaille technique.\textsuperscript{477} The painting is long and narrow—it measures H 78 x 208 cm—dimensions that suggest it was used as an altar frontal during Lent as does its lack of color.\textsuperscript{478}

There also survives a rare set of late fifteenth-century grisaille painted cloths from Reims Cathedral illustrating scenes of the Passion that was used during the season of Lent.\textsuperscript{479} These painted cloths would have been hung around the sanctuary, obstructing the view of the high altar and in doing so they added to the ornamenta ecclesiae of Lent by creating an atmosphere of penance and mourning. Then, on Holy Wednesday, these somber images typically would come down to be replaced with bright, colorful tapestries in celebration of Christ’s life, passion and


\textsuperscript{477} Paris, Musée du Louvre, inv. M.I. 1121. For bibliography, see note 539.

\textsuperscript{478} In addition to the Parement de Narbonne, there is a bishop’s miter (probably Paris, ca.1350–1370, Paris, Musée du Moyen-Âge, Thermes de Cluny, inv. no. Cl.12924) that is also executed in a similar technique and style, and also used grisaille. It seems plausible then that during Lenten liturgical ceremonies, the bishop would wear the grisaille miter, thereby functioning as a visual counterpart to the somber decoration of the church. Viviane Huchard et al., \textit{Le Musée national du Moyen Age, Thermes de Cluny} (Paris: Fondation Paribas/Réunion des Musées nationaux, 1996), 97, fig. 123.

resurrection. It is possible that similar procedures took place at Zaragoza Cathedral, but no painted cloths are known to have survived.

The Passion tapestries each measure approximately 4.20 meters in height by 8.25 meters in length. Within Zaragoza Cathedral, there are numerous areas where the Passion tapestries could have been displayed. Without documentation, it is impossible to identify for certain where the Passion tapestries hung during liturgical ceremonies, but there are some parts of Zaragoza Cathedral that are more plausible than others. The large sculpted altarpiece located behind the high altar of Zaragoza Cathedral was introduced in Chapter III, as were the choir stalls, located in the center of the nave, which were also commissioned by Archbishop de Mur. Both of these structures remain in situ and their exact location can been seen on a floor plan. The area near the high altar offers the most plausible place for the tapestries to have been hung, whereas the space within the choir stalls is less likely. Both spaces are considered below.

In describing the possible areas for tapestries to be hung in a church, the tapestry historian, Adolph Cavallo, explains that tapestries were hung almost randomly around the church and only tapestry altar frontals or choir tapestries were woven especially to be hung in specific spaces. Both altar frontals and choir tapestries have dimensions that are narrow in height and long in width, thus reflecting where they were intended to be used. For example, the extant choir tapestries typically measure about 2 meters in height, while their length ranges from 40 to 60


481 Even though there are no extant painted cloths at Zaragoza Cathedral, this does not mean that the custom did not take place. Painted cloths were inexpensive substitutes for sumptuous tapestries. Very few survive from the fifteenth century, such as those made for Reims Cathedral, mentioned above.

482 See section 3.3.3.

meters, depending on the height and length of the choir for which they were woven. There are several surviving Franco-Flemish choir tapestries from the fifteenth and sixteenth centuries that demonstrate these narrow and long dimensions.\textsuperscript{484} For instance, the early sixteenth-century choir tapestries given to the Abbey of Saint-Robert de La Chaise-Dieu by the Abbot Jacques de Saint-Nectaire (r.1491–1518), survive and have been photographed \textit{in situ}.\textsuperscript{485} The Zaragoza \textit{Passion} tapestries, however, could not possibly have been hung above the choir stalls at Zaragoza Cathedral—at 4 meters in height, they are simply too big to have been displayed in the choir stalls at Zaragoza.\textsuperscript{486}

It is possible that the \textit{Passion} tapestries could have been hung on the outside walls of the choir stalls. If this was the case, it is not evident now because in the sixteenth and seventeenth centuries, the exterior walls of the choir were carved with high-bas relief sculptures and deeply cut niches of stone and wood. These exterior walls of the choir, decorated with sculpted columns, illustrate scenes from the bible and legends of saints’ lives. Nine niches serve as altars dedicated to saints as well. The exterior of the choir, therefore, seems an improbable place to exhibit the tapestries, yet since it is not known what the exterior walls of the choir looked like before the changes made during the Renaissance and Baroque periods, that tapestries may have been exhibited there certainly remains a possibility.

\textsuperscript{484} See note 36 for bibliographic references.


\textsuperscript{486} By contrast, the are examples, such as the Abbey of La Chaise-Dieu, where the tapestries were able to be hung above the choir stalls, supported by piers; but, at Zaragoza Cathedral the choir stalls are located in the center of the nave and there are no adjacent piers or walls that could have served as architectural supports to mount the tapestries for viewing from the choir.
A more plausible space for them to have been hung would have been between the piers of the nave. The piers are about 10 meters apart, thus leaving plenty of space for each tapestry to be hung.\footnote{I was not granted permission to photograph the interior of Zaragoza Cathedral despite requests.} The tapestries could have been hung on any of the piers. Most of the chapels that line the side aisles are from the sixteenth, seventeenth or eighteenth centuries, but it can be supposed, for instance, that the lost tapestries with the imagery of \textit{Saints Valero, Vincent and Lawrence}, which Dalmau de Mur owned,\footnote{See note 404/} could have been hung near the entrances of the Chapel of Saint Valero or the Chapel of Saint Vincent; there appears to be no chapel dedicated to Saint Lawrence, but maybe one existed during the fifteenth century. The display of tapestries hanging on piers was seen at Palencia Cathedral in 2003, where tapestries were placed back to back and hung suspended between the piers next to a sculpted altarpiece that was not the high altar.

A similar method was observed in the central nave and side aisles of Tarragona Cathedral in 2003, where the tapestries were attached to the front of the piers, instead of being suspended between them. In the side aisles, each pier that flanked a chapel displayed a tapestry. Alternately, the tapestries could have also been arranged in a continuous fashion in front of the piers, as pictured in an undated photograph of Zaragoza Cathedral’s interior, where the tapestries are lined up edge-to-edge creating a wall of tapestry.

The most probable place to hang the tapestries in Zaragoza Cathedral, however, would have been next to the high altar, where two wide walls flank the main altarpiece. The walls measure approximately 8.85 meters in length, thus the 8-meter-long tapestries could have been displayed there as well. A lithograph and another undated photograph from Zaragoza Cathedral
illustrate that tapestries, though not the Passion tapestries, were indeed displayed on these two walls adjacent to the high altar.489 Likewise, tapestries were seen hanging on the walls adjacent to the high altar of Palencia Cathedral in 2003.

Although it is not clear where the Passion tapestries were originally displayed in Zaragoza Cathedral, the spaces such as the piers of the nave, or most likely the walls of the high altar, are most probable. Without the support of documentary evidence, the original placement and viewing contexts of the tapestries are speculations; even so, what can be discussed is how tapestries functioned for medieval viewers.490

### 4.3 THE FUNCTION OF RELIGIOUS TAPESTRIES IN THE MIDDLE AGES

It is clear that whether tapestries illustrated secular or religious subjects, they established boundaries, both physically and symbolically. In the physical sense, medieval tapestries were a principal component in the construction of environments of power, authority and devotion.491 For example, manuscript illuminations indicate that tapestries were prominently displayed in public spaces, such as in battle tents during campaigns, in courtrooms during trials, and in choir

---

489 Jenaro Pérez Villaamil (active 1830–1854) designed the drawing and Léon-Auguste Asselineau was the engraver. In 1842, Lemercier printed the lithograph in Paris. For a reproduction, see Domingo J. Buesa Conde, ed., Las Catedrales de Aragón (Zaragoza: Caja de Ahorros de Zaragoza, Aragón y Rioja, 1987), front cover.

490 For the some of ways in which medieval tapestries functioned, see section 1.3.

491 Tapestries decorated the walls, hallways and façades of buildings. The concept of covering rooms with textiles is an ancient Greek tradition. In his immense book dedicated to style and architecture, Der Stil in den technischen und tektonischen Künsten, oder praktische Ästhetik (1977), Gottfried Semper identifies the textile as one of the four primary elements of architecture. As such, the rôle of textiles is intrinsically linked with the notion of an enclosed space. In effect, textiles (or tapestries) were used to cover the walls in order to create and define spatial and symbolic spaces. See Mari Hvattum, Gottfried Semper and the Problem of Historicism (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2004), 15–16, 70–75; Gottfried Semper, Style in the Technical and Tectonic Arts, or, Practical Aesthetics (Los Angeles: Getty Research Institute, 2004), 12–13, 43, 44.
stalls in churches during liturgical services as seen in the Abbey of Saint-Robert de La Chaise-Dieu.492

On a more private level, tapestries were exhibited in exclusive rooms to create an atmosphere of affluence and warmth. This use of tapestry is depicted in the January calendar page from the Très Riches Heures (Bourges or Paris, ca.1411/12–1416, by the Limbourgs).493

The manuscript illumination offers the viewer the opportunity to witness the rich and opulent lifestyle of one of the most important art collectors in France during the later Middle Ages, Jean, Duke of Berry. This painting—presented as a mise-en-scène within which figures perform and objets d’art are flaunted—aims to evoke the sumptuous world of the French royal courts. The viewer is introduced to the courtly atmosphere of a chambre à parer—the designated room to celebrate various ceremonial events, entertain honored guests, and hold dinner banquets.494


In this painting, the room is filled with richly dressed courtiers who show off the latest trends in fashion—ornately folded *chaperons* (hats), *robes* and *houppelandes* that are adorned with brocade patterns, golden motifs, embroidered details and jagged edges—and many of the figures sport the hairstyle of the day, *à l’écuelle* (the bowl cut).\(^{495}\) The centerpiece of the intimate scene is the large table covered with gold and silver plates, bowls, ornamental drinking flasks and the very prominently displayed golden *nef* (ship) that brims with an assortment of marvelous tableware.\(^{496}\)

At the table, the figure of the Duke of Berry majestically sits upon the “cloth of honor” that is decorated with his heraldic devices: lilies, bears and swans.\(^{497}\) He is dressed in a blue *houppelande* that is patterned with gold brocade and lined with fur. Jean de Berry is portrayed in such a way that his profile is juxtaposed with the large gold fire screen that visually serves as a halo. The enchanting scene is so magical that even golden embers materialize from the fireplace. Among all the sumptuous works of art featured in this cozy banquet scene, two of the most expensive certainly are the tapestries that decorate the walls.

In the center of the room hangs a red armorial tapestry, decorated with fleur de lys and white swans, that creates a dais, signifying the placement of the Duke. On the left and back walls is a larger tapestry that shows a battle scene, creating a *trompe l’œil* effect in which knights appear to charge into the room. Millard Meiss and Brigitte Buettner have stated that the tapestry

\(^{495}\) See Appendix E: “Costumes, Headgear and Hairstyles: Descriptions and Historical Details of the Zaragoza Passion Tapestries.”

\(^{496}\) For a study of some of the objects presented in this illumination, see Jean-Pierre Rijen, “Precious Metalwork in Gold Leaf: Everday Lustre at the Court of Jean de Berry, as Depicted by the Limbourg Brothers,” in *The Limbourg Brothers: Nijmegen Masters at the French Court, 1400–1416*, ed. Rob Dücker and Pieter Roelofs (Ghent/Nijmegen: Ludion/Museum Het Valkhof Nijmegen, 2005): 164–177.

\(^{497}\) Two miniature heraldic sculptures, one of a bear, the other a swan, also rest atop either end of the *nef*. 
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represents the *Story of the Trojan War* because of the presence of the words “*Hector de Troie,*” but Scot McKendrick has argued that this identification is not certain.\(^498\) Jean de Berry’s inventory does not record a tapestry, or set of tapestries illustrating the *Story of the Trojan War,* but he may nevertheless have owned tapestries with Trojan subjects.\(^499\) More research on the illustration of the tapestry and its inscriptions are needed for a more conclusive identification. The picture in the January illumination shows that the edges of both tapestries are carefully tucked around the opening of the fireplace.

The prized works of art pictured in the *Très Riches Heures* produce an evocative image of life at the French courts—a style carefully constructed by the ruling dynasty, the Valois: Charles V, King of France and his brothers, the Dukes of Berry, Burgundy and Anjou. The picture reflects one of the primary activities of medieval visual court culture—of seeing and being seen with one’s most cherished possessions. These luxurious *objets d’art* were the *objets de désir* for aristocrats across Europe. Secular and ecclesiastical rulers alike chose to convey their messages of power and prestige by emulating the renowned and affluent Valois collectors.

Within this visual vocabulary of the European courts, tapestry was one of the most expensive and highly coveted luxury objects.\(^500\) In effect, through their physical role, tapestry positioned their owners as the nucleus of a meticulously constructed spectacle. The functions of tapestry were expressed in an established visual language that was clear and recognized by the


\(^{499}\) For instance, Berry received tapestries of the *Passion* from Philippe le Hardi that were not mentioned in his inventory, see note 69. For Berry’s inventory, see Bernard Prost, “Les tapisseries du duc de Berry,” *Archives historiques, artistiques et littéraires* 1 (1889–1890), 385–392.

\(^{500}\) See section 1.3.
foremost aristocrats across Europe; their multivalent meanings transcended the borders of politics, religion and nations.

On the symbolic level, tapestries signified order—they demarcated differences in class and social status by defining the aristocracy’s palpable authority, grace and distinction. Within a religious setting, tapestries illustrating biblical stories, apocryphal legends and the lives of saints, also displayed the wealth and authority of the Church and the ecclesiastical or royal patron.

Medieval tapestries also constructed liturgical and devotional spaces. Liturgically, they complemented the practices, teachings and celebrations of the church. Devotionally, they created a place that enabled viewers to experience transcendence through a material object, to be transported into a different, sacred space. In order to achieve this sacred space, medieval tapestries—and all medieval devotional images—relied upon standard religious figures, such as the Virgin Mary, St. John the Baptist and St. Veronica holding the *sudarium* which the viewer could contemplate. To enhance an individual’s devotional experience, artists often used generalized features in their depictions of these figures; however, the one figure that had a more specific rendering was Christ. The medieval representation of Christ was often based on the forged, eyewitness account of Lentulus, Governor of Judea, an invented character, who described to the Roman Senate the physical traits of Christ. The description—translated here by

---

501 On her article dedicated to the historiography of medieval altarpieces, Beth Williamson discusses the concepts of “liturgical” and “devotional” and encourages scholars to be less rigid in their definitions. Traditionally, liturgical was considered a public activity, whereas devotional was a private one. Devotional practices, however, were not necessarily performed in private spaces, but often within the walls of the church. Likewise, liturgical celebrations were also held in private chapels. For more see, Beth Williamson, “Altarpieces, Liturgy and Devotion,” *Speculum* 79 (2004): 341–406 at 380–381, 405–406.

502 Laurel Amtower, *Engaging Words: The Culture of Reading in the Later Middle Age* (New York: Palgrave, 2000), 42.

Michael Baxandall into English—is from an Italian version of a Greek forgery that was popular in the fifteenth century:

A man of average or moderate height, and very distinguished. He has an impressive appearance, so that those who look on him love and fear him. His hair is the color of a ripe hazel-nut. It falls straight almost to the level of his ears; from there down it curls thickly and is rather more luxuriant, and this hangs down to his shoulders. In front his hair is parted in two, with the parting in the centre in the Nazarene manner. His forehead is wide, smooth and serene, and his face is without wrinkles or any marks. It is graced by a slightly reddish tinge, a faint colour. His nose and mouth are faultless. His beard is thick and like a young man’s first beard, of the same color of his hair; it is not particularly long and is parted in the middle. His aspect is simple and mature. His eyes are brilliant, mobile, clear, splendid.

This example of how the figure of Christ was to be envisioned also demonstrates that medieval religious works of art were typically created within an established visual vocabulary that was based upon the doctrines of the Church. The medieval artist produced works of art that involved a socially constructed and widely accepted system of images, symbolism and meanings. Consequently, it can be said that the medieval artist was “. . . a professional visualizer of the holy stories. . . . The public mind was not a blank tablet on which the painters’ representations of a story or a person could impress themselves; it was an active institution of interior visualization with which every painter had to get along.” The representation of biblical stories in art was a powerful tool that encouraged medieval spectators to contemplate the imagery with the desire of being transported from the material to the immaterial world. Once


there, the holy story continued to be played out before the medieval viewers, transforming them into witnesses or even participants. Thus, for the pious medieval spectator, visual perception was the sense *par excellence*—the soul of a Christian could be influenced and shaped by vision since seeing was considered “... [one] way of relating to oneself, to the sensible world, including other animate beings, and to God.”

Tapestries—just like wall paintings, mosaics and panel paintings, or even sculpted programs, and on a smaller scale, manuscript painting—also symbolically embodied, as physical surrogates, the events and the ideas that the Christian church called upon its subjects to imagine and remember. Memory played a vital role in the Middle Ages. Memory was defined as a mental picture, a “copy” or “phantasm” (*simulacrum*), or a “representation” (*imago*). The medieval concept of memory was based on the storage and recollection of memories. The brain, it was assumed, did not “store” memories as pictures, but rather as quasi-pictures that were conceived of as “copies” (*simulacra*) that made an impression on the brain in a specific place. It was believed that this quasi-image was the cognitive basis by which the intellect understands one representation in relation to another. One of the primary ways to retrieve a memory was through visual or pictorial means.

---


508 For more on the training and function of memory during the Middle Ages, see Mary Carruthers, *The Book of Memory: A Study of Memory in Medieval Culture* (Cambridge/New York: Cambridge University Press, 2002); Frances Amelia Yates, *The Art of Memory* (London/New York: Routledge, 1999). A new type of memory training introduced by Ramon Llull (1232/33–1315/16) that was based on the trinity and the three parts of the soul: *intellectus* (the art of knowing and finding out the truth), *voluntas* (the art of training the will towards loving truth) and *memoria* (the art of memory for remembering truth). Llull’s training of the memory came from a practice of philosophy, rather than rhetoric, and was based on algebra and science. For more, see Frances Amelia Yates, *The Art of Memory* (London/New York: Routledge, 1999), 173–198.

509 Mary Carruthers distinguishes between “visual” (memories drawn from non-pictorial means, like words, letters, colors) and “pictorial” (memories drawn from pictorial means); see Mary Carruthers, *The Book of Memory: A Study of Memory in Medieval Culture* (Cambridge/New York: Cambridge University Press, 2002), 18.
Francesc Eiximenis (ca.1327/32–1409), a Franciscan from Catalonia, wrote various treaties on the subject of memory, including, *The Art of Preaching to the People (Ars praedicandi populo)*, completed after 1383.\(^{510}\) In his text he discusses the importance of memory aids for recollection: “. . . when we have to remember things (*res*), then a single similitude or figure will represent a whole story to us, just as Christ’s cross indicates to us the entire sequence of events of *The Passion of Christ* . . .”\(^{511}\) In a similar view, Reginald Pecock, Bishop of Chichester Cathedral (r.1450–1457), described the sole function of images as being mnemonic; images simply reminded one of what one already knew.\(^{512}\) Intertwined with memory are the elements of time and narrative. Many of the ancient philosophers, like Aristotle, wrote that time is a fundamental ingredient of memories: although they exist in the present, memories call upon things from the past.\(^{513}\)

Besides serving as devotional objects and memory devices, religious tapestries and other works of art had another symbolic function—they served as a form of moral instruction. Religious imagery buttressed the dominant social and religious beliefs in medieval Christian culture. Giovanni Balbi (Balbus, Balbis) of Genoa (d. ca.1298) wrote about the roles of the religious image, or representation (*imago*), in his religious dictionary, *Summa Grammaticalis quae vocatur Catholicon* (1286):


Know that there were three reasons for the institution of images in churches. *First*, for the instruction of simple people, because they are instructed by them as if by books. *Second*, so that the mystery of the incarnation and the examples of the Saints may be the more active in our memory through being presented daily to our eyes. *Third*, to excite feelings of devotion, these being aroused more effectively by things seen than by things heard.\(^5\)

*The Story of the Passion of Christ* remains one of the most powerful of biblical narratives. During the Middle Ages, many medieval representations of this cosmic drama, like Dalmai de Mur’s *Passion* tapestries at Zaragoza, blended together the themes of *sublimitas* (sublimity) and *humilitas* (humility).\(^5\) These themes cultivated a sacred space in which viewers could then reflect on the tapestry’s imagery in order to be reminded of Christ’s sacrifices for humanity, and more specifically, the sufferings he endured for each individual viewer. The imagery of the tapestries thus functioned as an exemplary, by showing the viewer, through pictorial means, how to be a pious, humble Christian. This emotional and meditative connection also operated on devotional and mnemonic levels that encouraged the faithful to keep close to their hearts and minds *The Story of Christ* and his *Passion*. Liturgical and devotional images—including religious tapestries—were paramount to the education of medieval Christians. Their display in a religious setting was an integral component to the teachings of the Christian doctrine.


As stated in the introduction of the dissertation, the Zaragoza Passion tapestries have been dated to around 1400–1425 and attributed on stylistic grounds to the Franco-Flemish region (Figure 1 and Figure 2).\textsuperscript{516} The works of art that have the strongest visual parallels to the Zaragoza tapestries support the hypothesis that the designers of the tapestries were either artists working in the French court circles or that the works of art made by these French court artists influenced the designers of the Zaragoza tapestries. Moreover, the tapestries’ affinities with these works of art suggest that they were woven earlier than previously dated. While no exact model was found, the selected comparative works of art illustrate that the designers of the tapestries were influenced by works of art produced within the French royal courts from between 1380 and 1410; the tapestries were most likely woven between 1400 and 1410.

The two Passion tapestries at Zaragoza Cathedral are significant for several reasons. First, they are the oldest surviving Franco-Flemish tapestries that depict The Passion of Christ. Second, they are among the few extant tapestries that were woven at the beginning of the fifteenth century. Among these surviving examples, they are among the few that include silk, silver and gold threads, indicating that their commission was extraordinarily expensive and rare.

\textsuperscript{516} See Chapter I, note 5.
Third, upon further examination, the Zaragoza tapestries exhibit unusual and sometimes remarkably rare iconographic and stylistic features.

This chapter provides an in-depth stylistic and iconographic analysis of the fifteen episodes of *The Passion of Christ* that are represented in the two Zaragoza *Passion* tapestries. Each scene is fully described and special attention is paid to identifying the more unusual stylistic and iconographic elements depicted in the tapestries. First, the overall stylistic characteristics are identified. Then a detailed examination looks at the treatment of space in the Zaragoza *Passion* tapestries. This is followed by the iconographic analysis. The stylistic and iconographic parallels are identified in several objects made for the major French collectors, notably King Charles V and Jean, Duke of Berry, by the leading court artists, including Jean Bondol, Jean le Noir, the Parement Master and the Limbourg brothers.  

5.1 **STYLE**

The execution of space is markedly different in the two weavings, but each approach may be paralleled in works of similar style and date. The “spatial imagination” of the artists in the first tapestry is particularly demonstrated in the use of micro-architectural frameworks that can be described as *petites maisons*. These structural components divide up the sequence of events in

---

517 As explained in the Introduction of the dissertation, a broad survey was conducted to examine the development of *Passion* iconography in order to position the Zaragoza tapestries within a larger artistic context. The tapestries were compared with French and Flemish works of art, such as illuminated manuscripts, panel paintings, altarpieces and other tapestries, ranging approximately from 1350 until 1450. From this investigation, the visual evidence suggests that the Zaragoza *Passion* tapestries may be linked, both stylistically and iconographically, to works of art commissioned or owned by members of the Valois dynasty, since the tapestries exhibit certain French stylistic tendencies that were popular in the two decades immediately before and after the year 1400. See section 1.2.

518 These architectural frameworks recall the use of *maisons*—small wooden structures—that were typically used in medieval drama to facilitate the creation of a *mise en scène*, particularly for a city, such as Jerusalem. See François
a manner that transforms the temporality of the story into a logically flowing composition. This emphasis on spatial clarity is necessary because 113 figures appear in the following ten scenes of the first tapestry: (1) Christ’s Entry into Jerusalem; (2) The Prayer in the Garden; (3) Christ Led through a Passageway; (4) The Kiss of Judas and Peter Cutting Off Malchus’ Ear; (5) Christ before Caiaphas or Annas; (6) Christ before Herod; (7) Christ before Pontius Pilate; (8) The Flagellation of Christ; (9) The Mocking of Christ; and (10) The Making of the Cross. All these events take place within a fortified city of Jerusalem, except for the opening scene of Christ’s Entry into Jerusalem that occurs just in front of the city gate and leads into the events that take place within the city walls, streets and buildings.

Unlike the more compact compositional arrangement of the first tapestry, the open-air layout of the second tapestry, which presents sixty-six figures in five scenes, heightens the emotional tenor of the climax of the narrative, The Crucifixion. The narrative picks up in the second tapestry in the lower left corner: (1) Christ Carrying the Cross; (2) The Crucifixion; (3) The Harrowing of Hell; (4) The Three Holy Women at the Sepulcher; and (5) The Noli me tangere, when Christ appears before Mary Magdalene. The outdoor landscape setting in this second tapestry provides a foil for the architectural emphasis in the placing of events in the first tapestry.

Bucher, “Micro-Architecture as the ‘Idea’ of Gothic Theory and Style,” Gesta 15, no. 1/2 (1976): 71–89. The idea of micro-architecture was further examined in a 2001 exhibition, “Homes for the Soul: Micro-Architecture in Medieval and Contemporary Art,” organized by Stacy Boldrick and John Cherry that was exhibited at the Henry Moore Foundation in Leeds, England. An exhibition leaflet was published, but there was no full catalog.

519 Although not totally apparent in reproductions, Christ already wears the crown of thorns and thus this scene represents The Mocking of Christ.

520 The scene of The Last Supper is not included in the Zaragoza tapestry. Likewise, it does not typically appear in the Passion cycles from books of hours of the period.
A strong interest in surface ornamentation is found throughout both tapestries, such as the depictions of many jewels decorating mantles and halos; the variety of hats and headgear, like the rolled *chaperon*, which resembles a rolled-turban, creating a sense of the exotic; the sumptuous materials of the costumes, from brocades and ermine fur to patterned chain mail; the decorative architectural elements, such as the allusion to brick, marble, wood and mosaics in the towers, turrets, gates, the roof and floor tiles, as well as the pink, red and blue coloration of the overall architecture; and the embellishment of landscape details, as seen in the abstract cloud motifs in the sky and cragged mountains, as well as the delicacy in the execution of the decorative flowers, the chiaroscuro effects of the foliage and the twisting tree trunks of the roses.

Nearly every figure in the tapestries wears a brocaded robe and/or jewel- or fur-lined mantle. The colors of the robes and mantles are also jewel-colors: ruby red, sapphire blue and emerald green. Christ wears a more neutral, beige-colored mantle in most scenes. The Roman soldiers wear blue helmets and many wear ornate chain mail or tunics that are brocaded. The

521 See Appendix E: “Costumes, Headgear and Hairstyles: Descriptions and Historical Details of the Zaragoza Passion Tapestries.”

522 Pink architecture can be found in numerous contemporary examples in illuminations from the following manuscripts: *Chroniques de France ou Saint Denis* (London, British Library, MS Royal 20 C. VII, France, ca.1380–1400); *Pèlerinage de la vie humaine* (Brussels, Koninklijke Bibliotheek van België/Bibliothèque royale de Belgique, MS 10176–78, possibly Artois, ca.1400); book of hours (Arras, Médiathèque municipale, MS 845 (a.c. 532), Bruges, ca.1400, by the Ushaw workshop); *Histoire du Saint Graal* and *Lancelot du Lac* (Paris, Bibliothèque nationale de France, MS fr. 117, MS. fr. 118, MS. fr. 119, MS. fr. 120, Paris, ca.1400 by Master of the Clères femmes workshop); *Faits des Romains* (London, British Library, MS MS Royal 20 C.I, France, ca.1400); *Faits des Romains* (Chantilly, Musée Condé, Château de Chantilly, MS 769, Paris, ca.1405–1410, follower of Boucicaut Master); *Réponses à Charles VI et Lamentations* (Paris, Bibliothèque nationale de France, MS fr. 23279, Paris, ca.1409 by the Boucicaut Master and Workshop); *Missal de Sainte-Magloire* (Paris, Bibliothèque de l’Arsenal, MS 623, Paris, ca.1411/12 by the Bedford Master); book of hours (Crakow, Biblioteka Czartoryskich, MS 2943, Bruges, ca.1415–1420); *Bible* and *Apocalypse* (Chantilly, Musée Condé, Château de Chantilly, MS 28, Paris or Bourges, ca.1415 by the Master of Medallions).

523 Many of the flowers and plants represented in the tapestries are identifiable, although they are stylized. They include oak, willow and orange trees, as well as strawberries, violets, clovers, daisies, daffodils and periwinkles. Pilar Bosqued Lacambra, *Flora y vegetación en los tapices de La Seo* (Zaragoza: Caja de Ahorros de la Inmaculada de Aragón, 1989), 48–51.
figures of Christ, the Apostles and the Marys all have halos that are decorated with jewels; yet, not a single manuscript that was studied showed halos with jewels. By contrast, in manuscripts, halos are usually solid gold with a clover pattern or are shown as golden rays. Almost all the figures not depicted with a halo wear some sort of headgear or helmet. In terms of footwear, Christ is shown barefoot and there are few instances when figures wear shoes, but on the whole, the compositional treatment of the scenes blocks any view of the figures’ feet or their long robes and mantles cover their feet. The costumes of the figures are discussed when each scene is introduced and the terminology is explained in Appendix E.

In addition to these decorative aspects, the surface of both tapestries is heavily patterned with over-lapping figures. This treatment of space demonstrates medieval tapestry artists’ *horror vacui* that remained fashionable in tapestry design well until the sixteenth century. 524 Although panel painters such as Jan van Eyck, Robert Campin and Roger van der Weyden used perspective during the early part of the fifteenth century, its application did not appear in tapestries until about last quarter of the fifteenth century. 525 The “readability” of the image and narrative of the tapestries is, therefore, to some extent, compromised. 526


525 For bibliography, see note 15.

526 These dates are approximations, since the evidence is drawn from surviving tapestries. Furthermore, the interest in and ability to achieve one-point perspective in the tapestry medium varied depending on the skills of tapestry designers and weavers and thus can be identified in tapestries over a broad range of time. There are examples when tapestry designers return to the *horror vacui* models even though the application of one-point perspective had been successfully realized in the medium. For more on this subject, see Adolph Salvatore Cavallo, *Medieval Tapestries in the Metropolitan Museum of Art* (New York: Harry N. Abrams, 1993), 39–53; Thomas P. Campbell, *Tapestry in the Renaissance: Art and Magnificence* (New York/New Haven: Metropolitan Museum of Art/Yale University Press, 2002), 48–49, 134–138

527 The concept of “reading” an image brings up the idea that medieval images functioned as “letters of the laity” for medieval spectators. While some art historians have criticized that the idea of “reading” an image implies a
There are 178 figures represented in the dynamic compositions of the two tapestries. The figures are shown in various poses, such as three-quarter views, profile views and a few frontal views that create the sense that they are actively participating in the narrative. Hands are seen waving animatedly by many of the figures and this contrasts with their overall stoic facial expressions. While the figures are typically presented in small clusters around each event, more figures in the first tapestry are shown overlapping than in the second.

The artists’ treatment of the size and characterizations of the figures is also notably different in the two tapestries. Although the figures in both tapestries are almost life-size, they are smaller in the first tapestry. By contrast, when compared to the tightly cinched waists observed in the first tapestry, the second one presents figures that are larger and heavier, particularly observed in the figures’ large mid sections and rounded pot bellies. Caricatured features are observed in the first tapestry in the scenes of The Betrayal, The Flagellation, The Mocking, particularly evident in the profile poses of the Roman soldiers and the torturers of Christ who often have hooknoses or bulbous noses. Fewer caricatured figures appear in the second. The exaggerated features of the bad thief are seen in the scenes of Christ Carrying the Cross and The Crucifixion, while two of the four soldiers casting lots at the bottom of The Crucifixion appear brutally mean with caricatured noses and grimacing faces.

The representation of the figure of Christ also differs between the tapestries, further illustrating stylistic variations. In all, Christ is shown thirteen times: nine in the first tapestry and

four in the second. A comparison of these thirteen depictions of Christ’s face reveals not only
the artistic variations in the weaving style, as seen in the various shapes of his face and nose, but
also shows the differences in the patterns of his halo. In the first tapestry, the design of Christ’s
halo is simple, filled with representations of jewels, such as emeralds and rubies. By contrast,
the motif of his halo in the second tapestry is more ornate and the edges are decorated with
representations of diamonds or possibly pearls. Besides the figure of Christ, only the figure of
Saint John, seen in the episodes of Christ’s Entry into Jerusalem, The Prayer in the Garden and
The Kiss of Judas in the first tapestry, is represented in the second tapestry in the scenes of
Christ Carrying the Cross and The Crucifixion. The study of Saint John’s face and his halo also
reveals the same stylistic difference as observed with the representations of Christ. Other than
the figure of the Christ and Saint John, it appears that no other characters depicted in the first
tapestry are shown in the second.

