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Numerous reports correlate nitric oxide (•NO) production with stalled S-phase progression, but the molecular mechanism(s) of cell cycle arrest remains elusive. Paradoxically numerous human tumors are exposed to vast quantities of nitric oxide and its reactive byproducts in situ, yet they continue to grow and proliferate. The dual-specificity phosphatase Cdc25A promotes cell cycle progression by dephosphorylating and activating cyclin-dependent kinases. Deregulation of Cdc25A is characteristic of human tumors, accelerates the cell cycle, and confers resistance to apoptosis, highlighting the importance of stringent Cdc25A control. Biochemical and structural analyses of Cdc25A indicate the potential for inhibition by S-nitrosation of the catalytic cysteine, providing a linkage between •NO and cytostatic signaling. Thus, the overall hypothesis examined in this dissertation was that Cdc25A is a target and transducer of signaling by •NO and •NO-derived reactive species. The specific aims were to: 1) probe the susceptibility of Cdc25A to enzymatic regulation by •NO-derived reactive species; 2) examine regulation of Cdc25A protein in nitrosatively challenged cells; and 3) determine whether Cdc25A activity was limiting for S-phase progression in nitrosatively-challenged tumor cells. My studies identified novel mechanisms controlling Cdc25A abundance and activity. S-Nitrosothiols rapidly S-nitrosated and inactivated Cdc25A in vitro, and Cdc25A activity was restored by reductants. Generation of nitrosative stress in cells either by iNOS-derived •NO or
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PREFACE

When life gives you lemons, make lemonade, and then throw it in the face of the person who gave you the lemons until they give you the oranges you originally asked for.

--Phil Hartman’s character Bill McNeal from News Radio

All the effort you are making will ultimately pay off.

--Fortune from a Fuel & Fuddle fortune cookie

This thesis is dedicated to my parents, who taught me “don’t” and “shouldn’t” but never “can’t.”
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3-NT  3-Nitrotyrosine
APC/C  Anaphase-promoting complex/Cyclosome
ASK-1  Apoptosis signal-regulating kinase-1
ATM  Ataxia telangiectasia-mutated
ATP  Adenosine triphosphate
ATR  ATM- and Rad3-related
β-ME  β-Mercaptoethanol
β-TrCP  β-Transducin repeat-containing protein
BSA  Bovine serum albumin
CAK  Cdk-activating kinase
CDDP  cis-Diaminodichloroplatinum (cisplatin)
Cdk  Cyclin-dependent kinase
CdkI  Cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor
CEE  Cysteine ethyl ester hydrochloride
cGMP  Cyclic guanosine monophosphate
Chk  Checkpoint kinase
CHX  Cycloheximide
DAF-2  Diaminofluorescein-2
DMSO  Dimethylsulfoxide
DTPA  Diethylene triamine pentaacetic acid
DTT  Dithiothreitol
eIF2α  Eukaryotic initiation factor 2α
eNOS  Endothelial nitric oxide synthase
GAPDH  Glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase
GSH  Reduced glutathione
GSSG  Glutathione disulfide
GST  Glutathione S-transferase
HA  Influenza virus hemagglutinin epitope
HRI  Heme-regulated inhibitor
IAC  Iodoacetamide
iNOS  Inducible nitric oxide synthase
IR  Ionizing radiation
LMM  Low molecular mass
L-NMMA  Ñ-monomethyl-L-arginine monoacetate
MALDI  Matrix-assisted laser desorption-ionization
MAPKAPK-2  Mitogen-activated protein kinase-activated protein kinase-2
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Full Form</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MEF</td>
<td>Mouse embryonic fibroblast</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn-SOD</td>
<td>Manganese-superoxide dismutase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS</td>
<td>Mass spectrometry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOI</td>
<td>Multiplicity of infection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NADPH</td>
<td>Reduced nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NADP⁺</td>
<td>Oxidized nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nNOS</td>
<td>Neuronal nitric oxide synthase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>•NO</td>
<td>Nitric oxide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO⁺</td>
<td>Nitrosonium ion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO⁻/HNO</td>
<td>Nitroxyl anion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOS</td>
<td>Nitric oxide synthase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>•OH</td>
<td>Hydroxyl radical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OMFP</td>
<td>O-Methylfluorescein phosphate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PBS</td>
<td>Phosphate-buffered saline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDI</td>
<td>Protein disulfide isomerase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PERK</td>
<td>PKR-like endoplasmic reticulum kinase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP1</td>
<td>Protein serine/threonine phosphatase 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTM</td>
<td>Post-translational modification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTP1B</td>
<td>Protein tyrosine phosphatase 1B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rb</td>
<td>Retinoblastoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RDS</td>
<td>Radioresistant DNA synthesis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Redox</td>
<td>Reduction/oxidation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RIPA</td>
<td>Radioimmunoprecipitation assay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNNO</td>
<td>N-Nitrosamine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNS</td>
<td>•NO-derived reactive species</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROS</td>
<td>Reactive oxygen species</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RPA</td>
<td>Replication protein A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RR</td>
<td>Ribonucleotide reductase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RS•</td>
<td>Thiol radical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSNO</td>
<td>S-Nitrosothiol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCF</td>
<td>Skp1/Cul1/F-box protein complex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDS</td>
<td>Sodium dodecyl sulfate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sGC</td>
<td>Soluble guanylate cyclase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNCEEE</td>
<td>S-Nitrosocysteine ethyl ester</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOF</td>
<td>Time of flight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBS-T</td>
<td>Tris-buffered saline, 0.01% Tween-20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trx</td>
<td>Thioredoxin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UV</td>
<td>Ultraviolet</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1.0 INTRODUCTION

1.1 GENERAL INTRODUCTION: CANCER AND CELL DIVISION

In 2008, it is estimated that more than 1.4 million United States citizens will be diagnosed with cancer,¹ more than the populations of 11 of the 50 states.² Cancer is the second largest killer in the U.S. (over 560,000 deaths predicted for 2008) and causes 1 in 4 total deaths, with more than 1500 deaths per day.³ This high incidence of disease strains families, medical resources, the healthcare system, as well as the economy. Thus the impetus to eradicate cancer is clear.

Before malignancies can be effectively controlled, it is necessary to understand the molecular mechanisms by which cancer evolves. The origins of cancer reside in DNA; accumulation of mutations in the cellular genome due to normal and aberrant cellular processes and environmental exposures eventually override normal cell signaling to promote malignancy. Although the term cancer envelops many physical manifestations with diverse origins, all cancerous cells display at least one common phenotype: uncontrolled or aberrant proliferation. Cancer is by nature a hyperproliferative disease; cancer cells undergo cell division either in the absence of growth signals or in the presence of anti-growth signals. Although much progress has

² United States Census Bureau: Annual population estimates 2000 to 2007 (http://www.census.gov)
been made in the understanding of cancer biology, the origins and targets of antigrowth signaling are not fully elucidated, and the effects of aberrant protein expression and activity on antigrowth signaling remain to be explored. Thus, the purpose of the work described herein is to provide novel insight into the mechanisms by which antigrowth signals elicit their effects, and to examine potential mechanisms by which insensitivity to these signals is acquired.

1.2 THE MAMMALIAN CELL DIVISION CYCLE

The evolutionary survival of an organism is dependent upon successful transmission of its genetic makeup from one generation to the next. The primary mechanism by which genetic material is passed down is by replication and segregation of this material in the form of chromosomes equally to the daughter cells originating from the dividing parent cell. For successful cell division, the cell must therefore generate an exact copy of its genome, and then divide those copies between the daughter cells. This is the function of the mammalian cell cycle. The mammalian cell cycle is divided into four principle phases, G1, S, G2, and M. In G1, a newly divided cell accumulates energy and nutrients and senses its surroundings to commit to cell division, and readies DNA replication complexes at various sites around the genome. During S, the genome is replicated, as are cellular components such as centrosomes that are necessary for division. G2 provides time to sense and correct any errors in DNA replication not repaired during S, and to prepare for mitosis. Finally, in M-phase, the cell segregates its components, and physically cleaves into two daughter cells identical in makeup to each other and to the parent cell.
1.3 THE CYCLIN-DEPENDENT KINASES AS THE DRIVERS OF THE CELL CYCLE

The precise timing of cell cycle events is critical to allow proper chromosomal duplication and segregation before cytokinesis, and failure to control these processes induces DNA damage and inheritance of mutations. To ensure strict control of cell cycle progression, there are a number of redundant yet independent mechanisms controlling the activity of positive cell cycle regulators. Many of these mechanisms target the cyclin-dependent kinase (Cdk) family. The Cdks are serine-threonine kinases conserved throughout eukaryotes that act to phosphorylate cell cycle phase-specific proteins to promote movement through specific cell cycle phases. The Cdk family consists of 11 currently known members, each of which requires binding of a cyclin partner for activity, with the exception of Cdk5, which is activated by binding of non-cyclin proteins. Cdk5s harbor a number of cellular roles, including protein activation, regulation of transcription, RNA splicing, regulation of neuronal development, apoptotic signaling, centrosome duplication, but were originally identified for their role in cell cycle progression.

Cdk1, 2, 4, 6 and 7 are considered cell cycle-regulating Cdks, and each functions differentially to promote cell division. Cdk4 and/or 6 provide the initial stimulus of cell cycle progression in response to extracellular nutrient-sensing, whereas Cdk2 provides the driving force through the G1-S transition, S-phase and early G2. Cdk1 (called Cdc2 in yeast) promotes progression through late G2 and mitosis, and its destruction is a prerequisite for cytokinesis. Cdk7 is also known as Cdk-activating kinase (CAK) and is required for full activation of other Cdk complexes as described below.

Cdk activity is regulated by phosphorylation and protein-protein interactions. These regulatory events can be activating or inhibitory. The first step in Cdk regulation is mediated
Figure 1. Regulation of the mammalian cell cycle by Cdk.

The mammalian cell cycle is composed of four phases, G1, S, G2, and M phase. The approximate duration of each phase relative to the time required for a total cell cycle is depicted by the size of the pie fraction of the circle. The Cdk display distinct, but overlapping temporal activities and substrate specificities. Mitogens provide the initial stimulus for cell cycle progression by promoting the production of Cyclin D, which subsequently binds and activates Cdk4, which in turn phosphorylates and dissociates Rb from E2F, allowing transcription of cyclin E and genes required for DNA synthesis.
through activating (cyclins) and inhibitory (Cdk inhibitors, CdkIs) protein-protein interactions. Cdns readily bind cyclins in the absence of CdkIs, which prime them for further stimulatory processes. Binding of CdkIs to Cdns or Cdk/cyclin complexes can either sequester Cdns from cyclins or inhibit their activity. CdkIs are divided into two groups, based on sequence homology and binding specificity. The Cip/Kip family, which encompasses the p21<sup>Cip</sup> and p27<sup>Kip</sup> inhibitors, bind to E-type and D-type Cdk/cyclin complexes and inactivate them (1). The second group of CdkIs is known as the INK4 family, and encompasses p15, p16, p18 and p19-type CdkIs (1). These CdkIs bind only to Cdk4 or Cdk6 and not to Cdk/cyclin complexes (1). Thus the initial step in Cdk activation requires proper and specific binding to its cognate cyclins, and removal of any CdkIs by preoteasomal degradation or pre-association (in the case of INK4 family CdkIs) of Cdns with other Cdk-binding proteins (1, 2).

Activation of Cdk kinase activity after cyclin binding is achieved through a number of sequence-specific phosphorylation and dephosphorylation steps, initiated by binding of the cyclin cofactor (Figure 2). Cyclin binding partially activates Cdk activity and makes it a substrate for inhibitory phosphorylations at Thr14 and Tyr15 (human Cdk1 nomenclature) by the Wee1 and Myt1 kinases (3-6). Phosphorylation at these residues promotes activating phosphorylation at Cdk1-Thr161 (Thr160 in Cdk2) by the Cdk-activating kinase, although the Thr161-phosphorylated complexes are still inactive; the inhibitory phosphorylations at Thr14 and Tyr15 must first be removed (7). Phosphorylation of Thr161 by the Cdk-activating kinase is inhibited by association of both the INK4 and Cip/Kip family proteins with Cdns, adding a further regulatory role for protein-protein interaction in Cdk activation (8). The final step promoting full Cdk activation is removal of the inhibitory Thr14 and Tyr15 phosphates by the Cdc25 dual-specificity phosphatases.
1.3.1 The Cdc25 family of dual-specificity phosphatases

The Cdc25 phosphatase was first identified in the fission yeast *Saccharomyces pombe* as the 25th gene discovered that regulated the cell division cycle (9). Mutation of the Cdc25+ gene resulted in overly large yeast cells, characteristic of failure to undergo mitosis (9). Conversely, introduction of extra copies of the Cdc25+ gene caused mitotic initiation at reduced cell size similar to the Wee+ phenotype, so named because yeast lacking Wee+ divided before full cell size had been achieved (10-12). Indeed, mutation of both Cdc25 and Wee genes resulted in normal mitoses, suggesting opposing roles for these proteins (9). This phenotypic antagonism of Cdc25+ loss to the Wee+ gene provided some of the evidence that later identified Cdc25+ as a phosphatase, as Wee+ encoded a protein kinase (13). Clues to the function of Cdc25 were originally derived from genetic studies in yeast that determined mutation of Cdc25+ could complement a Cdc2 (the yeast homolog of human Cdk1) mutant that was unable to drive mitosis (14, 15) and that Cdc25 mutation attenuated Cdc2 kinase activity (16). Notably, Cdc25 expression was found to peak at mitosis, consistent with a role in mitotic induction (15). Arguably the most important evidence that Cdc25 was a phosphatase, however, came from studies of p34Cdc2 showing that dephosphorylation of p34Cdc2-Tyr15 is required for mitotic initiation (17). A Tyr15Phe mutation in p34Cdc2 that ablates phosphorylation but resembles tyrosine bypasses the requirement for functional Cdc25, suggesting a role for Cdc25 in dephosphorylating this residue. Early reports suggested that Cdc25+ encodes a protein that regulates the phosphatase activity of another protein, thus controlling p34Cdc2 phosphorylation and activity as Cdc25+ does not bear significant sequence homology to traditional tyrosine phosphatases (18); in 1991 however, four independent groups reported in rapid succession that the Cdc25 gene products from different organisms (*Homo sapiens*, *Drosophila*, *Xenopus*) each harbors
phosphatase activity directed toward p34<sup>Cdc2</sup> (19-22). These studies in different organisms highlighted the cross-species conservation of the eukaryotic cell cycle machinery, and brought Cdc25 to the spotlight in cell cycle regulation.

**Figure 2. Activation of the Cdks is a multi-step process.**

Activation of Cdks is tightly controlled by activating (T161) and inhibitory (T14, Y15) phosphorylation, and protein-protein interactions with cyclins.

### 1.3.2 The human Cdc25 phosphatases

Since the initial studies in yeast were performed, three isoforms of Cdc25 have been identified in humans: Cdc25A, B and C (23, 24). Cdc25C was originally discovered using a degenerate primer PCR approach based on the yeast Cdc25<sup>+</sup> gene (23), whereas Cdc25A and Cdc25B were identified using the same approach based on the human Cdc25C gene sequence (24). All three isoforms complement the temperature-sensitive Cdc25<sup>+</sup> mutants in yeast, and thus were considered human Cdc25s on the basis of their homology and activity (23, 24). Each human Cdc25 isoform is encoded by a distinct gene, located on a different chromosome: Cdc25A maps

The three human Cdc25 isoforms share sequence homology both with the Cdc25s from other species as well as with each other, although this homology is limited to the C-terminal portion of the protein. Thus, the human Cdc25 proteins are generally structurally divided into two primary domains (Figure 3), the N-terminal domain, which shares little (if any) sequence homology among isoforms and the C-terminal domain, which houses the catalytic activity of the protein and has greater than 40% identity among the human isoforms (24). The conserved protein sequence LIGD marks the end of the N-terminal domain and the beginning of the catalytic domain (30).

The N-terminal region of the human Cdc25s is a regulatory domain not necessary for catalytic activity; rather, deletion of the N-terminus is reported to stimulate activity in in vitro phosphatase assays towards the small molecule substrate O-methylfluorescein phosphate (OMFP) (30). The N-terminus of each Cdc25 isoform harbors a nuclear localization sequence (NLS) and at least one nuclear export sequence (NES), and reports describe nuclear-cytoplasmic shuttling for each (31-34). In addition to the NES and NLS, there exist numerous phosphorylation sites in the N-terminus of each Cdc25 isoform. The effects of these post-translational modifications discovered to date fall into three categories: regulation of localization; regulation of protein stability; and regulation of phosphatase activity. The former is discussed here; the latter two will be discussed below.
Each Cdc25 N-terminus contains consensus sequences for mode I-type binding sites for 14-3-3 proteins (35-38). The 14-3-3 family of proteins comprises phosphoserine and phosphothreonine-binding proteins that act as regulators of signaling proteins by interacting with and altering their activities or intracellular locations (36). Indeed, all three Cdc25 isoforms interact with 14-3-3 proteins in cells, primarily in response to cellular stress or DNA damage (35, 37, 39). Some reports suggest however that binding of 14-3-3 isoforms to Cdc25B may be independent of phosphorylation, although the possibility that more than one 14-3-3 binding site exists on Cdc25B has not been ruled out (38, 39).

Current knowledge of the N-terminal domain of the Cdc25s is derived almost entirely from mutagenesis, biochemical regulation of phosphorylation and primary protein sequence information, as no crystal structure of the regulatory domain exists for any human Cdc25. The C-terminal catalytic domain however has been crystallized at better than 2.5 Å resolution for both Cdc25A and Cdc25B (40-42). Topologically, the Cdc25 catalytic domain is a distinct class most similar to the sulfur transfer protein rhodanese, and does not harbor significant overall homology to any other phosphatases, including other dual-specificity phosphatases (40, 42). Superimposition of the two structures indicates near identical folding in all conserved regions with the only significant degeneration occurring in the extreme C-termini (40). Whereas the Cdc25B C-terminal tail (residues 530+) folds back upon itself, perhaps to aid in substrate binding, the Cdc25A tail (residues 488+) is directed away from the active site, leaving it largely exposed (40, 42).

The active site of the enzymes is relatively shallow with no auxiliary loops extending over the active site, which distinguishes it from that of other tyrosine phosphatases (40, 42). This presumably is to facilitate access of the enzyme to the less obtrusive phosphoserine and
Figure 3. Structural comparison of the three human Cdc25 isoforms.

The human Cdc25 phosphatases are encoded by distinct genes, yet show sequence and structural similarity. The proteins are comprised of an amino-terminal regulatory domain (beige) and a carboxy-terminal catalytic domain (brown), the beginning of which is marked by the protein sequence L-I-G-D. The regulatory domain of each contains at least one verified NLS (triangles) and one verified NES (asterisks), as well as 14-3-3 binding sites separating the NLS and NES (the underlined serine residue is the phosphoserine in the 14-3-3 binding motif). The highly conserved tyrosine phosphatase motif HCX₅R (where X is any amino acid) is displayed in bold, with the catalytic cysteine in larger type. The full-length splice variant is depicted for each isoform. Modified from (30).
phosphothreonine residues. Both structures demonstrate an active site organization nearly identical to that found in other tyrosine phosphatases with the absolutely conserved canonical HCX₅R catalytic motif (where X is any amino acid) organized in a loop between a β-strand and an α-helix. Curiously, the crystal structure of Cdc25A failed to bind sulfate in its active site, whereas the Cdc25B structure readily bound both tungstate and sulfate (40, 42). Whether this is purely an artifact or of biochemical significance remains unknown.

The catalytic mechanism of Cdc25 phosphatase has been studied in great detail by the laboratory of Johannes Rudolph. The catalytic mechanism of the Cdc25s is enzymatically shared with other dual-specificity and tyrosine phosphatases, but Cdc25 preferentially dephosphorylates a monoanionic phosphate group as opposed to the bisanionic groups favored by other phosphatases (43). The phosphosubstrate is bound so that the charge of the phosphate is borne by the amide backbones and the positively charged arginine sidechain of the HCX₅R motif (43). The enzyme dephosphorylates the substrate using a two-step mechanism characteristic of tyrosine phosphatases (Figure 4) in which the first step is nucleophilic attack of the phosphorus atom of the phosphate moiety by the catalytic thiolate, forming a covalent phosphocysteine intermediate. The second step is hydrolysis of this phosphocysteine intermediate to release organic phosphate and regenerate the catalytic thiolate. The rate constants have been determined such that phosphate is removed from Thr14 before Tyr15 (43). Aside from the catalytic cysteine, a catalytic acid is required to protonate the tyrosyl, threonyl or seryl oxygen, making it a good leaving group. No residue to fulfill this role has been identified on Cdc25B, and it is postulated that the residue may reside on the Cdk2/cyclin A substrate because no acidic amino acid residue is perched appropriately in the Cdc25B crystal structure and pH dependence of
Cdc25B activity consistent with protonation of the substrate leaving group is observed toward Cdk2/cyclin A but not toward artificial substrates (44).

1.3.3 Regulation of the cell cycle by the Cdc25s

1.3.3.1 Basal activities

Regulation of the mammalian cell cycle requires the cumulative efforts of all three phosphatases, although their expression and activities are cell cycle phase-dependent (Figure 5). Initiation of the mammalian cell cycle occurs in response to mitogen-stimulated expression of cyclin D, which activates Cdk4 complexes. There is limited evidence for a role of the Cdc25s in regulating Cdk4 activity, although tyrosine dephosphorylation of Cdk4\(^{\text{Tyr17}}\) is a prerequisite for Cdk4 activation, and that this residue becomes phosphorylated in response to genotoxic stress (45). Cdk4\(^{\text{Tyr17}}\) dephosphorylation appears to be mediated by Cdc25A, as microinjection with Cdc25A antibodies prevents accumulation of rat fibroblasts in mitosis 22 hours after synchronization and expression of a Cdk4\(^{\text{Tyr17Phe}}\) mutant that cannot be phosphorylated bypassed this requirement for Cdc25A (45). Also, incubation of immunoprecipitated Cdk4 or Cdk6 with recombinant Cdc25A results in an increase in Cdk4/6 kinase activity toward Rb \textit{in vitro} (46), suggesting that dephosphorylation of Cdk4/6 may be required for their activity towards Rb in cells.

Indeed, microinjection of Cdc25A antibodies into cells blocked entry into S-phase (48, 49) consistent with a requirement for Cdc25A in the G₁-S transition. Upon activation of Cdk2/cyclin E, a positive feedback loop is initiated in which Cdk2/cyclin E phosphorylates Cdc25A, increasing its activity until the cell is irreversibly committed to DNA synthesis (48). It should be noted that the specific site(s) of phosphorylation by Cdk2 complexes remains unknown, as does the identity of the phosphatase(s) that remove the phosphorylation(s), should such a phosphatase exist.