These stylistic variations bring up the idea that perhaps the second tapestry, although
designed at the same time, was conceived by a different set of artists and was woven by another
group of weavers. Tapestry sets were usually woven on multiple looms simultaneously,528 in
order that they could be delivered to their patron at the same time, but, perhaps this was not the
case with the Zaragoza Passion tapestries. It is possible that the second tapestry was designed
and woven sometime after the first, yet not too much later than the first, since although there are
some stylistic differences, there also are many similarities and complementary aspects that show
that the two tapestries were conceived as a matching set.

While these issues remain unresolved, they do lead to queries about the source and type
of model that the tapestries designers may have used. For instance, what could have been the

primary iconographic sources for such an extensive Passion program? Is it possible that the designers of the Zaragoza tapestries were influenced by one primary source that had a comprehensive Passion cycle? Or were different models used? Were illumination programs from manuscripts the most likely because of their portability and accessibility?

In asking similar questions and looking back as far as Duccio’s thirteenth-century painting of the Maestà, James Stubblebine examines the possibility that Duccio likely turned to an illuminated manuscript that contained an extensive Passion cycle for inspiration because, perhaps, it was common for painters to base large-scale painting programs on manuscript cycles, even though there are only a few instances of this that can be documented convincingly.\(^{529}\) Likewise, there is certainly the chance that the designers of the large-scale tapestries at Zaragoza were influenced by a particular model, such as a full Passion cycle in a book of hours. Furthermore, the designers could have been inspired by the dramatic productions of The Passion that were taking place in towns throughout France and Belgium.\(^{530}\)

---


that might derive from Passion plays include the rare scenes of The Making of the Cross and the role of Pilate’s wife in the scene of Christ before Pilate. These scenes are analyzed below in the section devoted to iconography.

5.2 COMPOSITION AND SPACE

Aside from the stylistic qualities of the tapestries, the treatment of space is crucial to understanding how the medieval spectator received the narrative; space functioned as a way to mediate the narrative transmitted by the object. For instance, the translation of The Story of the Passion of Christ from words into images necessitated that artists understand and translate time as space, since the passage of time is fundamental to the unfolding narrative of The Passion. The artistic exegesis of how to interpret the story and how to execute the space within the work of art are key components to ensure that the narrative is comprehensible to the viewer.

Regarding the treatment of space, the first tapestry of the Zaragoza set is given greater emphasis because of the use of micro-architecture. An initial phase on the emergence of micro-architecture is found simultaneously in the third quarter of the fourteenth century in French ivories and manuscripts, entailing the use of sets of quatrefoils within which to frame a sequence of events. Later this simple geometric setting would give way to more complex structures with

---

an emphasis on the three-dimensional. Individual niches create the arrangement of space in an ivory diptych (Paris, ca.1360–1380) conserved at the Louvre.531 This diptych portrays twenty-four scenes of The Passion on a single plane.532 On each wing of the diptych, two rows of quatrefoils—divided by a central column of scenes framed within trefoils—serve as architectural frameworks to simplify and direct the flow of the narrative.533 Within these frameworks, the figures are arranged in deeply carved three-dimensional spaces that further highlight the emotional tenor of the narrative cycle.534

This same technique of separating the narrative with quatrefoil borders is also visible in two Valois manuscripts—the Bible de Charles V (Paris, ca.1372 by Jean Bondol, also known as Hennquin de Bruges)535 and the Petites Heures de Jean duc de Berry (Paris or Bourges, Paris, Musée du Louvre, inv. no. OA 4089. The diptych measures 210 x 135 cm. For full bibliography and illustrations, see Bruno Donzet and Christian Siret, Les fastes du gothique: le siècle de Charles V (Paris: Ministère de la culture/Éditions de la Réunion des musées nationaux, 1981), 199–200, no. 160; Danielle Gaborit-Chopin, Les ivoires médiévaux: Vᵉ–XVᵉ siècle (Paris: Réunion des musées nationaux, 2003), 461–463, no. 201.

By no means is Louvre diptych the first work of art to show multiple scenes of the Passion, but within the geographic and date restraints of this study, it serves as a logical starting point, in addition to the fact that there are corresponding iconographic aspects to the Zaragoza tapestries, discussed in Chapter V.532

It has been noted that the use of quatrefoils in ivories with religious subjects is quite rare when compared to their appearance in manuscripts from around the same period, see Danielle Gaborit-Chopin, Les ivoires médiévaux: Vᵉ–XVᵉ siècle (Paris: Réunion des musées nationaux, 2003), 461.

For a study of the types of spatial systems and the utilization of perspective, particularly in relation to the creation of a symbolic space, see Erwin Panofsky, Perspective as Symbolic Form, trans. Christopher S. Wood (New York: Zone Books, 1997).


532 By no means is Louvre diptych the first work of art to show multiple scenes of the Passion, but within the geographic and date restraints of this study, it serves as a logical starting point, in addition to the fact that there are corresponding iconographic aspects to the Zaragoza tapestries, discussed in Chapter V.

533 It has been noted that the use of quatrefoils in ivories with religious subjects is quite rare when compared to their appearance in manuscripts from around the same period, see Danielle Gaborit-Chopin, Les ivoires médiévaux: Vᵉ–XVᵉ siècle (Paris: Réunion des musées nationaux, 2003), 461.

534 For a study of the types of spatial systems and the utilization of perspective, particularly in relation to the creation of a symbolic space, see Erwin Panofsky, Perspective as Symbolic Form, trans. Christopher S. Wood (New York: Zone Books, 1997).

ca. 1375–1380 by Jean le Noir; later additions made in Bourges, 1385–1390). Even though the artists’ conception of space changes from a single picture plane, as seen in the Louvre diptych, to a work of art that illustrates each individual scene on different pages, the artists of these two manuscripts still chose to adopt a similar framing device, the quatrefoil, in order to emphasize the importance of each separate scene of the narrative.

These examples may be identified as prototypes—they demonstrate how artists began to create space in a manner that underscores the emotional intensity of each event by using architectural elements to frame and emphasize each dramatic episode of *The Passion*. Furthermore, the one-picture-per-page format found in these books of hours also reflects the liturgical function of the manuscripts. In essence, the relationship between text and image serves to promote prayer and devotion through the liturgical hours of the day.

In a similar way, the micro-architecture observed in the Zaragoza tapestries functions as the stopping points along the *via crucis* (the way of the cross), which make up the Stations of the Cross. Each architectural niche presents a scene, a place at which the viewer is to stop and contemplate that particular event. Kathryn Rudy explores the concept of a *Passion Park*—an actual reconstruction of the *via crucis*, or *via dolorosa*—where pious Christians could take part in Christ’s fateful journey in a corporeal way. She puts forward the idea that in a similar manner, works of art could provide medieval viewers a way to imagine and reenact the events of Christ’s

---

Passion. Since the tapestries, like wall paintings, had a greater amount of pictorial space, artists had the opportunity to spatially construct an image that could illustrate the unfolding narrative in a more complex fashion and subsequently, could lead the viewer on a mental journey along the *via crucis*.

One of the great advancements concerning the conceptualization of space in French works of art from the fourteenth century is observed in the ink-on-silk painting at the Louvre made for King Charles V of France, known as the *Parement de Narbonne* (Paris, ca.1375–1378 by the Parement Master). The provenance of the work before the nineteenth century remains unknown; however, the painting includes portraits of Charles V, King of France (r.1364–1380),

---

537 Her analysis primarily focuses on six loose leaves from an early sixteenth century German manuscript. Kathryn M. Rudy, “Fragments of a Mental Journey to a Passion Park,” in Tributes in Honor of James H. Marrow: Studies in Painting and Manuscript Illumination of the Late Middle Ages and Northern Renaissance, ed. Jeffrey F. Hamburger and Anne S. Korteweg (London: Harvey Miller, 2006): 405–419.

538 The extended tabby weave of the silk is called a *cannelé* weave and the black ink was applied with a brush in washes of different intensities of ink. For a discussion on the material and techniques, see Susie Nash, “The *Parement de Narbonne*: Context and Technique,” in The Fabric of Images: European Paintings on Textile Supports in the Fourteenth and Fifteenth Centuries, ed. Caroline Villers (London: Archetype Publications, 2000), 77–87 at 77, 81–85n48, n55.

and his wife, Jeanne de Bourbon (1338–1378), as well as border medallions inscribed with the initial K for Karolus (Charles). Most scholars agree that based on this visual evidence, the Parement de Narbonne was very likely a royal commission.

The long, narrow and compact drawing is executed in the monochromatic style of grisaille and is emblematic of the illuminations in the Hours of Jeanne d’Evreux (Paris, ca.1324–1328, attributed to Jean Pucelle). As mentioned above, because of its use of grisaille and its dimensions, the Parement de Narbonne was probably used as an altar frontal during the Lenten season. There is little doubt that the Parement Master was influenced by the highly developed stylistic features found in the Hours of Jeanne d’Evreux, such as figures characterized by sinuous lines, dramatic modeling and three-dimensional drapery forms, in addition to the architectural frameworks that exhibit a realistic expression of depth and perspective. It is possible that the artists of the Zaragoza Passion tapestries were influenced by the Parement de Narbonne, since it comprises dense Passion scenes placed within architectural settings and in a horizontal format.

The Parement de Narbonne shows seven scenes from The Passion: The Kiss of Judas and Peter Cutting Off Malchus’ Ear, The Flagellation of Christ and The Christ Carrying the Cross; The Crucifixion; The Entombment, The Harrowing of Hell and The Noli me tangere. All of these scenes, with the exception of The Entombment, also appear in the Zaragoza tapestries. There are stylistic and iconographic similarities, though not exact, between the Parement de Narbonne and


542 New York, Metropolitan Museum of Art, The Cloisters Collection, inv. 54.1.2, folios 34v–35.
the Zaragoza tapestries. While an iconographic analysis of this painting takes place in later in this chapter, the compositional layout of the object is the focus here. The painting—oriented along a wide horizontal picture plane—presents the scenes of The Passion by framing them within delicately modeled architectural elements. There is, however, less emphasis on spatial depth in the architecture of the Parement de Narbonne than in the Zaragoza tapestries. For instance, the tiny building with the scene of The Flagellation is shown in a single frontal plane, yet, the use of a trefoil arcade at the top of the painting creates a narrative unity that reinforces the linear format of the story and the work of art.

Like the Zaragoza tapestries, the Parement de Narbonne comprises a larger surface space, therefore, the artist was able to accommodate several scenes of The Story of the Passion within a single view. Of particular interest is the Parement Master’s technique of demarcating a single episode, The Flagellation, which takes place in an interior space, compared to the other episodes, all of which occur outdoors. Within a little room—made up of two side walls and a back wall pierced with windows—is the column to which Christ is tied. The architectural framework of the scene invites the viewer to witness the event. The overarching architectural components of the painting connect the scenes, so as to not compromise the aesthetic continuity of the narrative. Millard Meiss, when describing this aspect of the object, remarked, “The figures and frame, both of the same gray color, constitute a tracered, diaphanous plane similar to an architectural triforium [gallery]—a plane that is repeated deeper in space in the upper rear wall of the room. Designing his scenes mostly in a continuous band, the painter has given them an impressive rhythmical unity. Figures extend from one arcuated space to the next.”

spatial borders and enhancing the realism of the picture. This opening into an interior space that shows the moment when Christ is flogged further accentuates the artist’s desire to viscerally draw the viewer into the dramatic events of *The Passion*.

The treatment of space observed in the *Parement de Narbonne* emphasizes the linear and temporal qualities of the accounts of *The Passion*. The desire to illustrate the story is less about regular intervals of prayer—the primary function of a book of hours—but instead the focus is on the temporality of the narrative, a story that unfolds before the viewer’s eyes. This temporal quality of the story in a work of art is brought to an image when it is “read” by a viewer. By “reading” the images in a work of art, the narrative is recreated within that spectator’s time and space. As such, the work of art serves as a place for a performance. The idea of evoking the story into the present is further strengthened by the inclusion of the two royal donor figures, King Charles V and Queen Jeanne de Bourbon, who kneel at base of the scene of *The Crucifixion*, participating as pious characters in the unfolding drama.

The emphasis on the temporality of the narrative by including miniaturized architectural elements as seen in the tapestries is also found in two manuscripts commissioned by Jean de Berry. The illuminations of the first, the *Très Belles Heures de Notre-Dame* (Paris, ca. 1380–1390 by the Parement Master and workshop), present many scenes in a miniature room that is


self-contained. The artist’s choice in using architectural frameworks in the *Passion* cycle appears to be based on which subject is being represented—scenes that take place indoors are framed within an architectural framework (such as *The Annunciation* or *The Presentation in the Temple*). Likewise, miniature rooms enclose the figures in the *Passion* cycle, including *Christ before Caiaphas*, *Christ before Pilate* and *The Flagellation*. By contrast, scenes that take place outdoors are shown in a landscape setting. These includes the scenes of the *Passion* such as *The Prayer in the Garden* (shown in an initial), *The Betrayal*, *Christ Carrying the Cross*, *Christ Nailed to the Cross*, *The Crucifixion*, *The Deposition*, *The Lamentation* and *The Harrowing of Hell*.

The treatment of space in the manuscript is comparable to the execution of space observed in the *Parement of Narbonne*. Because of these similarities it is very probable that the Parement Master created both works of art. The manuscript shows an evolution in terms of the Parement Master’s approach to space. For example, in the *Parement de Narbonne*, only the scene of *The Flagellation* is shown in an interior setting, while there are at least three miniatures, as mentioned above, that include architectural frameworks to set the scene. Moreover, in the manuscript, the use of these small, intimate environments invite the viewer to enter into the imagined spaces to act as witness to the events—similar to the treatment found in the tapestries. As will be seen later in this chapter, there are strong iconographic parallels between the Zaragoza tapestries and both the *Parement de Narbonne* and the *Très Belles Heures de Notre-Dame*.

“Masters, anonymous, and monogrammists, §I: Anonymous masters Master of the Parement de Narbonne,” *Grove Art Online* (26 October 2006).

The other example of miniaturized architecture in a manuscript is found in Jean de Berry’s Belles Heures (probably Paris, ca.1405–1408/09 by the Limbourg brothers). This manuscript also employs small architectural structures to illustrate the story; however, the illuminations in the Belles Heures show an even greater interest in merging together the architectural structures with the nearby landscape. In doing so, the Limbourg brothers transition the viewer—who turns the pages of pictures—from interior to exterior spaces.

For instance, in the scenes of Christ before the High Priest (folio 124), Christ before Pilates (folio 135v and 138), the artists represent green grassy landscapes that surround architectural elements, which are set against a highly abstract backdrop. The architectural elements, therefore, appear to be extracted from their interiors, whereas in the scene of the High Priest before Crowd (folio 136), the architectural aspects include the façade of the building next to the prison enclosed in a turret. In the end, this treatment of the narrative observed in the Belles Heures results in a spatial and temporal journey from inside to outside to inside, creating a narrative that flows in a relatively seamless manner.

Although few contemporary tapestries survive that can be compared to the Zaragoza Passion set, there are three early fifteenth-century tapestries that stand out because of their similar treatment of space. Although not thematically related to the Zaragoza tapestries, the Apocalypse tapestries at Angers, discussed in further detail below, also incorporate


549 Angers, Musée de la tapisserie, Château d’Angers. See note 692 for bibliography.
architectural elements into the narrative. The most intricate and three-dimensional of these structures are found at the beginning of each of the six panels, where a large figure is placed within an architectural framework. The Angers tapestries also have simplified landscape features, such as small vertical mountains like those found in the Zaragoza weavings, but overall, the landscape elements are very abstract as seen in the alternating red and blue backdrops.

As noted in the introduction, the Zaragoza tapestries have been stylistically linked to the choir tapestries at Tournai Cathedral. The tapestries consist of four panels and depict *The Lives of Saints Piatus and Eleutherius* (Arras, made in 1402). The Tournai tapestries have two elements in common with the Zaragoza set. First, the artists include a city wall to delimit the space. This is seen only in the first two weavings. Second, *petites maisons* are used throughout all four tapestries. The employment of these architectural elements creates a narrative that is easy to follow from scene to scene. Unlike the Zaragoza tapestries, these choir tapestries are narrow and long—the temporality of the narrative was made clearer simply because of the dimensions of the tapestries.

By contrast, the tapestries of *The Nine Heroes* (or *The Nine Worthies*) at the Metropolitan Museum of Art used multi-storied architectural components to arrange the various figures in the picture plane that on average measure 4.2 meters in height and were originally as wide as 6 meters (France or Flanders, ca.1400–1410). Unlike the tapestry sets at Zaragoza and Tournai,

550 See notes 26 and 36.


552 New York, The Metropolitan Museum of Art, Cloisters Collection, inv. no. 32.130.3a,b, 47.101.1–5, 47.152, 49.123. There are nine extant fragments of the original tapestry set. Most of these pieces are cut down from their original size. For a full examination of their condition, reconstruction and subject matter, see Adolph Salvatore
The Nine Heroes tapestries do not feature a narrative story that requires a clear compositional arrangement; instead, large seated figures are shown encased in miniature rooms that are flanked and surmounted by architectural niches and arcades that house smaller figures. The representation of each hero—Hector of Troy, Alexander the Great and Julius Caesar (from Pagan Law); Joshua, David and Judas Maccabeus (from Hebrew Law); and King Arthur, Charlemagne and Godfroy of Bouillon (from Christian Law)—served as models of chivalrous behavior. Tapestries illustrating The Nine Heroes were very popular among the French royal families during the end of the fourteenth and beginning of the fifteenth centuries. A set of The Nine Heroes tapestries was owned by almost every one of the Valois princes, including King Charles V, Jean de Berry, Louis d’Anjou and Philippe le Hardi. Philippe le Hardi owned at least two sets of The Nine Heroes, one of which measured 117.6 square meters and was woven with threads of silver and gold from Cyprus. The original owner of The Nine Heroes tapestry fragments in New York was very likely one or even more than one of these French royal collectors of tapestry.


For bibliography, see notes 65, 68, 70, 73.


The tapestries include the coat of arms of Berry, Burgundy and France. Cavallo has outlined the various explanations for why the arms of all three would appear on the set. Adolph Salvatore Cavallo, Medieval Tapestries in the Metropolitan Museum of Art (New York: Harry N. Abrams, 1993), 94–124, no. 2 at 116–120.
The third parallel tapestry to the Zaragoza set is *The Annunciation* tapestry (Franco-Flemish region, ca.1410–1430) at the Metropolitan Museum of Art.\(^{556}\) This tapestry has the same pink coloration of the architecture seen in the Zaragoza tapestry, and it too uses an architectural structure to frame the scene when the angel Gabriel appears before the Virgin Mary. Stylistically, a comparison between the Zaragoza Passion tapestries and *The Annunciation* weaving reveals that the rendering of the architectural frameworks—the marbleizing effect created by blue and pink decorative motifs—correspond, as do the horizontal, tubular cloud-like forms, the twisting tree trunks of the roses and the stylized foliage. Technically, both *The Annunciation* tapestry and the Zaragoza tapestries consist of wool and metallic threads and both were woven early in the fifteenth century.

Like the Zaragoza Passion tapestries, *The Annunciation* tapestry also appears to be related to works of art created by a court painter of a Valois prince—Melchior Broederlam, who was in the service of Philippe le Hardi, Duke of Burgundy, from 1384 until 1411.\(^{557}\) The composition and iconography of the New York tapestry is very similar to the imagery of *The Annunciation* panel painting from the *Altarpiece of the Crucifixion*, known as the *Dijon Altarpiece* (Ypres, ca.1390–1399, sculpted by Jacques de Baerze from 1390 to 1392 and painted by Melchior Broederlam from 1393 to 1399).\(^{558}\)

---

\(^{556}\) New York, Metropolitan Museum of Art, inv. no. 45.76. The tapestry has been cut down on all four sides, though originally the bottom ended roughly where it is today. It measures 351 x 297 cm. Adolph Salvatore Cavallo, *Medieval Tapestries in the Metropolitan Museum of Art* (New York: Harry N. Abrams, 1993), 137–147, no. 5.

\(^{557}\) From 1381 until 1384, Melchior Broederlam (ca.1355–1411) was court painter to Louis de Male.

Even though there are dissimilarities between the *Dijon Altarpiece* painting and the *Annunciation* tapestry—such as the differences observed in Gabriel’s posture and the position of his wings—the parallels between the two works of art are nevertheless striking. One of the most notable similarities in these works of art is the representation of the lectern, which is carved in a peculiar shape—its base curves sharply in two places, making its form soft and sinuous. Both objects were produced in the Franco-Flemish region and it seems very likely that Broederlam’s panel painting from the *Dijon Altarpiece* influenced the designer of the *Annunciation* tapestry or that the tapestry influenced the design of the altarpiece. It is also a possibility that Melchior Broederlam actually designed the *Annunciation* tapestry for Philippe le Hardi. Broederlam was from Ypres—a town with a famous thirteenth-century cloth hall (*Lakenhal*) and probably tapestry weavers, though little is known. While Broederlam worked for the Duke at the castle in Hesdin (in Artois), he also executed several works of art in his hometown Ypres, including the painting of the panels of the *Dijon Altarpiece*. He also traveled and worked in other cities within the Franco-Flemish region. While in Bruges, in 1386, he was charged with overseeing the painting and embroidery of banners, pennons, sails and awnings for the Duke’s ship.\(^{559}\)

---

Broederlam perhaps designed the cartoons of a tapestry showing sheep that was made for the Duchess, Margaret III, Countess of Flanders (1350–1405).  

One aspect that is unique to the *Annunciation* tapestry is the presence of the coat of arms at the top back left corner of the architectural structure. Before the tapestry was cleaned and the coat of arms was recognized as a heraldic device, Erwin Panofsky had suggested that its odd placement was a result of a misunderstanding by the tapestry weavers who were supposed to have woven a type of cornerstone or capstone. It has also been proposed that the coat of arms was added to the tapestry at a later date because of its awkward location. The coat of arms has been identified as belonging to Francesc de Blanés, Bishop of Girona (r.1408–1409) and Barcelona (r.1409–1410). It remains to be determined if the coat of arms is original to the tapestry.

Adding to the likelihood that a Bishop of Girona owned the *Annunciation* tapestry is the possibility that Girona Cathedral apparently sold it around 1910. In an article on the tapestry

---

560 Stephen N. Fliegel et al., *L’art à la cour de Bourgogne: le mécénat de Philippe le Hardi et de Jean sans Peur (1364–1419)* (Paris: Éditions de la Réunion des musées nationaux, 2004), 196, no. 70.

561 Panofsky’s explanation reflects his lack of understanding of how tapestry designers are incredibly dependent on the full-scale tapestry cartoons that were placed adjacent to the warp strings of the tapestry during the weaving process. While there may be slight variations in style from one weaver to the next, the weaving process required that weavers follow the cartoon, otherwise the overall design of the tapestry would be greatly compromised. Adolph Salvatore Cavallo, *Medieval Tapestries in the Metropolitan Museum of Art* (New York: Harry N. Abrams, 1993), 137–147, no. 5 at 141.

562 More research needs to be conducted in order to fully understand the connection between Francesc de Blanés and the *Annunciation* tapestry. This is a subject that I intend to pursue in the future.

563 Cavallo states that “a recent cleaning and detailed examination . . .” revealed that the shield was woven with different warp and weft threads from the rest of the tapestry. It remains unknown then if originally the tapestry came with a different coat of arms and was changed at a later date. He also suggests, along the lines of Panofsky’s argument, that perhaps the motif was originally lozenge-shape and then was turned into a coat of arms. Adolph Salvatore Cavallo, *Medieval Tapestries in the Metropolitan Museum of Art* (New York: Harry N. Abrams, 1993), 137–147, no. 5 at 141.

564 The provenance of the tapestry remains uncertain. Cavallo merely states that is was supposedly sold by Girona Cathedral. The Spanish Art Gallery in London then possibly owned the tapestry. By 1922 French & Co. in New York owned the tapestry and then sold it in 1924 to Mrs. Harold Irving Pratt. It was donated to the Metropolitan
in 1961, James Rorimer mentioned that the tapestry had been displayed in a chapel at Tarragona Cathedral for several years according to a local resident, but this claim could not be confirmed and appears to conflict with the claim of a Girona Cathedral provenance. Two threads of evidence, the presence of the coat of arms of the Bishop of Girona and the possibility of the tapestry belonging to the collection of Girona Cathedral in the early twentieth century, suggest that the tapestry might have already been added to the collection at Girona Cathedral when Dalmau de Mur was there as Canon from 1409 and then Bishop from 1415. The stylistic and technical similarities between Francesc de Blanés’ *Annunciation* tapestry and Dalmau de Mur’s *Passion* bring closer the idea that the patronage and acquisition of Franco-Flemish tapestries by high-ranking ecclesiastics in the Kingdom of Aragón during the late fourteenth and early fifteenth centuries was more common than previously thought.

When comparing the Zaragoza *Passion* tapestries to all of these examples, the most striking contemporary visual parallels in regards to the treatment of space can be identified in the *Parement de Narbonne*, the *Très Belles Heures de Notre-Dame* and related manuscripts, the set of choir tapestries at Tournai Cathedral and *The Annunciation* tapestry; however, the *Parement de Narbonne* and the *Très Belles Heures de Notre-Dame* stand apart from the rest because they also have parallel iconography, considered later in this chapter.

The Zaragoza tapestry is particularly striking because of the artists’ approach to the construction and delineation of the space that zigzags in and out of interior and exterior scenes. These varying, alternating views further enhance the complexity of the tapestry’s composition—

---

the compositions of both tapestries, in fact, are dynamic in their sequencing and order of the narrative. As observed before, the demarcation of the temporal aspects of the story through the use of the micro-architecture invite the viewer not only to witness the events, but also encourages the viewer to inhabit the space, which becomes a theatre—a stage where the events of The Passion develops, as it simultaneously draws the spectator into the narrative. This ploy to integrate the viewer into the imagined space of the story is particularly effective and works because of the architectural frameworks of the Zaragoza tapestries. Each petit maison presents an event of The Passion in the viewer’s contemporary space and time—costumes, hairstyles and architectural elements all produce a familiar and recognizable atmosphere to the aristocratic medieval spectator—the exalted costumes are elegant and would have been worn only by the nobility. The pictures are not, therefore, purely static representations, but rather dynamic recreations of The Passion that cultivate an interactive experience with the viewer.

The designers of the Zaragoza Passion tapestries also envisioned a composition that included the representation of the city of Jerusalem. The fortified walls of Jerusalem and castle-like elements, such as the crenellations, towers and turrets, define the spatial and physical limits of both city and narrative. The spatial realization of Jerusalem into the tapestry’s composition does not simply function as an emblematic reference to the Holy City. One the most famous illustrations of a city is the representation of Rome in Jean de Berry’s Très Riches Heures. This depiction of Rome is constructed from a bird’s eye perspective. Viewers are shown the entire city, and all of its famous monuments in such a fashion that they can make a mental


567 Chantilly, Musée de Condé, MS 65, folio 141v. For bibliography, see note 493.
pilgrimage, a type of pilgrimage by proxy, simply by gazing upon one monument and then moving on to the next. Like the construction of a Passion Park, mentioned above, the illustration allows the viewer to journey to another world.

The decision to incorporate a representation of the city of Jerusalem into the narrative of the Zaragoza tapestries may function in a similar manner. The concept of contemplating on specific images evokes an Italian text, Zardino de Oratione (Giardino di orazioni, Venice, 1494).\textsuperscript{568} The author emphasizes the importance of meditating on every scene of The Story of the Passion of Christ; each individual episode serves as a focal point for the reflective viewer who is to pause before moving to the next scene. It serves as an example of a medieval text discussing how one might imagine The Story of the Passion in one’s mind in order to remember it better. Moreover, the verbal descriptions of Christ’s Passion in this text parallel the pictorial representations in the tapestries at Zaragoza. Michael Baxandall’s translated text reads:

[To] . . . better to impress the story of the Passion on your mind, and to memorise each action of it more easily, it is helpful and necessary to fix the places and people in your mind: a city, for example, which will be the city of Jerusalem—taking for this purpose a city that is well known to you. In this city find the principal places in which all the episodes of the Passion would have taken place—for instance, a palace with the supper-room where Christ had the Last Supper with the Disciples, and the house of Anne, and that of Caiaphas, with the place where Jesus was taken in the night, and the room where He was brought before Caiaphas and mocked and beaten. Also the residence of Pilate where he spoke with the Jews, and in the room where Jesus was bound to the Column. Also the site of Mount Calvary, where he was put on the Cross; and other like places . . . And then too you must shape in your mind some people, people well-known to you, to represent for you the people involved in the Passion—the person of Jesus Himself, of the Virgin, Saint Peter, Saint John the Evangelist, Saint Mary Magdalen, Anne, Caiaphas, Pilate, Judas and the others, every one of whom you will fashion in your mind.

\textsuperscript{568} A copy is housed at Harvard’s Houghton Library, Typ. Inc. 4902.30.
When you have done all this, putting all your imagination into it, then go into your chamber. Alone and solitary, excluding every external thought from your mind, start thinking of the beginning of the Passion, starting with how Jesus entered Jerusalem on the ass. Moving slowly from episode to episode, meditate on each one, dwelling on each single stage and step of the story. And if at any point you feel a sensation of piety, stop: do not pass on as long as that sweet and devout sentiment lasts. . . .

Just as in the tapestry, the reader of this text is taken on a journey through the dramatic events of The Passion. Likewise, the representation of the city of Jerusalem and its various locations provides a way for the spectator to experience the three modes by which medieval religious art operated: the story as imagined serves as an exemplary model, as a mnemonic device and as a means for devotional practice. Furthermore, the pictorial depiction of the city of Jerusalem in the Zaragoza tapestries frames the teleological narrative: each and every scene is position within the petites maisons that are encompassed by the city walls—there is a decisive beginning and ending to the story. The spatial process of guiding the spectator through the narrative in an orderly fashion and unified design offers a way for the viewer to recreate the events of Christ’s Passion—a biblical story that ultimately reminds the Christian viewer of the teleological order of the world.

Turning to the second tapestry of the Zaragoza Passion set, the design is characterized by a panoramic landscape view. Without the architectural frameworks observed in the first tapestry, the narrative in the second weaving uses figures to obscure the boundaries from one scene to the

569 Michael Baxandall uses this passage to demonstrate that such texts helped readers internalize the described representations into their meditative journey. Michael Baxandall, Painting and Experience in Fifteenth Century Italy: A Primer in the Social History of Pictorial Style, 46, 163–164.

570 Teleological is defined as, “Of, pertaining to, or involving teleology; relating to ends or final causes; dealing with design or purpose, esp. in natural phenomena,” The Oxford English Dictionary Online, 2nd ed., s.v. “teleological, a.,” http://dictionary.oed.com/ (20 April 2008).
The vertical mountains and landscape elements frame the narrative in a similar way in which the city wall of Jerusalem was depicted in the first panel. Trees are miniaturized and also help to create a border around the different events, as seen in the three scenes in the right third of the tapestry, *The Harrowing of Hell, The Three Holy Women at the Sepulcher* and *The Noli me tangere*.

The panoramic compositional arrangement may be paralleled to some extent in other works of art, yet all of them are later examples. Since these works of art post-date the creation of the tapestries, only a few will be presented to show that the compositional format of the second tapestry is further developed during the last three quarters of the fifteenth century. Beginning with the earliest, *The Wasservass Calvary* (Cologne, ca.1420–1435 by the Master of the Wasservass Calvary)\(^{571}\) presents a miniature city of Jerusalem in the far left background, while the procession of figures arrives to the scene where Christ is nailed to the cross and then crucified. Unlike the Zaragoza tapestry, most of the action takes place in the lower half of the composition, thereby highlighting the solitary placement of the crucified Christ, and the two thieves—all three are shown high above the drama that takes place below them. The inclusion of Christ being nailed to the cross also increases the sensational elements of *The Story of the Passion of Christ*.

The composition of *The Wasservass Calvary* may have influenced later tapestry designers because there are several tapestries from the late fifteenth century that include the nailing of the Christ to the cross below the scene of *The Crucifixion*. Examples of late fifteenth-century tapestries with comparable compositions can be found at the San Francisco Museum of

---

Fine Arts;⁵⁷² the Rijksmuseum in Amsterdam;⁵⁷³ and the Musée des tapisseries at the Château d’Angers.⁵⁷⁴ An embroidery with a similar composition at the Church of Saint-Bernard in Romans can be added to this group as well.⁵⁷⁵

Finally, there is a group of panel paintings that illustrate the episodes of The Passion on a single picture-plane with a panoramic view. The panel paintings were produced in the last quarter of the fifteenth century and represent The Passion in a fortified city. The paintings can be found in the Stedelijk Museum in Leuven (Flanders, ca.1470);⁵⁷⁶ the Galleria Sabauda in Turin (Southern Netherlands, ca.1470, by Hans Memling);⁵⁷⁷ and the Museu Nacional do Azulejo in Lisbon (Flanders, ca.1480–1500).⁵⁷⁸ All three panels present extensive Passion


⁵⁷³ Larry Salmon focuses only on this small cluster of Passion tapestries (see following two notes) that were woven late in the fifteenth century. He neither examines the development of the Passion in tapestry nor mentions the Passion tapestries at Zaragoza. Larry Salmon, “The Passion of Christ in Medieval Tapestries,” in Acts of the Tapestry Symposium: November, 1976, ed. Wendy Hefford and Christa C. Mayer-Thurman (San Francisco: Fine Arts Museums of San Francisco, 1979): 79–101 at 96, fig. 14.


⁵⁷⁶ I am grateful to Professor Jan van der Stock for introducing me to Veronique Vanderkerchove who is currently writing her doctoral dissertation on this painting. She has been very generous with both her time and willingness to share and exchange valuable bibliographic information. For more see, Veronique Vanderkerchove, “A Journey to Jerusalem: An Unknown Passion of Christ (Leuven, Vander Kelen-Mertens Museum),” Corpus of Illuminated Manuscripts 11–12 (2002): 1429–1441.


cycles that are represented in micro-architectural frameworks that guide the viewer from one scene to the next.

The Leuven panel has the strongest medieval traits—and closest affinities with the Zaragoza Passion tapestries—since the scenes are compositionally stacked one upon another creating a layering effect of the narrative. The composition is also packed with figures that merge one scene into the next, making it difficult for the viewer to discern one event from another. By contrast, the panels at Turin and Lisbon depict a more intricate layout with multi-storied buildings, yet the compositions in both have significantly fewer figures. Since there are so many buildings represented and not as many figures, the viewer can focus on each individual scene. Like the tapestries, all three images have small architectural buildings that have one or more of their sides removed, giving the viewer the opportunity to see into the various scenes. These examples reflect that the compositional layout and treatment of space observed in the Zaragoza tapestries continues to be used by artists to convey Passion narratives at the end of the fifteenth century.

The landscape elements, characterized by rocky hills and trees of varying sizes, some of them small in relation to the scale of the figures, and glimpses of distant townscapes with towers, turrets, and crenellations are elements that are similar to the backgrounds in Melchior Broederlam’s Dijon Altarpiece, where outdoor scenes taking place in a landscape are juxtaposed, as here in the first tapestry, with episodes played out in an indoor setting. Several of Jean de Berry’s manuscripts, such as the Petites Heures and the Très belles heures de Notre-Dame, have similar treatment to landscape elements. For instance, the craggy rocks in the tapestries are seen in several illuminations of the Petites Heures. The use of trees to divide up the narrative is also characteristic in miniatures from the Très belles heures de Notre-Dame.
5.3  ICONOGRAPHY: FIRST TAPESTRY

The first tapestry shows ten episodes of *The Passion*. Although there are interesting iconographic choices in each of these scenes, particular emphasis will be given to the representations of *Christ Praying in the Garden*, *Christ before Herod*, *Christ before Pilate* and *The Making of the Cross* because they contain the most unusual details. The theme of judgment is stressed as Christ is shown before Herod, Caiaphas or Annas, and Pilate, while exceptional emphasis is given to the role and characterization of Pilate and the inclusion of Pilate’s wife. Similarly, *The Making of the Cross* is a scene that deserves special attention, since iconographical parallels for it are extremely few. Areas of reweaving will be identified on a scene-by-scene basis.

5.3.1  Christ’s Entry into Jerusalem

The first tapestry begins with the scene of Christ’s triumphal entry into the city of Jerusalem that is described by all four Evangelists.\(^{579}\) Liturgically, the event is celebrated on the Sunday before Easter, Palm Sunday.\(^{580}\) In the tapestry, Christ is shown riding a donkey, which is accompanied by a small donkey, while approaching the city gate of Jerusalem.\(^{581}\) Christ holds the reigns of

\(^{579}\) All biblical references are to the Douay-Rheims version of *The Bible*: Matt. 21:1–11; Mark 11:1–10; Luke 19:28–38; John 12:12–18.

\(^{580}\) As suggested in section 4.2, it is possible that the tapestries were displayed in the cathedral as early as Palm Sunday, since their imagery parallels the liturgical celebrations of *Christ’s Entry into Jerusalem*.

\(^{581}\) Representations of Christ riding a donkey while accompanied by a colt derive from the account of Matthew who is the only Evangelist to describe the presence of the second animal (Matt. 21:5–7): “Tell ye the daughter of Sion: Behold thy king cometh to thee, meek and sitting upon an ass and a colt, the foal of her that is used to the yoke. And the disciples going, did as Jesus commanded them. And they brought the ass and the colt and laid their garments upon them and made him sit thereon.” Matthew’s description recalls the words from the Old Testament (Zech. 9:9):
the donkey’s bridle in his left hand while he blesses those before him with his right hand. The demeanor of the two donkeys is disciplined and attentive—their eyes look ahead and their ears are pricked forward. This depiction is in contrast to other representations that illustrate the donkeys lowering their heads to sniff the cloak that covers the ground.

A large group of closely huddled figures—the twelve Apostles—follow Christ. Two of the Apostles—Saint Peter in green and Saint John in red—are seen walking next to Christ and the donkeys, while the other ten follow behind. Only five of these Apostles’ faces are fully visible to the spectator and this includes Saint James the Great at the left of the group wearing a blue mantle, as well as Judas, fourth from left, who is cloaked by a blue mantle. The five remaining Apostles are indicated solely by their overlapping halos.

As Christ and his Apostles approach the city gate, five figures greet them. One of these figures, who is bald and wears a forked-beard, is shown laying down his red cloak before Christ, while another figure, dressed in green and wearing a bowl-style haircut, is seen offering Christ his folded garment. Above the arched portal, two children are seen in the tower. One waves palm branches, while the other child is empty-handed, possibly just having thrown some palm branches down to the figures below; on the ground, two branches have landed on the little donkey, while two more are strewn across the red cloak. Just to the right of the city gate

“Rejoice greatly, O daughter of Sion, shout for joy, O daughter of Jerusalem: BEHOLD THY KING will come to thee, the just and saviour: he is poor, and riding upon an ass, and upon a colt the foal of an ass.”

582 The folds of fabric from Christ’s mantle appears in reproductions as if he blesses with his left hand and holds the reigns of the donkey in his right; however, upon viewing the tapestry first hand, it was determined that this was not the case.

583 The pupils of the donkeys’ eyes are woven in a dark chocolate brown thread, indicating an area of reweaving.

584 Saint James the Great also appears in the scene Christ Praying in the Garden, where he is shown wearing his blue mantle.

585 Notice in between the two children emerges the large eyes of the soldier who is represented in the scene behind, which shows the moment when Christ is lead from the Garden of Gethsamane through a passageway to be presented
grows a large tree in which three more children are seen peeking out to view the arrival of Christ and his Apostles. Two of these children wave palm branches over their heads, as if they are about to toss them down.\textsuperscript{586}

The iconographic elements of this representation of \textit{Christ’s Entry into Jerusalem} are common; however, the majority of images surveyed in the investigation depict only one donkey instead of two. Compositional and iconographic parallels to the Zaragoza tapestry are evident in manuscript illuminations that were ordered by the leading French bibliophiles—Charles V, King of France (r.1364–1380) and his brother Jean, Duke of Berry (r.1360–1416)—who commissioned from their finest court artists the illumination of the following four manuscripts: the \textit{Bible de Charles V} (Paris, ca.1372, by Jean Bondol and the Master of the Boqueteaux),\textsuperscript{587} the \textit{Grandes Heures de Jean de Berry} (possibly Paris, ca.1409, by the Pseudo-Jacquemart and his workshop),\textsuperscript{588} and the \textit{Très Riches Heures de Jean de Berry} (Bourges or Paris, ca.1411/12–1416, by the Limbourgs).\textsuperscript{589} The \textit{Bible de Charles V} and the \textit{Grandes Heures} have several illuminated Passion scenes that correspond to the Hours of the Passion. For instance, the Hours of the Passion in the \textit{Bible de Charles V} begins with \textit{Christ’s Entry into Jerusalem} (folio 477) and ends before Caiaphas. This odd overlapping of scenes illustrates the challenges that the compact design presents. See section 5.3.4.


\textsuperscript{587} The Hague, Museum Meermanno-Westreenianum, MS 10 B 23, folio 477. For bibliography, see note 535.

\textsuperscript{588} Paris, Bibliothèque nationale de France, MS lat. 919, folio 61.

\textsuperscript{589} Chantilly, Musée de Condé, MS 65, folio 173v. For bibliography, see note 493.
with *The Noli me tangere* (folio 324v), while the *Grandes Heures* also begins with *Christ’s Entry into Jerusalem* (folio 61) and ends with *The Noli me tangere* (folio 84). Accordingly, the pictures for the Hours of the *Passion* exhibit a continuous narrative as seen in the Zaragoza tapestries.

5.3.2 Christ Praying in the Garden

The scene located immediately above *Christ’s Entry into Jerusalem* represents *Christ Praying in the Garden of Gethsemane*, at the base of the Mount of Olives, while three of the Apostles sleep nearby.\(^{590}\) The depiction of the event in the tapestry takes place within the walled city of Jerusalem; there is no visual indication that the Mount of Olives is located nearby. Chronologically, the episode of *Christ Praying in the Garden* takes place after *The Last Supper*, an image not illustrated in the tapestry.\(^{591}\)

At the top of the scene, Christ’s hands are in a gesture of prayer as he kneels before a chalice that is placed on the ground before him; the chalice is not shown holding a wafer.\(^{592}\) Typically medieval representations show Christ kneeling and gazing up towards the chalice, which usually sits atop a miniature mountain, but here, Christ contemplates with his head turned slightly down, since the chalice is positioned next to him on the ground. Unlike many


\(^{591}\) Images of *The Last Supper* were not commonly found while surveying *The Passion* cycles of illuminated manuscripts from ca.1400.

\(^{592}\) The chalice in the tapestry is somewhat rewoven and possibly originally included a wafer before restoration.
representations of this scene, the tapestry includes neither the figure of God nor the hand of God. Likewise, no angel is present.\textsuperscript{593}

At the bottom of the scene sleep three Apostles: John, Peter and James the Great.\textsuperscript{594} John, who is dressed in the red mantle, leans against the sleeping figure of Peter, wearing a beige mantle over a green robe.\textsuperscript{595} The sleeping faces of the bearded Peter and the youthful John are visible to the viewer. By contrast, the third Apostle, James, sits apart from the other two. His representation is somewhat obscured because his body is completely enshrouded by a blue mantle that is adorned with a floral motif. The stylized pattern of his mantle seamlessly blends in with the flowers that decoratively sprinkle the ground around him.

Many examples of the scene of \textit{Christ Praying in the Garden} show a similar curled-up figure, which is either entirely covered or almost entirely covered. Although not iconographically related, this figure recalls the famous cloaked, elegant figures of the alabaster-carved mourners (\textit{pleurants})\textsuperscript{596} represented on the tomb of Philippe le Hardi, Duke of Burgundy (r.1364–1404), made for the Chartreuse de Champmol (Dijon, 1384–1410, by Jean de Marville, Claus Sluter and later by Claus de Werve and a collaborator).\textsuperscript{597}

\textsuperscript{593} Luke 22:43 describes the presence of an angel: “And there appeared to him an angel from heaven, strengthening him. And being in an agony, he prayed the longer.”

\textsuperscript{594} As described by Matthew 26:37: “And taking with him Peter and the two sons of Zebedee [James and John], he began to grow sorrowful and to be sad,” as well as Mark 14:33, “And he taketh Peter and James and John with him; and he began to fear and to be heavy.”

\textsuperscript{595} These two figures are found walking next to Christ riding the donkey in the episode of \textit{Christ’s Entry into Jerusalem}.

\textsuperscript{596} While these emotive figures are famous for their expressions of grief, this type of figure can be identified on several tombs dating back to the middle of the thirteenth century that were carved for the Kings of France and originally or eventually interred at the Basilica of Saint Denis. The first archetype of the \textit{pleurants} figures is observed on the tomb of Philippe Dagobert (d. ca.1234), brother to the King of France, Saint Louis (Louis IX, r.1226–1270). Philippe’s tomb was originally located at the Abbaye de Royaumont, but was later moved to Saint Denis where fragments of it can be seen today.

\textsuperscript{597} Dijon, Musée des Beaux-Arts, inv. no. 1416. Jean de Marville (d.1389) commenced work on Philippe le Hardi’s tomb sculpture in 1384. After the Duke’s death in 1404, Claus Sluter (d.1406) continued work on the tomb and then
The closest parallel for the tapestry’s fully covered figure is a manuscript illumination from a Missal (Tournai, ca.1400–1425, by Jean Semonth). It too portrays the subject of Christ Praying in the Garden of Gethsemane with three sleeping Apostles. The picture, however, shows not one, but two sleeping figures enveloped by their cloaks—one wears red, the other blue. Other examples of a covered figure or figures depicted in the episode of Christ Praying in the Garden include the Très belles heures de Notre-Dame de Jean de Berry (Paris, ca.1380–1390, by the Parement Master and workshop); a book of hours (Tournai, ca.1400); a book of hours (Flanders, ca.1400); a book of hours (Bruges, ca.1400, by the Ushaw workshop); and a book of hours (Bruges, ca.1409, by the Ushaw workshop).

There are two more examples that illustrate the motif of a curled up figure found in another context: the scene of The Flight into Egypt. The first of these examples comes from Jean de Berry’s Belles Heures (probably Paris, ca.1405–1409 by the Limbourg brothers) that represents Mary huddled on the back of the donkey. She is almost fully cloaked in a blue robe—only a small sliver of her face is visible and it blends in with the face of the infant Christ, whom in 1410, Claus de Werve and a collaborator, finished the project. In the end, Sluter and Werve executed the majority of the pleurants figures. Upon its installation in 1410, Jean Malouel (uncle to the Limbourg brothers) was charged with painting the tomb with polychrome. For more see, Emmanuel Starcky, Almbum: le musée des Beaux-Arts de Dijon (Dijon and Paris: Musée des Beaux-Arts/Éditions de la Réunion des musées nationaux, 2002), 30–31; Stephen N. Fliegel, Sophie Jugie, and Virginie Barthélémy, ed., L’art à la cour de Bourgogne: le mécénat de Philippe le Hardi et de Jean sans Peur, 1364–1419 (Paris/Dijon/Cleveland: Réunion des musées nationaux/Musée des Beaux-Arts de Dijon/Cleveland Museum of Art, 2004), 222–225, no. 80, 230–235, no. 83.

598 Lille, Bibliothèque municipale, MS 807 (a.c. 25), folio 91v.
599 Paris, Bibliothèque nationale de France, MS n.a. lat. 3093, folio 181. For bibliography, see note 546.
600 Paris, Bibliothèque nationale de France, MS lat. 1364, folio 48v.
601 Avignon, Musée Calvet MS 208, folio 31v.
602 Arras, Médiathèque municipale, MS 845 (a.c. 532), folio 45 and 45v.
603 Durham, Ushaw College Library, MS 10, folio number unknown.
604 New York, Metropolitan Museum of Art, inv. no. 54.1.1, folio 63. For bibliography, see note 548.
she holds in her arms up close to her face. An almost identical image is found in a panel panting
known as the Tower Retable (possibly Dijon, ca.1395–1400). With a remarkable similarity,
Mary is again covered in her blue cloak, but in this representation there is not even the slightest
suggestion of her face—she is completely enshrouded by her blue mantle. It would appear,
therefore, that the artists who designed the Tower Retable were influenced by the Parement
Master’s designs for the scene of The Flight into Egypt in Jean de Berry’s Belles Heures. Even
though these two images do not iconographically correspond to the covered figure of Saint James
the Great in the episode of Christ Praying in the Garden in the Zaragoza tapestry, they form a
cluster of images that are loosely interrelated with the tapestries and the examples discussed
above. From this small gathering of objects, it is apparent that the motif of a tucked and covered
figure was popular around the year 1400 in France and Flanders.

5.3.3 The Betrayal of Christ: The Kiss of Judas and Peter Cutting off Malchus’ Ear

Spilling into the tranquil scene of Christ Praying in the Garden of Gethsemane are the dramatic
events that unfold surrounding The Betrayal of Christ: The Kiss of Judas and Peter Cutting off
Malchus’ Ear. In the center of the scene stands Christ, who is kissed on his left cheek by

---


Judas Iscariot, dressed in a red robe and green mantle. The faces of Christ and Judas are highlighted by the glow of an opened lantern that is held up next to them by one of the soldiers. Meanwhile, behind Judas, the faces of four soldiers are in view, along with at least six other soldiers who are indicated only by their overlapping helmets. All the soldiers wear basinet helmets, some with visors and some without, and many of them carry staffs, halberds, spears and swords. The soldier taking ahold of Christ’s left hand wears a costume that is given special treatment—his helmet is laced with a band of jewels and his suit of armor is fancifully adorned with a collar of overlapping white petals, while his red tunic is pleated and decorated with blue dots. He is also shown in a profile view that underscores the caricature of this figure—this type of representation is seen repeatedly in the depiction of the figures of the soldiers and torturers of Christ in the two tapestries.

To Christ’s right stand the young Saint John and the bearded Saint Peter, in addition to two other Apostles in full view. Only the tops of the overlapping halos of the remaining eight Apostles can be seen. Peter, returning his sword to its sheath, has just cut the ear of Malchus.

---

607 Judas Iscariot was charged to hold the bag of money for the group of disciples (John 12:6, 13:29) and thus he is often shown carrying a bag, but in the tapestry Judas is not depicted holding a purse. Judas was ultimately paid to betray Christ (Mark 14:10–11). Jacobus de Voragine, The Golden Legend: Readings on the Saints, trans. William Granger Ryan, 2 vols. (Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1993), vol. 1, 168. Judas is typically portrayed wearing the color yellow and often wears a beard, neither of which are observed in the tapestry, see Ruth Mellinkoff, Outcasts: Signs of Otherness in Northern European Art of the Late Middle Ages, 2 vols. (Berkeley: University of California Press, 1993), vol. 1, 51.

608 For more on the use of caricature, see Ruth Mellinkoff, Outcasts: Signs of Otherness in Northern European Art of the Late Middle Ages, 2 vols. (Berkeley: University of California Press, 1993), vol. 1, 127, 131–132.

609 Peter returns his sword as instructed by Christ in Matt. 26:52: “Then Jesus saith to him: Put up again thy sword into its place: for all that take the sword shall perish with the sword,” and John 18:11: “Jesus therefore said to Peter: Put up thy sword into the scabbard. The chalice which my Father hath given me, shall I not drink it?” By contrast, other representations show him wielding the sword over his head, underscoring the action of cutting Malchus’ ear.
one of the servants of the High Priest, while Christ is shown touching Malchus’ left ear to heal it. Only Luke (22:5) mentions the miraculous healing of Malchus’ ear.

In the tapestry, Malchus is represented as a young man wearing a bright orange tunic that has pleats and jagged edges. The tunic is embellished with blue tassels at the shoulders and at the hem of the collar. Malchus holds a long dagger in his left hand and a mace in his right. Most notable is his awkward and contorted position—his body is shown frontal, while his neck is turned up sharply to his right, as he looks up towards Peter. The profile of his face is angled nearly perpendicular to his body. This dramatic posture calls attention to his cut and bleeding, as well as to Christ’s capacity to heal it.

Representations that depict Malchus sitting on the ground with his head twisted back include: the Hours of Jeanne d’Evreux (Paris, ca.1324–1328, by Jean Pucelle); the Petites Heures de Jean duc de Berry (Paris or Bourges, ca.1375–1380; Bourges, 1385–1390; Paris, London, British Library, MS Yates Thompson 27, folio 13v).

610 All four Gospels describe the event Malchus’ ear having been cut (Matt. 26:51–52; Mark 14:47; Luke 22:50–51; John 18:10–11). It is only John’s account, however, that identifies the two figures as Simon Peter and Malchus, whereas Luke and John say only that it was the right ear of the servant that was cut.

611 This is the first instance of a left-right reversal. As mentioned in note 612, according to the writings in the Gospels, Luke and John mention that it was the right ear of Malchus, not left, that had been severed. The first step in the production of the tapestry begins with a small design (petit patron). The designer of the tapestry was typically a painter who would execute this first step. This petit patron serves as the template for the next step in the process—the enlarging of the design into a full-scale tapestry cartoon. The tapestry cartoon was made up of large strips of cloth (usually linen) that was colored-coded. The person probably responsible for making the tapestry cartoon would have been a weaver. It must be noted that “painterly skills” do not easily translate into the very rigid and systematic weaving process—the designer of the cartoon had to be conversant in the methods and techniques of tapestry weavers. Medieval weaving techniques are distinctive because the weavers wove from the back of the tapestry. The front of the tapestry faced outwards and, therefore, away from the weaver. This technique required that the weaver wove from a design that was in reverse to the original template of the petit patron. In sum, the tapestry cartoon, which the weavers used as their guide, was a mirror image of the tapestry. For example, if the petit patron showed the right ear of Malchus cut, the tapestry cartoon should therefore reflect the reverse—his left ear, so that the final tapestry, woven as a mirror image of the cartoon, would depict the right ear shorn away. The complexity of these multiple steps in the design, copying and weaving of a tapestry could easily lead to errors. This would often result in left-right reversals—an error observed in the Zaragoza tapestry, so it is that we see the left ear of Malchus cut instead of the right. Also see notes 638 and 815 for another example of a left-right reversal.

612 Most often Malchus is shown as a young man, but earlier instances show him much younger as a boy, while some later examples show him as a full-grown man.

ca.1412, by Jean le Noir); a book of hours (Bruges, ca.1400, by the Ushaw workshop), and book of hours (possibly Bruges, ca.1400–1420). Furthermore, there are several manuscript illuminations that depict Malchus turning his head back towards Peter while at the same time he is healed by Christ: a book of hours (Bruges, ca.1405–1410, by the Beaufort Saints Workshop); and a book of hours (Tournai, ca.1400). The picture in the Très Belles Heures de Notre-Dame (Paris, ca.1380–1390 by the Parement Master and workshop), is very similar to the tapestry. While the overall figural arrangement is similar between the two works of art—Judas approaches Christ at his left, Malchus is on the ground to Christ’s right and Peter, sword in hand, stands at the left-hand side of the composition—there are differences. For instance, as in the tapestry, Peter is positioned to the right Christ, but the action of his sword is different in the painting. The Parement de Narbonne depicts Peter’s action more dramatically and full of action. He is seen lifting the sword high above his head as he replaces it into the sheath. One other difference is observed in the tapestry, where Judas kisses Christ on his left cheek and Christ heals Malchus’

614 Paris, Bibliothèque nationale de France, MS lat. 18014, folio 76. For bibliography, see note 536.
615 New York, Metropolitan Museum of Art, inv. no. 54.1.2, folio 68v.
616 Arras, Médiathèque municipale, MS 845 (a.c. 532), folio 46.
619 Paris, Bibliothèque nationale de France, MS lat. 1364, folio 50v.
620 Paris, Bibliothèque nationale de France, MS n.a. lat. 3093, folio 181. For bibliography, see note 546.
621 Paris, Musée du Louvre, inv. M.I. 1121. For bibliography, see note 539.
left ear. 622 This is the opposite of how it is depicted in the _Parement de Narbonne_ where Christ’s right cheek is kissed Judas and Malchus’s right ear is cut and healed. The examples most comparable to the depiction in the Zaragoza tapestry include the _Bible de Charles V_ (Paris, ca.1372, by Jean Bondol); 623 a book of hours (St. Omer, ca.1400); 624 and a book of hours (Bruges or Ypres, ca.1400–1415, by the Beaufort Saints Workshop). 625

### 5.3.4 Christ Led through a Passageway

The next scene in the tapestry is not a standard scene in most _Passion_ cycles, since it shows Christ being led by two soldiers through a passageway. It functions as a visual link between the episodes when Judas’ betrays Christ (in the upper left) and when Christ appears before Caiaphas or Annas (at the bottom, left of center). 626 A similar type of passageway or hallway is described after the episode of _The Flagellation_ by Matt. 27:27: “Then the soldiers of the governor, taking Jesus into the hall, gathered together unto him the whole band.” There is a historiated initial in Jean de Berry’s _Très Belles Heures_ (Paris or Bourges, before 1402, probably by Jacquemart Hesdin and the Master of the Brussels Initials) 627 that depicts Christ being lead in the prætorium, the Hall of the Governor Pilate, mentioned by John (18:28, 18:33, 19:9). Likewise, Berry’s _Très_ 

---

622 See notes 611 and 612.

623 The Hague, Museum Meermanno-Westreenianum, MS 10 B 23, folio 491v. For bibliography, see note 535.

624 Arras, Médiathèque municipale, MS 822 (a.c. 513), folio 9.

625 Paris, Musée de Cluny, cl. 1246, folio 32v.

626 References to Christ being led away after _The Kiss of Judas_ include Matt. 26:57; Mark 14:53; Luke 22:54 and John 18:12.

627 Brussels, Koninklijke Bibliotheek van België/Bibliothèque royale de Belgique, MS 11060–61, folio 165. Also known as the _Heures de Bruxelles_ and hereafter referred to by that name to avoid confusion with the _Très Belles Heures de Notre-Dame_ owned by Jean de Berry. Millard Meiss, _French Painting in the Time of Jean de Berry: The Late Fourteenth Century and the Patronage of the Duke_, 2 vols. (London: Phaidon, 1967), vol. 2, fig. 209.
Riches Heures de Jean de Berry (Bourges or Paris, ca.1411/12–1416, by the Limbourgs).\textsuperscript{628} shows Christ on two separate occasions either being lead to or from the building that houses Pilate’s hall.

The scene in the tapestry shows two soldiers who are dressed in embroidered red robes. The soldier at the right, who enters an archway, is also wearing decorative chain mail on his arms and neck. These two soldiers escort the figure of Christ, who stands between them, and gestures slightly with his right hand. Behind this group of three figures is a group of soldiers—around eleven blue helmets can be counted—who carry torches, spears and axes. These soldiers are shown in a space that is overshadowed by the rising walls and towers that surround them. Compositionally, this scene is depicted next to the city gate that was described in the first scene, Christ’s Entry into Jerusalem. At the top of the tower are two small children who wave palm fronds, however in between their figures emerge the large eyes of a soldier through the open visor of his blue helmet. He belongs to the intermediary scene of the passageway. Because of the compact nature of the arrangement of this scene, the soldier’s eyes become abstracted since they are juxtaposed against the small figures of the children—the viewer must reconcile the overlapping of imagery from the first scene into this one.

\textbf{5.3.5 Christ before Caiaphas or Annas}

From the passageway at the left, Christ is shown entering this scene, where he appears before Caiaphas.\textsuperscript{629} The hand of a soldier can be observed on Christ’s right shoulder, while the same

\footnotesize{\textsuperscript{628} Chantilly, Musée de Condé, MS 65, folios 143 and 146v. For bibliography, see note 493.\textsuperscript{629} Matt. 26:57–66; Mark 14:53–64; Luke 22:54; John 18:13–27.}
soldier strikes Christ with his bent knee. Likewise, another soldier stands just behind Christ, but only his eyes and the top of his helmet can be seen.

It remains unclear if Christ stands before Caiaphas or Annas. Only Matthew (26:57) states that Christ was first led to Caiaphas, whereas Mark (14:53) and Luke (22:54) mention that Christ was to be taken to the house of the “High Priest.” Luke identifies the High Priest as being Annas, the father-in-law of Caiaphas. There are not enough iconographic features to determine which scene is depicted in the tapestry. For instance, according to Mark (14:63), the High Priest tore Christ’s garment, a depiction not found in the tapestry. While surveying the other medieval representations when Christ appears before a judge other than Herod or Pilate, most depictions represent the figure of Caiaphas and it therefore seems most plausible that this scene represents Caiaphas.

Caiaphas is depicted as an older man with a forked, white beard. He sits enthroned beneath a canopied dais that is red and decorated with small leaf designs. Behind the red drapery of Caiaphas’ throne is a blue wall hanging that is patterned with a floral motif. A pinnacle surmounts the architectural framework enclosing the scene, making it the center point of the tapestry’s composition.

Caiaphas is dressed in a blue robe that is covered by a green mantle with orange dots on the sleeves and terminates in brown fur cuffs. Draped across his left shoulder is an ermine stole. His head is covered with a blue chaperon hat. Caiaphas, seated centrally in the scene, is flanked by two figures. On his right stands a young, beardless man with a bowl-haircut. He gestures

---

630 According to John (18:22), Christ was given a blow by one of the servants.

631 Sections of the dais have been rewoven, especially evident where pink threads are seen in place of the faded threads.

632 This wall-hanging is possibly a tapestry, yet it could also be a painted cloth or a wall painting.
with his hands. To the left of Caiaphas stands another younger man dressed in a red mantle and has a bowl-haircut.

Kneeling on the ground before Caiaphas is a young male figure dressed in green who has his head turned awkwardly. His posture recalls the figure of Malchus in the scene of The Betrayal, although in this scene, the figure has his back facing the viewer. The figure’s profile view accentuates his hooknose—a reminder to the viewer that the characters involved with Christ’s trial are evil, specifically underscoring the evilness of the Jews.

The depiction of Christ before Caiaphas in Jean de Berry’s Très belles heures de Notre-Dame is the contemporary example that corresponds with the imagery in the tapestry. The manuscript picture, like the tapestry, presents the scene in a small room that is filled with soldiers aggressively advancing Christ towards the seated Caiaphas. The miniature shows Caiaphas seated on a wooden chair and not beneath an elaborate dais, as seen in the tapestry. This same folio also includes Christ before Annas. By contrast, Jean de Berry’s Belles Heures (probably Paris, ca.1405–1409 by the Limbourg brothers) shows Christ before the High Priest, who sits beneath a wooden dais. He is shown as an older man with a white beard and holds a large sword in his hand, but this scene takes place outdoors and thus seems less similar to the tapestry than to the Très belles heures de Notre-Dame.

---

633 Paris, Bibliothèque nationale de France, MS n.a. lat. 3093, folio 189r. For bibliography, see note 546.
634 New York, Metropolitan Museum of Art, inv. no. 54.1.1, folio 124. For bibliography, see note 548.
5.3.6 Christ before Heord

In the scene above and to the left of Christ before Caiaphas a youthful Herod sits with Christ standing before him for judgment.\textsuperscript{635} The small, intimate setting shows Christ surrounded by four soldiers—the faces of three are visible, while the fourth soldier, who stands directly behind Christ, is only recognized by the top of his blue helmet. Christ makes the blessing gesture with his left hand\textsuperscript{636} and gestures with his right hand. A soldier, who stands to the left and a little behind Christ, wears an ornamented suit of armor with jagged sleeves. This same soldier’s helmet curves dramatically and terminates at the front with a point. Another soldier, who stands just at the entrance to this scene on the other side of the walled entrance, also wears a helmet with exaggerated curves to contrast with the arc of his hooknose.

Herod sits on a stone throne in three-quarter view, wearing a blue robe that has an orange floral pattern with white fur trimmings at the collar and cuffs. Over his blue robe is a red mantle that has a green abstracted motif at the hem. He wears a small red hat lined with pleats of white fur. While his left hand rests on his lap, his right hand gestures subtly. Herod sits with his left leg crossed over his right knee. His left foot and calf, which are enclosed by black, pointed-toed pouaine hose, project out towards the viewer.\textsuperscript{637}

\textsuperscript{635} The bible is not completely clear on the order and events that follow. Luke (23:7–11) tells that Christ first appeared before Pilate, who then sent Christ to Herod who in turn sent him back to Pilate. A Pilate-Herod-Pilate sequence could be a plausible depiction in the tapestry since there are three representations of Christ appearing before a judge; however, the figure of Caiaphas, while similar in features to Pilate (older man with a white, forked beard), is shown standing next to the figure of Pilate and Herod in the scene of The Making of the Cross.

\textsuperscript{636} Christ always blesses with his right hand, so this is another indication of a left-right reversal. See notes 612 and 814.