Initially, S-phase was thought to be controlled solely by Cdc25A, as Cdc25B and Cdc25C had been reported to control dephosphorylation of Cdk1/cyclin B, and Cdc25A dephosphorylated the S-phase Cdk/cyclin complexes. However, later reports illustrated potential roles for these phosphatases in S-phase progression as well. Cdc25B dephosphorylates phospho-Thr14 and phospho-Tyr15 on Cdk2/cyclin E and Cdk2/cyclin A complexes (50, 51). In HeLa cells, Cdc25B levels accumulate in S-phase and antisense oligonucleotides toward Cdc25B cause S-phase delay (52). It remains unclear however whether this represents a cell-type specific effect, because microinjection of antisense Cdc25B oligonucleotides into Fs68 fibroblasts or Cdc25B antibodies into Hs68 cells failed to suppress DNA synthesis (51, 53). Similarly, some reports have indicated that Cdc25C is required for S-phase progression, as Cdc25C antisense oligonucleotides prevented DNA synthesis in Fs68 fibroblasts, and reintroduction of Cdc25C by microinjection restored it (53), while other reports indicated that microinjection of Cdc25C antibodies into cells failed to elicit any effect (48, 51). These biochemical experiments are supported by the observations that Cdc25B and/or C knockout mice (described below) display no obvious S-phase defect (54-56).
Regulation of G2 and M events by the Cdc25s is complex, requiring the cumulative but distinct temporal and spatial efforts of all three phosphatases. Cdc25A and B appear to be primarily responsible for movement through G2, as overexpression of either these phosphatases in S or G2 cells triggers mitotic initiation whereas Cdc25C overexpression alone does not (57, 58). In agreement, cells with reduced Cdc25A or B levels are delayed in G2/M progression (59). The current model of Cdc25-dependent mitotic initiation requires activation of differentially located Cdk1/cyclin B by different phosphatases. Cdk1/cyclin B accumulates in the cytoplasm during interphase although Cdk1 is distributed throughout both the cytoplasm and the nucleus (60, 61). Prior to mitosis, Cdk1/cyclin B accumulates at the centrosome, where the initial activation of this complex occurs (60-62). Cdc25B activity peaks before that of Cdc25C (51), and is responsible for initial Cdk1/cyclin B activation at the centrosome (59). Activation of centrosomal Cdk1/cyclin B initiates a positive feedback loop in which phosphorylation of Cdc25A and Cdc25C by Cdk1/cyclin B stimulates their activities and, in the case of Cdc25A, stabilizes the normally labile protein (57, 63). These phosphatases in turn promote full activation of nuclear and cytoplasmic Cdk1/cyclin B, initiating mitosis (57). This model is supported by evidence that Cdk1/cyclin B phosphorylates Cdc25A and Cdc25C and that mutation of these phosphorylation sites prevents mitotic Cdc25A stabilization (57, 63). In agreement with a function for all three Cdc25 isoforms in mitosis, depletion of Cdc25A with siRNA decreases Cdk1/cyclin B activation and inhibits progression into mitosis (57), while microinjection of antibodies against Cdc25C or expression of a catalytically inactive Cdc25C mutant inhibits mitotic entry in HeLa cells (64, 65). Similarly, Cdc25B suppression by siRNA in HeLa cells delays mitotic entry (59). Also, microinjection of pre-metaphase Hs68 cells with Cdc25B antibodies inhibits cell division, and injection with GST-Cdc25B reinstates cytokinesis (51).
Figure 4. Catalytic mechanism of Cdc25.

The Cdc25 phosphatases dephosphorylate their substrates using a two-step mechanism that is conserved among tyrosine phosphatases. In the first step, the catalytic thiolate (Cys-S-) attacks the nucleophilic phosphorous atom of the phosphosubstrate, generating a covalent bond. Protonation of the tyrosyl, threonyl, or seryl oxygen by the catalytic acid (Acidic AA) generates a good leaving group, and the substrate is expelled from the active site, leaving a thiophosphate intermediate. In the second step, the catalytic acid, now a base, abstracts a proton from a water molecule, promoting nucleophilic attack of the phosphorous atom, and release of organic phosphate with regeneration of the catalytic thiolate. The identity of the catalytic acid remains unknown, although some evidence suggests it may reside on the substrate (44).
Aside from regulation of Cdks in the cell cycle, there is recent evidence accumulating that the Cdc25s may have distinct roles in additional cellular processes required for cell division, although substantial data exists to support this hypothesis only for Cdc25B. Aside from initiation of Cdk1/cyclin B activation, Cdc25B is responsible for the proper formation and separation of the centrosome-linked microtubule networks. Accumulation of Cdc25B in the cytoplasm triggers mitotic microtubule nucleation at the centrosomes (64, 66) whereas treatment of HeLa cells with Cdc25 inhibitor BN82685 or with Cdc25B siRNA alters microtubule spindle assembly, resulting in lagging chromosomes, failure to localize γ-tubulin to the centrosomes and centrosomal separation delay (59, 66, 67). Cdc25B also appears to regulate centrosome duplication as overexpression of catalytically active Cdc25B results in centrosome overreplication (66). Preliminary evidence suggests Cdc25A may regulate chromatin condensation, consistent with its role of activating Cdk1/cyclin B in the nucleus, as Cdc25A siRNA increases the time between centrosome separation and DNA condensation (59). Notably, active Cdc25C is located microscopically and biochemically in the Golgi apparatus and the centrosomes, although a function for Cdc25C at these organelles has not yet been uncovered (68, 69).

1.3.3.2 The Cdc25s as regulators of cell cycle checkpoints

The human genetic code contains more than 3 billion base pairs of DNA. Faithful replication and segregation of this blueprint equally into daughter cells without generation of errors or the accumulation of damage is essentially impossible. Each cell experiences spontaneous hydrolysis of approximately 18,000 purines, 100 to 500 spontaneous cytosine deaminations and greater than 1200 methylations (both intentional and not) from endogenous sources every day at 37°C (70). Generation of intracellular free radicals, exposure to ultraviolet
Figure 5. Regulation of CdkS and the cell cycle by the Cdc25 phosphatases.

The Cdc25 phosphatases dephosphorylate and activate Cdk/cyclin complexes in distinct but overlapping phases of the cell cycle. Cdc25A may be required for activation of Cdk4 complexes via dephosphorylation of Cdk4\textsuperscript{Tyr17}. Cdc25A is responsible for the G\textsubscript{1}-S transition by activating Cdk2/cyclin E complexes. Recent evidence suggests that Cdc25A, B, and C combine their efforts to promote DNA synthesis in S-phase, and Cdc25A and B appear necessary for progression through G\textsubscript{2} up to the G\textsubscript{2}-M transition, where the cumulative efforts of all three Cdc25 isoforms are required via distinct spatial and temporal activities for the initiation of mitosis. Question marks indicate activities that are supported by limited experimental evidence, and dotted arrows illustrate known positive feedback phosphorylations by Cdk/cyclin complexes. The T-bar from Cdk1/cyclin A to Cdc25B represents inhibitory phosphorylation that targets Cdc25B for ubiquitin-proteasomal degradation, thus limiting its activity.
and ionizing radiation, as well as numerous environmental insults such as cigarette smoke, radioactive materials and manmade chemicals also contribute to the constant assault suffered by DNA. The genome itself even encodes regions that are difficult to replicate (due to nucleotide repeats and repetitive DNA elements, and perhaps DNA inflexibility/conformation); attempts to replicate these sections or sections of damaged DNA can result in accumulation of mutations that are passed down to daughter cells upon cell divisions; thus, it is necessary to find and correct these lesions before cell division occurs.

To ease this process, cells have evolved several so-called “cell cycle checkpoints” to slow or stop cell cycle progression in cells either with damage to DNA or other physiological stresses that challenge cell division (for example, nucleotide depletion, replication fork stalling, aberrant DNA structures, failure to attach chromosomal kinetochores to the mitotic spindle), allowing time to rectify the stress(es). There exist several cell cycle checkpoints and they are named after the phase(s) of the cell cycle in which they act, namely the G1, S-phase, G2/M and M-phase checkpoints.

Each of these checkpoints is triggered by a cascade of protein-DNA and protein-protein interactions, and kinase activities (Figure 6). The process is initialized by the recognition of aberrant DNA, which in turn promotes binding of mediator proteins and activation of transducing checkpoint (Chk) kinases. Activation of the Chk kinases results in phosphorylation of target proteins that induce cell cycle arrest. As the Cdks are one of the major driving forces of the cell cycle, they are a target for inhibition by the checkpoints. Inhibition of the Cdks is mediated primarily by inactivation of Cdk-associated Cdc25 activity, resulting in Thr14- and Tyr15-hyperphosphorylated Cdks.
Sensing of and response to DNA damage in the form either of DNA breaks (single- and double-stranded), mutated or mismatched bases, and replication stress (stalled or collapsed replication forks) is mediated by DNA-binding proteins that associate with mediators, promoting phosphorylation and activation of the transducer kinases Chk1 and Chk2. These kinases in turn phosphorylate target proteins, including the Cdc25s, inactivating them either by phosphorylation-dependent sequestration by 14-3-3 proteins, or by proteolytic degradation. In addition to Chk kinase-mediated inactivation of the Cdc25s, p53 can be phosphorylated and thus stabilized either by the Chk kinases or directly by the DNA damage-sensing kinases ATM and ATR. Adapted from (83).
Targeting of the Cdc25s by checkpoint initiation is biphasic, with a rapid phase (within an hour) and a sustained phase that takes several hours to initiate. Rapid inactivation of the Cdc25s following checkpoint activation is mediated by phosphorylation. Following checkpoint initiation, the Chk kinases, p38, glycogen synthase kinase 3β (GSK-3β) and MAPKAPK-2 can phosphorylate the Cdc25s at specific residues that regulate their stability and intracellular location (71-76). The mechanism by which the Cdc25s are inactivated following checkpoint initiation differs somewhat among isoforms: Cdc25A protein is rapidly degraded (71, 72, 74, 76-80) in a p53-independent fashion (72), whereas Cdc25B and Cdc25C are phosphorylated on serine (Ser) residues (Ser309 in Cdc25B (39), Ser216 for Cdc25C (35)), generating binding sites for 14-3-3, which sequesters Cdc25B and Cdc25C away from their Cdk substrates (35, 75)(Figure 7). Cdc25C protein degradation via the ubiquitin-proteasome pathway can be triggered in response to some agents, although the mechanism of targeting is poorly understood (81, 82). Sustained suppression of Cdc25 activity following checkpoint activation is mediated at the level of gene transcription. Following DNA damage, p21 binds and represses transcription from the Cdc25A promoter (84); a similar event occurs in response to hypoxia, in which p21 displaces c-Myc from the Cdc25A promoter (85).

p53 also requires a cell cycle-dependent element (CDE)/cell cycle homology region (CHR) site distal to the p53 binding site, and does not directly bind p53 (86). Inhibition through this site is independent of the p53 binding site and vice versa. Repression through the CDE/CHR site appears to be regulated by p21-dependent and p21-independent mediators (86). There are no reported transcriptional suppressors of Cdc25B following checkpoint activation. Curiously, Cdc25B protein levels are rapidly increased following checkpoint initiation via a post-transcriptional mechanism, and this appears to regulate cell cycle reentry (87, 88).
1.3.4 Cdc25A as the master regulator of cell cycle progression

Although the conventional cell cycle paradigm prescribes essential roles for each Cdc25 isoform, recent studies have questioned the necessity of Cdc25B and C in the mammalian cell cycle. Cdc25A is the only reported isoform active in G1, implying Cdc25B and C are not necessary for early cell cycle events. Cdc25A knockout mice are not viable and fail to develop beyond the blast phase (89). In contrast, Cdc25B mice progress normally through development, and the males are completely absent of a phenotype; females are sterile due to their inability to activate maturation-promoting factor (i.e., meiotic cell Cdk1/cyclin B) but otherwise normal (56). Similarly, Cdc25C-null mice are viable and apparently normal (54). Subsequently Cdc25B/C-null mice were generated from Cdc25B-null males and Cdc25C-null females, and these too display no apparent phenotype (55). This implies that Cdc25A alone is sufficient to sustain the mammalian cell cycle.

In favor of a model where Cdc25A is the primary essential regulator of cell cycle progression, Cdc25A^+/− mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEF) display higher levels of phospho-Cdk1/2Tyr15 and have a shortened proliferative lifespan, although levels of Cdc25B and C are similar between Cdc25A^{+/+} and Cdc25A^{+/−} MEFs (89). These hemizygous MEFs also demonstrate difficulty recovering from G2 checkpoint, suggesting either that Cdc25A activity is limiting for cell cycle reentry from checkpoint (89). Collectively, the data from knockout mouse models and heterozygous MEFs indicate that Cdc25A is indispensable for normal cell cycling, whereas Cdc25B and C are not necessary.
Figure 7. Regulation of the Cdc25s by checkpoint signaling.

Induction of cellular stress activates the Chk kinases and/or p38, GSK-3β, and MAPKAPK-2, which in turn phosphorylate each Cdc25 isoform on specific residues (see text for details). Phosphorylation of Cdc25A targets it for ubiquitination by the SCFβ-TrCP complex and subsequent proteasomal degradation. Phosphorylation of Cdc25B and Cdc25C completes 14-3-3 binding sites, promotes 14-3-3-binding, and sequestration of the phosphatases away from their Cdk substrates. The culmination of these events is hyperphosphorylation and inactivation of Cdk complexes, slowing or stopping the cell cycle.

1.3.4.1 The role of Cdc25A in malignancy

Several hallmarks of human cancers have been described (90). Acquisition of these phenotypes is essential for the successful and continued proliferation of the cancerous cells in the host. These characteristics include a limitless replicative potential, resistance to anti-growth signals, and resistance to apoptosis (90). As an essential cell cycle regulator, aberrant control of Cdc25A has the potential to provide cells with the means to escape anti-growth signals and proliferate inappropriately. Examination of the available clinical data supports this hypothesis.
Cdc25A is overexpressed in numerous human cancers derived from a distinct array of cellular tissues, including esophageal, thyroid, breast, ovarian, non-small cell lung, colorectal, laryngeal, hepatocellular, head and neck, and non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma (reviewed in (91)). Indeed, in many cases, Cdc25A levels correlate with poor prognosis or particularly aggressive cancers (91).

A particularly insightful study in 2003 identified several distinct modes of Cdc25A deregulation in breast cancer cell lines (92). Although the levels of Cdc25A mRNA were largely unaltered and only slightly (two to three-fold) higher than in normal human mammary epithelial cells in the most severe cases, the protein levels of Cdc25A were as much as 49 times higher, and the phosphatase activity as much as nine times higher (92). This indicates that deregulation of basal protein levels generally occurs at a post-transcriptional level, and that protein levels and phosphatase activity are not necessarily correlated one-to-one. Notably, Cdc25A was not destroyed in response to ionizing radiation (IR) in some of these cell lines, indicating that deregulation of the checkpoint signaling machinery may also contribute to Cdc25A deregulation in breast cancer (92).

Although these studies provide correlative evidence of a role for Cdc25A in carcinogenesis, several studies have provided more direct evidence for Cdc25A deregulation contributing to tumor formation (Figure 8). Early studies showed that expression of human Cdc25A could cooperate with oncogenic Ras\textsuperscript{G12V} or Rb loss to transform rat fibroblasts as assayed by anchorage-independent growth in soft agar and loss of contact inhibition by focus formation assay (93). In agreement with this study, transgenic mice expressing Cdc25A and H-Ras under the control of the mouse mammary tumor virus (MMTV) promoter display a decreased tumor-free latency compared to H-ras-MMTV transgenic mice, from 20 weeks of age
to 12 weeks (94). Similarly, transgenic mice expressing Cdc25A and Erb2/neu under control of the MMTV promoter display no difference in the median time of tumor-free survival, but display greatly accelerated growth of breast tumors compared to MMTV-Erb2/neu transgenic mice alone as determined by tumor volume (94). These observations are corroborated by reciprocal studies in which Cdc25A+/− MEFs display resistance to transformation by H-ras in conjunction with a dominant-negative p53 compared to wild-type MEFs. Indeed, transgenic Cdc25A+/− mice also harboring MMTV H-ras or MMTV-neu display increased tumor latency. Interestingly, Induction of tumor formation by MMTV-myc is not affected by Cdc25A heterozygosity, suggesting that Cdc25A may cooperate selectively with oncogenes or tumor suppressors to induce transformation; alternatively, it may represent the fact that the CDC25A gene is a target for the myc oncogene, making this “two-hit” redundant.

Recent studies have also implicated Cdc25A in directly generating accumulation of DNA damage, thus contributing to genomic instability in tumor cells. Ectopic expression of Cdc25A alone is sufficient to induce expression of the DNA damage/checkpoint markers p53, γH2AX, phospho-Ser317 and phospho-Ser345 Chk1, phospho-Thr68 Chk2, and Rad17-associated phospho-serine (95). Also, breast tumors derived from MMTV-Cdc25A/neu mice display increased frequency of karyotypic abnormalities compared to tumors from MMTV-neu mice, consistent with a role for Cdc25A in the induction of genomic instability (94).

Although severe destabilization of the genome is normally a cytotoxic event, the ability of Cdc25A to induce DNA damage without inducing cell death may lie in its ability to regulate apoptosis. Reports exist citing both pro- and anti-apoptotic activities of Cdc25A (96-100), and it has even been suggested that Cdc25A intracellular localization may determine whether it elicits pro- or antiapoptotic effects (101). Most evidence that Cdc25A is pro-apoptotic is derived from
studies examining c-Myc-induced apoptosis (97, 98, 100) and is dependent upon ectopic Cdc25A expression, drawing into question their physiological relevance. It has been postulated that the role of Cdc25A in c-Myc-induced apoptosis may derive from mismatching cell cycle and growth factor signals as a result of deregulated Cdc25A (102). Those authors also observed downregulation of Cdc25A but not c-Myc during TNFα-induced apoptosis in N.1 ovarian carcinoma cells and that Cdc25A overexpression suppressed apoptosis in non-transformed rat 423 cells in response to serum withdrawal (96). Notably, these cells did not express detectable levels of c-Myc, consistent with the above hypothesis (96, 102). Irrespective of its potential role in c-Myc-regulated apoptosis, an elaborate study demonstrated inhibition of apoptosis signal-regulating kinase-1 (ASK-1) by Cdc25A in a phosphatase activity-independent manner (103). The authors identified Cdc25A in a yeast two-hybrid assay for ASK-1-interacting proteins and further studies found that Cdc25A colocalized with ASK-1 in 293 and OVCAR-8 cells and was associated with ASK-1 in reciprocal immunoprecipitates from mammalian cells. Moreover, Cdc25A overexpression diminished activation of the downstream kinases of ASK-1, and blunted apoptosis in response to oxidative stress. As ASK-1 is activated in response to a number of genotoxic stimuli (104), it is attractive to posit that Cdc25A may blunt apoptotic signaling in response to other noxious stimuli as well as to oxidative stress.
Figure 8. Cdc25A deregulation promotes tumorigenesis.

Overexpression of Cdc25A promotes many characteristics of human cancers. Early studies demonstrated that Cdc25A cooperates with H-Ras^{G12V} or Rb^{-/-} in rodent fibroblasts to promote focus formation and anchorage-independent growth in soft-agar (93). This evidence is supported by studies in a MMTV-H-ras model of breast tumorigenesis in which Cdc25A^{-/-} MEFs were resistant to transformation whereas overexpression of Cdc25A increased tumor incidence, size, and promoted apparent genomic instability (89, 94). Also, Cdc25A binds to and inhibits the activity of ASK-1 (103), and attenuates apoptotic induction in response to H_2O_2 and serum-deprivation (96, 103). Several studies have demonstrated that Cdc25A overexpression promotes accumulation of DNA damage and premature progression through the cell cycle both in the presence and absence of checkpoint activation (46, 57, 71, 94, 95, 105).
1.4 REGULATION OF CDC25A ACTIVITY

Stringent control over Cdc25A expression and activity are essential to allow productive cell growth under the appropriate signals without unwarranted proliferation. Deregulation of Cdc25A promotes tumorigenesis, damages DNA, and prevents apoptosis (89, 93-96, 103). These data support the observation that overexpression of Cdc25A is a hallmark of human cancers (91). Cells have thus evolved multiple mechanisms to control the levels and function of Cdc25A both on a cellular or biochemical level. The summation of these restraints allows for fine-tuning of Cdc25A activity in response to the cell environment, and prevents aberrant accumulation of Cdc25A protein or activity.

1.4.1.1 Cellular regulation

Regulation of Cdc25A is temporally and spatially complex, involving changes in the expression and intracellular localization in response to intra- and extracellular stimuli. Reports describing control of Cdc25A transcription and stability abound in the literature. Transcription of Cdc25A is controlled by numerous transcription factors eliciting both positive (c-Myc, STAT3, E2F) and negative (p53, p21, STAT3, and HIF-1α) control over the CDC25A promoter. Negative regulation of Cdc25A transcription by p21 and HIF-1α in response to stress has already been discussed (see Section 1.4.2.2) (84, 85). Transcription from the CDC25A gene first occurs in early G1 in response to mitogen-stimulated E2F release from Rb by Cdk4 (106, 107). c-Myc is also capable of inducing transcription from the CDC25A gene (97), and recent studies suggest that it cooperates with STAT3 in response to mitogens to promote Cdc25A transcription (108).
Without c-Myc, STAT3 fails to regulate Cdc25A transcription, indicating that these two transcription factors likely collaborate to induce Cdc25A expression. Surprisingly, STAT3 also can negatively regulate Cdc25A transcription in response to H₂O₂ by forming a transcriptional repressor complex with Rb that binds the CDC25A promoter (108). Finally, p53 decreases expression from the CDC25A gene and this action is dependent upon p53 DNA-binding, but apparently not to the CDC25A promoter as chromatin immunoprecipitation experiments failed to identify p53-bound DNA fragments associated with the Cdc25A promoter (109).