\textsuperscript{637} For more on pouaine hose and shoes, see Appendix E: “Costumes, Headgear and Hairstyles: Descriptions and Historical Details of the Zaragoza Passion Tapestries.”
The composition and arrangement of the scene of *Christ Before Herod* in the tapestry corresponds to an image of *Christ Before Pilate* in Jean de Berry’s *Très Belles Heures de Notre-Dame*. Although this manuscript shows *Christ Before Herod* in the *bas-de-page* of the scene of *The Flagellation* (folio 197), it does not correspond to the tapestry the way the representation of *Christ Before Pilate* does. For example, in the manuscript, Pilate is placed in a similar manner as he is seen in the tapestry. He is situated in a chair at the left of a small room, where he sits in a crossed-leg position. He also wears a small blue hat lined with pleats of white fur. A similar hat is also found on the figure of Pilate in the *Heures de Bruxelles* (Paris or Bourges, before 1402, probably by Jacquemart Hesdin and the Master of the Brussels Initials). The depiction of the hats in both scenes is nearly identical. Both have pleats of fur lining the base, while the top part of the hat is colored—red for Herod in the tapestry and blue for Pilate in the manuscript. Pilate’s hat, however, terminates at the top with a golden knob. The other parallel detail is that both men are depicted with crossed legs—the left leg is placed over the right knee and the left foot is pointed. Both rulers assume a nearly identical pose; however, in the tapestry, Herod’s foot remains more level, while in the manuscript, Pilate’s foot points down to the floor more. Even with these minor differences, the similarities are noteworthy.

The depiction of a ruler with crossed legs in medieval art is iconographically significant. It draws upon a Germanic tradition that stated a ruler should cross his legs when making a judgment against someone to illustrate equanimity and deliberation. A plethora of examples

---

638 Paris, Bibliothèque nationale de France, MS n.a. lat. 3093, folio 194. For bibliography, see note 546.

639 Brussels, Koninklijke Bibliotheek van België/Bibliothèque royale de Belgique, MS 11060–61, folio 191.

640 A knob at the top of the hat is one of the signifiers of a Jew, see note 653 about the depiction of the hats of Jews in medieval art.

641 Judith Golden surveys the motif and focuses primarily on French and English examples from the thirteenth century through the first half of the fourteenth century. She mentions that the seated cross-legged figure could be
found in French and Flemish illuminated manuscripts survive from the thirteenth century until the early years of the fifteenth century. At the turn of the fifteenth century, it appears that medieval artists’ interest in this iconographic detail diminishes. From this point forward, late medieval images denoting acts of judgment—be it a positive, negative or neutral representation—no longer depicted the figure in a seated, crossed-legged position. It is not certain why this aspect became less popular; perhaps by the early fifteenth century it had become to be viewed as an archaic mode of illustrating judgment.

This moment of change is actually captured in a panel painting illustrating The Massacre of the Innocents in the Tower Retable, introduced above. When the panel underwent scientific examination in the years 2002–2003, the results of the infrared reflectography uncovered that the original pen-and-ink under-drawing originally had Herod the Great seated beneath a dais with his left leg crossed over his right knee, while his left hand rested on his knee and his right hand made a pointing gesture. This representation is comparable to how Herod Antipas is shown in the Zaragoza tapestry. The discovery of the under-drawing is important because it reveals that a decision was made, either by the artists or the patron, to not paint the final image of Herod the interpreted positively, negatively or neutrally depending on the context and subject. My work on the subject concerning later works of art of The Passion concurs with her research that the representation of Herod the Great (in the scene of the Massacre of the Innocents) is the most common figure to be presented with crossed legs, in addition to Pontius Pilate and Herod Antipas. For more, see Judith K. Golden, “The Iconography of Authority in the Depiction of Seated, Crossed-legged Figures,” in Between the Picture and the Word: Manuscript Studies from the Index of Christian Art, ed. Colum Hourihane (Princeton: Index of Christian Art/Princeton University and Penn State University Press, 2005), 81–99 at 86.
Great with crossed legs. The result in the final painting depicts Herod the Great with both feet planted on the ground; however, the positions of his hands are painted the same as they were designed in the under-drawing. It is not known why the change was made, but it appears to be indicative of a larger stylistic trend beginning in the early years of the fifteenth century that no longer depicted rulers with crossed legs. In contrast, the representation of Pilate in the Zaragoza tapestries underscores the role he plays in the trial of Christ. His crossed leg can be read as a gesture that conveys his desire to judge Christ fairly.

5.3.7 Christ before Pilate

The next scene, Christ before Pilate, takes place in the small room seen to the right of the episode of Christ before Herod. This scene complements the two previous ones in which Christ appears before Caiaphas and Herod. The tapestry gives special attention to the trial of Christ by representing him before the three separate judges and the inclusion of these three scenes is rare. Two of Jean de Berry’s manuscripts, the Très belles heures de Notre-Dame (Paris, ca.1380–1390, by the Parement Master and workshop), and the Belles Heures (probably Paris, ca.1405–1409 by the Limbourg brothers), both have several miniatures dedicated to Christ appearing before a judge. For instance, in total, the Très belles heures de Notre-Dame shows Christ appearing before four judges, including Christ before Caiaphas (folio 189), Christ before Annas (folio 189), Christ before Pilate (folio 194), and Christ before Herod

---

645 Paris, Bibliothèque nationale de France, MS n.a. lat. 3093, folios 189, 194, 197. For bibliography, see note 546.
646 New York, Metropolitan Museum of Art, inv. no. 54.1.1, folios 124, 132, 135, 135v, 136, 138. For bibliography, see note 548.
(folio 197), while in the scene of *The Flagellation*, Pilate is also present. The cycle in the *Belles Heures* is also extensive in its representation of Christ’s trial. It shows the scenes with *Christ before the High Priest* (folio 124), who wears a white beard and possibly represents the figure of Caiaphas; *The Flagellation* (folio 132) scene includes a black-bearded Pilate at the back of the scene; *The Mocking of Christ* (folio 135) again shows the figure of a white-bearded High Priest at the far right; *Christ before Pilate* (folio 135v), who wears a black beard and is enthroned; *High Priest before Crowd* (folio 136); and finally, *Christ before Pilate* (folio 138) for a second time and Pilate is shown washing his hands. These two manuscripts owned by Jean de Berry show a strong emphasis in Christ’s trial and in that regard have strong affinities with the Zaragoza tapestry, which shows Christ presented before Caiaphas, Herod and Pilate. Moreover, the extended cycle of images of Christ’s trial in these manuscripts also leads one to question the motive of their inclusion. It is certainly plausible that these images—produced for the French royalty—were conveying a belief that the Jews were responsible for Christ’s death. The visual program, therefore, can be interpreted as anti-Semitic.

The role of Pilate in the trial and death of Christ is pivotal to *The Story of the Passion* and the fulfillment of Christian salvation. Throughout the Middle Ages, the typecasting of Pilate was typically negative; medieval theology reflects a deep interest in identifying and scorning the culpable characters involved with Christ’s crucifixion. In fact, the hatred towards Pilate was great enough that some viewers of illuminated manuscripts were driven to efface his image, as was also often done to other despised figures such as Judas, Annas, Caiaphas, Herod and Satan. Erased or rubbed away faces of Pilate are found in a book of hours (either Avignon or Toulouse,

---

647 This scene has been identified as Pilate appearing before the populace suggesting the liberation of Christ, but Pilate is consistently shown with a black beard and here the judge has a white beard that suggests he is instead the High Priest, who may be Caiaphas.
ca.1390–1410); a book of hours (Bruges and possibly Paris, ca.1400–1415, by the Master of the Beaufort Saints and the Gold Scrolls Group); a book of hours (London, ca.1400, by Herman Scheere and workshop); and a book of hours (France, ca.1400–1450).

Medieval images of Pilate often present him with negatively stereotypical and exaggerated Jewish characteristics—enlarged eyes and mouth, a hooknose and a pointed hat—that reflect the growing anti-Semitism with which he was often associated. For instance, images from the early fourteenth century that negatively typecast Pilate include, the De Lisle Hours (possibly York, ca.1316–1331); the Du Bois Book of Hours (possibly London, ca.1320–1355); a Franciscan missal (France, ca.1325–1350); and a psalter-book of hours (possibly Languedoc, ca.1330–1340).

---

648 Paris, Bibliothèque Mazarine, MS 520, folio 41v.


651 Le Mans, Bibliothèque municipale, MS 129, folio 50.


653 Ruth Mellinkoff has described that while pointed hats are the most common negative characteristic for Jews in terms of headgear, they varied greatly in their shape, to include funnel shapes (Phrygian hats), coned- and domed-shapes and those that terminated in a knob or spike. See Ruth Mellinkoff, Outcasts: Signs of Otherness in Northern European Art of the Late Middle Ages, 2 vols. (Berkeley: University of California Press, 1993), vol. 1, 41, 46, 59–60, 72, 89, 90–93.

654 Colum Hourihane, “She who was not named: Pilate’s Wife in Medieval Art,” in Between Judaism and Christianity: Pictorials Playing on Mutual Grounds, Essays in Honor of Elisheva Revel Neher, ed. Katrin Kogman-Appel and Mati Meyer (Leiden: Brill, 2008), forthcoming. I am most grateful to Colum Hourihane, who made his article available to me before it was published.

655 New York, Pierpont Morgan Library, MS G.50, folio 49v.

656 New York, Pierpont Morgan Library, MS M.700, folio 31.

657 Oxford, Bodleian Library, MS Douce 313, folio 4.

658 Avignon, Bibliothèque municipale, MS 121, folio 54.
By the fifteenth century, however, Pilate was cast in the visual arts in more neutral terms and sometimes even in a positive light. This shift to a less negative representation of Pilate is due in part to the popularity and influence of such apocryphal writings as the Gospel of Nicodemus⁶⁵⁹ and the Matthew Homilies⁶⁶⁰ composed by Saint John Chrysostom (ca.347–407). These later depictions of Pilate often cast him as confused, weak, cowardly, passive or indifferent—a decisive contrast to the earlier representations of him that were unambiguously negative. These representations correspond with the medieval commentaries that emphasize the culpability of the Jews and portray Pilate attempting to avoid handing Christ over to them. The change in his representation from a less evil character is evident in several books of hours from around 1400 that were made in Flanders: a book of hours (Bruges, ca.1390–1400 by the Pink Canopies Group);⁶⁶¹ a book of hours (Flanders, ca.1400–1410);⁶⁶² a book of hours (Flanders, ca.1400–1410);⁶⁶³ a book of hours (Bruges, ca.1410, by the Beaufort Saints workshop);⁶⁶⁴ a book of hours (Bruges, ca.1415, by the Master of Ushaw 10);⁶⁶⁵ and a book of hours (Bruges, ca.1415–1420).⁶⁶⁶ To evaluate the characterization of Pilate in the tapestry, it is necessary to analyze the combination of certain iconographic elements.

---


⁶⁶¹ London, British Library, MS Sloane 2683, folio 41v.

⁶⁶² The Hague, Koninklijke Bibliotheek, MS 135 E 36, folio 85v.

⁶⁶³ Oxford, Bodleian Library, MS Lat. Lit. F 2, folio 32.

⁶⁶⁴ Cambridge, Fitzwilliam Museum, MS 49, folio 38.

⁶⁶⁵ Oxford, Bodleian Library, MS Laud. Lat. 15, folio 42v.

⁶⁶⁶ Crakow, Biblioteka Czartoryskich, MS 2943, folio 60v.
In the tapestry, within a small room, the Roman Governor of Judea, Pontius Pilate sits beneath a dais in the center of the scene. He is represented as an older man with a white, forked beard. Pilate is dressed in a green brocade mantle that is lined with ermine and jewels over a red patterned robe. He wears a red *chaperon* hat on his head. Some representations show Pilate holding a scepter or a sword, but here he is shown washing his hands.

Pilate is flanked by three figures on each side. To his left, a soldier stands in profile whose malice is emphasized by his hooknose. Next to him stands Christ, who is normally shown bound and subjugated in late medieval examples, but who is here depicted gesturing with two hands (seen before in the scene of *Christ before Herod*). To the right of Christ stands a Roman official who is dressed well—he wears an orange tunic and a distinctive scalloped hat that resembles a crown. On Pilate’s right side stands his wife, Claudia Procula. She wears a white headscarf, a wimple and a red mantle, which is possibly lined with ermine, over a robe with orange and blue dots. Like Christ, she also gesticulates with her right hand in an animated way; the placement of her left hand is not clear. Next and below to Claudia Procula are two young boys: the boy in blue pours a jeweled-encrusted pitcher of water over Pilate’s hands, while the other, wearing a green tunic with jagged edges, kneels before Pilate to support the large ceramic jeweled-encrusted basin that catches the falling water. The presence of these young attendants assisting with the water and basin is more commonly found in later examples, such as a book of hours (ca.1440, Southern Netherlands, by the Master of the Golden Tendrils), 667 and Jean de Berry’s *Belles Heures* (probably Paris, ca.1405–1409 by the Limbourg brothers), 668 which shows

667 The Hague, Museum Meermanno-Westreenianum, MS 10 F 11, folio 34.

668 New York, Metropolitan Museum of Art, inv. no. 54.1.1, folio 138. For bibliography, see note 548.
the scene of *Christ before Pilate* with only one young attendant present—a young boy who pours the pitcher of water, but it blocks the view of his face.

The *mise-en-scène* of the interior is complexly designed in the tapestry. The back left wall of the room is pierced with two stained-glass windows and is covered with red drapery that is perhaps a tapestry. Pilate is majestically enthroned beneath a royal blue dais that is decorated with a repetitive pattern of large, golden-orange “Y” letters executed in a Gothic script. Greet Ghyselen identified this “Y” as the Pythagorean letter. The Pythagorean letter is the Greek upsilon and is symbolically significant—its fork symbolizes the two divergent paths of virtue and vice. This symbol will be discussed in detail below.

This scene is one of the most interesting in the Zaragoza *Passion* tapestries because of three iconographic points: Pilate washing his hands, the presence of Pilate’s wife, Claudia Procula, and the incorporation of the symbolic Pythagorean letter. The combination of these three elements results in a positive, and even a compassionate reading of the figure of Pilate in the Zaragoza tapestry.

The act of Pilate washing his hands is only described by Matthew 27:24. The event of Pilate washing his hands is also portrayed in the *Acti Pilati* from the apocryphal *Gospel of*

---

669 Portions of the dais and a few of the letters were rewoven. This is mostly notable at the top of the dais, where the blue threads are darker and the orange threads are bright. The letter was interpreted as a “P,” signifying “Pilate,” by Ricardo Centellas, *Los tapices de la Seo de Zaragoza* (Zaragoza: Caja de Ahorros de la Inmaculada de Aragón, 1998), 92.


672 "And Pilate seeing that he prevailed nothing, but that rather a tumult was made, taking water washed his hands before the people, saying: I am innocent of the blood of this just man. Look you to it." Other similar references include Deuteronomy 21: 6: “And the ancients of that city shall come to the person slain, and shall wash their hands over the heifer that was killed in the valley.”
Nicodemus (Chapter 9:4), as well as in the Matthew Homilies written by St. John Chrysostom (Chapter 27:19–24). These texts recount that Pilate repeatedly returned to the rebellious Jewish crowd and exclaimed that he had found no fault in Christ; the innocent man did not deserve crucifixion. In effect, these commentaries demonstrate the more positive view that Pilate was forced to condemn Christ because of pressure from the populace—his action was a political concession.

The image of Pilate washing his hands in late medieval art typically presents a less evil character—one who tried to acquit Christ, but in the end was forced to deliver a verdict from which he wished to be disassociated. Examples include a book of hours (Bruges, ca.1400, by the Ushaw workshop); a Biblia Pauperum (The Hague, ca.1405, by the Master of the Hours of Margaret of Cleves); a book of hours (Bruges, ca.1409, by the Ushaw workshop); a book of hours (Bruges, ca.1425–1450, by the Gold Scrolls Group); and a book of hours (Bruges, ca.1430–1440, by the Gold Scrolls Group).

---


675 Arras, Médiathèque municipale, MS 845 (a.c. 532), folio 49.

676 London, British Library, MS King’s 5, folio 13.

677 Durham, Ushaw College, MS 10, folio number unknown.

678 Oxford, Bodleian Library, MS Liturg. 400, folio 35v

679 Paris, Bibliothèque nationale de France, MS n.a. lat. 3110, folio 73v.
An attempt to intercede upon this fateful moment is introduced by the presence of Pilate’s wife, Claudia Procula. Her inclusion in the story is significant and meaningful. She is only mentioned once, though not by name, in the bible (Matthew 27:19): “And as he [Pilate] was sitting in the place of judgment, his wife sent to him, saying: ‘Have thou nothing to do with that just man; for I have suffered many things this day in a dream because of him.’” She is identified as Procula, the wife of Pilate, in letters written by Herod to Pilate and by Pilate to Herod, in addition to the account of the trial and condemnation of Pilate (known as the Paradosis of Pilate). The source of Claudia’s dream is never disclosed and this vagueness gave medieval artists the opportunity to characterize her both negatively and positively.

For instance, negatively charged images that depict that the source of the dream was the devil are observed in pictures from the Hortus deliciarum (Alsace, ca.1176–1196, by Herrad of Landsberg, Abbess of Hohenbourg) and the Psalter of Queen Mary (possibly London,
ca.1310–1320). Both of these representations illustrate the devil hovering over Claudia in her bedchamber while she sleeps. Claudia’s message—delivered by the devil himself—was interpreted as a way to obstruct Christ’s fate of death and resurrection; such actions would prevent mankind’s salvation. The picture from the *Hortus deliciarum* also depicts Claudia sending off a messenger to Pilate.

These images that present the devil as the source of Claudia’s dream function as visual retorts in response to the story in the *Gospel of Nicodemus* (Chapter 2:1) that has the Jews claiming the source of the dream was Christ. Cast in this role, Christ was viewed as a sorcerer, but this portrayal could be contradicted by identifying the devil, not Christ, as the true source of Claudia’s dream.

In addition to describing Christ as a sorcerer, the *Gospel of Nicodemus* (Chapter 2:1) portrays Claudia Procula as a Jewess. In contrast to this portrayal, Claudia is often depicted as a pious Christian. In fact, she became so revered that she is venerated as Saint Procula in Orthodox Christianity; her feast day is celebrated October 27. Medieval representations of Claudia Procula characterized as a Christian are usually positive, though her character, like Pilate’s, is sometimes cast in an ambiguous light.

---


In her Christian role, however, Claudia is differentiated from the other characters in *The Story of the Passion* as one who attempted to prevent the death of Christ by telling her husband of her dream and by advising him not to be involved with the judgment of an innocent man. As a result, her actions were interpreted positively as a message from God. The inclusion of Claudia in the story reinforces not only Christ’s innocence, but also serves as a manifestation of Pilate’s conscience. Her presence also increases the pathos of the story—this is especially accentuated in the Zaragoza tapestry with her gestures that are echoed by those of Christ.

The third iconographic element in the Zaragoza tapestry that promotes a more positive view of Pilate is the incorporation of the Pythagorean letter “Y” referred to above and identified in the Zaragoza tapestry by Greet Ghyselen. Erwin Panofsky identifies the incorporation of the letter “Y”—described in medieval French inventories as “lettres grecques et turquesques” or as a “Y gregois”—in other works of art, such as enameled or engraved horse medals, which were engraved with the letter “Y” and would have been depicted on the horse trimmings in tournaments. If the letter was displayed in tournaments and functioned within a Pythagorean context, Panofsky explains that, “It was simply a symbol of chivalrous *virtus* or feminine chastity which could be used singly, doubly or in combination with other letters . . .”

Furthermore, the symbolic letter is described in inventories or appears on works of art that were owned by the Dukes of Berry, Orléans and Anjou. For instance, the letter is mentioned

---


in Jean de Berry’s inventory of 1413 that records he owned a sapphire ring described as an, “Item, un Y grec d’un saphir assis en un anel d’or.”690

Another precious object that possibly included the letter “Y” is recorded in the 1407/08 inventory of Louis, Duke of Orléans (r.1392–1407). The inventory mentions a tapestry woven with gold threads: “An old tapestry with gold with the [imagery] of the path of life.”691 It is not certain if it the tapestry’s imagery included the representation of the Pythagorean letter, but it is a possibility, especially since it is represented four different times on The Angers Apocalypse tapestry (probably Paris, ca.1377–1380 designed by Jean Bondolf and woven by Robert Poinçon),692 commissioned by Louis I, Duke of Anjou (r.1360–1384). Louis d’Anjou’s Apocalypse tapestry is the oldest extant tapestry from the fourteenth century and it is the only


medieval *Apocalypse* tapestry to survive. The six-paneled *Apocalypse* tapestry, originally with fifteen scenes per panel, was based on an *Apocalypse* manuscript borrowed from the library of Louis’ bibliophile brother, King Charles V. Charles V had several *Apocalypse* manuscripts in his collection and his inventory from 1380 records that an *Apocalypse* manuscript was lent to his court painter, Jean Bondol, to create a “beau tapis” for Louis d’Anjou.

The first known appearance of the letter “Y” in the *Apocalypse* tapestry is in the first scene of the tapestry that depicts a large figure sitting alone within an elaborate architectural

693 Surviving documents show that other Valois patrons owned two other Apocalypse tapestries during late Middle Ages. The first instance comes from the accounts of Philippe le Hardi, Duke of Burgundy, who in 1386 ordered from his valet de chambre, Jean Cosset, a set of *Apocalypse* tapestries. The set had six panels, just like the one ordered by Louis d’Anjou, but it appears only four were made and were cut in half, making eight tapestries, because of their unwieldy size. According to Donald King, these eight *Apocalypse* tapestries were recorded in the inventories of Philippe le Bon, Duke of Burgundy, (r.1419–1467), Charles V, King of Castile and Aragón (r.1516–1556) and Holy Roman Emperor (r.1530–1556), and later Felipe II, King of Spain (r.1556–1598). They survived the fire at the Royal Palace in Brussels in 1731, but they are lost today. The second *Apocalypse* tapestry was recorded in the 1416 inventory of Jean de Berry. Only a single panel was described in this inventory. It measured around 5.05 x 22.57 m. and was possibly woven after the same cartoons used for the *Apocalypse* sets made for the Dukes of Anjou and Burgundy. Berry’s inventory states that it was woven without gold. Perhaps Berry ordered the full six-panel set, but lived to have only one completed. This tapestry was one of the many that his daughter, Marie de Berry (1375–1434), Duchess of Bourbon, received in December 1416, after his death. It is not certain if this tapestry is the same one that was donated to the Cathedral of Angers in 1490 by Anne de France (1461–1522), Duchess of Bourbon, wife of Pierre de Beaujeu, Duke of Bourbon (r.1488–1503), Marie de Berry’s grandson. This tapestry is believed to be lost, though fragments of it may exist, since there are three fragmentary pieces of an *Apocalypse* tapestry at Angers. It is not clear, however, if they come from Louis d’Anjou’s or Philippe le Hardi’s tapestry sets or is the single fragment owned by Jean de Berry, but some scholars believe they belong to the latter. Finally, there also exists a single *Apocalypse* tapestry fragment in the Burrell Collection in Glasgow (inv. no. 46.53) that measures 91 x 84 cm. It was acquired in 1933 and it remains unclear if it belongs to the *Apocalypse* tapestries in Angers. For more, see Jules Guiffrey, *Inventaires de Jean, duc de Berry, 1401–1416*, 2 vols. (Paris: Ernest Leroux, 1894–1896), vol. 2, 207, no. 6, 294; Bernard Prost, “Les tapisseries du duc de Berry,” *Archives historiques, artistiques et littéraires* 1 (1889–1890): 385–392 at 386, 388 item 6; William Wells, *Treasures from the Burrell Collection* (London: Arts Council of Great Britain, 1975), 22, no. 79; Donald King, “How Many Apocalypse Tapestries?,” in *Studies in Textile History in Memory of Harold B. Burnham*, ed. Veronika Gervers (Toronto: Royal Ontario Museum 1977): 160–167; Elisabeth Taburet-Delahaye, ed. *Paris 1400: les arts sous Charles VI* (Paris: Fayard/Réunion des musées nationaux, 2004), 48–49, no. 9a–b.


The symbol—a white letter “Y” encapsulated within the fine scrolling vines that swirl around the abstract foliage pattern—decorates the dais that rises behind and above the figure.

The image of the “Y” is next identified in the scene of The Slaughtered Lamb of God. The central scene depicts the slain Lamb of God, surrounded by the symbols representing the four Evangelists and flanked on each side by two levels filled with figures of crowned elders. The letter “Y” is repeated on the backdrop behind the elders.

The third occurrence of the “Y” is observed in the scene of another large figure that sits beneath virtually the same decorated dais as observed in the first instance. The letter “Y” appears a fourth time in the scene with The Great Prostitute of the Waters, where a light blue “Y” is set against the dark blue background. The letter is encased in a mandorla that intertwines with a scrolling leaf pattern. The mandorla-shaped frames of the letter “Y” imitate the form of the mirror that the prostitute holds before her face, serving as a visual link between the choice of virtue or vice and the vanity of the prostitute.

The significance and meaning of the letter in a work of art depends on the patron’s intentions and the specific context of use. The incorporation of the Pythagorean “Y” in these scenes of The Apocalypse tapestry may have various meanings. To focus only on the two

---


instances when the letter “Y” is depicted in the scenes with the large figure, the symbol forms part of an image that denotes the beginning of a chapter of *The Story of the Revelation*. This story, which reveals hidden messages through a dream or vision, ultimately unveils Christ as the Messiah to figure of Saint John. This reference to a dream, which uncovers the truth, recalls the positive casting of Claudia Procula in relation to Pilate in the Zaragoza tapestry. Claudia was not only chosen to be the recipient of the dream, but she was also able to recognize its significance and convey its meaning to her husband. In a similar fashion, the inclusion of the letter “Y” in the Zaragoza tapestry also illustrates the value of personal truth in relation to formal judgment.

The above examples serve to show that the use of the letter “Y” can be found in several late fourteenth objects that were commissioned by the French royalty. It was also used to decorate the now destroyed tomb of Philippe de Morvilliers, first president of the Paris parliament (1418–1433, d.1438), which was located in the chapel of St. Nicholas in the Parisian church of Saint-Martin-des-Champs.700

The characterization of Pilate in the tapestry is nuanced because of iconographic elements depicted in the scene of *Christ Before Pilate* in the Zaragoza. Even though he can still be held responsible for his role in the trial and death of Christ, he is cast in a more positive light. The act of Pilate washing away his sins for condemning Christ is contrasted by the pleas of his wife, Claudia Procula, who warns him of his decision. The representation of the letter “Y” functions to reinforce to the medieval viewer that Pilate was placed in the unfortunate position in which he was the person responsible for ordering Christ’s crucifixion. Consequently, these iconographic choices can be seen as a way of encouraging the late medieval Christian viewer to show

compassion toward Pilate; he should not be interpreted as a malevolent figure in the story, but rather one who was predestined to choose a sinful path. This more positive interpretation of Pilate is also supported by the description of Luke 23:1–25.\textsuperscript{701} Furthermore, the commentaries on Luke by Bede (ca.673–735) emphasize that Pilate made great efforts to save Christ and not hand him over to the restless and demanding Jewish crowd.\textsuperscript{702} Viewed in this light, the role of Pilate brings to mind the unresolved paradox of Christian salvation: if Pilate had listened to the appeals of Claudia Procula and had not condemned Christ to death, the death and resurrection of Christ required for mankind’s salvation would not have occurred. The decision of Pilate, therefore, was essential to the story by bringing about the events necessary for salvation.

\textsuperscript{701} And the whole multitude of them rising up, led him to Pilate. And they began to accuse him, saying: We have found this man perverting our nation, and forbidding to give tribute to Caesar, and saying that he is Christ the king. And Pilate asked him, saying: Art thou the king of the Jews? But he answering, said: Thou sayest it. And Pilate said to the chief priests and to the multitudes: I find no cause in this man. But they were more earnest, saying: He stirreth up the people, teaching throughout all Judea, beginning from Galilee to this place. But Pilate hearing Galilee, asked if the man were of Galilee? And when he understood that he was of Herod’s jurisdiction, he sent him away to Herod, who was also himself at Jerusalem, in those days. And Herod, seeing Jesus, was very glad; for he was desirous of a long time to see him, because he had heard many things of him; and he hoped to see some sign wrought by him. And he questioned him in many words. But he answered him nothing. And the chief priests and the scribes stood by, earnestly accusing him. And Herod with his army set him at nought, and mocked him, putting on him a white garment, and sent him back to Pilate. And Herod and Pilate were made friends, that same day; for before they were enemies one to another. And Pilate, calling together the chief priests, and the magistrates, and the people, Said to them: You have presented unto me this man, as one that perverteth the people; and behold I, having examined him before you, find no cause in this man, in those things wherein you accuse him. No, nor Herod neither. For I sent you to him, and behold, nothing worthy of death is done to him. I will chastise him therefore, and release him. Now of necessity he was to release unto them one upon the feast day. But the whole multitude together cried out, saying: Away with this man, and release unto us Barabbas: Who, for a certain sedition made in the city, and for a murder, was cast into prison. And Pilate again spoke to them, desiring to release Jesus. But they cried again, saying: Crucify him, crucify him. And he said to them the third time: Why, what evil hath this man done? I find no cause of death in him. I will chastise him therefore, and let him go. But they were instant with loud voices, requiring that he might be crucified; and their voices prevailed. And Pilate gave sentence that it should be as they required. And he released unto them him who for murder and sedition, had been cast into prison, whom they had desired; but Jesus he delivered up to their will. This translation is from the Douay-Rheims version of The Bible.

\textsuperscript{702} See D. Hurst, \textit{Bedae Venerabilis Opera}, Corpus Christianorum, Series Latina, no. 120 (Turnhout, Brepols, 1960), \textit{pars II, Opera Exegetica, 3 In Lueae evangelivm epositio}, 394–399.
5.3.8 The Flagellation

The next scene represents *The Flagellation of Christ.* The imagery in the tapestry conforms to iconographic conventions—Christ is tied to a column in the center of the scene, as the executioners flog him. In the tapestry, he is actually positioned standing behind the column with his hands positioned before the front of the column; this is in contrast to the many medieval representations that show Christ tied to the front of the column, while his hands are bound behind him.

Christ is shown with an exposed chest, wearing only a loosely draped loincloth. Two torturers appear on either side of the column. The figure at the left wears a blue tunic over red hose and the position of his arms indicates that he is about to hit Christ with the whip he holds over his head. The other figure wears a washed-out light green short tunic with ties attaching it to his parted-color hose. He is depicted holding down one of Christ’s arms, while he pulls the rope that binds Christ’s wrists to the column. Tucked under his left armpit is a whip. Both torturers are shown in profile, accentuating their large and grotesque noses—highlighting their culpability in the torture of Christ.

The room in which *The Flagellation* occurs presents multi-perspectives. The viewer is shown the obliquely tipping floor tiles that are not patterned correctly, as well as the angled ceiling beams. The backdrop is blue and adorned with little stars, though the bottom of the two walls do not converge at a proper angle.

---

703 Matt. 27:26; Mark 15:15; John 19:1.

704 Same outfit is seen on the figure in the scene of *The Making of the Cross*, the last scene of the first tapestry.

705 This is accentuated by the fact that this area was rewoven.

706 The left side of the back wall was rewoven as was part of the floor.
Although the primary focus of this scene is the moment when Christ is flogged, the representation includes the incorporation of Pilate, who is seated as a judge at the far right and is accompanied by an attendant. As seen in the previous episode, Pilate is sumptuously dressed in a red robe and a blue mantle lined with ermine, yet in this scene Pilate wears an up-turned hat lined with ermine. With his left hand he points with a very long index finger in the direction of Christ. Standing next to Pilate is a young boy dressed in a red robe. The artists present the young boy as an intermediary figure, since part of his puffy sleeve is visible on the other side of the doorway. This small detail adds to the sense of movement and action—he is captured in the moment when he has crossed through the scene of the flogging and arrives in the architectural alcove where Pilate sits. The presence of Pilate reflects the strong emphasis of the trial of Christ in the imagery of the tapestry.

Several of Jean de Berry’s manuscripts show the presence of Pilate, including the *Petites Heures* (Paris or Bourges, ca.1375–1380; Bourges, 1385–1390; Paris, ca.1412, by Jean le Noir); 707 *Très belles heures de Notre-Dame* (Paris, ca.1380–1390, by the Parement Master and workshop); 708 and the *Très Riches Heures* (Bourges or Paris, ca.1411/12–1416, by the Limbourgs). 709 In the first two examples, Pilate stands to the left of the column, looking on as he points with his right hand. Only the *Très Riches Heures* miniature depicts Pilate enthroned. The simple illustration in the *Bible de Charles V* (Paris, ca.1372, by Jean Bondol and the Master of the Boquetaux) 710 is the most comparable representation of Christ attached to the column, even

707 Paris, Bibliothèque nationale de France, MS lat. 18014, folio 86v and folio 160. For bibliography, see note 536.

708 Paris, Bibliothèque nationale de France, MS n.a. lat. 3093, folio 203. For bibliography, see note 546.

709 Chantilly, Musée de Condé, MS 65, folio 173v. For bibliography, see note 493.

710 The Hague, Museum Meermanno-Westreenianum, MS 10 B 23, folio 523. For bibliography, see note 535.
though similarities can be drawn from the depictions in Berry’s *Très belles heures de Notre-Dame* and the *Parement de Narbonne* (Paris, ca.1375–1378 by the Parement Master).\(^{711}\) As mentioned above, the figure of Pilate appears four times in the illuminations of the *Belles Heures* (probably Paris, ca.1405–1409 by the Limbourg brothers),\(^{712}\) including the scene of *The Flagellation* (folio 132).