The most-studied aspect of Cdc25A expression is the regulation of the Cdc25A protein half-life. The protein half-life of Cdc25A is regulated by the ubiquitin-proteasome system; two different E3 ubiquitin ligases have been identified that target Cdc25A for proteolysis, and they operate in different phases of the cell cycle. The half-life of Cdc25A in G₁, S, and G₂ cells is regulated by the Skp/Cullin/F-box (SCF) family E3 ligase Skp1/Cul1/β-transducin repeat-containing protein (β-TrCP). Proteolysis induced by SCFβ-TrCP-mediated ubiquitination is extremely rapid; multiple groups have estimated the Cdc25A protein half-life in interphase HeLa cells as less than 10 minutes (110, 111). Recognition of Cdc25A by SCFβ-TrCP is a complex process that is not fully understood, but requires two independent phosphorylation events. The first is likely a priming phosphorylation at Ser76, which is catalyzed by GSK-3β during G₁ (76) and by Chk1 during S-phase and G₂ (74, 112). The second event is subject to debate, but appears to require phosphorylation of Ser82 and at least one of serines 79 and 88 (77, 78). Phosphorylation of these serines creates a phosphodegron surrounding a DSG amino acid motif, which is a common recognition motif for β-TrCP-binding (113). The kinase(s) responsible for this second phosphorylation event remains unknown, although Cdk2 has been proposed as a potential candidate kinase on the basis that chemical or genetic inhibition of Cdk2 kinase activity
increases Cdc25A half-life (110). The kinase(s) responsible for the second phosphorylation event would have to be active in G1, S, and G2 phases, which is consistent with the temporal profile of Cdk2 kinase activity. Additionally, phosphorylation of Cdc25A by Cdk2/cyclin E increases Cdc25A activity (48), which would result in a self-attenuating regulation of Cdk2 activity. This type of autoregulatory system is attractive because it would prevent transient fluxes in Cdc25 or Cdk2 activity from prematurely triggering DNA synthesis or progression through G2.

The SCF\(β\)-TrCP ubiquitin ligase is also responsible for the accelerated proteolysis of Cdc25A following checkpoint activation in G1, S and G2 cells (76-78). In response to checkpoint activation, Cdc25A is phosphorylated on a number of serines in its N-terminus, which promotes more efficient recognition of Cdc25A by SCF\(β\)-TrCP and enhanced ubiquitination and degradation. Several reports have identified Chk1, Chk2, GSK-3\(β\), and p38 as the kinases that phosphorylate Cdc25A following checkpoint signaling by replication stress, DNA damage, or osmotic stress (76, 114). The sites of phosphorylation differ among these kinases (reviewed in (114)), but can include Ser76, Ser124, Ser178, Ser279, and Ser293. The function(s) of these serine phosphorylations (with the exception of phospho-Ser76) is poorly understood. It has been hypothesized that phosphorylation of serines 124, 178, 279, and 293 in response to stress may change the conformation of Cdc25A, enhancing ubiquitylation kinetics and thus Cdc25A degradation, although this model remains to be evaluated (114).

In contrast to interphase Cdc25A, mitotic Cdc25A is much more stable with an apparent half-life of at least 20 minutes (57, 111). Once Cdk1/cyclin B becomes activated at the G2-M transition, Cdc25A is uncoupled from SCF\(β\)-TrCP-mediated degradation by phosphorylation at Ser18 and Ser116 (and perhaps Ser320) by Cdk1/cyclin B (57, 115). Dephosphorylation of (at
least) Ser116 and Ser320 is mediated by Cdc14A, although the physiological significance is not known (115). Regardless, phospho-Ser18/Ser116-mediated Cdc25A accumulation is necessary to achieve threshold activation of Cdk1/cyclin B to trigger the irreversible commitment to mitosis, as a high threshold for Cdc25 activity would prevent minor fluctuations in Cdc25 activity from triggering mitosis prematurely (57). This stable form of Cdc25A persists until mitotic exit, at which point the cell cycle machinery must be reset to prevent aberrant progression through the G1-S transition. During mitotic exit the Anaphase-promoting complex, or cyclosome (APC/C), is activated. Like the SCFβ-TrCP complex, the APC/C is a RING-finger family multi-protein ubiquitin ligase that recognizes and ubiquitinates proteins involved in mitosis for degradation by the proteasome (113). Targeting of Cdc25A by the APC/C is mediated by a KEN-box motif in the N-terminus (111). Destruction of Cdc25A during late mitosis and early G1 ensures that it does not trigger activation of Cdk4 and Cdk2 complexes prematurely during the subsequent cell cycle. An additional level of Cdc25A control is mediated by Chk1 phosphorylation and occurs in interphase cells. Phosphorylation of Cdc25A at Ser178 and T507 by Chk1 promotes binding of 14-3-3 to Cdc25A and restricts its activity toward Cdk1/cyclin B to prevent premature mitotic entry (37).

1.4.1.2 Biochemical regulation

Elucidation of the crystal structure of the Cdc25A catalytic domain unexpectedly revealed a disulfide bond between the active site Cys431 and Cys385 in some crystals (42). The authors originally postulated that the enzyme may be self-inhibited under conditions of oxidative stress. Enzymatic analysis of Cdc25B later revealed that the pKₐ of the catalytic cysteine is extremely low (5.6 vs. 8.3 for free cysteine), indicating it exists primarily as a thiolate anion at physiological pH (44). Given the high identity in the primary sequence and the nearly-identical
three dimensional structures of the Cdc25A and B catalytic domains, it is anticipated that the Cdc25A catalytic cysteine also exists as a thiolate. Whereas thiols are not particularly reactive with oxidants such as H_2O_2, thiolates react with H_2O_2 with rates from approximately 10^1 to 10^5 M^-1 s^-1 (116). Based on these observations it was hypothesized that the activity of Cdc25 phosphatases would be inhibited by oxidation of the catalytic cysteine in response to oxidative stimuli such as H_2O_2. A thorough kinetic analysis confirmed this hypothesis indicating rates of inactivation of all three Cdc25 isoforms by H_2O_2 on the order of 100 M^-1 s^-1, more than 400-fold faster than the oxidation of the major cellular reductant glutathione (GSH)(117). Loss of activity was accompanied by the formation of a disulfide bond between the catalytic cysteine and a three-dimensionally adjacent cysteine (now referred to as the back-door cysteine) as determined by mass spectrometry (MS). This study also discovered that the back-door cysteine acts to protect the catalytic cysteine from more severe oxidation (presumably by disulfide formation) in response to mild oxidative conditions, as mutation of this residue resulted in the loss of disulfide formation and the appearance of the “irreversible” catalytic sulfinic acid (117). Most interestingly, whereas up to 50 mM GSH failed to significantly restore Cdc25B activity following H_2O_2 treatment, the low molecular mass (LMM) dithiol protein thioredoxin (Trx) was able to restore Cdc25B containing this intramolecular disulfide bond to the reduced active form at a rate 2000 times greater than DTT, indicating that Trx is likely the intracellular agent responsible for maintaining Cdc25 enzymes in their reduced active form in vivo (117). As this enhanced activity toward Cdc25 cannot be explained merely by reduction potentials (-270 mV for Trx (118) vs. -330 mV for DTT (119)), other forces (likely protein-protein interactions) must enhance Trx activity toward Cdc25.
Cdc25C from HeLa cells treated with H$_2$O$_2$ undergoes a redox-sensitive change in migration that is dependent upon the integrity of the catalytic cysteine and the predicted back-door cysteine (120). Oxidation of Cdc25C is accompanied by a shortening of its protein half-life and an increase in association with 14-3-3, suggesting that the oxidized form of Cdc25C is removed from cellular circulation and subsequently degraded (120). Reports also abound of loss of Cdc25A expression following H$_2$O$_2$ treatment, but the mechanism is subject to debate (108, 121). Generation of a STAT3-Rb complex that represses transcription of Cdc25A has been described following H$_2$O$_2$ treatment. Cdc25A protein levels are also decreased by H$_2$O$_2$ in HeLa cells, which have inactivated Rb due to the human papilloma virus (122, 123), and treatment with the peroxide-generating isoquinoline Caulibugulone A shortened Cdc25A protein half-life via activation of p38 (121), questioning whether a transcriptional mechanism is involved.

Although the mechanisms governing Cdc25 activity following oxidants and reactive oxygen species (ROS), relatively little attention has been paid to other families of reactive species such as nitric oxide (•NO) and •NO-derived reactive species (RNS), which have gone essentially unexamined.

### 1.5 NITROGEN OXIDES

Nitrogen makes up approximately 78% of the Earth’s atmosphere, and is the fourth most common element by mass in living systems.$^4$ Incorporation of nitrogen into biomolecules is

---

essential for all living species, yet most life forms cannot utilize elemental nitrogen. Instead, fixation of atmospheric dinitrogen to usable forms such as NO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{-} and NH\textsubscript{3} by nitrogen-fixing life forms known as diazotrophs (generally bacteria) must occur. These fixed nitrogen sources are absorbed by plants, which then transfer nitrogen to herbivores and omnivores, and subsequently to carnivores via their respective ingestions of nitrogen-containing species.\textsuperscript{5} Nitrogen is contained in DNA, RNA, and every amino acid, and is utilized in various forms both macro- and unimolecularly for cellular signaling. This section will familiarize the reader with the role of oxygen-containing forms of nitrogen (nitrogen oxides) in physiological and pathological control of cellular processes with a focus on the mammalian cell cycle.

### 1.5.1 •NO and RNS: origin, properties, and fate

Nitric oxide (•NO) is a diatomic free radical molecule produced in many eukaryotic organisms that is involved in processes ranging from bioluminescence to vasodilation. In fact, •NO was first described as “endothelium-derived relaxation factor” due to its potent vasodilatory effects on vascular smooth muscle (124, 125). In humans, the most well-recognized source of •NO is the nitric oxide synthase (NOS) enzymes endothelial NOS (eNOS), neuronal NOS (nNOS) and inducible NOS (iNOS). •NO is produced differentially by the NOS enzymes; eNOS and nNOS generally elicit production of low quantities of •NO while iNOS generally produces high quantities of •NO. These enzymes catalyze the O\textsubscript{2}- and reduced nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate (NADPH)-dependent five-electron oxidation of the imino nitrogen of L-arginine to produce •NO and L-citrulline (and oxidized NADPH, or NADP\textsuperscript{+})(126). The NOS

\textsuperscript{5} http://users.ren.com/jkimball.ma.ultranet/BiologyPages/N/NitrogenCycle.html
enzymes function as homodimers and are dependent upon the tight binding of several cofactors, namely flavin mononucleotide, flavin adenine dinucleotide, tetrahydrobiopterin, and heme iron, which pass electrons from NADPH to the imino nitrogen of \( L \)-arginine (126). Additionally, nNOS and eNOS are \( Ca^{2+} \)-dependent enzymes, as they bind calmodulin. The reaction stoichiometry is generally thought to occur as displayed in scheme 1:

\[
L\text{-Arg} + 1.5 \text{NADPH} + 1.5 \text{H}^+ + 2 \text{O}_2 \rightarrow \bullet \text{NO} + L\text{-Citrulline} + 1.5 \text{NADP}^+ + 2 \text{H}_2\text{O}
\] (1)

There is some debate as to whether or not the NOS enzymes truly produce \( \bullet \text{NO} \) or some other species; it has been suggested that peroxynitrite (\( \text{ONNO}^- \)) (127), nitroxyl (\( \text{NO}^-/\text{HNO} \)) (127), \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) (128), and/or superoxide (\( \bullet \text{O}_2^- \)) (129-131) could be formed from NOS enzymes. Alternatively, it may be necessary for closely-associated superoxide dismutase (SOD) activity to generate \( \bullet \text{NO} \) from NOS enzymes by Cu(II)-SOD-mediated oxidation of \( \text{NO}^- \) to \( \bullet \text{NO} \) (127). For the purposes of this thesis, I will not discriminate between the possible nitrogen oxide(s) and ROS that may initially be produced by NOS enzymes; instead I will consider \( \bullet \text{NO} \) the primary product of NOS enzymes under physiological conditions, as the bulk of the literature suggests this is the primary product and I have no evidence to suggest otherwise.

It should be mentioned that there are other biological sources of \( \bullet \text{NO} \) aside from the NOS enzymes; release of \( \bullet \text{NO} \) by \( S \)-nitrosothiols (RSNOs) in the circulatory system is well-documented, and it has been suggested that RSNOs may act as a physiological storehouse for \( \bullet \text{NO} \) bioactivity in the bloodstream (132). Under hypoxic conditions, xanthine oxidase catalyzes the nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide-dependent reduction of \( \text{NO}_3^- \) and \( \text{NO}_2^- \) (as well as organic nitrates such as nitroglycerin) to \( \bullet \text{NO} \) (133). Reduction of \( \text{NO}_2^- \) by deoxyhemoglobin and eNOS under oxygen-deficient conditions have also recently been reported (134, 135). Nitrated lipids
are also capable of donating •NO in protic solvents (136) by homolysis and/or transition metal/ascorbate-assisted reduction (137).

•NO is a relatively stable radical with a half-life of 5 to 15 seconds, and is readily soluble in both aqueous and hydrophobic phases (with more favorable partitioning into hydrophobic phases), allowing its diffusion into and out of cells, with a radius up to 100 – 200 μm through biological milieu (138). •NO can thus execute both autocrine and paracrine signaling. •NO has an unpaired electron, and it can both accept and donate an electron (e⁻) to generate the non-radicals NO⁻/HNO and nitrosonium (NO⁺), respectively (139). •NO is surprisingly unreactive considering that it is a free radical, and reacts only with other paramagnetic species such as transition metals and free radicals. Many of these reactions are relatively unstable with the ultimate end-products of •NO formation in situ generally being considered NO₂⁻ and NO₃⁻.

•NO reacts readily with the diradical O₂ in 2:1 empirical stoichiometry to generate nitrogen dioxide, •NO₂. The •NO₂ product, also a radical, is readily reactive with numerous biomolecules including additional •NO₂, •NO, thiols, ascorbate, tyrosine, tryptophan, and unsaturated fatty acids (140), and elicits primarily oxidation of targets and/or formation of nitrated biomolecules via one-electron oxidations, radical recombination, or addition across double bonds (140, 141). Recombination of •NO₂ with •NO yields the potent nitrosant N₂O₃.

The formation of this species in biologically relevant amounts has been questioned due to the relatively slow autooxidation rate of •NO at physiologically relevant concentrations (142). Nonetheless, recent experiments have shown acceleration of •NO autooxidation in lipid membranes, as the local •NO and O₂ concentrations are higher than in aqueous medium due to their preferential solubility in hydrophobic environments (142, 143). In addition, it has recently been demonstrated that hemoglobin is capable of reducing nitrite to N₂O₃ via catalytic reaction
of metheme-bound NO$_2^-$ (which shows partial Fe(II)-•NO$_2$ character) with •NO, regenerating hemoglobin and releasing N$_2$O$_3$ (144). These studies raise the possibility that N$_2$O$_3$ may accumulate and thus have significant biological effects in vivo; it has recently been hypothesized that N$_2$O$_3$ accounts for up to 90% of nitrosation in cells (145), and evidence demonstrating quenching of N-nitrosation by azide (which reacts selectively with N$_2$O$_3$) upon cogeneration of •NO and •O$_2^-$ support this hypothesis under some conditions (146).

Reaction of •NO with •O$_2^-$ occurs at a diffusion-limited rate of $1.9 \times 10^{10}$ M$^{-1}$s$^{-1}$ (147). The product is ONOO$, which upon protonation (the pK$_a$ is altered by solution composition, but ranges from 6.5 to 7.5, (147, 148)) decomposes either via homolysis to •NO$_2$ and hydroxyl radical (•OH), or by intramolecular rearrangement to NO$_3^-$ + H$^+$ (149). ONOO$^-$ itself is a strong oxidant (E$_o$(ONO$_2$H, H$^+$ / •NO$_2$) at pH 7 = 1.4 V, (150)) and is a potent oxidant of thiols and lipids (151, 152). ONOO$^-$ can also be rapidly scavenged by CO$_2$, which is present in high soluble concentrations in many tissues, generating the unstable species nitrosoperoxocarbonate (ONOOCO$_2^-$), which subsequently undergoes homolytic cleavage to •NO$_2$ and CO$_3^{•-}$ (153) or rearranges to nitrate and CO$_2$. The result of shunting of ONOO$^-$ into nitrosoperoxocarbonate appears to be two-fold: a relative detoxification of ONOO$^-$ by disproportionation to NO$_3^-$, and a reequilibration of ONOO$^-$-mediated two-e$^-$ oxidations to one-e$^-$ oxidations mediated by •NO$_2$ and/or CO$_3^{•-}$ (154).

Direct •NO recombination with thiy radicals (RS•) has also been described, but will be discussed in Section 1.5.2.3. One additional well-studied interaction of •NO is with transition metals. Interaction of •NO with transition metals fall into three categories: 1) direct reaction of •NO with the metal center to generate metal-nitrosyls, 2) •NO oxidation of metals in dioxygen metal complexes, and 3) reduction of high valence metal-oxo complexes. The most common
form of metal-nitrosyl is a mononitrosyl, in which •NO reacts 1:1 with a metal ion (155). Although in theory •NO can bind many transition metals to form a complex, only a few

Figure 9. Interactions of •NO with biologically relevant molecules.

In biological milieu, reactions of •NO are largely limited to reactions with transition metal complexes, oxygen radicals (either molecular oxygen or •O₂), and with other radicals (largely lipid radicals, thiyl radicals). The downstream products of these reactions are known as •NO-derived reactive species. Molecules with which •NO reacts directly are shown in red type, and direct and indirect downstream products are shown in black type. This figure is a simplified schematic of •NO reactions with biological molecules. M, metal ion.

complexes are formed in significant quantity in biological systems due to metal availability and energetic requirements (156). The prototypical example of this type of •NO binding is the binding of •NO to the heme-histidyl-pentacoordinated Fe²⁺ moiety in soluble guanylate cyclase (157). Interaction of •NO with oxygenated metal complexes, such as the oxyheme moiety in oxyhemoglobin and oxymyoglobin, results in oxidation of •NO to NO₃⁻ and oxidation of the
Fe(II) heme to metheme (Fe(III))(144). Finally, high-valence metal-oxo complexes such as Fe(IV)=O and Fe(V)=O are reduced by •NO to ferric heme and NO₂⁻, thus detoxifying these potent oxidants (158, 159).

As reaction of •NO is largely limited to interactions with other radicals (including dioxygen) and transition metals, the products of these reactions are largely either the relatively stable species NO₂⁻ and NO₃⁻, or oxidants/nitrating agents (ONOO⁻, ONOOCO₂⁻, •NO₂) and nitrosants (N₂O₃ and RSNO). The breadth of species generated by •NO reaction coupled to the number of potential sites of generation allows for a staggering number of potentially independent signaling events. Deciphering the biochemical regulation of •NO and RNS is therefore quite complex, although several well-studied models exist that delineate the common themes. •NO and RNS can regulate protein function through covalent and non-covalent mechanisms; non-covalent regulatory mechanisms are relatively rare. The activities of RNS such as N₂O₃, ONOO⁻, and •NO₂ toward proteins can generally be divided into three areas: nitros(yl)ation, nitration, or oxidation. The relative proportions of each of these activities is dependent on many factors determined both by the characteristics of the individual species formed as well as the environment in which the substrate and reactive species is located. It is becoming increasingly apparent that the bias between oxidative/nitrative events and nitrosative events may be dependent upon the relative proportions of •NO to •O₂⁻ being formed in close proximity to one another (146, 160).

1.5.2 Biochemical regulation of protein function by nitrogen oxides

The high reactivity of •NO/RNS allots them a miniscule half-life in biological milieu, which suits them well for transducers of cellular signaling, as the relative levels of RNS can be rapidly
altered in response to changes in •NO and/or •O₂⁻ production. Proteins mediate many signaling pathways in cells and thus are targets for and transducers of •NO-dependent signaling. Transduction of signaling by proteins can be enacted by •NO either directly or indirectly through the activities of •NO-derived reactive species. Direct regulation of proteins by •NO is typically mediated either by •NO-bonding with a protein-complexed transition metal, or by direct recombination with a protein radical center. Direct regulation of proteins by •NO is typically associated with low levels of •NO production, as is the case for soluble guanylate cyclase (sGC) (157).

1.5.2.1 Direct modulation of protein signaling by •NO

One of the best-studied examples of protein regulation via direct •NO binding is that of sGC, which regulates smooth-muscle relaxation in response to •NO via its cyclization of guanosine triphosphate to cyclic guanosine monophosphate (cGMP)(157). Binding of cGMP by cGMP-dependent protein kinase then occurs, resulting in its activation and subsequent transduction of the •NO signal (161). sGC exists as a heterodimer of an α-subunit and a heme-binding β-subunit. The heme group contains a penta-coordinated heme-histidyl-Fe²⁺ complex, which acts as the •NO sensor. Upon binding of •NO to the heme-Fe²⁺, a covalent bond is formed that disrupts interaction of the histidine with the iron atom, resulting in a conformational change in the protein that increases its activity ~200-fold (162). According to kinetic modeling, the inactivation of sGC (due to •NO dissociation) proceeds primarily via trans-nitrosation (described below) of thiols, and is approximately an order of magnitude slower than its activation (163).

Another example more relevant to cell cycle is the inactivation of mammalian ribonucleotide reductase (RR) by •NO. RR is responsible for reducing (via deoxygenation) the 2’ hydroxyl of the ribose sugar in ribonucleotides to generate deoxyribonucleotides, an essential
and rate-limiting step in DNA synthesis (164). Mammalian RR contains at its active site a diiron site which upon O$_2$-binding generates a tyrosyl radical (this residue acts as the “storage” site for the radical until ribonucleotide binding) whose function is to abstract an e$^-$ from the catalytic cysteine. The thyl radical in turn abstracts an electron from carbon-3 of the ribose sugar, triggering double bond formation between carbon-2 and carbon-3. The leaving group for this rearrangement is the 2’-hydroxyl, thus generating the deoxyribonucleotide (165). Indeed, inactivation of RR by •NO gas has been reported (166) and quenching of the tyrosyl radical of RR in tumor cells overexpressing RR has been observed following activating macrophage co-culture experiments (167). The mechanism by which this occurs is not clear, and may involve either direct addition of •NO to the tyrosyl radical (168) or direct •NO binding to the diiron complex, preventing tyrosyl radical formation (169).

1.5.2.2 Regulation of protein function by RNS

In contrast to direct regulation of proteins by •NO, indirect regulation of proteins by •NO occurs largely through RNS, and falls into three major categories as described above: oxidation, nitration, and nitros(yl)ation. Nitros(yl)ation will be discussed in section 1.5.2.3. In most cases, regulation of protein activity by RNS is induced biochemically by modifying one or more amino acids responsible for protein activity. These modifications can change the enzymatic activity of a protein or alter its interaction with other biomolecules (170-173).