### 5.3.9 The Mocking of Christ

The scene of *The Mocking of Christ* takes place out-of-doors.\(^{713}\) Its representation is similar to that of *The Flagellation*, particularly since Pilate is present again to oversee the execution of his sentence against the accused. The composition of the scene of *The Mocking of Christ* is reversed to *The Flagellation*; here Pilate is seen at the left side of the scene, where he sits on a carved stone throne under a stone-vaulted canopy. Pilate is dressed in the same robe, mantle and hat that he wore in the scene when Christ was first presented before him, situated almost directly above. To the right of Pilate stands a bearded soldier who carries a staff and wears a blue robe that has long-draping sleeves with jagged edges.

Christ is shown seated in the middle of the picture. Many medieval depictions of *The Mocking of Christ* show Christ dressed in the purple garment described by Matthew 27:28, Mark 15:18 and John 19:2. By contrast, in the tapestry Christ wears a white robe decorated with a green motif at the hems of the collar and sleeves. He is also often shown blindfolded, but in the tapestry he looks down towards his right, almost in the same posture he was shown in *The Flagellation*.

\(^{711}\) Paris, Musée du Louvre, inv. M.I. 1121. For bibliography, see note 539.

\(^{712}\) New York, Metropolitan Museum of Art, inv. no. 54.1.1, folios 132, 135v, 136, 138. For bibliography, see note 548.

*Flagellation.* He wears the crown of thorns and droplets of blood trickle down his face. Like many representations of *The Mocking of Christ,* he holds the reed scepter.

Five torturers are depicted who surround Christ. First, clockwise from bottom left, is the kneeling man shown in profile view. He is dressed in a red tunic and wears a red *chaperon* hood that terminates in a tail (called a *cornet* or *liripipe*), which he grasps in his hand; this was probably intended to be received as a crude sexual gesture. With his right hand, he pulls at Christ’s sleeve. The figure above and next to him is dressed in a green robe and with a twisted white cloth wrapped around his head. He is seen in a profile view and his face is positioned directly next to Christ’s, so that he can spit in Christ’s face. He rests his right hand on Christ’s shoulder. Behind the spitting figure is a man dressed in a blue robe with jagged sleeves who holds down the large reed placed atop Christ’s head. On the other side of Christ stands a man in a red robe, cinched by a blue belt and adorned with the red letter “Y.”  

714 He sports a red joker’s hat. He is shown at the other end of the reed balanced on Christ’s head and pushes down on it with the weight of his right arm and left hand. The last figure in the group of torturers is a younger man dressed in a blue tunic decorated with green tassels at the shoulders and a gold-bead motif at the neckline and the cuffs of the sleeves. His also wears a belt with a buckle (or perhaps a pin or fibula) and red hose and has a bowl-styled haircut. His right arm is held out and is extended behind him; he appears to making a fist with his right hand, indicating that he is about to punch Christ. While three of these figures are shown in full-profile views and two others are depicted in three-quarter views, all are shown with hooknoses.  

715 The floor is adorned with floral-patterned tiles (white, pink and red on blue) and the back wall has three leaded

---

714 The presence of the letter “Y” possibly symbolizes the Pythagorean letter and serves to remind Pilate, who looks on, of his decision.

715 See section 5.3.3 for previous uses of caricature in the scene of *The Betrayal of Christ.*
windows set within recessed arches. At the back left of the scene is an archway that leads to the final scene, which also takes place out-of-doors, *The Making of the Cross*.

The contemporary works of art that show *The Mocking of Christ* scene include *Bible de Charles V* (Paris, ca.1372, by Jean Bondol and the Master of the Boqueteaux);\(^7\) and several manuscripts owned by Jean de Berry, such as the *Petites Heures* (Paris or Bourges, ca.1375–1380; Bourges, 1385–1390; Paris, ca.1412, by Jean le Noir);\(^7\) the *Très belles heures de Notre-Dame* (Paris, ca.1380–1390, by the Parement Master and workshop, with later additions possibly added in Bruges, ca.1405–1409 by the Limbourg brothers);\(^7\) the *Belles Heures* (probably Paris, ca.1405–1409 by the Limbourg brothers);\(^7\) and the *Grandes Heures* (possibly Paris, ca.1409, by the Pseudo-Jacquemart and his workshop),\(^7\) yet all of these examples show Christ blindfolded. In the *Bible de Charles V*, Christ wears a blindfold. The representation in the *Belles Heures* shows a hand covering Christ’s eyes to block his face from the viewer, where as in all the other manuscripts, Christ’s head is hooded. By contrast, the only illumination that shows Christ without a blindfold, as observed in the tapestry, is found in Jean de Berry’s *Heures de Bruxelles* (Paris or Bourges, before 1402, probably by Jacquemart Hesdin and the Master of the Brussels Initials).\(^7\) It appears then that the model the Zaragoza tapestries designers drew

---

\(^7\) The Hague, Museum Meermanno-Westreenianum, MS 10 B 23, folio 523. For bibliography, see note 535.

\(^7\) Paris, Bibliothèque nationale de France, MS lat. 18014, folio 86v and folio 162. For bibliography, see note 536.

\(^7\) Paris, Bibliothèque nationale de France, MS n.a. lat. 3093, folio 209. For bibliography, see note 546.

\(^7\) New York, Metropolitan Museum of Art, inv. no. 54.1.1, folio 141v. For bibliography, see note 548.

\(^7\) Paris, Bibliothèque nationale de France, MS lat. 919, folio 74.

from—showing Christ without a blindfold—was not common. Only the illumination in the *Heures de Bruxelles* visually corresponds.

5.3.10 The Making of the Cross

*The Making of the Cross* is the final episode depicted in the first tapestry. It is the only scene in either tapestry that is non-canonical. The subject of *The Making of the Cross* rarely appears in *Passion* cycles and its appearance here is remarkable. Since this event is not based on biblical accounts, its inclusion merits explanation. Why would the designers of the tapestry choose to include this event? Was there some theological reason? Was there a profound interest in story of *The Making of the Cross* due to a local cult that propagated *The Legend of the Holy Cross*?\(^{722}\)

Symbolically, the fabrication of the cross serves as a visual prelude to the death of Christ on the cross. The subject of the cross also calls to mind the stories about *The Finding of the Holy Cross* and *The Exaltation of the Holy Cross* that were described in the *Golden Legend* by Jacobus de Voragine and other medieval theological authors such as Jean Beleths, Geufroi de Paris, Honore d’Autun and Comestor.\(^{723}\) Likewise, *The Story of Hédroit*,\(^{724}\) the tale about the wife of the blacksmith who forged the nails used to crucify Christ to the cross (discussed below in relation to the scene of *Christ Carrying the Cross*), was very popular in the scripts of *Passion*.

\(^{722}\) For an extensive study into the development of *The Legend of the Holy Cross* during the Middle Ages, see Barbara Baert, *A Heritage of Holy Wood: The Legend of the True Cross* (Leiden/Boston: Brill, 2004). I wish to thank Professor Baert for discussing with me the imagery of *The Making of the Cross* in the Zaragoza tapestries.


plays. Most extant literature is from the late fifteenth century and, therefore, do not serve as a direct source for the subject’s representation in the Zaragoza tapestries. Nonetheless, the designers of the tapestries would certainly have known the legends of the cross. Popular intermediary sources include *La Passion des jongleurs*, the *Bible* of Herman de Valenciennes and the *History of the Holy Rood Tree*.725

The scene takes place outside as three figures construct the cross. The figure at the top of the cross is dressed in a red tunic and an ornately folded red *chaperon* hat. He drills a hole at the top of the cross with a gimlet. Below him, stands a man wearing a blue tunic and no hat. He wields a large wooden mallet that he holds up over his head, as he is about to pound in a wooden peg. Across from him stands the third figure responsible for constructing the cross. He is dressed in a short red tunic with ties that attach to his red hose.726 He holds a large adze in his left hand, while he gestures to the other figures with his right hand. A nearly identical adze is reproduced from a wood-block print in a late fifteenth-century printed book known as the *Boec van den Houte* (Culemborg, 1483, printed by Johan Veldener).727

Surrounding the three men making the cross are four other figures. Clustered together at the top right are three figures—two older men with white beards, along with a younger man. They represent the three judges before whom Christ was presented during his trial and they are presented in the same order. First, at the bottom of the group, stands Caiaphas wearing a green


726 A similar outfit was identified on the torturer at the left in the scene of *The Flagellation*.

robe and a red *chaperon* hat. The figure in the middle is Herod, though only his face and head can be seen. He wears a hat very similar to the one in the scene when Christ stood before him. The figure wearing the blue robe is Pilate, his fourth appearance in the tapestry. He wears an upturned hat similar to the one he wore in the scene of *The Flagellation*. In his right hand Pilate holds a long, narrow stick with which he points at the cross, indicating that Pilate was probably the one responsible for the construction of the cross. Finally, at the top of the scene is depicted another figure dressed in a blue tunic and blue *chaperon hat*. He wears a white beard and watches from the top of a staircase. His involvement, other than as a witness, is not evident.

In terms of similar iconographic models, only a few examples have been discovered, but none are contemporary with the Zaragoza tapestry set. For instance, there is an earlier illuminated manuscript cycle that presents an exceptionally extensive cycle of *The Passion*. The *Holkham Picture Bible* (England, ca.1327–1335)\(^{728}\) illustrates sixty-three scenes of the extended *Passion* cycle, which begins with the events of Palm Sunday and ends with the appearances of Christ and then his Ascension, and includes such unusual scenes as *Christ Preaching* (folio 26v); *The Denial of Peter* (folio 29v); *Joseph and Nicodemus Imprisoned and Rescued* (folio 34); and *The Incredulity of Thomas* (folio 36v).

Like the Zaragoza tapestries, the cycle in the *Holkham Picture Bible* begins with pictures of *Christ’s Entry into Jerusalem* (folio 26), but the manuscript ends with the scene of *The Ascension* (folio 28). The most interesting parallel with the Zaragoza tapestries is that the manuscript illuminations include the episode of *The Making of the Cross* (folio 31) a scene that

is rare for a Passion program. In addition to this exceptional scene, the artists of the Holkham Picture Bible included representations of the blacksmith and his wife, Hédroit—figures that are not commonly depicted in The Story of the Passion. Following The Making of the Cross scene in the manuscript is the moment when Christ is Nailed to the Cross (folio 31v)—a dramatic scene not found in the Zaragoza cycle, but is known in a few manuscripts from the late fourteenth and early fifteenth century. Because of the inclusion of rare subjects and iconography, it seems plausible that the designers of the Zaragoza tapestries drew upon an extensive Passion cycle like the one found in the Holkham Picture Bible.

The only contemporary image of The Making of the Cross found is in Jean de Berry’s Petites Heures (Paris or Bourges, ca.1375–1380; Bourges, 1385–1390; Paris, ca.1412, by Jean le Noir). Even though the picture shows the cross being made as it is simultaneously being raised, it serves as a lone example demonstrating that there was interest in representing the theme. An example of The Making of the Cross that is only slightly later if very different compositionally, is found in The Travels of Sir John Mandeville (possibly Prague, ca.1410–1420, by the Master of the Mandeville Travels).

Much more common than images of The Making of the Cross, however, are representations showing Christ being nailed to the cross, as observed in several manuscripts owned by Jean de Berry, including the Petites Heures (Paris or Bourges, ca.1375–1380; Bourges, 1385–1390; Paris, ca.1412, by Jean le Noir), which also shows the making and raising

---

729 Paris, Bibliothèque nationale de France, MS lat. 18014, folio 86v and folio 161. For bibliography, see note 536.

of the cross, as just mentioned;\textsuperscript{731} the \textit{Très belles heures de Notre-Dame} (Paris, ca.1380–1390, by the Parement Master and workshop);\textsuperscript{732} the \textit{Heures de Bruxelles} (Paris or Bourges, before 1402, probably by Jacquemart Hesdin and the Master of the Brussels Initials);\textsuperscript{733} the \textit{Belles Heures} (probably Paris, ca.1405–1409 by the Limbourg brothers);\textsuperscript{734} and the \textit{Grandes Heures} (possibly Paris, ca.1409, by the Pseudo-Jacquemart and his workshop).\textsuperscript{735}

5.4 ICONOGRAPHY: SECOND TAPESTRY

In the same approach taken to the first tapestry, the discussion analyzes the five episodes depicted in second tapestry. Particularly notable scenes are those of \textit{Christ Carrying the Cross}, where Mary and John are shown carrying the cross with Christ, and \textit{The Harrow ing of Hell}, show, unusually as a masonry structure, which includes the death of Judas.

5.4.1 Christ Carrying the Cross

The episode of \textit{Christ Carrying the Cross} occupies the left third of the composition of the second tapestry.\textsuperscript{736} At the top far left, the fortified city of Jerusalem looms in the background, even as

\textsuperscript{731} Paris, Bibliothèque nationale de France, MS lat. 18014, folio 86v and folio 162. For bibliography, see note 536.

\textsuperscript{732} Paris, Bibliothèque nationale de France, MS n.a. lat. 3093, folio 209. For bibliography, see note 546.

\textsuperscript{733} Brussels, Koninklijke Bibliotheek van België/Bibliothèque royale de Belgique, MS 11060–61, folio 187.

\textsuperscript{734} New York, Metropolitan Museum of Art, inv. no. 54.1.1, folio 141v. For bibliography, see note 548.

\textsuperscript{735} Paris, Bibliothèque nationale de France, MS lat. 919, folio 74.

two overly large, out-of-proportion figures are seen leaving the city gate. The figure at the left rides a camel, while the figure at the right rides a horse.

Before them is a procession of fifteen figures that accompany Christ as he carries the cross to Calvary. At the front of the group is depicted a soldier dressed in an ornately brocaded red robe. His arms and neck are protected by chain mail and a large scimitar sword hangs from his waist. His back faces the viewer as he pulls on the end of a rope that is tied about Christ’s waist. Another soldier, wearing a green tunic with jagged edges and an up-turned hat, holds the other end of the rope. He is seen to the left of Christ, who is dressed in a beige mantle decorated with jewels. He is shown wearing the crown of thorns. Christ leans forward, underscoring the heavy weight of the cross that he carries. The long end of the cross is oriented in front of him, while the cross bar is placed on his right shoulder over which he looks back, in the direction of the Virgin Mary. This is the first appearance of the Virgin Mary in the tapestries. She is dressed in a blue robe covered by blue mantle and she wears a wimple. Unlike many of the other saints that have jewel-lined mantles, the Virgin’s is decorated with a distinct three-dot motif. The arrangement of the three white dots is in a triangle shape and is probably related to the Trinity.

At the other side of the cross is Saint John, adorned with a green mantle. To Saint John’s left stands a soldier wearing a red tunic embroidered with an illegible letter. He has a helmet on that includes a chain mail neck guard. Behind this soldier is a figure with a white chaperon hat that is rolled-up like a turban. He carries the standard with the Roman flag inscribed with the letters “SPQR.” Behind the standard-bearer are two figures with halos. It is unclear if the saint that is shown in profile is male or female. It is possible that the figure


738 The letters of the banner are possibly rewoven in areas.
represents Mary Magdalene, since the figure appears to be without a headdress. Next to this figure stands a female dressed in blue. She probably represents Mary Salome, mother of Saint James the Great and Saint John. A soldier stands immediately to the right of Mary Salome. He wears a rolled-turban helmet-like headdress the visor of which covers his face. He is shown accompanying, along with a bearded soldier, the two thieves. Both of the thieves are bound at the wrists and around the arms. The thief at the left, shown in profile with a somewhat exaggerated nose, is likely to represent the bad thief, seen to Christ’s left in The Crucifixion episode. The good thief is identifiable by his full head of red, curly hair, as he is shown in the same way to the right of Christ in The Crucifixion. The thieves are dressed only in their underwear. The last two figures of the group are two male figures, one with a beard, the other without.

In contrast to other late medieval representations of Christ Carrying the Cross, the depiction in the tapestry does not include some of the more conventional elements. For instance, Christ is not shown wearing a spike block and he is not being kicked by a young boy. Likewise, the figure of Saint Veronica holding the sudarium is also not included and neither is the figure of Simon, the Cyrenian, who carries the cross for or with Christ. Instead, in the tapestry are represented the figures of the Virgin Mary and Saint John, who both help Christ support the weight of the cross. This is in marked contrast to the accounts of the Evangelists. All four Evangelists describe how Christ was led away from Jerusalem, but only John (19:17) explicitly says that Christ alone supported the weight of the cross. By contrast, Matthew (27:32)

---

739 For an examination of the spike block, see James H. Marrow, Passion Iconography in Northern European Art of the Late Middle Ages and Early Renaissance: A Study of the Transformation of Sacred Metaphor into Descriptive Narrative (Courtrai: Van Ghemmert, 1979).
Mark (15:21) and Luke (22:26) all mention that a man from Cyrene, named Simon, was asked to carry the cross, either for Christ, or with him.

The most plausible explanation of why the tapestry designers depicted the Virgin Mary alleviating some of the weight of the cross concerns the notion of Mary’s *compassio*. In various examples, Mary’s *compassio*, her suffering, is represented as a visual means for the viewer, especially the female viewer, to connect emotionally with the work of art and *The Story of the Passion of Christ*. The devotional and instructional roles of these images re-envision the theme of salvation—the emphasis is on Mary’s earthly, physical sufferings. The depiction of her *compassio* functioned on a visceral means to draw in the medieval viewer, who could empathize and emulate the suffering Mary experienced.

The representation of a female figure carrying the cross with Christ is also related to a legend, popularized by French mystery plays, that tells *The Story of Hédroit*, the wife of the blacksmith who was asked by the Jews executing Christ to forge the nails. The story goes that because the blacksmith was a faithful follower of Christ, he faked an injury to his hand. His wife, Hédroit, thereby assumes the task and forges the nails in place of her husband. The

---

740 Thomas Dale investigates the liturgical and devotional practices at Aquileia Cathedral in relation to the emphasis on the Virgin’s sorrow found in the fresco cycle in the large lunettes in the crypt of the cathedral. He analyzes eleventh and twelfth century sermons, hymns and meditations, as well as the textual sources of *Passion* plays from the twelfth century to explain why there was an increased interest in representations of Mary’s *compassio*. Amy Neff’s article reexamines the significance and meaning of the swooning Virgin Mary at the scene of *The Crucifixion* and argues that the images are a direct reference to childbirth labor. As such, they function as devotional models of Mary’s compassionate suffering for Christ. The popularity of the fainting Virgin proliferates in the second half of the thirteenth century and is often seen in fourteenth and fifteenth century representations. For more on the development of the Virgin’s *compassio*, see Thomas E. A. Dale, Christ’s Passion and the *compassio* of the Virgin: Romanesque Icons in Space,” in Relics, Prayer and Politics in Medieval Venetia: Romanesque Painting in the Crypt of Aquileia Cathedral, Thomas E. A. Dale (Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1997), 57–65; Amy Neff, “The Pain of *compassio*: Mary’s Labor at the Foot of the Cross,” Art Bulletin 80, no. 2 (March 1998): 254–273.


742 Hédroit is not identified by name in most of the French manuscripts of the *Passion des jongleurs* where the story is told. Her husband is called Israel and she is sometimes referred to as the “fèvresse.” A “fevre” in medieval French was an artisan, see Jean-Baptiste de La Curne de Sainte-Palaye, *Dictionnaire historique de l’ancien langage*
figure of Hédroit is sometimes identified by her name in the *Passion* plays, but she is often simply referred to as “la fevresse.” According to Karen Gould, the first depiction of the story in medieval art is observed in the late thirteenth-century sculpted tympanum of the central portal at Strasbourg Cathedral, where Hédroit is shown in front of Christ, supporting the weight of the cross as well as clearly holding the three nails.

Karen Gould suggests that the gesture of Hédroit’s far-reaching arm across the front of the cross in the Strasbourg tympanum was very probably the model for Jean Pucelle’s illumination of *Christ Carrying the Cross* in the *Hours of Jeanne d’Evreux* (Paris, ca.1324–1328, by Jean Pucelle). Pucelle’s version is similar, however, it only shows an out-stretched arm, since the body of Hédroit has been cropped out of the scene. Gould goes on to identify another depiction of Hédroit in the scene of *Christ Carrying the Cross* in the *Hours of Yolande of Flanders* (Paris, ca.1353–1363, by Jean le Noir and possibly his daughter, Bourgot). The picture shows the figure of Hédroit prominently displaying the three nails in one hand as she stands atop Golgotha, where the skull and bones of Adam are strewn nearby. Meanwhile, her husband is shown carrying the hammer as he leads the way up the mountain. Although not

---


745 New York, Metropolitan Museum of Art, inv. no. 54.1.2, folio 34v.


747 London, British Library, MS Yates Thompson 27, folio 70v.
relevant to Gould’s study, this representation also shows the Virgin Mary supporting the cross with Christ, along with another female figure. Gould states that *The Story of Hédroit* is rarely combined with the episode of *Christ Carrying the Cross*, however, a deeper investigation identified numerous representations in manuscript illuminations that include either or both Hédroit and her husband, the blacksmith. In many of these representations, the blacksmith is depicted holding a hammer, but other times he holds the nails. Moreover, scenes of *Christ Carrying the Cross* that include either or both Hédroit and the blacksmith often represent the Virgin Mary supporting the weight of the cross with Christ. The Virgin is sometimes accompanied by another male saint, presumably Saint John, although the majority of the examples surveyed that include a second figure, in addition to Mary, often depict a female saint.

Early examples that include Mary carrying the cross with Christ come from manuscripts that were made at the end of the thirteenth century; however, the great majority of French and Flemish manuscript examples cluster between the dates of 1375 until about 1420. An extensive search has so far uncovered thirty-nine manuscript illuminations that depict Mary carrying the cross, in addition to Christ. To my knowledge, the first depiction that shows Mary with another

---


749 For instance, the *Pèlerinage de la vie humaine* (Bruges, ca.1400, by the Ushaw workshop, Arras, Médiathèque municipale MS 845, a.c. 532, folio 50); a book of hours (Southern Netherlands, ca.1400, Oxford, Bodleian Library, MS Canon. Liturg. 276, folio 41v); the *Pèlerinage de la vie humaine* (possibly Artois, ca.1400–1410, Brussels, KBR, MS 10176–78, folio 275v); a book of hours (Bruges, ca.1400–1415, by the Master of Ushaw 10, London, British Library, MS Loan 857, folio 45v); a book of hours (Bruges, ca.1400–1415, by the Ushaw workshop, Baltimore, Walters Art Gallery, MS 169, folio 36v); a book of hours (England, ca.1400–1430, by Herman Scheere and workshop, Oxford, Bodleian Library, MS Gough Liturg. 6, folio 27v); a book of hours (Bruges, ca.1409, by the Ushaw workshop, Durham, Ushaw College, MS 10, folio 51v); a book of hours (Bruges, ca.1410–1415, by the Master of Ushaw 10, London, British Library, MS Harley 2966, folio 19v and 33v); a book of hours (Bruges, ca.1415, by the Master of Ushaw 10, Oxford, Bodleian Library, MS. Laud. Lat. 15, folio 47v); and a book of hours (Bruges, ca.1415–1420, Crakow, Biblioteka Czartoryskich, MS 2943, folio 93v).
figure carrying the cross with Christ\textsuperscript{750} is an historiated manuscript initial from the \textit{Poésies de Robert de Blois} (Burgundy, ca.1275–1300).\textsuperscript{751} The scene is also found in the Louvre \textit{Diptych} (Paris, ca.1360–1380)\textsuperscript{752} discussed above in relation to its use of quatrefoils within which to frame a sequence of events. By the year 1400, it appears several workshops were producing manuscripts that emphasized Mary carrying the cross, especially by that of the Master of Ushaw 10 and his workshop, who were active in Bruges around 1400,\textsuperscript{753} in addition to the manuscripts illuminated by the Master of the Gold Scrolls and his workshop, also active in Bruges from 1400 until around 1450.\textsuperscript{754}

Although there are no contemporary works of art that represent the subject of \textit{Christ Carrying the Cross} exactly as it as shown in the Zaragoza tapestry, the objects that have the strongest iconographic parallels include the \textit{Bible de Charles V} (Paris, ca.1372, by Jean Bondol and the Master of the Boqueteaux);\textsuperscript{755} the \textit{Petites Heures} (Paris or Bourges, ca.1375–1380; Bourges, 1385–1390; Paris, ca.1412, by Jean le Noir);\textsuperscript{756} the \textit{Très belles heures de Notre-Dame

\textsuperscript{750} This finding is based on my survey of the motif of Mary carrying the cross, though more research may uncover earlier examples.

\textsuperscript{751} Paris, Bibliothèque de l’Arsenal, MS 5201, folio 125.

\textsuperscript{752} Paris, Musée du Louvre, inv. no. OA 4089. For bibliography, see note 531.

\textsuperscript{753} These include those manuscripts listed in note 749, in addition to a book of hours (Bruges, ca.1400–1415, by the Master of Ushaw 10, Oxford, Bodleian Library, Jesus College MS 32, folio 38v).

\textsuperscript{754} These include a \textit{Book of Hours} (Bruges, ca.1400, by the Master of the Gold Scrolls, Baltimore, Walters Art Gallery, MS W 173, folio 54); a \textit{Book of Hours} (Bruges and possibly Paris, ca.1400–1415, Master of the Beaufort Saints and Bruges, ca.1400–1450, by Gold Scrolls Group, London, British Library, MS Add. 27948, folio 27v); a \textit{Book of Hours} (Bruges, ca.1430–1440, Gold Scrolls Group, Paris, Bibliothèque nationale de France, MS n.a. lat. 3110, folio 76v); a \textit{Book of Hours} (Southern Netherlands, ca.1440, possibly by the Master of the Gold Scrolls, The Hague, Museum Meermanno-Westreenianum, MS 10 F 11, folio 36); a \textit{Book of Hours} (Northern France, ca.1450, by the Gold Scrolls Group, Boulogne-sur-mer, Bibliothèque municipale, MS 89, folio 24).

\textsuperscript{755} The Hague, Museum Meermanno-Westreenianum, MS 10 B 23, folio 523. For bibliography, see note 535.

\textsuperscript{756} Paris, Bibliothèque nationale de France, MS lat. 18014, folio 86v and folio 160. For bibliography, see note 536.
(Paris, ca.1380–1390, by the Parement Master and workshop);\textsuperscript{757} and the Belles Heures (probably Paris, ca.1405–1408/09 by the Limbourg brothers).\textsuperscript{758} In all these representations, Mary and another saint carry the cross, in addition to Christ. In the Bible de Charles V it is unclear if this other saint is male or female, whereas in the Belles Heures Saint John stands next to Mary. In all the remaining examples, the other saint is obviously female and probably represents one of the Maries. Furthermore, all of these examples include a blacksmith. He is shown carrying a hammer in the illuminations of the Bible de Charles V and the Petites Heures, while in the Très belles heures de Notre-Dame he is depicted holding up three nails. By contrast, neither the blacksmith nor his wife are represented in the Zaragoza tapestry.

The representation of Christ Carrying the Cross in the Parement de Narbonne (Paris, ca.1375–1378 by the Parement Master)\textsuperscript{759} also has strong parallels to the tapestry. Even though the painting shows only the Virgin Mary carrying the cross with Christ, along with the depiction of the blacksmith carrying three nails, it is the figure of the soldier, whose back faces the viewer and he pulls Christ along by a rope, that is very similarly depicted in the tapestry.\textsuperscript{760} Another parallel aspect of this scene is the positioning of Christ’s head. In both representations, Christ is shown looking back over his right shoulder.

The motif of Mary carrying the cross is also observed on some Spanish works of art, the most notable of which is the Tarragona altarpiece that was commissioned by Dalmau de Mur in

\textsuperscript{757} Paris, Bibliothèque nationale de France, MS n.a. lat. 3093, folio 203. For bibliography, see note 546.

\textsuperscript{758} New York, The Metropolitan Museum of Art, The Cloisters Collection, MS 54.1.1, folio 138v. For bibliography, see note 548.

\textsuperscript{759} Paris, Musée du Louvre, inv. M.I. 1121. For bibliography, see note 539.

\textsuperscript{760} There is one small difference in the representation of this soldier in the tapestry, where he is shown without a pigtail hanging down his back, as is observed in the Parement de Narbonne.
1424 by the sculptor Pere Joan.\textsuperscript{761} Like the French examples above, the scene of *Christ Carrying the Cross* in the Tarragona altarpiece only shows only the figure of the Virgin Mary supporting the cross with Christ. The weight of the cross, and her pain, is emphasized by her dramatically collapsing pose—her knees are shown almost to the ground and her head rests on the crossbar of the cross.

### 5.4.2 The Crucifixion

The scene of *The Crucifixion* takes up the central third of the tapestry’s composition.\textsuperscript{762} This representation of *The Crucifixion* involves the normal bystanders who stand at the base of the cross—Virgin Mary, Saint John and Mary Magdalene—but it also includes a broader cast of characters. In total, about thirty-five figures are depicted in this central scene. Among them are the two crucified thieves flanking the central cross of the crucified Christ. The two thieves, shown wearing only underwear, hang from their Tau crosses by their elbows, as opposed to their armpits in many medieval representations. They both bleed from their upper thighs and just below their knees—the two places where their legs have been broken. The capturing of each thief’s soul—depicted as a child—occurs above the crosses. To the right of Christ, the soul of the good thief is being whisked away by a small angel with outstretched wings. By contrast, the bad thief, positioned to Christ’s left, has the devil swooping down to take his soul away to hell. The horned and winged devil is one of several malevolent symbols in the scene.

Christ, wearing the crown of thorns, hangs stiffly from the cross and his arms are tightly stretched out. Blood spouts from the wounds on the palms of his hands. Blood is also seen

\textsuperscript{761} See section 3.2.2.

trickling down from the wounds of his feet at the base of the cross.\textsuperscript{763} He wears a loincloth and his head droops down toward his right, in the direction where the Virgin Mary and Saint John are positioned sitting. A plaque decorated with the usual “INRI” inscription surmounts his cross.\textsuperscript{764}

At the bottom of Christ’s cross sit four soldiers who quarrel over Christ’s seamless garment. The two figures at the top are dressed in ornate mantles and each of them holds a dagger over their heads. The figure wearing red has a large wound above his left eye that bleeds heavily. The two figures below the ones with the daggers fight over Christ’s garment. A dagger has struck the figure at the right, who wears a green mantle with jagged edges at the hem. His upper right shoulder bleeds and a stylized trickle of blood is runs across his back. All four of these figures wear elaborate headgear, including the up-turned ermine-lined hat that the figure of Pilate wore in the first tapestry.\textsuperscript{765} The tapestry shows this heated fight in progress, whereas other medieval representations do not include it, or, instead of a fight, the soldiers are depicted casting lots, or dice, for the garment of Christ.\textsuperscript{766} The violent depiction of this scene adds to the drama of the story.\textsuperscript{767}

Above the fighting soldiers and to their right are several bystanders, some of them depicted on foot, while others are mounted on horses and one is shown on a camel. Amidst the group appears, the Centurion, who is depicted as an older man with a long flowing beard who

\textsuperscript{763} The bright red threads are areas of reweaving.

\textsuperscript{764} The underside of the cross, woven in dark brown threads, is an area of reweaving.

\textsuperscript{765} For instance, in the scenes of \textit{The Flagellation} and \textit{The Making of the Cross}.

\textsuperscript{766} While all four Gospels mention that the soldiers cast lots (Matt. 27:35; Mark 15:24; Luke 23:34; John 19:23–24), none of them describe the scene as violent.

\textsuperscript{767} Although I did not find any visual parallels that showed this types of violence, with wounds bleeding, Ruth Mellinkoff states that it was a conventionalized subject that often caricatured the soldiers. Ruth Mellinkoff, \textit{Outcasts: Signs of Otherness in Northern European Art of the Late Middle Ages}, 2 vols. (Berkeley: University of California Press, 1993), vol. 1, 131.
rides a horse. He wears a blue robe and blue *chaperon* hat. He calls attention to the floating scroll—inscribed with the words that Longinus, positioned on the other side of the cross, cries out—by pointing at it, while at the same time he looks toward a figure wearing a red hat. Directly below the scroll stands the soldier Stephaton wearing a kettle helmet and a green mantle. He presents, with a long pole, the vinegar-filled sponge to Christ.