The best-described regulatory targets of protein nitration and oxidation are aromatic amino acids such as phenylalanine and tyrosine, and thiols, respectively. Nitration of tyrosine is generally considered to occur via a two-step process, in which an e$^-$ is first abstracted from the tyrosyl side chain of tyrosine, generating a tyrosyl radical (Figure 10). This step can be catalyzed by a number of RNS and oxidizing species, including •NO$_2$, CO$_3^-$, and •OH (149).
Subsequently, •NO₂ from •NO autooxidation or peroxynitrous acid decomposition will recombine with tyrosyl radical at near diffusion-limited rates (k = 3×10⁹ M⁻¹s⁻¹) to yield nitrotyrosine (NT) (174). The highly reactive nature of •NO₂ implies that tyrosine nitration will be observed only in sites proximally localized to •NO₂ formation, and this is supported by empirical evidence in vivo (175). Although there is some evidence of “denitrase” activity in cells (176, 177), tyrosine nitration is regarded largely as irreversible; thus, if nitration of tyrosines essential for protein activity occurs the protein is irreversibly inhibited. Nitration of proteins often stimulates their proteolytic degradation, allowing the turnover of damaged or irreversibly inhibited proteins (178). Nitration and inhibition of protein activity has been well-described for several proteins, including human manganese-superoxide dismutase (Mn-SOD). Tyr34, which is located at the vertex of a molecular funnel that guides •O₂⁻ to the active site Mn atom, is nitrated by ONOO⁻, resulting in a loss of SOD activity due to steric blockade of the active site and/or perturbation of the active site electronic environment (172).

**Figure 10. Formation of 3-nitrotyrosine.**

Formation of 3-nitrotyrosine is thought to occur via a two-step mechanism: 1) abstraction of an e⁻ from the tyrosyl ring, followed by 2) adduction of •NO₂ at the electronically-favored 3- and 5-positions on the ring. R, amino acid backbone.
Oxidation of thiols either by one-e\textsuperscript{–} or two-e\textsuperscript{–} processes has been reported in response to a number of RNS (Figure 11), including •NO\textsubscript{2} (174), RSNOs (179), and ONOO\textsuperscript{–} (180). Oxidation of thiols is a common mechanism observed in biological regulation of proteins, and examples of protein regulation by intermolecular (\textit{S}-thiolation) (181) and intramolecular disulfide formation (182), sulfonylamine generation (183, 184), terminally oxidized sulfonic or sulfonic acid generation (183, 184), and addition of glutathione to cysteines (\textit{S}-glutathionylation) (185, 186) has been reported. Generation of these protein products is dependent upon the individual location, environment, the reactive species mediating the modification, and biochemical properties of the thiol being modified. Vicinal thiols as are found in thioredoxin, for example, often are oxidized to an intramolecular disulfide in response to ROS and RNS resulting in loss of enzymatic activity (187), whereas loss of activity due to modification of unprotected thiols is often due to generation of “terminally” oxidized to sulfinic or sulfonic acids, as was observed for creatine kinase in response to peroxynitrite (180). \textit{S}-thiolation has also been reported in response to RNS (173). Treatment with the •NO-donating prodrug PABA/NO induced nitrosative stress and accumulation of PTP1B that had been \textit{S}-glutathionylated, which inhibits its activity (173, 186).

1.5.2.3 \textit{S}-nitros(yl)ation as a prototypical signaling paradigm

A mechanism of cysteine-dependent protein regulation that has garnered increased attention recently is \textit{S}-nitros(yl)ation, or the reversible addition of NO to a thiol to generate RSNO. Cysteine composes on average 4\% of protein amino acid sequence, and many enzyme families contain catalytic cysteine residues necessary for their enzymatic activity. Thus \textit{S}-nitros(yl)ation of cysteines by •NO and RNS provides a convenient biochemical mechanism to regulate enzymatic activities and protein-protein interactions in response to •NO-dependent
signaling. Regulation of protein interaction and function by S-nitros(yl)ation has been described for proteins from numerous families, including phosphatases, GTPases, oxygenases, proteases, transcription factors, and ion channels (188-193).

Figure 11. Reversible oxidation and S-nitrosation reactions of thiols.

In response to RNS, thiolates can be oxidized, S-nitrosated, or oxidatively nitrated. Upon exposure to nitrosating agents such as RSNOs or N₂O₃, thiolates can receive NO⁺, generating RSNO. Alternatively, exposure to one-e⁻ oxidizing agents such as •NO₂, •OH, or CO₃⁻ can abstract an e⁻ from thiolates, generating thyl radicals. Thyl radicals can in turn recombine with other thyl radicals to generate disulfides, or interact with •NO to produce RSNO via oxidative nitrosylation. Additionally, thiolate can reductively cleave •NO from RSNO, generating •NO and disulfide. Different thiolates and/or their reaction products are shown in black and red for clarity.

Nitros(yl)ation of protein cysteines can occur through a variety of mechanisms (Figure 11), with the two most studied being S-nitrosation (addition of NO⁺ to a thiolate) and oxidative nitrosylation (one-e⁻ oxidation of cysteine to RS• followed by •NO addition) as these are the most likely mechanisms in vivo (146, 159). Upon coproduction of •NO and •O₂⁻, peroxynitrite
decomposition products are thought to mediate e⁻ abstraction from thiols, promoting oxidative nitrosylation (direct •NO addition) (146). Disproportionate generation of •NO vs. •O₂⁻ favors •NO autooxidation and S-nitrosation via production of the nitrosating species N₂O₃ as evidenced by the quenching of intracellular nitrosation by azide treatment (146). An alternative source of nitrosation is trans-nitrosation, the direct transfer of NO⁺ from RSNO to thiolate as shown in equation 2:

\[
R_1S^- + R_2S-NO \rightarrow R_1S-NO + R_2S^-\tag{2}
\]

The relative contribution of trans-nitrosation to biological nitros(yl)ation is unclear; speculation exists that given the relative concentration of GSH vs. total cellular thiols, trans-nitrosation of GSH will be essentially unidirectional (194). This implies that in the absence of additional mechanisms of selectivity, GSH will act as a sink for NO⁺ equivalents, essentially precluding intracellular trans-nitrosation. However, recent experiments demonstrating catalytic trans-nitrosation (188) have revived debate over whether it is a significant source of RSNO in vivo (see below).

Given the frequency of cysteine in protein sequences, how specificity of protein S-nitros(yl)ation is achieved has been the subject of debate. Evidence exists that the biochemical and environmental characteristics of the cysteine to be modified may play an important role in targeting specific residues for S-nitros(yl)ation. Cysteines targeted by S-nitros(yl)ation often exist in hydrophobic pockets of proteins (171). Given that •NO autooxidation to nitrosating species such as N₂O₃ is accelerated in hydrophobic regions (143), it is reasonable to posit that proteins may selectively catalyze the nitrosation of hydrophobically constrained cysteines by proximally concentrating •NO and/or O₂. Cysteines that are selectively nitros(yl)ated often display a characteristic low pKₐ compared to that of free cysteine (free Cys pKₐ ≈ 8.3) as a result
of surrounding environmental factors. For hepatic methionine adenosyltransferase, sterically
adjacent basic arginines reduce the pK$_a$ of Cys121, increasing its nucleophilicity, which makes it
a more attractive target for NO$^+$ donation from GSNO (195). In fact, a recent sequence analysis
of 20 S-nitrosylated proteins identified in a proteomic screen found flanking of S-nitrosylated
cysteines by basic groups (histidine, lysine, arginine) either in the primary protein sequence or
the tertiary structure (within $\approx 7$ Å of the cysteine) of the proteins (196). Additionally evidence
now exists that targeted nitrosylation of proteins by proteins occurs, but it has been
convincingly demonstrated only for cyclooxygenase-2 and caspase-3 (188, 192). Nonetheless,
these studies identified direct and indirect mechanisms mediating target-specific S-nitrosylation:
iNOS binds and directly S-nitrosylates cyclooxygenase-2, resulting in its activation, while S-
nitrosothioredoxin trans-nitrosates caspase-3 in vitro, although this is yet to be observed in vivo.

For S-nitrosylation to fit the requirements of a biological signaling mechanism, it must be
reversible (197). The chemistry of denitrosylation is well-defined in the test tube; RSNOs are
decomposed via photolytic homolysis (194), reduction to thiol and •NO by Cu$^+$ (198) and by
Hg$^{2+}$-mediated release of •NO, generating disulfide likely by thiol recombination (199).
Additionally, thiols can catalyze the reduction of RSNOs either by maintaining Cu$^+$ in a reduced
state (200) or by releasing NO$^-$ with generation of disulfide (194). In cells, photolytic and Hg$^{2+}$-
catalyzed decomposition is presumably negligible due to poor penetration of tissues by light and
the trace amounts of unchelated Hg$^{2+}$. These characteristics, however, make this chemistry
useful for determination of RSNO content in the laboratory (199, 201). In cells, denitrosylation
appears to be mediated primarily by the Trx/Trx-reductase system (187, 202, 203) and by
GSNO-reductase (204), although protein disulfide isomerase (PDI) and CuZn-SOD can
denitrosate LMM RSNOs in vitro (205, 206).
1.5.3 Initiation and cellular effects of nitrosative stress

Although RSNOs exist in unperturbed cells, the levels are normally low; the basal concentration of GSNO in rat cerebellum for example is estimated at 6 - 8 μM (207). Under conditions of high \( \cdot \)NO production accumulation of intracellular nitroso species can occur, and when production of these species exceeds the cellular requirements or buffering systems, nitrosative stress ensues (145). Accumulation of RSNOs and \( N \)-nitrosamines (RNNOs) alters cell signaling via \( S \)-nitros(yl)ation of cysteine-containing proteins and \( N \)-nitrosation of biomolecules, and the depletion of thiols associated with RSNO formation decreases cellular resistance to further oxidative and nitrosative insult. Indeed, activation of the respiratory burst in macrophages increases intracellular RSNOs and RNNOs via generation of \( N_2O_3 \) (208, 209), and is followed by apoptosis (209). Similarly, chemical induction of nitrosative stress in HL-60 cells induced phosphatidylserine externalization by inhibition of the aminophospholipid translocase and induced subsequent engulfment and clearance by macrophages (210). These studies indicate that overproduction of \( \cdot \)NO and/or \( \cdot O_2^- \) and accumulation of nitroso species occurs in cells and has pathophysiological consequences. In contrast, several studies have reported prevention of apoptosis in response to nitrosative stimuli by reversible \( S \)-nitros(yl)ation of caspases, suggesting that different durations or intensities of nitrosative stress may exert differential effects on cell survival (211, 212).

Numerous disease states are associated with increased nitrosative stress, including neurodegeneration (213, 214), migraine headaches (215), and diabetes (216). Considering that many cancer cells and preneoplastic lesions derived from chronic inflammatory diseases are exposed to high \( \cdot \)NO produced either endogenously due to iNOS overexpression or exogenously by inflammatory mediators (reviewed in (217)), it is not surprising that markers of nitrosative
stress have been observed in cancer patients (218) and patients with chronic inflammatory
diseases (219). The sustained production of potentially mutagenic RNNOs, in fact, may
contribute to carcinogenesis (220).

1.5.4 Nitrogen oxides and the cell cycle

Numerous reports have correlated the generation of •NO or RNS with cytostasis or cell
proliferation in a variety of tissues. Most early studies examined the role of •NO in regulation of
vascular smooth muscle proliferation, stemming from the discovery that endothelium-derived
relaxation factor was •NO. The mid-nineties yielded several seemingly contradictory reports that
•NO derived from various sources could either suppress (221-223) or stimulate (224) vascular
cell proliferation. Although in hindsight these studies can likely be explained by the
concentrations and kinetics of •NO administration, to the cell cycle researcher “in the moment,”
they undoubtedly provided ample source of confusion. What is apparent from these studies is
that administration of sufficiently high levels of •NO during a given time course results in cell
cycle arrest via inhibition of DNA synthesis (221-223, 225-227). Subsequent studies examining
the molecular mechanisms of •NO-mediated cell cycle arrest frequently identified suppression of
Cdk2 activity and decreased cyclin A expression in •NO-treated cells (225-227). Although these
studies provided an explanation for the failure of vascular cells to exit S-phase upon •NO
treatment, it did not explain the failure of these cells to synthesize DNA, as cyclin E expression
was not affected significantly (225-227) and therefore was not responsible for suppressed Cdk2
activity.

The picture of •NO/RNS-mediated cell cycle regulation became cloudier when the effects
of •NO/RNS on tumor cells were examined. In cell culture, •NO administration to MDA-MB-
231 breast cancer cells suppressed DNA synthesis (as implied by failure of cells to cross the G$_1$-S transition), and again the expression of cyclin E and Cdk2 was unchanged (228). The authors attributed this to suppression of cyclin D$_1$ in response to •NO, but the high expression of cyclin E would preclude this explanation as Cdk2/cyclin E drives the G$_1$/S transition (46, 228). More detailed cell cycle analysis of •NO-mediated cell cycle arrest using isogenic cell lines deficient in the cell cycle regulators p53 and p21 excluded a role for these proteins in prevention of S-phase progression by •NO, as p53$^{-/-}$ and p21$^{-/-}$ cells failed to synthesize DNA identically to wild-type cells (219). Moreover, different RNS may enact S-phase arrest via different mechanisms. Treatment of cells with nitrating agents •NO$_2$ and SIN-1 activated ATM, and initiated S-phase arrest concurrent with accrual of DNA damage (229).

Whereas the effects of •NO/RNS in cell culture is largely consistent across cell types with suppression of DNA synthesis being the primarily observed phenotype, data from mouse studies have contradicted the in vitro observations. Colon cancer cells engineered to constitutively express iNOS grew much slower than wild-type cells in culture, as would be expected. However the same iNOS-expressing cells produced significantly larger tumors in nude mice than did the wild-type cells, suggesting that •NO production can have different effects on tumor cell growth in vitro and in vivo (228). Furthermore, numerous examples of pro- and anti-tumor effects of iNOS expression either in the tumor cells or in the host animal exist, further complicating the interpretation of whether or not •NO production is beneficial or detrimental to tumor formation (230-235).
1.6 STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM AND HYPOTHESIS

The existing body of evidence clearly demonstrates that •NO and/or RNS suppress S-phase activity in normal and cancerous cells; however, the exact mechanism(s) by which this occurs remain unclear. The observation that Cdk2 activity is suppressed even in the presence of its cognate S-phase cyclin suggests an alternative activator of Cdk2 is the target of •NO-mediated S-phase suppression. Cdc25A enzymatically activates Cdk2 at the G1-S transition (46-48), so suppression of Cdc25A either at the level of expression or enzymatic activity represents an attractive target for •NO-mediated cell cycle signaling.

Structural and biochemical analysis of Cdc25A indicates that its catalytic cysteine exists as a highly reactive thiolate anion (43, 182, 236) and is situated in an acid-base motif within a hydrophobic substrate-binding pocket (42), flagging it as a potential target of regulation by $S$-nitros(y)lation. Direct chemical regulation of Cdc25A by •NO/RNS could rectify the observed perturbation to cell cycle progression and Cdk2 activity in response to •NO/RNS. In support of this hypothesis, the endogenous reducing agent for Cdc25 catalytic cysteine, Trx (117), has been recently reported catalyze $S$-nitrosation of target proteins (188). Moreover, in light of frequent Cdc25A overexpression in human cancers (91) and the apparent ability of tumors in vivo to bypass •NO-mediated cell cycle arrest and thrive (228), I hypothesized that Cdc25A represents the unidentified target of •NO-dependent cell cycle signaling, and that loss of Cdk2 activity in cells exposed to •NO is limiting for progression through S-phase. Thus, the specific aims of this dissertation were to 1) probe the susceptibility of Cdc25A to enzymatic regulation by •NO/RNS, 2) interrogate the effects of •NO/RNS production on Cdc25A expression in tumor cells and 3) determine whether reintroduction of Cdk2 activity in •NO/RNS-challenged tumor cells restores DNA synthesis.
2.0  EXPERIMENTAL METHODS

2.1  REAGENTS

L-Cysteine ethyl ester hydrochloride (CEE), etoposide, OMFP, Na$_3$VO$_4$, β-mercaptopethanol, diethylene triamine pentaacetic acid (DTPA), CuSO$_4$, (+)-sodium-L-ascorbate, NaNO$_2$, cis-diamineplatinum (II) dichloride (cisplatin, CDDP) and ethyl nitrite were from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO).  Cycloheximide (CHX), dithiothreitol (DTT), glutathione (GSH), Hoechst 33342, iodoacetamide (IAC), $N^G$-monomethyl-L-arginine monoacetate ($L$-NMMA), MG-132, $N$-ethylnmaleimide (NEM), roscovitine, and salubrinal were from Calbiochem (La Jolla, CA).  S-Nitrosoglutathione (GSNO), Nitrate/Nitrite Colorimetric Assay Kit and nitrotyrosine BSA were from Cayman Chemical (Ann Arbor, MI).  ThioGlo-1 was from Covalent Associates, Inc. (Corvallis, OR).  Chelex-100 was purchased from Bio-Rad (Hercules, CA).  SNCEE was synthesized and quantified using its extinction coefficient (1019 M$^{-1}$cm$^{-1}$ at 343 nm in methanol) as previously described (237).  SNCEE was allowed to decompose for ≥ 24 hours to generate decomposed SNCEE, which was verified spectroscopically by loss of the S-N bond absorbance at 343 nm before use.  LipofectAMINE PLUS and *E. coli* strain DH5α Supercompetent cells, were obtained from Invitrogen (Carlsbad, CA).  *E. coli* strain BL21(DE3) and the pET28a bacterial expression plasmid were from Novagen (Madison, WI).  Cdc25A (sc-7389), Cdc25C (sc-327), and Cdk1 (sc-54) antibodies, normal mouse IgG (sc-2025), Protein A/G-PLUS agarose
(sc-2003) and anti-cyclin B1-agarose (sc-245 AC) were obtained from Santa Cruz Biotechnology (Santa Cruz, CA). Cdc25A DCS-120 antibody was from Thermo-Fisher Scientific (Fremont, CA). Antibodies against Cdc25B (610528) and iNOS/NOS Type II (610332) were from BD Transduction Laboratories (Lexington, KY). Antibodies recognizing phospho-Thr180/Thr182-p38 MAP kinase (#9211), p38 MAP kinase (#9212), phospho-Tyr15 Cdk1 (#9111), PARP (#9542), phospho-Ser51 eIF-2α (#9722), and eIF-2α (#9721) were from Cell Signaling Technology (Danvers, MA), the caspase-3 antibody (AAP-113) was from Assay Designs (Ann Arbor, MI), the β-tubulin antibody (CLT9003) was from Cedarlane Laboratories (Hornby, Ontario, Canada) and the 3-nitrotyrosine antibody (#189540) was from Cayman Chemical. HA.11 affinity matrix was purchased from Covance Research Products, Inc. (Princeton, NJ). Horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibodies were from Jackson Immunoresearch (West Grove, PA). ECL Western blotting substrate was purchased from Pierce Biotechnology (Rockford, IL). Dialyzed FBS was from HyClone (Logan, UT). EasyTag™ EXPRESS [35S] Protein Labeling Mix and [γ33P]-ATP were purchased from Perkin-Elmer (Waltham, MA). Recombinant Cdk2/cyclin A was from New England Biolabs (Ipswich, MA).

The pCMV-HA-Cdc25A and pCMV-HA-C431S vectors were supplied by Alexander Ducruet (University of Pittsburgh) and were created by ligating the Cdc25A WT or C431S cDNA into the Eco Rl and Xho I sites of the pCMV-HA vector. The pcDNA3-Cdc25A vector was provided by Thomas Roberts (Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, Boston, MA) and has been described previously (238). The pET28a-rHis-Cdc25A vector was created by ligating the human CDC25A cDNA into the Bam H1 and Xho I sites of the pET28a vector. The pcDL-Cdk2AF-HA vector encodes a double mutant (Thr14Ala and Tyr15Phe) Cdk2 that cannot be phosphorylated on these residues, and thus does not require Cdc25 activity to be catalytically active (71, 105,
239) and was provided by David Morgan (University of California, San Francisco, CA). The pcDNA3-HA-ASK-1 vector has been described previously (240) and was provided by Peter Houghton (St. Jude Children’s Research Hospital, Memphis, TN) Ad-LacZ and Ad-iNOS adenoviruses encoded the β-galactosidase and human iNOS cDNAs, respectively (241), and were supplied by Paul Robbins (University of Pittsburgh).

2.2 CELL CULTURE, TREATMENTS, AND RADIOLABEL INCORPORATION

Wild-type, Chk2−/−, p53−/−, and p21−/−, HCT116 cells were provided by Bert Vogelstein (The Johns Hopkins University), and HIF-1α−/− HCT116 cells were provided by Long Dang (University of Michigan). HCT116 wild-type and isogenic cells were maintained in McCoy’s 5A medium plus L-glutamine supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (Cellgro, Manassas, VA), 100 U/mL penicillin/streptomycin (Invitrogen) in a humidified incubator at 37°C with 5% CO2. HeLa cells (ATCC, Manassas, VA) were maintained in Dulbecco’s modified eagle medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (Cellgro), 100 U/mL penicillin/streptomycin (Invitrogen) in a humidified incubator at 37°C with 5% CO2.

All compounds were either dissolved into DMSO or directly into medium for cell treatment. To metabolically label newly synthesized proteins, I washed HCT116 cells twice with PBS and incubated them with priming medium (Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Medium lacking L-cysteine or L-methionine (Invitrogen), supplemented with 10% dialyzed fetal bovine serum and 100 U/mL penicillin/streptomycin) for one hour before addition of 300 µCi/mL of EasyTag™ EXPRESS [35S] Protein Labeling Mix (Perkin-Elmer, Waltham, MA).
For exposure to UV irradiation, cells were washed once in 37°C PBS, and the PBS was aspirated. The dish of cells was then placed on top of the lid to elevate it, and irradiated using a UVC Crosslinker, (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA), followed by addition of fresh medium before incubation for the indicated times and cell harvesting.

2.3 PLASMID TRANSFECTION AND ADENOVIRAL INFECTION OF HUMAN TUMOR CELL LINES

HCT116 cells were transfected at 25 to 40% confluence using Lipofectamine PLUS according to the manufacturer’s instructions in serum-containing medium. Three hours after transfection, medium was aspirated to remove DNA-lipid complexes and fresh medium was added to cells. When replating of cells to attain target confluence was necessary after transfection, cells were trypsinized 8 hours post-transfection and replated in fresh medium at 25 – 30% density. Estimation of transfection efficiency was performed visually by inspection of a tandem sample transfected with vectors encoding green fluorescent protein and were routinely 40-50%.

HCT116 cells were infected with 10 MOI of adenoviruses encoding either β-galactosidase or human iNOS in 1.2 mL PBS, yielding > 99% infection. One hour after infection, 4 mL of medium was added to plates, and they were incubated for 24 hours before harvest. No apparent cytotoxicity was observed either in LacZ- or iNOS-infected cells up to 48 hours post-infection.
2.4 PURIFICATION OF RECOMBINANT HUMAN CDC25A AND TYR15-PHOSPHORYLATED CDK1/CYCLIN B1

His-tagged Cdc25A was expressed from E. coli strain BL21(DE3) using 1 mM isopropyl-β-D-1-thiogalactopyranoside from bacterial OD$_{600}$ 0.4 to 0.8. Protein was purified using nickel-nitrilotriacetic acid (His$_6$) resin as described previously (242), except that the protein was eluted in the absence of reducing agents.

Tyr15-hyperphosphorylated Cdk1 was generated by treating subconfluent (25 – 30% confluent) HeLa cells with 40 µM etoposide for one hour, and 23 hours later cells were harvested in RSNO- and phosphatase assay-compatible RIPA buffer (50 mM Tris pH 7.6, 1% Triton X-100, 0.1% SDS, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM DTPA, 10 mM NaF, 10 µg/mL aprotinin, 10 µg/mL leupeptin, 100 µg/mL 4-(2-aminoethyl)-benzenesulfonylfluoride hydrochloride, 10 µg/mL soybean trypsin inhibitor, and 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride; prepared with Chelex-100-treated, deionized H$_2$O). Cdk1/cyclin B$_1$ complexes were immunoprecipitated as described below, and stored as a pellet at -80°C until use.

2.5 WESTERN BLOTTING, DOT BLOTTING, AND IMMUNOPRECIPITATION

For Western blotting, cells were harvested by scraping into a modified RIPA buffer (50 mM Tris pH 7.6, 1% Triton X-100, 0.1% SDS, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 2 mM Na$_3$VO$_4$, 12 mM β-glycerol phosphate, 10 mM NaF, 10 µg/mL aprotinin, 10 µg/mL leupeptin, 100 µg/mL 4-(2-aminoethyl)-benzenesulfonylfluoride hydrochloride, 10 µg/mL soybean trypsin inhibitor, and 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride). Cells were lysed either by incubation on ice for 30 minutes
with frequent vortexing or by sonication at 50% amplitude for 6 x 2 seconds on ice with a 2 second pause between pulses using a GEX-130 ultrasonic processor with a VC-50 2 mm microtip (Gene Q, Montreal, Quebec, Canada). Lysates were cleared by centrifugation at 13,000 x g for 15 minutes, and protein content of the supernatant was determined by the method of Bradford. Total cell lysates (30 – 50 µg protein) were resolved by SDS-PAGE and transferred to nitrocellulose membranes at 4°C overnight at 35 V to maximize protein transfer. Membranes were then blocked in 5% non-fat milk or 5% BSA as recommended by the primary antibody manufacturer, and incubated with primary antibodies overnight at 4°C. After washing 3 times for 5 minutes with 25 mL TBS-T, horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibodies were added for one hour before 3 additional TBS-T washes visualization with ECL reagent. Densitometric analysis was performed on films using an Amersham Biosciences SI densitometer and analyzed using ImageQuant software (Amersham Biosciences, Piscataway, NJ) for quantification.

For dot blotting, 1 µg of total protein or 50 – 100 ng of 3-nitrotyrosine BSA was added directly to nitrocellulose membranes and allowed to dry completely before Western blotting as above with an antibody to nitrotyrosine.

Immunoprecipitation of cyclin B1 and associated proteins was performed by lysing cells as described in RSNO- and phosphatase assay-compatible RIPA buffer as described above. Immunoprecipitation of Cdc25A and ASK-1 was performed by lysing cells in HEPES buffer (103). Total protein (250 µg per sample for cyclin B1, 1000 - 1500 µg for Cdc25A and ASK-1) was diluted to 1 mL in the above buffer and pre-cleared with 2 µg of normal mouse IgG and 50 µL Protein A/G PLUS-agarose for one hour at 4°C on a rotating mixer. Agarose beads were pelleted by centrifugation for 5 minutes at 1500 x g, and the supernatant was transferred to a new
tube containing 50 µL of anti-cyclin B1-agarose antibody, Cdc25A antibody (DCS-120) plus 50 µL of Protein A/G PLUS-agarose, or 50 µL of HA.11 affinity matrix (for cyclin B1, Cdc25A, and ASK-1, respectively) and incubated on the rotating mixer overnight at 4°C. After centrifugation at 1500 x g for 5 minutes, the pellet was washed 3 times with 1 mL of the above buffer.

2.6 QUANTIFICATION OF NITRITE, NITRATE, S-NITROSOTHIOLS, AND FREE THIOLS

Nitrite and nitrate in culture medium from iNOS-infected cells was measured using a colorimetric nitrite/nitrate detection kit (Cayman Chemical) based on the Greiss assay. To measure S-nitrosothiols, I washed cells twice with ice-cold PBS, scraped them into RSNO stabilization buffer (100 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7.4, 100 µM DTPA and 10 mM NEM), and sonicated them as described above. Lysates were cleared by centrifugation at 13,000 x g for 10 minutes, and supernatants were collected. RSNOs in each lysate were analyzed immediately by ozonolysis-based chemiluminescence. A 10 µL aliquot of each lysate was injected into the helium-purged reaction chamber of a Sievers Model 280 NO analyzer (Sievers, Inc., Boulder, CO), which contained 3 mL sodium phosphate buffer, pH 7.4, 40 µM CuSO4, and 50 mM sodium ascorbate. A 10 µL injection of up to 100 µM NaNO2 produced no discernible signal, and pretreatment of an aliquot of each sample with 10 mM DTT completely ablated any signal, suggesting that this method was specific for RSNOs. Area under the curve for each injection was normalized to a GSNO standard curve and then for protein content. To quantify free thiols, cell lysates (200 µL) were prepared as described for measurement of S-nitrosothiols and mixed
with 100 µL of a 30 µM solution of ThioGlo-1, were incubated for 5 minutes at ambient temperature, and assayed for fluorescence (ex 388 nm, em 505 nm) using a SpectraMAX M5 (Molecular Devices Corp., Sunnyvale, CA) in a 96-well black polystyrene assay plate (Corning, Lowell, Massachusetts). Fluorescence values were normalized for protein content and to a GSH standard curve.

2.7 PHOSPHATASE AND KINASE ASSAYS

Dephosphorylation of Cdk1\textsuperscript{Tyr15}/cyclin B\textsubscript{1} was measured by incubating 500 ng of rHis-Cdc25A that had been pretreated for 15 minutes with the indicated compounds with 250 µg of cyclin B\textsubscript{1} immunoprecipitate in a 50 µL final volume for 60 minutes at 37°C in assay buffer (30 mM Tris pH 7.4, 75 mM NaCl, 100 µM DTPA in Chelex-100-treated deionized H\textsubscript{2}O). Laemmli buffer was then added and samples were boiled to halt the reaction. Levels of Cdk1\textsuperscript{Tyr15} phosphorylation were determined by Western blotting as above using a phospho-Cdk1\textsuperscript{Tyr15} antibody.

Phosphatase activity toward the artificial substrate \textit{O}-methylfluorescein phosphate (OMFP) was measured at the \textit{K}_m (40 µM) and pH 7.4 at ambient temperature in a 96-well microtiter plate assay based on previously described methods (242). rHis-Cdc25A (500 ng) was pretreated for 15 minutes to 1 hour with the indicated compounds in assay buffer, after which OMFP was added. Fluorescence emission was measured after a 60 minute incubation period with a Molecular Devices M5 Spectrophotometer (ex 485 nm, em 525 nm).

Cdk2/cyclin A kinase activity toward recombinant human Histone H1.2 (Calbiochem) was measured by radiolabel incorporation from [\textgamma\textsuperscript{33}P]-ATP (Perkin-Elmer). Cdk2/cyclin A (1 U,
New England Biolabs) was incubated in 1X kinase buffer (500 mM Tris pH 7.5, 100 mM MgCl₂, 10 mM EGTA, 0.10% Brij-35 in Chelex-100-treated deionized H₂O) with 20 µg Histone H1.2, and the indicated compounds for 15 minutes before addition of 0.62 µCi [γ³³P]-ATP in a total concentration of 200 µM ATP to bring the total reaction volume to 60 µL and initiate the kinase reaction. After 20 minutes, 25 µL of each sample was transferred to a P81 phosphocellulose square, air dried for 5 minutes, and washed 3 times for 5 minutes with 0.75% phosphoric acid. Squares were then rinsed in acetone, air dried, transferred to scintillation vials containing 4 mL scintillant, and ³³P signal was counted using a Beckman-Coulter LS6500 Multi-purpose Scintillation Counter (Beckman-Coulter, Fullerton, CA). Total phosphate incorporation was kept below 5% of theoretical maximum to maintain linear kinase reaction kinetics.

### 2.8 FLOW CYTOMETRY AND MICROSCOPY

DNA synthesis and DNA content were measured by bromodeoxyuridine (BrdU) and 7-aminoactinomycin D (7-AAD) staining, respectively, using the FITC-BrdU flow cytometry kit from BD Pharmingen (San Diego, CA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Cells were incubated with 10 µM BrdU in medium for 30 minutes before harvesting and preparation according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Cell fluorescence was measured in the FITC and PI channels with appropriate compensation using a BD FACScalibur flow cytometer (BD, San Diego, CA) or a Guava EasyCyte flow cytometer (Guava Technologies, Inc., Hayward, CA). Data analysis was performed using Cytosoft 5.0.2 (Guava Technologies, Inc.) for data acquired on the EasyCyte, and WinMDI 2.8 (acquired from http://facs.scripps.edu/software.html as freeware) for data acquired on the FACScalibur to determine BrdU-positive cells.
To measure apoptosis, cells were washed once with PBS and fixed for 10 minutes at room-temperature in 4% formaldehyde in PBS. One mL of PBS containing 10 µg/mL Hoechst 33342 was added to each sample, and nuclei were visualized by standard fluorescence microscopy. Nuclei that were fragmented or condensed but not mitotic were considered apoptotic.

2.9 CYSTEINE REDOX-MODIFICATION PROFILING BY MASS SPECTROMETRY

Profiling of the post-translational and/or redox state of protein cysteines was performed using a modified version of the biotin-switch method (243) based on the selectivity of RSNO reduction by ascorbate (overview in Figure 12) coupled with MS. Recombinant Cdc25A (50 µg) or recombinant human Trx (50 µg) was treated with 50 µM SNCEE (or 50 µM CEE) in assay buffer at 37ºC for 30 minutes before removal of excess SNCEE (or CEE) by centrifugation using a 6 kDa cutoff filter equilibrated in assay buffer (Bio-Rad). A 1:100 volume of 25% sodium dodecyl sulfate in chelex-treated dH₂O was added to each sample, followed by 1 µL of 2 M IAC to alkylate free thiols. Samples were incubated at 50ºC for 1 hour to promote denaturation and alkylation. Samples were then cooled on wet ice, and 4 volumes of -80ºC acetone were added to each sample to precipitate protein overnight at -80ºC. The following day, proteins were subjected to centrifugation at 10,000 x g at 4ºC for 10 minutes, and washed twice in 500 µL of -80ºC acetone. Pellets were redissolved in 100 µL assay buffer, and 1µL of 1 M sodium ascorbate and 1 µL of 2 M NEM were added to each sample to promote reduction and NEM alkylation of RSNOs. Samples were incubated at 50ºC for 2 hours, after which 33.3 µL of 4X
Figure 12. Redox-profiling of protein cysteines by selective reduction and alkylation.

I used redox state-selective reducing agents with the alkylation agents NEM and IAC to distinguish potential $\text{S}$-nitrosothiols (-SNO) from stable, terminally oxidized cysteines (-SO\textsubscript{x}, sulfinic or sulfonic acids) and thiols/disulfides. TCEP, tris-carboxyethylphosphine.

Laemmli buffer was added. The mix (50 µL) was separated by SDS-PAGE. Samples were excised and in-gel digested using 200 ng Trypsin Gold (Promega, Madison, WI) in 20 µL NH\textsubscript{4}HCO\textsubscript{3} overnight at 37°C. Digested peptides were extracted in 1% trifluoroacetic acid / 50% acetonitrile at room temperature, dried, and resuspended in 0.1% trifluoroacetic acid. Peptides were purified by reverse-phase Ziptip (Millipore, Danvers, MA) chromatography and eluted into 0.3% trifluoroacetic acid/50% acetonitrile. Peptide solution (2 µL) was mixed with 2 µL of a supersaturated $\alpha$-cyano-4-hydroxycinnamic acid solution, and 0.75 µL was spotted onto a
Matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization (MALDI) plate. Mass spectra were acquired over a $m/z = 800 - 4000$ acquisition range (focus $m/z = 1570$) using an Applied Biosystems 4700 Proteomics Analyzer (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA) in positive ion MS mode with reflectron engaged. Mass spectra were calibrated using the mass standards kit for the 4700 proteomics analyzer (Applied Biosystems). Predicted $m/z$ ratios were calculated using predominant isotope elemental masses with Protein Prospector’s MS-Digest software (accessed from http://prospector.ucsf.edu/cgi-bin/msform.cgi?form=msdigest).
3.0 ENZYMATIC REGULATION OF CDC25A BY NITROSATIVE INSULT

3.1 INTRODUCTION

•NO and •NO-derived reactive species (RNS) suppress DNA synthesis and Cdk2 activity, yet the mechanisms that enforce these observations remain poorly defined. Regulation of phosphatase activity by redox stimuli has recently emerged as a mechanism regulating cell signaling. Oxidative inactivation of the Cdc25 phosphatases has been reported by our group and others, but the effects of •NO and RNS on Cdc25A activity have not been examined. Other tyrosine phosphatases including PTP1B and CD45 are regulated by RSNOs (244), and the structural Cdc25 homolog rhodanese is regulated by S-nitrosothiols in vitro (245). The phosphatase activity of Cdc25A is dependent upon the integrity of the catalytic thiolate. Structural analysis indicates the Cdc25A catalytic cysteine (Cys431) is in a relatively shallow hydrophobic cleft, leaving it exposed to its environment. Cys431 is predicted to be much more reactive with electrophiles than that of typical cysteines, as the catalytic cysteine pKₐ is estimated at 5.6 – 6.3, compared to 8.33 for free cysteine. These features likely render it susceptible to nitrosative attack, inhibiting its activity and providing a potential linkage between •NO and cell cycle arrest.

In this study, the effects of •NO-derived species in the form of S-nitrosothiols on Cdc25A phosphatase activity were examined. I found that S-nitrosothiols directly inhibited Cdc25A phosphatase activity, and that Cdc25A activity could be restored upon treatment with reductants.
Cdc25A was S-nitrosated with two molar equivalents of cysteine per mole protein upon treatment with SNCEE, providing a plausible explanation for the observed loss of phosphatase activity. I used selective chemical modification of Cdc25A cysteines based on their redox modifications (S-nitrosated vs. terminally oxidized vs. thiol/disulfide, see Figure 12) coupled with mass spectrometric analysis to profile the redox state of Cdc25A cysteines after SNCEE treatment. Mass spectrometric analysis identified peptides containing 9 of 12 Cdc25A cysteines, all as either thiols or disulfides, and failed to detect any terminally oxidized or S-nitrosated cysteines. The three cysteine-containing peptides that were not detected resided in the catalytic domain (Cys442) and the regulatory domain (Cys85 and Cys115). Collectively, these experiments indicate that Cdc25A is S-nitrosated and inactivated by low molecular mass (LMM) RSNOs, and identify a novel mechanism regulating Cdc25A activity in response to •NO-derived reactive species. These studies implicate the cellular balance of RSNOs as a potential mediator of Cdc25A activity.

3.2 RESULTS

3.2.1 Low molecular mass RSNOs decreased Cdc25A phosphatase activity toward OMFP and phospho-Cdk1^{Tyr15}/cyclin B

I determined whether the phosphatase activity of Cdc25A was sensitive to S-nitrosothiols in vitro. Treatment with the LMM RSNOs S-nitrosoglutathione (GSNO) or S-nitrosocysteine ethyl ester (SNCEE) inhibited Cdc25A phosphatase activity toward OMFP in a concentration-dependent manner (Figure 13). The IC_{50} values for GSNO and SNCEE were 969 ± 126 and 22.5
Figure 13. LMM RSNOs inhibited Cdc25A phosphatase activity toward OMFP.

Cdc25A (500 ng) was incubated with the indicated concentrations of SNCEE (A) or GSNO (B) and phosphatase activity toward OMFP was measured as described in Experimental Methods section 2.7. Results were normalized to untreated Cdc25A phosphatase activity and were expressed as percent control. Error bars indicate SEM, N=4.

± 6.2 µM, respectively, indicating that SNCEE was more potent toward inhibition of Cdc25A phosphatase activity.

I next probed the effects of SNCEE on dephosphorylation of an endogenous Cdc25A substrate, phospho-Cdk1\textsuperscript{Tyr15}/cyclin B (Figure 14). DTT maintains a reduced Cdc25A catalytic thiol, so DTT-treated Cdc25A added to phospho-Cdk1\textsuperscript{Tyr15}/cyclin B served as a positive control for maximal dephosphorylation. Cdc25A dephosphorylated Cdk1/cyclin B on tyrosine 15, and treatment of Cdc25A with SNCEE attenuated Cdc25A-mediated dephosphorylation of Cdk1/cyclin B similarly to the nonspecific tyrosine phosphatase inhibitor Na\textsubscript{3}VO\textsubscript{4}. Together, these results indicated that LMM RSNOs inhibited Cdc25A phosphatase activity toward artificial and endogenous substrates.
Figure 14. SNCEE inhibited dephosphorylation of Cdk1\textsuperscript{Tyr15}/cyclin B by Cdc25A.

\( A \), Cdc25A (500 ng) was incubated with the indicated compounds before addition of 250 µg of Cdk1/cyclin B immunoprecipitate from etoposide-treated cells as described in Experimental Methods section 2.7. The phosphorylation status of Cdk1\textsuperscript{Tyr15} (top panel), total Cdk1 levels (middle), and Cdc25A levels (bottom) were measured by Western blotting. N=4. \( B \), Quantification of \( A \). N.S., not significant.

3.2.2 SNCEE was stable during the Cdc25A phosphatase activity assay

\( S \)-nitrosothiols are typically unstable in aqueous solutions, as trace \( Cu^+ \) ions and exposure to light catalyze the release of \( \cdot NO \) and thiol in the case of transition metal ions and \( \cdot NO \) and thyl radical (RS•) upon photolysis. RS• reacts rapidly with LMM RS• and protein RS• to generate mixed disulfides. \( \cdot NO \) can autooxidize upon exposure to aqueous O\(_2\), generating the nitrosating species N\(_2\)O\(_3\), which can \( S \)-nitrosate protein cysteines. Thus, decomposition of SNCEE may generate several chemical agents capable of regulating Cdc25A activity, and it was important to determine whether significant decomposition of SNCEE occurred under our assay conditions. 100 µM SNCEE, a concentration that readily inhibited Cdc25A activity, was incubated in assay buffer and SNCEE absorbance at 343 nm was measured at various timepoints to quantify
remaining SNCEE (Figure 15A). No significant breakdown of SNCEE was detected over the time course of our assay, indicating that loss of Cdc25A activity was elicited by intact SNCEE. Consistent with this conclusion, treatment of Cdc25A with decomposed SNCEE did not decrease Cdc25A activity as fresh SNCEE did (Figure 15B). Together, these results indicate intact SNCEE, and not SNCEE decomposition products, mediates Cdc25A inhibition.

3.2.3 SNCEE treatment induced a reductant-sensitive change in Cdc25A migration by SDS-PAGE

S-Nitrosation and S-thiolation are redox-reversible post-translational modifications (PTMs), and PTMs often change the migration of their targets as assessed by SDS-PAGE. I thus investigated whether SNCEE treatment altered the migration of Cdc25A by SDS-PAGE under reducing and non-reducing conditions. Cdc25A was treated either with decomposed SNCEE or fresh SNCEE, and subjected to SDS-PAGE in the presence or absence of β-mercaptoethanol (β-ME). SNCEE-treated Cdc25A migrated faster in SDS-PAGE compared to decomposed SNCEE-treated Cdc25A (Figure 16, lanes 1 and 2), and addition of β-ME to samples before electrophoresis ablated this accelerated migration in response to SNCEE treatment (Figure 16, lanes 3 and 4). Interestingly, the β-ME-treated Cdc25A appeared more finely resolved than that of even decomposed SNCEE-treated Cdc25A electrophoresed under non-reducing conditions (Figure 16, lane 1 vs. lane 3), suggesting that multiple oxidation states or conformations of Cdc25A may exist under basal conditions. This observation is consistent with reports of Cdc25A oxidation to a disulfide under non-reducing conditions (42). Two additional Cdc25A antibody-reactive bands with molecular masses > Cdc25A were apparent in lane 1 (Figure 16) but not under the reducing conditions in lane 3. The identity of the proteins constituting these
Figure 15. Intact SNCEE mediated Cdc25A inhibition.

A, SNCEE was diluted in assay buffer and the stability of SNCEE was monitored via its absorbance at 343 nm. SNCEE did not degrade significantly by one hour, the length of a typical phosphatase assay. Error bars indicate SEM, N=3. B, Cdc25A was treated with the indicated compounds before the phosphatase activity was assayed. Phosphatase activity is expressed as percent of untreated control. Error bars indicate SEM, N=4. N.D., not detectable.

bands was not known, but the molecular masses of these bands were not multiples of the Cdc25A molecular mass, indicating they were not multimers. Together, these results suggest that SNCEE induces a reductant-sensitive change in Cdc25A under conditions that inhibit its activity.

3.2.4 DTT treatment restored the activity of SNCEE-treated Cdc25A

Vicinal dithiols such as α-lipoic acid, thioredoxin, and DTT can denitrosate protein RSNOs (187, 202). Disulfide bonds as are found in protein-disulfides and mixed protein disulfides are also
Figure 16. SNCEE reversibly changed Cdc25A migration by SDS-PAGE.

Cdc25A was treated with either decomposed or fresh SNCEE, and then electrophoresed in the presence or absence of the thiol reducing agent β-ME. Cdc25A was then detected by Western blotting.