Longinus is seen to the left of the cross wearing a brocade green robe and a red and blue mantle lined with ermine. An older man with a forked beard, he wears an up-turned ermine-lined hat. With the help of a boy behind him, Longinus is shown piercing Christ’s side with a lance with his right hand. With his left hand, Longinus points towards his eyes—he is blind, but his sight has been restored by Christ. On the other side of Christ stands the Centurion, wearing a blue hat (oddly, he is portrayed in civilian clothes), addresses someone in a red hat and points to his scroll that says *“vere filius dei erat iste.”*768

In the far left background, two men on horseback arrive—one wears a blue tunic and an up-turned ermine hat, while the other is shown dressed in all red. Following them is a group of three soldiers; only one of the soldier’s face can been seen, since the others are represented by overlapping helmets. Sitting on a nearby rock are two Maries—Mary Salome wears a wimple and a beige mantle, while to her left sits the youthful Mary Magdalene in a red mantle and wearing neither a wimple nor a headdress. Both have their hands in prayer positions. Before them, swoons the Virgin Mary who is supported by the youthful Saint John. In the classic and popular pose, the Virgin Mary faints dramatically into the arms of Saint John, who looks up

768 “Truly this man was the Son of God,” Matt. 27:54, Mark 15:39; and see Luke 23:47.
toward Christ.  Just as in the scene of *Christ Carrying the Cross*, Mary’s blue mantle is decorated with the white three-dot motif.

It is important to identify some of the elements not included in this representation of *The Crucifixion*. For instance, there is no depiction of the sun or the moon in the sky at either side of the crosses; no angels are shown gathering Christ’s blood in chalices; and the skull and bones of Adam are absent from the foot of the cross. Normally, the next episodes in the story would include *The Deposition, The Lamentation* and *The Entombment*, but these scenes have also been left out. The next scene to be included in the tapestry is *The Harrowing of Hell*, while *The Resurrection* is not included.

One plausible explanation for these iconographic choices could have been that the original patron of the tapestry already owned a tapestry or several tapestries that illustrated the scenes of *The Deposition, The Lamentation, The Entombment* and *The Resurrection* and did not want to have repeated imagery. Two early examples of stylistically and technically similar tapestries to the Zaragoza set depict some, though not all, of the missing scenes. Both are similar to the Zaragoza *Passion* tapestries in terms of their technique and style—they incorporate the use of silk, silver and gold threads, and they have comparable stylized foliage, twisting tree trunks and elongated, tubular clouds. Furthermore, both tapestries are antependia, based on their dimensions, and thus would have probably been hung in front of the altar during liturgical

---


770 Parts of the sky have been rewoven and perhaps these symbols were originally illustrated.

771 Certainly each episode of *The Passion* need not have been included.

772 There are several tapestries from the late fifteenth and early sixteenth centuries that represent that *The Passion* in single or multiple scenes. The compositional arrangement of the Zaragoza tapestries, with several scenes occurring on the same picture plane remains popular, but there also appears to be an increase in the numbers of tapestries that only show one scene of *The Passion* per panel. Obviously the original placement and function of the tapestries would have driven some of these decisions.
ceremonies. It remains unclear, however, if they were originally larger and were cut down to be used as altar frontals, though the overall proportion of the designs suggest they were woven as antependia.

The first example is a tapestry in the Cluny Museum in Paris (Franco-Flemish region, ca.1420)\textsuperscript{773} that represents \textit{The Resurrection}. Two angels and two soldiers surround the tomb. The decorative treatment of the angels’ wings is parallel to the treatment of the two angels that appear in the second Zaragoza tapestry—one floats above the cross of the good thief in the central scene of \textit{The Crucifixion}, while the other sits on the empty tomb of Christ in the scene of \textit{The Three Holy Women at the Sepulcher}.

The second example is a tapestry at the Victoria & Albert Museum in London that shows the scenes of \textit{The Lamentation}, \textit{The Entombment}, \textit{The Resurrection} and \textit{The Noli me tangere} (Franco-Flemish region, ca.1400–1420).\textsuperscript{774} This representation conflates the episode of \textit{The Resurrection} with the scene of \textit{The Noli me tangere}—Christ emerges from the tomb with one leg in and one leg out and Mary Magdalene appears kneeling before him. The landscape elements—


foliage and mountains—divide the scenes of the narrative, similar to the treatment observed in the second Zaragoza tapestry. There is, however, one duplication of an episode with the Zaragoza tapestry, *The Noli me tangere*.

While there is no surviving documentation that links either of these specific tapestries to Spain or to Dalmau de Mur’s tapestry collection, they provide a plausible explanation for the missing scenes in the Zaragoza set—that the decision was made to leave out some episodes because other works of art, such as tapestries, embroideries, painted cloths, and sculpted and painted altarpieces would have supplemented the iconographic program of the Zaragoza Passion tapestries.

In search of possible models for *The Crucifixion* scene in the Zaragoza tapestry, my iconographic survey revealed that most late medieval artists either included very few figures, namely the crucified Christ flanked by the Virgin Mary and Saint John, or they filled the composition with a large cast of characters. Common parallels are observed in the illuminations from the *Bible de Charles V* (Paris, ca.1372, by Jean Bondol and the Master of the Boqueteaux); the *Petites Heures de Jean duc de Berry* (Paris or Bourges, ca.1375–1380;...
Bourges, 1385–1390; Paris, ca.1412, by Jean le Noir); and the Très belles heures de Notre-Dame de Jean de Berry (Paris, ca.1380–1390, by the Parement Master and workshop); however, the most similar depiction is found in the Parement de Narbonne (Paris, ca.1375–1378 by the Parement Master).

Compositionally and iconographically, the depiction of The Crucifixion is similar in both tapestry and painting. For instance, both works of art show Christ flanked by the thieves on their crosses (though in the tapestry they hang by their elbows whereas in the Parement de Narbonne they hang from their armpits); Stephaton, the sponge-bearer is seen on the right of the cross, just as Longinus is found on the left; and the scroll with the inscription “vere filius dei erat iste” waves nearby the pointing hand of an older, bearded man as in the tapestry. Angels appear in the sky on both works, even though only two angels appear in the tapestry, one to collect each soul of the two thieves. By contrast, the Parement de Narbonne shows several angels hovering above Christ, while others collect his blood with chalices. It is possible that the Parement de Narbonne served as a model for the Zaragoza tapestry’s scene of The Crucifixion, however, with the various differences it seems plausible that the tapestry designers were also looking at other models.

777 Paris, Bibliothèque nationale de France, MS lat. 18014, folio 89v. For bibliography, see note 536.

778 Paris, Bibliothèque nationale de France, MS n.a. lat. 3093, folio 209. For bibliography, see note 546.

779 Paris, Musée du Louvre, inv. M.I. 1121. For bibliography, see note 539.
5.4.3 The Harrowing of Hell

One of the most colorful legends in *The Story of the Passion* relates the events of Christ’s descent into Hell.\(^{780}\) Though it is not described explicitly in the bible, inferences can be identified in several passages of the Old Testament as well as the New Testament; however, the primary sources for medieval representations of the legend came from the sensational descriptions found in the apocryphal *Gospel of Nicodemus*.\(^ {781}\) This apocryphal legend begins by recounting the various events that took place before and during Christ’s visit to Hell. The most significant parts of the story that influenced medieval representations of *The Harrowing of Hell* are chapters 17:1–27:1.\(^ {782}\) The story was immensely popular in medieval theological writings, sermons, hymns, legends of saints and drama, including *Passion* and mystery plays. Christ’s descent into hell was also referenced in one of the twelve articles of the *Apostles’ Creed* (*Symbolum Apostolorum*).\(^ {783}\) The story was immensely popular in medieval theological writings, sermons, hymns, legends of saints and drama, including *Passion* and mystery plays.

---

\(^{780}\) Also referred to as *Christ in Limbo*, *Christ in the Underworld* and *Christ's Descent into Hell*.


The story was also popularized by thirteenth-century literary compilations, such as Jacobus de Voragine’s *Golden Legend* and the *Speculum historiale* compiled by Vincent of Beauvais (Vincentius Bellovicensis, d.1264). The account provided the medieval Church the means to underscore the victory of the divine over Hell and the powers of evil. In terms of its relation to the liturgical calendar, the Harrowing of Hell legend was told in hymns and sermons during the Holy Week of Easter and it was specifically linked to the events of Holy Saturday Matins and the lighting of the Easter candle. In his article on the possible biblical and apocryphal sources for the accounts of the *Descensus ad Infernos*, Allen Cabaniss proposes that long before the introduction of the *Gospel of Nicodemus*, the Church of the early Christians liturgically associated the events of Easter and Holy week—and their ideas of Christ’s glorious triumph over death—with Psalm 24.

The scene of *The Harrowing of Hell* in the Zaragoza tapestry is located in the lower right corner of the composition, where Christ is shown standing before the Gates of Hell. He wears a sinuous mantle that is elegantly gathered around his waist and just below his right wrist. The hem of the mantle is patterned with a Greek key pattern. This change in Christ’s mantle helps to


differentiate the events after his crucifixion. He is shown wearing the same mantle in the episode of Mary Magdalene appearing before Christ (discussed below).

In his right hand, he carries the standard that terminates in a cross-staff, known as the flag of the Resurrection. Christ’s hand is positioned at an angle to accentuate the flowing blood from his wound on his hand, as well as the larger, gaping wound that bleeds appreciably from his right side. With his left hand, Christ takes hold of Adam’s right wrist to liberate the faithful souls from Hell and deliver them to Paradise. Adam is seen naked, as is Eve who stands next to him, and Adam has a long beard and long hair. Both Adam and Eve hold their hands in a gesture of prayer. Four other figures appear with Adam and Eve, including (clockwise), an older man with a shorter beard (behind Eve and to the left); a male figure with curly hair (behind Eve and to the right); another male figure, who appears bald; and lastly, a female figure with long, flowing hair whose soft curls are echoed in the column of the building from which she escapes. This last figure also has her hands in a prayer gesture.

---


790 Eve is shown with pubic hair, woven in a dark brown thread, indicating that it is a section of reweaving.

791 His baldness is due to the washed out nature of the tapestry.
The majority of medieval representations of Christ’s *Descensus ad Infernos* show the figures escaping from the Mouth of Hell. The version in the tapestry, by contrast, does not show a monster’s mouth, but instead depicts the Gates of Hell as a tall structure that materializes from a bed of boulders at the side of a mountain. There are Old and New Testament references that envisioned Hell as a building, notably as a prison, described by Isaiah (24:22 and 42:7) and in the first Epistle of Peter (1 Peter 3:19).

The façade of the small building in the tapestry contains a large central arch from where the six figures emerge. The columns and roof look as though they have been cobbled together with pebbles. The only other visible side of the structure is to the right, where two small arches crown a single arch in which the figure of Judas is seen hanging and burning in Hell. The bag of money hangs from his neck, while a fiery red noose pulls his head back. Judas’ arms are shown bound behind his back and he wears only his underwear. The inclusion of Judas in the scene of *The Harrowing of Hell* is unusual—Judas is normally shown hanging from a tree in the background of the scenes of *The Kiss of Judas*, *Christ Appears before Pilate* or *Christ Carries the Cross*. Juxtaposing the hanging of Judas with *The Harrowing of Hell*, and even including it in the architecture as though it takes places on the actual wall of hell is without parallel, as far as I know. In the Gospel account of Matthew, Judas was so filled with guilt for betraying Christ

---

792 Emile Mâle examines the medieval interpretations of the *Book of Job* by Odo of Cluny and Bruno of Asti to learn more about the Mouth of Hell and its origins. Chapters 40–41 in the *Book of Job* describes the monster Leviathan. Job 40:20 says, “Canst thou draw out the leviathan with a hook, or canst thou tie his tongue with a cord?” These medieval theologians related this description of hooking the monster by its mouth to Christ’s conquest of the devil. The open mouth of Leviathan thus became the icon for the jaws of Hell in artistic renditions of the scene of Christ’s descent. See Emile Mâle, *The Gothic Image: Religious Art in France of the Thirteenth Century*, trans. Dora Nussey (New York: Icon Editions/Harper Row, 1972), 379–380.

that he returned the money and hanged himself.794 The representation of Judas hanging in the tapestry is not conceived as a suicide, since his hands are shown bound. No other representation has been found that depicts Judas hanging in the scene of *The Harrowing of Hell*.

Brilliant threads of blazing red flames795 rise from the openings of the arches and from the roof. Five devils796 are shown with horns and distorted and gapping mouths.797 Four clamber atop the structure, while another, most likely Satan, as described in the *Gospel of Nicodemus* (Chapter 22:2),798 is bound with red-hot chains at the chest, arms, knees and possibly at the feet (a rock blocks the view). Christ’s cross-staff with the flag of the resurrection pins down Satan. This image celebrates Christ’s victory over evil.

The recumbent Satan emits fire from his mouth, ears and the back of his head. A parallel depiction of Satan’s head is seen in a mid-fifteenth-century illumination that depicts a devil, at the far left, in the *Livre de la vigne de Nostre Seigneur* (France, ca. 1450–1470).799 Both figures are shown with dark horns that curve back, open mouths with small, white teeth and delicate white tusks that sprout forth, pug noses, bulging eyes, and large, elongated ears. In the tapestry, however, Satan’s hands are depicted as webs, also observed in the smaller devil above him who displays bat-like wings, in addition to what appears to be a short stump of a tail.


795 The bright red and orange threads are areas of reweaving.

796 The brown threads that outline much of the bodies of the devils are sections that have been rewoven.


799 Oxford, Bodleian Library, MS Douce 134, 95v.
Unfortunately, few visual examples of *The Harrowing of Hell* have been found that correspond with the tapestry. For instance, the image of *The Harrowing of Hell* in the *Parement de Narbonne* (Paris, ca.1375–1378 by the Parement Master),\textsuperscript{800} represents the Gates of Hell as the jaws of the monster, the more common iconographic representation of the scene. The most similar representation of Hell a masonry structure is observed in two of Jean de Berry’s manuscripts, including the *Petites heures* (ca. 1375–1380 in Paris or Bourges, with additions made in Bourges 1385–1390 and Paris ca. 1412),\textsuperscript{801} and the *Grandes Heures* (possibly Paris, ca.1409, by the Pseudo-Jacquemart and his workshop).\textsuperscript{802}

### 5.4.4 The Three Holy Women at the Tomb

The scene of *The Three Holy Women at the Tomb of Christ*\textsuperscript{803} is presented in the tapestry in a compact fashion. At the back of the tomb stand the three holy women, dressed (left to right) in blue, red and red robes that are covered by mantles in the colors of beige, blue and green, all of which are decorated with jewels. All three women have halos that are decorated with jewels and they also wear wimples. They represent at least two of the Marys:\textsuperscript{804} the Virgin Mary is probably the central figure wearing the blue mantle even though it is not decorated with the

\textsuperscript{800} Paris, Musée du Louvre, inv. M.I. 1121. For bibliography, see note 539.

\textsuperscript{801} Paris, Bibliothèque nationale de France, MS lat. 18014, folio 166. For bibliography, see note 536.

\textsuperscript{802} Paris, Bibliothèque nationale de France, MS lat. 919, folio 84.


\textsuperscript{804} Although each Evangelist mentions the presence of Mary Magdalene, their accounts differ in terms of the number and the names of holy women present. Matthew (28:1) says there were two Marys, Mary Magdalene and “the other Mary;” Mark (16:1) tells of three holy women were at the tomb, including Mary Magdalene, Mary Salome; Luke (24:10) also comments that the three holy women who reported back to the eleven Apostles included Mary Magdalene, Mary Salome and Joanna [wife of Chusa, Herod’s steward], along with other, non-specified women; and finally, John (20:1) only speaks of Mary Magdalene.
white three-dot motif observed in the scenes of Christ Carrying the Cross and The Crucifixion. Mary Salome may be among the group too, though it cannot be determined which figure she might be. Mary Magdalene is often represented in this scene, but since all three women are veiled and wear wimples, it seems unlikely that one of them represents the character often cast as a repentant prostitute and thus depicted with a red cloak and loose hair in the adjacent Noli me tangere scene (see below). In her place it is plausible that Mary Cleophas is the third Mary represented.

The holy woman at the far left is seen in deep contemplation, her hands in a prayer gesture. No jar of spice is placed next to her. By contrast, the other two women, both with their vessels of spices sitting atop the tomb, converse. Upon the edge of the tomb sits a winged angel who is draped in a green mantle has a jeweled halo. As described in Matthew (28:5–7), the angel announces to the holy women that Christ has risen. His right hand points at the tomb to underscore this idea. The holy woman in blue also points towards the empty tomb.

The tomb, in the form of an altar, is decorated with jewels. In front of the tomb are the three sleeping soldiers, unaware of events, current or past. They each wear headgear that consists of a helmet that consists of a turban. All three are dressed in combinations of red, blue and white and each carries a weapon (left to right): a spear, a mallet and a scimitar.

Reweaving obscures the representation of The Three Holy Women at the Tomb in the tapestry; much of the lid of the tomb has been reweoven. This reweaving can be observed in

---


806 The bright red threads found in the angel’s wings are restorations.

807 A single angel sitting upon the tomb is recorded by Matthew 28:2.

808 This is particularly evident in the use of pink threads.
the arrangement of one of the spice jars that does not appear to rest on the lid of the tomb. Likewise, it appears as if the lid of the tomb is closed and sealed. Studied first hand, it becomes apparent that the lid was greatly re woven, but that the lid of the tomb is indeed depicted as ajar. The depiction of the tomb’s lid slightly ajar is a common treatment of the subject. There are a few instances when medieval artists chose to depict the lid of the tomb fully removed, having it set aside next to the sepulcher, as observed in the Bible de Charles V (Paris, ca.1372, by Jean Bondol and the Master of the Boquetaux); and the Petites Heures de Jean duc de Berry (Paris or Bourges, ca.1375–1380; Bourges, 1385–1390; Paris, ca.1412, by Jean le Noir); no other contemporary works of art parallel the depiction of The Three Holy Women in the Zaragoza tapestry.

5.4.5 The Noli me tangere

The representation of The Noli me tangere is the final scene of the Zaragoza tapestry set. A deep burnt orange color seeps from the rocky earth that appears immediately above the scene of The Harrowing of Hell and is a clear demarcation between the orange and red colors of hell and the world of man, which is filled with green foliage and grass that surrounds the scene when Christ appears before Mary Magdalene. Unlike many medieval representations of The Noli me tangere, Christ is not shown dressed as a gardener and no pot appears next to Mary Magdalene.

The episode in the tapestry depicts Christ wearing the mantle with the Greek key pattern at the hem that he wore in The Harrowing of Hell. He also carries his cross-staff with the flag of

---

809 The Hague, Museum Meermanno-Westreenianum, MS 10 B 23, folio 524. For bibliography, see note 535.
810 Paris, Bibliothèque nationale de France, MS lat. 18014, folio 163. For bibliography, see note 536.
811 This incredibly bright patch of weaving is probably an area of restoration.
the resurrection. There is a sense of vitality and movement in Christ’s stance—his S-curve posture adds drama to this simple and tranquil scene. Between Christ and Mary Magdalene stands a single tree that has a twisting trunk—already observed in the landscape elements in both tapestries—that terminates in four simple branches of shimmering, *chiaroscuro* foliage.

To the left of the tree kneels Mary Magdalene, who wears a blue robe covered with a red jewel-lined mantle.\(^{812}\) She wears neither a wimple nor a headdress. This representation of Mary Magdalene is consistent with her reputation as a reformed harlot.\(^{813}\) Her hand gestures are simple—her left hand reaches openly upward with the palm-side facing the viewer, while her right hand is angled down. Her rising gaze is met directly with that of Christ, whose right hand, revealing his wound, gestures her to turn away. He carries the flag of the resurrection in his left hand.\(^{814}\) It is positioned at an angle that reinforces the elegant curve of his body position. Christ’s mantle molds and forms the elegant lines of his figure.

A similar representation of *The Noli me tangere* scene is found in a miniature from the *Breviary of Louis de Guyenne* (Paris, ca.1414, by the Bedford Master and the Boucicaut Master).\(^{815}\) While the Magdalene is presented in similar attire—a deep blue dress covered by a red mantle—and Christ’s slightly curving body is somewhat similar, the scene in the manuscript is more static due to the awkward pose of the Magdalene, which appears contrived. On the contrary, the scene in the tapestry shows the Magdalene’s left hand curving subtly *behind* the

\(^{812}\) The pink threads of her robe are areas of restoration.


\(^{814}\) Perhaps another example of a left-right reversal, since the flag of the resurrection was a symbol of divine power. Christ usually holds the flag in his right hand. See notes 612 and 636.

\(^{815}\) Châteauroux, Bibliothèque municipale, MS 2, fol. 238.
trunk of the tree and she kneels fully on the earth. The picture in the manuscript also includes a small pot on the ground.

Although there is a representation of The Noli me tangere in the Bible de Charles V (Paris, ca.1372, by Jean Bondol and the Master of the Boquetaux), the work of art that most resembles the Zaragoza Noli me tangere is found in the Parement de Narbonne (Paris, ca.1375–1378 by the Parement Master). There is a remarkable similarity, observed in the comparable positioning of Christ’s body and gestures, as well as the depiction of the tree with the light and dark emphasis on the leaves. The Magdalene’s hand gestures are slightly different, since they are both depicted in front of the tree.

5.5 SUMMARY

The works of art introduced here demonstrate that court artists working in the royal courts of France at the end of the fourteenth and early fifteenth centuries approached the issues of spatiality and temporality with great interest, and placed their scenes with equal competence both indoors and out-of-doors. The apparent fascination with framing moments of The Story of the Passion of Christ in a realistic and convincing manner supports the idea that these works of art were entrances, or windows, into another world in which the viewer could participate. The interest in spatial awareness and the execution of space, whether as landscape elements or architectural elements in the Zaragoza Passion tapestries provides further visual evidence that the tapestries are stylistically related to works of art produced for the French royal patrons King

816 The Hague, Museum Meermanno-Westreenianum, MS 10 B 23, folio 524v. For bibliography, see note 535.

817 Paris, Musée du Louvre, inv. M.I. 1121. For bibliography, see note 539.
Charles V and Jean de Berry. The investigation into artists’ various approaches to space and the construction of temporality and narrative within the scenes of The Passion brings to mind the physical and symbolic functions of tapestry in the creation of an environment that exuded power and distinction. The Zaragoza Passion tapestries certainly played a role in the liturgical, symbolic and devotional practices of the Church.

Furthermore, many of the examples discussed in relation to space also showed remarkable similarities stylistically and iconographically to the Zaragoza Passion tapestries. For instance, the use of an architectural framework in the Annunciation tapestry at the Metropolitan Museum of Art shows important stylistic links with the Zaragoza tapestries, specifically the coloration of the architecture, in addition to the use of stylized trees and clouds.

The most significant parallels, however, were drawn from eight works of art owned by the French Valois—King Charles V and Jean de Berry. From the collection of King Charles are works of art such as the Bible de Charles V (ca.1372) and the Parement de Narbonne (ca.1375–1378). The other six examples form a cluster of manuscripts commissioned by Jean de Berry that relate to the tapestries and include the Petites Heures (ca.1375–1380), the Très belles heures de Notre-Dame (ca.1380–1390), the Heures de Bruxelles (before 1402), the Belles Heures (ca.1405–1409), the Grandes Heures (ca.1409) and the Très Riches Heures (ca.1411/12–1416).

While several specific stylistic and/or iconographic aspects can be linked with each of these works and the Zaragoza Passion tapestries, two stand apart: the Parement de Narbonne and the Très belles heures de Notre-Dame. The Parement Master and his workshop made both in Paris around 1380, and both have several significant visual correspondences with the tapestries. In sum, the Parement de Narbonne has common stylistic and iconographic elements with the tapestries in the representations of The Kiss of Judas, The Flagellation, Christ Carrying the
Cross, The Crucifixion, The Harrowing of Hell and The Noli me tangere. Even more, the Très belles heures de Notre-Dame has parallels in the scenes of Christ Praying in the Garden, The Kiss of Judas, Christ before Caiaphas, Christ before Annas, Christ before Herod, Christ before Pilate, The Flagellation, The Mocking of Christ, The Nailing of Christ to the Cross and The Crucifixion. While neither offers an exact match as a model for the tapestries, they prove that many of the iconographic elements observed in the tapestries—such as the cutting of Malchus’ ear by Peter, Christ appearing before several judges, Mary carrying the cross—were popular in works of art produced by French court artists beginning around 1373 and continuing until about 1410.
6.0 CONCLUSION

This dissertation has presented Dalmau de Mur (1356–1456)—Bishop of Girona, Archbishop of Tarragona and Archbishop of Zaragoza. An examination of the works of art commissioned and acquired by Dalmau de Mur reveals that he played a dynamic role in the formation of a cosmopolitan practice of ecclesiastical patronage of luxury objects in the Kingdom of Aragón during the first half of the fifteenth century.

The dissertation began by introducing the family of Dalmau de Mur in order to fully appreciate the essential political and ecclesiastical connections that successfully propelled his ecclesiastical career. One of the most influential of these vital associations was his relation to Pedro Martínez de Luna, Anti-pope Benedict XIII (r.1394–1417). As a result of the marriage alliances of his uncle and brother with the Luna family, Dalmau de Mur was a major benefactor. As Anti-pope Benedict XIII, Pedro Martínez de Luna appointed Dalmau to several prominent positions, such as Chancellor of the Estudi General de Lleida in 1411 and then as Bishop of Girona in 1415. These promotions set the stage for Dalmau de Mur’s continued ascent in the hierarchy of ecclesiastical politics in the Kingdom of Aragón during the Papal Schism.

Furthermore, Pedro Martínez de Luna was himself a great patron of the arts. In collecting luxury goods, Luna made informed decisions that had an effect on how and what Dalmau de Mur commissioned and acquired. When Pedro Martínez de Luna died, having been exiled to his castle in Peníscola, he left behind an impressive collection of around eleven hundred
manuscripts that were sold in 1422/23. It was at this moment that Dalmau acquired from Luna the famous Bolognese Girona Bible—once owned by the renowned royal French bibliophiles, King Charles V and Jean de Berry—as well as a copy of Flavius Josephus’ Antiquitates Judaicae and possibly a second Bolognese bible, the Bible of Dalmau de Mur.

By the end of the 1420s, Dalmau de Mur had become an astute patron of the arts. As Bishop of Girona he led the Chapter of the Cathedral to make the bold decision to definitively change the architectural plan of the nave from three aisles to a single-aisle design. This resulted in the widest Gothic vault to be constructed and it still stands today. While at Girona, Dalmau may have been seen the Annunciation tapestry, now at the Metropolitan Museum of Art, that was possibly owned by the one of the Bishops of Girona Francesc de Blanés, although the evidence is too fragmentary for the possibility to be proven. Dalmau de Mur’s patronage continued with the decisions to commission the large, sculpted altarpieces at Tarragona Cathedral, Zaragoza Cathedral and the Archbishop’s Palace in Zaragoza. At Zaragoza Cathedral he also commissioned the choir stalls, a choir screen and his tomb.

His interest in illuminated manuscripts remained strong after purchasing some of Luna’s books; he commissioned Joan Font to copy John of Salisbury’s Policraticus text in 1424 and then again in 1432–1433 he had Joan Font copy the texts of De institutis coenobiorum and Collationes by John of Cassian. I have proposed that the decision to copy the Policraticus text was a political one. To the pope in Rome, Martin V, it conveyed a message of solidarity by denouncing Anti-pope Benedict XIII as a tyrant—a volte-face considering that Dalmau had played a significant role in the shaping of Dalmau de Mur’s ecclesiastical career, in addition to his formation of an impressive collection of luxury works of art. To France, it acknowledged the royal authority of King Charles VII.
In addition to examining Dalmau de Mur’s artistic patronage, an examination of the documents reveals more about his collection of luxury objects. The inventory taken at Zaragoza Cathedral in 1521, which listed the tapestry donations of Dalmau de Mur and his two successors, also shapes our understanding of Dalmau de Mur as a patron of the arts. This document is also complemented by Dalmau de Mur’s thirty-page testament, which provides further insight into the types of objects he owned before his death in 1456. Besides recording his collection of rings, gems, silver, manuscripts, panel paintings, metalwork and liturgical vestments, Dalmau de Mur’s testament mentions his tapestry holdings. Taken together, these documents underscore the richness and variety of luxury objects that he collected.

Like many tapestry collections from the late fourteenth and early fifteenth century, only two of the recorded eight tapestries donated by Dalmau de Mur to Zaragoza Cathedral survive, the two-panel set of the Passion. They are distinctive because they are among the earliest extant tapestries woven in the Franco-Flemish region that were imported into Spain. They also represent the oldest surviving tapestries depicting The Story of the Passion of Christ.

A comprehensive analysis of the style and iconography of the Zaragoza Passion tapestries has revealed that remarkable parallels can be drawn between them and a small cluster of objects produced for King Charles V of France and his brothers, Louis, Duke of Anjou; Philippe, Duke of Burgundy and Jean, Duke of Berry. Louis d’Anjou’s Apocalypse tapestries show early usage in the tapestry medium of the interest in three-dimensional space and the incorporation of landscape elements into the design of the narrative. Likewise, the Annunciation tapestry in New York—though not necessarily owned by a Valois prince, but certainly iconographically and stylistically related to the Dijon Altarpiece.
commissioned by Philippe of Burgundy—also shows important parallels in terms of its treatment of three-dimensional architecture and similar landscape elements.

The works of art that have the strongest stylistic and iconographic links are Charles V’s *Parement de Narbonne* (Paris, ca.1375-1378 by the Parement Master) and Jean de Berry’s *Très belles heures de Notre-Dame* (Paris, ca.1380-1390, by the Parement Master and workshop).

Both the *Parement de Narbonne* and the *Très belles heures de Notre-Dame* have remarkable parallels in their approaches to the treatment of space, as observed in the use of small architectural structures to divide and create the flow of the Passion narrative. The compositional layout of the *Parement de Narbonne* is more simplified than the dynamic and more complex compositional arrangement found in the Zaragoza tapestries. Although the *Très belles heures de Notre-Dame* depicts only one scene of the Passion per page, its use of delimiting the narrative in micro-architectural frameworks nonetheless illustrates an interest in how *The Story of the Passion of Christ* is depicted. Moreover, it has an extensive narrative cycle that devotes several images to the trial of Christ. For instance, four pictures represent Christ appearing before his judges—Caiaphas, Annas, Pilate and Herod.

The *Parement of Narbonne* and the *Très belles heures de Notre-Dame* also have clear iconographic similarities with the Zaragoza Passion tapestries. There is a strong visual link between the manuscripts and the tapestries in the depiction of *Christ Carrying the Cross*, with the help of the Virgin Mary and Saint John. Because of these strong stylistic and iconographic affinities, the Zaragoza tapestries can be counted among a group of works of art that were produced for the French courts at the end of the fourteenth and early fifteenth centuries. With that said, it is also evident that some scenes represented in the Zaragoza Passion tapestries do not have parallels with contemporary works of art that survive. For example, the scene of *The
Making of the Cross and the detail of Judas hanging from the Gates of Hell, which is conceived as a building in the episode of The Harrowing of Hell, remain rare iconographic elements. No comparable models were found; the visual influences on the designers of the tapestries, therefore, remain unknown. More research on the dramatic performances may uncover new findings.

There are several other aspects of the dissertation that emerge as potential areas of future research. These include a more detailed examination of the imagery and iconography of the manuscript De institutis coenobiorum and the Collationes in relation to other surviving manuscripts. Likewise, a deeper analysis of the extant Polycraticus manuscripts in Spanish and French collections may uncover what type of model Joan Font drew from for inspiration. In addition, to fully understand Dalmau de Mur’s role as a significant patron of the arts in the Kingdom of Aragón during the first half of the fifteenth century, an in-depth analysis of the artists that he commissioned may reveal further artistic exchanges between Aragón and France. Several of Dalmau de Mur’s artists went on to work in the royal courts in Aragón and Naples. For example, in 1473, after working for Dalmau de Mur, the painter Tomás Giner was named court painter of Fernando II el Católico, the future King of Aragón. The sculptors Pere Joan and Anthon Gomar both left Zaragoza to work at the royal court of King Alfonso V in Naples, and serve as examples of the importation of Aragonese artists into Italy.

I have argued that the stylistic and iconographic correspondences with works of art made for the French court situate the creation of the Zaragoza Passion tapestries around the year 1400–1410. Because of these early dates of production, it seems unlikely that Dalmau de Mur originally commissioned them since he would have been just beginning his ecclesiastical career. Moreover, because of their use of extraordinarily expensive materials such as silk, silver and
gold threads—thereby greatly increasing their cost of production—very few patrons would have been able to afford them.