Sensitive to reduction with DTT. To determine a potential linkage between the β-ME-sensitive change in Cdc25A migration following SNCEE treatment and SNCEE-induced loss of Cdc25A activity, I exposed SNCEE-treated Cdc25A to 20 mM DTT (which has been shown previously to efficiently cleave RSNOs (211)) or vehicle, and immediately measured its phosphatase activity after DTT. Figure 17 shows that addition of 20 mM DTT restored > 60% of Cdc25A activity after SNCEE treatment. Although Cdc25A activity was not totally restored, the complete ablation of Cdc25A migration change following SNCEE suggested that irreversible PTM of Cdc25A did not occur and therefore was not responsible for failure to restore complete activity. It was possible that reduction of Cdc25A PTMs was time-dependent, and the incomplete restoration of activity reflected such. Regardless, Cdc25A inhibition by SNCEE was at least
Figure 17. DTT restored Cdc25A phosphatase activity after SNCEE treatment.

Cdc25A was treated with SNCEE, and then exposed or not to 20 mM DTT immediately before assay of phosphatase activity. Cdc25A activity was expressed as percent untreated control. Error bars indicate SEM, N=4. *, p < 0.001.

partially redox-reversible, consistent with a model where SNCEE S-nitrosated the active site cysteine of Cdc25A.

3.2.5 SNCEE induced S-nitrosation of Cdc25A

To determine whether S-nitrosation of Cdc25A by SNCEE may induce the observed loss of activity, I measured Cdc25A-associated RSNOs after treatment with SNCEE. The divalent cation Hg^{2+} selectively releases •NO from RSNOs, which can be captured using a number of •NO-reactive “traps.” I treated Cdc25A with SNCEE, removed unreacted SNCEE, and treated Cdc25A with or without HgCl_{2} in buffer containing diaminofluorescein-2 (DAF-2), a •NO trap
that fluoresces upon reaction with •NO. Addition of HgCl₂ to SNCEE-treated Cdc25A increased DAF-2 fluorescence correlating to 2 mol of •NO release per mol Cdc25A, indicating that two Cys equivalents per Cdc25A molecule were S-nitrosated. In agreement with the literature (188, 246), trans-nitrosation of recombinant human thioredoxin at pH 7.2 resulted in only one modified cysteine using this method, suggesting that our detection of 2 mol RSNOs per mol Cdc25A was authentic.

3.2.6 Mass spectrometric analysis of SNCEE-treated Cdc25A did not detect S-nitrosothiols

I next wanted to identify the cysteines that were S-nitrosated following SNCEE exposure to understand how LMM RSNOs were regulating Cdc25A enzymatic activity. I used a modification of the biotin switch assay (243) to analyze the status of Cdc25A cysteines (Figure 12). First, free thiols are blocked with an alkylating agent (namely, IAC) after which RSNOs are selectively reduced with ascorbate and labeled with a thiol-alkylating agent (namely, NEM). Disulfides then were reduced and alkylated with IAC, allowing differentiation between RSNOs and other thiol modifications by MS.

Using this approach, peptides by MALDI-TOF MS containing 9 of 12 Cdc25A cysteines from SNCEE-treated Cdc25A were identified (Table 1), all of which were IAC-alkylated, indicating they existed either as thiols or disulfides following SNCEE treatment. I also did not detect any cysteine-containing peptides with cysteine sulfinic or sulfonic acids (Table 1), which indicates that SNCEE did not induce terminal oxidation of cysteines. This is congruent with my enzymatic and migration data in which I observed that the migration change of SNCEE-treated Cdc25A (Figure 16) and inhibition of Cdc25A activity by SNCEE (Figure 17) were reversible.
Recombinant human Trx was incubated with 50 μM SNCEE (B) or vehicle (A) and analyzed by MALDI-TOF MS after preparation for cysteine redox-modification profiling as described in Experimental Methods section 2.9. The predicted m/z of the tryptic peptide containing iodoacetylated Cys73 (indicative of a thiol or disulfide), which was observed as 1205.6532 (A) and 1205.6361 (B), is 1205.5482. The red arrow indicates the appearance of an ion with observed m/z of 1273.6687, corresponding to NEM-modified Cys73-containing peptide (predicted m/z = 1273.5744) indicating SNCEE S-nitrosated Cys73.
Surprisingly, I did not detect any NEM-alkylated (S-nitrosated) Cdc25A peptides (Table 1). I was able to successfully detect an ion with an observed m/z correlating to the tryptic NEM-alkylated peptide containing Trx Cys73 from SNCEE-treated Trx (Figure 18B) but not vehicle-treated Trx (Figure 18A), suggesting that my failure to detect NEM-alkylated Cdc25A peptides did not stem from detection error. The three cysteine-containing peptides that were not identified by MS analysis contained cysteines 85, 115, and 442, which lie in the regulatory domain (Cys85 and Cys115) and catalytic domain, respectively (Cys442).

In summary, I have determined that LMM RSNOs inhibit Cdc25A activity towards artificial and endogenous substrates, and that inhibition of Cdc25A activity was associated with a change in its post-translational modification state and/or protein conformation. Moreover, Cdc25A was S-nitrosated on two moles cysteine equivalents per mole protein following SNCEE treatment, providing a potential explanation for SNCEE-induced loss of Cdc25A activity. Together, these results identify a novel redox-sensitive mechanism controlling Cdc25A activity following nitrosative challenge.
IAC-labeled peptide (Thiol or disulfide) | NEM-labeled peptide (S-nitrosothiol) | Irreversibly oxidized peptide (sulfinic or sulfonic acid)
--- | --- | ---
Cysteine | Pred. m/z | Obs. m/z | Pred. m/z | Obs. m/z | Pred. m/z | Obs. m/z
17 | 1710.9247 | 1711.0325 | 1778.9510 | N/A | 1685.8931 / 1701.8880 | N/A
85 | 2273.9537 | N/A | 2341.9799 | N/A | 2248.9220 / 2264.9169 | N/A
115 | 958.539 | N/A | 1026.5652 | N/A | 933.5074 / 949.5023 | N/A
159 | 1322.627 | 1322.7094 | 1390.6532 | N/A | 1297.5953 / 1313.5902 | N/A
236 | 2322.0563 | 2322.2019 | 2390.0825 | N/A | 2297.0246 / 2313.0195 | N/A
256 | 2150.1209 | 2150.1746 | 2218.1471 | N/A | 2125.0892 / 2141.0841 | N/A
265 | 1456.6737 | 1456.7809 | 1524.6999 | N/A | 1431.6420 / 1447.6369 | N/A
385 | 1051.5241 | 1051.6046 | 1119.5503 | N/A | 1026.4924 / 1042.4873 | N/A
431 | 1608.7839 | 1608.8966 | 1676.8101 | N/A | 1583.7522 / 1599.7471 | N/A
442 | 1398.6365 | N/A | 1466.6627 | N/A | 1373.6048 / 1389.5997 | N/A
477 | 2468.0216 | 2468.1997 | 2604.0741 | N/A | 2417.9584 / 2449.9482 | N/A
481 | 2468.0216 | 2468.1997 | 2604.0741 | N/A | 2417.9584 / 2449.9482 | N/A

Table 1. Predicted and observed m/z of Cdc25A peptides by MALDI-TOF-MS.

Recombinant human Cdc25A was incubated with 50 µM SNCEE before redox-profiling by MALDI-TOF MS as described in Experimental Methods section 2.9. Data for the Cdc25A regulatory domain cysteines, catalytic domain cysteines, and catalytic cysteine are displayed in black, green, and red, respectively. N/A, not observed.

### 3.3 DISCUSSION

Because Cdc25A depends upon a highly reactive thiolate for its activity and because this thiolate exists as part of an acid-base motif in a readily accessible hydrophobic pocket, we hypothesized Cdc25A activity would be inhibited by S-nitrosation in response to LMM RSNOs. Our studies indicated that Cdc25A is S-nitrosated and reversibly inhibited by LMM RSNOs \textit{in vitro}, partially confirming our hypothesis. The implications of these studies are that Cdc25A may be an intracellular target for nitrosative regulation in addition to the previously described oxidative regulation. LMM RSNOs are generated in cells producing •NO from iNOS and in
experimental models of nitrosative stress; under these circumstances it could be anticipated that
inhibition of Cdc25A phosphatase activity would halt the cell cycle, providing the logical link
between the observed cell cycle inhibition and \( \bullet \text{NO} \) signaling.

Cdc25A was more sensitive to inhibition by SNCEE than GSNO (IC\(_{50} = 969 \mu M\) compared to 22.5 \( \mu M \)). This difference in sensitivity may reflect molecular size or
hydrophobicity and thus access of the molecule to the Cdc25A active site. It is possible that
release of \( \bullet \text{NO} \) into solution and subsequent autooxidation to the nitrosant \( \text{N}_2\text{O}_3 \) is required for
Cdc25A inhibition; GSNO is a remarkably stable RSNO and its high IC\(_{50}\) compared to that of
SNCEE may reflect this. Although these RSNOs are less potent than many Cdc25 inhibitors, the
concentrations necessary for inhibition may not be outside the physiological (or pathological)
concentrations in cells. The basal concentration of GSNO in the rat cerebellum was estimated at
6-8 \( \mu M \), or 0.3 to 0.7\% of total GSH (207). The authors did not measure the levels of protein-
associated RSNOs or other LMM RSNOs such as CysNO, and did not examine the levels in cells
expressing iNOS or under inflammatory stress, where RSNO levels are much higher (209).
Thus, the total basal level of intracellular RSNOs is low, allowing retention of Cdc25A
phosphatase activity for normal cellular functions. Under inflammatory conditions stimulated by
iNOS activity, however, total RSNO levels can climb as high as 220 nmol / g protein, of which
greater than 75\% is protein-SNO (209). The concentration of GSNO in unperturbed rat
cerebellum is estimated at 15.4 to 21.8 nmol / g protein (207), indicating that intracellular RSNO
levels may climb at least 10-fold during inflammation. These conditions would be consistent
with our observed enzymatic inhibition of Cdc25A by RSNOs, as a 10-fold increase in
intracellular RSNOs would be predicted to result in 60 - 80 \( \mu M \) intracellular RSNO (207).
Dependent upon the specific RSNO(s) formed, enzymatic inhibition of Cdc25A is feasible.
Our studies used a model of trans-nitrosation to inhibit Cdc25A activity. N₂O₃ and peroxynitrite (in the absence of excess •O₂⁻) are also potent nitrosating agents (146), and it has been hypothesized that N₂O₃ is the major nitrosating agent in cells when •O₂⁻ production is low (145). N₂O₃ forms and partitions selectively in the hydrophobic cores of membranes (143), and Cdc25A has been observed to localize to the plasma membrane (247), indicating Cdc25A, or at least a specifically-localized subpopulation of Cdc25A, could be exposed to very high local concentrations of nitrosating agents in vivo under conditions of nitrosative stress that would be sufficient to inhibit its enzymatic activity.

How do LMM RSNOs inhibit Cdc25A phosphatase activity? SNCEE did not degrade significantly in our assay, and decomposed SNCEE did not inhibit Cdc25A very potently. Together these results argue against a role of RSNO decomposition in Cdc25A inhibition by RSNOs. To our knowledge, no binding of intact nitrosothiols by proteins has been reported in the literature, making direct binding and steric interference with Cdc25A phosphatase activity another unlikely cause of inhibition. Regulation of tyrosine phosphatase activity by S-nitrosation in response to RSNOs has been described for PTP1B, SHP-1, and SHP-2 (189, 248), and inhibition of tyrosine superfamily phosphatase activity by RSNOs has been described for PTP1B and the lipid phosphatase PTEN in vivo, and Yersinia protein tyrosine phosphatase in vitro (244, 249, 250). It should be noted that S-nitros(yl)ation of the active site cysteine was confirmed only for SHP-1 (189), and oxidation appears to be the mechanism by which inactivation occurs for PTP1B and PTEN in vivo, as inhibition is reversible with DTT, but not with ascorbate (244, 250). Our results are consistent with a redox-reversible modification to Cdc25A that inhibits its activity. Inhibition of Cdc25A toward two structurally and biochemically distinct substrates as measured using separate analytical methods indicated that RSNOs exerted their effects through
Cdc25A and not the substrate. As I found Cdc25A was S-nitrosated on two molar equivalents of cysteine, the simplest explanation is that one or more cysteines whose integrity is critical for Cdc25A activity is S-nitrosated by RSNOs.

Cleavage of RSNOs in the presence of ascorbate is dependent upon Cu$^+$ ions, which are kept in the reduced state by ascorbate (198, 200). This chemistry, which forms the basis of the biotin-switch assay, thus depends upon the presence of copper ions in the RSNO cleavage solution. Evidence for this copper dependence is supported by recent work indicating that variance in the biotin switch assay reported by groups may be due to trace contamination of laboratory water supplies with copper ions (251). I used chelex-treated, deionized H$_2$O and the ion chelator DTPA in my redox-profiling experiments, which may have prevented successful reduction and thus alkylation and detection of S-nitrosated cysteines on Cdc25A. Thioredoxin binds two cupric ions in its crystal structure (252), which may have provided a source of reducing equivalents in the presence of ascorbate that were not available in experiments with Cdc25A. This would potentially explain the facile detection of Trx-Cys73-NO as well as detection of Cdc25A-associated RSNOs using Hg$^{2+}$-catalyzed •NO release and trapping, but failure to identify Cdc25A-associated RSNOs using the modified biotin switch assay herein.

My failure to detect S-nitroso-Cys431-containing (or other S-nitrosated) peptides by mass spectrometry and my facile detection of reduced and IAC-thioalkylated Cys431-containing peptides under conditions where Cdc25A phosphatase activity was totally inhibited suggested that Cys431 was not S-nitrosated in response to LMM RSNOs. I failed to detect peptides containing 3 of 12 Cdc25A cysteines; these could be the cysteines that were S-nitrosated by SNCEE. Modification of cysteines in the N-terminus of Cdc25A would not be expected to impact its phosphatase activity, as the N-terminus is not necessary for its activity (30). Only one
catalytic domain cysteine remained unaccounted for by MS, Cys442. In the crystal structure of Cdc25A, Cys442 is buried and not readily accessible (42); this does not preclude the possibility of Cys442 trans-nitrosation by SNCEE, as a crystal structure of human thioredoxin in which a buried cysteine (Cys62) is S-nitrosated has been reported (246).

Finally, an additional explanation for the loss of Cdc25A activity consistent with our MS data would be that Cys431 existed as a disulfide after RSNO exposure. As mentioned above, other tyrosine superfamily phosphatases are oxidatively inhibited by RSNOs (244, 249, 250). Generation of an intra- or intermolecular disulfide bond with another Cdc25A cysteine, or a mixed disulfide with the CEE moiety of SNCEE could inhibit Cdc25A activity. Western blotting on SNCEE-treated Cdc25A did not reveal any antibody-reactive proteins or protein complexes with molecular masses greater than Cdc25A even under non-reducing conditions in SNCEE-treated samples (Figure 16); this evidence discourages intermolecular disulfide formation as the effecting mechanism. Generation of an intramolecular disulfide bond between the catalytic cysteine (Cys431) and a 3-dimensionally adjacent cysteine (Cys385) was observed in the crystal structure of Cdc25A (42), and treatment H2O2 inactivates the enzyme quite rapidly ($k_{inact} = 69 \pm 9 \ M^{-1}s^{-1}$) (117). These observations suggest that intramolecular disulfide formation may be the most likely mechanism of inactivation, and that the S-nitrosation of Cdc25A cysteines observed may simply be auxiliary, or provide some additional regulatory role. Consistent with this hypothesis, a Cdc25A Cys431 disulfide would be detected by MALDI-TOF MS as a reduced, IAC alkylated peptide with predicted m/z 1608.7839, which we readily detected (obs. m/z 1608.8966). Further studies will be centered on identifying the type and location of the PTM regulating Cdc25A activity in response to LMM RSNOs.
4.0 CELLULAR REGULATION OF CDC25A BY NITROSATIVE STRESS

4.1 INTRODUCTION

In light of my studies on Cdc25A activity in response to RSNOs in vitro, I aimed to determine the effects of nitrosative stress on Cdc25A in cells. I hypothesized that induction of cellular nitrosative stress would suppress the activity of Cdc25A, resulting in hyperphosphorylation of Cdc25A targets such as Cdk2\textsuperscript{Tyr15} and would subsequently induce cell cycle checkpoint. Importantly, I observed suppression of Cdc25A expression using two different models of nitrosative stress, treatment with the cell-permeable S-nitrosating agent SNCEE, and adenoviral expression of iNOS in cancer cells. I used SNCEE to control the molar and temporal release of •NO-equivalents to characterize Cdc25A suppression and probe the molecular mechanism governing Cdc25A loss following nitrosative stress. Cdc25A loss was time- and concentration-dependent, and occurred in cell lines derived from tumors of different tissue types. Ectopically expressed Cdc25A was also suppressed following SNCEE, suggesting a post-transcriptional mechanism of suppression. Cdc25A protein half-life was not decreased following SNCEE, and pre-treatment of cells with the proteasomal inhibitor MG-132 failed to prevent Cdc25A loss following SNCEE, indicating that increased protein degradation was not the mechanism by which Cdc25A loss occurred. Global protein synthesis was inhibited following SNCEE exposure, and I observed hyperphosphorylation and inhibition of the translational regulator
eIF2α with kinetics consistent with protein synthesis inhibition and Cdc25A loss. Indeed, the eIF2α inhibitor salubrinal was sufficient to suppress Cdc25A protein levels, indicating that eIF2α was responsible for SNCEE-induced Cdc25A loss. Collectively, these studies identified and delineated the first known translational mechanism governing Cdc25A protein levels, and indicated that Cdc25A was a target of •NO and RNS signaling in cells. In light of the oncogenic and anti-apoptotic role of Cdc25A in tumor cells, these studies provide evidence that loss of Cdc25A may mediate the pro-apoptotic and/or cytostatic effects of •NO signaling.

4.2 RESULTS

4.2.1 SNCEE induced nitrosative stress

We next investigated the effects of nitrosative insult to cellular Cdc25A. As nitrosative stress elicits multiple effects on endogenous thiol buffering systems including thiol depletion and S-nitrosation (209, 210), we first characterized the effects of nitrosative insult on cells. We used SNCEE to induce nitrosative stress in HCT116 cells. The monovalent cation Cu+ rapidly releases •NO from S-nitrosothiols at catalytic concentrations in the presence of a reducing agent such as ascorbic acid, which maintains the ion in its reduced form (198, 200). Thus, we utilized CuSO4 in excess ascorbate to selectively reduce S-nitrosothiols to •NO for detection by ozone-mediated chemiluminescence. The basal level of RSNOs in vehicle-treated HCT116 cells was estimated at 32.3 ± 57.2 pmol/mg protein (Figure 19A, column 1), similar to that reported for other cell lines (210). SNCEE treatment induced intracellular RSNO accumulation within 30 minutes with maximal RSNO formation occurring in cells treated with 100 μM SNCEE, yielding
3.8 ± 0.9 nmol RSNOs per mg protein. Pretreatment with the denitrosating agent DTT reduced the level of intracellular RSNOs nearly to that of vehicle-treated cells (202.6 ± 6.9 pmol/mg protein), suggesting that DTT could protect against or reverse intracellular nitrosative stress.

An increase in intracellular RSNOs would be anticipated to decrease intracellular thiols, as nitrosation converts free thiols to –SNO. The fluorogenic maleimide thiol-alkylating agent ThioGlo-1 reacts selectively and quantitatively with free thiols at physiological pH generating an increase in its quantum fluorescence yield. I used ThioGlo-1 to quantify intracellular thiols in cell lysates following SNCEE treatment (Figure 19B). As a control, cells were treated with 100 μM decomposed SNCEE, and I detected 43.6 ± 0.8 nmol free thiols per mg protein (Figure 19B). This was in agreement with free thiol concentrations published for other cell lines (210). SNCEE decreased intracellular thiols in a concentration-dependent manner (Figure 19B). These results were consistent with S-nitrosation of intracellular proteins resulting in a decrease in intracellular thiols. As reported previously the loss of thiols exceeded the generation of RSNOs, which implies that thiol oxidation may be occurring in response to SNCEE treatment (210).

Regulation of •NO and RNS is complex in cells and generation of numerous RNS is possible, including the oxidizing and nitrating agents ONOO⁻ and •NO₂ (253). Because I observed thiol depletion in excess of the observed RSNO generation, I queried whether significant amounts of •NO₂ and ONOO⁻ were being formed. A convenient biomarker of •NO₂ and ONOO⁻ formation is 3-nitrotyrosine (3-NT) because it is a relatively stable post-translational protein modification, is specifically generated by nitrating reactions, and because reagents against 3-NT are readily available (253). Therefore, I utilized a 3-NT-specific antibody to determine whether SNCEE increased the intracellular levels of 3-NT. In control cells (Figure 20,
Figure 19. SNCEE induced intracellular RSNO accumulation and thiol depletion.

A, HCT116 cells were treated with the indicated compounds for 30 minutes, at which time lysates were prepared and analyzed for RSNO content as described in Experimental Methods section 2.6. B, HCT116 cells were treated with the indicated compounds for 30 minutes, at which time lysates were prepared and analyzed for thiol content as described in Experimental Methods section 2.6. *, p < 0.05.
Figure 20. SNCEE did not induce significant biomolecule nitration.

HCT116 cells were treated with 100 µM SNCEE for the indicated time periods and 1µg of protein per sample was subjected to dot blotting for nitrotyrosine. Nitrotyrosine-BSA is shown as a control for antibody activity.

0 minutes) 3-NT levels were low compared to the positive control lane (Figure 20, NT-BSA), although there was a 20-fold higher amount of protein present. Notably, no change in the levels of 3-NT were detected following treatment of HCT116 cells with 100 µM SNCEE up to 4 hours following treatment. Collectively, these results suggest SNCEE selectively induced intracellular biomolecule nitrosation.

4.2.2 SNCEE decreased Cdc25A protein levels in HCT116 cells

I next determined the effects of SNCEE-induced nitrosative stress on Cdc25A activity in cells. I treated HCT116 cells with 100 µM SNCEE or the control compounds L-cysteine ethyl ester (CEE) or decomposed SNCEE, as 100 µM SNCEE induced significant accumulation of intracellular RSNOs but did not generate any detectable 3-nitrotyrosine (Figures 19 and 20). Surprisingly, treatment for two hours with SNCEE but not decomposed SNCEE or CEE decreased Cdc25A protein levels, whereas the protein levels of β-tubulin were unaffected (Figure
Figure 21. Characterization of Cdc25A suppression following SNCEE treatment.

A, HCT116 cells were treated with 100 µM of the indicated compounds and harvested two hours later for Western blotting. B, HCT116 cells were treated with 100 µM SNCEE and samples were harvested at the indicated time points for Western blotting. C and D, HCT116 cells (C) and HeLa cells (D) were treated with the indicated concentrations of SNCEE and harvested two hours post-treatment for Western blotting.

I observed no significant change in the protein levels of Cdk2 or GAPDH (Figure 22), indicating some specificity for Cdc25A. Cdc25A loss was time-dependent with the lowest Cdc25A levels occurring approximately 2 hours after treatment and rebounding by 4 hours post-treatment (Figure 21B). Cdc25A suppression following SNCEE was concentration-dependent; treatment of HCT116 cells with 50 µM SNCEE resulted in loss of greater than 60% of Cdc25A by 2 hours after treatment (Figure 21C). A similar concentration-dependent loss of Cdc25A protein levels in response to SNCEE was observed in HeLa cervical carcinoma cells (Figure 21D) indicating that SNCEE decreased Cdc25A levels in cells derived from multiple tumor types. As HeLa cells are functionally deficient in p53- and Rb-pathway signaling due to the presence of human papilloma virus-associated oncogenes (122, 123), this also indicated these pathways were not required for loss of Cdc25A following SNCEE. Recognition of Cdc25A by the antibody used for Western blotting was unaffected by RSNOs directly by SNCEE (Figure 17), suggesting a bona fide decrease in Cdc25A protein levels.
HCT116 cell lysates from Figure 21B were subjected to Western blotting for the indicated proteins.

4.2.3 SNCEE did not decrease Cdc25A protein stability

I next aimed to determine the mechanism by which nitrosative stress suppressed Cdc25A protein levels. Cdc25A is a labile protein with a short half-life (57, 110, 111). The basal half-life of Cdc25A is controlled by ATR/Chk1-mediated ubiquitination and proteasomal degradation (74, 112). In response to various stresses, Cdc25A becomes hyperphosphorylated by several stress-dependent kinases including p38, Chk1, and Chk2, subsequently targeting Cdc25A for degradation via ubiquitin-mediated proteolysis (114). The resultant decreased protein levels and thus Cdc25 phosphatase activity promotes accumulation of hyperphosphorylated, inactive Cdk complexes (72). Loss of Cdk activity slows or stops cell cycle progression, allowing time for repair of cellular damage. To determine whether nitrosative stress suppresses Cdc25A by decreasing its protein half-life, I treated HCT116 cells with UV irradiation, which decreases Cdc25A half-life (72), or either decomposed SNCEE or SNCEE, and monitored its half-life after blockade of new protein synthesis with cycloheximide (Figure 23A and B). Logarithmic regression analysis of the data indicated half-lives of 25.1 and 15.5 minutes for Cdc25A in
decomposed SNCEE- and UV-treated cells, respectively, consistent with UV irradiation-accelerated Cdc25A turnover. Whereas Cdc25A levels decreased by 120 minutes in SNCEE-treated cells to a level similar to that in response to decomposed SNCEE, the half-life was not shortened; rather, no significant decrease in protein levels was detected until 120 minutes after SNCEE treatment. These results suggested that Cdc25A stability was not decreased in response to SNCEE treatment. Similarly, pretreatment of HCT116 cells with the proteasomal inhibitor MG-132 (Figure 22C) or the ATR/ATM inhibitor caffeine (Figure 24) failed to prevent Cdc25A loss following SNCEE treatment, although the absolute levels of Cdc25A were increased (Figure 22C, Figure 24). Collectively, these results argued against a proteasomal mechanism of Cdc25A loss.

4.2.4 Post-transcriptional Cdc25A suppression by SNCEE

Transcription from the Cdc25A promoter is negatively regulated by several stress-responsive proteins, including p53, p21, and HIF-1α (84, 85, 109). NO or nitrosative stress have been reported to activate and/or stabilize the expression of several of these proteins (219, 254, 255); thus, I investigated whether the Cdc25A promoter region was essential for SNCEE-mediated Cdc25A suppression. I generated mammalian expression vectors containing the CDC25A cDNA but not the CDC25A promoter or 3’-untranslated region. These vectors drive expression of Cdc25A from the cytomegalovirus promoter, and express a influenza hemaglutinin (HA) epitope-Cdc25A fusion protein, allowing differentiation between endogenous and ectopically expressed Cdc25A. I transfected these vectors into HCT116 cells, and measured the effects of SNCEE on HA-Cdc25A. As observed in Figure 25, SNCEE but not decomposed SNCEE or CEE decreased HA-Cdc25A levels as was observed with protein transcribed from the
endogenous gene. This implied that suppression of Cdc25A following SNCEE was a promoter-independent, post-transcriptional effect.

4.2.5 Protein translation was inhibited following SNCEE treatment

Attenuation of protein translation provides a functional mechanism to decrease the protein levels of a rapidly synthesized protein. The short half-life of Cdc25A indicated a rapid synthetic rate for Cdc25A, so we investigated whether SNCEE affected protein synthesis. I treated HCT116 cells with radiolabeled $[^{35}S]^{-\text{L}}$-cysteine and $[^{35}S]^{-\text{L}}$-methionine for different time periods following decomposed SNCEE or SNCEE treatment, and monitored total radioisotope incorporation into proteins via SDS-PAGE and autoradiography (Figure 26). Total protein loading was equal as assessed by $\beta$-tubulin levels; radiolabeled amino acid incorporation into protein from SNCEE-treated cell lysates, however, was reduced during both the first and second hour, consistent with the time course of Cdc25A decrease (Figure 21B). In addition, the magnitude of protein synthesis repression was consistent with the expression levels of Cdc25A in SNCEE-treated cells. Together, these results suggested that SNCEE treatment decreased Cdc25A protein translation.

4.2.6 Suppressed eIF2$\alpha$ activity was responsible for loss of Cdc25A following SNCEE treatment

SNCEE decreased global protein synthesis. Stress-dependent global translational inhibition is mediated primarily through phosphorylation and inhibition of the translational regulator eIF2$\alpha$
Figure 23. Cdc25A half-life was not decreased following SNCEE treatment.

A, HCT116 cells were co-treated with 25 µg/mL cycloheximide and either 100 µM decomposed SNCEE, 100 µM SNCEE, or 60 J/m² UV. Cells were harvested at the indicated timepoints for Western blotting. N=5. B, Western blots from A were densitometrically scanned, and remaining Cdc25A levels were expressed as fraction Cdc25A at time = 0 after normalization to β-tubulin. Black, gray, and white bars represent Cdc25A levels from decomposed SNCEE-, SNCEE-, and UV-treated cells, respectively. *, p < 0.05. C, HCT116 cells were pretreated with 5 µM MG-132 for 8 hours before treatment with 100 µM of the indicated compounds. Two hours later, cells were harvested for Western blotting. N=4.

(256). In response to various stresses, eIF2α is phosphorylated on Ser51 by stress-sensitive kinases (257). Phosphorylation of eIF2α at Ser51 increases its affinity for the eIF2B subunit, whose release from the eIF2 complex is necessary for GTP-GDP recycling, and subsequent tRNA recruitment and binding (256). Thus, eIF2αSer51 hyperphosphorylation results in a general decrease in protein translation. To investigate whether SNCEE altered the activity of eIF2α, I treated cells with 100 µM SNCEE and monitored phosphorylation of eIF2αSer51 using phospho-specific antibodies (Figure 27A). Although the total levels of eIF2α were not changed in response to SNCEE, the pool of phosphorylated eIF2αSer51 increased in a time-dependent manner with phospho-eIF2αSer51 appearing as soon as 30 minutes after SNCEE treatment and persisting for at least two hours after treatment. The onset of eIF2α hyperphosphorylation was consistent
Figure 24. Caffeine did not block Cdc25A loss following SNCEE treatment.

HCT116 cells were incubated for 30 minutes in medium containing 5 mM caffeine before treatment with 100 µM of the indicated compounds or with 60 J/m² UV irradiation as described in Experimental Methods. Cells were harvested two hours later for Western blotting.

Figure 25. Cdc25A promoter-independent suppression of Cdc25A by SNCEE.

HCT116 cells were transfected with plasmids encoding HA-tagged Cdc25A as described in Experimental Methods. After 24 hours, cells were treated with 100 µM of the indicated compounds. Two hours later, cells were harvested for Western blotting.
both with the loss of Cdc25A protein, as well as attenuation of protein synthesis in response to SNCEE.

To determine whether inhibition of eIF2α was sufficient to suppress Cdc25A protein levels, I treated HCT116 cells with the eIF2α inhibitor salubrinal (258) or vehicle, and determined the effects on Cdc25A expression by Western blotting (Figure 27B). In response to eIF2α inhibition, Cdc25A levels decreased to levels similar to those observed in SNCEE-treated cells. This implied that eIF2α was a regulator of basal Cdc25A protein levels and suggested that eIF2α inhibition in response to SNCEE was a mechanism by which Cdc25A was decreased following nitrosative stress.

**Figure 26.** SNCEE inhibited global protein translation.

HCT116 cells were incubated for 1 hour in medium lacking L-Cys and L-Met, and then treated with 100 µM decomposed SNCEE, or 100 µM SNCEE for 2 hours. I added 300 µCi/mL [35S]-L-Cys and [35S]-L-Met to the medium at the start of the indicated hour post-SNCEE treatment and cells were harvested for autoradiography and Western blotting 60 minutes later. Non-adjacent lanes are shown from the same gel.
Figure 27. eIF2α controlled Cdc25A protein levels.

A, HCT116 cells were treated for the indicated times with 100 µM SNCEE and harvested for Western blotting. B, HCT116 cells were treated for 24 hours with DMSO or with 75 µM salubrinal (Sal) and were then harvested for Western blotting.

4.2.7 •NO derived from iNOS decreased Cdc25A protein levels

Induction of nitrosative stress decreased Cdc25A protein levels. In cells iNOS catalyzes RSNO production and initiates nitrosative stress (209). To investigate whether intracellular production of •NO from an endogenous source affected Cdc25A expression, I infected HCT116 cells with adenoviruses encoding the human iNOS cDNA. Measurement of NO$_2^-$ and NO$_3^-$ formation indicated that iNOS induced •NO formation similar to the concentrations of SNCEE utilized above (Figure 28B). Expression of iNOS decreased Cdc25A protein levels but did not affect Cdc25B or Cdc25C (Figure 28A). Blockade of •NO production by the NOS inhibitor L-NMMA prevented •NO generation, and restored Cdc25A levels (Figure 28A and B).
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Figure 28. iNOS-derived •NO suppressed Cdc25A expression.

A, HCT116 cells were infected with 10 MOI of adenoviruses encoding the β-galactosidase gene (LacZ) or human the iNOS cDNA in the presence or absence of 1 mM L-NMMA. 24 hours later, cells were harvested for Western blotting. B, The concentration of NO$_2^-$ and NO$_3^-$ in the medium (from A) was determined using a colorimetric detection kit from Cayman Chemical according to the manufacturer’s instructions.
Figure 29. Genetic profiling of Cdc25A suppression by iNOS-derived $\cdot$NO.

Wild-type or isogenic HCT116 cells deficient in the indicated gene products were infected with 10 MOI of adenoviruses encoding the $\beta$-galactosidase gene (LacZ) or human the iNOS cDNA in the presence or absence of 1 mM $L$-NMMA. Cells were harvested 24 hours later for Western blotting.
It is difficult to perform mechanistic studies in iNOS-expressing cells because the temporal and quantal production of •NO by iNOS are not easily regulated. Also, viral infection induces eIF2α<sup>Ser51</sup> phosphorylation via protein kinase R activation (257), confounding interpretation of eIF2α<sup>Ser51</sup> phosphorylation state in adenovirally-infected cells. Thus, we used a panel of isogenic HCT116 cells with homozygous deletions in a number of previously reported Cdc25A regulators to confirm or refute the roles of several reported Cdc25A regulators. As shown in Figure 29, HCT116 cells deficient in Chk2, p53, p21, and HIF-1α suppressed Cdc25A in response to iNOS activity as was observed for the wild-type cells, consistent with a translational mechanism of Cdc25A loss following induction of nitrosative stress. Collectively, these results corroborate the observed loss of Cdc25A in response to SNCEE treatment, and demonstrate that endogenously generated •NO decreased Cdc25A protein levels similar to that observed in cells subjected to chemically-induced nitrosative stress.

4.3 DISCUSSION

Stringent Cdc25A regulation is critical for cell growth without unwarranted proliferation. High Cdc25A activity and expression are hallmarks of human cancers, likely conveying resistance to apoptosis and to anti-growth signals. Thus, mechanisms have evolved to rapidly suppress Cdc25A following normal and stress-mediated cellular signaling. Previous research uncovered transcriptional and proteasomal control of Cdc25A following stress; herein I described a distinct and novel mechanism regulating Cdc25A following exposure to •NO and RNS: translational suppression of Cdc25A activity by nitrosative stress triggered by low molecular mass RSNOs and by iNOS-derived •NO. This mechanism may be most prevalent in tumor tissues expressing
iNOS or in tissues derived from chronic inflammatory diseases, as •NO generated from iNOS was sufficient to suppress Cdc25A levels (Figure 28).

Translational Cdc25A suppression following nitrosative stress can be distinguished from previous reports examining Cdc25A regulation by RNS (229). In response to nitrating agents, Cdc25A loss was paralleled by activation of the upstream kinase ATM and was sensitive to okadaic acid. Protein phosphatase 5 (PP5) activity is required for ATM activity (259), and PP5 is inhibited by okadaic acid (260). These data imply the traditional DNA damage pathway mediates Cdc25A loss following •NO2 or SIN-1 treatment. In contrast, SNCEE did not decrease Cdc25A half-life, nor was Cdc25A loss blocked by proteasome inhibition. Also, pre-treatment with the ATM/ATR inhibitor caffeine did not block Cdc25A loss following SNCEE treatment, though UV-induced Cdc25A loss was inhibited (Figure 24). This further distinguished SNCEE-mediated Cdc25A downregulation from the traditional DNA damage pathway. Collectively, this work and previous studies (229) reinforce the concept that distinct RNS mediate discrete intracellular signaling (Figure 30).

eIF2αSer51 is phosphorylated by the stress-responsive eIF2 kinases PKR-like endoplasmic reticulum kinase (PERK), heme-regulated inhibitor (HRI), GCN2, and RNA-dependent protein kinase (257), and is dephosphorylated by protein phosphatase 1 (PP1) (258, 261). How eIF2α becomes hyperphosphorylated in response RNS is unknown, although several candidate mediators exist. PP1 is inhibited by H2O2 in PC12 cells and suppression of PP1 activity in H2O2-treated cells correlated with phosphorylation of eIF2αSer51 (262). H2O2 can deplete thiols by oxidation to inter- and intra-molecular disulfides or higher order cysteine oxides. SNCEE depleted thiols (Figure 19B), indicating that this could be responsible for eIF2αSer51 hyperphosphorylation in response to RSNOs.
Perturbations to the ER redox status either in response to reductants (263) or RNS (264) are reported to initiate ER stress, and thus generate phospho-eIF2α<sup>Ser51</sup>, presumably through activation of PERK. Whereas •NO-derived species have not been reported to directly activate PERK, S-nitrosation of the ER-localized protein disulfide isomerase results in protein misfolding, which is a well-characterized ER stress (213). This could initiate eIF2α hyperphosphorylation and subsequent translational inhibition. Although PERK-mediated translational inhibition can occur rapidly in response to several stimuli (263), it remains undetermined whether PERK is activated in response to RNS, or whether S-nitrosation of protein disulfide isomerase and subsequent ER stress is mediated rapidly enough to elicit •NO- and SNCEE-induced loss of Cdc25A.

In addition to PERK activation, HRI kinase activity has previously been reported to be activated in response to •NO. HRI may not be the major target of SNCEE-induced eIF2α hyperphosphorylation in HCT116 cells, as HRI protein is expressed primarily in erythroid precursor cells, and HRI is essentially undetectable in many other cell types (265). Nonetheless, it remains possible that HRI mediated eIF2α activation.

Cdc25A inhibits apoptosis by binding to and inhibiting the pro-apoptotic MAP kinase family member ASK-1 (103). Overexpression of Cdc25A attenuates ASK-1 activation and subsequent apoptosis in response to H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, suggesting that dissociation of Cdc25A from ASK-1 is a required step for stimulation of ASK-1 kinase activity (103). I have not excluded the possibility that loss of Cdc25A following nitrosative or oxidative stress is an essential step for activation of ASK-1 and subsequent downstream signaling via p38 and/or JNK kinases. I have observed activation of p38 after SNCEE treatment with kinetics that slightly trail loss of Cdc25A (unpublished observations) and found that Cdc25A and ASK-1 coimmunoprecipitate as reported.
Figure 30. Regulation of Cdc25A protein levels by •NO and RNS.

In response to nitrating species such as ONOO⁻ and •NO₂, activation of ATM is observed coincident with loss of Cdc25A protein levels. This loss of Cdc25A is sensitive to okadaic acid, which inhibits the essential ATM cofactor PP5. Thus, nitrating agents appear to activating the traditional checkpoint signaling machinery to suppress Cdc25A. In contrast, RSNOs and •NO derived from iNOS (which may ultimately generate RSNOs) trigger suppression of eIF2α activity and subsequent translational inhibition of Cdc25A. The mechanism by which eIF2α is inhibited remains to be determined (see text for detailed discussion).

Previously ((103) and Figure 37), consistent with a model where translational suppression of Cdc25A following stress generated by high •NO primes the cell for ASK-1 activation and signaling through the p38 pathway. Alternatively, in response to shorter or less severe nitrosative stress, Cdc25A enzymatic activity may be inhibited, allowing for cellular regulation of Cdc25A phosphatase-dependent vs. independent activities in response to nitrosative insult (Figure 31). Future studies are centered on this hypothesis.
In summary I have described novel regulation of Cdc25A in response to •NO and •NO-derived species, RSNOs reversibly inhibit Cdc25A phosphatase activity while inhibition of eIF2α suppresses translation of Cdc25A protein. These results highlight the importance of stringent control of Cdc25A expression to regulate cellular activities. I speculate that this bipartite control of Cdc25A allows a cellular “stopwatch” function, where rapid inhibition of Cdc25A phosphatase activity protects against cell cycle progression, while prolonged or severe •NO-mediated cell stress suppresses Cdc25A levels and attenuates non-enzymatic Cdc25A functions such as apoptosis suppression.

![Bipartite control of Cdc25A](image)

**Figure 31. Bipartite control of Cdc25A by nitrosative stress.**

Nitrosative stimuli are capable of both inhibiting Cdc25A enzymatic activity and inhibiting the translation of the protein. Enzymatic inhibition of Cdc25A by LMM RSNOs occurred rapidly *in vitro*, whereas suppression of Cdc25A protein levels following nitrosative stress required several hours. Thus, both the duration and intensity of nitrosative insult may dictate the Cdc25A-dependent biological outcome of the stress.
5.0 EXAMINATION OF THE PHYSIOLOGICAL SIGNIFICANCE OF CDC25A SUPPRESSION IN RESPONSE TO NITROSATIVE INSULT

5.1 INTRODUCTION

Cdc25A dephosphorylates and activates Cdk2 complexes and thus drives the G₁-S transition and progression through S-phase (46, 48). Overexpression of Cdc25A accelerates dephosphorylation of Cdk2 complexes and accelerates the G₁-S transition (46). Conversely, suppression of Cdc25A attenuates DNA synthesis in a manner dependent upon Cdk2 dephosphorylation (266), and suppression of Cdc25A is required for S-phase checkpoint in response to DNA damage (71, 74, 105). Similarly, overexpression of Cdc25A bypasses checkpoint initiation and results in radioresistant DNA synthesis (RDS) (71, 74, 78). This requirement for Cdc25A suppression for checkpoint initiation is supported by studies in which expression Cdk2AF (a Cdk2 double mutant in which the inhibitory Thr14 and Tyr15 residues are mutated to alanine and phenylalanine, respectively, and thus is not dependent upon Cdc25 activity) bypassed the S-phase checkpoint and resulted in RDS (71, 105). Thus, dephosphorylation of Cdk2 by Cdc25A is rate-limiting for DNA synthesis in normal and DNA-damaged cells.

Our observation that nitrosative stress in the form of iNOS-mediated •NO production or SNCEE treatment decreased Cdc25A activity and levels in conjunction with previous studies reporting •NO/RNS-mediated S-phase arrest concurrent with suppressed Cdk2 activity (226,
227) suggests that Cdc25A could be the causal link between •NO production and cellular inhibition of DNA synthesis. Overexpression of Cdc25A by tumor cells provides a logical explanation for the paradoxical high •NO production in many tumors and the apparent insensitivity to •NO/RNS-mediated S-phase inhibition.

I tested the role of Cdc25A expression and activity in the regulation of S-phase checkpoint in response to •NO/RNS. I found that iNOS-derived •NO or SNCEE treatment potently and rapidly inhibited DNA synthesis as measured by BrdU incorporation into DNA. Expression of Cdc25A was not sufficient to restore DNA synthesis in response either to iNOS-derived •NO or SNCEE. Moreover, expression of Cdk2AF failed to restore DNA synthesis in SNCEE-treated cells, and did not alter the kinetics of S-phase inhibition or recovery. SNCEE did not significantly inhibit Cdk2 activity in vitro, suggesting that Cdk2 is not a direct target for nitrosative signaling. Thus, I conclude that Cdc25A and Cdk2 activity are not sufficient to restore DNA synthesis in response to nitrosative stimuli; thus, nitrosative stress-induced S-phase inhibition is distinct from the DNA damage-induced intra-S-phase checkpoint, as ectopic Cdk2 activity cannot bypass it.

Because Cdc25A did not appear limiting for DNA synthesis following nitrosative insult, I examined whether other known Cdc25A activities were altered in nitrosatively-challenged cells. I found that Cdc25A interacted with ASK-1, and that the downstream target of ASK-1, p38 MAP kinase, was activated in SNCEE-treated cells with kinetics that roughly coincided with Cdc25A suppression. Moreover, whereas SNCEE did not cause apoptosis alone, cotreatment of cells with SNCEE and the cytotoxic agent cisplatin caused an approximately two-fold increase in apoptosis compared to decomposed SNCEE and cisplatin-treated cells. Together, these results indicate
that Cdc25A suppression in nitrosatively-challenged cells may decrease the apoptotic threshold in response to genotoxic stimuli.

5.2 RESULTS

5.2.1 SNCEE and iNOS-derived •NO inhibited DNA synthesis

I first determined the intensity and kinetics of DNA synthesis inhibition of HCT116 cells expressing iNOS or treated with SNCEE. Expression of iNOS in HCT116 cells simultaneously decreased Cdc25A (Figure 32B) and incorporation of BrdU into DNA compared to cells expressing LacZ (Figure 32A, top-right panel vs. top-left panel). Notably, cells still incorporated some BrdU (Figure 32A, bottom-left panel), although to a lesser level than LacZ-expressing cells. Although L-NMMA had no effect on Cdc25A expression or DNA synthesis in LacZ-expressing cells, treatment of iNOS-expressing cells with L-NMMA restored both Cdc25A expression (as observed previously) and DNA synthesis (Figure 32A, bottom-right panel vs. bottom-left panel), indicating that production of •NO was required for suppression of Cdc25A and DNA synthesis. Together, these results indicate that iNOS-derived •NO triggered S-phase arrest, and that Cdc25A suppression and DNA synthesis inhibition were temporally correlated.