In the end, these costly technical aspects, combined with the findings that the Zaragoza tapestries have strong stylistic and iconographic relations with French royal court art, leads to the idea that the tapestries were probably a French royal commission. The great royal French patrons of tapestry—such as Jean de Berry, Louis d’Anjou and Louis d’Orléans—emerge as the most plausible patrons responsible for the initial commission of the Zaragoza tapestries. It is also possible that the patron was female, since the role of women in the tapestries—the inclusion of Pilate’s wife, Claudia Procula, and Mary carrying the cross—is prominent. One obvious female patron is Margaret III, Countess of Flanders (1350–1405), wife of Philippe, Duke of Burgundy, one of the great medieval tapestry collectors.

Although it may remain impossible to support, I believe it is very possible that one of these eminent French tapestry collectors ordered the Passion tapestries and they were perhaps given as a gift to Anti-pope Benedict XIII during his residence at the papal palace in Avignon. It is also possible that Benedict XIII himself was the original patron of the tapestries. Either way, I consider Benedict XIII the person most likely responsible for the importation of the Zaragoza tapestries into Aragón. Like many of the manuscripts that Dalmau de Mur purchased upon Benedict XIII’s death in 1422/23, he perhaps had the opportunity to purchase from the Anti-pope’s estate the Passion tapestries. Although no known documentation supports this argument, future research in the archives of the papal palace in Avignon may be fruitful. While no tapestries survive in the collection in Avignon today, it has been stated by María Carmen Lacarra Ducay that not only were there tapestries in the papal collection during the time of Benedict XIII’s reign, she also mentions—though without citation—that Parisian tapestry weavers were
living and working in Avignon.\textsuperscript{818} The position of Avignon and the papal curia in relation to the commissioning of tapestries locally offers many new avenues to explore. In addition to uncovering the papal patronage of tapestries, the archives in Avignon\textsuperscript{819} may resolve some of the uncertainty surrounding the exact details surrounding the original commission of the \textit{Passion} tapestries at Zaragoza Cathedral.


\textsuperscript{819} Portions of the archives has been combed and published, but no studies, to my knowledge, are dedicated to the collection of tapestries at the Papal Palace or to the local production of tapestries in Avignon. Eugène Münzt and Maurice Faucon, \textit{Inventaire des objets précieux vendus à Avignon, en 1358, par le pape Innocent VI} (Paris: Didier, 1882); Hermann Hoberg, ed., \textit{Die Inventare des päpstlichen Schatzes in Avignon, 1314–1376} (Vatican City: Biblioteca apostolica vaticana, 1944); Stefan Weiss, \textit{Rechnungswesen und Buchhaltung des Avignoneser Papsttums (131–1378): eine Quellenkunde} (Hannover: Hahnsche, 2003).
APPENDIX A

CHRONOLOGICAL TABLE: SIGNIFICANT ECCLESIASTICAL AND SECULAR EVENTS BEFORE AND DURING DALMAU DE MUR’S LIFETIME (1376–1456)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>EVENTS IN DALMAU DE MUR’S LIFE</th>
<th>SIGNIFICANT ECCLESIASTICAL EVENTS</th>
<th>SIGNIFICANT SECULAR EVENTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1336</td>
<td></td>
<td>• Pedro IV el Ceremonioso, King of Aragón, r.1336–1387</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1337</td>
<td></td>
<td>• Hundred Years’ War begins between France and England (1337–1453)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1342</td>
<td>• Election in Avignon of Pope Clement VI, r.1342–1352</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1343</td>
<td></td>
<td>• King Pedro IV of Aragón re-conquers the Kingdom of Mallorca</td>
<td>• Philippe le Hardi, Duke of Burgundy, r.1343–1404</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1350</td>
<td></td>
<td>• Jean le Bon, King of France, r.1350–1364</td>
<td>• Pedro I el Cruel, King of Castile and León, r. 1350–1369</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DATE</td>
<td>EVENTS IN DALMAU DE MUR’S LIFE</td>
<td>SIGNIFICANT ECCLESIASTICAL EVENTS</td>
<td>SIGNIFICANT SECULAR EVENTS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1352</td>
<td></td>
<td>• Election in Avignon of Pope Innocent VI, r.1352–1362</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1360</td>
<td></td>
<td>• Louis I, Count then Duke of Anjou, r.1360–1384; Count of Provence, r.1382–1384; titular King of Naples, r.1382–1384</td>
<td>• Jean, Duke of Berry, r.1360–1416</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1362</td>
<td></td>
<td>• Election in Avignon of Pope Urban V, r.1362–1370</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1364</td>
<td></td>
<td>• Charles V le Sage, King of France, r.1364–1380</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1369</td>
<td></td>
<td>• Enrique II el de la Mercedes, King of Castile and León, r.1369–1379</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1370</td>
<td></td>
<td>• Election in Avignon of Pope Gregory XI, r.1370–1378</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1376</td>
<td>• Born in Cervera (county of Segarra in the diocese of Lleida)</td>
<td>• Pope Gregory XI moves papal curia from Avignon to Rome where he soon dies</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1378</td>
<td></td>
<td>• Papal schism begins (1378–1417) upon the double election of Pope Urban VI, r.1378–1389 in Rome followed by the election in Fondi of Anti-pope Clement VII, r.1378–1394</td>
<td>• Juan I, King of Castile and León, r.1379–1390</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1379</td>
<td></td>
<td>• Juan I, King of Castile and León, r.1379–1390</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1380</td>
<td></td>
<td>• Charles VI le Fol, King of France, r.1380–1422</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1387</td>
<td></td>
<td>• Juan I el Cazador, King of Aragón, r.1387–1396</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1389</td>
<td>• Election in Rome of Pope Boniface IX, r.1389–1404</td>
<td>• Charles VI, King of France visits Anti-pope Clement VII in Avignon</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>EVENTS IN DALMAU DE MUR'S LIFE</th>
<th>SIGNIFICANT ECCLESIASTICAL EVENTS</th>
<th>SIGNIFICANT SECULAR EVENTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1390</td>
<td></td>
<td>• Enrique III el Doliente, King of Castile and León, r.1390–1406</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1392</td>
<td></td>
<td>• Louis I, Duke of Orléans, r.1392–1407</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1394</td>
<td>Election in Avignon of Anti-pope Benedict XIII, r.1394–1417</td>
<td>• Philippe le Hardi, Duke of Burgundy, Jean, Duke of Berry and Louis I, Duke of Orléans go to Avignon to meet with Anti-pope Benedict XIII</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1395</td>
<td></td>
<td>• Martin el Humano, King of Aragón, r.1396–1410; King of Sicily as Martin II, r.1409–1410</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1396</td>
<td></td>
<td>• France withdrawals its obedience to Anti-pope Benedict XIII</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1398</td>
<td>City of Avignon invaded by military forces ordered by the Duke of Burgundy, Philippe le Hardi</td>
<td>• Louis II, Count of Provence, Duke of Anjou and titular King of Naples (r.1389–1417)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1399</td>
<td>• By this date, educated at the University of Lleida • By this date, Rector of Valls (county of Alt Camp in the diocese of Tarragona)</td>
<td>• Palais des Papes in Avignon is besieged until 1403 when Anti-pope Benedict XIII escapes with the help of Louis, Duke of Orléans</td>
<td>• France reinstates its allegiance to Anti-pope Benedict XIII</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1401</td>
<td></td>
<td>• Election in Rome of Pope Innocent VII, r.1404–1406</td>
<td>• Jean sans Peur, Duke of Burgundy, r.1404–1419</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1404</td>
<td></td>
<td>• Election of Rome of Pope Gregory XII, r.1406–1415</td>
<td>• Juan II, King of Castile and León, r.1406–1454</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1405</td>
<td>Canon of Lleida</td>
<td>• Louis, Duke of Orléans, assassinated by Jean sans Peur, Duke of Burgundy</td>
<td>• Theologian Jean Petit reads the justification of Jean sans Peur, Duke of Burgundy, entitled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1406</td>
<td></td>
<td>• Anti-pope Benedict XIII installed at the Palais des rois de Majorique in Perpignan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1407</td>
<td></td>
<td>•</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1408</td>
<td></td>
<td>•</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>EVENTS IN DALMAU DE MUR’S LIFE</th>
<th>SIGNIFICANT ECCLESIASTICAL EVENTS</th>
<th>SIGNIFICANT SECULAR EVENTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1408</td>
<td>• Anti-pope Benedict XIII celebrates a General Council in Perpignan</td>
<td>• Justification du duc de Bourgogne</td>
<td>• France retracts its allegiance to Anti-pope Benedict XIII</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1409</td>
<td>• Canon of Girona</td>
<td>• Council of Pisa</td>
<td>• France retracts its allegiance to Anti-pope Benedict XIII</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Deposition of Pope Gregory XII and Anti-pope Benedict XIII</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Election in Pisa of Pope Alexander V, r.1409–1410; thus three reigning popes: Gregory XII in Rome, Benedict XIII in Avignon and Alexander V in Pisa</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1410</td>
<td>• During interregnum, supported the candidature of Jaume d’Urgell</td>
<td>• Election in Bologna of Pope John XXIII, r.1410–1415</td>
<td>• Interregnum in the Kingdom of Aragón (1410–1412)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1411</td>
<td>• Chancellor of the Estudi General de Lleida (1411–1416)</td>
<td>• Anti-pope Benedict XIII in exile at his castle in Peníscola</td>
<td>• Compromise of Caspe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1412</td>
<td></td>
<td>• Council of Rome</td>
<td>• Election of Fernando I el de Antequera, King of Aragón and Sicily, r.1412–1416</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1414</td>
<td>• Archdeacon of Ribagorza (Papal bull of Anti-pope Benedict XIII, June 19, 1414)</td>
<td>• Council of Constance (1414–1418)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1415</td>
<td>• Bishop of Girona (1416–1419)</td>
<td>• Pope John XXIII and Anti-pope Benedict XIII deposed, Gregory XII abdicates</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Accompanied King Fernando I to Perpignan to visit Anti-pope Benedict XIII</td>
<td>• Anti-pope Benedict XIII looses support of Castile and León, Navarre and Scotland</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DATE</td>
<td>EVENTS IN DALMAU DE MUR’S LIFE</td>
<td>SIGNIFICANT ECCLESIASTICAL EVENTS</td>
<td>SIGNIFICANT SECULAR EVENTS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 1416 | • Attends Council of Constance (1415–1417)  
• Assumes seat of Bishop of Girona  
• Convenes *junta* of architects at Girona Cathedral | • Final decision of the *junta* is announced and construction of nave at Girona Cathedral begins/continues | • Kingdom of Aragón withdraws obedience to Anti-pope Benedict XIII  
• Alfonso V el Magnánimo, King of Aragón, r.1416–1458; King of Naples, r.1442–1458 |
| 1417 | • Final decision of the *junta* is announced and construction of nave at Girona Cathedral begins/continues  
• Anti-pope Benedict XIII declared schismatic and excommunicated at the Council of Constance  
• Election in Constance of Pope Martin V, r.1417–1431  
• Official end of papal schism (1378–1417) |  | • Jean sans Peur, Duke of Burgundy, assassinated by the Dauphin of France (the future Charles VII)  
• Philippe le Bon, Duke of Burgundy, r.1419–1467  
• Charles VII le Victorieux, King of France, r.1422–1461  
• Alfonso V el Magnánimo, King of Aragón (r.1416–1458) becomes King of Naples, r.1442–1458 |
| 1418 | • Ambassador of King Alfonso V of Aragón to the Roman curia  
• Archbishop of Tarragona (1419–1431) |  |  |
| 1419 |  |  |  |
| 1422 | • Chancellor of the Crown of Catalonia-Aragón (1422–1439)  
• Death of Anti-pope Benedict XIII (or 1422)  
• Election in Peniscola of Anti-pope Clement VIII, r.1423–1429 (abdicated) |  |  |
| 1423 | • Ambassador of King Alfonso V of Aragón to Castile (first time to Valladolid, second time to Villareal)  
• Purchases manuscripts at Valencia Sale of Anti-pope Benedict XIII  
• Jean sans Peur, Duke of Burgundy, assassinated by the Dauphin of France (the future Charles VII)  
• Philippe le Bon, Duke of Burgundy, r.1419–1467  
• Charles VII le Victorieux, King of France, r.1422–1461  
• Alfonso V el Magnánimo, King of Aragón (r.1416–1458) becomes King of Naples, r.1442–1458 |  |  |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>EVENTS IN DALMAU DE MUR’S LIFE</th>
<th>SIGNIFICANT ECCLESIASTICAL EVENTS</th>
<th>SIGNIFICANT SECULAR EVENTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1424</td>
<td>• Convenes Provincial Council</td>
<td>• Election of Anti-pope Benedict XIV, r.1424–1429/30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Commisions Joan Font to copy John of Salisbury’s <em>Policraticus</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1426</td>
<td>• Presided over the parliament (<em>corts</em>) of Catalonia, held in Tortosa</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Commisions Tarragona Main Altarpiece</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1431</td>
<td>• Archbishop of Zaragoza (1431–1456)</td>
<td>• Election in Rome of Pope Eugene IV, r.1431–1447</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1432/33</td>
<td>• Commisions Joan Font to copy John Cassian’s <em>De institutis coenobiorum</em> and the <em>Liber collationum</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1434</td>
<td>• Commisions construction of main altarpiece in Zaragoza Cathedral</td>
<td>• Election of Anti-pope Felix V, r.1439–1449</td>
<td>• King Alfonso V of Aragón conquers Naples</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1435</td>
<td>• Reinstates construction of Valderrobres Castle</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1437</td>
<td>• Commisions Blasco de Grañén to paint panel painting, <em>The Virgen del arzobispo Mur</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1439</td>
<td>• Commisions construction of choir stalls in Zaragoza Cathedral</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1442</td>
<td>• Commisions construction of Archbishop’s Palace in Zaragoa</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1444</td>
<td>• Commisions construction of choir stalls in Zaragoa Cathedral</td>
<td>• Election in Rome of Pope Nicholas V, r.1447–1455</td>
<td>• End of Hundred Years’ War between France and England (1337–1453)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1445</td>
<td>• Commisions construction of Archbishop’s Palace in Zaragoa</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1447</td>
<td>• Baptized the Infante Fernando II (the future King of Aragón)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1453</td>
<td>• Commisions construction of choir screen for Zaragoana</td>
<td>• End of Hundred Years’ War between France and England (1337–1453)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DATE</td>
<td>EVENTS IN DALMAU DE MUR'S LIFE</td>
<td>SIGNIFICANT ECCLESIASTICAL EVENTS</td>
<td>SIGNIFICANT SECULAR EVENTS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1454</td>
<td>Cathedral • Deposit of testament (March 5)</td>
<td>• Election in Rome of Pope Calixtus III, r.1455–1458</td>
<td>• Enrique IV el Impotente, King of Castile and León, r.1454–1474</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1455</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1456</td>
<td>• Commissions altarpiece for Archbishop’s Palace in Zaragoza (work continues through 1459) • Dies in Zaragoza (September 12)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1456</td>
<td>• Executors announce death and open testament (September 12) • Reading of codicils of testament (September 14)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1458</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1474</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Juan II el Grande, King of Aragón, r.1458–1474 • Isabel I la Católica, Queen of Castile and León, r.1474–1504 • Fernando II el Católico, King of Aragón, r.1479–1516; King Consort (and later Regent) of Castile and León, r.1507–1516</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
APPENDIX B

LIST OF DOCUMENTS RELEVANT TO
DALMAU DE MUR AND HIS FAMILY

This appendix has two sections:

1. **B.1** is a list of archival documents consulted. They are presented in chronological order.

   The same documents are listed in the bibliography where they are organized alphabetically by city and then by name of archive. Here, each entry follows a rubric; information is provided when applicable and when known:

   - Year / month / day, city (copy of original document noted)
   - Name of notary
   - Summary of document contents
   - Document type, number of folios (if known), folio number (if known)
   - City, archive name, inventory/manuscript/shelf number

2. **B.2** is an excerpt transcription of the inventory of tapestries made in the sacristy of Zaragoza Cathedral in 1521.
B.1 LIST OF ARCHIVAL DOCUMENTS CONSULTED

• **1298 November 21**
  Testament of Arnau de Mur\(^{820}\)
  Parchment document
  Barcelona, BCAH, inv. no. 7562 Arx. 785\(^{821}\)

• **1298 November 21**
  Testament of Arnau de Mur with additional clause
  Parchment document
  Barcelona, BCAH, inv. no. 7561 Arx. 78

• **1312, Girona**
  *Llibre vert* lists the capitular records
  Parchment document
  Girona, ACCG, MS 7

• **1367 July 7, Lleida**
  Donation of the lordship of Utxafava\(^{822}\) by Dalmau de Mur the elder\(^{823}\)
  Parchment document
  Barcelona, BCAH, inv. no. 7563 Arx. 785

• **1367 December 18, Lleida**
  Stipulations concerning the donation of the lordship of Utxafava by Dalmau de Mur the elder
  Parchment document
  Barcelona, BCAH, inv. no. 7564 Arx. 785

• **1373 February 19**
  Sale in Montserrat\(^{824}\) by Dalmau de Queralt
  Paper manuscript, folios 2–3v
  Barcelona, BCAH, inv. no. 7560 Arx. 784

\(^{820}\) Arnau de Mur (d. after 1316) served as a lawyer to Jaime II el Justo, King of Aragón (r.1267–1327). *Gran Enciclopèdia Catalana*, 2nd ed., 27 vols. (Barcelona: Enciclopèdia Catalana, 1988), s.v. “Mur.”

\(^{821}\) The collection of documents for BCAH 785 and BCAH 789 are collectively known as the “*Inventari dels fons del Comtat de Queralt:*” There is a PDF that can be consulted as a finding aid. I am indebted to Reis Fontanals Jaumà (Barcelona, Biblioteca de Catalunya Arxiu Històric) who kindly offered her assistance with my research on Dalmau de Mur and his family.

\(^{822}\) Utxafava (today known as Vila-sana) is located in the county in the diocese of Lleida.

\(^{823}\) This Dalmau de Mur is perhaps the grandfather of Dalmau de Mur i de Cervelló (1376–1456), but their relation is not mentioned in the *Gran Enciclopèdia Catalana*, 2nd ed., 27 vols. (Barcelona: Enciclopèdia Catalana, 1988), “Mur.”

\(^{824}\) Located in the county and diocese of Barcelona.
• **1375 February 14, Barcelona**  
Testament of Dalmau de Mur the elder  
Parchment document  
Barcelona, BCAH, inv. no. 7565 Arx. 785

• **1377 February 21, Barcelona**  
Inventory of the possessions of Dalmau de Mur the elder  
Paper manuscript, 16 folios  
Barcelona, BCAH, inv. no. 7619 Arx. 785

• **1377 March 6, Barcelona**  
Agreement made by Constança de Mur, wife of Dalmau de Mur the elder  
Parchment document  
Barcelona, BCAH, inv. no. 7566 Arx. 785

• **1377 March 7, Barcelona**  
Testament of Dalmau de Mur the elder with additional clause  
Parchment document  
Barcelona, BCAH, inv. no. 7567 Arx. 785

• **1379 February 17, Barcelona (18th-century copy)**  
Royal declaration in favor of Dalmau de Queralt i de Montroig825  
Paper manuscript, 8 folios  
Barcelona, BCAH, inv. no. 7620 Arx. 789

• **1386 April 20**  
Stipulations concerning the donation of the lordship Utxafava by Dalmau de Mur the elder  
Parchment document  
Barcelona, BCAH, inv. no. 7568 Arx. 785

• **1387 June 19, Utxafava (1617 copy)**  
Stipulations concerning the donation of Santa Coloma de Queralt by Dalmau de Mur  
Parchment document  
Barcelona, BCAH, inv. no. 7569 Arx. 785

• **1400 October 24, Utxafava**  
Census document from Santa Coloma de Queralt826  
Parchment manuscript, folios 2–3v  
Barcelona, BCAH, inv. no. 7570 Arx. 785

---

825 Dalmau de Queralt i de Rocabertí (1335–1387), Baron of Queralt, Lord of Santa Coloma, Utxafava, Bellver, Mont-roig married Constança de Pinós. He is the great uncle of Dalmau de Mur, Archbishop of Zaragoza, maternal grandmother’s. *Gran Enciclopèdia Catalana*, 2nd ed., 27 vols. (Barcelona: Enciclopèdia Catalana, 1988), s.v. “Queralt,” and “Queralt i de Rocabertí, Dalmau de.”

826 Located in the county of Conca de Barberà, in the diocese of Tarragona.
• 1410
  Inventory of the possessions of King Martín I  
  Parchment manuscript, 150 folios
  Barcelona, ACA, Real Cancillería, Registros, N° 2326

• 1409–1446
  Capitular registers listing benefices, indulgences and licenses
  Comprises 2 paper manuscripts:
    Book 1, 138 folios
    Book 2, 132 folios
  Tarragona, ADCT, Inv. Letra A, N° 5

• 1417–1422
  Capitular registers listing benefices, indulgences and licenses
  Paper manuscript
  Tarragona, ADCT, MS 16

• 1415 or before, no date  
  Response of Acard de Mur regarding an allegation by Eimeric de Centelles
  Paper manuscript
  Barcelona, BCAH, inv. no. 13044 Caixa III

• 1415 December 18, Girona
  Curia and Vicar-General documents listing the officials named by Dalmau de Mur upon his appointment as Bishop of Girona
  Paper manuscript, 180 folios, folio 152v
  Girona, ADG, MS D-181  

• 1416 January 3, Girona
  Curia and Vicar-General documents listing the officials named by Bishop Dalmau de Mur
  Paper manuscript, 180 folios, folios 153v–154
  Girona, ADG, MS D-181  

827 Martín I el Humano, King of Aragón (r.1396–1410).
828 Assuming this is Dalmau de Mur’s brother, Acard de Mur, who died in 1415, and not an earlier ancestor with the same name (one who died in 1316, another who in the middle of the fourteenth century was Lord of Rubió and married Elinor d’Albi). Gran Enciclopèdia Catalana, 2nd ed., 27 vols. (Barcelona: Enciclopèdia Catalana, 1988), s.v. “Mur.”
829 Referred to as number 28 (6), which records years 1413–1416 see Josep Maria Marquès i Planagumà, Arxiu Diocesà de Girona: guia-inventari (Girona: Generalitat de Catalunya, 1998), 38.
830 Referred to as number 28 (6), which records years 1413–1416 see Josep Maria Marquès i Planagumà, Arxiu Diocesà de Girona: guia-inventari (Girona: Generalitat de Catalunya, 1998), 38.
• **1416–1417, Girona**  
  Record of the opinions of the *junta* of architects called together by Dalmau de Mur  
Parchment document  
Girona, ACCG, *Consulta i aprovació de la continuació de la catedral de Girona amb una nau única*

• **1417, Girona**  
  Episcopal acts recording pastoral visits for the years 1386, 1404 and 1417  
  Paper manuscript comprised of three sections corresponding to the year of visit:  
  1386 manuscript, 63 folios  
  1404 manuscript, 106 folios  
  1417 manuscript, 61 folios  
Girona, ADG, MS 145

• **1419 August 6, Girona**  
  Notary: Antoni Mitjà  
  Curia and Vicar-General documents records the naming of new officials by Dalmau de Mur, Archbishop of Tarragona  
  Paper manuscript, folio 147v  
Girona, ADG, MS D-182

• **1419 November 24, Girona**  
  Notary: Antoni Mitjà  
  Curia and Vicar-General documents records receipt signed by Dalmau de Mur, Archbishop of Tarragona, acknowledging payment of 89 *lliures*  
  Paper manuscript, folio 164v  
Girona, ADG, MS D-182

• **1420 June 20, Girona**  
  Notary: Antoni Mitjà  
  Curia and Vicar-General documents records receipt signed by Joan Gabriel Pavía, Landlord of the Diocese, acknowledging payment of 1,400 *lliures* from the office of the diocese, of which 442 *lliures* pertain to the Archbishop Dalmau de Mur  
  Paper manuscript, folio 199  
Girona, ADG, MS D-182

---

831 The documents that record the parochial visits made by the Bishop of Girona, MS 15 (1401–1402) and MS 16 (1420), skip the years 1415–1419, when Dalmau de Mur served as bishop.

832 Referred to as number 29 (7), which records years 1417–1421, see Josep Maria Marquès i Planagumà, *Arxiu Diocesà de Girona: guia-inventari* (Girona: Generalitat de Catalunya, 1998), 38.

833 Referred to as number 29 (7), which records years 1417–1421, see Josep Maria Marquès i Planagumà, *Arxiu Diocesà de Girona: guia-inventari* (Girona: Generalitat de Catalunya, 1998), 38.

834 Referred to as number 29 (7), which records years 1417–1421, see Josep Maria Marquès i Planagumà, *Arxiu Diocesà de Girona: guia-inventari* (Girona: Generalitat de Catalunya, 1998), 38.
• **1423, Peníscola**  
  Inventory of the books owned by antiPope Benedict XIII  
  Paper manuscript, 130 folios, folio 8  
  Barcelona, BCAH, MS 233

• **1424 April 19, Valencia**  
  Notary: Joan Eximeno  
  Marriage contract between Hug Pere de Mur\(^{835}\) and Blanca Maça de Liçana  
  Parchment document  
  Barcelona, BCAH, inv. no. 11919 9-II-1

• **1424 April 19, Valencia (1443 copy)**  
  Notary: Joan Eximeno  
  Marriage contract between Hug Pere de Mur and Blanca Maça de Liçana  
  Parchment document  
  Barcelona, BCAH, inv. no. 11983 9-VII-1

• **1424 April 19, Valencia (1448, Barcelona)**  
  Notary: Joan Eiximén (1448 copy sealed by the notary Antoni Miquel)  
  Marriage contract between Hug Pere de Mur and Blanca Maça de Liçana  
  Parchment document  
  Barcelona, BCAH, inv. no. 15048 Perg. VI

• **1424 April 19, Valenica (1449 copy)**  
  Notary: Joan Eximeno  
  Marriage contract between Hug Pere de Mur and Blanca Maça de Liçana  
  Parchment document  
  Barcelona, BCAH, inv. no. 11880 9-II-1

• **1424 April 19, Valencia (1449, Santa Coloma de Queralt)**  
  Notary: Joan Eiximén (copy sealed by the notary Bernat Giner)  
  Marriage contract between Hug Pere de Mur and Blanca Maça de Liçana  
  Parchment document  
  Barcelona, BCAH, inv. no. 15017 Perg. VI

• **1424 April 19, Valencia (1504 copy)**  
  Notary: Joan Eiximén  
  Marriage contract between Hug Pere de Mur and Blanca Maça de Liçana  
  Parchment document  
  Barcelona, BCAH, inv. no. 15002 Perg. VI

---

835 Hug Pere de Mur (d. after 1430), is the brother of Dalmau de Mur, Archbishop of Zaragoza.
- **1424 April 19, Valencia**
  Notary: Joan Eximeno
  Marriage contract between Hug Pere de Mur and Blanca Maça de Liçana with acknowledgement of payment and increase to the nuptial donation
  Parchment document
  Barcelona, BCAH, inv. no. 11918 9-II-1

- **1424 November 29, Valencia (1454 copy)**
  Notary: Joan Eximeno
  Marriage contract between Hug Pere de Mur and Blanca Maça de Liçana
  Parchment document
  Barcelona, BCAH, inv. no. 11881 9-II-1

- **1424 November 29 (1443 copy)**
  Notary: Joan Eximeno
  Marriage contract between Hug Pere de Mur and Blanca Maça de Liçana
  Parchment document
  Barcelona, BCAH, inv. no. 11913 9-II-1

- **1427–1430, Tarragona**
  Capitular registers listing benefices, indulgences and licenses
  Comprises 2 paper manuscripts:
  - Manuscript 17a, 22 folios
  - Manuscript 17b, 167 folios
  Tarragona, ADCT, MS 17a–b

- **1429 June 21, near Castile (1435 September 20, copy)**
  Protonotary of the Royal Chancellery: Ramon Batlle
  Royal and Public notary of Barcelona: Berenguer de Clerico
  Testament of Hug de Mur, Baron of Albi, given in the royal encampment of Alfonso V, King of Aragón, while on the border of Castile, by which he declared as primary heir the child that his wife bears in her womb, on condition that it is male; if not, the heir was to be the male descendent of his daughter, Brianda
  Parchment document
  Barcelona, BCAH, inv. no. 17853 Perg. XIX

- **1430 June 17, Esplugà Calba**
  Notary: Francesc Pallers
  Testament and codicils of Hug de Mur, Baron of Albi
  Parchment document
  Barcelona, BCAH, inv. no. 12851 9-VI-2

---

836 Located in the county of Garrigues in the diocese of Lleida.
• **1446 February 10, Lleida**
  Notary: Pere Terroç
  Testimony by Brianda Pere, daughter of Hug and Blanca de Mur, and her sister Isabel, 20 and 17 years old [respectively], appear before the chief magistrate of Lleida. Parchment document
  Barcelona, BCAH, inv. no. 15919 9-IV-4

• **1449–1462, Girona**
  Capitular acts listing donations
  Paper manuscript
  Girona, ACCG, *Actes Capitulars*, N° 3

• **1454 March 4, Zaragoza (1588 March, Barcelona, copy)**
  Notary: Juan de Pitielles (Michael Joanes Amat copiest)
  Testament of Dalmau de Mur, Archbishop of Zaragoza
  Paper manuscript, originally 1928 folios (first 169 are missing), folios 431–459v
  Zaragoza, ADZP, MS 164

• **1456 October 11, Girona**
  Notary: P. Begudà
  Curia and Vicar-General documents recording receipt signed by the Cathedral Chapter acknowledging receipt of the bible that bears the coat of arms of the King of France, given by Dalmau de Mur, Archbishop of Zaragoza
  Paper manuscript, 222 folios, folio 58
  Girona, ADG, MS D-196

• **1457 February 16, Girona**
  Treasury Deposits from 1355–1504 recording deposit of 361 escuts d’or, funds provided by Dalmau de Mur, Archbishop of Zaragoza
  Paper manuscript, 240 folios, folio 108
  Girona, ADG, MS U-324

---

837 Must be before both girls later marry (dates unknown): Brianda to Nicolau Carròs d’Arborea and Isabel to Pere d’Urrea.

838 I am grateful to Paloma del Valle (Barcelona, ADPZ) for sending me digital images of this document.

839 Referred to as number 43 (20), which records years 1456–1459, see Josep Maria Marquès i Planagumà, *Arxiu Diocesà de Girona: guia-inventari* (Girona: Generalitat de Catalunya, 1998), 38.

840 Referred to as number 1, which records years 1355–1504, see Josep Maria Marquès i Planagumà, *Arxiu Diocesà de Girona: guia-inventari* (Girona: Generalitat de Catalunya, 1998), 80.
• **1458 June 26, Girona**
  Treasury Deposits from 1355–1504 recording withdrawal of 240 *escuts d’or* provided by Dalmau de Mur, Archbishop of Zaragoza, as a loan to the provincial parliament to be celebrated in Tarragona; 1460 March 19, of which 60 *escuts d’or* were repaid
  Paper manuscript, 240 folios, folio 113
  Girona, ADG, MS U-324\(^{841}\)

• **1459 May 25, Girona**
  Treasury Deposits from 1355–1504 recording withdrawal of 100 *escuts d’or* provided by Dalmau de Mur, Archbishop of Zaragoza, to be given to Bernat Prim, Cathedral worker
  Paper manuscript, 240 folios, folio 117v
  Girona, ADG, MS U-324\(^{842}\)

• **1459 November 2, Girona**
  Treasury Deposits from 1355–1504 recording withdrawal of 21 *escuts d’or* provided by Dalmau de Mur, Archbishop of Zaragoza, for the construction work of the Cathedral
  Paper manuscript, 240 folios, folio 118
  Girona, ADG, MS U-324\(^{843}\)

• **1460 November 14, Girona**
  Treasury Deposits from 1355–1504 recording deposit of 139 *lliures* by Gaufred Serrahí in repayment for the money that Jordà d’Avinyó had removed from funds provided by Dalmau de Mur, Archbishop of Zaragoza
  Paper manuscript, 240 folios, folio 122
  Girona, ADG, MS U-324\(^{844}\)

• **1474, Barcelona**
  Notary: Francesc Estanyol, secretary to the Queen of Sicily
  Royal absolution in favor of Hug de Mur and Isabel d’Urrèa i de Mur by Queen Juana, Infanta of Aragón,\(^{845}\) that also includes a signed voucher stating that Isabel, as an executrix of Queen Juana, is to receive pieces of silver and books
  Parchment document with attached (covered) seal
  Barcelona, BCAH, inv. no. 10214 10-III-2

\(^{841}\) Referred to as number 1, which records years 1355–1504, see Josep Maria Marquès i Planagumà, *Arxiu Diocesà de Girona: guia-inventari* (Girona: Generalitat de Catalunya, 1998), 80.

\(^{842}\) Referred to as number 1, which records years 1355–1504, see Josep Maria Marquès i Planagumà, *Arxiu Diocesà de Girona: guia-inventari* (Girona: Generalitat de Catalunya, 1998), 80.