I next examined the effects of SNCEE on DNA synthesis in HCT116 cells. I exposed cells to 100 µM SNCEE, and monitored DNA synthesis at various timepoints post-treatment by BrdU incorporation. As shown in Figure 33, treatment with SNCEE suppressed DNA synthesis within two hours of treatment, and rebounded by six hours post-treatment. Comparison of the kinetics of DNA synthesis inhibition to Figure 21B suggested that inhibition of DNA synthesis
Figure 32. iNOS-derived •NO simultaneously suppressed Cdc25A and DNA synthesis.

A, HCT116 cells were infected with adenoviruses encoding LacZ or iNOS and incubated in medium with or without 1 mM \textit{L}-NMMA for 24 hours. Cells were labeled with BrdU for 30 minutes, and harvested for flow cytometry. The y-axis depicts log-BrdU fluorescence, while the x-axis depicts 7-AAD fluorescence as a measure of DNA content. B, Duplicate plates of cells were infected as above and harvested 24 hours post-infection for Western blotting.
Figure 33. SNCEE time-dependently inhibited DNA synthesis.

HCT116 cells were treated with 100 µM SNCEE, and cells were labeled with 10 µM BrdU 30 minutes before harvesting at the indicated time periods for flow cytometric analysis.


5.2.2 DNA synthesis was not restored by Cdc25A expression in iNOS-expressing cells.

If Cdc25A activity is limiting for S-phase, restoration of Cdc25A activity in iNOS-expressing cells would be anticipated to restore DNA synthesis. Ectopically expressed Cdc25A in cells expressing iNOS or LacZ as a control and measured the effect on iNOS-induced DNA synthesis.
inhibition by BrdU incorporation (Figure 34). Again, iNOS expression reduced Cdc25A protein levels (Figure 34B) and suppressed DNA synthesis (Figure 34A, upper-right panel). Despite the high Cdc25A levels in Cdc25A-transfected cells expressing iNOS (Figure 34B), DNA synthesis was not increased compared to vector-transfected cells (Figure 34A, bottom-right panel vs. top-right panel). Although I do not know if the ectopic Cdc25A phosphatase activity remained after iNOS expression and I cannot rule out the possibility that high expression of Cdc25A in nitrosatively-challenged cells may negatively impact DNA synthesis, the results in Figure 34B suggest that restoration of Cdc25A expression alone is not sufficient to restore S-phase progression in iNOS-expressing cells.

5.2.3 Neither Cdc25A, C431S-Cdc25A, nor Cdk2AF altered the induction, duration, or recovery from S-phase arrest in response to SNCEE

It is possible that Cdc25A expression accelerates recovery from S-phase checkpoint rather than restores DNA synthesis. Also, Cdc25A activity is attenuated by RSNOs in vitro, and RSNOs are generated by iNOS-derived •NO in cells (209). Therefore it is possible that Cdc25A expression alone is not able to activate Cdk2 because RNS inactivate Cdc25A phosphatase activity. To test these hypotheses, I expressed Cdc25A, catalytically inactive Cdc25A (C431S), or a Cdk2 Thr14Ala/Tyr15Phe mutant that cannot be phosphorylated at Thr14/Tyr15 and is thus active independent of Cdc25A (Cdk2AF) and monitored the kinetics of S-phase checkpoint initiation, duration, and recovery following SNCEE treatment. As shown in Figure 35, expression of Cdc25A, C431S, or Cdk2AF had no significant effect on induction of S-phase arrest, duration of arrest, or recovery from arrest. These results indicate that while Cdk2 activity (and thus Cdc25A
Figure 34. Cdc25A expression did not restore DNA synthesis in iNOS-expressing cells.

*A*, HCT116 cells were transfected with vectors encoding HA-Cdc25A and replated at low density. The following day, cells were infected with adenoviruses encoding LacZ or iNOS, incubated for 24 hours, and labeled with 10 µM BrdU for 30 minutes before harvesting for flow cytometry.  *B*, HCT116 cells were transfected and infected as above, and incubated for 24 hours before harvesting for Western blotting.
Figure 35. Expression of Cdc25A, C431S-Cdc25A, or Cdk2AF failed to alter the kinetics of SNCEE-mediated inhibition of DNA synthesis.

A, HCT116 cells were transfected with vectors encoding the indicated proteins (or empty vector). After 24 hours, cells were treated with 100 µM SNCEE, and labeled with 10 µM BrdU for 30 minutes before harvesting at the indicated times post-SNCEE treatment. Error bars = SEM, N=3. B, Duplicate plates were transfected and treated with SNCEE as in A, and harvested for Western blotting at the indicated times. Vector, Cdc25A, and C431S display blots for Cdc25A protein, whereas Cdk2AF displays a blot for Cdk2 protein.
activity) may be required for recovery from S-phase checkpoint, they are not sufficient to restore DNA synthesis in nitrosatively-stressed cells.

5.2.4 Cdk2 was not directly inhibited by SNCEE

An alternative explanation for the inability of Cdk2AF to restore DNA synthesis would be that SNCEE may directly inhibit Cdk2 activity. I determined whether SNCEE inhibited Cdk2/cyclin A kinase activity in vitro. I treated Cdk2 with the Cdk inhibitor roscovitine or with increasing concentrations of SNCEE, and measured the ability of Cdk2 to incorporate $^{33}$P from ATP into recombinant human Histone H1.2 (Figure 36). In the absence of Cdk2, no significant incorporation of $^{33}$P into Histone H1.2 was observed. Cdk2 in the presence of CEE resulted in rapid incorporation of $^{33}$P into Histone H1.2, which could be inhibited nearly completely with 100 µM roscovitine. However, increasing concentrations of SNCEE had no significant effect on Cdk2 kinase activity (p > 0.10). This indicated that SNCEE did not interfere with Cdk2 kinase activity.

Collectively, these data indicate that iNOS-derived •NO or nitrosative stress induced by SNCEE inhibited DNA synthesis in a transient fashion. Although Cdc25A levels were decreased with kinetics consistent with a role for Cdc25A in S-phase inhibition and recovery, increasing Cdc25A levels/activity via Cdc25A overexpression or Cdk2AF expression was not sufficient to bypass DNA synthesis inhibition nor to change the kinetics or recovery from DNA synthesis inhibition in response to •NO/RNS.
5.2.5 SNCEE decoupled Cdc25A from ASK-1 and activated the downstream target of ASK-1 signaling p38

Because Cdc25A did not appear limiting for cell cycle progression following nitrosative insult, I investigated other potential effects of Cdc25A suppression following nitrosative stress. I and others have found that Cdc25A interacts with ASK-1 (Figure 37) (103). Cdc25A inhibits ASK-1, and overexpression of Cdc25A protects cells from oxidative stress-induced apoptosis by preventing the activation of kinases downstream from ASK-1, such as p38 (103). Thus, I hypothesized that Cdc25A protein modification (Figure 16) or suppression (Figure 21) by

![Figure 36. SNCEE did not significantly inhibit Cdk2 activity in vitro.](image)

Cdk2/cyclin A was treated with the indicated compounds before its ability to incorporate \(^{33}\text{P}\) from \([^{33}\text{P}]\text{-ATP}\) into Histone H1.2 was evaluated. Error bars = SEM, N = 4.
nitrosative stress would sensitize cells to apoptotic stimuli by decreasing its association with ASK-1. I expressed Cdc25A and HA-tagged ASK-1 (HA-ASK-1) in HCT116 cells and measured the effect of SNCEE-induced nitrosative stress on Cdc25A-ASK-1 interaction by co-immunoprecipitation (Figure 37). SNCEE decreased the amount of Cdc25A associated with ASK-1 at two hours, consistent with Cdc25A loss following SNCEE (Figure 21B). As dissociation of Cdc25A would be anticipated to promote ASK-1 activation, I asked whether the ASK-1 pathway was activated in response to SNCEE. I treated HCT116 cells with 100 µM SNCEE, and measured the activation of the ASK-1-downstream kinase p38 using phosphospecific antibodies (Figure 38). SNCEE induced a time-dependent activation of p38 that trailed accumulation of RSNOs (Figure 19A) and roughly coincided with loss of Cdc25A protein (Figure 21B).

![Figure 37. SNCEE decreased the Cdc25A-associated fraction of ASK-1.](image)

HCT116 cells were transfected with vectors encoding untagged Cdc25A and HA-tagged ASK-1. Twenty-four hours later, cells were treated with 100 µM SNCEE. At the indicated times, ASK-1-associated proteins were coimmunoprecipitated as described in Experimental Methods section 2.5 and subjected to Western blotting with the for Cdc25A and HA-ASK-1. N=3.
5.2.6 SNCEE sensitized cells to ASK-1-dependent apoptosis

cis-Diaminedichloroplatinum(II) (CDDP) induces apoptosis through the ASK-1 pathway (104). We treated cells with 10 µM CDDP after pretreatment with 100 µM of either decomposed SNCEE or fresh SNCEE and measured apoptosis after 48 hours (Figure 39A). SNCEE alone did not affect basal nuclear fragmentation frequency compared to decomposed SNCEE (2.08% ± 0.51 vs. 1.58% ± 0.31, Figure 39A), and pretreatment of cells with SNCEE increased apoptosis two-fold (13.83% ± 1.37) following CDDP compared to decomposed SNCEE-pretreated cells (7.00% ± 0.87). Similarly, SNCEE pretreatment before 10 µM CDDP induced cleavage of PARP and procaspase-3 as evidenced by accumulation of cleaved PARP and p17/p20 caspase-3 subunits (Figure 39B) whereas decomposed SNCEE and CDDP cotreatment did not. Together, these results indicate that nitrosative stress sensitized cells to ASK-1-dependent apoptotic cell death, consistent with an inhibitory role for Cdc25A in ASK-1-mediated apoptosis.

5.3 DISCUSSION

A recurrent paradigm in Cdc25A biology has been that in response to cellular stress Cdc25A is rapidly targeted for proteasomal degradation, resulting in the inhibitory hyperphosphorylation of Cdk2, thus initiating cell cycle arrest. Although in response to •NO Cdk2 is inhibited and DNA synthesis is halted (226, 227), our findings herein draw into question the ubiquity of the established model of intra-S-phase checkpoint. Activation of origins of DNA replication is dependent upon the activity of Cdk2 and Cdc7 kinases, which are the targets of the ATM- and
Figure 38. SNCEE activated p38 kinase.

HCT116 cells were treated with 100 µM SNCEE or with 200 ng/mL anisomycin (Anis) for the indicated times before harvesting and Western blotting for the indicated proteins.

ATR-dependent DNA replication checkpoints, respectively (105, 267). Numerous studies have reported that aberrant Cdk2 activation either by Cdc25A overexpression or Cdk2AF expression is sufficient to bypass the ATM-mediated intra-S-phase checkpoint in response to damaged DNA (71, 74, 105). Conversely, in the ATR-dependent replication checkpoint, Cdk2AF has no effect on DNA synthesis (267). The unaltered half-life of Cdc25A in response to SNCEE (Figure 23) and failure of caffeine (which inhibits both ATM and ATR kinases) to suppress Cdc25A loss (Figure 24) suggests that the canonical ATR/ATM-mediated intra-S-phase checkpoint pathway is not activated in response to •NO/nitrosative stress, and the failure of Cdc25A overexpression or Cdk2AF expression to reinitiate DNA synthesis (the so-called “RDS” phenotype) supports the hypothesis that •NO/nitrosative stress inhibits DNA synthesis via novel mechanism(s). Overexpression of Cdc25A did not accelerate recovery from DNA synthesis inhibition. This does not, however, mean that Cdc25A expression or activity is not required for recovery from
DNA synthesis inhibition. It is possible that a threshold concentration of Cdc25A is necessary for the reinitiation of DNA synthesis, and suprathreshold Cdc25A levels do not contribute additionally. This would be in contrast to that reported for Cdc25B, in which overexpression was able to accelerate recovery from the G2 checkpoint after DNA damage (87).

Figure 39. SNCEE sensitized cells to cisplatin.

A, HCT116 cells were treated with 100 µM of decomposed or fresh SNCEE. One hour later, cells were treated with DMSO or with 10 µM cisplatin (CDDP). Cells were fixed 48 hours later, and nuclei were stained with 1 µg/mL Hoechst 33342, and fragmented nuclei were counted by fluorescence microscopy at 20X magnification. Results are expressed as means ± SEM of four experiments in which three fields of view per sample were counted. N.S., not significant; *, p < 0.001. B, HCT116 cells were untreated or treated as described in A, and harvested for Western blotting with the indicated antibodies 24 hours later. N=2.
Whereas Cdc25A bypasses the intra-S-phase checkpoint in response to IR (71), it has previously been reported that Cdc25A<sup>+/−</sup> MEFs (which produce about half as much Cdc25A protein as wild-type MEFs) display difficulty recovering from IR-induced G2 checkpoint, implying that Cdc25A protein levels are limiting (89).

It should be recognized that DNA replication was not totally inhibited in response to •NO/RNS, as evidenced by some BrdU incorporation in iNOS-expressing and SNCEE-treated S-phase cells compared to hydroxyurea-treated cells, which incorporated no BrdU (data not shown). This implies either that DNA is being synthesized from a reduced number of origins of replication within a cell (268), or that synthesis from existing origins is slowed. Further studies will be necessary to distinguish between these two possibilities. In support of the former hypothesis is precedent from viral replication systems of redox-sensitive replication factors (269). The bovine papillomavirus type 1 E2 protein contains a reactive cysteine that is sensitive to oxidation or chemical modification-induced inhibition of origin binding and is flanked by a basic lysine residue, reminiscent of the acid-base motif which is predictive of S-nitros(yl)ation sites (270). If a similar redox-sensitivity of the human replication complex exists, nitrosative stress could be expected to disrupt replication complex function. Whether mammalian replication complex proteins are sensitive to redox stimuli is not currently known.

In support of the “slowed synthesis” hypothesis are reports that •NO/RNS can inhibit RR (166, 167, 169). Depletion of intracellular ribonucleotide stores would slow DNA synthesis by depleting the raw materials. Although this is an attractive justification for decreased DNA synthesis in nitrosatively-challenged cells, multiple reports have demonstrated that repletion of cellular deoxyribonucleotides to bypass the requirement for RR activity results in a mediocre
restoration of DNA synthesis at best (166, 167, 221). Additionally, nucleotide depletion would be expected to activate ATR and accelerate Cdc25A degradation as is observed upon treatment of cells with hydroxyurea (79), which was not observed in response to SNCEE. Thus, RR inhibition may contribute to •NO/nitrosative inhibition of DNA synthesis, but is probably not the only culprit.

How does •NO/nitrosative stress inhibit DNA synthesis without activating ATM/ATR? Activation of ATM has been reported in response to RNS that act as nitrating agents (229), implying that the checkpoint pathway can respond to at least some types of RNS. Failure of •NO/nitrosative stress to activate the ATM/ATR pathways suggests either that aberrant replication structures are not being formed, are not being recognized, or are not transmitting these signals to the cell cycle machinery (ie, Cdc25A). The single-stranded DNA-binding protein replication protein A (RPA) is regulated by oxidation/reduction of a key cysteine residue that upon oxidation prevents RPA binding to ssDNA (271). Binding of ssDNA-associated RPA by the ATR/ATRIP complex (see Figure 6) appears to be required at least under some circumstances for ATR activation (272). Thus, post-translational modification of RPA may result in failed sensing of stalled or malformed replication forks generated by nitrosative stress, and result in subsequent failure to activate ATR. Our characterization of SNCEE-treated cells indicated that thiols were depleted, which means that intracellular oxidative stress was occurring to some degree. Intriguingly, it has been reported that pretreatment of cells with the LMM thiols N-acetylcysteine or GSH before exposure to •NO prevents DNA synthesis inhibition, suggesting a potential redox sensitive component of the DNA replication machinery (273).

In some cases, high levels of •NO confer a growth advantage to tumors (231, 233). However, in vitro studies consistently suggest that •NO inhibits progression through the cell
cycle. The growth advantage conveyed to some tumors by •NO may not necessarily be mediated by enhanced cell division; •NO has been reported to increase the vascularity (and thus presumably the blood supply and nutrient delivery) of tumors (228, 231). This may result in a greater average cell division rate in the •NO-exposed tumor because it is “well-fed” when compared to the undervascularized tumor. Also, the size of a tumor is determined by the equilibrium struck between cell division and cell death. As •NO inhibits apoptosis in some models (211, 212) the spontaneous death rate may be decreased in •NO-exposed tumors sufficiently to result in larger net tumors. Regardless, Cdc25A overexpression did not appear to convey a selective growth advantage to nitrosatively-challenged tumor cells, indicating that other mechanisms are involved.

We found that pretreatment of cells with SNCEE decreased Cdc25A protein levels (Figure 21) and sensitized cells to cisplatin (Figure 39), which causes apoptosis via ASK-1 activation (104). We also observed activation of the ASK-1 target kinase p38 following SNCEE coincident with Cdc25A loss, and found that SNCEE decreased Cdc25A association with cellular ASK-1. Together, these data implicate nitrosative stress-induced loss of Cdc25A as a potential chemosensitizer by promoting activation of ASK-1. Previous studies have shown that Cdc25A overexpression can protect against insults to the redox status of the cell via inhibition of ASK-1 (103); therefore it is logical to consider that loss of Cdc25A expression due to nitrosative insult may sensitize cells to stimuli that perturb either the cellular redox state or to agents that induce apoptosis through ASK-1 activation. Further studies will address this hypothesis.

In summary, iNOS-derived •NO or SNCEE decreased DNA synthesis in HCT116 cells, but did not abrogate it entirely. Although Cdc25A expression was reduced in nitrosatively-challenged cells, neither Cdc25A protein levels nor Cdk2 activity was limiting for DNA
synthesis following nitrosative stress. Additionally, we found that Cdc25A is decreased in nitrosatively-stressed cells, and that activation of the p38 kinase coincides with Cdc25A loss. Also, Cdc25A interacted with ASK-1, and SNCEE treatment decoupled Cdc25A from ASK-1 sensitized cells to apoptosis in response to cisplatin. Thus loss of Cdc25A in response to nitrosative stimuli may lower the apoptotic threshold for cells. Together, these data distinguish DNA synthesis inhibition in response to nitrosative insult with that triggered by the intra-S-phase checkpoint, and indicate that nitrosative stress-induced suppression of Cdc25A may alter the cellular survival balance in response to genotoxic stimuli.
Nitrosative insult rapidly inhibited Cdc25A enzymatic activity in a fashion that was reversible by reductants. In cells, induction of nitrosative stress either by iNOS-mediated •NO overproduction or by the chemical nitrosant SNCEE decreased Cdc25A protein levels in multiple human tumor cell lines. Suppression of Cdc25A following nitrosative stress was mediated by hyper-phosphorylation and inhibition of eIF2α, resulting in translational suppression of Cdc25A. Suppression of Cdc25A was accompanied by inhibition of DNA synthesis in nitrosatively-challenged cells. Although Cdc25A is required for progression through S-phase, reintroduction of Cdc25A expression or activity (via Cdk2AF) was not sufficient to restore DNA synthesis or change the kinetics of the onset or recovery of inhibition, thus distinguishing inhibition of DNA synthesis by nitrosative stress from the intra-S-phase checkpoint. Activation of ASK-1 target kinase p38 was observed in response to SNCEE with kinetics consistent with loss of Cdc25A, and ASK-1 interacted with Cdc25A under basal conditions. SNCEE decoupled ASK-1 from Cdc25A, and pretreatment of cells with SNCEE doubled apoptosis in response to CDDP, providing evidence that suppression of Cdc25A in response to nitrosative stress lowers the apoptotic threshold of cells for genotoxic stimuli. Nitrosative insult of differing intensities or durations therefore may provide the cell with the opportunity to selectively regulate phosphatase-dependent vs. independent Cdc25A activities, and thus the Cdc25A-dependent cellular consequences.
## APPENDIX A

### ANTIBODIES FOR WESTERN BLOTTING

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antigen</th>
<th>Antibody species</th>
<th>Dilution</th>
<th>Vendor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Caspase-3</td>
<td>rabbit</td>
<td>1:1000</td>
<td>Assay Designs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cdc25A (F-6)</td>
<td>mouse</td>
<td>1:100</td>
<td>Santa Cruz Biotechnology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cdc25B</td>
<td>mouse</td>
<td>1:2500</td>
<td>BD Pharmingen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cdc25C</td>
<td>rabbit</td>
<td>1:1000</td>
<td>Santa Cruz Biotechnology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cdk1</td>
<td>mouse</td>
<td>1:500</td>
<td>Santa Cruz Biotechnology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phospho-Cdk1^{Tyr15}</td>
<td>rabbit</td>
<td>1:1000</td>
<td>Cell Signaling Technology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cdk2</td>
<td>rabbit</td>
<td>1:5000</td>
<td>Santa Cruz Biotechnology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chk2</td>
<td>mouse</td>
<td>1:200</td>
<td>Santa Cruz Biotechnology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyclin D1</td>
<td>mouse</td>
<td>1:500</td>
<td>BD Pharmingen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eIF2α</td>
<td>rabbit</td>
<td>1:1000</td>
<td>Cell Signaling Technology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phospho-eIF2α^{Ser51}</td>
<td>rabbit</td>
<td>1:1000</td>
<td>Cell Signaling Technology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAPDH</td>
<td>rabbit</td>
<td>1:1000</td>
<td>Cell Signaling Technology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HA</td>
<td>mouse</td>
<td>1:1000</td>
<td>Covance Research Products, Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iNOS</td>
<td>mouse</td>
<td>1:2500</td>
<td>BD Pharmingen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c-Myc</td>
<td>mouse</td>
<td>1:100</td>
<td>Santa Cruz Biotechnology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrotyrosine</td>
<td>rabbit</td>
<td>1:100</td>
<td>Cayman Chemical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p21</td>
<td>rabbit</td>
<td>1:500</td>
<td>Calbiochem</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p53</td>
<td>rabbit</td>
<td>1:1000</td>
<td>Cell Signaling Technology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PARP</td>
<td>rabbit</td>
<td>1:1000</td>
<td>Cell Signaling Technology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-Tubulin</td>
<td>mouse</td>
<td>1:20,000</td>
<td>Cedarlane Laboratories</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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