\(^{843}\) Referred to as number 1, which records years 1355–1504, see Josep Maria Marquès i Planagumà, *Arxiu Diocesà de Girona: guia-inventari* (Girona: Generalitat de Catalunya, 1998), 80.

\(^{844}\) Referred to as number 1, which records years 1355–1504 see Josep Maria Marquès i Planagumà, *Arxiu Diocesà de Girona: guia-inventari* (Girona: Generalitat de Catalunya, 1998), 80.

\(^{845}\) Juana de Aragón, Infanta of the Kingdom of Aragón (1454–1517) married in 1476 Fernando I, King of Naples (r.1458–1494).
• **1474 June 24**  
Papal indulgence and absolution in favor of Hug de Mur and Isabel d’Urrea i de Mur for having donated 5 florins for the campaign against the Turks  
Parchment document with attached seal  
Barcelona, BCAH, inv. no. 10213 10-III-2

• **1487 February 15, Cagliari, Sardinia (1488 copy)**  
Notary: Joan Boi  
Testament of Brianda Carròs i de Mur, wife of Nicolau Carròs d’Arborea, with additional clause  
Parchment document  
Barcelona, BCAH, inv. no. 12661 9-III-1

• **1500 August 8, Barcelona**  
Notary: Lluís Carles Mir  
Power of attorney from Estefanía Carròs d’Arborea i Mur to Jaume del Bosch and Ramon de Torrelles, both knights of the Order of Montesa, to recover a certain quantity of money from the Governor of Valencia  
Parchment document  
Barcelona, BCAH, inv. no. 15784 1-IV-2

• **1512 October 10**  
Lawsuit of Estefanía Carròs d’Arborea i Mur  
Paper manuscript, 193 folios  
Barcelona, BCAH, inv. no. 7205 Arm. 79 núm. 75

• **1521, Zaragoza**  
Inventory of the sacristy of Zaragoza Cathedral  
Paper manuscript, 274 folios, folios 110–118  
Zaragoza, ASCZ, Inventario de 1521

• **No date, late 16th century (?), Girona**  
*Calçada* records capitular business transactions  
Paper manuscript with parchment cover  
Girona, ACCG, MS. 66

• **No date, late 19th or early 20th century, Girona**  
*Episcopologi seri del Prebendats* lists the Bishops of Girona  
Paper manuscript, folio 35  
Girona, ACCG, MS. 105

---

846 | am grateful to Antero Hombre Tortajada, Déan del Cabildo de la Seo de San Salvador, for allowing me to photograph relevant portions of this inventory.
• **1910–1912, Girona**
Capitular resolutions recording Cathedral’s collection that were donated or sold
Paper manuscript
Girona, ACCG, *Actes Capitulars, 1910–1912*

### B.2 EXCERPT FROM THE INVENTORY OF THE TAPESTRIES IN THE SACRISTY OF ZARAGOZA CATHEDRAL 847

Folios 110–118

*Paños de Raz que fueron de la secución del Rdo. Padre y S. Arçobispo Don Dalmao*848

Item los antedichos visitadores en la sacristia en el año 1513 los paños infrascriptos:

- Un paño de raz del devallament de la cruz y como fué posado nuestro redemptor en el monument y resuscitó y apareció a la Madalena

- Item otro paño de raz del sobredito Señor obrado en oro y seda es la adoración de los tres reyes tiene atoques como el susodicho

- Item otro paño de raz con imagines de Sanct Valer, Sanct Vicent, Sanct Llorent y otras ymagines. Franga verde y blanca de oro

- Item otro paño de raz de la natividad con los pastores y bestiar y las armas del Arçobispo Don Dalmao colgadas en el cuello de dos ciervos uno a cada parte

- Item otro paño de raz en el qual esta figurado como llevaban a crucificar a nuestro Redemptor e cómo fue crucificado entre dos ladrones y el devallament de la cruz

A xxvi de janero año 1521 se hallaron en ser los dichos cinco paños de raz como arriba esta escripto

*Paños grandes de raz del susodicho señor [Don Dalmao]*

- Primerament dos drapos de raz figurados grandes istoriados del misterio de la passion849

---

847 The inventory does not have a shelf number; it is simply referred to as the *Inventario de 1521*. For a complete transcription, see Eduardo Torra de Arana, Antero Hombría Tortajada and Tomás Domingo Pérez, *Los tapices de la Seo de Zaragoza* (Zaragoza: Caja de Ahorros de la Inmaculada, 1985), 324–325.

848 Dalmau de Mur, Archbishop of Zaragoza (r.1431–1456).
• Item otro paño de raz menor en el qual es el crucifixo con algunas ymagines de Sancta Chaterina y Sanct Jayme el qual dió el Condestable de Castilla al sobredicho señor

Allamos en ser estos dos paños de raz como están en estos dos teste descriptos al primero febrero 1521

849 These are the two tapestries of the Passion that are the focus of this dissertation.
APPENDIX C

CATALOG OF WORKS OF ART COMMISSIONED

AND ACQUIRED BY DALMAU DE MUR

This appendix presents the works of art commissioned and acquired by Dalmau de Mur. They are listed alphabetically by the city in which they are currently located. An asterisk on the first line identifies the works of art that Dalmau de Mur commissioned. The provenance of the objects is not cited here; see Chapter III for a full description of each work of art. At the end of the list are the works of art that are now lost. They include manuscripts, panel paintings and metalwork. Liturgical vestments are not listed, since they are numerous. Each entry follows the format outlined below; information is provided when applicable and when known:

City, Place, inventory/manuscript/shelf number (*=commissioned by Dalmau de Mur)
Title (author, if applicable)
Region, date of work
Artist type: name of artist(s)
Object type, material, folios and/or dimensions (provided when known)
Notes
Bibliography
• **Barcelona, BCAH, MS 657***  
*De institutis cenobiorum* and *Collationes* by John Cassian  
Catalonia, copied in 1432–1433  
Scribe and possibly illuminator: Joan Font  
Illuminated manuscript, parchment, 234 folios  
Coat of arms of Dalmau de Mur, folio 1, along with historiated initials with portraits of Dalmau de Mur and a cleric (perhaps John Cassian or Joan Font)  
Colophons on folios 50 and 217v  

• **Barcelona, Museu Nacional d’Art de Catalunya, inv. no. MNAC/MAC 24186***  
Carved roundel with the bust of God the Father, a fragment from the main altarpiece at Zaragoza Cathedral  
Aragón, 1434–1439  
Sculptor: Pere Joan  
Sculpted altarpiece, alabaster  

• **El Escorial, Bible MS. a.1.5.***  
*Bible of Dalmau de Mur*  
Bologna, ca. 1295–1300  
Illuminator: Master of the Girona Bible or follower  
Illuminated manuscript, parchment, 507 folios  
Coat of arms of Dalmau de Mur, folio 2.  

• **Girona, Cathedral**
  Central nave expansion
  Catalonia, begun 1417
  Master stonemasons: Antoni Canet and Guillem Bofill; assistant architects: Pascasi Xulbe, Joan Xulbe and Pere Johan Vallfogona
  Single nave with four bays, stone, 23 meters wide, 55 meters long, 34 meters high

• **Girona, ACCG, MS 10**
  *Girona Bible* (also known as the *Gerona Bible* and the *Bible of Charles V*)
  Bologna, ca.1281–1289
  Illuminator: Master of the Girona Bible
  Illuminated manuscript, parchment, 583 folios
  Cover has gold clasps decorated with the arms of King Charles V of France
• **New York, Metropolitan Museum of Art, Cloisters Collection, inv. no. 09.146, 14.101–1,2, 16.79***

Altarpiece from the chapel in Archbishop’s Palace, Zaragoza
Aragón, 1456–1459
Sculptor: Francesc Gomar
Caved altarpiece, alabaster, 271.8 x 464.8 cm
For the panel paintings that belong to this object, see below, Zaragoza, Archbishop’s Palace

• **Tarragona, Cathedral***

Main altarpiece
Catalonia, 1426–ca.1433
Sculptor: Pere Joan
Carved altarpiece

• **Valderrobres, Castle***

Construction of second story of the castle
Aragón, 1435–1456
Architects and/or sculptors: unknown; unidentified masons’ marks
Second story of castle, stone
Coat of arms of Dalmau de Mur can still be seen on corbel of second story room known as the “olivera”

• **Valencia, ACCV, MS 297***

*Policraticus* by John of Salisbury
Catalonia, copied in 1424
Copied in 1424
Scribe and possibly illuminator: Joan Font
Illuminated manuscript, parchment, 157 folios
Coat of arms of Dalmau de Mur, folio 1
Colophon folio 156
Zaragoza, Cathedral*

Choir screen, lost
Aragón, 1453–1456
Sculptor: Francesc Gomar
Sculpture, wood


Zaragoza, Cathedral*

Choir stalls
Aragón, 1444–1453
Sculptors: Anthon Gomar and Francesc Gomar
Sculpture, wood


Zaragoza, Cathedral*

Main altarpiece
Aragón, 1434–1445 and 1457–1460
Sculptors: Pere Joan and Francesc Gomar
Sculpted altarpiece, alabaster and wood


Zaragoza, Cathedral, Museo de los tapices, no inventory number

Set of two tapestries, *The Passion of Christ*
Franco-Flanders region, ca.1400–1410
Artists: unknown designers; anonymous weavers
Tapestry, wool, silk, silver and gold threads, 400 x 800 cm.
tapices de la Seo de Zaragoza (Zaragoza: Caja de Ahorros de la Inmaculada de Aragón, 1998), 89–94.

- **Zaragoza, Museo de Zaragoza, no inventory number***
  Panel painting, *Virgen del arzobispo Mur* (or, *María, reina de los cielos*)
  Aragón, ca.1437–1439
  Painter: Blasco de Grañén
  Panel painting, wood, 233 x 143 cm.
  Originally formed the central portion of a larger altarpiece for the church of Santa María de Albalate in Teruel (diocese of Zaragoza)

- **Zaragoza, Archbishop’s Palace, no inventory numbers***
  Two sets of panel paintings (1) Saint Martin of Tours and Santa Thecla and (2) Saint Augustine and Saint Lawrence from the chapel in Archbishop’s Palace, Zaragoza
  Aragón, 1459
  Painter: Tomás Giner
  For the altarpiece to which this object belongs, see above, New York, Metropolitan Museum of Art, Cloisters Collection

- **Zaragoza, Zaragoza Cathedral, Sacristy, no inventory number***
  Archbishop’s Crosier
  Aragón, 1444–1456
  Sculptor: attributed to Francesc Gomar
  Crosier, wood with polychrome and gilded
  Bibliography: *Aragón, reino y corona* (Zaragoza: Gobierno de Aragón/Ibercaja, 2000), 443, no. 269.

**UNTRACED PANEL PAINTINGS**

- **Panel Paintings**, four, one with the pietà with the image of the Virgin Mary, decorated with pearls and precious stones
  Bequeathed to Monastery of Santa Fe (diocese of Zaragoza)
  Bibliography: Listed in Dalmau de Mur’s testament: Zaragoza, ADPZ, MS 164, folio 442v.

**UNTRACED MANUSCRIPTS**

- **Antiquititates Judaicae** by Flavius Josephus
  Illuminated (?) manuscript
  Dalmau de Mur purchased this manuscript at the 1423 sale in Valencia of Anti-pope Benedict XIII

- **Breviary**
  Illuminated (?) manuscript
  Bequeathed to Domingo Betrián, Dalmau de Mur’s chamberlain
  Bibliography: Listed in Dalmau de Mur’s testament: Zaragoza, ADPZ, MS 164, folio 451.

- **Lo Cartoixà**
  Illuminated (?) manuscript, 2 volumes
  Bibliography: Listed in Dalmau de Mur’s testament: Zaragoza, ADPZ, MS 164, folio 443.

- **Diurnal**
  Illuminated (?) manuscript
  Bequeathed to Domingo Betrián, Dalmau de Mur’s chamberlain
  Bibliography: Listed in Dalmau de Mur’s testament: Zaragoza, ADPZ, MS 164, folio 451.

- **Pontifical** (small)
  Illuminated (?) manuscript
  Bibliography: Listed in Dalmau de Mur’s testament: Zaragoza, ADPZ, MS 164, folio 442.

- **Pontifical** (large)
  Illuminated (?) manuscript
  Bibliography: Listed in Dalmau de Mur’s testament: Zaragoza, ADPZ, MS 164, folio 442.

**UNTRACED METALWORK**

- **Candelabra**, four large brass that came from the archbishop’s residence
  Bequeathed to Zaragoza Cathedral
  Bibliography: Listed in Dalmau de Mur’s testament: Zaragoza, ADPZ, MS 164, folio 442.

- **Candelabra**, two silver-gilt that were made in Zaragoza
  Bequeathed to Zaragoza Cathedral
  Bibliography: Listed in Dalmau de Mur’s testament: Zaragoza, ADPZ, MS 164, folio 442.

- **Chalice**, large, gold decorated with the coat of arms of Dalmau de Mur and emblem in enamel
  Bequeathed to Zaragoza Cathedral
  Bibliography: Listed in Dalmau de Mur’s testament: Zaragoza, ADPZ, MS 164, folio 441v.
• **Cross,** *gold with a silver base that was decorated with pearls and precious stones*
  Bequeathed to Santa María la Mayor in Zaragoza (today known as the Basílica del Pilar de Zaragoza)
  Bibliography: Listed in Dalmau de Mur’s testament: Zaragoza, ADPZ, MS 164, folios 442–442v.

• **Reliquary,** *gold with a silver base that was decorated with pearls and gems, depicting the Nativity of Christ*
  Bequeathed to Zaragoza Cathedral
  Bibliography: Listed in Dalmau de Mur’s testament: Zaragoza, ADPZ, MS 164, folios 441–441v.
APPENDIX D

LIST OF DALMAU DE MUR’S ARTISTS

This appendix presents, in alphabetical order, the primary artists that worked for Dalmau de Mur. The works of art commissioned by Dalmau are in bold to distinguish them from other objects made by the artist. Each artist’s entry follows the format outlined below; information is provided when applicable and when known:

LAST NAME, First name (variants)
Dates of Activity
Pertinent biographical information
Artist type [e.g., Architect, Painter, Scribe, etc.]
Region(s) where active
List of works of art, date of manufacture (those made for Dalmau de Mur are in bold)
Selected bibliography
BOFILL, Guillem (BOFFIY)
Active 1416–1421
Architect
Catalonia
- Girona Cathedral, participated in the junta, January 1416
- Cathedral of Girona, central nave and vaults, 1417–1421

CANET, Antoni (Antonio, Antonius)
Active 1394–d. before April 1431
Architect, sculptor
Catalonia
- Barcelona Cathedral, sculpted part of the choir as an apprentice of Pere Ça Anglada, 1394
- Palma de Mallorca Cathedral, sculpted the “Mirador” portal, 1397
- Barcelona Cathedral, sculpted portal of former chapter house (now Capella del Santíssim) in the cloister, 1405
- Barcelona Cathedral, sculpted foliage in the bapistry, 1405
- Santa María de Litera, contracted by the widow of the painter Pedro de Valldébriga, to sculpt statue for the altarpiece, 1406
- Urgell Cathedral, ca.1416
- Barcelona Cathedral, sculpted tomb of Ramon d’Escales, Bishop of Barcelona (r.1386–1398, d.1406), in the Capella de les Ànimes, 1409–1412
- Girona Cathedral, participated in the junta, January 1416
- Cathedral of Girona, built the first two bays of the nave, 1417–1426
- Santo Domingo, Girona, sculpted wooden tabernacle, 1423 (untraced)
- Cathedral of Girona, sculpted *Virgin and Child* on the first vault boss, 1424
FONT, Joan (Juan)
Active 1424–1433
Manuscript scribe and possibly illuminator
Catalonia
• Copied Polycraticus, 1424 (Valencia, ACCV, MS 297), signed colophon, folio 156
• Copied De institutis coenobiorum and the Collationes, 1432–1433, (Barcelona, BCAH, MS 657), signed colophon, folios 50 and 217v


GINER, Tomás (Master of Arnoult, Master of the Arzobispo (Dalmau, Dolmáu) (de) Mur, Master of Mur, Master of the Prelado (de) Mur)
Active 1458–1480
Painter
Aragón
• Madrid, El Museo Nacional del Prado, panel painting of Saint Vincent, fragment from an altarpiece (original context unknown), ca.1450, inv. no. unknown
• Zaragoza Cathedral, painted main altarpiece, 1458
• Zaragoza, Archbishop’s Palace, painted main altarpiece, 1459, now in the Metropolitan Museum of Art, New York, inv. no. 09.146, 14.101-1,2, 16.79
• Kingdom of Aragón, court painter of Fernando II el Católico, King of Aragón (r.1479–1516), 1473–ca.1480, it is possible that some commissions survive, but a study of them is beyond the scope of this list

GOMAR, Anthon
Active 1440–1453
Brother of Francesc Gomar (see below)
Sculptor
Catalonia, Aragón, Italy
- **Tarragona Cathedral, 1440–**
- **Lleida Cathedral, 1440–**
- **Zaragoza Cathedral, sculpted choir stalls, 1444–1453**
- Castelnuovo, Naples, sculpted choir stalls for chapel of Alfonso V, King of Aragón (r.1416–1458) and King of Naples (r.1442–1458), 1453, it is possible that other commissions survive, but a study of them is beyond the scope of this list


GOMAR, Francesc (Francesco, Francí, Françí, Francisco)
Active 1443–d. ca.1492/93
Brother of Anthon Gomar (see above)
Sculptor
Catalonia, Aragón
- **Tarragona Cathedral, unspecified areas/objects, 1443**
- **Zaragoza Cathedral, sculpted choir stalls, 1444–1453**
- **Zaragoza Cathedral, sculpted choir screen, 1453–1456**
- **Zaragoza, Archbishop’s Palace, sculpted altarpiece, ca.1456–1459, now in the Metropolitan Museum of Art, New York, inv. no. 09.146, 14.101-1,2, 16.79**
- **Zaragoza Cathedral, sculpted main altarpiece, 1457–1460**
- **Lleida Cathedral, unspecified areas/objects, 1490**

GRAÑÉN, Blasco de (Master de Lanaja, Master of the Prelate of Don Dalmáu de Mur, Master of the Virgin of Don Dalmacio de Mur)
Active 1422–1459
Painter
Aragón
- Zaragoza, private collection of Ibercaja (a Spanish bank), panel painting of Virgin and Child, ca.1435–1445
- Zaragoza, Museo de Zaragoza, panel painting of Virgen del arzobispo Mur (María, reina de los cielos), 1437–1439, no inventory number; panel painting originally formed the central portion of a larger altarpiece for the church of Santa María de Albalate in Teruel (located in the diocese of Zaragoza)
- Church of San Salvador (Ejea de los Caballeros), Zaragoza, Passion altarpiece, 1438–1454
- Tarazona Cathedral, Life of Christ altarpiece, original location within the church is unknown to the current author, 1439


JOAN, Pere (JOHAN, JUAN, VALLFOGONA, VALOGONA, WALLFOGONA, Padre, Pedro, Pedro, Pere de)
Active 1418–d. after 1458
Architect, sculptor
Catalonia, Aragón, Naples
- Barcelona, Palau de Generalitat, sculpted façade including roundel with Saint George, ca.1416–1418
- Tarragona Cathedral, main altarpiece, 1426–1433
- Zaragoza Cathedral, main altarpiece, 1434–1439
  o Carved roundel with the bust of God the Father, now in Barcelona, Museu Nacional d’Art de Catalunya, inv. no. MNAC/MAC 24186
- Tarazona Cathedral, sculpted the Nuestra Señora de la Huerta, part of the main altarpiece, 1437–1441
• Castelnuovo, Naples, worked for Alfonso V, King of Aragón (r.1416–1458) and King of Naples (r.1442–1458), 1445–1458, it is possible that some commissions survive, but a study of them is beyond the scope of this list


XULBE, JOAN de (EIXULBI, JULBE, XULBI, XULVI, Juan)
Active 1416–1428
Son of Pascasi de Xulbe (see below)
Architect
Catalonia
• Tortosa Cathedral, unspecified areas/objects, 1416–1428
• Girona Cathedral, participated in the junta, January 1416
• Girona Cathedral, central nave and vaults, 1416

XULBE, Pascasi de (EIXULBI, JULBE, XULBI, XULVI, Pascasio, Pascual)
Active 1381–1441
Son of Joan de Xulbe (see above)
Architect
Catalonia
- Tortosa Cathedral, unspecified areas/objects, 1381–1441
- Girona Cathedral, participated in the junta, January 1416
- Girona Cathedral, central nave and vaults, 1416

APPENDIX E

COSTUMES, HEADGEAR AND HAIRSTYLES:
DESCRIPTIONS AND HISTORICAL DETAILS OF THE ZARAGOZA PASSION TAPESTRIES

This appendix introduces some of the costumes, headgear and hairstyles observed in the Zaragoza Passion tapestries. The primary objective is to broadly identify the most common costumes depicted in the tapestries and provide descriptions and historical details; patterns and motifs are not described. Costume types are presented alphabetically.

The religious figures in the Zaragoza tapestries, including Christ, the twelve Apostles and the numerous Maries are all shown wearing conventional biblical costumes—typically a simple robe covered with a mantle. These figures also wear halos. By contrast, the figures that make up the cast of characters, such as the judges, soldiers, torturers and bystanders in the trial and

---

execution of Christ are shown dressed in contemporary costumes that, taken together, can be dated from ca.1380 until ca.1410. The use of brocade fabrics, however, can be observed in both religious and secular costumes. The use of brocade fabrics can be identified in numerous manuscript examples, namely Charles VI’s Réponses à Charles VI et Lamentations (Paris, ca. 1409, by the Boucicaut Master)\(^\text{851}\) and Jean de Berry’s Très Riches Heures (Bourges or Paris, ca.1411/12–1416, by the Limbourgs).\(^\text{852}\) In tapestry, the three-panel set, Courtly Scenes (Paris, ca. 1400–1410)\(^\text{853}\) depicts figures wearing richly brocaded robes and mantles, many of which are lined in ermine.

**BOWL-STYLE HAIRCUT**

This look, known as the bowl (à l’écuelle), or pudding-bowl or pudding-basin, is a very short, cropped style that emerged around the 1400s and was popular until about 1465.\(^\text{854}\) It can be identified in several figures in the first tapestry: figure in green standing under city gate (Christ’s Entry into Jerusalem); figure holding torch, to the right of Caiaphas (Christ before Caiaphas); boy in green holding basin (Christ before Pilate) torturer in light-green (The Flagellation); figure in blue (The Mocking of Christ); figure in blue (The Making the Cross); figure in red (The Making the Cross).

---

851 Paris, Bibliothèque nationale de France, MS fr. 23279.

852 Chantilly, Musée de Condé, MS 65, folios 143 and 146v. For bibliography, see note 493.


**CHAPERON**

The *chaperon* is a short cape that terminates into a hood or hat. The opening (*visagière*) of the *chaperon* was placed around the crown of the head, while the fabric of the cape, *guleron* (or *patte*), would be wrapped about the top of the head and the tail of the hood, called a *cornet* (or, *liripipe*) could be rolled, like a turban, or folded or swathed other ways to create a variety of styles.\(^{855}\) The majority of the representations of *chaperons* in the Zaragoza *Passion* tapestries show the loosely arranged fabric of the *guleron* topped above the head, while the *cornet* hangs, or droops, to the side or the back. Three variations of the *chaperon* are seen in the tapestries: the kneeling man dressed in all red wears a *chaperon* as a hood (*The Mocking of Christ*); man in red costume with blue sleeves wears a large, red *chaperon* in a flamboyant style that appears to be decoratively gathered in folds surmounted by a heap of intricately piled fabric (*The Making the Cross*); witness on balcony wears blue costume and a blue *chaperon* that has been twisted like a turban\(^{856}\) (*The Making the Cross*).

**HOSE**

This style of underwear-pant system—called *à la martingale*, *à loquet*, or *à pont-levis*—began surfacing around 1380.\(^{857}\) The hose (*chausses*) were typically attached to a doublet (*pourpoint* or *gipon*)\(^{858}\) with a system of strings that were tied or knotted through eyelets.

---


\(^{856}\) The rolled turban-style *chaperon* was popular around 1408. François Boucher, *20,000 Years of Fashion: The History of Costume and Personal Adornment* (New York: H. N. Abrams, 1967), 198.


\(^{858}\) A *pourpoint* was fastened with buttons, while a *gipon* was tied up with lace. Michèle Beaulieu and Jeanne Baylé, *Le costume en Bourgogne de Philippe le Hardi à la mort de Charles de Téméraire, 1364–1477* (Paris: Presses Universitaires de France, 1967), 44.
Partied hose were common—those worn at the end of the fourteenth century and beginning of the fifteenth century that came from Flanders were black and white, but under Philippe le Bon, they had to be all black. They are more like leggings or breeches than simply underwear (see below), since the hose attached to a doublet, there was the lack of covering of the front and back sections, thus there was the need to add a triangular patch of fabric (braye) to cover the exposed areas. Hose appear only twice in the Zaragoza tapestries and solely in the first panel: the tormentor to the right of Christ in the light-green tunic (The Flagellation) wears partied-hose (red and white) that attach with three sets of eyelets and ties. The other figure wears all red (The Making the Cross) wears red hose that are attached with two pairs of eyelets, the third set is untied, exposing his underwear beneath. Both figures wear short tunics instead of the doublet, which is typically worn with hose. Their tunics are cinched at the waist and both have wide sleeves that are gathered at the wrist (closed sleeves). The tormentor’s sleeves drape in a longer, looser fashion than the man who makes the cross whose sleeves, while still full and puffy, are defined by the lines and folds in his costume. These pipe-like pleats are found in houppelandes both long and short, (haincelin), or short mantles.

**JAGGED EDGES**

Also called scalloped edges, cut work edges, dagged edges or dagging. Suit of armor of soldier to the right of Christ (The Kiss of Judas); the soldier to the right of Christ (Christ Led through a Passageway); the armor of the soldier standing behind Christ (Christ before Herod); the boy in green holding basin (Christ before Pilate); the figure in blue (The Mocking of Christ);

---


860 One of the problems with this system of ties and eyelets was the issue of tension that pulled on the ties at the back of the pants. It was therefore necessary to release this pressure by un-tying the cord from one or more of the eyelets.
the soldier at left, seen entering a doorway (The Mocking of Christ); torturer wearing blue (The Mocking of Christ).

**POINTED-TOED POULAINE SHOE & SOLED HOSE**

The pointed-toed shoe and soled hose were referred to as *poulaine* shoes that originally came from Crackow. The shoes or hose consist of very long pointed toes. They were popular during time of King Charles V (r.1364-1380) and were introduced into France after first appearing in Italy around 1340. *Poulaine* shoes and hose remained popular until around 1460/70.

**ROBES**

The *houppelande* was a robe, popular only from about 1360 until 1420/25, which was worn either long, ending at the ankle/heel or a little shorter falling to about the knees. The style was cut so that the front was open (from top to bottom) and notably had very wide, sweeping sleeves. The sleeves, however, could be worn gathered at the wrists. A tall, high collar (*carcaille*) finished the look. The *houppelande* was sometimes decorated with jagged edges and often embroidered and/or lined with fur. A belt could be used to cinch the fabric at the waist, thereby creating pipe-like folds (*à plis gironées*). There is even a record from 1416 that

---


describes a short *houppelande* covered in armor.863 Short *houppelande* was called a *haincelin* and was popular until around 1425).

**UNDERPANTS**

Only a few figures in the tapestries wear underpants (*braies*, or, *petits-draps*), different from hose (*chausses*), which are leggings (see above). According to chroniclers, underpants were worn “for cleanliness.”864 They were typically made out of linen, but were sometime made out of silk.865 They are seen in the Zaragoza tapestries only on the following figures: the good thief and the bad thief in the scenes of *Christ Carrying the Cross* and *The Crucifixion*, while Judas in the scene of *The Harrowing of Hell* is represented hanging from the rafters in his underwear alone. By contrast, the figures that Christ releases at the Gates of Hell are seen naked.

---
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ABBREVIATIONS

ACA Archivo de la Corona de Aragón
ACCG Arxiu Capitular de Catedral de Girona
ACCV Archivo Capitular de la Catedral de Valencia
ACSZ Archivo Capitular de la Seo de Zaragoza
ADCT Arxiu Diocesà de la Catedral de Tarragona
ADG Arxiu Diocesà de Girona
ADZ Archivo Diocesano de Zaragoza
ADPZ Archivo Diputación Provincial de Zaragoza
AHPZ Archivo Histórico de Protocolos de Zaragoza
BCAH Biblioteca de Catalunya Arxiu Històric

ARCHIVAL DOCUMENTS

Barcelona, ACA, Real Cancillería
Registros, N° 2326

Barcelona, BCAH
inv. no. 7205 Arm. 79 núm. 75
inv. no. 7560 Arx. 784
inv. no. 7561 Arx. 785
inv. no. 7562 Arx. 785
inv. no. 7563 Arx. 785
inv. no. 7564 Arx. 785
inv. no. 7565 Arx. 785
inv. no. 7566 Arx. 785
inv. no. 7567 Arx. 785
inv. no. 7568 Arx. 785
inv. no. 7569 Arx. 785
inv. no. 7570 Arx. 785
inv. no. 7619 Arx. 785
inv. no. 7620 Arx. 789
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inv. no. 10214 10-III-2
inv. no. 11880 9-II-1
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inv. no. 11918 9-II-1
inv. no. 11919 9-II-1
inv. no. 11983 9-VII-1
inv. no. 12661 9-III-1
inv. no. 12851 9-VI-2
inv. no. 13044 Caixa III
inv. no. 15002 Perg. VI
inv. no. 15017 Perg. VI
inv. no. 15048 Perg. VI
inv. no. 15784 1-IV-2
inv. no. 15919 9-IV-4
inv. no. 17853 Perg. XIX
MS 233
MS 657

Girona, ACCG
MS 7
MS. 66
MS. 105
Actes Capitulars, N° 3
Actes Capitulars, 1910–1912
Consulta i aprovació de la continuació de la catedral de Girona amb una nau única

Girona, ADG
MS 145
MS D-181
MS D-182
MS D-196
MS U-324
Tarragona, ADCT
Inv. Letra A, N° 5
MS 16
MS 17a–b

Zaragoza, ADZP
MS 164

Zaragoza, ASCZ
Inventario de 1521

WORKS CITED


Bofarull y Sans, Francisco de. Bibliologia: los códices, diplomas e impresos en la Exposición Universal de Barcelona de 1888. Barcelona: Busquets y Vidal, 1890.


Brindle, Stephen. “Girona, §1: Cathedral.” *Grove Art Online.*


Dimitrova, Kate. “Class, Sex and the Other: The Representation of Peasants in a Late Medieval Tapestry Set.” *Viator* 38, no. 2 (Fall, 2007): 85–125.


Gómez-Martinez, Amando and Bartolomé Chillón Sampedro. _Los tapices de la Catedral de Zamora_. Zamora: San José, 1925.


_Grove Art Online_.


Guiffrey, Jules. _Histoire de la tapisserie depuis le moyen âge jusqu’à nos jours_. Tours: A. Mame et fils, 1886.


Hagopian van Buren, Anne.  “Broederlam, Melchior.”  *Grove Art Online*.


Hurst, D. *Bedae Venerabilis Opera*, Corpus Christianorum, Series Latina, no. 120. Turnhout, Brepols, 1960, pars II, Opera Exegetica, 3 In Lvcae evangelifm epositio, 394–399.


Monserrat, José Maria and Manuel Abizanda y Broto. *Los tapices de Zaragoza.* Zaragoza: Heraldo de Aragón, 1917.


*The Oxford English Dictionary Online*, 2nd ed.


Ribera, Enrique. La baronia y los barones de Albi. Lleida: Instituto de Estudios Ilerdenses, 1944.


Robinson, John W. “The Late Medieval Cult of Jesus and the Mystery Plays.” PMLA 80, no. 5 (December, 1965): 508–514.


Rudy, Kathryn M. “Fragments of a Mental Journey to a Passion Park.” In Tributes in Honor of James H. Marrow: Studies in Painting and Manuscript Illumination of the Late Middle Ages and Northern Renaissance. Edited by Jeffrey F. Hamburger and Anne S. Korteweg. London: Harvey Miller, 2006, 405-419.


———. *Les tapisseries de Tournai, les tapisseries et les hautelisseurs de cette ville: recherces et documents sur l’histoire, la fabrication et les produits des ateliers de Tournai.* Tournai/Lille: Vasseur-Delmée/L. Quarré, 1892.


Thiébaut, Dominique. “Masters, anonymous, and monogrammists, §I: Anonymous masters Master of the Parement de Narbonne,” *Grove Art Online*.


Vander Linden, Herman. *Itinéraires de Philippe le Bon, duc de Bourgogne (1419–1467) et de Charles, comte de Charolais (1433–1467)*. Brussels: Palais des academies, 1940.


